



PRIFYSGOL
BANGOR
UNIVERSITY

Parasitism perturbs the mucosal microbiome of Atlantic Salmon

Llewellyn, M. S.; Leadbeater, S.; Garcia, C. ; Sylvain, F-E.; Custodio, M. ; Ang, K. P.; Powell, F. ; Carvalho, Gary; Creer, Simon; Elliot, J.; Derome, N.

Scientific Reports

DOI:
[10.1038/srep43465](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43465)

Published: 01/01/2017

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Llewellyn, M. S., Leadbeater, S., Garcia, C., Sylvain, F-E., Custodio, M., Ang, K. P., Powell, F., Carvalho, G., Creer, S., Elliot, J., & Derome, N. (2017). Parasitism perturbs the mucosal microbiome of Atlantic Salmon. *Scientific Reports*, 7, [43465]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43465>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Title: **Parasitism perturbs the mucosal microbiome of Atlantic Salmon**

2
3 Authors: **Llewellyn, M.S.*¹, Leadbeater, S.*² Garcia, C.³, Sylvain F-E³, Custodio, M.⁴**
4 **Ang, K. P. ⁵ Powell, F.,⁵ Carvalho, G. R.,⁶ Creer, S.⁶ Elliot, J.⁵ Derome, N.³**

5
6 1 School of Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glagsow UK

7 2 St Andrew's Marine Station, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, New Brunswick, Canada

8 3 Universite Laval, Quebec, Canada

9 4 Universidade Federale do Rondonia, Porto Vehlo, Brazil

10 5 Cooke Aquaculture, Canada

11 6 Marine and Fisheries Genetics Laboratory, University of Wales, Bangor

12 *Contributed equally to this study

13 Correspondence to martin.llewellyn@glasgow.ac.uk

14
15 Abstract

16 Interactions between parasite, host and host-associated microbiota are increasingly
17 understood as important determinants of disease progression and morbidity. Salmon lice,
18 including the parasitic copepod *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* and related species, are perhaps the
19 most important problem facing Atlantic Salmon aquaculture after feed sustainability. Salmon
20 lice parasitize the surface of the fish, feeding off mucus, scales and underlying tissue.
21 Secondary bacterial infections are a major source of associated morbidity. In this study we
22 tracked the diversity and composition of *Salmo salar* skin surface microbiota throughout a
23 complete *L. salmonis* infection cycle among 800 post-smolts as compared to healthy controls.
24 Among infected fish we observed a significant reduction in microbial richness (Chao1,
25 $P=0.0136$), raised diversity (Shannon, $P<7.86e-06$) as well as highly significant
26 destabilisation of microbial community composition (Pairwise Unifrac, beta-diversity,
27 $P<1.86e-05$; $P = 0.0132$) by comparison to controls. While undetectable on an individual
28 level, network analysis of microbial taxa on infected fish revealed the association of multiple
29 pathogenic genera (*Vibrio*, *Flavobacterium*, *Tenacibaculum*, *Pseudomonas*) with high louse
30 burdens. We discuss our findings in the context of ecological theory and colonisation
31 resistance, in addition to the role microbiota in driving primary and secondary pathology in
32 the host.

36 **Introduction**

37 New data from epidermal (e.g. [1]) and intestinal (e.g. [2-4]) parasitic disease systems
38 suggest major roles for host-associated microbiota in promoting effective host immunity (e.g.
39 [1]) or driving host pathology [2]. More widely, commensal microbiota – especially in the
40 gut - are known to exert ‘colonization resistance’ on potential opportunistic pathogens,
41 inhibiting over-growth and pathogenesis (e.g. [5]). In aquatic systems major pathogens and
42 putative opportunists are frequently identified as asymptomatic infections. As such, the host-
43 associated microbiome can act as the source of many disease agents which emerge as
44 important pathogens under the right conditions [6].

45 Salmon lice are copepod ectoparasites of salmon. Several species of the two main genera,
46 *Lepeophtheirus* and *Caligus*, are distributed globally and infect both Pacific and Atlantic
47 salmonid species [7]. Costs and losses attributed to sea louse infection, estimated at €300M
48 million annually, are the single greatest pathogen burden on the global salmonid aquaculture
49 industry [8]. In the North Atlantic, a single species predominates (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*),
50 causing year-round infestations of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) housed in marine cages,
51 with concomitant ramifications for fish health as well as aquaculture economics and
52 sustainability.

53 *L. salmonis* are the cause of substantial morbidity in their salmonid hosts. Pathology arises
54 primarily through louse feeding behaviour whereby their rasping maxillae scrape mucus,
55 scales, and underlying tissue into their digestive tract [9]. Osmoregulatory dysfunction, fluid
56 and blood loss result. There is evidence that *L. salmonis* secrete several proteases to assist
57 with feeding [10]. A further significant source of host pathology is secondary bacterial
58 infections (e.g. *Aeromonas salmonicida* and *Piscirickettsia salmonis*, among others) that
59 often accompany salmon lice infection [9,11,12]. In addition to breaking down physical
60 barriers, it is thought that *L. salmonis* secrete immunosuppressive chemicals (e.g.
61 Prostaglandin E, Trypsin), that down-regulate T-cell and other functions required to
62 effectively cope with bacterial pathogens [13,14]. Experimental *S. salar* co-infections
63 between a Chilean copepod species *Caligus rogercresseyi* and the bacteria *P. salmonis* show
64 that survival rates in the co-infected fish (0% after 53 days) are substantially lower than in
65 fish infected with *P. salmonis* alone (c.57% over the same period) [11]. Whilst there is an
66 increasing understanding of salmonid immunity to copepod pathogens, as well as to
67 secondary agents, nothing is known about the role commensal microbes may play in such
68 infections.

