

Gypsum and hydrohalite dynamics in sea ice brines

Butler, Benjamin; Papadimitriou, Stathys; Day, Sarah J.; Kennedy, Hilary

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

DOI: 10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.020

Published: 01/09/2017

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Butler, B., Papadimitriou, S., Day, S. J., & Kennedy, H. (2017). Gypsum and hydrohalite dynamics in sea ice brines. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 17-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.020

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Gypsum and hydrohalite dynamics in sea ice brines

Benjamin M. Butler^{a,b}, Stathys Papadimitriou^b, Sarah J. Day^c, Hilary Kennedy^b

^a The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen AB15 8QH, UK ^bSchool of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey LL59 5AB, UK ^cDiamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Fermi Avenue, Didcot OX11 0DE, UK

Abstract

Mineral authigenesis from their dissolved sea salt matrix is an emergent feature of sea ice brines, fuelled by dramatic equilibrium solubility changes in the large sub-zero temperature range of this cryospheric system on the surface of high latitude oceans. The multi-electrolyte composition of seawater results in the potential for several minerals to precipitate in sea ice, each affecting the in-situ geochemical properties of the sea ice brine system, the habitat of sympagic biota. The solubility of two of these minerals, gypsum $(CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O)$ and hydrohalite $(NaCl \cdot 2H_2O)$, was investigated in high ionic strength multi-electrolyte solutions at below-zero temperatures to examine their dissolution-precipitation dynamics in the sea ice brine system. The gypsum dynamics in sea ice were found to be highly dependent on the solubilities of mirabilite and hydrohalite between 0.2 and -25.0 °C. The hydrohalite solubility between -14.3 and -25.0 °C exhibits a sharp change between undersaturated and supersaturated conditions, and, thus, distinct temperature fields of precipitation and dissolution in sea ice, with saturation occurring at -22.9 °C. The sharp changes in hydrohalite solubility at temperatures ≤ -22.9 °C result from the formation of an ice-hydrohalite aggregate, which alters the structural properties of brine inclusions in cold sea ice. Favourable conditions for gypsum precipitation in sea ice were determined to occur in the region of hydrohalite precipitation below -22.9 °C and in conditions of

Preprint submitted to Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

June 6, 2017

metastable mirabilite supersaturation above -22.9 °C (investigated at -7.1 and -8.2 °C here) but gypsum is unlikely to persist once mirabilite forms at these warmer (> -22.9 °C) temperatures. The dynamics of hydrohalite in sea ice brines based on its experimental solubility were consistent with that derived from thermodynamic modelling (FREZCHEM code) but the gypsum dynamics derived from the code were inconsistent with that indicated by its experimental solubility in this system. Incorporation of hydrohalite solubility into a 1D thermodynamic model of the growth of first-year Arctic sea ice showed its precipitation to initiate once the incoming shortwave radiation dropped to 0 W m⁻², and that it can reach concentrations of 9.9 g kg⁻¹ within the upper and coldest layers of the ice pack. This suggests a limited effect of hydrohalite on the albedo of sea ice. The insights provided by the solubility measurements into the behaviour of gypsum and hydrohalite in the ice–brine system cannot be gleaned from field investigations at present.

Keywords: Gypsum, Hydrohalite, Solubility, Sea ice, FREZCHEM

1 1. Introduction

When sea ice forms in high latitude environments, seawater solutes are 2 expelled from the ice crystal matrix and a concentrated brine forms which be-3 comes trapped as inclusions in the sea ice microstructure (Petrich and Eicken, 2010). At ice-brine equilibrium, the brine inclusions have a temperature-5 dependent size and composition with respect to major seawater ions, the 6 latter further governed by the solubility of their related salts (Marion, 2001). 7 The Na–K–Mg–Ca–SO₄–Cl–H₂O system contains 99.4 % of the total dissolved ions in Standard Seawater by mass (Millero et al., 2008), and, as sea 9 ice temperature changes, solid-solution reactions of the brine with the pre-10 dominantly hydrated salts of these major ions dominate the geochemistry of 11 the system (Gitterman, 1937; Nelson and Thompson, 1954). The combined 12 effect of changing temperature, solute concentration, and mineral (sea salt) 13 solubility in the sea ice brine is that, as sea ice cools, the brines become 14

sequentially supersaturated with respect to a suite of minerals. Each min-15 eral in the sequence has a distinct solubility-temperature relationship and, 16 hence, a distinct equilibrium onset temperature of precipitation from the 17 brines between the freezing point of seawater and its eutectic (Gitterman, 18 1937; Nelson and Thompson, 1954; Marion et al., 1999). These authigenic 19 minerals become part of the heterogeneous sea ice matrix (Light et al., 2003; 20 Dieckmann et al., 2008; Geilfus et al., 2013), contributing to its optical, me-21 chanical, thermal, and structural properties (Assur, 1960; Light et al., 2004; 22 Carns et al., 2015). Precipitation of sea ice minerals also modifies the physico-23 chemical properties of the brine inclusions, including salinity (Butler et al., 24 2016a) and the inter-ionic ratios of dissolved constituents, thus contributing 25 to the physiological challenges for ice-associated micro-organisms (Thomas 26 and Dieckmann, 2002). Further, mineral precipitation features in the salt 27 mass balance of saline cryogenic systems in past and present polar environ-28 ments on Earth (Assur, 1960; Light et al., 2009) and other water-bearing 29 planetary bodies (Marion and Kargel, 2008). 30

In absence of metastable supersaturated conditions (see section 2.3), the 31 suite of minerals that can precipitate within sea ice includes ikaite (CaCO₃ \cdot 32 $6H_2O$, mirabilite (Na₂SO₄·10H₂O), hydrohalite (NaCl·2H₂O), gypsum (CaSO₄· 33 $2H_2O$, sylvite (KCl), MgCl₂ · $12H_2O$, and antarcticite (CaCl₂ · $6H_2O$) at 34 progressively decreasing temperatures. Depending on the exact sequence of 35 mineral precipitates, the eutectic temperature of sea ice can be -36 °C (in 36 association with MgCl₂ \cdot 12H₂O; Gitterman, 1937) or -54 °C (in association 37 with antarcticite; Nelson and Thompson, 1954). 38

Ikaite has been identified in natural and experimental sea ice (Dieckmann et al., 2008; Geilfus et al., 2013; Fischer et al., 2013). The solubility of ikaite in sea ice brines has been investigated to -7.5 °C, and this CaCO₃ polymorph can precipitate below -2 °C depending on the brine pCO_2 (Papadimitriou et al., 2013). Mirabilite solubility in sea ice brines has been investigated to -20.6 °C (Butler et al., 2016b). Sea ice brines become supersaturated

with respect to mirabilite at temperatures ≤ -6.4 °C, and its consequent 45 precipitation causes this mineral to become the main sink of SO_4^{2-} in sea ice 46 brines (Marion et al., 1999; Butler et al., 2016b). Gypsum has long been 47 predicted to precipitate from frozen seawater but information on its stabil-48 ity in sea ice brines is less precise than for ikaite and mirabilite. From the 40 major ion composition of residual brine during the freezing of synthetic sea-50 water to its eutectic, Gitterman (1937) inferred that gypsum precipitation 51 should occur at temperatures below -15 °C. In these experiments, all po-52 tential authigenic minerals were allowed to interact with the residual brine 53 to solid-solution equilibrium. More recently, Marion et al. (1999) combined 54 thermodynamic model predictions (FREZCHEM) with experimental analy-55 sis of seawater brines seeded with gypsum at -15, -20 and -26 °C, and 56 proposed that gypsum in brines becomes supersaturated at -22.2 °C but 57 its precipitation is enhanced below -22.9 °C as a result of brine-mirabilite-58 hydrohalite interaction. Specifically, initial removal of Na^+ and SO_4^{2-} from 59 the brine via mirabilite precipitation between -6.4 and -22.9 °C is followed 60 by additional removal of Na⁺ from the brine through hydrohalite precipita-61 tion upon further cooling. The large Na⁺ change via hydrohalite precipitation 62 results in brine undersaturation with respect to mirabilite, which dissolves 63 when in contact with the brine. The liberated SO_4^{2-} from mirabilite disso-64 lution enhances the degree of gypsum supersaturation of the brine, resulting 65 in enhanced gypsum precipitation (Gitterman, 1937; Marion et al., 1999). 66 In contrast, the effects of gypsum precipitation on brine composition during 67 seawater freezing were not observed by Nelson and Thompson (1954) due to 68 their experimental protocol, in which the minerals were removed from the 69 natural seawater-derived brine as they formed. The sea ice brine systems rep-70 resented by the experimental protocols of Gitterman (1937) and Nelson and 71 Thompson (1954) are considered to be representative of the equilibrium crys-72 tallisation (hereafter full crystallisation) pathway and the fractional crystalli-73 sation pathway, respectively (Marion et al., 1999; Marion and Kargel, 2008; 74

⁷⁵ Butler and Kennedy, 2015). In an experimental approach representative of
⁷⁶ the full crystallisation pathway, the brine-mirabilite-hydrohalite interaction
⁷⁷ was observed during cooling and warming of frozen seawater brines but gyp⁷⁸ sum was not identified Butler and Kennedy (2015).

Gypsum has recently been identified in experimental and natural sea ice 79 at temperatures between -2 and -10 °C, and, in view of this, has been 80 proposed as a potential marine deposit in polar seas (Geilfus et al., 2013). 81 This suggests more complex gypsum dynamics in the sea ice system than 82 construed from the available information about the sub-zero temperature 83 field of gypsum stability in seawater brines outlined above. This issue can 84 be elucidated from a more detailed knowledge of the equilibrium gypsum 85 solubility in sea ice conditions. 86

Here, we investigated the characteristics of the gypsum-brine reaction in 87 sea ice via measurements of the concentration-based (stoichiometric) solubil-88 ity of this mineral in relevant brines at below-zero temperatures. We sought 89 to identify the conditions that can lead to internal gypsum authigenesis and 90 stability in the large below-zero temperature and salinity ranges of sea ice 91 brines. Knowledge of the hydrohalite dynamics in the sea ice system is es-92 sential for this purpose given the common ion effect on mineral stability of 93 the brine–gypsum–mirabilite-hydrohalite interaction outlined earlier and the 94 available information about mirabilite solubility in the sea ice system in our 95 previous work (Butler et al., 2016b). Thermodynamic modelling (Marion 96 et al., 1999) and experimental observations from the Gitterman (1937) and 97 Nelson and Thompson (1954) experiments predict that hydrohalite precipi-98 tates in sea ice below -22.9 °C. Its presence has been identified in laboratory 90 experiments on frozen seawater brines (Butler and Kennedy, 2015) and in 100 sea ice (Light et al., 2003), and affects the optical, mechanical, and frictional 101 properties of sea ice (Light et al., 2004; Carns et al., 2015). From the onset, 102 hydrohalite precipitation is rapid and by -30 °C, 87 % of dissolved NaCl is 103 removed from the brine as a result (Richardson, 1976). In frozen seawater 104

from a starting absolute salinity of 35 g kg⁻¹_{solution} (in the absence of brine drainage), hydrohalite concentrations can approach 4 % by mass by -40 °C (Richardson, 1976; Light et al., 2003). Precipitation of hydrohalite has been shown to be closely associated with enhanced ice formation, which substantially reduces the volume of brine remaining in the ice (Richardson, 1976; Butler and Kennedy, 2015).

