



PRIFYSGOL  
**BANGOR**  
UNIVERSITY

**Letter to the Editor. Phase III randomized controlled trials are essential to properly evaluate the role of radiotherapy in WHO grade II meningioma**

Jenkinson, Michael; Weber, Damien; Haylock, Brian; Sherratt, Frances; Young, Bridget ; Weller, Micheal ; Bulbeck, Helen; Culeddu, Giovanna; Hughes, Dyfrig; Brain, Alice; Das, Kumar; Preusser, Matthias; Francis, Priya; Gamble, Carrol

**Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry**

DOI:

[10.3171/2018.6.JNS181418](https://doi.org/10.3171/2018.6.JNS181418)

Published: 17/08/2018

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):*

Jenkinson, M., Weber, D., Haylock, B., Sherratt, F., Young, B., Weller, M., Bulbeck, H., Culeddu, G., Hughes, D., Brain, A., Das, K., Preusser, M., Francis, P., & Gamble, C. (2018). Letter to the Editor. Phase III randomized controlled trials are essential to properly evaluate the role of radiotherapy in WHO grade II meningioma. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2018.6.JNS181418>

**Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Phase III randomised controlled trials are essential to properly evaluate the role of radiotherapy in WHO grade II meningioma**

Michael D Jenkinson, FRCSEd, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

Damien C Weber, MD<sup>3</sup>

Brian J Haylock, FRCR<sup>4</sup>

Frances C Sherratt, PhD<sup>5</sup>

Bridget Young, PhD<sup>5</sup>

Michael Weller, MD, PhD<sup>6</sup>

Helen Bulbeck, PhD<sup>7</sup>

Giovanna Culeddu, MSc<sup>8</sup>

Dyfrig A Hughes, PhD<sup>8</sup>

Alice Brain<sup>4</sup>

Kumar Das, FRCR<sup>9</sup>

Matthias Preusser, MD, PhD<sup>10</sup>

Priya Francis<sup>2</sup>

Carrol Gamble, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery and <sup>9</sup>Neuroradiology

The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust

Liverpool, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Translational Medicine

University of Liverpool

Liverpool, UK

<sup>3</sup>Center for Proton Therapy

Paul Scherrer Institute

Villigen, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology

Clatterbridge Cancer Centre

Wirral, UK

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Psychology, Health and Society

University of Liverpool

Liverpool, UK

<sup>6</sup>University Hospital Zurich

Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>7</sup>brainstrust charity

Cowes, Isle of Wight, UK

<sup>8</sup>Centre for Health Economics and Medicines Evaluation

University of Bangor

Bangor, UK

<sup>10</sup>Comprehensive Cancer Centre Vienna

Medical University of Vienna, Austria

Corresponding author:

Michael D Jenkinson

Department of Neurosurgery

The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust

Liverpool, L9 7LJ, UK

Tel: +44 151 529 5683

Fax: +44 151 529 5509

Email: [michael.jenkinson@liv.ac.uk](mailto:michael.jenkinson@liv.ac.uk)

Rogers et al. report the findings from the Intermediate-risk meningioma: initial outcomes from NRG Oncology RTOG 0539 study.<sup>7</sup> Intermediate risk meningiomas were defined as those with a higher recurrence rate and includes gross total resection (GTR) WHO grade II meningioma (Simpson 1-3) and any recurrent WHO grade I meningioma regardless of the extent of resection. Despite the relative radioresistance of meningiomas, radiotherapy remains the only available adjuvant therapy for these tumors and in WHO grade II meningioma there is a lack of class I evidence for the role of early adjuvant radiotherapy.<sup>5</sup> Treatment decisions (i.e. adjuvant radiotherapy vs. no adjuvant radiotherapy) after surgery currently factor in tumor location, patient's pre-treatment characteristics and the willingness of the surgeon to re-operate if recurrence occurs.<sup>4</sup> Tumor recurrence undoubtedly has an impact on patient quality of life and if adjuvant radiotherapy can deliver prolonged control with low risk it should be considered in the multi-modality management of WHO grade II meningioma. RTOG 0539 was a phase II non-randomised study with a primary endpoint of 3-year progression free survival and included 36 patients with GTR grade II meningioma who received post-operative radiotherapy of which 1 patient progressed and 1 patient died of disease resulting in a 3 year local failure rate of 4.1%. It is reassuring to note that the early adverse events (AE) from radiotherapy were limited to CTCAE grade 1 or 2 (mainly dermatological) with no severe events. Neurosurgeons have been historically sceptical about adjuvant radiotherapy citing concerns about the risk of late cognitive decline and this is also a concern for patients.<sup>8</sup> It is also reassuring that the RTOG 0539 study reported that mild memory decline affected only a small number of patients although detailed cognitive assessment was not performed. Likewise, another phase II trial performed by the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC 22042-26042) submitted for publication did not show any cognitive impact after high-dose radiotherapy and similar control rates (Damien C. Weber, personal communication; submitted for publication). The