69

70 In this study we set out to explore the evolution of the host epidermal microbial community
71 during *L. salmonis* infection of marine-phase *S. salar* raised in aquaculture conditions. We
72 aimed to assess the extent of association between features of the epidermal microbiome and
73 different intensities of parasite burden. To achieve this we employed 16S rRNA amplicon
74 deep sequencing on the epidermal mucosa of a subset of 1200 *S. salar* post smolts (800 tests,
75 400 controls) experimentally infected with *L. salmonis*. Substantial perturbation of microbial
76 community structure and composition was observed in infected fish, consistent with a
77 reduction in the ‘colonization resistance’ of the system. Network analysis suggested a
78 correlation with increasing louse load and multiple potential pathogens. Together, our data
79 highlight the role of parasite-perturbed host associated microbiota as drivers of disease, as
80 well as new potential targets for therapeutic interventions.

81

82 **Results**

83 **Experimental infection outcomes** Exposure of post-smolts to 40 *L. salmonis* copepodids /
84 fish resulted in final louse counts ranging between two and 67 adult lice per individual (See
85 frequency distribution in Figure S1). Significant differences in louse load (ANOVA,
86 $P=0.0035$) were noted between tanks (Figure S2). Weight gain differences were noted
87 between some infected and control tanks at T_3 (Figure 1). A mixed-model incorporating tank
88 as a random effect showed a clear effect of lice on fish weight overall (Figure 1, $P = 0.00679$)
89 . Only mucus samples from Test tank3 & Test tank 4 were only 16S rRNA sequenced at the
90 final time point (T_3), a decision taken prior to and weight / growth calculations. For the four
91 test tanks, where individual fish were recaptured on multiple samplings, individual growth
92 rates (mass change (g) day^{-1}) were calculated (mean: 1.118 g day^{-1} , range: -1.57 to 3.55). No
93 correlation was observed between individual growth rate and louse load (Linear regression,
94 $P>0.05$, $R^2 = 0.01667$). Among the 50 salmon families included in our study (all survivors),
95 no impact of family was noted on louse density (ANOVA, $P=0.425$). For the infected fish
96 for which we could determine individual growth rate ($N=36$), no effect of family on growth
97 rate was detected.

98

99 **Microbial alpha and beta diversity destabilisation in response to *L. salmonis* infection**

100 After error filtering, alignment and chimera removal, a total dataset of 4,512,783 reads was
101 generated across all samples which clustered into 1754 97% OTUs (for sample numbers, see
102 Supplementary Information). This dataset was then rarefied to 13,700 reads per sample and
103 low abundance OTUs filtered out (<100 total). Rarefaction curves confirmed saturation at
104 this depth across the dataset (Figure S3). Again treating tank as a random effect, alpha

105 diversity (Shannon) and richness (Chao1) were compared across test and control tanks and
106 sampling points. A significant decline in Chao1 richness (Figure 2, , $P=0.0136$) was noted
107 between test and control tanks at T_2 but a significant increase in Shannon diversity at T_3
108 (Figure 2, $P<7.86e-06$). (Models: $\text{Chao1} \sim \text{Time} * \text{Treatment} + (1 | \text{Tank})$; $\text{Shannon} \sim \text{Time} * \text{Treatment} + (1 | \text{Tank})$). Very strikingly, we noted strong evidence for beta-diversity
109 destabilisation of host mucosal microbiota in fish infected by pre-adult (T_2) and adult lice
110 (T_3) (Figure 3, $T_2 P<1.86e-05$ $T_3, P = 0.0132$; Model: $\text{Beta_Div} \sim \text{Time} * \text{Treatment} + (1 | \text{Tank})$). No significance was obtained for treatment (infected or not) at earlier time points.
111 Destabilisation can be clearly observed in the principal coordinates analysis based on Unifrac
112 distances displayed in Figure 4. As is observable from Figure 3, destabilisation involves an
113 increase in the mean beta-diversity and its variance with time. As such, beta-diversity in both
114 test tanks experienced a ‘shot-gun’ spread of increasing dissimilarity over the course of
115 infection, compared to the two control tanks. As well as the important role of time in defining
116 microbiome composition, other features of interest in Figure 4 include clear clustering of all
117 water samples (T_{0-3}) with all mucus samples at $T_{0\&1}$ (Figure 4, Panel B). By contrast, biofilm
118 samples were distributed more widely across different time points (Figure 4, Panel E).
119 Samples taken from pooled *L. salmonis* intestines were highly divergent with respect to their
120 microbial composition (Pairwise Unifrac, Figure 4, Panel F), although fairly similar among
121 tanks. Multivariate permutational analysis of beta diversity undertaken in *vegan* at each time
122 point for test and control samples were significant at every time point (T_0 - T_3 ,
123 PERMANOVA, $P<0.001$), indicative of standing compositional differences between test and
124 control tanks prior to the addition of copepodids. However, R^2 estimates did increase between
125 test and control tanks over the course of infection, suggesting an increasingly important role
126 of *L. salmonis* infection in explaining the variance between treatments as infection progressed
127 (PERMANOVA, R^2 , $T_0 : 0.2608$; $T_1:0.2726$; $T_2:0.3351$; $T_3:0.3492$, $p<0.001$ in all cases).
128
129

