

# Niche conservatism and the invasive potential of the wild boar

Sales, Lilian Patricia; Ribeiro, Bruno R.; Hayward, Matthew; Paglia, Adriano; Passamani, Marcelo; Loyola, Rafael

## Journal of Animal Ecology

DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.12721

Published: 01/09/2017

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Sales, L. P., Ribeiro, B. R., Hayward, M., Paglia, A., Passamani, M., & Loyola, R. (2017). Niche conservatism and the invasive potential of the wild boar. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86(5), 1214-1223. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12721

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	The big bad pig: niche conservatism and the invasive potential
2	of the wild boar
3	Lilian Patrícia Sales* <sup>a,b,c</sup> , Bruno R. Ribeiro <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> , Matt Warrington
4	Hayward <sup>e</sup> , Adriano Paglia <sup>f</sup> , Marcelo Passamani <sup>g</sup> , Rafael Loyola <sup>a,c,d,h</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> Conservation Biogeography Lab, Department of Ecology, Universidade Federal de Goiás,
6	Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil.
7	<sup>b</sup> Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia e Evolução, Universidade Federal de Goiás,
8	Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil.
9	° Department of Ecology, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil.
10	<sup>d</sup> Centro Nacional de Conservação da Flora, Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio
11	de Janeiro, Brazil
12	<sup>e</sup> School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography; Bangor University, Bangor,
13	Wales, United Kingdom; Centre for African Conservation Ecology, Nelson Mandela
14	Metropolitan University, Port Elizabeth, South Africa; and Centre for Wildlife
15	Management, University of Pretoria, Tshwane, Gauteng, South Africa.
16	<sup>f</sup> Laboratório de Ecologia e Conservação, Department of Biology, Instituto de Ciências
17	Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.
18	<sup>g</sup> Laboratório de Ecologia e Conservação de Mamíferos, Department of Biology,
19	Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil.
20	<sup>h</sup> Brazilian Research Network on Climate Change – Rede Clima. Instituto Nacional de
21	Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brazil.
22	* Corresponding author: lilianpsales@gmail.com

## 23 Summary

Niche conservatism, i.e. the retention of a species fundamental niche through
 evolutionary time, is a cornerstone for biological invasion assessments. The fact that
 species tend to maintain their original climate niche allows predictive maps of
 invasion risk to anticipate potential invadable areas. Unraveling the mechanisms
 driving niche shifts can shed substantial light on the management of invasive species.

29 2. Here, we assessed niche shifts in one of the world's worst invasive species: the wild
30 boar *Sus scrofa*. We also predicted potential invadable areas based on an ensemble of
31 three ecological niche modeling methods, and evaluated the performance of models
32 calibrated with native *vs* pooled (native plus invaded) species records. By
33 disentangling the drivers of change on the exotic wild boar population's niches, we
34 found strong evidence for niche conservatism during biological invasion.

35 3. Ecological niche models calibrated with native *vs* pooled range calibration scenario 36 predicted convergent areas. Also, observed niche shifts are mostly explained by niche 37 unfilling, i.e. there are unoccupied areas in the exotic range where climate is 38 analogous to the native range.

4. Niche unfilling is expected as a result of recent colonization and ongoing dispersal,
and was potentially stronger for the Neotropics, where a recent wave of introductions
for pig-farming and game-hunting has led to high wild boar population growth rates.
The invasive potential of wild boar in the Neotropics is probably higher than in other
regions, which has profound management implications if we are to prevent their
invasion into species-rich areas, such as Amazonia, coupled with expansion of
African swine fever and possibly great economic losses.

Although the originally Eurasian-wide distribution suggests a pre-adaptation to a
wide array of climates, the wild boar worldwide invasion does not exhibit evidence
of niche evolution. The invasive potential of the wild boar probably lies on the
reproductive, dietary and morphological characteristics of this species, coupled with
behavioral thermoregulation.

51 Key-words Biological invasion; conservation biogeography; feral pig; invasive alien
52 species; ecological niche models.

# 53 Introduction

Assessing invasion risk and managing invasive species is a worldwide conservation, 54 economic and social issue (Strubbe, Beauchard & Matthysen 2015) and niche shifts during 55 56 biological invasions are one of the hot topics of current scientific debate (Guisan et al. 2014). In fact, understanding processes that drive changes in species fundamental niches is 57 important for ecology and evolution (Wiens & Graham 2005; Pyron et al. 2015), and pivotal 58 for understanding biological invasions (Broennimann et al. 2007; Guisan et al. 2014). A 59 species "fundamental niche" can be defined as the set of environmental conditions where a 60 61 species can persist indefinitely (Hutchinson 1957). Competition and other negative 62 interactions lead to reductions in the fundamental niche to create the "realized niche" (Hutchinson 1957), or the "biotically reduced niche" (Peterson et al. 2011). In this sense, the 63 64 "invadable niche" would be the portions of the fundamental niche, non-restricted by biotic interactions, but unreachable due to geographic dispersal limitations (Peterson et al. 2011). 65

66

Potentially invadable areas have historically been assessed through the study or models of 67 ecological niche, which are based on the assumption that climatic similarity between native 68 69 and exotic ranges is an *a priori* condition for the establishment of an alien species (Peterson 70 2003; Thuiller et al. 2005; Jiménez-Valverde et al. 2011). This assumption is possible 71 because species fundamental niches are not likely to change over short-to-moderate time spans (Peterson 2011). Given that these niches exhibit little or no climatic niche 72 differentiation, this process of niche conservatism is expected during biological invasion 73 74 (Peterson 2003, 2011). Therefore, by determining climate tolerances from species native 75 geographic range, climatically matching regions in non-native ranges can be assessed and mapped (Thuiller *et al.* 2005; Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011). If climatic conditions therefore
pose long-term and stable constraints on species geographic distribution, potentially
invadable areas can be anticipated with relative confidence (Peterson 2003).

79

80 Although exciting in their potential for guiding management decisions, ecological niche models can only accurately predict potentially invadable areas when niche conservatism is 81 82 supported. However, initial studies on niche conservatism revealed contrasting patterns of niche shift due to tests of different null hypotheses (Peterson 1999; Graham et al. 2004). 83 After Warren et al. (2008), niche conservatism was thought to be best measured in terms of 84 85 statistical difference, i.e. "niche similarity" and "niche equivalence". Despite their transparency, the similarity and equivalence tests only reveal statistically significant 86 differences on species climatic niches, but not the causes underlying niche shifts (Petitpierre 87 et al. 2012; Strubbe et al. 2013; Guisan et al. 2014). Hence, absolute values of "equivalency" 88 and "similarity" have little biological meaning unless niche shifts are disentangled into their 89 main drivers of change, namely "niche unfilling" and "niche expansion" (Petitpierre et al., 90 91 2012; Guisan et al., 2014). In addition to detect of niche shifts, decomposing niche changes in terms of niche expansion and unfilling can shed substantial light on the ecological 92 93 processes driving biological invasions (Broennimann et al., 2012; Guisan et al., 2014).

94

95 Currently present in all continents, except in Antarctica, the wild boar is a member of the 96 family Suidae from Eurasia and North Africa. The wild boar is the oldest intentionally 97 introduced mammal species (for bush meat) and is now one of the most widely distributed

98 species in the world (Long 2003). Biological traits of the species partially explain its invasive 99 success. Wild boars have fast population growth rates (Taylor et al. 1998) and feed 100 opportunistically on many plants and animals (Ballari & Barrios-García 2014). Population 101 top-down control by predators is limited throughout its native and exotic ranges due to 102 worldwide small density of large carnivores (Estes et al. 2011; Barrios-Garcia & Ballari 103 2012) and widespread defaunation of natural areas (Dirzo et al. 2014). Wild boars also possess weaponry and a low centre of gravity that prevents their preferential capture by all 104 105 but the largest felids (Hayward, Jędrzejewski & Jêdrzejewska 2012). Further, their extensive 106 native distribution across Eurasia and North Africa suggests a pre-adaptation to wide array of climatic conditions (Long 2003; Barrios-Garcia & Ballari 2012). In this paper, we assessed 107 108 the invasive potential of the wild boar Sus scrofa globally. Our aim was to test whether wild boars and their hybrids have substantially changed their climatic niches during their global 109 110 invasion. In addition, we mapped potentially invadable areas, where allowed by niche 111 conservatism assumptions.

112

## **Materials and methods**

#### 114 THE WILD BOAR

Wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) are ecosystem engineers that turn extensive areas of leaf litter, soil and vegetation, and may ultimately affect fire regimes (Hayward *et al.* 2016). While the impact of that rooting behavior on biodiversity is controversial (Siemann *et al.* 2009), the same is not true for economic losses. Wild boar rooting damages crops and husbandry, causing economic losses up to 800 million dollars a year in the USA alone (Pimentel, Zuniga

120 & Morrison 2005). Wild boars damage various vegetable crops (Pimentel et al. 2005; Herrero 121 et al. 2006; Ballari & Barrios-García 2014) and also transmit and are reservoirs for several 122 diseases to humans and livestock (Barasona et al. 2014). Impacts of wild boars on wildlife 123 span predation (Ballari & Barrios-García 2014) to habitat and nest destruction (Barrios-124 Garcia & Ballari 2012). Competitive interaction with wild boar leads to native species 125 exclusion, especially peccaries (Gabor & Hellgren 2000) and other species of the genus Sus (Frantz et al. 2016). Despite those negative effects, in some particular areas - such as the 126 127 Brazilian Pantanal – wild boars are supposed to be a preferential target for bush meat hunters 128 and may thus release native peccaries from over-harvesting (Desbiez et al. 2011), although 129 this lacks empirical validation.

130

As they are primarily raised for meat, domesticated pig breeds were selected to increase traits, 131 such as fecundity and population growth rate. Escaped domestic pigs hybridize with wild 132 populations and transmit to them those artificially selected traits, which enhances hybrid 133 134 fitness (Fulgione et al. 2016). Hybrid pigs have therefore inherited higher fertility rates and 135 larger litter size from their domestic relatives (Fulgione et al. 2016), while retaining sociability, coupled with associated large group herds, and flexible climatic tolerance from 136 137 their wild ancestors (Marshall et al. 2014). The impact of hybrid pigs on both biodiversity and the economy can therefore be even harder than that of wild populations, although those 138 139 effects are still to be addressed in the scientific literature (Barrios-Garcia & Ballari 2012).

#### 140 DATA COLLECTION

We collected occurrence data for the wild boar and its hybrids [henceforth jointly named 141 142 "wild boars"] from different virtual databases, namely the Global Biodiversity Information 143 Facility (GBIF; www.gbif.org), the VertNet (www.vertnet.org), the Biodiversity Information 144 Serving Our Nation (BISON; www.bison.usgs.ornl.gov), the Berkeley Ecoinformatics Engine (Ecoengine; www.ecoengine.berkeley.edu), the iNaturalist (www.inaturalist.org) and 145 146 the Invasive Species Compendium (ICS; www.cabi.org). In addition, we exhaustively 147 searched non-formal sources of wild boar occurrence information, primarily game hunting or wildlife sightseeing websites, such as the "Rede Aqui Tem Javali" in Brazil 148 149 (aquitemjavali.blogspot.com.br), the "Wild Boars in Canada" (wildboarcanada.ca), and 150 "Feral Scan" in Australia (www.feralscan.org.au). A strong geographical bias towards European countries was found in the distribution of wild boar occurrence records within 151 native distribution. Thus, to improve characterization of the niche space, we did a literature 152 153 survey on wild boar occurrences from less sampled regions within the native distribution (see 154 Table S1). All occurrences from virtual databases were downloaded with the function occ 155 from R package (R Development Core Team 2016) spoce (Scott et al. 2016), and occurrences 156 from other sources were downloaded manually.

157

Native distribution was defined based on the IUCN range map for *Sus scrofa* (Oliver & Leus 2008), obtained at www.iucnredlist.org. The use of IUCN range maps to identify species native distribution is considered useful for large-scale modeling studies, as well as to conservation planning, management and wildlife monitoring (Rodrigues *et al.* 2006). We also included occurrences up to 200 km from the existing border of the species native range map.

163 Occurrences farther than that to the native polygon were removed from the "native dataset". 164 By doing so, we accounted for possible uncertainties on the borders of IUCN range maps, to 165 consider highly updated - though perhaps imprecise - species records. A total of 2283 166 occurrence records was then collected, from which 688 belonged to native distribution, 168 167 of them to the Australian region, 609 to the Nearctic region, and 736 to the Neotropical region 168 (Fig. 1, Appendix S1). Those records cover years 1970 to 2016. Spatial autocorrelation was minimized by randomly removing occurrences less than 0.5 degree of latitude/longitude from 169 170 each other, from the whole dataset (both native and exotic ranges). This procedure has 171 minimized problems related to spatial autocorrelation in other niche shift studies at the 172 biogeographical scale (Broennimann et al. 2012; Strubbe et al. 2015).