relatively mild adverse events may be attributable to better radiotherapy planning techniques that minimise the radiotherapy dose to normal brain<sup>1</sup> however it is important to emphasize that both phase II studies only had only 3 years follow-up and later meningioma recurrence may occur. The lack of a control arm is the main limitation of the study and neurosurgeons are likely to remain sceptical about adjuvant radiotherapy in GTR WHO grade II meningioma. Nevertheless, the favorable AE profile of radiotherapy supports the continued enrolment into open phase III studies. The ROAM/EORTC 1308 trial [ISRCTN71502099] (Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma) is a multi-centre, phase III, randomised controlled trial (RCT) that will answer the question ‘in patients who have undergone gross total resection of atypical meningioma, does early adjuvant radiotherapy reduce recurrence compared to active monitoring?’<sup>3</sup> The study is open across the UK, Europe, Australia and New Zealand (<http://roam-trial.org.uk>) with 44 sites open (63 planned) and 36 patients randomised (190 planned). The study is powered to detect an absolute reduction in recurrence rate from 40% (control arm) to 20% (radiotherapy arm) at 5 years and importantly will collect data on quality of life, neurocognitive function and assess whether adjuvant radiotherapy is cost-effective. Studies of intervention versus monitoring can pose a recruitment challenge since clinicians and patients often exhibit bias.<sup>2,6</sup> Preliminary results from the embedded qualitative research study of audio recordings of the recruitment consultation have led to improvements by researchers in balancing the treatment arms and explaining equipoise. In parallel the NRG BN-003 study (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03180268>) will also provide class I evidence. It is incumbent on the neurosurgery and oncology community to work collaboratively to ensure both trials are successfully delivered in order to establish the best way to manage patients with complete resection of WHO grade II meningioma.

Funding: MDJ, FS, BY, BJH, CG are recipients of funding from National Institute for Health research Health Technology Assessment programme (12/173/14).

## References

1. Coskun M, Straube W, Hurkmans CW, Melidis C, de Haan PF, Villa S, et al: Quality assurance of radiotherapy in the ongoing EORTC 22042-26042 trial for atypical and malignant meningioma: results from the dummy runs and prospective individual case Reviews. **Radiat Oncol** **8**:23, 2013
2. Donovan J, Mills N, Smith M, Brindle L, Jacoby A, Peters T, et al: Quality improvement report: Improving design and conduct of randomised trials by embedding them in qualitative research: ProtecT (prostate testing for cancer and treatment) study. Commentary: presenting unbiased information to patients can be difficult. **BMJ** **325**:766-770, 2002
3. Jenkinson MD, Javadpour M, Haylock BJ, Young B, Gillard H, Vinten J, et al: The ROAM/EORTC-1308 trial: Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. **Trials** **16**:519, 2015
4. Jenkinson MD, Weber DC, Haylock BJ, Mallucci CL, Zakaria R, Javadpour M: Atypical meningioma: current management dilemmas and prospective clinical trials. **J Neurooncol**, 2014
5. Kaur G, Sayegh ET, Larson A, Bloch O, Madden M, Sun MZ, et al: Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical and malignant meningiomas: a systematic review. **Neuro Oncol** **16**:628-636, 2014
6. Mills N, Donovan JL, Wade J, Hamdy FC, Neal DE, Lane JA: Exploring treatment preferences facilitated recruitment to randomized controlled trials. **J Clin Epidemiol** **64**:1127-1136, 2011
7. Rogers L, Zhang P, Vogelbaum MA, Perry A, Ashby LS, Modi JM, et al: Intermediate-risk meningioma: initial outcomes from NRG Oncology RTOG 0539. **J Neurosurg**:1-13, 2017
8. Sherratt FC, Jenkinson MD, Haylock BJ, Javadpour M, Young B: Optimising trial recruitment using qualitative research methods: The ROAM (Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma) Information Study, in **Society of British Neurological Surgeons (SBNS)**. Torquay, UK, 2018