130
131 **Dominant microbial taxa, taxon associations and networks.** At the genus level,
132 *Tenacibaculum* was perhaps the most abundant taxon across all samples in the experiment,
133 including mucus and water in both tests and controls (Figure 5). *Tenacibaculum* was present
134 but relatively less abundant in louse samples compared to other genera. Additional genera
135 present at high abundances globally included *Vibrio*, *Pseudomonas* and *Lewinella*. *Vibrio*
136 was particularly abundant among *L. salmonis* intestine samples, as was the genus *Arcobacter*
137 and NS10_marine_group, a member of family Cryomorphaceae. To more robustly assess
138 changes in taxon abundance in test and control tanks, we applied a Kruskal-Wallis test [15].
139 In view of standing variation present at T_0 between infected and control fish, direct

140 comparisons between treatments at T₃ would be meaningless. Therefore, we compared taxon
141 abundance in control and infected tanks respectively between T₀ and T₃ and noted differences
142 between these two comparisons (Figure 6). Genera significantly (P<0.001 after Bonferroni
143 correction) more abundant at T₃ in infected fish but not controls included Rhizobiales and
144 NS10_marine_group (family Cryomorphaceae). Only *Arthrobacter* were more abundant at T₃
145 in controls than in infected fish. Less abundant taxa in controls between T₀ and T₃ but not
146 infected fish were individual OTUs within family Saprospiraceae, order Alteromonadales and
147 order Gammaproteobacteria. The relative abundance of individual genera containing known
148 salmonid pathogen species: *Tenacibaculum*, *Vibrio*, *Flavobacterium*, *Pseudomonas* was not
149 higher among *L. salmonis* - infected fish at T₃ as compared to the control T₀-T₃ comparison
150 (Figure 6). We also explored any correlation with individual OTUs and louse load in the
151 larger cohort of infected fish. No significant negative associations were uncovered (bacterial
152 taxa associated with low louse loads). However, two OTUs – one belonging to
153 *Verrucomicrobia*, the other *Lewinella* were consistently associated with increasing louse load
154 (P<0.001 after Bonferroni correction) in all three tests applied. Consistent with Figure 4,
155 *Arcobacter*, presumably of louse origin, was also positively associated with louse load at T₃.
156 Network analysis, including louse load as a continuous variable, partitioned the 50 most
157 abundant OTUs in infected fish into two correlated groups (Figure 7), one large guild
158 comprising mainly commensals, the other containing a number of putative pathogenic genera
159 (*Pseudomonas*, *Tenanicibaculum*, *Flavobacterium*, among others). Importantly, significant
160 associations were apparent between the commensal guild and lower louse load and the
161 pathogenic guild and higher louse abundances on individual fish (Figure 7). Thus, while
162 individual associations between given microbial taxa and increasing louse abundance were
163 limited – second order, multi-taxa associations were clearly at play.

164

165 **Discussion.** Commensal microbiota may play a fundamental role in mediating host-parasite
166 interactions (e.g. [1-3]). The aim of this study was to explore the impact of *L. salmonis*
167 infection on the microbiota associated with Atlantic Salmon skin mucus in the context of
168 salmon pathology, louse life-cycle stage (T₀₋₃), and susceptibility to intense louse infections
169 as well as secondary bacterial infections. We were successfully able to demonstrate the
170 destabilizing influence that parasitism exerts on salmon skin microbiota. We did not
171 demonstrate a link between louse infection and *individual* secondary pathogens. However,
172 network analysis did reveal pathogenic and non-pathogenic guilds present within the
173 communities of infect fish that correlated with high-intensity and low intensity infections
174 respectively. We can thus conclude that perturbation of the mucosal microbiome may

175 promote pathology via proliferation of endogenous pathogenic genera and/or via decreased
176 colonization resistance to exogenous opportunists.

177 Numerous experimental studies have charted the detrimental impact of louse infection on
178 marine phase Atlantic salmon in terms of basic morbidity and stress (e.g. [16]) as well as
179 detailed immunological and transcriptional responses [17,18]. Our data generally corroborate
180 these studies in terms of reduced fish performance in three out of four of our infected tanks.
181 However, the limited time of exposure of the post-smolts to adult lice resulted in mass
182 changes that were borderline with respect to controls. Mortality associated with louse load
183 was not observed. Nonetheless, we did achieve our primary aim in obtaining intense *L.*
184 *salmonis* loads in *S. salar* that developed through to adult stage (mean parasites per fish:
185 23.53), providing the opportunity to track microbial diversity over the time course of
186 infection.

187 The composition of the *S. salar* associated intestinal microbiome is increasingly well
188 understood in both wild [19] and aquaculture [20] settings. Furthermore, the relative
189 contributions of environment and host to shaping euryhaline teleost gut microbial diversity
190 have also been estimated [21]. Data concerning the epidermal mucosal microbiome in
191 salmonids are less common, especially in the marine phase. Boutin et al., 2013 have
192 extensively characterized freshwater salmonid mucosal microbiota in brook char (*Salvelinus*
193 *fontinalis*) in the context of emergent opportunistic infections and stress [22,23]. Dominant
194 genera in our study (e.g. *Tenacibaculum*, *Lewinella*, *Vibrio*) were highly divergent with
195 respect to those uncovered by Boutin et al., with the possible exception of *Pseudomonas*
196 species [22]. Human skin microbiota are known to be among the most temporally unstable
197 assemblages in the human body, as well as showing high levels of inter-individual variation
198 [24]. The high degree of sharing apparent between environmental (principally water) and
199 salmon skin microbiota stands in stark to sharing between environmental samples and *S.*
200 *salar* gut microbiota [19]. It is also apparent that time (rather than infection status) is the
201 major driver behind many differences one sees between microbial assemblages in this study
202 (Figures 4&5). However, fluctuations in environmental microbiota did not seem to be the
203 root cause of such differences. Instead, most water samples were associated with salmon
204 mucus samples at T₀-T₁ only, while salmon mucus a samples T₂&T₃ were divergent and
205 distinct from those in the water. It is not clear whether skin microbiota might eventually
206 converge on a stable state with respect to time, or whether, like in other vertebrate systems,
207 skin communities are continually subject to high levels of stochastic temporal change (e.g.
208 [24]).

209 Sampling point (time) was not the only driver of microbiome community dynamics. Infection
210 with *L. salmonis* did play an increasingly important role in defining microbial community
211 identity as infection progressed, as revealed by multivariate analyses. In addition to
212 community identity, we were able to demonstrate that community richness and beta-diversity
213 were both impacted. ‘Destabilization’ of host-associated microbiota in comparison to healthy
214 controls is a consistent feature of diseased states in both non-communicable (e.g. Crohns
215 disease [25]) and communicable disease (e.g. Giardia [2]). The direction that these so-called
216 ‘dysbioses’ take is a matter for debate. Simple reductions in microbial diversity and/or
217 richness can be associated with conditions such as Crohns [26]. Directional shifts in
218 community identity can also be detected in *Plasmodium*-infected mice [27]. Moreover,
219 microbial co-occurrence networks shift in bowel cancer and changes in microbiome
220 functional metabolic signatures can be detected in periodontitis [28,29]. The impact of such
221 microbial dysbiosis on the host is less clear, and may indeed be either a primary,
222 deterministic feature that allow opportunistic disease to occur or a secondary, neutral feature
223 of primary pathogenesis with little more than diagnostic significance. Given the importance
224 of secondary infections in the *L. salmonis* system, the destabilization of surface microbiota
225 may, however, have a direct impact on host health – perhaps primarily via the declining
226 ‘colonization resistance’ exerted by skin commensals that may result. Invasion ecologist
227 Charles E. Elton first hypothesized that diverse communities might resist invasion more
228 effectively than stable ones [30]. Various modifications of this argument linking aspects of
229 microbial diversity to invasibility (i.e. colonization resistance) can be uncovered throughout
230 the literature (reviewed in [31]). Fluctuating alpha and beta-diversity in infected fish did not
231 significantly impact the abundance of putative pathogens in our study at individual level. For
232 example OTUs of genus *Tenacibaculum* (to which *Tenacibaculum maritimum*, the etiological
233 agent of salmon ulcerative tenacibaculosis belongs [32]) were abundant in almost all fish
234 sampled, irrespective of whether or not they were infected with *L. salmonis*. Individual
235 OTUs that were significantly associated with louse load among infected fish (one belonging
236 to phylum Verrucomicrobia, the other classified as *Lewinella*) were not attributable to any
237 known pathogen. OTUs found associated with sea lice intestines showed some interesting
238 features. The capacity of *L. salmonis* to propagate disease agents has been the subject of
239 some discussion in the literature (e.g. [33]). *Vibrio*, a genus comprising several major fish
240 pathogens [6], amongst other commensal taxa, was highly abundant in louse samples,
241 although also present among fish and environmental samples in test and control tanks. One
242 bacterial OTU (NS_10: Cryomorphaceae) was very clearly associated with louse infection
243 and was amplified exclusively from lice intestines and test tanks T₂ and T₃. Whilst the

244 importance of this the bacterium is not clear, the data suggests an ability to proliferate in the
245 louse and transfer effectively from one host to another and a role as an indirectly transmitted
246 pathogen cannot be ruled out.

247 Whilst associations between louse load and individual bacterial taxa do not suggest a clear
248 link between parasite burden and the abundance specific secondary disease agents, network
249 analyses were less equivocal. In line with previous work on microbial assemblages from
250 salmonid skin mucus, co-occurring guilds of bacteria (respectively putative commensals or
251 pathogens) persist whose relative abundance can be modulated by stress [22] – in our case
252 corresponding to parasite load. Establishing the role of such community dynamics in driving
253 opportunistic disease or transmissible disease susceptibility is a crucial goal of future
254 research. As such, maintaining stability in skin surface microbial assemblages via pre- pro- or
255 syn-biotics may provide an effective means of mitigating disease in parasitized fish. Co-
256 infection experiments are vital in this context, involving paired macro- and micro- pathogens
257 to simulate the real world scenarios (e.g. [11]). Thus our study underlines the importance of
258 taking a holistic approach that incorporates changing host, parasite and microbiome to
259 appreciate their relative roles in modifying disease outcome.

