

Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene

Norstrom, Albert V.; Nystrom, Magnus; Jouffray, