## Conserving the World's Megafauna and Biodiversity

Ripple, W.J.; Chapron, Guillaume ; López-Bao, José Vicente ; Durant, Sarah M. ; Macdonald, D.W.; Lindsey, Peter A.; Bennett, Elizabeth L. ; Beschta, Robert L. ; Bruskotter, Jeremy T. ; Campos-Arceiz, Ahimsa ; Corlett, Richard T. ; Darimont, Chris T. ; Dickman, Amy J. ; Dirzo, Rodolfo ; Dublin, Holly T. ; Estes, James A. ; Everatt, Kristoffer T. ; Galetti, Mauro ; Goswami, Varun R. ; Hayward, Matthew; Hedges, Simon ; Hoffmann, Michael ; Hunter, Luke T. B. ; Kerley, Graham I. H. ; Letnic, Mike ; Levi, Taal ; Maisels, Fiona ; Morrison, John C. ; Nelson, Michael Paul ; Newsome, Thomas M.; Painter, Luke ; Pringle, Robert M. ; Sandom, Christopher J. ; Terborgh, John ; Treves, Adrian ; Van Valkenburgh, Blaire ; Vucetich, John A.; Wirsing, Aaron J. ; Wallach, Arian D. ; Wolf, Christopher ; Woodroffe, Rosie ; Young, Hillary ; Zhang, L.

**BioScience** 

Published: 01/03/2017

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):* Ripple, W. J., Chapron, G., López-Bao, J. V., Durant, S. M., Macdonald, D. W., Lindsey, P. A., Bennett, E. L., Beschta, R. L., Bruskotter, J. T., Campos-Arceiz, A., Corlett, R. T., Darimont, C. T., Dickman, A. J., Dirzo, R., Dublin, H. T., Estes, J. A., Everatt, K. T., Galetti, M., Goswami, V. R., ... Zhang, L. (2017). Conserving the World's Megafauna and Biodiversity: The Fierce Urgency of Now. *BioScience*, *67*(3), 197-200.

## Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## 1 Conserving the world's megafauna: the fierce urgency of now

- 3 William J. Ripple<sup>1</sup>, Guillaume Chapron<sup>2</sup>, José Vicente López-Bao<sup>3</sup>, Sarah M. Durant<sup>4</sup>,
- 4 David W. Macdonald<sup>5</sup>, Peter A. Lindsey<sup>6,7</sup>, Elizabeth L. Bennett<sup>8</sup>, Robert L. Beschta<sup>1</sup>,
- 5 Jeremy T. Bruskotter<sup>9</sup>, Ahimsa Campos-Arceiz<sup>10</sup>, Richard T. Corlett<sup>11</sup>, Chris T.
- 6 Darimont<sup>12</sup>, Amy J. Dickman<sup>5</sup>, Rodolfo Dirzo<sup>13</sup>, Holly T. Dublin<sup>8,14</sup>, James A.
- 7 Estes<sup>15</sup>, Kristoffer T. Everatt<sup>16</sup>, Mauro Galetti<sup>17</sup>, Varun R. Goswami<sup>18</sup>, Matt W.
- 8 Hayward<sup>16,19,20</sup>, Simon Hedges<sup>8</sup>, Michael Hoffmann<sup>21</sup>, Luke T. B. Hunter<sup>6</sup>, Graham I.
- 9 H. Kerley<sup>16</sup>, Mike Letnic<sup>22</sup>, Taal Levi<sup>23</sup>, Fiona Maisels<sup>8,24</sup>, John C. Morrison<sup>25</sup>,
- 10 Michael Paul Nelson<sup>1</sup>, Thomas M. Newsome<sup>1,26,27,28</sup>, Luke Painter<sup>1</sup>, Robert M.
- 11 Pringle<sup>29</sup>, Christopher J. Sandom<sup>30</sup>, John Terborgh<sup>31</sup>, Adrian Treves<sup>32</sup>, Blaire Van
- 12 Valkenburgh<sup>33</sup>, John A. Vucetich<sup>34</sup>, Aaron J. Wirsing<sup>28</sup>, Arian D. Wallach<sup>35</sup>,
- 13 Christopher Wolf<sup>1</sup>, Rosie Woodroffe<sup>4</sup>, Hillary Young<sup>36</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>37</sup>
- 14 <sup>1</sup>Global Trophic Cascades Program, Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society,
- 15 Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