260 **Materials and Methods:**

261 **Experimental procedures:** Salmon post-smolt (mean mass at experiment outset 149g +/-
262 13.1g SE) from 50 salmon families were internally Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT)
263 tagged and distributed randomly across six 1000L tanks in a flow through system at the
264 Fisheries and Oceans Canada marine facility St. Andrews Biological Station (St. Andrews,
265 New Brunswick (NB), Canada). All fish handling and procedures were approved by DFO
266 Maritimes & Gulf / CFIA Regional Animal Care Committee (File Number 14-13) and carried
267 out under the direct supervision of a trained Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada
268 operative in strict compliance with regulations set out by the Canadian Council for Animal
269 Care (<http://www.ccac.ca/>). Water conditions were maintained at 11-14°C with a salinity of
270 30-33g L⁻¹. Each tank housed a maximum of 200 fish at a density under 40kg m⁻³ and water
271 quality parameters (temperature and oxygen) were monitored daily. Fish were fed with
272 commercial salmon feed (2.5 mm) at 1-2% body weight per day and oxygen was added to
273 maintain a saturation level between 90 and 105% (8-10mg/l). Following an acclimation
274 period of three weeks, four of the six tanks salmon were challenged with infective *L.*
275 *salmonis* copepodids at a concentration of 40 copepodids per fish (8 copepodids L⁻¹) for 1
276 hour. Copepodids were hatched from egg strings collected from gravid female lice gathered
277 at a commercial salmon farm by technical staff from the Huntsman Marine Sciences Centre
278 (HMSC), St. Andrews, NB, Canada. Water flow to the experimental exposure tanks was

279 stopped just prior to addition of lice and fish were observed closely during the infection
280 event. Jumping, flashing and behaviours such as rapid swimming were observed which is
281 consistent with lice infection. After 1 hour, water flow was resumed and fish were not
282 handled until the required sampling time point.

283

284 At 48 hours prior to infection (T_0), 6 days (T_1), 22 days (T_2) and 35 (T_3) after infection,
285 bacterial community sampling was undertaken. Mucus samples comprised skin swabs along
286 one full lateral surface of the fish (including the gill operculum). Samples from two control
287 (Tank C1&C2 - uninfected) and two test (Tank Test_1&Test_2 - infected) tanks were taken
288 at sample point T_{0-3} . In addition, samples were taken from two further test tanks at T_3 (Tank
289 Test_3&Test_4, identical conditions to Test_1&Test_2) to provide further insight on the
290 impact of adult lice. A single inflowing water bacterial community sample was taken per time
291 point (10 litres filtered through a 0.2 μm filter). Biofilm samples were taken along the sides
292 of each tank per time point also. During sampling, all fish in each tank were sedated using
293 Aquacalm at 0.9mg/l and 25 fish from each tank per time point were sampled randomly using
294 individual sterilised soft-mesh nets to avoid cross-contamination and to avoid dislodging lice.
295 Length (cm) and mass (g) were also recorded. Skin pH data for 10 fish were collected, while
296 a blood sample for serum cortisol determination was collected for 5 fish per tank at time
297 points T_{1-3} . At day 35 (T_3) all fish were euthanized with Tri-methanosuphonate (TMS) at
298 100-150 mg/l in individual nets to account for mobile lice loss and lice count data, weight
299 length and sex were recorded. At T_3 , 10 adult lice per tank were collected and treated with
300 0.1% hypochlorite solution for 30 minutes to remove adherent microbes, washed with
301 microbe free water, pooled and frozen for gut microbial analysis.

302

303 **16S rDNA amplicon sequence analysis**

304 Mucus, environmental (biofilm, water) and louse samples were collected in sterile micro-
305 centrifuge tubes and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen (-196°C) until DNA extraction at
306 the Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes, at the Université Laval (Québec, QC).
307 DNA was extracted from all samples using the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit according
308 the manufacturers instructions. Amplification of the 16S rRNA V4 region was achieved with
309 primers 519_f 5'-CAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3' and 785_r 5'-TACNVGGGTATCTAATCC-
310 3' using Takara *Taq* Polymerase (CloneTech, USA), and a final concentration of 1 pmol of
311 each primer [19]. Reaction conditions were 95°C for five minutes, followed by 30°C cycles
312 and of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds, followed by a
313 final elongation step of 72°C for 10 minutes. Each amplification was run in triplicate

314 (technical replicates) and pooled to minimise PCR bias, purified using an AxyPrep™ Mag
315 PCR Clean-Up Kit (Corning, USA). Sequence libraries were dual indexed using Illumina
316 Nextera multiplex barcodes and sequenced in a single run on an Illumina MiSeq platform. V4
317 was chosen in the light of its widespread use to profile vertebrate-associated microbiota as
318 well as its suitability for Illumina paired end sequence read lengths at the time of sequencing
319 [34].

320 Amplicon data were processed as described previously ([19]). Briefly, SICKLE [35] was
321 used for error screening ($>Q30$) and assembly of each paired end read into a single
322 overlapping 290bp fragment from the 16S rRNA V4 hypervariable region was achieved in
323 PANDASeq [36]. Sequences were aligned against the *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene and trimmed in
324 Mothur [37] prior to operational taxonomic unit clustering in UPARSE at 97% identity [38].
325 Putatively chimeric OTUs were filtered out in reference to the genomes online database
326 (GOLD v.5) in UCHIME [39]. Subsequently, the following steps were undertaken in QIIME
327 [15]: after exclusion of chimeric OTUs, samples containing $<13,700$ reads were discarded
328 and all samples were rarefied to an even depth of 13,700 reads. 13,700 represented the
329 optimal minimum depth at which saturation was achieved while still including the maximum
330 number of sample. OTUs with fewer than 100 reads or that only occurred in a single sample
331 were filtered out as a step to improve accuracy and diversity estimates [40].

