

## Patterns In Working Days Lost By Parents Of Children Newly-Diagnosed With Type 1 Diabetes (T1D)

Ridyard, Colin; Blair, Jo; Hughes, Dyfrig

## Value in Health

DOI:

10.1016/j.jval.2017.08.1086

Published: 20/10/2017

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Ridyard, C., Blair, J., & Hughes, D. (2017). Patterns In Working Days Lost By Parents Of Children Newly-Diagnosed With Type 1 Diabetes (T1D). Value in Health, 20(9), A590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2017.08.1086

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
     You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## PATTERNS IN WORKING DAYS LOST BY PARENTS OF CHILDREN NEWLY-DIAGNOSED WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES (T1D).

Ridyard CH<sup>1</sup>, Blair J<sup>2</sup>, Hughes DA<sup>1</sup>, on behalf of the SCIPI Trial Investigators .<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bangor University, Bangor, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Clinical Trials Research Centre, Liverpool, United Kingdom

OBJECTIVES: Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusions (CSII) are an alternative to multiple daily injections (MDI) for glycaemic control and reducing the risk of developing long term microvascular and macrovascular complications in type 1 diabetes (T1D). The objective of this study as part of a randomised clinical trial (SCIPI, ISRCTN29255275) was to assess patterns of work-related absences, and whether a difference was apparent between treatment groups. METHODS: Patients between 7 months and 15 years of age, newly diagnosed with T1D were eligible to participate in this pragmatic, open, multicentre, parallel group, randomised, controlled trial. Parental work-related absences in days from the preceding 3-months were measured by parental interview at randomisation, 3, 6, 9 and 12 month intervals. RESULTS: Actual time taken off work was reported by parents and/or guardians for 78% of participants (CSII=113, MDI=117). Absence from work between randomisation and 12-month follow-up in parents of patients in the CSII group was 3.6 days (95% CI 2.3, 4.9) compared to 2.7 days (1.9 to 3.4) in the MDI group [difference in means of 0.9 days (95% CI -0.6, 2.5)]. In the 3-month period prior to randomisation, however, absence from work in parents of patients in the CSII group was 5.5 days (95% CI 4.7, 6.3) compared to 4.9 days (4.1 to 5.7) in the MDI group [difference in means of 0.6 days (95% CI -0.5, 1.7)]. CONCLUSIONS: T1D diagnosis for a child can have a temporary disruptive influence on parental work commitments. However, there is no evidence to suggest that one treatment is associated with any more or less work-related absences than the other.