

## Age, growth and maturity of tub gurnard (Chelidonichthys lucerna Linnaeus 1758: Triglidae) in the inshore coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK

McCarthy, Ian; Marriott, Andrew

### Journal of Applied Ichthyology

DOI: 10.1111/jai.13614

Published: 01/06/2018

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): McCarthy, I., & Marriott, A. (2018). Age, growth and maturity of tub gurnard (*Chelidonichthys lucerna* Linnaeus 1758: Triglidae) in the inshore coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 34(3), 581-589. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13614

### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

. Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## Age, growth and maturity of tub gurnard (Chelidonichthys lucerna Linnaeus 1758: Triglidae) in the inshore coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK

McCarthy, Ian; Marriott, Andrew

### Journal of Applied Ichthyology

Accepted/In press: 12/12/2017

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):* McCarthy, I., & Marriott, A. (2017). Age, growth and maturity of tub gurnard (Chelidonichthys lucerna Linnaeus 1758: Triglidae) in the inshore coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK. Journal of Applied Ichthyology.

### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

. Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Age, growth and maturity of tub gurnard (Chelidonichthys lucerna
2	Linnaeus 1758; Triglidae) in the inshore coastal waters of Northwest
3	Wales, UK.
4	
5	Running Title: Population biology of tub gurnard
6	
7	I.D. McCarthy <sup>1</sup>   A. L. Marriott <sup>1,2</sup>
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, UK.
10	
11	<sup>2</sup> Present address: Inorganic Geochemistry, Centre for Environmental Geochemistry,
12	British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, UK
13	
14	Correspondence
15	Ian D. McCarthy, School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge,
16	Anglesey, UK.
17	Email: i.mccarthy@bangor.ac.uk
18	
19	Summary
20	The tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna has been identified by ICES as a potential
21	commercial species in the northeast Atlantic with recommendations made to monitor
22	landings and discards and to derive information on population biology for stock
23	assessment purposes, however, data are lacking for the species in the northeast Atlantic.
24	Therefore, aims of this study were to provide data on the size/age-structure and patterns
25	of growth, maturity and mortality of C. lucerna in Northwest Wales, UK, and in doing so
26	to provide data on the biological characteristics of the most northerly population studied

27 to date for comparison with the existing data for southerly Mediterranean populations.

28 Data on the age, growth and maturity of *C. lucerna* were collected by otter trawling (73

29 mm cod-end stretched mesh size) in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK in

30 October (2000-2011, excluding 2006). Total length (TL) of fish sampled ranged between

31 10.5-41.0 cm (males) and 10.4-57.5 cm (females). The majority of the female fish were 32 between 20-30cm TL (60.2%) and the majority of the male fish between 20-30cm TL 33 (58.3%) respectively. TL/weight (W) relations for male and female fish were similar and the combined data was described by  $W = 0.0067 \text{ TL}^{3.10}$ . Age of fish ranged between 1-7 34 vears old for female fish and 1-5 years old for male fish respectively with the majority of 35 36 female fish 3 years old (40%) and the majority of male fish 3 years old (37%). The age 37 structures of female and male tub gurnards were not significantly different with the older 38 age classes consisting predominantly of female fish. Both males and females exhibited 39 similar asymptotic growth patterns and the combined von Bertalanffy growth function was  $TL_t = 51.6 (1 - e^{[-0.25(t + 0.41)]})$ . Instantaneous rates of total mortality were calculated as 40 1.04 year<sup>-1</sup> for males and 1.11 year<sup>-1</sup> for females. The size  $(L_{50})$  and age at first maturity 41 (A<sub>50</sub>) were estimated to be 29.1 cm TL and 2.8 years for males, 27.7 cm TL and 2.7 years 42 43 for females and 28.0 cm TL and 2.8 years for both sexes combined. The results of this 44 study provide the first information on the biology and population dynamics of C. lucerna 45 in the Irish Sea, the first data collected in the northeast Atlantic since 1985 and the most 46 northerly population studied to date.

47

# 48 1 | INTRODUCTION

49 The tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna L. (Triglidae) is a nektobenthic marine teleost found inhabiting the Mediterranean Sea, Sea of Marmara, Black Sea, and the eastern 50 51 Atlantic Ocean from Norway to Senegal in West Africa including the coastal waters of 52 the British Isles (Froese & Pauly, 2017). C. lucerna inhabits a range of benthic substrata 53 such as sand, muddy sand and gravel bottoms at depths ranging from ca. 20 m to ca. 300 54 m (Froese & Pauly, 2017). Diet consists predominantly of epibenthic and nektobenthic 55 organisms and tub gurnard feed mainly on crustaceans (Amphipoda, Decapoda) and 56 small teleosts whilst occasionally feeding on molluscs and polychaetes (Colloca, 57 Ardizzone & Gravina, 1994; Stagioni, Montanini & Vallisneri, 2012; Froese & Pauly, 58 2017). Although C. lucerna has been described as being a Lusitanian species (Yang, 59 1982) with a predominantly southern distribution in coastal waters around the UK 60 (Corten & van de Kamp, 1996; Rogers, Milner & Read, 1998), its distribution is shifting 61 northwards and it is increasing in abundance in the central North Sea (Beare et al., 2004).

62 The commercial catch of tub gurnard is not high with average declared global landings between 2011 and 2015 of 4429 tonnes in (FAO, 2017), the majority of which come from 63 64 the North Sea and the eastern English Channel (52% and 37% respectively; ICES, 2013) but actual landings are difficult to quantify as gurnards are often not sorted by species 65 66 when they are landed (ICES, 2013). However, tub gurnard, along with the red gurnard 67 Chelidonichthys cuculus and grey gurnard Eutrigla gurnardus, have been recognized as 68 new MoU species (ICES, 2006) and interest in all 3 gurnard species as potential 69 commercial species has increased with recommendations made by ICES to monitor 70 landings and discards and to derive information on population biology for stock 71 assessment purposes (ICES, 2010, 2013, 2015, 2016). The problem remains that this 72 information is lacking for all three species and for tub gurnard in particular (ICES, 2013). 73 For any exploited fish species, understanding its ecology and population biology is 74 critical in the development of sustainable management plans (King, 2007). For the tub 75 gurnard, the stocks in the Mediterranean Sea have been the focus of most research effort 76 and consequently the most detailed knowledge on biology and ecology are known for 77 these warmer water stocks (Table 1) with fewer studies conducted on populations outside 78 the Mediterranean (Table 1). There is little published information on tub gurnard stocks 79 in UK coastal waters other than basic information such as distribution data, size-80 frequencies and length-weight relations (e.g. Coull, Jermyn, Newton, Henderson & Hall, 81 1989; Parker-Humphreys, 2004a, 2004b, 2005; ICES, 2010). To the authors' knowledge, 82 there has been no detailed study of the population biology (i.e. patterns of growth, 83 mortality and reproduction) of tub gurnard in UK waters and no detailed study on the 84 population biology of tub gurnard on the Atlantic coast of Europe since Baron (1985a, 85 1985b) in the Bay of Douarnenez, France. Therefore, aims of the study are to (1) to provide data on the population biology (specifically the size/age-structure and patterns of 86

growth, maturity and mortality) of tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys lucerna* within the coastal waters of eastern Anglesey and north west Wales and (2) to provide data on the biological characteristics of the most northerly population of the species studied to date for comparison with the existing data for southerly Mediterranean populations of tub gurnard.

92

# 93 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 94 **2.1** | Sample collection

95 Fish were collected between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006) using the Bangor 96 University School of Ocean Sciences research vessel RV Prince Madog as part of the 97 ongoing survey (conducted from 1972 to date) of local demersal fish stocks in the coastal 98 waters around Eastern Anglesey and North West Wales (Figure 1). Surveys were 99 conducted during October of each year in the same five areas: (A) Red Wharf Bay, (B) 100 Conwy Bay and (C) Inshore Colwyn Bay and (D) Offshore Point Lynas and (E) Offshore 101 Colwyn Bay (A-C designated as 'inshore' sites and D-E designated as 'offshore' site; see 102 Marriott, Latchford & McCarthy, 2010). Trawl depths were between 10.0 and 32.3 m in 103 inshore sites (62 trawls) and between 17.2 and 45.2 m in offshore sites (31 trawls) 104 respectively. The substrate in the five sampling areas is similar with most sites 105 comprising of gravelly sand, medium sand and broken shells, with the sites around Point 106 Lynas and Red Wharf Bay comprising mainly of sandy gravel and sand respectively 107 (Rees, 2004). Trawls of approximately 1 hour duration towed at 2-3 knots were 108 conducted using a rockhopper otter trawl (cod end stretched mesh size of 73 mm), in the 109 five survey areas. On completion of the trawl, the catch was sorted and the total length 110 (TL) of each tub gurnard caught was measured to the nearest cm and a length-stratified 111 subsample consisting of the first 3 fish in each 1 cm size class were retained for 112 dissection. The following data were collected from each fish in the length-stratified 113 subsample: TL (to nearest 0.1 mm), total weight (TW, to nearest 0.1 g), sex and maturity 114 status (immature or mature based on macroscopic examination of the gonads; Booth, 115 1997; King, 2007). Finally, the sagittal otoliths were removed and stored in paper 116 envelopes until subsequent ageing. The age of each fish was determined as described by 117 Marriott et al. (2010) using digital imaging techniques with one pair of opaque/hyaline 118 bands formed each year (Colloca, Cardinale, Marcello & Ardizzone, 2003).

119

# 120 2.2 | Data analysis

121 The length-weight relationship was described using the power function  $W = aL^b$  (Froese,

122 2006; King, 2007), where W is the TW (g), L is the TL (cm), and a and b are constants.