332

333 **Statistical and diversity analyses**

334 Fish mass and growth rate (where the same individuals were resampled at different time
335 points – mean mass gain (g day^{-1}) were recorded throughout the experiment. Differences in
336 mass between time points and between test (infected) and controls (uninfected) were plotted
337 and assessed for significance using mixed models incorporating different tanks as a random
338 effect in R using lme4 ($\text{lmer}(\text{Weight} \sim \text{Time_point} * \text{Test} + (1/\text{Tank_Number}))$) and tested for
339 significance using a likelihood ratio test in the same package ($\text{anova}(\text{null}, \text{model})$) [41]. For
340 the microbial samples themselves, Shannon diversity and Chao1 richness estimators were
341 calculated for each rarefied sample in QIIME [15]. Mixed models were also applied to assess
342 the distribution of variation in these parameters per treatment (fixed), tank (random) and
343 sample point (fixed) using lme4 and lmerTest [41]. To evaluate differences in community
344 composition (beta-diversity), unweighted Unifrac distances were calculated and plotted [42].
345 Differences in beta-diversity between treatments and tanks were also assessed using mixed
346 models in lme4 [41]. Beta-diversity comparisons between all samples were also subjected to
347 principal coordinates analysis, also in QIIME [15]. Differences in microbiome composition
348 between test (Test tank 1 & Test Tank 2) and control (Control Tank 1 & Control 2) tanks at

349 each time point (T₀₋₃) were tested using a permutation-based multivariate analyses of
350 variance (PERMANOVA) in ADONIS in the Vegan package in R [43]. OTU abundances,
351 genus and order-level taxonomic classifications were calculated and plotted. Differential
352 abundance of majority OTUs (i.e. comprising 95% of all samples) were compared between
353 times T₀ and T₃ in control and infected fish treatments respectively and tested for significance
354 using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test in QIIME. Among infected fish from four tanks at
355 T₃, correlations were explored between microbial diversity and abundance and sea louse load
356 as well as individual fish growth rate (mass (g) day⁻¹) via several Bonferroni-corrected
357 correlation tests in QIIME including: Pearson, Kendal and Spearman rank tests. Only
358 consistently occurring OTUs across these measures were reported. Finally, network analysis
359 was achieved in Cytoscape v.3.2.1 based on correlations between the relative abundance of
360 the top 50 OTUs on the test fish (T₀-T₃) in relation to lice load. Spearman correlations and
361 node weightings were calculated in the R packages *multtest*, *Hmisc*, *parallel* and *iterators*.
362 Correlations were considered significant when the Spearman correlation value was > 0.6 and
363 the correlation p-value (corrected with Bonferroni) was < 0.05.

364 **Acknowledgements**

365 ND would like to acknowledge funding support from NSERC Engage and Discovery grants,
366 the Aquaculture Collaborative Research and Development Program (ACRDP) from Fisheries
367 and Ocean Canada, the St Andrew's fish facility (St Andrews, New-Brunswick, Canada) and
368 ML funding from Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship 302503 and RCUK project
369 BB/N024028/1. Special thanks to Bachar Cheaib for assistance preparing and modifying R
370 scripts.

371

372 **References**

373

- 374 1. Naik S., et al. Compartmentalized Control of Skin Immunity by Resident Commensals.
375 *Science* **337**, 1115-1119 (2012)
- 376 2. Chen T-L., et al. Persistent gut barrier damage and commensal bacterial influx following
377 eradication of *Giardia* infection in mice. *Gut Pathogens* **5**, 26 (2013)
- 378 3. Hayes K.S., et al. Exploitation of the intestinal microflora by the parasitic nematode
379 *Trichuris muris*. *Science* **328**, 1391-1394. (2010)
- 380 4. Ras R., Huynh K., Desoky E., Badawy A., Widmer G. Perturbation of the intestinal
381 microbiota of mice infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *International Journal for*
382 *Parasitology* **45**, 567-573 (2015)

- 383 5. Britton R.A., Young V.B. Role of the intestinal microbiota in resistance to colonization by
384 *Clostridium difficile*. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 1547-1553. (2014)
- 385 6. Derome N, Gauthier J, Boutin S, Llewellyn M Chapter 4 Bacterial Opportunistic
386 Pathogens of Fish. In: Hurst C, editor. *Advances in Environmental Microbiology*: Springer.
387 (2016)
- 388 7. Boxshall G., Defaye D. *Pathogens Of Wild And Farmed Fish: Sea Lice*: CRC Press.
389 (1993)
- 390 8. Costello M.J. The global economic cost of sea lice to the salmonid farming industry. **J**
391 *Fish Dis* **32**, 115-118 (2009)
- 392 9. Boxaspen K. A review of the biology and genetics of sea lice. *ICES Journal of marine*
393 *Science* **63**, 1304-1316 (2006)
- 394 10. Fast M.D., Burka J.F., Johnson S.C., Ross N.W. Enzymes released from *Lepeophtheirus*
395 *salmonis* in response to mucus from different salmonids. *J Parasitol* **89**, 7-13 (2003)
- 396 11. Lhorente J.P., Gallardo J.A., Villanueva B., Carabano M.J., Neira R. Disease resistance in
397 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): coinfection of the intracellular bacterial pathogen
398 *Piscirickettsia salmonis* and the sea louse *Caligus rogercresseyi*. *PLoS One* **9**, e95397. (2014)
- 399 12. Novak C.W., Lewis D.L., Collicutt B., Verkaik K., Barker D.E. Investigations on the role
400 of the salmon louse, *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Caligidae), as a vector in the transmission of
401 *Aeromonas salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **39**, 1165-78 (2016)
- 402 13. Fast M.D., Johnson S.C., Eddy T.D., Pinto D., Ross N.W. *Lepeophtheirus salmonis*
403 secretory/excretory products and their effects on Atlantic salmon immune gene regulation.
404 *Parasite Immunology* **29**, 179-189 (2007)
- 405 14. Skugor S., Glover K.A., Nilsen F., Krasnov A. Local and systemic gene expression
406 responses of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) to infection with the salmon louse
407 (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*). *BMC Genomics* **9**, 498 (2008)
- 408 15. Kuczynski J., et al. Using QIIME to analyze 16S rRNA gene sequences from microbial
409 communities. *Curr Protoc Microbiol Chapter* **1**, Unit 1E 5 (2012)
- 410 16. Finstad B., Bjørn P.A., Grimnes A., Hvidsten N.A. Laboratory and field investigations of
411 salmon lice [*Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Krøyer)] infestation on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*
412 L.) post-smolts. *Aquaculture Research* **31**, 795-803 (2000)
- 413 17. Tadiso T.M., et al. Gene expression analyses of immune responses in Atlantic salmon
414 during early stages of infection by salmon louse (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) revealed bi-
415 phasic responses coinciding with the copepod-chalimus transition. *BMC Genomics* **12**, 141
416 (2011)

- 417 18. Sutherland B.J., et al. Comparative transcriptomics of Atlantic *Salmo salar*, chum
418 *Oncorhynchus keta* and pink salmon *O. gorbuscha* during infections with salmon lice
419 *Lepeophtheirus salmonis*. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 200 (2014)
- 420 19. Llewellyn M.S., et al. The biogeography of the atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) gut
421 microbiome. *ISME J.* **10**, 1280-4 (2015)
- 422 20. Llewellyn M., Boutin S., Hoseinifar S.H., Derome N. Teleost microbiomes: progress
423 towards their characterisation, manipulation and applications in aquaculture and fisheries.
424 *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5** (2014)
- 425 21. Schmidt V.T., Smith K.F., Melvin D.W., Amaral-Zettler L.A. Community assembly of a
426 euryhaline fish microbiome during salinity acclimation. *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 2537-50.
427 (2015)
- 428 22. Boutin S., Bernatchez L., Audet C., Derome N. Network Analysis Highlights Complex
429 Interactions between Pathogen, Host and Commensal Microbiota. *PLoS One* **8**, e84772.
430 (2013)
- 431 23. Boutin S., Audet C., Derôme N. Probiotic treatment by indigenous bacteria decreases
432 mortality without disturbing the natural microbiota of *Salvelinus fontinalis*. *Canadian*
433 *Journal of Microbiology* **59**, 662-670. (2013)
- 434 24. Grice E.A., et al. Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome.
435 *Science* **324**, 1190-1192 (2009)
- 436 25. Gevers D., et al. The treatment-naïve microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. *Cell Host*
437 *Microbe* **15**, 382-392. (2014)
- 438 26. Manichanh C., et al. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed
439 by a metagenomic approach. *Gut* **55**, 205-211 (2006)
- 440 27. Taniguchi T., et al. *Plasmodium berghei* ANKA causes intestinal malaria associated with
441 dysbiosis. *Sci Rep* **5**, 15699. (2015)
- 442 28. Nakatsu G., et al. Gut mucosal microbiome across stages of colorectal carcinogenesis.
443 *Nat Commun* **6**, 8727 (2015)
- 444 29. Yost S., Duran-Pinedo A.E., Teles R., Krishnan K., Frias-Lopez J. Functional signatures
445 of oral dysbiosis during periodontitis progression revealed by microbial metatranscriptome
446 analysis. *Genome Med* **7**, 27 (2015)
- 447 30. Elton C.S. The ecology of invasions by animals and plants. London, United Kingdom:
448 Methuen & Co., Ltd. (1958)
- 449 31. Robinson C.J., Bohannan B.J., Young V.B. From structure to function: the ecology of
450 host-associated microbial communities. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **74**, 453-476 (2010)

- 451 32. Avendano-Herrera R., Toranzo A.E., Magarinos B. Tenacibaculosis infection in marine
452 fish caused by *Tenacibaculum maritimum*: a review. *Dis Aquat Organ* **71**, 255-266 (2006)
- 453 33. Jakob E., Barker D.E., Garver K.A. Vector potential of the salmon louse *Lepeophtheirus*
454 *salmonis* in the transmission of infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). *Diseases of*
455 *Aquatic Organisms* **97**, 155-165. (2011)
- 456 34. Werner J.J., Zhou D., Caporaso J.G., Knight R., Angenent L.T. Comparison of Illumina
457 paired-end and single-direction sequencing for microbial 16S rRNA gene amplicon surveys.
458 *ISME J* **6**, 1273-1276 (2012)
- 459 35. Joshi N., Fass J. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for
460 FastQ files (Version 1.33). (2011)
- 461 36. Masella A.P., Bartram A.K., Truszkowski J.M., Brown D.G., Neufeld J.D. PANDAseq:
462 paired-end assembler for illumina sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* **13**, 31 (2012)
- 463 37. Schloss P.D., et al. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-
464 supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ*
465 *Microbiol* **75**, 7537-7541 (2009)
- 466 38. Edgar R.C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads.
467 *Nat Methods* **10**, 996-998 (2013)
- 468 39. Edgar R.C., Haas B.J., Clemente J.C., Quince C., Knight R. UCHIME improves
469 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2194-2200. (2011)
- 470 40. Bokulich N.A., et al. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina
471 amplicon sequencing. *Nat Methods* **10**, 57-59. (2013)
- 472 41. Bates D., Mächler M., Bolker B., Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
473 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **1**, 1 (2015).
- 474 42. Lozupone C., Lladser M.E., Knights D., Stombaugh J., Knight R. UniFrac: an effective
475 distance metric for microbial community comparison. *ISME J* **5**, 169-172 (2011)
- 476 43. Oksanen J., et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.2-1.
477 <http://CRANR-projectorg/package=vegan>. (2015)