The current investigation expands upon recent work on mirabilite solu-111 bility in similar conditions (Butler et al., 2016b). The solubility of both gyp-112 sum and hydrohalite was measured to a minimum temperature of -25.0 °C. 113 Because of the large increase in hydrohalite solubility with increasing tem-114 perature, the maximum experimental temperature was -14.3 °C while that 115 for gypsum solubility was 0.2 °C, with experiments designed to investigate 116 the behaviour of gypsum under conditions equivalent to fractional and full 117 crystallisation pathways. Finally, given the dominance of hydrohalite as a 118 mineral precipitate in cold sea ice, its solubility data set was incorporated 119 into a 1D model of the growth of snow-free first-year sea ice to allow eval-120 uation of its depth distribution and temporal dynamics. The dynamics of 121 gypsum are shown here to be too complex in its dependence on mirabilite 122 and hydrohalite dynamics for this modelling approach. 123

124 2. Methods

¹²⁵ 2.1. Synthetic mineral preparation and synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction

Synthetic gypsum, hydrohalite, and mirabilite were used for seeding the solid-solution equilibrium reaction and were prepared based on the methods described in Wang et al. (2012), Light et al. (2009), and Butler et al. (2016b), respectively. All synthetic solids were stored in screw-capped bottles; gypsum was stored at room temperature, while hydrohalite and mirabilite were stored at -20 °C.

The mineralogy of the synthetic solids and of some of the mineral aggregates recovered following solid-solution reaction was characterised at -30 °C

using synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) on Beamline I11 at 134 Diamond Light Source (Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Oxford-135 shire, UK). Published cell parameters for hydrohalite (Klewe and Pederson, 136 1974), gypsum (Boeyens and Ichharam, 2002), and mirabilite (Brand et al., 137 2009) were used as an initial starting point for LeBail (Le Bail et al., 1988) 138 and Rietveld (Rietveld, 1969) refinements in TOPAS-Academic V6 software 139 (Coelho, 2012). Based on the semi-quantitative (Hillier, 2003) Rietveld re-140 finements, it was established that all batches of synthetic mineral seeds dis-141 played ≥ 99 % purity. 142

143 2.2. Closed bottle incubations and brine analysis

Mineral solubility was determined with closed bottle incubations of nat-144 ural or synthetic seawater and brines with synthetic solids to solid-solution 145 equilibrium as previously used for this purpose (Mucci, 1983; Papadimitriou 146 et al., 2013; Butler et al., 2016b). The time to solid-solution equilibrium 147 was determined by monitoring the change in Ca^{2+} with time for gypsum 148 and in Cl⁻ for hydrohalite during incubation (protocols described in sec-149 tion 2.4). Changes sustained within the analytical uncertainty over 1 week 150 were taken to indicate attainment of solid-solution equilibrium. Using this 151 approach the gypsum experiments were incubated for between 41 and 90 152 days, and hydrohalite experiments incubated for between 24 and 36 days. 153 All incubation experiments were conducted in triplicate, with bottles fully 154 submersed in constant temperature circulating chillers and shaken by hand 155 daily to facilitate exposure of the mineral seed to the bulk solution. Incu-156 bation temperatures were controlled by Grant RC 1400G recirculating baths 157 and Grant TX120/TX150 circulators coupled with Grant R2/R3 refrigera-158 tion units. Chiller temperatures were monitored at thirty-minute intervals 159 using data loggers (Tinytag aquatic 2 TG4100). 160

Detailed preparation protocols for the natural and synthetic seawater brines can be found in Butler et al. (2016b). The synthetic seawater and brines were prepared with their major ionic composition (NaCl, Na₂SO₄,

CaCl₂, MgCl₂, KCl) a linear function of salinity relative to the recipe of 164 salinity 35 synthetic seawater in Papadimitriou et al. (2016). Natural brines 165 were prepared from local seawater (Menai Strait, 53.1806°N, 4.2333°W) by 166 freezing. The major ion composition (as total ion concentrations) of natural 167 and synthetic experimental solutions was fully quantified before incubation 168 to determine their initial saturation state with respect to the investigated 169 minerals, and after incubation for the determination of the relevant mineral 170 solubility. 171

The major ion composition of the samples was determined within 4 weeks 172 from sampling, with refrigerated storage before analysis. To eliminate the 173 risk of mineral precipitation during storage, all samples were immediately 174 diluted gravimetrically to a practical salinity of 35 with deionised water. 175 The Na^+ and K^+ concentrations were determined by ion chromatography 176 on a Dionex Ion Exchange Chromatograph ICS 2100. The $\rm Mg^{2+}$ and $\rm Ca^{2+}$ 177 concentrations were determined by potentiometric titration as described by 178 Papadimitriou et al. (2013). The Cl⁻ concentration was determined by gravi-179 metric Mohr titration with 0.3 M AgNO₃ standardised against NaCl purified 180 by recrystallisation. The SO_4^{2-} concentration was determined by precipita-181 tion as $BaSO_4$ in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) followed by gravi-182 metric titration with $MgCl_2$ (Howarth, 1978). Repeat measurements of the 183 major ion composition of local seawater were used as an internal standard 184 relative to the composition of Standard Seawater (Millero et al., 2008). This 185 comparison provided an estimate of measurement accuracy and precision rel-186 ative to Standard Seawater, summarised in Table 1. 187

¹⁸⁸ 2.3. Determination of saturation state and stoichiometric solubility products

¹⁸⁹ Mineral solubility is defined here as the equilibrium concentration-based ¹⁸⁹ (stoichiometric) solubility product at mineral–solution equilibrium, $K_{\text{sp,gypsum}}^* =$ ¹⁹¹ $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{eqm}}[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{eqm}}$ and $K_{\text{sp,hydrohalite}}^* = [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{eqm}}[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{eqm}}$, with brackets de-¹⁹² noting total ion concentrations and 'eqm' subscripts denoting equilibrium ¹⁹³ conditions. The K_{sp}^* is a function of temperature, ionic strength (salinity),

	Standard Seawater	Measurements $\pm \sigma$	$\Delta \pm \sigma$
	mm	nol kg_{sol}^{-1}	%
Na ⁺	468.97	$470.53 \pm 7.97 \ (n = 29)$	0.33 ± 1.70
K^+	10.21	$10.11 \pm 0.05 \ (n=9)$	-0.97 ± 0.49
Mg^{2+}	52.82	$52.63 \pm 0.54 \ (n = 82)$	-0.36 ± 1.02
Ca^{2+}	10.28	$10.24 \pm 0.14 \ (n = 82)$	-0.39 ± 1.36
Cl^-	545.87	$548.48 \pm 6.90 \ (n = 38)$	0.48 ± 1.26
SO_4^{2-}	28.24	$28.34 \pm 0.41 \ (n = 35)$	0.35 ± 1.45

Table 1: The accuracy and precision of brine analyses estimated using repeat measurements of local seawater (normalised to practical salinity = 35) as an internal standard relative to the composition of Standard Seawater (Millero et al., 2008).

and solution composition (Papadimitriou et al., 2013; Butler et al., 2016b), and is hereafter reported as the negative common logarithm (pK_{sp}^*) on the per kg_{solution} basis of the concentration measurements. The pK_{sp}^* values of each mineral were fitted to non-linear functions of temperature (T, in K;eq. 1), using Regression in the Data Analysis ToolPak of Microsoft Excel, with the fitted regression coefficients A to D given in Table 2.

$$pK_{\rm sp}^*(T) = A + BT + CT^2 + DT^3, \tag{1}$$

The saturation state of samples was determined as $\Omega = \text{ICP}/K_{sp}^*$ (Berner, 200 1980), with ICP = total ion concentration product prior to seeding (i.e. 201 $[Ca^{2+}][SO_4^{2-}]$ for gypsum, and $[Na^+][Cl^-]$ for hydrohalite) and K_{sp}^* as above. 202 When $\Omega < 1$ the initial solution is undersaturated with respect to the min-203 eral. When $\Omega > 1$ the initial solution is supersaturated with respect to the 204 mineral. Supersaturation is a metastable state that results in mineral pre-205 cipitation if suitable nucleation sites are provided. The Ω values were fitted 206 to non-linear functions of temperature (T, in K; eq. 1), with fitted regression 207 coefficients also given in Table 2. Each solid–solution equilibrium system 208 was defined by the solid phases (including ice, if present) in contact with the 209 brine at equilibrium. For example, a brine attaining equilibrium in contact 210

with mirabilite and gypsum will be defined as a brine-mirabilite-gypsum equilibrium system.

The solubility of gypsum was determined in both natural and synthetic 213 brines between 0.2 and -5.0 °C to investigate potential matrix effects on the 214 $K_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$. The differences between $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ in natural and synthetic brine 215 experiments over this temperature range were generally within the analytical 216 uncertainty (Figure 1; Table 3) and, therefore, only synthetic brines were 217 used at temperatures below $-5.0~^\circ\mathrm{C}$. Given the dominance of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ 218 in the composition of seawater and their tendency to remain as free ions in 219 solution (Millero et al., 2008; Marion and Kargel, 2008), the determination of 220 $K^*_{\rm sp.hvdrohalite}$ only in synthetic brines, as done here, was considered to provide 221 values representative of those in natural seawater-derived brines. 222

223 2.4. Incubation protocols

Due to the large investigated temperature range and the sequential precipitation of minerals from the brine at various points in this range, three different protocols were employed for the bottle incubations.

Protocol-1: Gypsum solubility. Between 0 and -6 °C, major ions in 227 seawater and its brines during freezing (absolute salinity, $S_{\rm A} = 35$ to 100 g 228 $kg_{solution}^{-1}$; hereafter, g kg⁻¹) behave conservatively, and so, synthetic solutions 229 were prepared at room temperature with Standard Seawater major ionic ra-230 tios and composition (Millero et al., 2008) and were seeded with gypsum. 231 Solutions of $S_{\rm A} \ge 35~{\rm g~kg^{-1}}$ were incubated within 0.3 °C of their freez-232 ing point, which was estimated using the equation from Millero and Leung 233 (1976).234

Protocol-2: Gypsum and hydrohalite solubilities. Protocol-2 was used for incubations between -6 and -22 °C to take into account the ice-brinemirabilite reaction, which is documented in this temperature range (Butler et al., 2016b). Conservative seawater brines were prepared ($S_{\rm A} = 75$ to 225 g kg⁻¹), cooled to at least 2 °C colder than their freezing point, and were incubated with mirabilite seed until attainment of ice-brine-mirabilite equi-

	Ra	unge	A	В	C	D	R^2	α
	þ	Ν						
$pK_{ m sp,gypsum}^*$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \text{ to } -1.8 \\ -1.8 \text{ to } -5.0 \\ -5.0 \text{ to } -22.2a \end{array}$	273.35 to 271.35 271.35 to 268.15 268.15 to 268.15	7.8871287e2 2.1151892e3 _1 8167170e3	-5.7598725 -1.5748129e1 2.137898561	1.0554382e-2 2.9348641e-2 3620854a_2	1_0802014	0.868 0.999 0.003	0.005
	0.2 to -1.8	273.35 to 271.35	9.4425558e2	-6.9204629	1.2682971e-2		0.949	0.003
Ω_{gypsum}	-1.8 to -6.8	271.35 to 266.35	-1.4732875e5	1.6448060e3	-6.1202247	7.5900980e-3	0.999	0.010
	$-0.8 \text{ to } -22.2^{\circ}$	200.35 to 250.95	3.3343577e3	-3.858474261	1.4835920e-1	-1.90019086-4	0.990	010.0
nK*	-14 to -22.8	259.15 to 250.35	1.2839471	-9.4961523e-3			1.000	0.000
$P^{\star \star}$ sp, hydrohalite	-22.8 to -26.0	250.35 to 247.15	-1.2285077e2	1.0287111	-2.1664221e-3		1.000	0.001
$\Omega_{ m hydrohalite}$	-14 to -22.8	259.15 to 250.35	7.8679083e1	-5.50716264e-1	9.6040378e-4		1.000	0.000
ſ	-22.0 00 -20.0	C1.147 01 CC.0CZ	CAOC / 0010.7	TACONG0471-	Z-90001001.2		1.000	100.0
Hydrohalite ($\sigma k\sigma^{-1}$)	-22.9 to -25.0	250.25 to 248.15	292.1993911e5	-3.5284595e5	1.4202904e3	-1.9057022	1.000	0.168
1 9tr 9) Automatic (9 tr	-25.0 to -36.0	248.15 to 237.15	2.1156841e5	-2.6436200e3	11.0142323	-1.529829e-2	0.998	0.153
^a Extrapolated	to -22.8 °C j	in figures 1 an	d 2					