123 The length-weight relationships for females and males were examined separately and the

124 slopes of the regression lines for the log-transformed data were compared using a GLM 125 to test for differences between the sexes. The *b*-values for males and females were also 126 tested against a value of b=3 to test for isometric growth. The relationship between mean length at age for male and female tub gurnard was described using the von Bertalanffy 127 growth equation,  $L_t = L_{\infty} / (1 - e^{-k(t - t_o)})$  (King, 2007), where L<sub>t</sub> is the average TL (cm) at age t 128 (years), k is the growth coefficient (year<sup>-1</sup>),  $L_{\infty}$  is the asymptotic total length and  $t_0$  is the 129 130 theoretical age at length zero (year). The growth curves for male and female tub gurnard 131 were compared using the likelihood ratio test (Kimura, 1980). The total instantaneous mortality rates (Z, year<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated from the linearised catch curve data (King, 132 133 2007) for females and males combined since gurnards caught by fishing activity are not discriminated by sex. The instantaneous rates of natural mortality  $(M, \text{ year}^{-1})$  for females 134 135 and males combined was calculated using the Pauly (1980) equation based on growth in 136 length and the average surface seawater temperature for the area ( $10.66^{\circ}C$ ; Moelfre and Amlwch stations; Joyce, 2006). The TL at 50% maturity ( $L_{50}$ , cm) was calculated using 137 the logistic equation  $Y = 1/[1 + e^{-r(L - L_{50})}]$  (King, 2007), where Y is the proportion of fish 138 mature in the total length class L (cm) and r is a constant. Age at 50% maturity ( $A_{50}$ , 139 years) was calculated using the Chen and Paloheimo (1994) equation,  $A_{50} = t_0 - (1/k) ln[1]$ 140 141  $-(L_{50}/L_{\infty})$ , where  $L_{50}$  is the length at 50% maturity and  $t_0$  and k are constants from the von Bertalanffy growth equation. All data are presented and mean values  $\pm$  SD with 142 143 statistical analyses conducted in SPSS v22.

144

## 145 **3 | RESULTS**

A total of 970 fish were caught in 92 trawls (mean trawl length, 3.4±1.1 nautical miles;
mean trawl duration, 62±13 minutes). Catch rates of tub gurnard were higher offshore
(OPL, 12 fish/tow; OCB, 26 fish/tow) compared to inshore (RWB, 19 fish/tow; ICB, 7
fish/tow; CON, 3 fish/tow) with an overall average catch of 11 fish/tow. 44,1% of the fish
were caught inshore and 55.1% caught at offshore sites. In total, 804 tub gurnard were
subsampled: 497 females and 307 males.
Fish in the length-stratified subsamples ranged in TL from 10.4-57.5 cm for females

and 10.5-41.0 cm for males (Figure 2A). The majority of female (60.2%) and male fish (58.3%) were between 20-30 cm TL (Figure 2A), with the average TL for female tub 155 gurnard (28.7 $\pm$ 5.9 cm) being significantly larger (t<sub>660</sub>=3.52 *P*<0.001) than male tub

156 gurnard (27.3±5.8 cm). The majority of fish >25 cm TL were female (78.7%, Figure 2A).

- 157 TW for female and male tub gurnard in the stratified subsample ranged from 12.0-1940.6
- g for females and 8.0-807.4 g for males, with the majority of female (58.6 %) and male
- 159 fish (64.5%) <250 g.

A total of 790 fish could be aged (490 female, 300 male) and age ranges in the lengthstratified subsample were 1-7 years and 1-5 years old for female and male fish (Figure 2B). For both females (40%) and males (37%), the majority of fish were 3 years old. The age structure of female and male tub gurnards in the subsample were significantly different ( $\chi^2_6$ =20.14, *P*<0.003), with the older age classes consisting predominantly of female fish (Figure 2B).

166 The length-weight relationships for female and male tub gurnard and for both sexes combined are presented in Figure 3. Both males and females exhibited positive allometric 167 growth with b values significantly different from 3 (3;  $t_{305}=5.79$ , P<0.001; 2;  $t_{495}=4.44$ , 168 169 P < 0.001). The slope values for the log-transformed linearised length-weight data for both female and male tub gurnard were similar ( $F_{1.802}$ =1.04, P=0.31). The length-weight 170 relationship for the combined data was described by  $W = 0.007L^{3.10}$  (SE<sub>b</sub>=0.04, r<sup>2</sup>=0.966, 171 P < 0.001), with the b value significantly different from 3 (t<sub>802</sub>=2.48, P=0.013). Von 172 Bertalanffy growth curves for female and male tub gurnard are presented in Figure 4. 173 Growth parameters for the females and males were similar ( $\chi^2_3$ =2.37, P=0.50) with the 174 growth curve for the combined male and female data described by  $TL_t = 51.6[1-e^{-0.25(t+1)}]$ 175  $^{(0.41)}$ ] (r<sup>2</sup>=0.992, P<0.001). The instantaneous rate of total mortality for the combined data 176 set was Z=1.18 year<sup>-1</sup> with the instantaneous rates of natural mortality calculated as 177  $M=0.40 \text{ year}^{-1}$ . 178

179 Maturity ogives for male and female tub gurnard and for both sexes combined are 180 presented in Figure 5. The calculated  $L_{50}$  values for female, male and combined sexes 181 were in close agreement ( $\Im$ ; 27.7 cm:  $\Im$ ; 29.1 cm: combined sexes; 28.0 cm). The  $A_{50}$ 182 values for female and male tub gurnard and for the combined data set were calculated as 183 2.7 years (female), 2.8 years (male) and 2.8 years (males and females combined).