2

- <sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 73091
   Riddarhyttan, Sweden
- <sup>3</sup> Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA), Oviedo University, 33600 Mieres,
   Spain.
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regents Park, London, NW1
   4RY, UK
- <sup>5</sup> Wildlife Conservation Research Unit, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford,
- 23 The Recanati-Kaplan Centre, Tubney House, Tubney, Abingdon OX13 5QL, UK
- <sup>6</sup> Panthera, 8 West 40th Street, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10018, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Mammal Research Institute, Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of
   Pretoria, Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa
- 27 <sup>8</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, 2300 Southern Blvd., Bronx, NY 10460, USA.
- <sup>9</sup> School of Environment & Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, 210
   Kottman Hall, 2021 Coffey Rd., Columbus, OH 43214, USA
- 30 <sup>10</sup> School of Geography, The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Jalan
- 31 Broga, Semenyih 43500, Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia
- <sup>11</sup>Center for Integrative Conservation, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden,
   Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Yunnan 666303, China
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Geography, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, V8W 2Y2,
- 35 Canada; Raincoast Conservation Foundation, Bella Bella, BC, V0T 1B0, Canada

- 36 <sup>13</sup> Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
- <sup>14</sup> IUCN Species Survival Commission, African Elephant Specialist Group, P.O. Box
   68200, Nairobi, Kenya 00200
- <sup>15</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa
   Cruz, CA. 95060, USA
- <sup>16</sup> Centre for African Conservation Ecology, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan
   University, P O Box 77000, NMMU 6031, Port Elizabeth, South Africa
- <sup>17</sup> Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade Estadual Paulista UNESP, 13506-900
   Rio Claro, SP, Brazil
- 45 <sup>18</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, India Program, Bangalore 560070, India;
- <sup>19</sup> Schools of Biological Science; and Environment, Natural Resources and
  Geography, Bangor University, Deiniol Road, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL572UW, U.K.;
- <sup>20</sup> Centre for Wildlife Management, University of Pretoria, 0002 Pretoria, South
   Africa.
- 50 <sup>21</sup> IUCN Species Survival Commission, International Union for Conservation of
- 51 Nature, 28 rue Mauverney, CH-1196 Gland, Switzerland
- <sup>22</sup> Centre for Ecosystem Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052,
   Australia
- <sup>23</sup> Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
   97331, USA
- 56 <sup>24</sup> School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, UK.
- 57 <sup>25</sup> World Wildlife Fund-US, 42 Sexton Avenue, Hope, ME 04847, USA
- <sup>26</sup> Desert Ecology Research Group, School of Biological Sciences, University of
   Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
- <sup>27</sup> Deakin University, Geelong, Australia. School of Life and Environmental Sciences,
  Centre for Integrative Ecology, (Burwood Campus).
- <sup>28</sup> School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, Box 352100, University of
   Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
- <sup>29</sup> Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton,
   NJ 08544, USA
- 66 <sup>30</sup> School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QG, UK
- <sup>67</sup> <sup>31</sup>Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences, Duke University, P. O.
- 68 Box 90381, Durham, NC 27708, USA

- <sup>32</sup> Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin Madison.
   Madison, WI 53706, USA
- <sup>33</sup> Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los
   Angeles, Los Angeles CA 90095, USA
- <sup>34</sup> School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological
   University Houghton, MI 49931, USA
- <sup>35</sup> Centre for Compassionate Conservation, School of Life Sciences, University of
   Technology Sydney, Australia
- <sup>36</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa
   Barbara, Santa Barbara CA 93106, USA
- 80 <sup>37</sup> Institute of Ecology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, PR China

81

82 In their critique of our call to save the world's terrestrial megafauna (Ripple et al.

- 2016), Ford et al. argue that we undermine broader efforts to conserve biodiversity.
   Their main arguments are that (1) megafauna conservation does not conserve other
- Their main arguments are that (1) megafauna conservation does not conserve other species; (2) megafauna already receives enough attention; (3) megafauna does not
- play a compelling enough ecological role to justify increased conservation efforts; (4)
- 87 megafauna conservation is counterproductive by taking too big a share of
- conservation resources; and (5) megafauna is less imperiled than other species andover-shadows their declines.
- 90

Here we acknowledge that all aspects of biodiversity are important and that efforts to
conserve megafauna are unlikely to be enough in isolation to conserve all species. We
agree with Ford et al. that stronger and varied conservation approaches are necessary

- 94 to conserve the earth's biodiversity. However, we deem it necessary to respond to
- 95 Ford et al.'s critique by highlighting several important factors for consideration.