$\delta K^*_{\rm sp}$ and Ω for gypsum and hydrohalite, and the mass	l as functions of temperature (K).
nge in	₅ ⁻¹), а
e char	5 g kg
ng th	A = 3
scribi	er (S)
$1 \mathrm{de}$	eawat
lation	zen se
h equ	m fro
e wit	ie fro
for us	ipitat
ients	o prec
oeffic	lite to
5: C	lroha
Table	of hyc

librium. The brine was then extracted by in-situ filtration using WHATMAN 241 0.2 μ m syringe filters in line with Teflon tubing extensions. The filtrate was 242 transferred to triplicate 25 ml bottles and was returned to the circulating 243 chiller seeded with either gypsum or hydrohalite until equilibrium had been 244 attained. It is worth emphasising that hydrohalite and ice cannot co-exist 245 above -22.9 °C (Marion et al., 1999; Marion and Kargel, 2008), therefore 246 all hydrohalite solubility experiments carried out using protocol-2 represent 247 the extent to which hydrohalite would dissolve (i.e. the degree of undersat-248 uration) in the solutions extracted from an ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium 249 system. 250

Protocol-3: Gypsum and Hydrohalite solubilities. Protocol-3 was followed 251 at the coldest experimental temperatures (-23.7 and -25.0 °C) to circum-252 vent the substantial reduction in brine volume following hydrohalite precipi-253 tation. Conservative seawater brines were prepared to $S_{\rm A} \sim 225 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ in 500 254 ml bottles and were cooled to -21 °C when mirabilite seed was added, with 255 ice formation and mirabilite precipitation ensuing. At this stage, the exper-256 imental medium included an ice layer at the brine surface and a mirabilite 257 layer at the bottom of the bottle. The ice-brine-mirabilite system was then 258 cooled to either -23.7 or -25.0 °C. On these occasions, buoyant, irregular, 259 mottled bright white crystal flocs formed during cooling to target tempera-260 ture and filled the bottle at thermal equilibrium, consistent with reports of 261 ice-hydrohalite aggregates (Nelson and Thompson, 1954; Assur, 1960; Mc-262 Carthy et al., 2007; Light et al., 2009). The residual brine was trapped 263 within the aggregate and was extracted by drainage into a hole bored into 264 the aggregate with a steel rod. The extracted brine was considered rep-265 resentative of the fractional crystallisation pathway (section 1) because the 266 mirabilite precipitate at the bottom of the bottle was isolated from further re-267 action with the emergent ice-hydrohalite aggregate and its interstitial brine 268 (previously at equilibrium with the mirabilite). The extracted brine pro-269 vided the measurements for the determination of $K_{\rm sp,hvdrohalite}^*$ at -23.7 and 270

-25.0 °C, as well as aliquots for further incubations and the determination of 271 the $K^*_{sp,gypsum}$ at these temperatures. To this end, the extracted brines were 272 incubated with gypsum seed with and without mirabilite seed. Gypsum sol-273 ubility was therefore determined under two different conditions at -23.7 and 274 -25.0 °C: (i) in the absence of mirabilite seed, representative of the fractional 275 crystallisation pathway of restricted brine-mirabilite interaction limited by 276 the ice-hydrohalite aggregate; and (ii) in the presence of mirabilite seed, rep-277 resentative of the full crystallisation pathway of unrestricted brine-mirabilite 278 interaction. 279

Additional protocol: Gypsum solubility. Although seawater brines be-280 come supersaturated with respect to mirabilite at $T \leq -6.4$ °C, persistence 281 of metastable mirabilite supersaturation has been observed between -7 and 282 -8 °C in the absence of mirabilite seed (Butler et al., 2016b). To examine 283 the potential for gypsum precipitation in this narrow temperature window of 284 metastable mirabilite supersaturation in sea ice brines, bottle incubations of 285 conservative solutions were undertaken at $-7.1 \, {}^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ (S_{\mathrm{A}} = 113.9 \, \mathrm{g \ kg^{-1}})$ and 286 -8.2 °C ($S_{\rm A} = 125.1 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) in an adaptation of protocol-2 that omitted 287 the addition of mirabilite seed. At these temperatures, the solutions were 288 supersaturated with respect to mirabilite and their ionic composition repre-280 sented that at ice-brine equilibrium. The solutions were seeded with gypsum, 290 the $K^*_{sp,gypsum}$ was determined at brine–gypsum equilibrium, and mirabilite 291 seed was added to the incubations, resulting in mirabilite precipitation and 292 dissolution of the gypsum precipitate/seed, which remained in excess. The 293 system was then allowed to attain brine-mirabilite-gypsum equilibrium, i.e., 294 the same system investigated using the original protocol-2, but with reversed 295 seeding, from which the $K^*_{\text{sp,gypsum}}$ was again determined. 296

297 2.5. FREZCHEM modelling of gypsum and hydrohalite solubilities

As a means of relating the experimental $K_{\text{sp,gypsum}}^*$ and $K_{\text{sp,hydrohalite}}^*$ with their thermodynamic counterparts at infinite dilution in pure water, their values were compared with those calculated from the thermodynamic database

Table 3: The absolute salinity (S_A) of incubated brines prior to seeding with gypsum, incubation temperature at point of sampling, Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} concentrations at equilibrium, the resulting measured $pK_{sp,gypsum}^*$ and Ω_{gypsum} , and the ΔCa^{2+} observed over the course of each experiment included as a measure of reaction direction ($\Delta Ca^{2+} = Ca_{eqm}^{2+} - Ca_{initial}^{2+}$). The S_A measured between 0.2 and -6.0 °C are at ice-brine equilibrium, while those at -6.8 °C and below were measured at ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium. In each case, equilibrium was attained by gypsum dissolution, evidenced by positive ΔCa^{2+} values. Tabulated errors are calculated as the standard deviation of measurements from triplicate experiments.

Exp. #	$S_{\rm A}$	T	Ca^{2+}	SO_4^{2-}			ΔCa^{2+}
	$g kg_{sol}^{-1}$	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	mmol	$ m kg_{sol}^{-1}$	$pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$	Ω_{gypsum}	mmol kg_{sol}^{-1}
NG-0	35.1	0.2	$27.8 {\pm} 0.2$	$48.3 {\pm} 0.1$	2.872 ± 0.002	$0.219{\pm}0.003$	17.6
SG-0	35.3	0.2	$27.8{\pm}0.2$	$47.1{\pm}0.7$	$2.883 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.224{\pm}0.007$	17.4
NG-1	35.3	-1.1	28.1 ± 0.4	$46.4 {\pm} 0.4$	$2.884{\pm}0.009$	$0.228 {\pm} 0.004$	17.7
SG-1	35.3	-1.1	$28.4{\pm}0.2$	$46.4{\pm}0.2$	$2.880 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.223 {\pm} 0.007$	18.1
NG-2	35.2	-1.8	$28.2{\pm}0.1$	$44.9{\pm}1.0$	$2.897 {\pm} 0.011$	$0.247{\pm}0.008$	17.7
SG-2	35.2	-1.8	28.1 ± 0.2	$44.6 {\pm} 0.3$	$2.902 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.244{\pm}0.004$	17.4
NG-3	53.2	-2.9	$31.7{\pm}0.5$	$58.7{\pm}0.5$	$2.730{\pm}0.011$	$0.357 {\pm} 0.012$	16.1
SG-3	53.0	-2.9	$31.0{\pm}0.4$	58.5 ± 1.3	2.742 ± 0.014	$0.362{\pm}0.004$	15.7
NG-4	70.3	-3.9	$32.6{\pm}0.1$	$68.9{\pm}1.1$	$2.648 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.539{\pm}0.001$	12.1
SG-4	70.4	-3.9	$32.1{\pm}0.3$	$68.6{\pm}0.4$	$2.658 {\pm} 0.006$	$0.512{\pm}0.005$	11.3
NG-5	85.2	-5.0	$30.9{\pm}0.2$	$76.8 {\pm} 1.7$	$2.625 {\pm} 0.013$	$0.740{\pm}0.022$	6.0
SG-5	85.0	-5.0	30.2 ± 0.1	$78.5 {\pm} 0.5$	2.625 ± 0.004	$0.733 {\pm} 0.018$	5.4
SG-6	99.7	-6.0	$31.8{\pm}0.1$	81.5 ± 1.3	$2.587 {\pm} 0.008$	$0.912{\pm}0.010$	7.1
SG-7	111.1	-6.8	$34.6{\pm}0.2$	$73.5{\pm}0.4$	$2.595 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.979 {\pm} 0.005$	0.4
SG-8	120.6	-7.9	$38.9 {\pm} 0.5$	$65.6 {\pm} 0.3$	$2.593 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.965 {\pm} 0.005$	1.0
SG-9	131.6	-8.9	42.1 ± 0.2	$61.6{\pm}0.5$	$2.587 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.964{\pm}0.005$	1.1
SG-10	140.6	-10.1	$46.1{\pm}0.1$	$55.2 {\pm} 0.1$	$2.595 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.916{\pm}0.007$	1.8
SG-12	156.9	-11.8	$53.0{\pm}0.3$	$45.8{\pm}0.6$	$2.615 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.898 {\pm} 0.010$	2.8
SG-13	165.2	-12.8	$61.6{\pm}0.3$	$39.1{\pm}0.2$	$2.618 {\pm} 0.001$	$0.876 {\pm} 0.006$	7.3
SG-14	173.8	-14.3	$65.3{\pm}0.7$	$32.4 {\pm} 0.5$	$2.674 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.818 {\pm} 0.007$	9.4
SG-15	180.7	-15.1	$69.1{\pm}0.3$	$30.1{\pm}0.4$	$2.683 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.795 {\pm} 0.023$	11.2
SG-18	200.2	-17.5	$76.1{\pm}0.7$	$23.7 {\pm} 0.4$	2.745 ± 0.006	$0.764{\pm}0.042$	11.7
SG-21	218.7	-20.6	$88.5 {\pm} 1.0$	$17.3{\pm}0.2$	$2.815 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.750{\pm}0.011$	17.1
SG-22	225.3	-22.2	$92.3{\pm}0.4$	$15.2{\pm}0.4$	$2.852 {\pm} 0.009$	$0.767 {\pm} 0.056$	17.2

of the FREZCHEM (version 15.1) with ice, mirabilite, gypsum, and hydrohalite the only solids enabled in the mineral database. The FREZCHEM code is frequently used to investigate geochemical reactions in the cryosphere. It is based on the Pitzer formalism of ionic interactions in concentrated electrolyte solutions and includes ion pairs (CaCO₃⁰, MgCO₃⁰, MgOH⁺) with high for-

mation constants (He and Morse, 1993), while it also accounts for the SO_4^{2-} -306 HSO_4^- equilibrium (Marion and Grant, 1994; Marion and Farren, 1999). The 307 code runs replicated the experimental starting solution compositions (i.e. 308 prior to seeding) studied in the protocols outlined in section 2.4. Because 309 the code is predominately designed to simulate mineral-solution equilib-310 rium from precipitation, excess gypsum or hydrohalite were added to the 311 FREZCHEM input files when the brine–gypsum and brine–hydrohalite equi-312 librium was simulated for undersaturated experimental brines. The deriva-313 tion of $K^*_{\rm sp,gypsum}$ and $K^*_{\rm sp,hydrohalite}$ from the code output was based on that 314 used for ikaite (Papadimitriou et al., 2013) and mirabilite (Butler et al., 315 2016b) solubilities in sea ice brines. 316