184

## 185 4 | DISCUSSION

## 186 **4.1** | **Tub gurnard population biology**

In this study, the population biology of tub gurnard in the inshore waters of Northwest Wales and Eastern Anglesey is reported from data collected from autumnal (October) fishing surveys conducted by Bangor University between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006). This study provides the first population biology data for this species in the Irish Sea and the first data for the species in the Northeast Atlantic since that reported by Baron (1985a, 1985b) for the Bay of Douarnenez in Brittany, France.

193 The population biology data available for tub gurnard are summarised in Table 1. 194 Although there are many studies which present length-weight relationships for the species 195 [see Froese and Pauly (2017) for references], the number of studies where other 196 biological parameters, e.g. growth and reproduction (Table 1) are examined are more 197 limited. This is especially the case for Atlantic populations of tub gurnard with most of 198 the detailed studies on the population biology of tub gurnard focussed on Mediterranean 199 populations (including the Sea of Marmara), particularly in Turkey (Table 1). In contrast, 200 information for tub gurnard in the Northeast Atlantic is limited to 2 studies plus a single 201 study in the Eastern Central Atlantic (Morocco) (Table 1). In the UK, only basic data 202 such as presence in trawl catches and size structure of the catch are available (e.g. Beare 203 et al., 2004; Parker-Humphreys, 2004, 2005a, 2005b) with a single study reporting 204 length-weight data (Coull et al., 1989) have been published. However, although data are 205 limited and the samples sizes for the Atlantic gurnard population preclude statistical 206 comparisons, there are some general comparisons that can be made between the 207 population biology parameters of North Atlantic and Mediterranean tub gurnard.

208 In general, tub gurnard in the Mediterranean regions do not attain as large a size 209 compared to Atlantic populations and mature at a smaller size (Table 1). The average  $L_{\infty}$ 210 value for Mediterranean (including the Sea of Marmara) tub gurnard populations is 49.6 211  $\pm$  9.9 cm compared to 58.0  $\pm$  9.3 cm values for Atlantic populations (Table 1). Similarly 212 the length at 50% maturity is smaller in Mediterranean populations compared to Atlantic 213 populations with average L<sub>50</sub> values of 22.0 and 20.4 cm respectively for males and 214 females in the Mediterranean compared to values of 34.6 and 31.6 cm in the Northeast 215 Atlantic (Table 1). In most studies presented in Table 1, tub gurnard exhibit positive 216 allometric growth with an average 'b' value for the length-weight relationship of  $3.03 \pm$  0.17. This agrees well with the value of 3.03 derived by Froese (2006) from a meta-analysis of the length-weight relationships for 1773 species of fish.

219 To enable comparisons of growth performance of tub gurnard across the different 220 populations, the phi prime growth performance index of  $(\Phi' = 2\log_{10}L_{\infty} + \log_{10}k)$  of Pauly and Munro (1984) was calculated and the data are presented in Table 1. The 221 222 average  $\Phi$ ' value for Mediterranean (including the Sea of Marmara) tub gurnard 223 populations is 2.60±0.33 (n=9) compared to 2.60±0.33 (n=3) for Atlantic populations 224 (Table 1). Growth performance index for tub gurnard was significantly correlated with 225 latitude (r = 0.64, n = 14, p = 0.014) with  $\Phi$ ' values increasing with increasing latitude. Similarly,  $L_{50}$  values for male and female tub gurnard were correlated with latitude ( $\vec{a}$ , r 226 = 0.71, n = 9, p = 0.051;  $\stackrel{\circ}{,}$  r = 0.77, n = 9, p = 0.023) with L<sub>50</sub> values increasing with 227 228 increasing latitude. Latitudinal variations in growth and maturity have been reported for a 229 number of marine species, for example Atlantic cod Gadus morhua (Brander, 2005), 230 European hake Merluccius merluccius (Ragonese, Vitale, Mazzola, Pagliarino & 231 Bianchini, 2012), English sole Pleuronectes vetulus (Sampson & Al-Jufaily, 1999), 232 European plaice Pleuronectes platessa (Bromley, 2000), and yellowfin tuna Thunnus 233 albacares (Zhu, Xu, Dai & Liu, 2011) and was also reported for red gurnard C. cuculus 234 by Marriott et al. (2010). The general patterns observed are for more northerly stocks to 235 exhibit decreased growth rates and an increase in size at first maturity due to differences 236 in growth opportunity related to changes in thermal regime, and the length of the growing 237 season, with latitude.