173

174 Climate data was produced from interpolation of ground weather stations, from year 1950 to 2000, downloaded from the WorldClim website (www.worldclim.org/version1) and 175 176 downscaled to 0.5 degrees of latitude/longitude. All WorldClim bioclimatic predictors were 177 used for tests of niche equivalence/similarity between native and exotic ranges, but only five 178 were used to predict potentially invadable areas for the wild boar. A factor analysis with Varimax rotation was used to select uncorrelated variables and to avoid collinearity issues 179 180 (Table S2). Five non-correlated variables were used to fit ecological niche models: BIO2: Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp)); BIO5: Max Temperature 181 of Warmest Month; BIO14: Precipitation of Driest Month; BIO15: Precipitation Seasonality 182 (Coefficient of Variation); BIO16: Precipitation of Wettest Quarter. 183

#### 185 NICHE EQUIVALENCE/SIMILARITY TESTS

We used all predictors at first because tests of niche equivalence/similarity are best performed 186 with the PCA-env method (Broennimann et al. 2012). The PCA-env is calibrated with the 187 188 full background, i.e. environmental conditions of both native and exotic range, and reduces environmental data dimensionality to the first two main axes of the principal component 189 analysis (PCA). That full background is then compared to the areas effectively occupied by 190 191 species on each of its ranges. Niche overlap between native and exotic range is measured in 192 terms of Schoener's D, which ranges from no overlap, 0, to complete overlap, 1 (Schoener 193 1974; Warren et al. 2008).

194

195 The observed niche overlap is then compared to random measures of niche overlap. Niche 196 equivalence and similarity tests are based on comparisons of observed measures of niche overlap to random overlap values, although different null hypotheses are thereby tested. 197 198 Niche equivalence tests, as initially proposed by Graham et al. (2004), ask whether the 199 compared niches are indistinguishable from each other. Rejection of the null hypothesis on niche equivalency tests indicates that native and exotic niches are not identical (Broennimann 200 201 et al. 2012; Strubbe et al. 2015). Niche similarity tests, on other hand, were first used in 202 Peterson et al.'s (1999) work, and test whether niche models calibrated for one species (or 203 population, in our case) predict other species occurrences better than expected by chance. 204 The niche similarity null hypothesis is that of retained niche resemblance and its rejection 205 indicates that niches are more different than expected by chance. Null hypotheses tests were 206 repeated 1000 times for each biogeographical region and *p*-values were computed at a 5% 207 level of confidence.

208

Following Broennimann et al. (2012), niche changes between native and exotic populations were directly measured in a gridded environmental space, not in the geographic space. Kernel density functions were used to produce smoothed densities of both occurrences and environmental availability. Explicitly incorporating environmental availability into niche shift measures reduces erroneous detections of niche changes due to uneven distribution of climate conditions on native and exotic ranges (Guisan *et al.* 2014). Niche shift analyses were done using the *ecospat* R package (Randin *et al.* 2016).

216

Niche equivalence and similarity tests only verify whether niche shifts have occurred, but do 217 not address their causal mechanisms. To understand the wild boar invasion process, we 218 219 disentangled niche changes into the processes of unfilling and expansion. Niche unfilling is 220 observed when some environmental conditions within the native niche are available, but 221 unoccupied in the exotic climate (Guisan et al. 2014). Those unoccupied areas probably result 222 from recent colonization and ongoing dispersal. In niche unfilling, the exotic niche is a subset of the native niche, and the species will eventually occupy all available niche space within 223 224 exotic range, unless biological interactions restrict range expansion (Guisan et al. 2014). 225 Niche expansion, on the other hand, is the result of occupancy of non-analogous climatic 226 conditions to the native range. In other words, niche expansion is observed when the species 227 occupies climate conditions to which it is not supposedly adapted, based on its native 228 distribution climatic limits. Such occupancy in non-analogous climates is probably due to 229 ecological or evolutionary changes on its fundamental niche (Guisan et al. 2014).

231 Observed niche expansion can also be the outcome of the constrained nature of a species realized niche. Across an accessible geographic distribution, a species may not occupy its 232 233 full fundamental niche due to extant or past biotic interactions (Soberón & Peterson 2005; 234 Soberón 2007; Peterson et al. 2011). Also, there may be environmental conditions that are 235 favorable to a species persistence, but non-existent throughout the species geographic range 236 (Barve et al. 2011). All those conditions may limit the observed occupancy of certain 237 environmental conditions that do belong to the fundamental niche (Hutchinson 1957). 238 Therefore, observed niche expansion can also result from occupancy of regions within a 239 species fundamental niche, but outside its geographically accessible area and/or restricted by 240 negative biotic interactions. Also, the correlative nature of the techniques used to disentangle 241 niche shifts into unfilling and expansion implies that a species niche will be always calibrated 242 using observed occurrences from the realized niche (Guisan et al. 2014). Assessing the whole 243 fundamental niche and knowing for sure all the exact conditions favorable for a species is 244 nearly impossible. Therefore, asserting niche expansions may be a doubtful task.

245

Predicting potentially invadable areas for invasive species is only possible when: 1) changes in a species climatic niche are not observed or 2) observed niche differences are not caused by evolutionary changes in the species fundamental niche (Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011; Strubbe *et al.* 2013, 2015). If the exotic niche is a subset of the native one, and niche shifts are therefore caused by niche unfilling rather than expansion, then an ecological niche model calibrated with climatic conditions where the species is known to occur may accurately predict invasion potential (Peterson 2011; Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011; Strubbe *et al.* 2015).

Otherwise, the essential assumption of equilibrium between the species distribution and climate tolerances is violated (Early & Sax 2014). In cases where climatic equilibrium is not supported, forecasts of invasion risk based on correlative models may not be reliable (Early & Sax 2014).

257

### 258 ECOLOGICAL NICHE MODELS

259 For cases exhibiting evidence for niche conservatism or niche unfilling as the main driver of 260 niche changes, we predicted potentially invadable areas for the wild boar using ecological 261 niche models. Ecological niche models are correlation procedures that capture environmental 262 conditions within which the species is known to be present, based on occurrence and environmental data from geographic information systems (Broennimann & Guisan 2008; 263 264 Jiménez-Valverde et al. 2011). Original invasion risk assessments attempted to predict 265 potentially invadable areas from climatic conditions present in the species native range (Peterson 2003; Thuiller et al. 2005). The idea behind this approach is that evolutionary 266 267 changes on species fundamental niches are not likely to occur within the timescale of anthropogenic species invasions (Peterson 1999, 2011). Therefore, if climatic constraints 268 create evolutionary markers carried away during species transportations, then species are 269 270 supposed to preferentially occupy areas climatically similar to those where they evolved 271 (Peterson 2011).