317 2.6. First-year sea ice modelling

The FREZCHEM code was also run to simulate freezing of Standard 318 Seawater (Millero et al., 2008) in order to determine the mass of hydrohalite 310 precipitate as a function of temperature between -22.9 °C and -36.0 °C, i.e., 320 the temperature field between the onset of hydrohalite precipitation and the 321 seawater eutectic in the presence of gypsum and mirabilite. The fractional 322 crystallisation pathway (section 1) was used for this computation, which does 323 not allow further brine-mirabilite reaction during hydrohalite precipitation, 324 and so, it reflected the hydrohalite solubility experiments carried out using 325 protocol-3 (section 2.4). As will be shown, the FREZCHEM code accurately 326 computes hydrohalite equilibria in sea ice brines (section 3.4), thus justi-327 fying its use here. The mass of hydrohalite precipitate extracted from the 328 FREZCHEM output at 0.25 °C cooling steps was incorporated into a 1D 329 numerical model of first-year sea ice (Cox and Weeks, 1988) as described for 330 mirabilite in Butler et al. (2016b). The 1D model calculated the thickness 331 and bulk $S_{\rm A}$ depth profile of the ice pack as it grows in autumn-winter, and 332 accounts for brine drainage in permeable sea ice (T > -5 °C) and the effect 333 of hydrohalite precipitation on brine salinity (T < -22.9 °C). The model was 334 used to estimate the hydrohalite mass per unit mass of sea ice (0.5 cm depth)335

increments) at temperature $T[H_i(T), \text{ in g kg}^{-1} \text{ sea ice}]$ after desalination by

$$H_i(T) = H(T) \frac{S_i}{S_{sw}}$$
⁽²⁾

where H(T) is the hydrohalite mass at temperature T that would precipitate from 1 kg of Standard Seawater ($S_A = 35.165 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) based on the FREZCHEM model output, S_i is the bulk S_A of the ice as calculated by the 1D model, and S_{sw} is the S_A of Standard Seawater. The incorporation of hydrohalite precipitation dynamics into the sea ice model allowed evaluation of its temporal depth distribution in sea ice as the modelled ice pack grows throughout an Arctic winter.

344 3. Results

$_{345}$ 3.1. Gypsum solubility and saturation state between 0.2 and $-22.2 \degree C$

The positive ΔCa^{2+} values during protocol-1 and protocol-2 experiments 346 (Table 3) indicate that the brine–gypsum equilibrium was attained by disso-347 lution of the gypsum seed throughout the 0.2 to -22.2 °C temperature range, 348 and so the $pK^*_{\rm sp.gypsum}$ was determined from undersaturation. This becomes 349 evident in Ω_{gypsum} remaining below 1 throughout this temperature range, 350 approaching, but not exceeding, saturation ($\Omega = 1$) only at -6.8 °C (Fig-351 ure 2; Table 3). The $pK_{sp,gypsum}^*$ increased from 2.878 to 2.900 between 0.2 352 and -1.8 °C, which represents a slight reduction in gypsum solubility with 353 decreasing temperature at constant salinity in seawater (Figure 1; Table 3). 354 Between -1.8 and -6.0 °C, the $pK^*_{sp,gypsum}$ decreased from 2.900 to 2.587 355 with decreasing temperature in brines representative of conservative physi-356 cal solute concentration. The $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ was relatively stable $(2.591 \pm 0.004,$ 357 n = 5) between -6.8 and -10.1 °C, and then increased measurably and 358 monotonically to 2.852 between -10.1 and -22.2 °C in conditions of decreas-359 ing temperature, increasing ionic strength, and increasingly reduced SO_4^{2-} 360 via mirabilite precipitation from the brine before and during the gypsum 361



Figure 1: Measured $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ in equilibrium sea ice brines plotted alongside output from the FREZCHEM model both for the seawater (0 to -1.8 °C), ice-brine (-1.8 to -6.4 °C), and ice-brine-mirabilite (-6.4 to -22.2 °C) equilibrium systems. Also plotted are the $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ measurements in full and equilibrium crystallisation conditions at -23.7 and -25.0 °C (see sections 2.4 and 3.3). The vertical line at -1.8 °C marks the point of which the solubility product begins to become affected by coupled changes in salinity and temperature as a result of freezing. The fitted line is based only on measurements from synthetic and natural brines (square and circle markers) between 0.2 and -22.2 °C. B = Brine, G = Gypsum, M = Mirabilite.

solubility experiments (Figure 1; Table 3). Mirabilite seed was not present 362 during the gypsum solubility experiments in this temperature range, but 363 the initial brine equilibrated with mirabilite before the gypsum solubility 364 experiments (protocol-2) and, also, during the experiments. The SO_4^{2-} addi-365 tion to the mirabilite-equilibrated brine via gypsum seed dissolution resulted 366 in mirabilite supersaturation and further mirabilite precipitation from this 367 brine. Mirabilite as a result of this gypsum dissolution reaction was identified 368 by XRPD analysis of the solids recovered at the end of these experiments 369 (section 2.1. The $K_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ between -6.8 and -22.2 °C therefore represents 370

³⁷¹ the brine–mirabilite–gypsum equilibrium.

3.2. Gypsum dynamics in conditions of metastable mirabilite supersaturation 372 In the experiments carried out under metastable conditions of mirabilite 373 supersaturation at -7.1 and -8.2 °C, the initial brines had a composition 374 equivalent to that resulting from physical solute concentration at ice-brine 375 equilibrium. Mirabilite would almost certainly not precipitate by homoge-376 neous nucleation in these conditions, and so, these brines had $\Omega_{\text{mirabilite}} =$ 377 1.252 and 1.717 at -7.1 and -8.2 °C, respectively, calculated from the 378 $\Omega_{\text{mirabilite}} - T$ relationship in Butler et al. (2016b). Seeding these brines with 379 gypsum resulted in a decrease in Ca²⁺ and SO₄²⁻, with a Δ Ca²⁺: Δ SO₄²⁻ = 380 0.90 ± 0.05 (Table 4), indicating gypsum precipitation. Based on the pK_{gypsum}^* 381 determined at the brine–gypsum equilibrium of these experiments (Table 4), 382 the saturation state of the initial ice-brine equilibrium condition was $\Omega_{gypsum} =$ 383 1.173 at -7.1 °C and 1.379 at -8.2 °C. The measured ΔCa^{2+} indicates that 384 the amount of gypsum precipitate at brine-gypsum equilibrium was 3.8 mmol 385 kg^{-1} at -7.1 °C and 7.5 mmol kg^{-1} at -8.2 °C. 386

The subsequent seeding of the brine–gypsum equilibrium solutions with 387 mirabilite in the presence of the gypsum seed/precipitate resulted in an in-388 crease in Ca^{2+} and further reduction of SO_4^{2-} (Table 4), implying concurrent 389 gypsum dissolution and mirabilite precipitation by heterogeneous nucleation, 390 respectively. This suggests that, despite the SO_4^{2-} removal from solution as 391 gypsum, the brines at brine–gypsum equilibrium remained in a metastable 392 supersaturated state with respect to mirabilite. It is also apparent that the 393 consequent SO_4^{2-} removal to mirabilite resulted in $\Omega_{gypsum} < 1$, facilitat-394 ing gypsum dissolution. Based on the Ca²⁺ difference between the brine-395 gypsum and brine-gypsum-mirabilite equilibrium (Table 4), the amount of 396 gypsum that dissolved in the presence of mirabilite seed was 4.7 and 9.1 397 mmol kg⁻¹ at -7.1 and -8.2 °C, respectively. This amount of gypsum disso-398 lution exceeds the amount of gypsum precipitation at sustained metastable 390 mirabilite supersaturation and brine–gypsum equilibrium (computed in the 400



Figure 2: The measured Ω_{gypsum} in equilibrium sea ice brines between 0.2 and -22.2 °C plotted alongside the output from the FREZCHEM model. The vertical line at -1.8 °C marks the point of which the saturation state begins to become affected by coupled changes in salinity and temperature as a result of freezing, while that at -6.4 °C marks the onset of mirabilite precipitation. The horizontal line at $\Omega_{gypsum} = 1$ is used to highlight the transition between undersaturation ($\Omega_{gypsum} < 1$) and supersaturation ($\Omega_{gypsum} > 1$).

⁴⁰¹ previous paragraph). This then indicates that gypsum should be unstable ⁴⁰² once mirabilite begins to form. The $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$ at brine–mirabilite–gypsum ⁴⁰³ equilibrium obtained from these experiments was in close agreement with ⁴⁰⁴ the $pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^* - T$ relationship outlined in section 3.1 (Figure 1; Tables 3 ⁴⁰⁵ and 4). This indicates that identical chemical equilibrium conditions can be ⁴⁰⁶ attained independently of the order of mineral seeding/nucleation.

407 3.3. Gypsum solubility in the fractional and full crystallisation pathways

The brines used for the gypsum solubility measurements in conditions representative of fractional and full crystallisation pathways at -23.7 and -25.0 °C were extracted from the ice-hydrohalite aggregate in protocol-3

Table 4: Equilibrium measurements of Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} concentrations from experiments that investigated gypsum solubility in conditions of metastable mirabilite supersaturation at -7.1 and -8.2 °C. * denotes solutions that were supersaturated with respect to mirabilite at the point of measurement. Tabulated errors are calculated as the standard deviation of measurements from triplicate experiments.