238

# 239 4.2 | Tub gurnard fisheries

240 The three main gurnard species in the northeast Atlantic, red gurnard C. cuculus, tub 241 gurnard, C. lucerna and grey gurnard E. gurnardus, have all been identified by ICES as 242 potential new species for commercial exploitation (ICES 2006, 2013). However, detailed 243 information on the population biology and landings/discard data for stock assessment 244 purposes for each species in the different ICES subareas in the Northeast Atlantic are 245 currently lacking. Previously, gurnard landings were not sorted by species and were often 246 reported as the generic category 'gurnards' with species-specific data are only available 247 from all countries participating in gurnard fisheries since 2010 (ICES, 2015). The issue of 248 accurately quantifying discard rates for each gurnard species in other demersal fisheries 249 still remains unresolved although discard rates are thought to be very high (ICES, 2015, 250 2016). For example, the average discard rate for grey gurnards is estimated at 80%251 (ICES, 2016). As a result, the management advice provided for red gurnard (ICES, 2015) 252 and grey gurnard (ICES 2016) is limited and advises a precautionary approach with 253 reduced landings until more detailed information on stock size, fishing pressure and 254 discard rates are determined as these are currently unknown. In contrast, there is no such 255 advice is available for tub gurnard with the limited data available last reviewed by ICES 256 in 2013 (ICES, 2013).

257 For tub gurnard, declared catches have increased in recent years from 3325t in 2011 to 258 6885t in 2015, notably with a 50% increase in catches between 2014 (4600t) and 2015 259 (FAO, 2017), most likely as a result of improved landings data rather than increased 260 fishing activity. The Netherlands (2984t), France (1382t), Italy (964t) and Belgium (950t) 261 currently land 91% of the declared tub gurnard catch (FAO, 2017) with the UK 262 accounting for 5.7% (392t). Among the fishing areas, the North Sea and the eastern English Channel account for the majority of landings (52% and 37% respectively in 263 264 2011; ICES, 2013). ICES (2013) reports that the only population biology parameters 265 available are from a small southern part of ICES Division VIIe (Bay of Douarnenez, 266 Brittany, France) and have not been updated in over 30 years (Baron, 1985a, 1985b). The 267 results of the present study provide the first population biology details for the species 268 since 1985, but also highlight the paucity of biological data available for this species for 269 stock assessment purposes. Clearly, more detailed studies of the population biology of the 270 tub gurnard in the coastal shelf seas of the Northeast Atlantic, together with a more 271 detailed assessment of discarding, are a priority to support the sustainable expansion of 272 this fishery in the Northeast Atlantic.

273

## 274 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the crew of the RV *Prince Madog* and the staff and students from the School of Ocean Sciences who conducted the fisheries surveys and collected much of the raw data used in this study.

278	
279	
280	REFERENCES
281	Abdullah, M. (2002). Length-weight relationship of fishes caught by trawl off Alexandria, Egypt.
282	Naga, 5, 19-20.
283	Altun, T., Goksu, M. Z. L., Tureli, C., & Erdem, U. (1997). An investigation on some biological
284	properties of sole (Solea vulgaris, Quensel, 1806) and Mediterranean gurnard (Trigla lucerna,
285	Linnaeus, 1758) in Yumurtalik Bay. XIII International Biology Congress, Istanbul, Turkey, 5,
286	147-158.
287	Baron, J. (1985a). The Triglidae (Teleostei, Scorpaeniformes) of the Bay of Douarnenez. The
288	growth of E. gurnardus, T. lucerna, T. lastoviza & A. cuculus. Cybium, 9, 127-144.
289	Baron, J. (1985b). The Triglidae (Teleostei, Scorpaeniformes) of the Bay of Douarnenez. The
290	reproduction of E. gurnardus, T. lucerna, T. lastoviza & A. cuculus. Cybium 9, 252-281.
291	Beare, D. J., Burns, F., Greig, A., Jones, E. G., Peach, K., Kienzle, M., McKenzie, E., & Reid, D.
292	G. (2004). Long-term increases in prevalence of North Sea fishes having southern
293	biogeographic affinities. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 284, 269-278.
294	Booth, A. J. (1997). On the life history of the lesser gurnard (Scorpaeniformes: Triglidae)
295	inhabiting the Agulhas Bank, South Africa. Journal of Fish Biology, 51, 1155-1173. DOI:
296	10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb01133.x
297	Boudaya, L., Neifar, L., Rizzo, P., Badalucco, C., Bouain, A., & Fiorentino, F. (2008). Growth
298	and reproduction of Chelidonichthys lucerna (Linnaeus) (Pisces: Triglidae) in the Gulf of
299	Gabès, Tunisia. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 24, 581-588. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-
300	0426.2008.01095.x
301	Brander, K. (2005). Spawning and life history information for North Atlantic cod stocks. ICES
302	Cooperative Research Report No. 273, 162 pp.
303	Bromley, P. J. (2000) Growth, sexual maturation and spawning in central North Sea plaice
304	(Pleuronectes platessa L.), and the generation of maturity ogives from commercial catch
305	data. Journal of Sea Research 44, 27-43. DOI: 10.1016/S1385-1101(00)00043-5
306	Chen, Y., & Paloheimo, J. E. (1994). Estimating fish length and age at 50% maturity using a
307	logistic type model. Aquatic Sciences 56, 206-219.
308	Cicek, E., Avsar, D., Ozyurt, C. E., Yeldan, H., & Manasiri. M. (2008). Age, growth,
309	reproduction and mortality of tub gurnard (Chelidonichthys lucernus (Linnaeus, 1758))

310	inhabiting in Babadillimani Bight (Northeastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey). Journal of
311	Biological Sciences, 8, 155-160.