272

Although the predictive accuracy of ecological niche models can often be high, the native-based approach does not incorporate possible niche shifts that may occur during biological

invasions (Tingley *et al.* 2014). To allow for less restrictive models in terms of niche
conservatism, the use of all occurrences from locations where a species is known to occur
has been suggested as a step forward on invasion risk assessments (Peterson 2011; JiménezValverde *et al.* 2011). By using distributional data from both native and other invaded ranges,
possibly small niche shifts could be incorporated into ecological niche models.

280

281 To compare the invasion risk maps produced with both calibration scenarios, we fitted ecological niche models using data from the native and the pooled range for the wild boar. 282 283 Our niche models were therefore calibrated with: 1) occurrences from the wild boar's native 284 distribution (native-based models); or 2) occurrences from all distribution information available (pooled-range-based models) (see Fig. S1). All models were projected worldwide. 285 286 We randomly partitioned wild boar occurrence data into two subsets of calibration (75% of 287 data), and validation (remaining 25%). That data-splitting process was repeated 100 times, in a cross-validation procedure, maintaining the species observed prevalence unchanged. 288 289 Those occurrences, coupled with the five previously chosen environmental predictors, were used to model the wild boar potential distribution. 290

291

Diverse techniques have been proposed for estimating species climate niches and potential distributions (Franklin 2009). These methods usually aim to provide a mathematical link between occurrence information for the species and the environmental predictors associated to the location of those occurrences. In this work, ecological niche models are solely meant to provide estimates of the wild boar distributional potential. Niche comparisons were

performed using the methods described in the previous section, following Broennimann
(2012). We therefore chose methods best suited to estimate the potential distribution of a
species, or invasion risk maps (Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011). In this work, chosen methods
do not strongly rely on true absence information and usually lead to overprediction, an
intrinsic artifact to the very nature of invasive species (Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011).

302

303 Three different presence-only and presence-pseudoabsence modeling techniques were used: Bioclim, Mahalanobis distance and Support Vector Machine. In Bioclim, environmental 304 predictors of species occurrences are treated as multiple distributions of uni-tailed 305 306 percentiles. The values of each cell grid are evaluated to determine their position in the distribution percentiles. Bioclim then compares the predictor values in a grid cell to the 307 308 distribution percentiles of the known occurrences of the species to calculate the relative suitability of a given grid cell (Graham & Hijmans 2006; Hijmans et al. 2013). Therefore, 309 values of predictor variables closer to the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile (the median) are considered the most 310 suitable for species. Distribution tails are not distinguished, that is, the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile is 311 equivalent to the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. 312

313

Species distribution models based on Mahalanobis distance consider the correlations of environmental variables, are scale-independent and useful to determine the similarity between a known sample and an unknown sample (Hijmans *et al.* 2013). Calculations in the mahal algorithm are based on the Mahalanobis distance (Mahalanobis 1936). The highest possible value is a function of the correlation between variables in the data set. Consequently,

similarity values are not dependent on the scale of measurements. Bioclim and Mahalanobis
distance are presence-only methods (Franklin 2009). Bioclim models were fitted with the *bioclim* function, and Mahalanobis distance models with the *mahal* function, from the R
package *dismo* (Hijmans *et al.* 2013).

323

324 Support Vector Machine models are a machine-learning technique to analyze data and 325 recognize patterns (e.g. species presences) (Karatzoglou, Smola & Hornik 2016). Support 326 Vector Machine are useful for classification and regression analysis, and usually exhibits 327 good performance under several conditions (Karatzoglou et al. 2016). Support Vector 328 Machine is a presence-background method (Franklin 2009), for which models were fitted using the function ksvm from R package kernlab (Karatzoglou et al. 2016). We used an 329 330 epsilon regression (eps-svr) with binary numeric response. All non-binary variables were 331 scaled to mean zero plus variance. The argument kpar contains the parameters to be passed on to the kernel function and calculates the appropriate sigma value for the regression. The 332 333 ksvm function, i.e. the kernel function, was used in both training and prediction. Model fitting is performed on output data, via a 3-fold cross-validation on the training dataset, which is the 334 335 suggested procedure (Karatzoglou et al. 2016).

336

Continuous predictions of habitat suitability derived from the three previously described ecological niche models were converted to binary projections. For that, we found the threshold with maximum sensitivity and specificity values in the relative operating characteristic (ROC curve). Then, we calculated the True Skills Statistics (TSS), a measure

341 of model performance that corrects for the dependence on the prevalence of the modelled.

342 The TSS is the measure of choice for presence-absence predictions and ranges from -1 to +1

343 (Allouche, Tsoar & Kadmon 2006). Values close to +1 indicate good prediction and values

equal or smaller than zero are not better than random predictions (Allouche *et al.* 2006).

345

Ensembles of forecasts tend to produce more robust predictions and reduce variability related to modeling methods used (Diniz-Filho *et al.* 2009). We therefore created an ensemble of gridded potential distribution maps, originated from the three methods we used here. Ensembles were then created by weighting each model projection (habitat suitability map) according to model performance to discriminate them in terms of accuracy, and only models with TSS > 0.5 were used in final models of potential distribution.

352

## 353 **Results**

354 Patterns of niche shift during wild boar invasion were not similar across all studied regions (Table 1). Niche overlap (in terms of Schoener's D) between native and invaded niches was 355 356 overall low (Table 1). The wild boar populations from Australasian region presented the highest values of niche overlap with the native populations of the species (Schoener's D =357  $0.4 \pm 0.03$ ), compared to the Neotropical (Schoener's  $D = 0.3 \pm 0.06$ ) and the Nearctic 358 (Schoener's  $D = 0.2 \pm 0.02$ ). However, we found no evidence that the invaded populations' 359 niches from any of the analyzed regions are identical to their native counterparts, although in 360 361 the Nearctic they were more similar than expected by chance (Figs. S2, S3 and S4).

The hypothesis that native and exotic niches are indistinguishable (i.e. niche equivalence) was rejected for all biogeographical regions. However, the hypothesis that niche overlap falls within the 95% confidence limits of the null distributions (i.e. niche similarity) was rejected only for the Neotropical and Australasian region. In these regions, the wild boar's realized niche was apparently different from the one belonging to native populations.

368

Although some apparent niche shifts were observed, niche stability was high overall (Stability<sub>mean</sub> =  $0.99 \pm 0.006$ ) and niche expansion was low (Expansion<sub>mean</sub> =  $0.01 \pm 0.006$ ) in all regions. Niche shifts indicated by rejection of null hypotheses of niche similarity were explained by the process of niche unfilling (Unfilling<sub>mean</sub> =  $0.12 \pm 0.14$ ). The proportion of climate conditions present in the native range, but unoccupied by exotic populations ranged from 1% in the Nearctic, to 8% in Australasia and up to 28% in the Neotropics.