	T	Ca^{2+}	SO_4^{2-}	
System	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	mmo	kg_{sol}^{-1}	$pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$
Ice-brine*	-7.1	33.8 ± 0.1	91.9 ± 0.6	
$\operatorname{Brine-gypsum}^*$	-7.1	30.0 ± 0.1	88.0 ± 0.6	2.578 ± 0.002
Brine–gypsum–mirabilite	-7.1	34.7 ± 0.1	73.2 ± 0.2	2.595 ± 0.001
Ice-brine*	-8.2	37.1 ± 0.4	100.7 ± 0.2	
$\operatorname{Brine-gypsum}^*$	-8.2	29.6 ± 0.5	91.6 ± 1.0	2.567 ± 0.011
Brine-gypsum-mirabilite	-8.2	38.7 ± 0.4	65.3 ± 0.3	2.597 ± 0.006

experiments (section 2.4). These brines were at equilibrium with ice and 411 hydrohalite but supersaturated with respect to gypsum because, when incu-412 bated only with gypsum seed (representative of the fractional crystallisation 413 pathway), a negative ΔCa^{2+} was observed, implying 6.8 and 9.3 mmol kg⁻¹ 414 of gypsum precipitate at -23.7 and -25.0 °C, respectively (Table 5). This 415 corresponds to $\Omega_{gypsum} = 1.064$ and 1.069 in the brines of the ice-hydrohalite 416 aggregate at -23.7 and -25.0 °C, respectively (Table 5). When these brines 417 were seeded with both gypsum and mirabilite (representative of the full crys-418 tallisation pathway), the ΔCa^{2+} was more negative and the ΔSO_4^{2-} less so 419 than in the absence of mirabilite in the fractional crystallisation pathway at 420 both temperatures (Table 5). The ΔCa^{2+} in these experiments is equivalent 421 to 23.2 mmol kg⁻¹ and 80.9 mmol kg⁻¹ of gypsum precipitate at -23.7 °C 422 (corresponding to $\Omega_{\rm gypsum} = 1.257$ in the initial brine) and -25.0 °C (cor-423 responding to $\Omega_{\text{gypsum}} = 2.276$ in the initial brine), respectively (Table 5). 424 Collectively, these observations indicate enhanced gypsum precipitation in 425 the presence of mirabilite, with the additional source of SO_4^{2-} provided by 426 mirabilite dissolution. 427

428 The pK_{gypsum}^* determined at brine–hydrohalite–gypsum equilibrium (frac-

tional crystallisation pathway) was in close agreement with the values deter-429 mined at brine-mirabilite-hydrohalite-gypsum equilibrium (full crystallisa-430 tion pathway) at -25.0 °C and within experimental uncertainty at -23.7 °C, 431 despite differing equilibrium brine composition and inter-ionic ratios of Ca²⁺ 432 and SO_4^{2-} (Table 5; Figure 1). This suggests that, within experimental error 433 at the two coldest temperatures of this investigation, the pK^*_{gypsum} is not 434 measurably influenced by differences in the equilibrium ionic composition of 435 the brine resulting from the fractional and full crystallisation pathways. 436

Table 5: Equilibrium measurements of gypsum solubility at -23.7 and -25.0 °C in experiments considered representative of fractional and full crystallisation. The Ω_{gypsum} values presented here were based exclusively on the ΔCa^{2+} , since the SO_4^{2-} is affected by mirabilite dissolution. $\Delta Ca^{2+} = Ca_{eqm}^{2+} - Ca_{initial}^{2+}$. $\Delta SO_4^{2-} = SO_4^{2-} - SO_4^{2-}$ initial. Tabulated errors are calculated as the standard deviation of measurements from triplicate experiments.

	Т	Ca^{2+}	SO_4^{2-}			ΔCa^{2+}	ΔSO_4^{2-}
Type	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	mmol	kg_{sol}^{-1}	$pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^*$	Ω_{gypsum}	mmol	kg_{sol}^{-1}
Fractional	-23.7	107.0 ± 1.0	12.2 ± 0.4	2.883 ± 0.011	1.064 ± 0.027	-6.8	-6.6
Full	-23.7	90.7 ± 3.9	16.5 ± 2.4	2.830 ± 0.055	1.257 ± 0.052	-23.2	-2.4
Fractional	-25.0	135.3 ± 0.4	9.7 ± 1.9	2.885 ± 0.038	1.069 ± 0.003	-9.3	-13.3
Full	-25.0	63.7 ± 3.8	20.6 ± 2.1	2.883 ± 0.027	2.276 ± 0.139	-80.9	-2.1

⁴³⁷ 3.4. Hydrohalite solubility and saturation state

The brine-hydrohalite equilibrium was attained by dissolution between 438 -14.3 and -22.0 °C in protocol-2 experiments (section 2.4), as evidenced by 430 positive ΔCl^- (Table 6). In this temperature range, the $pK^*_{sp,hvdrohalite}$ (Fig-440 ure 3) and $\Omega_{\text{hydrohalite}}$ (Figure 4) increased from -1.175 to -1.107 and from 441 0.465 to 0.953 (Table 6), respectively, indicating a decrease in hydrohalite sol-442 ubility with decreasing temperature at brine-hydrohalite equilibrium. Dur-443 ing the protocol-3 experiments (section 2.4) at -23.7 and -25.0 °C, the ice-444 brine-hydrohalite equilibrium was attained by precipitation as evidenced by 445 negative ΔCl^- values (estimated using FREZCHEM; see below and Table 6). 446 The $pK^*_{sp,hvdrohalite}$ increased sharply to -0.976 at -25.0 °C, representing a 447

dramatic decrease in hydrohalite solubility at $T \leq -22.9$ °C (Figure 3) in the fractional crystallisation pathway.

Because it was not possible to determine $\Omega_{\text{hydrohalite}}$ experimentally at 450 -23.7 and -25.0 °C, the FREZCHEM code was used to compute the solu-451 tion composition at ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium at these temperatures 452 by excluding hydrohalite and gypsum from the mineral database. At $T \leq$ 453 -22.9 °C, the $\Omega_{\text{hydrohalite}}$ increased steeply to 3.848 at -25.0 °C (Figure 4). 454 Because the $pK_{\rm sp.hvdrohalite}^*$ from the experiments between -14.3 and -25.0 °C 455 was in excellent agreement with that of the FREZCHEM model (Figure 3), 456 the experimental values were combined with the FREZCHEM model output 457 to derive the $pK^*_{sp,hvdrohalite} - T$ relationship (eq. 1; Table 2). 458

459 4. Discussion

This section will associate the laboratory measurements of gypsum and 460 hydrohalite solubilities to their dynamics within the natural sea ice environ-461 ment. Discussing hydrohalite dynamics in this context highlights its role 462 within the sea ice system as a mediator of physical sea ice properties, and 463 its contribution to geochemical changes that add to physiological challenges 464 imposed upon sympagic biota. Discussing gypsum dynamics in this context 465 aids in elucidating the conditions in which it can precipitate and persist in 466 sea ice, and allows evaluation of its potential as a marine deposit. 467

468 4.1. Gypsum solubility in seawater and sea ice brines between 0.2 and $-22.2 \circ C$

The consistency in $pK^*_{sp,gypsum}$ determined in both natural and synthetic 469 sea ice brines between 0.2 and -5.0 °C (Figure 1 ; Table 3) indicates that, 470 as with mirabilite solubility (Butler et al., 2016b), gypsum solubility is un-471 affected by parameters such as pH, carbonate and borate alkalinity, and 472 trace metals within the measurement uncertainty. By considering solutions 473 either at equilibrium, or supersaturated, or undersaturated with respect to 474 mirabilite, gypsum solubility was measured mostly via dissolution, with mea-475 surements via precipitation possible on a few occasions, in a range of scenarios 476

Table 6: The absolute salinity (S_A) of incubated brines prior to seeding with hydrohalite (see footnote for exceptions), incubation temperature at point of sampling, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations from each of the bottle incubations at equilibrium, the resulting measured $pK_{\rm sp,hydrohalite}^*$ and $\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite}$, and the change in Cl⁻ observed over the course of each experiment, included as a measure of reaction direction ($\Delta Cl^- = Cl_{\rm eqm}^- - Cl_{\rm initial}^-$). All data above -22.9 °C are derived from dissolution of hydrohalite in solutions extracted from an ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium system (see protocol-2, section 2.4). D = dissolution, P = precipitation. Tabulated errors are calculated as the standard deviation of measurements from triplicate experiments.

		$S_{\rm A}$	Т	Na ⁺	Cl^{-}			ΔCl^-
Exp. #	Reaction	$g kg_{sol}^{-1}$	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	mmol	kg_{sol}^{-1}	$pK_{\rm sp,hydrohalite}^*$	$\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite}$	mmol kg_{sol}^{-1}
H-14	D	$173.8^{\rm a}$	-14.3	3596 ± 26	4164 ± 5	-1.175 ± 0.003	$0.465 {\pm} 0.003$	1170
H-15	D	$180.7^{\rm a}$	-15.1	3591 ± 18	4159 ± 8	$-1.174{\pm}0.002$	$0.508 {\pm} 0.001$	1022
H-16	D	$187.8^{\rm a}$	-15.9	$3503{\pm}20$	4130 ± 3	$-1.160{\pm}0.003$	$0.568 {\pm} 0.004$	857
H-17	D	200.2^{a}	-17.5	3403 ± 33	$4120{\pm}12$	$-1.147 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.672 {\pm} 0.006$	632
H-18	D	$201.3^{\rm a}$	-18.0	$3398{\pm}35$	4102 ± 11	$-1.144{\pm}0.004$	$0.693 {\pm} 0.004$	579
H-21	D	$218.7^{\rm a}$	-20.6	$3181{\pm}28$	4053 ± 4	$-1.110{\pm}0.002$	$0.886 {\pm} 0.003$	189
H-22	D	$225.9^{\rm a}$	-22.0	$3173 {\pm} 40$	4031 ± 7	$-1.107 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.953 {\pm} 0.001$	87
H-24	Р	$225.3^{a,b}$	-23.7	2720 ± 36	4094 ± 8	$-1.047{\pm}0.004$	$2.037 \pm 0.001^{\circ}$	-1359°
H-25	Р	$225.3^{a,b}$	-25.0	$2274{\pm}22$	$4158{\pm}18$	$-0.976{\pm}0.005$	$3.848 \pm 0.011^{\circ}$	-2747^{c}

^a $S_{\rm A}$ measured at brine–ice–mirabilite equilibrium.

^b Brine further cooled to target temperature, initiating ice and hydrohalite precipitation.

^c Estimated from brine–mirabilite equilibrium using FREZCHEM.

relevant to sea ice. It is noted that these incubations do not account for the
effect of ikaite precipitation on the availability of Ca²⁺ within the sea ice
brine system, but this effect is predicted to be relatively minor (Butler et al.,
2016a).

The current measurements of the stoichiometric solubility product of gyp-481 sum (Figure 1) indicated that seawater and the ice-brine and ice-brine-482 mirabilite equilibrium systems between 0.2 and -22.2 °C were all undersat-483 urated with respect to gypsum, as reflected in the Ω_{gypsum} remaining below 484 1 (Figure 2). Exception to this trend is a narrow temperature range of 485 metastable mirabilite supersaturation between -6.4 °C and -8.2 °C that 486 concurs with gypsum supersaturation, which can lead to gypsum precipita-487 tion (see section 4.2 for further discussion). 488

489 The equilibrium Ca^{2+} as a function of temperature between -6.4 and



Figure 3: Measured $pK_{\rm sp,hydrohalite}^*$ in equilibrium sea ice brines plotted alongside the output from the FREZCHEM model. The vertical line at -22.9 °C marks the point of which the solubility product begins to become affected by the precipitation of hydrohalite. All data above -22.9 °C are derived from dissolution of hydrohalite seed in solutions extracted from an ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium system (see protocol-2, section 2.4).