- Collignon, J. C. (1968). Les Trigles des eaux marrocaines (Ière note), Generalités: L'espèce
   *Trigla hirudo. Bulletin de l'Institut des Pêches Maritimes du Maroc, 16*, 3–13.
- Colloca, F., Ardizzone, G. D., & Gravina, M. F. (1994). Trophic ecology of gurnards (Pisces,
  Triglidae) in the central Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Life*, *4*, 21-32.
- Colloca, F., Cardinale, M., Marcello, A., & Ardizzone, G. D. (2003). Tracing the life history of
   red gurnard (*Aspitrigla cuculus*) using validated otolith annual rings. *Journal of Applied*
- 318 *Ichthyology, 19,* 19, 1–9. DOI: 10.1046/j.1439-0426.2003.00342.x
- Corten, A., & van de Kamp, G. (1996). Variation in the abundance of southern fish species in the
  southern North Sea in relation to hydrography and wind. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *53*,
- 321 1113-1119. DOI: 10.1006/jmsc.1996.0137
- Coull, K. A., Jermyn, A. S., Newton, A. W., Henderson, G. I., & Hall, W. B. (1989).
  Length/weight relationships for 88 species of fish encountered in the northeast Atlantic.
  Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Scottish Fisheries Research Report
  Number, 43, 82 pp.
- Eryilmaz, L., & Meriç, N. (2005). Some biological characteristics of the tub gurnard,
   *Chelidonichthys lucernus* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Sea of Marmara. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary Animal Sciences, 29*, 367-374.
- Faltas, S. N., & Abdallah, N. (1997). Growth, mortality and relative yield per recruit of two
  triglid species from Egyptian Mediterranean, off Alexandria. *Bulletin of the National Institute*of Oceanography & Fisheries (Egypt), 23, 473–484.
- FAO, (2017). Fisheries Global Information System (FAO-FIGIS) Web site. FIGIS Content
   Management System (CMS). FI Institutional Websites. In: *FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department* [online]. Rome. <u>http://www.fao.org/fishery/figis/cms/en</u> (date accessed, 23 July
   2017)
- Froese, R. (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight–length relationships: history, metaanalysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22*, 241-253. DOI:
- 338 10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x
- Froese, R. & Pauly. D. Editors. (2017). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.
   www.fishbase.org, version (06/2017).
- 341 ICES, (2006). Report of the working group on the assessment of new MOU species (WGNEW),
- 342 13-15 December 2005, ICES Headquarters, ICES Advisory Committee on Fisheries
- 343 *Management, CM2006/ACFM, 11,* 234 pp.

344 ICES, (2010). Report of the Working Group on Assessment of New MoU Species (WGNEW),

- 345 11-15 October 2010, ICES HQ, Denmark. *ICES CM 2010/ACOM: 21*, 185 pp.
- ICES, (2013). Report of the Working Group on Assessment of New MoU Species (WGNEW), 18
   22 March 2013, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ACOM. 189 pp.
- 348 ICES, (2015). Red gurnard (*Chelidonichthys cuculus*) in Subareas III, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII
   349 (Northeast Atlantic). In ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch and effort. Celtic Seas,