478

479

480 **Authors Contributions**

481

482 Authors: ML, SL, & GC carried out the research. ML, MC, & FS undertook analyses. KA,
483 FP, & JE contributed resources and reagents. ML, ND, FS, SC, GC, SC & ND wrote the
484 paper.

485

486 **Additional Information**

487

488 I declare that the authors have no competing interests as defined by Nature Publishing Group,
489 or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and/or discussion reported in
490 this paper.

491

492 **Figure 1 – Impact of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* infection on salmon growth during the**
493 **experiment.** Mean values for fish mass with error bars showing +/- standard error are shown
494 per tank and time point in test and control tanks. An analysis of variance indicates a
495 borderline insignificant impact of infection on fish mass across all six tanks (P=0.082), and
496 significant when only the four tanks (Test (Tt)1, Test(Tt) 2,C1,C2) from which longitudinal
497 microbiome sampling had occurred (P=0.0007).

498

499 **Figure 2 – Alpha diversity (Shannon) and richness (Chao1) variation in *Salmo salar***
500 **skin mucosal microbiota in response to infection with the sea louse *Lepeophtheirus***
501 ***salmonis*.** Box plots show diversity and richness profiles at each sampling point T₀-T₃. A
502 significant decline in Chao1 richness (Figure 2) was noted between test and control tanks at
503 T₂ (P=0.0136). Shannon diversity increased at T₃ (P<7.86e-06). * denotes significance level.

504

505 **Figure 3 – Pair-wise beta diversity measurements show destabilisation of *Salmo salar***
506 **skin mucus bacterial assemblages in response to infection with the sea louse**
507 ***Lepeophtheirus salmonis*.** Box plots indicate variation in inter-sample pairwise Unifrac
508 distance per tank and sampling point T₁-T₄. Significant increases in inter-sample variation
509 was noted at the Times 2&3 between control and infected tanks (T₂ P<1.86e-05 T₃, P =
510 0.0132)

511

512 **Figure 4 – Relationship between microbiota sampled from *Salmo salar*, *Lepeophtheirus***
513 ***salmonis* and environmental samples (water, biofilm) over the course of experimental**
514 **infection.** A composite multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of sample clustering is based
515 on a single principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of pairwise un-weighted Unifrac distances
516 between all samples. The left hand plot figure depicts samples coloured by time point
517 (T₀=red, T₁=blue, T₂=orange, T₃=green). The right hand plot depicts the same plot coloured
518 by sample type (skin mucous=blue, water samples=green, tank biofilm=red, lice=orange)

519

520 **Figure 5 – Taxonomic classifications and abundances of OTUs recovered among *Salmo***
521 ***salar*, *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* and water samples.** The bubble shows mean abundance of
522 core OTU taxonomic assignments (y axis, present in >85% of samples, represented by >100
523 sequences) in each sample group respectively (test (infected) vs control (uninfected) at each
524 time point) on the x-axis. Variance associated with mean abundances are included in
525 Supplementary data.

526

527 **Figure 6 – Comparisons of mean abundance of bacterial taxa between infected and**
528 **uninfected fish.** Plots show log abundance of different taxa (y axis) compared between T₀
529 and T₃ of all control (A) and test (B) tanks, respectively (x axis). Error bars are +/- standard
530 error. Based on a Kruskal-Wallis test, data point (closed circles) colours in T₃ indicate where
531 an OTU was significantly less abundant than at T₀ (blue), more abundant (red) or not
532 significantly different (black). Abundance differences between taxa in control (top) and test
533 (bottom) treatments for T₀ (left) - T₃ (right) comparisons (that are still significant after
534 Bonferroni correction) are marked up by green dashed boxes. Putative secondary pathogens
535 are listed in black (and indicated by the black dashed circles). Listed in red are taxa that were
536 more abundant at T₃ of control or infected fish respectively. Listed in blue were taxa that are
537 less abundant given the same criteria.

538

539 **Figure 7 - Network of bacterial taxa based on co-abundance of the 50 most abundant**
540 **bacterial genera on all infected fish between samplings T0 and T3.** The abundance of the
541 sea lice on each fish has been used as a factor. Each node represents a taxon or louse
542 abundance. An edge between two samples indicates a Spearman correlation index > 0.7
543 between the two samples and a correlation p-value corrected with Bonferroni < 0.05. The
544 size of each node is proportional to the number of edges to which it is connected. The two
545 main clusters are labeled green (putative commensal) and red (putative pathogens). High lice
546 abundance correlations refer to taxa which are positively correlated with lice abundance
547 (Spearman correlation > 0.6), whereas low lice abundance correlations refer to taxa
548 negatively correlated with lice abundance (Spearman correlation < -0.6).

549