-22.2 °C (Figure 5, top panel) reflects the increase by both physical con-490 centration of a cooling ice-brine system and the dissolution of the gypsum 491 seed in the current experiments, the latter reaction leading to higher Ca^{2+} 492 than in conservatively concentrated seawater-derived brine at each experi-493 mental temperature. In contrast, the decrease in equilibrium SO_4^{2-} between 494 -6.4 and -22.2 °C reflects the imbalance between the processes that in-495 crease SO_4^{2-} , i.e., the physical concentration of the brine during cooling of 496 the system and the dissolution of the gypsum seed during the experiments, 497 and the removal of SO_4^{2-} from the brine before and during the solubility ex-498 periments via mirabilite precipitation (Figure 5, bottom panel). The Ca^{2+} 499 at brine-mirabilite-gypsum equilibrium predicted by the FREZCHEM code 500



Figure 4: Measured $\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite}$ in equilibrium sea ice brines plotted alongside the output from the FREZCHEM model. The vertical line at -22.9 °C marks the point of which the saturation state begins to become affected by precipitation of hydrohalite. The horizontal line at $\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite} = 1$ is used to highlight the transition between undersaturation ($\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite} < 1$) and supersaturation ($\Omega_{\rm hydrohalite} > 1$). All data above -22.9 °C are derived from dissolution of hydrohalite in solutions extracted from an ice-brine-mirabilite equilibrium system (see protocol-2, section 2.4).

showed increasing deviation from the measured equilibrium concentrations 501 with decreasing temperature below $-10 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Figure 5, top panel). This devia-502 tion was not observed between the measured and modelled equilibrium SO_4^{2-} 503 (Figure 5, bottom panel). The close agreement between code output and ob-504 servations as regards SO_4^{2-} reflects the mirabilite equilibrium ($\Omega_{\text{mirabilite}} = 1$) 505 via precipitation before and during the brine incubation with gypsum, the 506 latter fuelled by the excess SO_4^{2-} released into the brine via dissolution of the 507 gypsum seed and supported by synchrotron XRPD (sections 2.1 and 3.1). 508

⁵⁰⁹ Direct comparison of the measured $pK^*_{\text{sp,gypsum}}$ with values derived from ⁵¹⁰ the FREZCHEM output (section 2.5; Figure 1) shows that the FREZCHEM-

derived values follow the same trend with temperature as the experimentally 511 determined values, but the code overestimates the $pK^*_{sp,gypsum}$ by 0.069 ± 0.024 512 throughout the investigated temperature range. Between 0.2 and -10.1 °C, 513 FREZCHEM underestimated the equilibrium Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} by 2.03 ± 0.98 514 and 3.14 ± 3.05 mmol kg⁻¹, respectively (Figure 5). As mentioned above, 515 between -10.1 and -22.2 °C, the FREZCHEM computation of the equilib-516 rium SO_4^{2-} was increasingly consistent with measurements, with deviations 517 decreasing from 5.82 to 0.15 mmol kg⁻¹, as would be expected from the reli-518 able representation of measured mirabilite equilibria by the thermodynamic 519 database of the code (Butler et al., 2016b). In contrast, the underestimation 520 of equilibrium Ca^{2+} by the code increased from 1.54 to 25.33 mmol kg⁻¹ 521 between -10.1 and -22.2 °C. This discrepancy was also seen in the Ω_{gypsum} 522 (Figure 2), with the FREZCHEM predictions consistently exceeding those 523 based on the experimental values. Between 0.2 and -22.2 °C, the current 524 experiments indicate that gypsum would not exceed saturation in the pres-525 ence of mirabilite in the sea ice brines but the FREZCHEM code shows two 526 regions of gypsum supersaturation: first between -6.4 and -9.3 °C, and 527 secondly at temperatures ≤ -17.3 °C (Figure 2). 528

Compared to its reliable computation of mirabilite (Butler et al., 2016b) 529 and hydrohalite (Figures 3 and 4) dynamics in sea ice brines, the thermody-530 namic database of the FREZCHEM code yields gypsum dynamics in sea ice 531 brines inconsistent with the dynamics determined here. The inconsistency is 532 moreover particularly related to the modelled equilibrium Ca^{2+} between -10533 and -22.8 °C (Figure 5). To predict gypsum solubility in the sea ice brines 534 presented here, FREZCHEM parameters have to be extrapolated into an 535 experimentally unknown region since the majority of relevant experimental 536 data has a minimum temperature of 0 °C (Marion and Farren, 1997; Mar-537 ion and Kargel, 2008; Raju and Atkinson, 1990; Marion et al., 2016). Such 538 extrapolation is regularly applied at below-zero temperatures, and targeted 539 experiments would help improve on the currently observed discrepancies with 540

⁵⁴¹ respect to gypsum solubility at these temperatures.

542 4.2. Gypsum dynamics in metastable mirabilite supersaturation

The degree of gypsum supersaturation observed in brines that sustained 543 metastable supersaturation with respect to mirabilite ($\Omega_{\rm gypsum} = 1.171$ at 544 -7.1 °C, and $\Omega_{\rm gypsum} = 1.358$ at -8.2 °C) would be predicted by extrap-545 olating the $\Omega_{\rm gypsum} - T$ relationship observed at ice-brine equilibrium be-546 tween -1.8 and -6.0 °C (Table 2, row 5) into this temperature region with 547 commensurate physical concentration of the brine (Figure 2, cross markers). 548 Thus, gypsum precipitation could occur in sea ice at temperatures below 549 -7 °C if nucleation and crystal growth conditions are more favourable for 550 this phase than for mirabilite. Even with gypsum precipitation under these 551 conditions, mirabilite will remain supersaturated. The further the tempera-552 ture decreases below ~ -7.1 °C, the greater the tendency for mirabilite to 553 precipitate by homogeneous nucleation because its solubility in brines de-554 creases sharply with decreasing temperature (Butler et al., 2016b) while that 555 of gypsum is essentially unchanging $(pK_{\rm sp,gypsum}^* = 2.59)$ between -6 and 556 -10 °C (Figure 1). Subsequent mirabilite nucleation and precipitation in 557 this temperature range will destabilize the gypsum completely. Therefore, 558 over the -6.4 °C to -22.2 °C temperature range, mirabilite precipitation 559 will dominate the compositional changes in the brine, with 92 % of SO_4^{2-} 560 removed from the brine as mirabilite by -20.6 °C (Butler et al., 2016b). 561

Collectively the current results do not support the results of Gitterman 562 (1937), who proposed gypsum to precipitate from synthetic seawater-derived 563 brines below approximately -15 °C. We instead propose that favourable 564 conditions for gypsum precipitation in sea ice between -7 and -22 °C are 565 entirely dependent on the occurrence of metastable mirabilite supersatura-566 tion conditions, which are increasingly unlikely as the temperature decreases 567 within this range. The sea ice brine system will return to the ice-brine-568 mirabilite equilibrium and become undersaturated with respect to gypsum 569 (Figure 2) once mirabilite authigenesis occurs. If gypsum precipitation oc-570

⁵⁷¹ curs via this mechanism in natural sea ice, its presence is therefore expected ⁵⁷² to be transient in the presence of mirabilite.

573 4.3. Fractional and full crystallisation pathways at -23.7 and -25.0 °C

The more recent proposal for enhanced gypsum precipitation below -22.9 °C 574 (Marion et al., 1999) is relevant to the temperature region of hydrohalite pre-575 cipitation, ice formation, and significant reduction in brine volume (Richard-576 son, 1976; Butler and Kennedy, 2015), with consequent substantial shifts 577 in solution composition and inter-ionic ratios, particularly with respect to 578 Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} (Table 7). The precipitation of gypsum in the 579 fractional and full crystallisation experiments was observed to occur over 580 weeks, in accord with the slow kinetics of sulphate minerals at cold temper-581 atures (Kargel, 1991; Hogenboom et al., 1995; Marion and Kargel, 2008). 582 The gypsum solubility experiments at -23.7 and -25.0 °C considered rep-583 resentative of the fractional crystallisation pathway (section 2.4), indicated 584 that this compositional change is sufficient for the brine to become slightly 585 supersaturated with respect to gypsum, resulting in its seeded precipitation 586 (Table 5 and section 3.3). And so, the sea ice system appears to sustain a 587 low gypsum supersaturation in the fractional crystallisation pathway within 588 the ice-hydrohalite aggregate. In the tandem experiments, considered repre-589 sentative of the full crystallisation pathway, the presence of both mirabilite 590 and gypsum seed in the experimental brine yielded mirabilite dissolution 591 and gypsum precipitation in higher amounts than observed in the absence 592 of mirabilite (Table 5 and section 3.3). These observations collectively indi-593 cate that, when all potential minerals are in contact with the brine in a sea 594 ice system (full crystallisation pathway) at these cold temperatures, a posi-595 tive feedback will occur for gypsum precipitation via mirabilite dissolution in 596 the presence of hydrohalite, as was also observed by Gitterman (1937) and 597 Marion et al. (1999). 598

⁵⁹⁹ Combining the maximum amount of gypsum precipitation measured here ⁶⁰⁰ at -25.0 °C (Δ Ca²⁺ = 80.9 mmol kg⁻¹ during full crystallisation; Table 5)



Figure 5: The equilibrium Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} concentrations in sea ice brines between 0.2 and -22.8 °C. Measured and modelled (FREZCHEM) values above -6.4 °C are at brine– gypsum equilibrium, and those below -6.4 °C are at brine–mirabilite–gypsum equilibrium. The vertical line at -1.8 °C marks the point at which coupled changes in salinity and temperature occur as a result of freezing, whilst that at -6.4 °C marks the onset of mirabilite precipitation. The dashed line represents the estimated concentration based on a conservatively concentrated solution at ice–brine equilibrium, computed using FREZCHEM with only ice enabled in the mineral database.

with the FREZCHEM estimate of the residual brine mass at this temperature in a closed sea ice system (no brine drainage) from a starting practical salinity of 35 g kg⁻¹ (64.9 g of brine per kg frozen seawater), we estimate a maximum gypsum concentration in bulk sea ice of 0.9 g kg⁻¹ at -25.0 °C. In comparison, the estimated concentrations of mirabilite and hydrohalite in undrained bulk sea ice at the same temperature and full crystallisation pathway are 6.7 and 28.6 g kg⁻¹, respectively.

608 4.4. Hydrohalite solubility in sea ice brines

The excellent agreement between the experimentally determined $pK_{\rm sp.hydrohalite}^*$ 609 and that computed by FREZCHEM reflects the abundance of experimental 610 data for hydrohalite used to parameterise the model. All experimental (Git-611 terman, 1937; Nelson and Thompson, 1954; this study) and model (Marion 612 et al., 1999; Marion and Kargel, 2008) evidence is in agreement that hydro-613 halite precipitates in sea ice at $T\leqslant-22.9$ °C, but its dynamics in undersat-614 urated sea ice brines have never been investigated before. Between -14 and 615 -25 °C, the solubility of hydrohalite (Figure 3) displays two distinct temper-616 ature fields corresponding with the undersaturated and supersaturated brines 617 (Figure 4), with a sharp inflection at $\Omega_{\text{hydrohalite}} = 1$. This sharp change is 618 reflected in the quantity of hydrohalite in a closed sea ice brine system (no 619 brine drainage), which, by -23.0 °C, i.e., 0.1 °C into the temperature re-620 gion in which its precipitation is viable in sea ice systems, amounts to 3.3 621 g kg⁻¹, and increases to 28.0 g kg⁻¹ by -26.0 °C (fractional crystallisation; 622 Figure 6). Such quantities of hydrohalite are consistent with the formation 623 of an ice-hydrohalite aggregate (Light et al., 2009; McCarthy et al., 2007; 624 Butler and Kennedy, 2015). 625

Prior to the onset of hydrohalite precipitation, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ comprise 91.7 % of the total mass of dissolved ions in the brine (FREZCHEM computation, Table 7). Upon hydrohalite precipitation, the reduced ionic strength of the brine from the removal of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ as hydrohalite promotes instantaneous ice formation to re-establish ice-brine equilibrium at these cold

Table 7: FREZCHEM predictions of the major brine composition before (-22.8 °C) and after (-26.0 °C) hydrohalite precipitation. Each ion is presented as its percentage contribution to the mass of total salt. The output at -26.0 °C is for fractional crystallisation, which retains the brine at undersaturation with respect to mirabilite. The displayed percentages are implicit of less concentrated ions that are also specified in the composition of Standard Seawater (Millero et al., 2008), which include HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} , NO_3^- , Br^- , $\text{B}(\text{OH})_4^-$, F^- and CO_2 . Ice, mirabilite and hydrohalite were the only solid phases enabled in the FREZCHEM database for this computation.