350 Greater North Sea, and the Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Coast Ecoregions. ICES Advice,

- 351 2015, Book 9, section 9.3.33.
- ICES, (2016). Grey gurnard (*Eutrigla gurnardus*) in Subarea 4 and divisions 7.d and 3.a (North
  Sea, eastern English Channel, Skagerak and Kattegat). In ICES advice on fishing
  opportunities, catch and effort. ICES Advice, 2016, Book 6, section 6.3.15.
- 355 İlhan, D., & Toğulga, M. (2007). Age, growth and reproduction of tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys*356 *lucernus* Linnaeus, 1758 (Osteichthtyes: Triglidae) from İzmir Bay, Aegean Sea, Eastern
  357 Mediterranean. *Acta Adriaticacta, 48*,173-184.
- Işmen, A., Işmen, P., & Basusta, N., (2004). Age, Growth and Reproduction of Tub Gurnard
   (*Chelidonichthys lucerna* L. 1758) in the Bay of Iskenderun in the Eastern Mediterranean.
   *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, 28,* 289-295.
- Joyce, A. E. (2006). The coastal temperature network and ferry route programme: long-term
   temperature and salinity observations. *Science Series Data Report, Cefas Lowestoft, 43,* 129
   pp.
- Kimura, D. K. (1980). Likelihood methods for the von Bertalanffy growth curve. *Fishery Bulletin (NOAA)*, *77*, 765-776.
- King, M. (2007). Fisheries Biology, Assessment and Management. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Blackwell
   Publishing, Oxford, UK, 382 pp. ISBN 9781405158312
- Marriott, A. L., Latchford, J. W., & McCarthy, I. D. (2010). Population Biology of the red
  gurnard (*Aspitrigla cuculus* L.; Triglidae) in the inshore waters of Eastern Anglesey and
  Northwest Wales. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 26, 504-512. DOI: 10.1111/j.14390426.2010.01455.x
- Papaconstantinou, C. (1984). Age and growth of the yellow gurnard (*Trigla lucerna* L. 1758)
  from the Thermoikos Gulf (Greece) with some comments on its biology. *Fisheries Research*,
  2, 243-255.
- Parker-Humphreys, M. (2004a). Distribution and relative abundance of demersal fishes from
  beam trawl surveys in the Irish Sea (ICES division VIIa) 1993-2001. Science Series *Technical Reports, CEFAS Lowestoft, 120, 68pp.*

Parker-Humphreys, M. (2004b). Distribution and relative abundance of demersal fishes from
 beam trawl surveys in the Bristol Channel (ICES division VIIf) 1993-2001. *Science Series Technical Reports, CEFAS Lowestoft, 123,* 67pp.

Parker-Humphreys, M. (2005). Distribution and relative abundance of demersal fishes from beam
trawl surveys in eastern English Channel (ICES division VIId) and the southern North Sea
(ICES division IVc) 1993-2001. *Science Series Technical Reports, CEFAS Lowestoft, 124,*92pp.

Pauly, D. (1980). On the interrelationship between natural mortality, growth parameters and mean
environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. *Journal du Conseil permanent International pour l' Exploration de la Mer, 39*, 195-212.

Pauly, D., & Munro, J. L. (1984). Once more on the comparison of growth in fish and
invertebrates. *Fishbyte*, 2, 21.

Ragonese, S., Vitale, S., Mazzola, S., Pagliarino, E., & Bianchini, M. L. (2012). Behavior of
some growth performance indexes for exploited Mediterranean hake. *Acta Adriatica*, *53*, 105122.

- Rees. E. I. S. (2004). Subtidal sediment biotopes in Red Wharf and Conwy Bays, North Wales: a
  review of their composition, distribution and ecology. Countryside Council for Wales
  Contract Science Report No 655, 52 pp.
- Rogers, S. I., Millner, R. S., & Mead, T. A. (1998). The distribution and abundance of young fish
  on the east and south coast of England (1981 to 1997). *Science Series Technical Reports, CEFAS, Lowestoft, 108,* 130pp.
- Sampson, D. B., & Al-Jufaily, S. M. (1999). Geographic variation in the maturity and growth
  schedules of English sole along the US west coast. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *54*, 1–17. DOI:
  10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb00608.x
- 402 Serena, F., Voliani. A., & Auteri, R. (1998). Nursery areas and some biological information of
  403 tub gurnard (*Trigla lucerna* L., 1758) off Tuscany coast (Italy). *Rapports et procès-verbaux*404 des réunions Commission internationale pour l'exploration scientifique de la mer
  405 Méditerranée, 35, 482-483.
- 406 Stagioni, M., Montanini, S., & Vallisneri, M. (2012). Feeding of tub gurnard Chelidonichthys
- 407 *lucerna* (Scorpaeniformes: Triglidae) in the north-east Mediterranean. Journal of the Marine
- 408
   Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 92, 605-612. DOI:

   409
   10.1017/S0025315411000671

410 Uckun, D. (2005). Investigation of the age and growth characteristics of the species belonging to

- 411 the family Triglidae in Edremit Bay. *Ege University Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences*,
  412 22, 363–369.
- Vallisneri, K., Montanini, S., & Stagioni, M. (2012). Size at maturity of triglid fishes in the
  Adriatic Sea, northeastern Mediterranean. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 28, 123-125. DOI:
- 415 10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01777.x
- 416 Yang, J. (1982). The dominant fish fauna in the North Sea and its determination. *Journal of Fish*417 *Biology*, 20, 635–643. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1982.tb03973.x
- 418 Zhu, G., Xu, L., Dai, X., &Liu, W. (2011). Growth and mortality rates of yellowfin tuna, *Thunnus*
- 419 *albacares* (Perciformes: Scombridae), in the eastern and central Pacific Ocean. Zoologia 28,
- 420 199–206. DOI: 10.1590/S1984-46702011000200007
- 421