96

97 With respect to Ford et al.'s first and third arguments, we assert that megafauna are

- 98 strong candidates, perhaps the strongest candidates amongst all vertebrates, as
- 99 umbrellas for conservation (Caro 2010). This is because megafauna have slow life
- histories and large habitat requirements; thus, conserving megafauna means
   conserving large tracts of ecosystems and the diversity of species they host. In a
- 101 conserving large tracts of ecosystems and the diversity of species they host. In a 102 conservation plan for the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa, the mammal
- 102 conservation plan for the cape Profisic Region of South Africa, the manimal 103 conservation plan was the most space and area demanding of the various taxon-
- specific plans, this despite highly conservative population targets used for the medium
- and large mammals (Kerley et al. 2003). In addition, there is abundant evidence
- 106 demonstrating that megafauna can cause direct or indirect effects on other species and
- 107 ecosystem functions (Estes et al. 2011; Dirzo et al. 2014, Ripple et al. 2014, 2015).
- 108 Indeed, in Point 3 of our Declaration we highlight how megafauna can affect
- 109 ecosystem processes and services, and other species throughout the food web.
- 110 Megafauna may not trigger ecological cascades in all systems, however we do assert
- that, in many instances, the loss of megafauna will cause disproportionate ecological
- 112 disruption in comparison to several other taxonomic groups. Nonetheless, this
- 113 assertion does not imply that specific conservation efforts that are not focused on

114 megafauna are not needed, or that targeted interventions that perhaps benefit only one 115 or two large mammals are also not justified.

116

117 For the second and fourth arguments made by Ford et al., we acknowledge and are acutely aware that funding for conservation is finite and that great care is needed 118 119 when considering its use. In our paper (Ripple et al. 2016), we did clearly call for 120 additional conservation resources, so it is not just how the existing resources are allocated, but also recognition that society needs to invest more money. However, we 121 122 are also aware that funding is not perfectly transferrable and that much of the support 123 for conservation would decrease or disappear if megafauna species were made less of 124 a focus (Kerley et al. 2003). We do however emphasize that our focus on megafauna 125 conservation is not about discriminating against other species, but simply harnessing 126 the potential of megafauna to achieve broad conservation outcomes. We are aware 127 that the dire prospects facing broad swathes of the world's biodiversity are seriously 128 worrisome. We therefore need to think very carefully about how best we allocate 129 those resources and about what the most effective strategies are likely to be for 130 achieving positive conservation outcomes for as many species as possible. We do agree that focusing too much effort and resources on some taxa at the expense of 131 132 others is dangerous. However, we argue that megafauna have unique economic and 133 cultural values and thus have an ability to harness public and political support for 134 conservation. The trick is therefore to seek conservation interventions that yield the 135 greatest bang for our buck-for megafauna, and for other species too.

136

We do not agree with Ford et al. in their fifth argument that megafauna is less 137 138 imperiled than other species when considering all terrestrial vertebrates. The fact is 139 that mammalian terrestrial megafauna are greatly imperiled and highly threatened 140 when compared to other vertebrate taxa. Ripple et al. (2014, 2015) reported that 59% 141 of the world's largest carnivores ( $\geq 15$  kg, n = 27) and 60% of the world's largest herbivores ( $\geq 100$  kg, n = 74) are classified as threatened with extinction based on 142 143 IUCN criteria. These endangerment levels appear especially troublesome when compared to just 26% threatened for all mammals, 14% for birds, 23% for reptiles, 144 145 and even 42% for amphibians, the latter is considered one of the most imperiled 146 groups (IUCN 2015). It is also not correct to assume that all megafauna species are well studied and well loved. This lack of knowledge and interest is especially true for 147 148 many of the threatened large herbivores such as the Palawan Bearded Pig (Sus 149 ahoenobarbus), Oliver's Warty Pig (Sus oliveri), Mountain Anoa (Bubalus quarlesi).), 150 White-lipped Deer (Przewalskium albirostris), and the recently described 110-kg tapir 151 Tapirus kabomani sp. nov. (Cozzuol et al. 2013), among others (see Ripple et al. 152 2015, supplemental materials).

153

154 A broader concern we have with Ford et al.'s critique is that it presupposes a world 155 where the predicament of all plant and animal species top the political agenda of most 156 governments and is a shared concern by most people. Conservation has unfortunately 157 become a political choice and not only a scientific exercise. Thus, while scientists 158 may consider that "all species are equal", in the socio-political 'real' world some 159 species are considered "more equal than others". Once granted, political support for 160 conservation can have profound impacts on the prospects for conservation. For

161 example, Amur tiger (*Panthera tigris altaica*) populations are recovering from quasi

162 extinction after President Putin stated tigers were Russians' pride (Vice News 2015)

163 or the Indian state of Gujarat praising itself for being the only state with Asiatic lions