	−22.8 °C	−26.0 °C
Ion	$S_{\rm A} = 230.82 \text{ g kg}_{\rm sol}^{-1}$	$S_{\rm A} = 235.68 \text{ g kg}_{\rm sol}^{-1}$
% Na ⁺	29.89	20.00
$\% \rm K^+$	1.36	2.91
$\% \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$	4.36	9.31
$\% \ Ca^{2+}$	1.38	2.95
% Cl ⁻	61.77	64.59
$\% \mathrm{SO}_4^{2-}$	0.62	1.06

temperatures, which concentrates the brine and results in further hydrohalite 631 precipitation. This cycle of both ice and hydrohalite formation in tandem 632 continues until ice-brine-hydrohalite equilibrium is attained; in the process, 633 the volume of remaining brine diminishes. Between -22.8 and -26 °C in a 634 closed system modelled with FREZCHEM (1 kg of seawater, practical salin-635 ity = 35), the amount of ice increases from 857 g to 909 g, which decreases 636 the amount of liquid water from 105 to 41 g (Figure 7). In sea ice, this would 637 equate to a reduction in brine volume by more than 60 % within a 3 °C drop 638 in temperature. 630

If hydrohalite precipitation in sea ice results in the formation of an ice-640 hydrohalite aggregate, then the in-situ properties of this solid may be anal-641 ogous to those studied in the NaCl- H_2O system at its eutectic (McCarthy 642 et al., 2007). The formation of an ice-hydrohalite aggregate may act as a 643 hindrance for the hydrohalite-mirabilite-gypsum interaction with the resid-644 ual brine of the full crystallisation pathway (Gitterman, 1937; Marion et al., 645 1999). Mirabilite crystals are understood to sink to the bottom of brine in-646 clusions (Light et al., 2003); when hydrohalite forms as an aggregate with ice 647

with the residual brine trapped in it, it will fill the available pore space and 648 can consequently restrict further brine-mirabilite interaction. The result is a 649 microstructure with very limited mixing and surface area for brine-mineral 650 interaction, acting to limit the dissolution of mirabilite and the consequently 651 enhanced precipitation of gypsum. Although the hydrohalite-mirabilite feed-652 back was observed by Butler and Kennedy (2015), their experimental pro-653 tocol required continual spinning of the sample, which may have promoted 654 mineral interaction with brine by mixing as the sample warmed and cooled. 655 The determination of which crystallisation pathway occurs in the tempera-656 ture field of hydrohalite precipitation in natural sea ice conditions requires 657 further investigation, and would likely require in-situ measurements in con-658 trolled laboratory conditions that replicate those in sea ice. 659

660 4.5. Hydrohalite modelling in first-year sea ice

The dynamics of hydrohalite in first-year sea ice are outlined here based 661 on the FREZCHEM output, confirmed by the current hydrohalite solubil-662 ity data, and a 1D empirical model of sea ice growth and desalination. The 663 FREZCHEM output of the mass of hydrohalite to precipitate in a 1 kg parcel 664 of frozen seawater (Figure 6) was fitted to a stepwise polynomial function of 665 temperature (Table 2, rows 11 and 12). This data was integrated (via equa-666 tion 2) into a 1D model simulation of the vertical temperature and salinity 667 profiles of snow-free first-year sea ice in the Arctic Basin as it grows over win-668 ter months, yielding hydrohalite concentration profiles within the ice pack 660 at selected intervals (Figure 8). The temperature at the surface of the mod-670 elled ice pack drops below -22.9 °C after 1 month (early November) from 671 the onset of freezing when the ice pack is 73.5 cm thick and the incoming 672 shortwave radiation for the region is 0 W m^{-2} . Between early November and 673 late February, the modelled ice pack thickens to 210 cm, while the surface 674 temperature decreases to -32.1 °C. Given the absence of sunlight and low 675 temperatures, these conditions likely represent the most challenging and least 676 studied aspects of Arctic sea ice dynamics. 677



Figure 6: The weight of hydrohalite predicted to precipitate from 1 kg of seawater (practical salinity = 35) between -22.9 and -36 °C (fractional crystallisation). Also plotted is the fitted relationship of hydrohalite concentration (g kg⁻¹) as a function of temperature (using equation 1) described by coefficients given in Table 2.

As the ice pack thickens and the surface temperature decreases, the mod-678 elled hydrohalite concentration increases (Figure 8). When the ice pack is 75 679 cm thick, hydrohalite is only present in the upper 1 cm but, as the winter 680 progresses, the vertical distribution of hydrohalite extends well below the ice 681 surface, and by mid-February, when the ice is over 2 m thick, hydrohalite 682 is present within the upper 65 cm. The depth distribution of hydrohalite in 683 the ice is governed by the bulk sea ice salinity and the temperature-related 684 solubility changes leading to precipitation (Figure 6), while the sea ice tem-685 perature is assumed to have a linear depth distribution between the ice-air 686 and ocean-ice interfaces (Cox and Weeks, 1988; Butler et al., 2016b). As a 687 result of these two forcings, hydrohalite develops an S-shaped depth profile 688 as the ice pack grows, reaching a maximum concentration below the ice-air 689



Figure 7: FREZCHEM predictions of the change in ice and water content in 1 kg of frozen seawater (practical salinity = 35) between -14 and -26 °C (fractional crystallisation). The vertical line at -22.9 °C marks the point of which the system begins to become affected by precipitation of an ice-hydrohalite aggregate.

⁶⁹⁰ interface of 9.9 g kg⁻¹.

Based on its solubility-temperature relationship (Figures 3, 4 and 6), 691 the occurrence of hydrohalite in sea ice could display considerable daily 692 or localised shifts between precipitation and dissolution in response to lo-693 cal weather patterns. Given that hydrohalite precipitation did not initiate 694 within the modelled ice pack until incoming shortwave radiation had reduced 695 to 0 W m^{-2} , its contribution to the albedo feedback mechanism (Light et al., 696 2004; Carns et al., 2015) in polar environments seems limited, and is likely to 697 be more relevant to the energy balance of 'Snowball Earth' during the Neo-698 proterozoic (Light et al., 2009; Carns et al., 2015). Furthermore, the winter 699 conditions in which hydrohalite precipitates likely hinder its identification 700 and the examination of its dynamics in the sea ice system in the field. 701



Figure 8: Modelled hydrohalite concentrations during the formation of first-year snow-free sea ice in the Arctic Basin. The full depth of the ice pack is not displayed, but is instead annotated at each increment.

702 4.6. The occurrence of gypsum in sea ice

The available mirabilite (Butler $e_{5}al.$, 2016b), gypsum, and hydrohalite solubility data (this study) can be used to evaluate the potential for occur-

rence of gypsum in sea ice. The tendency of gypsum formation is strongly 705 constrained by SO_4^{2-} availability in the brine, first, due to mirabilite precip-706 itation at temperatures ≤ -6.4 °C and, subsequently, via mirabilite disso-707 lution at temperatures ≤ -22.9 °C. The recent identification of gypsum in 708 experimental and natural sea ice at temperatures between -1.9 and -10 °C 709 (Geilfus et al., 2013) is not consistent with the systematic gypsum undersat-710 uration observed here between 0.2 and -22.2 °C in the presence of mirabilite 711 (section 4.1 and Figure 2). Furthermore, the gypsum crystals identified in 712 the experimental sea ice formed during the freezing of synthetic seawater that 713 was deficient in Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} by 17 % and 40 %, respectively, relative to 714 Standard Seawater (Geilfus et al., 2013). The deficiency of this solution with 715 respect to the ionic constituents of gypsum would only act to impede this 716 mineral from attaining saturation within the brine in the reported tempera-717 ture range that includes the temperature region of mirabilite precipitation. 718 Our experiments showed that brines in metastable mirabilite supersatura-719 tion state can attain low gypsum supersaturation leading to precipitation of 720 this $CaSO_4$ polymorph in small amounts, which will be likely transient in 721 the presence of mirabilite given its dominant control on the availability of 722 sulphate ions in the brine. It is possible that the brief storage (< 3 hours) of 723 sea ice samples < -25 °C prior to analysis carried out by Geilfus et al. (2013) 724 allowed gypsum precipitation, which could become enhanced if hydrohalite-725 mirabilite-gypsum interaction with brine is facilitated, but the quantity of 726 gypsum formed in this way would be small ($< 0.3 \text{ g kg}_{\text{sea ice}}^{-1}$ at a bulk salinity 727 of 12 g kg⁻¹) even if chemical equilibrium is attained. 728

Finally, the gypsum crystals that were observed in experimental and natural sea ice (Geilfus et al., 2013) may not have been authigenic but allochthonous. Though the gypsum crystals were identified as authigenic based on their grain morphology (Geilfus et al., 2013), gypsum is also a common mineral in aerosol particles (Prospero et al., 1981; Schütz and Sebert, 1987; Zimmermann et al., 2008) which are understood to be the source of its pres-

ence in ice cores taken from the Greenland Ice Sheet (Biscaye et al., 1997; 735 Steffensen, 1997). Gypsum can persist in an ice sheet due to its sparing 736 solubility in aqueous solutions (Steffensen, 1997). Therefore, gypsum may 737 not occur authigenically in brine inclusions but in aerosols settling on, and 738 encapsulated in, sea ice, and may be sufficiently stable kinetically to allow ex-739 traction and identification even when the conditions in the brine pockets are 740 undersaturated with respect to gypsum. Given this generated understanding 741 about gypsum dynamics in sea ice from solubility measurements, particu-742 larly with respect to its undersaturation in all conditions above -6.4 °C, 743 its potential as a marine deposit in polar seas (Geilfus et al., 2013) seems 744 limited. 745

746 5. Conclusions

The determination of gypsum and hydrohalite solubilities in seawater 747 (gypsum) and seawater-derived brines (gypsum and hydrohalite) allowed in-748 vestigation of the dynamics of each mineral within the sea ice system. Gyp-749 sum solubility changed substantially between 0.2 and -25.0 °C, displaying 750 maximum solubility (i.e., minimum values for the stoichiometric equilibrium 751 solubility product) in the temperature range from -6 °C to -10 °C, with 752 decreasing solubility at warmer and colder temperatures. The precipitation 753 of gypsum is affected by the precipitation of mirabilite at temperatures below 754 -6.4 °C. When mirabilite is the dominant SO₄²⁻ sink in sea ice, the brines re-755 main undersaturated with respect to gypsum to -22.2 °C. Gypsum is viable 756 as a transient phase in conditions of metastable mirabilite supersaturation 757 as observed in this study at -7.1 and -8.2 °C but potentially applicable 758 to occurrences of increased metastable mirabilite supersaturation at colder 750 temperatures. Upon the onset of hydrohalite precipitation at temperatures 760 below -22.9 °C, gypsum can precipitate and, when the brine-mirabilite re-761 action is viable in these cold temperatures, mirabilite dissolution consequent 762 on hydrohalite precipitation can enhance the amount of gypsum precipitate 763

to approximately 0.9 g kg⁻¹ (closed seawater system) at -25.0 °C.