422

423	FIGURE LEGENDS
424	FIGURE 1 Location of sampling sites trawled for tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna in the
425	coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK. Inshore sites: (A) Red Wharf Bay; (B) Conwy Bay; (C)
426	Colwyn Bay; Offshore sites: (D) Colwyn Bay (North of the Constable Bank); (E) Offshore Point
427	Lynas.
428	
429	FIGURE 2 Size/age structure of male and female tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna
430	sampled in October in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK, between 2000 and 2011
431	(excluding 2006). Data are presented for (A) Length-frequency distributions (Total Length, cm)
432	and (B) Age-frequency distributions of female (solid bars) and male (open bars) fish.
433	
434	FIGURE 3 Length-weight relationships for tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna sampled in
435	October in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK, between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006).
436	Data are presented for (A) female, (B) male and (C) male and female combined.
437	
438	FIGURE 4 Length-at-age relationships for female (solid circles) and male (open circles) tub
439	gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna sampled in October in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales,
440	UK, between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006). Data are presented as mean values $\pm$ SD for each
441	age class.
442	
443	FIGURE 5 Maturity ogives for tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna sampled in October in
444	the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK, between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006). Data are
445	presented for (A) female, (B) male and (C) female and male combined. The total length at 50%
446	maturity $(L_{50})$ are indicated on each plot.
447	
448	
449	

450 TABLE 1. Summary of population biology data for tub gurnard Chelidonichthys lucerna. Data are presented for the coefficients

from the length-weight relationship (a, b), the von Bertalanffy growth function ( $L_{\infty}$ , k, t<sub>0</sub>), the growth performance index  $\Phi'$  (Pauly & 451

452 Munro, 1984) and length at 50% maturity (L<sub>50</sub>). All length values are Total Length [\*Fork Length converted to TL, x 1.027 (Freese & Pauly. 2017): \*\* = not known if TL or FL.

453

Sex	а	h	L	k	t	ው'	L <sub>50</sub>
DUA	a	0	$L_{\infty}$	N	ι <sub>0</sub>	Ψ	L <sub>20</sub>
7	0.007	2 00	42.0	0.26	0.17	2.94	20.1
							29.1
							27.7
	0.007	3.10					28.0
	-	-					40.1
4	-	-	66.8	0.32	0.46	3.16	35.5
3+f	-	-	65.0**	0.15	-1.10	2.80**	-
3	0.007	3.04	40.3	0.06	-1.32	2.00	19.2
Ŷ	0.016	2.83	46.2	0.05	-3.03	2.04	21.6
3+₽	0.014	2.86	65.9	0.39	-	3.23	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	∂ 22.1, ♀24.3
ð	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.15*	-	-	-	-	31.7*
			-	-	-	-	26.0*
Å+₽			59.0*	0.11	-2.55	2.58*	-
							∂ 19.0* ♀18.5*
					-		-
					-		
- 1					-0.58		♂ 20.0 ♀18.0
	0.009	2.99			-		∂ 17.0 ♀15.6
	0.03	2 63	10.5	0.27		2.07	0 17.0 + 15.0
0 ' +	0.05	2.05					
₹ <b>+</b> £	0.009	3.02	61.3	0.17	-0.04	2.81	∂ 19.9, ♀17.7
	Sex $3 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad 9 \qquad $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

454 <sup>a</sup>This study. <sup>b</sup>Baron (1985a, 1985b).<sup>c</sup>Colligon (1968). <sup>d</sup>Boudaya et al. (2008). <sup>e</sup>Serena, Voliani & Auteri (1998). <sup>f</sup>Vallisneri, Montanini, & Stagioni

(2012). <sup>g</sup>Papaconstantinou (1984). <sup>h</sup>Ucken (2005). <sup>i</sup>Ilhan & Tolgulga (2007). <sup>j</sup>Cicek, Avsar, Ozyurt, Yeldan & Manasiri.et al. (2008). <sup>k</sup>Altun, 455

Goksu, Tureli, & Erdem (1997). <sup>1</sup>Işmen, Işmen & Başusta (2004). <sup>m</sup>Faltas & Abdullah (1997). <sup>n</sup>Abdullah (2002). <sup>o</sup>Eryilmaz & Meric (2005). 456



FIGURE 1 Location of sampling sites trawled for tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys lucerna* in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK. Inshore sites: (A) Red Wharf Bay; (B) Conwy Bay; (C) Colwyn Bay; Offshore sites: (D) Colwyn Bay (North of the Constable Bank); (E) Offshore Point Lynas.

138x128mm (300 x 300 DPI)





FIGURE 2 Size/age structure of male and female tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys lucerna* sampled in October in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK, between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006). Data are presented for (A) Length-frequency distributions (Total Length, cm) and (B) Age-frequency distributions of female (solid bars) and male (open bars) fish.

231x368mm (300 x 300 DPI)



204x271mm (300 x 300 DPI)





FIGURE 4 Length-at-age relationships for female (solid circles) and male (open circles) tub gurnard *Chelidonichthys lucerna* sampled in October in the coastal waters of Northwest Wales, UK, between 2000 and 2011 (excluding 2006). Data are presented as mean values ± SD for each age class.

99x64mm (300 x 300 DPI)



220x412mm (300 x 300 DPI)