164 (P. leo persica) (The Telegraph 2016). In Africa, several countries have set aside vast 165 tracts of land for conservation and have a firm political commitment to preserving 166 those lands. This is due in part to appreciation of the potential economic value of such 167 areas and the large mammals they contain, as well as to notions of the importance of 168 preserving natural heritage for future generations. 169 170 In other cases, political support for conservation is likely the product of popular 171 support. Megafauna is better than most aspects of biodiversity at engendering that 172 support, because it is considered to be so charismatic by so many people. It is simply a fact that most of the world's most captivating and popular species are megafauna. 173 174 Where millions of tourists travel to Africa to observe megafauna (), there is no 175 evidence that tourists travel to the upper reaches of the Amazon to see the world's 176 highest diversity of fungi (). While we agree with Ford et al. that the conservation 177 status of the human-gut microbiota is critically important and deserves scientific 178 attention, we suspect it is unlikely to receive political attention, except when 179 addressing human disease issues, when the focus falls on the eradication, not the 180 conservation of pathological organisms. Furthermore, the failure to conserve the 181 charismatic megafauna risks losing public support for conservation more broadly on 182 the assumption that 'if conservationists cannot conserve a tiger with all the money and 183 support we gave them, the won't be able to conserve the critically endangered pink velvet worm *Opisthopatus roseus* (). Thus, we emphasize that focusing on megafauna 184 185 conservation is not discriminating against other species, but simply adopting an 186 evidence-based approach on how to achieve greatest social and political impact. 187 188 We wrote our declaration because, despite being the most cherished species by the 189 public, many megafauna species are steadily cruising towards extinction. We 190 unfortunately still have not crafted the recipe to save these species and our 191 Declaration calls for the urgent need of alternative approaches. Our rallying call is certainly not "Megafauna über alles" as Ford et al. suggest, but could instead be 192 193 understood as a conservation interpretation of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.'s own 194 words: "We are now faced with the fact that tomorrow is today. We are confronted 195 with the fierce urgency of now. In this unfolding conundrum of life and history, there 196 "is" such a thing as being too late. This is no time for apathy or complacency. This is

- 197 *a time for vigorous and positive action.*" Megafauna need immediate attention, and,
- 198 yes, non-megafauna do as well. We invite Ford et al. —and everyone— to join us in
- 199 our efforts to save megafauna and all biodiversity as the fierce urgency is now.

## 201 References

- 203 Caro TM. 2010. Conservation by proxy. Island Press, Washington DC.
- 205 Cozzuol MA, Clozato CL, Holanda EC, Rodrigues FH, Nienow S, et al. 2013. A new
- species of tapir from the Amazon. J. Mammal. 94:1331–45
- 207

200

202

204

- 208 Dirzo R. et al. 2014. Defauantion in the Anthropocene. Science 345: 401-406.
- Estes JA et al. 2011. Trophic downgrading of planet earth. Science 333: 301–306.
- 210

Commented [MH1]: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/a bs/10.2167/joe133.0 Commented [MH2]: http://science.sciencemag.org/cont

ent/346/6213/1256688

Commented [MH3]: <u>http://www.iucnredlist.org/details</u>/15389/0

- 211 IUCN 2015 International Union for the Conservation of Nature Red List
- 212 <u>http://www.iucnredlist.org/about/summary-statistics</u> Assessed Oct. 7, 2016.
- 213 KERLEY, G.I.H., PRESSEY, R.L., COWLING, R.M., BOSHOFF, A.F. & SIMS-
- 214 CASTLEY, R. 2003. Options for the conservation of large and medium-sized
- 215 mammals in the Cape Floristic Region hotspot, South Africa. *Biol Cons.*
- 216 112:169-190.
- 217 KERLEY, G.I.H., GEACH, B.G.S. & VIAL, C. 2003. Jumbos or bust: do tourists'
- 218 perceptions lead to an under-appreciation of biodiversity? S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res.
  219 33:13-21.
- 220 Ripple WJ et al. 2014. Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores.
- Science 343: 1241484.
- Ripple WJ et al. 2015. Collapse of the world's largest herbivores. Science Advances1: e1400103.
- 224 Ripple et al. 2016. Saving the World's Terrestrial Megafauna. BioScience. 66: 807-
- 225 812.
- 226 The Telegraph 2016. <u>http://www.telegraph.co.uk/good-news/2016/03/21/how-the-</u>
- 227 asiatic-lion-was-saved-from-extinction-thanks-to-indian/
- 228 229
- Vice News 2015. <u>https://news.vice.com/article/vladimir-putin-really-loves-tigers-and-</u>
   <u>its-actually-making-a-difference-in-the-world</u>