The solubility of hydrohalite in sea ice decreases with temperature, and 765 this decrease displays a sharp change at -22.9 °C, resulting in distinct tem-766 perature fields of undersaturated and supersaturated brines. The sharp 767 change in hydrohalite solubility at temperatures below -22.9 °C results from 768 the formation of an ice-hydrohalite aggregate. During this process, ice and 769 hydrohalite form cooperatively as an intergrowth, likely displaying similar 770 properties to the eutectic aggregates from binary NaCl-H₂O systems (Mc-771 Carthy et al., 2007). This heterogeneous mixture can fill the sea ice pores 772 and channels, and results in strong compositional changes in the little brine 773 that remains at these cold temperatures, affecting the microstructure of the 774 ice substantially. 775

The observed gypsum solubility is consistently underestimated by the 776 thermodynamic FREZCHEM code. This inconsistency was assessed here to 777 be associated with the discrepancy in the equilibrium Ca^{2+} concentration, 778 likely due to the model being extrapolated into an experimentally unknown 779 region. In contrast the measured and FREZCHEM-based hydrohalite sol-780 ubilities display excellent agreement (within experimental error). Based on 781 the reliable FREZCHEM output of hydrohalite equilibria, a temperature 782 function of the hydrohalite mass in a closed sea ice system was incorporated 783 into a 1D model for the growth of Arctic sea ice. The model output of the 784 distribution of hydrohalite with time and depth in sea ice showed that hydro-785 halite should be present in the upper layers of the ice pack once the incoming 786 shortwave radiation drops to 0 W m⁻², extending down to a depth of 65 cm 787 in the ice from a 9.9 g kg^{-1} surface concentration maximum at maximal 788 ice thickness. This suggests a critical role for hydrohalite in modifying the 789 physical and chemical properties of the sea ice brine inclusions but limited 790 hydrohalite effect on the albedo of sea ice. 791

792 Acknowledgements

The work was supported by a NERC Algorithm Studentship (NE/K501013), beamtime awards EE-3897-1, EE-12301-01 and EE14575-1 from Diamond Light Source Ltd., and a PhD Student Grant from the International Association of Geochemistry. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped to improve this paper. All data presented here are freely available upon contacting the corresponding author.

799 References

- Assur, A., 1960. Composition of sea ice and its tensile strength. Tech. rep.,
 44, Arctic Sea Ice, U.S. National Academy of Sciences, National Research
 Council, U.S.A.
- Berner, R. A., 1980. Early diagenesis: A theoretical approach. Princeton
 series in geochemistry. Princeton University Press.
- ⁸⁰⁵ Biscaye, P. E., Grousset, F. E., Revel, M., Van der Gaast, S., Zielinski, G. A.,
 ⁸⁰⁶ Vaars, A., Kukla, G., 1997. Asian provenance of glacial dust (stage 2) in
 ⁸⁰⁷ the Greenland Ice sheet Project 2 Ice Core, Summit, Greenland. Journal
 ⁸⁰⁸ of Geophysical Research: Oceans 102 (C12), 26765–26781.
- Boeyens, J. C. A., Ichharam, V. V. H., 2002. Redetermination of the crystal structure of calcium sulphate dihydrate, $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$. Zeitschrift fur Kristallographie 217, 9–19.
- ⁸¹² Brand, H. E. A., Fortes, A. D., Wood, I. G., Knight, K. S., Vočadlo, L., 2009.
- The thermal expansion and crystal structure of mirabilite $(Na_2SO_4 \cdot 10D_2O)$
- ⁸¹⁴ from 4.2 to 300 K, determined by time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction.
- Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 36 (1), 29–46.
- Butler, B. M., Kennedy, H., 2015. An investigation of mineral dynamics in
 frozen seawater brines by direct measurement with synchrotron X-ray pow-

der diffraction. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 120 (8), 5686– 5697.

- Butler, B. M., Papadimitriou, S., Kennedy, H., 2016a. The effect of mirabilite
 precipitation on the absolute and practical salinities of sea ice brines. Marine Chemistry 184, 21–31.
- Butler, B. M., Papadimitriou, S., Santoro, A., Kennedy, H., 2016b. Mirabilite
 solubility in equilibrium sea ice brines. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta
 182, 40–54.
- Carns, R. C., Brandt, R. E., Warren, S. G., 2015. Salt precipitation in sea
 ice and its effect on albedo, with application to Snowball Earth. Journal
 of Geophysical Research: Oceans 120 (11), 7400–7412.
- ⁸²⁹ Coelho, A., 2012. TOPAS-Academic V5.
- URL http://www.topas-academic.net/
- ⁸³¹ Cox, G. F. N., Weeks, W. F., 1988. Numerical simulations of the profile prop⁸³² erties of undeformed first-year sea ice during the growth season. Journal
 ⁸³³ of Geophysical Research: Oceans 93 (C10), 12449–12460.
- Dieckmann, G. S., Nehrke, G., Papadimitriou, S., Göttlicher, J., Steininger,
 R., Kennedy, H., Wolf-Gladrow, D., Thomas, D. N., 2008. Calcium carbonate as ikaite crystals in Antarctic sea ice. Geophysical Research Letters
 35 (8), L08501.
- Fischer, M., Thomas, D. N., Krell, A., Nehrke, G., Göttlicher, J., Norman, L.,
 Meiners, K. M., Riaux-Gobin, C., Dieckmann, G. S., 2013. Quantification
 of ikaite in Antarctic sea ice. Antarctic Science 25 (3), 421–432.
- Geilfus, N. X., Galley, R. J., Cooper, M., Halden, N., Hare, A., Wang, F.,
 Søgaard, D. H., Rysgaard, S., 2013. Gypsum crystals observed in experimental and natural sea ice. Geophysical Research Letters 40 (24), 6362–
 6367.

- Gitterman, K. E., 1937. Thermal analysis of seawater. Tech. rep., CRREL
 TL287, USA Cold Region Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover,
 N.H.
- He, S., Morse, J. W., 1993. The carbonic acid system and calcite solubility
 in aqueous Na-K-Ca-Mg-Cl-SO₄ solutions from 0 to 90 °C. Geochimica
 et Cosmochimica Acta 57 (15), 3533–3554.
- Hillier, S., 2003. Quantitative analysis of clay and other minerals in sandstones by X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.,
 pp. 213–251.
- ⁸⁵⁴ Hogenboom, D. L., Kargel, J. S., Ganasan, J. P., Lee, L., 1995. Magne⁸⁵⁵ sium sulfate-water to 400 MPa using a novel piezometer: Densities, phase
 ⁸⁵⁶ equilibria, and planetological implications. Icarus 115 (2), 258–277.
- Howarth, R. W., 1978. A rapid and precise method for determining sulfate
 in seawater, estuarine waters, and sediment pore waters. Limnology and
 Oceanography 23 (5), 1066–1069.
- Kargel, J. S., 1991. Brine volcanism and the interior structures of asteroids
 and icy satellites. Icarus 94, 368–390.
- Klewe, B., Pederson, B., 1974. The crystal structure of sodium chloride dihydrate. Acta Crystallographica B30, 2363–2371.
- Le Bail, A., Duroy, H., Fourquet, J. L., 1988. Ab-initio structure determination of lisbwo₆ by X-ray powder diffraction. Materials Research Bulletin
 23 (3), 447–452.
- Light, B., Brandt, R. E., Warren, S. G., 2009. Hydrohalite in cold sea ice:
 Laboratory observations of single crystals, surface accumulations, and migration rates under a temperature gradient, with application to Snowball
 Earth. Journal of Geophysical Research 114 (C7), C07018.

- Light, B., Maykut, G. A., Grenfell, T. C., 2003. Effects of temperature on the
 microstructure of first-year Arctic sea ice. Journal of Geophysical Research
 108 (C2), 3051.
- Light, B., Maykut, G. A., Grenfell, T. C., 2004. A temperature-dependent,
 structural-optical model of first-year sea ice. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, C06013.
- Marion, G. M., 2001. Carbonate mineral solubility at low temperatures in the Na–K–Mg–Ca–H–Cl–SO₄–OH–HCO₃–CO₃–CO₂–H₂O. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 65 (12), 1883–1896.
- Marion, G. M., Catling, D. C., Kargel, J. S., Crowley, J. K., 2016. Modeling
 calcium sulfate chemistries with applications to Mars. Icarus 278, 31–37.
- Marion, G. M., Farren, R. E., 1997. Gypsum solubility at subzero temperatures. Soil Science Society of America Journal 61, 1666–1671.
- Marion, G. M., Farren, R. E., 1999. Mineral solubilities in the Na–K–Mg–
 Ca–Cl–SO₄–H₂O system: A re-evaluation of the sulfate chemistry in the
 Spencer-Moller-Weare model. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 63 (9),
 1305–1318.
- Marion, G. M., Farren, R. E., Komrowski, A. J., 1999. Alternative pathways
 for seawater freezing. Cold Regions Science and Technology 29, 259–266.
- Marion, G. M., Grant, S. G., 1994. FREZCHEM: A chemical thermodynamic
 model for qqueous solutions at subzero temperatures. Tech. rep., DTIC
 Document.
- Marion, G. M., Kargel, J. S., 2008. Cold Aqueous Planetary Geochemistry
 with FREZCHEM. Springer, Heidelberg.

- McCarthy, C., Cooper, R. F., Kirby, S. H., Rieck, K. D., Stern, L. A., 2007.
 Solidification and microstructures of binary ice-I/hydrate eutectic aggregates. American Mineralogist 92 (10), 1550–1560.
- Millero, F. J., Feistel, R., Wright, D. G., McDougall, T. J., 2008. The
 composition of Standard Seawater and the definition of the ReferenceComposition Salinity Scale. Deep Sea Research Part I 55, 50–72.
- Millero, F. J., Leung, W. H., 1976. The thermodynamics of seawater at one atmosphere. American Journal of Science 276 (9), 1035–1077.
- Mucci, A., 1983. The solubility of calcite and aragonite in seawater at various salinities, temperatures, and one atmosphere total pressure. American
 Journal of Science 283, 780–799.
- Nelson, K. H., Thompson, T. G., 1954. Deposition of salts from sea water by
 frigid concentration. Tech. rep., 29, Office of Naval Research, Arlington,
 VA.
- Papadimitriou, S., Kennedy, H., Kennedy, P., Thomas, D. N., 2013. Ikaite
 solubility in seawater-derived brines at 1 atm and sub-zero temperatures
 to 265 K. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 109, 241–253.
- Papadimitriou, S., Loucaides, S., Rérolle, V., Achterberg, E. P., Dickson,
 A. G., Mowlem, M., Kennedy, H., 2016. The measurement of pH in saline
 and hypersaline media at sub-zero temperatures: Characterization of Tris
 buffers. Marine Chemistry 184, 11–20.
- Petrich, C., Eicken, H., 2010. Growth, structure and properties of sea ice.
 Sea Ice 2, 23–77.
- Prospero, J., Glaccum, R., Nees, R., 1981. Atmospheric transport of soil dust
 from Africa to South America. Nature 289, 570–572.

- Raju, K. U., Atkinson, G., 1990. The thermodynamics of scale mineral solubilities. III, Calcium sulfate in aqueous NaCl. Journal of chemical and
 engineering data 35 (3), 361–367.
- Richardson, C., 1976. Phase relationships in sea ice as a function of temperature. Journal of Glaciology 17 (77), 507–519.
- Rietveld, H. M., 1969. A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic
 structures. Journal of Applied Crystallography 2 (2), 65–71.
- Schütz, L., Sebert, M., 1987. Mineral aerosols and source identification. Journal of Aerosol Science 18 (1), 1–10.
- Steffensen, J. P., 1997. The size distribution of microparticles from selected
 segments of the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core representing different climatic periods. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 102 (C12),
 26755–26763.
- Thomas, D., Dieckmann, G., 2002. Antarctic sea ice-a habitat for extremophiles. Science 295 (5555), 641–644.
- Wang, Y. W., Kim, Y. Y., Christenson, H. K., Meldrum, F. C., 2012. A new
 precipitation pathway for calcium sulfate dihydrate (gypsum) via amorphous and hemihydrate intermediates. Chemical Communications 48 (4),
 504–506.
- Zimmermann, F., Weinbruch, S., Schütz, L., Hofmann, H., Ebert, M., Kandler, K., Worringen, A., 2008. Ice nucleation properties of the most abundant mineral dust phases. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres
 113 (D23), d23204.