375

376 Because we found evidence for niche conservatism, we created invasion risk maps based on 377 climate suitability, using ecological niche models. Native-based models had a slightly poorer accuracy than pooled-range-based models (TSS<sub>mean</sub>= $0.62\pm0.1$  and TSS<sub>mean</sub>= $0.68\pm0.06$ , 378 379 respectively). Native-based models also accurately predicted most areas where wild boar is known to have invaded in all but the Australasian region (Fig. 2). The Afrotropical 380 381 biogeographical region was not used for niche comparison in this work due to the small 382 number of valid occurrences (n = 2). Although we did not calibrate models with occurrences 383 for that region, both ecological niche model calibration scenarios used here (native vs pooled range) indicated high climatic suitability for the wild boar on central and southeastern Africa(Fig. 2).

386

# 387 Discussion

We found that during the wild boar invasion into the Neotropical, Nearctic and Australian 388 389 regions, niche stability was high and niche expansion was low. Although native and exotic populations' niches were not equivalent (sensu Peterson et al., 1999), climatic matches 390 391 among occupied ranges were greater than expected by chance (sensu Graham et al., 2004) in 392 only one out of three regions analyzed. Niche stability was however corroborated by niche overlap measures (sensu Broennimann et al., 2012) and also by congruent broad-scale 393 394 predictions of ecological niche models calibrated with native and pooled range data (sensu 395 Peterson, 2003). Niche conservatisms is arguably one of the main processes that allows for a 396 species to invade different areas across the globe (Peterson 2011; Strubbe et al. 2015; Pyron 397 et al. 2015). Although phylogenetic changes in species fundamental niches are expected during the speciation processes (Pyron et al. 2015), such changes are rarely observed at the 398 timescale of man-made introductions (Peterson 2011). 399

400

Even though niche conservatism seems to be an *a priori* assumption for predicting potentially
invadable areas (Jiménez-Valverde *et al.* 2011), observation of niche changes are
increasingly reported (Broennimann *et al.* 2007; Tingley *et al.* 2014; Early & Sax 2014). The
validity of those changes is however questioned due to the recent unraveling of processes
driving niche shifts (Guisan *et al.* 2014). If species, in their invaded range, only occupy a

406 subset of the environmental conditions that they are usually found in their native range, 407 classic statistical tests may indeed find differences among realized climatic niches. Those differences nevertheless do not arise from expansion into non-analogous climate, as expected 408 from evolutionary adaptation. Niche unfilling might indeed be the pure result of ongoing 409 410 colonization and slow dispersal (Petitpierre et al. 2012; Guisan et al. 2014; Strubbe et al. 411 2015). A single snapshot in time may therefore not be sufficient to capture nuances of these 412 processes. Observed changes on realized niches may in fact mislead understanding of niche 413 shifts during biological invasions. Here, comparisons of native vs exotic populations revealed that niches were more similar than expected by chance in the Nearctic, although not 414 415 equivalent in any analyzed region, and that differences may be explained by niche unfilling, 416 rather than niche expansion (Guisan et al. 2014). Observed niche shifts due to unfilling of 417 environmental space have already been reported for several non-native vertebrate species, 418 including the wild boar (Strubbe et al. 2013, 2015).

419

420 Colonization history and propagule pressure also affect observed niche changes during biological invasions. Niche unfilling seems to be larger for species introduced recently and 421 422 into a small number of locations, compared to those with ancient colonization history and 423 introduced in several points in space (Strubbe et al. 2015). In this work, niche unfilling was 424 substantially higher for the Neotropics, compared to other locations. Wild boar importation 425 into the Neotropics has a 200 year long history (Skewes & Jaksic 2015). However, recent waves of introduction on the 1990s for pig-farming and game hunting, followed by escape 426 and inter-country dispersal (Skewes & Jaksic 2015; Pedrosa et al. 2015) have led to explosive 427 428 population growth in the Neotropics (Pedrosa et al. 2015). Because we found 28% of niche

unfilling for the Neotropical region, our results indicate that the invasive potential of wild
boar might be larger than expected so far. Preventing the expansion of wild boar distribution
into Neotropical species-rich areas such as the Amazon, coupled with potential economic
loss, thus requires specific management towards population control in areas already invaded.

433

Because the niche of non-native populations of wild boars is a subset of its native 434 435 counterparts' niche, ecological niche models should lead to accurate predictions of 436 potentially invadable areas (Strubbe et al. 2015). We found that models calibrated with 437 occurrences within the native distribution indeed predicted areas reportedly struggling with 438 wild boar population expansion, such as the south and southeastern Brazil (Pedrosa et al. 2015), eastern USA (Pimentel et al. 2005) and eastern Australia (Spencer & Hampton 2005). 439 440 In other words, models calibrated with native and pooled ranges led to convergent 441 predictions, thus suggesting that newly occupied areas are climatically similar to the ones the 442 wild boar was already adapted to. Those convergences imply that evolutionary markers from 443 climatic constraints across the native range are still evident on populations inhabiting exotic 444 ranges (Pyron et al. 2015).

445

We found a remarkable convergence on potentially invadable areas for the Afrotropical region. Although no data from that region was used to calibrate ecological niche models, both calibration scenarios predicted high climatic suitability for the wild boar in central and southeastern Africa. The Suidae family is a monophyletic group of Cetartiodactyla, composed of 17 species and originated in Africa (Frantz *et al.* 2016). That origin of extant

451 species is relatively recent - less than 5.3 Ma - and many lineages have and continue to 452 hybridize (Frantz et al. 2016). Climatic constraints on species realized niche may be the result of adaptation to climates in which species have evolved (Pyron et al. 2015). If fundamental 453 454 niches are phylogenetically conserved and climate-related evolutionary markers are indeed 455 preserved on related lineages (Pyron *et al.* 2015), then the high climatic suitability exhibited 456 for the wild boar may in fact be shared with other Afrotropical Suidae species. Furthermore, 457 the diversity of Suidae species in Africa probably prevented wild boar invasion, because humans bred other suids (such as the bushpig *Potamochoerus larvatus*) and transported them 458 through the mainland and towards Mayotte, Comoros, Madagascar and other islands (Frantz 459 460 et al. 2016). Testing whether phylogenetic niches are preserved among members of the 461 Suidae family is yet to be attempted, but could shed substantial light to the processes driving 462 speciation in that taxa.

463

One exception to the ecological niche models' predictive ability was nevertheless found. 464 465 Models calibrated with native occurrences were less able to predict wild boar's exotic occurrences in Australasia, compared to the other regions. Domestic pigs were first stablished 466 in Australia in 1788 and recreational hunting has been common practice among Caucasian 467 468 and Aboriginal groups since then (Bengsen et al. 2014; Meurk 2015). Pig hunting is therefore 469 part of subsistence and social practices and contributes substantially to regional economies 470 (Meurk 2015), despite long-lasting attempts to eradicate feral pig populations in Australian territory (Bengsen et al. 2014). Illegal transportation for game hunt and escapes from pig 471 farms probably increase propagule pressure all over Australia, thus leading to species 472 473 temporary occupancy of sub-optimal climates. Those populations occupying marginal 474 climates are characterized by sink dynamics and are not expected to persist in time (Colwell
475 & Rangel 2009; Soberón & Nakamura 2009).

476

Also, some of the records from central Australia are from pigs following flooding rivers 477 478 downstream into Lake Eyre. This is not driven by local climatic events, but rainfall far away in the upstream reaches of the catchments, and so correlations between climate and 479 480 occurrence is less likely here. Because native-based models were less able to predict wild boar occurrence in the Australasian region, we suggest that predictive maps of invasion risk 481 482 for that region should be calibrated with pooled range calibration scenarios. Although overall 483 broad-scale differences were small, including all known occurrences of an invasive species allows incorporation of possible non-equilibrium source-sink dynamics and their outcomes, 484 485 which improves invasion risk assessments at regional scale (Peterson 2011; Jiménez-486 Valverde et al. 2011).

487

Wild boars, like other Suidae members, have a limited ability to eliminate heat. They lack 488 functional sweat glands, have a thick hairy skin and tend to accumulate subcutaneous fat 489 490 (Manner & McCrea 1963; Fernández-Llario 2005; Bracke 2011). We expected that wild boars would thus preferentially occupy low temperature sites, such as high altitudes and high 491 492 latitudes, to prevent hyperthermia. However, all models predicted high climatic suitability in 493 warm areas, such as tropical America and Africa. Also, the wild boars' native distribution includes the low-latitude Indian horn, southern China and The Philippines. The occupancy 494 495 of warm climates suggests that mechanisms other than physiology have evolved in wild boars

to prevent overheating. In response to increased temperature, pigs usually exhibit a series of
behavioral patterns, of which the most obvious is wallowing (Olczak, Nowicki & Klocek
2015). Wallowing helps wild boars eliminate heat and may have a sexual function in males
(Fernández-Llario 2005). We believe that behavioral flexibility may further allow the wild
boars to occupy a wide range of climate conditions and thus overcome many physiological
limitations, while also enhancing its invasive potential.

502

503 The wild boar is considered one of the worst invasive alien species in the world (Lowe *et al.* 504 2000). Its rapid and large-scale spread into many places worldwide is an issue of great 505 concern for areas as diverse as agriculture, economy and biodiversity conservation. We found that, while niche conservatism explains invasion patterns, the broad native distribution of 506 507 wild boar is suggestive of a pre-adaptation to a wide array of climate conditions. That large 508 climatic tolerance is probably related to the species successful invasive potential, which can 509 have unprecedented proportions on newly colonized regions, such as the Neotropics. Our 510 results explain the observed invasive success of the species on several parts of the world, and 511 highlight potentially invadable areas to the wild boar.

512

# 513 Authors' contributions

LPS, BRR, MWH, AP, MP and RL conceived the ideas and designed the methods; LPS and
MWH collected the data; LPS and BRR analysed the data; LPS led the writing; BRR, MWH,
AP, MP and RL assisted writing and reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed
critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

518

## 519 Data Accessibility

520 Climate data used in this work can be downloaded at www.worldclim.org/version1. Wild 521 boar occurrences were collected on freely available web sources, listed in M&M section. 522 Additional data was obtained from a literature survey, which is provided as supplementary 523 material, alongside with the spreadsheet containing all occurrences collected in this work. 524 All R codes used in this paper are based open-source packages, available at The 525 Comprehensive R Archive Network (cran.r-project.org). All R scripts used in niche overlap 526 and ENM assessments can be downloaded at

527 http://www.unil.ch/ecospat/home/menuguid/ecospat-resources/tools.html.

528

# 529 Acknowledgments

L.P.S and B.R.R is supported by CAPES scholarship. RL and the Conservation Biogeography Lab's research has been constantly funded by CNPq (grants #308532/2014-7, 479959/2013-7, 407094/2013-0, 563621/2010-9), O Boticário Group Foundation for Nature Protection (grant #PROG\_0008\_2013). This paper is a contribution of the Brazilian Network on Global Climate Change Research funded by CNPq (grant #437167/2016-0) and FINEP (grant #01.13.0353.00). The authors declare no conflict of interests.

536

# 537 Supporting Information

538 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

539

- 540 Appendix S1. Full occurrence dataset, plus their biogeographical origin, used in the
- 541 modeling procedures of this work
- 542 **Table S1.** Supplementary literature.
- 543 **Table S2.** Summary of loadings from factorial analysis.
- 544 **Fig. S1.** Distribution of wild boar occurrences and calibration scenarios.
- 545 Fig. S2. Summary of niche equivalence and similarity tests for comparisons between native
- 546 and Neotropical populations.
- 547 Fig. S3. Idem Fig. S2, for comparisons between wild boar populations from native and548 Australasian region.
- Fig. S4. Idem Figs. S1 and S2, for comparisons between wild boar populations from nativeand Nearctic region.
- 551 Fig. S5. Niche shifts observed during wild boar invasion into the Neotropical, Australasian
- and Nearctic biogeographical regions.
- 553

# 554 **References**

- Allouche, O., Tsoar, A. & Kadmon, R. (2006) Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models:
  prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43, 1223–1232.
- Ballari, S.A. & Barrios-García, M.N. (2014) A review of wild boar *Sus scrofa* diet and factors affecting food
  selection in native and introduced ranges. *Mammal Review*, 44, 124–134.

- 559 Barasona, J.A., Latham, M.C., Acevedo, P., Armenteros, J.A., Latham, A.D.M., Gortazar, C., Carro, F.,
- Soriguer, R.C. & Vicente, J. (2014) Spatiotemporal interactions between wild boar and cattle:
  implications for cross-species disease transmission. *Veterinary Research*, 45, 122.
- Barrios-Garcia, M.N. & Ballari, S.A. (2012) Impact of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in its introduced and native
  range: a review. *Biological Invasions*, 14, 2283–2300.
- Barve, N., Barve, V., Jimenez-Valverde, A., Lira-Noriega, A., Maher, S.P., Peterson, A.T., Soberon, J. &
- 565 Villalobos, F. (2011) The crucial role of the accessible area in ecological niche modeling and species

distribution modeling. *Ecological Modelling*, **222**, 1810–1819.

- Bengsen, A.J., Gentle, M.N., Mitchell, J.L., Pearson, H.E. & Saunders, G.R. (2014) Impacts and management
  of wild pigs *Sus scrofa* in Australia. *Mammal Review*, 44, 135–147.
- 569 Bracke, M.B.M. (2011) Review of wallowing in pigs: Description of the behaviour and its motivational basis.
  570 *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 132, 1–13.
- Broennimann, O., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Pearman, P.B., Petitpierre, B., Pellissier, L., Yoccoz, N.G., Thuiller, W.,
  Fortin, M.-J., Randin, C., Zimmermann, N.E., Graham, C.H. & Guisan, A. (2012) Measuring ecological
  niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21,
  481–497.
- Broennimann, O. & Guisan, A. (2008) Predicting current and future biological invasions: both native and
  invaded ranges matter. *Biology Letters*, 4, 585–589.
- Broennimann, O., Treier, U.A., Müller-Schärer, H., Thuiller, W., Peterson, A.T. & Guisan, A. (2007)
  Evidence of climatic niche shift during biological invasion. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 701–709.
- 579 Colwell, R.K. & Rangel, T.F. (2009) Hutchinson's duality: The once and future niche. *Proceedings of the*580 *National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 19651–19658.
- 581 Desbiez, A.L.J., Keuroghlian, A., Piovezan, U. & Bodmer, R.E. (2011) Invasive species and bushmeat
  582 hunting contributing to wildlife conservation: the case of feral pigs in a Neotropical wetland. *Oryx*, 45,
  583 78–83.
- 584 Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Mauricio Bini, L., Fernando Rangel, T., Loyola, R.D., Hof, C., Nogués-Bravo, D. &
  585 Araújo, M.B. (2009) Partitioning and mapping uncertainties in ensembles of forecasts of species
  586 turnover under climate change. *Ecography*, 32, 897–906.
- 587 Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014) Defaunation in the
  588 Anthropocene. *Science*, 345, 401–406.
- Early, R. & Sax, D.F. (2014) Climatic niche shifts between species' native and naturalized ranges raise
  concern for ecological forecasts during invasions and climate change. *Global Ecology and*

- 591 *Biogeography*, 23, 1356–1365.
- 592 Estes, J. a, Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J., Bond, W.J., Carpenter, S.R., Essington, T.E.,
  593 Holt, R.D., Jackson, J.B.C., Marquis, R.J., Oksanen, L., Oksanen, T., Paine, R.T., Pikitch, E.K., Ripple,
  594 W.J., Sandin, S. a, Scheffer, M., Schoener, T.W., Shurin, J.B., Sinclair, A.R.E., Soule, M.E., Virtanen,
- 595 R. & Wardle, D. a. (2011) Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. *Science*, 333, 301–306.
- Fernández-Llario, P. (2005) The sexual function of wallowing in male wild boar (*Sus scrofa*). *Journal of Ethology*, 23, 9–14.
- Franklin, J. (2009) *Mapping Species Distributions: Spatial Inference and Prediction*. Cambridge University
   Press.
- Frantz, L., Meijaard, E., Gongora, J., Haile, J., Groenen, M.A.M. & Larson, G. (2016) The Evolution of
  Suidae. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 4, 61–85.
- Fulgione, D., Rippa, D., Buglione, M., Trapanese, M., Petrelli, S. & Maselli, V. (2016) Unexpected but
  welcome. Artificially selected traits may increase fitness in wild boar. *Evolutionary Applications*, 9,
  769–776.
- Gabor, T.M.. & Hellgren, E.C.. (2000) Variation in Peccary Populations: Landscape Composition or
  Competition by an Invader? *Ecology*, 81, 2509–2524.
- 607 Graham, C.H. & Hijmans, R.J. (2006) A comparison of methods for mapping species ranges and species
  608 richness., 578–587.
- Graham, C.H., Ron, S.R., Santos, J.C., Schneider, C.J. & Moritz, C. (2004) Integrating phylogenetics and
  environmental niche models to explore speciation mechanisms in dendrobatid frogs. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*, 58, 1781–93.
- Guisan, A., Petitpierre, B., Broennimann, O., Daehler, C. & Kueffer, C. (2014) Unifying niche shift studies:
  insights from biological invasions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29, 260–269.
- Hayward, M.W., Jędrzejewski, W. & Jêdrzejewska, B. (2012) Prey preferences of the tiger Panthera tigris (ed
  A Kitchener). *Journal of Zoology*, 286, 221–231.
- Hayward, M.W., Ward-Fear, G., L'Hotellier, F., Herman, K., Kabat, A.P. & Gibbons, J.P. (2016) Could
  biodiversity loss have increased Australia's bushfire threat? *Animal Conservation*.
- Herrero, J., García-Serrano, A., Couto, S., Ortuño, V.M. & García-González, R. (2006) Diet of wild boar *Sus scrofa L.* and crop damage in an intensive agroecosystem. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 52,
  245–250.
- 621 Hijmans, R.J., Phillips, S., Leathwick, J. & Elith, J. (2013) dismo: Species distribution modeling.

- Hutchinson, G.E. (1957) Concluding remarks. *Cold spring harbor symposium on quantitative biology*, pp.
  415–427.
- Jiménez-Valverde, A., Peterson, A.T., Soberón, J., Overton, J.M., Aragón, P. & Lobo, J.M. (2011) Use of
  niche models in invasive species risk assessments. *Biological Invasions*, 13, 2785–2797.
- 626 Karatzoglou, A., Smola, A. & Hornik, K. (2016) kernlab: Kernel-Based Machine Learning Lab.
- 627 Long, J.L. (2003) *Introduced Mammals of the World: Their History, Distribution and Influence*. CSIRO
  628 Publishing, Collingwood Victoria, Australia.
- Lowe, S., Browne, M., Boudjelas, S. & De Poorter, M. (2000) 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien
  Species. Published by The Invasive Species Specialist Group ISSG a specialist group of the Species
  Survival Commission SSC of the World Conservation Union IUCN 12pp First published as special
  liftout in Aliens, 12, 12.
- Mahalanobis, P.C. (1936) On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences (Calcutta)*, 2, 49–55.
- Manner, M.J. & McCrea, M. (1963) Changes in the chemical composition of sow-reared piglets during the
  first month of life. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 17, 495–513.
- Marshall, F.B., Dobney, K., Denham, T. & Capriles, J.M. (2014) Evaluating the roles of directed breeding
  and gene flow in animal domestication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 6153–
  6158.
- 640 Meurk, C. (2015) Contesting Death: Conservation, Heritage and Pig Killing in Far North Queensland,
  641 Australia. *Environmental Values*, 24, 79–104.
- 642 Olczak, K., Nowicki, J. & Klocek, C. (2015) Pig behaviour in relation to weather conditions a review.
  643 *Annals of Animal Science*, 15, 601–610.
- 644 Oliver, W. & Leus, K. (2008) Sus scrofa. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: Downloaded on 16
  645 March 2017.
- Pedrosa, F., Salerno, R., Padilha, F.V.B. & Galetti, M. (2015) Current distribution of invasive feral pigs in
  Brazil: economic impacts and ecological uncertainty. *Natureza & Conservação*, 13, 84–87.
- 648 Peterson, A.T. (1999) Conservatism of Ecological Niches in Evolutionary Time. *Science*, 285, 1265–1267.
- Peterson, A.T. (2003) Predicting the geography of species' invasions via ecological niche modeling. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 78, 419–433.
- Peterson, A.T. (2011) Ecological niche conservatism: A time-structured review of evidence. *Journal of Biogeography*, 38, 817–827.

- Peterson, A.T., Soberón, J., Pearson, R.G., Anderson, R.P., Martínez-Meyer, E., Nakamura, M. & Araújo,
  M.B. (2011) *Ecological Niches and Geographic Distributions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton,
  New Jersey.
- Petitpierre, B., Kueffer, C., Broennimann, O., Randin, C., Daehler, C. & Guisan, A. (2012) Climatic Niche
  Shifts Are Rare Among Terrestrial Plant Invaders. *Science*, 335, 1344–1348.
- 658 Pimentel, D., Zuniga, R. & Morrison, D. (2005) Update on the environmental and economic costs associated
- 659 with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecological Economics*, **52**, 273–288.
- Pyron, R.A., Costa, G.C., Patten, M.A. & Burbrink, F.T. (2015) Phylogenetic niche conservatism and the
  evolutionary basis of ecological speciation. *Biological Reviews*, 90, 1248–1262.
- 662 R Development Core Team. (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Randin, C., Engler, R., Pio, D., Garcia, R., Dubuis, A., Scherrer, D., Maiorano, L., Ndiribe, C., Salamin, N.,
  Guisan, A., Valeria, M. & Cola, D. (2016) Package "ecospat."
- Rodrigues, A.S.L., Pilgrim, J.D., Lamoreux, J.F., Hoffmann, M. & Brooks, T.M. (2006) The value of the
  IUCN Red List for conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 21, 71–76.
- Schoener, T.W. (1974) Some methods for calculating competition coefficients from resource-utilization
  spectra. *American Naturalist*, **108**, 332–340.
- Scott, A., Ram, K., Hart, T. & Chamberlain, S. (2016) Package "spoce": Interface to Species Occurrence Data
  Sources., 1–29.
- 671 Siemann, E., Carrillo, J.A., Gabler, C.A., Zipp, R. & Rogers, W.E. (2009) Experimental test of the impacts of
  672 feral hogs on forest dynamics and processes in the southeastern US. *Forest Ecology and Management*,
  673 258, 546–553.
- 674 Skewes, O. & Jaksic, F.M. (2015) History of the introduction and present distribution of the European wild
  675 boar (*Sus scrofa*) in Chile. *Mastozoología Neotropical*, 22, 113–124.
- 676 Soberón, J. (2007) Grinnellian and Eltonian niches and grographic distribution of species. *Ecology Letters*,
  677 10, 1115–1123.
- 678 Soberón, J. & Nakamura, M. (2009) Niches and distributional areas: Concepts, methods, and assumptions.
  679 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 19644–19650.
- 680 Soberón, J. & Peterson, A.T. (2005) Interpretation of models of fundamental ecological niches and species'
  681 distributional areas. *Biodiversity Informatics*, 1–10.
- Spencer, P.B.S. & Hampton, J.O. (2005) Illegal translocation and genetic structure of feral pigs in Western
  Australia. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 69, 377–384.

- 684 Strubbe, D., Beauchard, O. & Matthysen, E. (2015) Niche conservatism among non-native vertebrates in
  685 Europe and North America. *Ecography*, 38, 321–329.
- 686 Strubbe, D., Broennimann, O., Chiron, F. & Matthysen, E. (2013) Niche conservatism in non-native birds in
  687 Europe: niche unfilling rather than niche expansion. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22, 962–970.
- Taylor, R.B., Hellgren, E.C., Gabor, T.M. & Ilse, L.M. (1998) Reproduction of Feral Pigs in Southern Texas. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 79, 1325–1331.
- 690 Thuiller, W., Richardson, D.M., Pysek, P., Midgley, G.F., Hughes, G.O. & Rouget, M. (2005) Niche-based
  691 modelling as a tool for predicting the risk of alien plant invasions at a global scale. *Global Change*692 *Biology*, 11, 2234–2250.
- Tingley, R., Vallinoto, M., Sequeira, F. & Kearney, M.R. (2014) Realized niche shift during a global
  biological invasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 10233–10238.
- Warren, D.L., Glor, R.E. & Turelli, M. (2008) Environmental niche equivalency versus conservatism:
  Quantitative approaches to niche evolution. *Evolution*, 62, 2868–2883.
- Wiens, J.J. & Graham, C.H. (2005) Niche Conservatism: Integrating Evolution, Ecology, and Conservation
  Biology. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 36, 519–539.

## 710 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Distribution of wild boar *Sus scrofa* around the world. Violet polygon indicates the species native distribution, according to the IUCN. Dark pigs indicate wild boar occurrences considered native in this study, and pale pigs represent occurrences considered exotic. Only some occurrences used in this work are shown.

**Fig. 2.** Climate suitability and potentially invadable areas for the wild boar *Sus scrofa*. On the left, predictions are based on models calibrated solely with occurrences within the species native range (native-based models). On the right, models were calibrated with all locations where the wild boar is known to occur (pooled-range-based models). Continuous predictions are shown on top, where reddish colors indicate high suitability and bluish colors, low suitability. Binary predictions are presented on the downside of the picture, where red color indicates predicted presence and white color, absence.

- 722
- 723
- 724
- 725

726

- 727
- 728

729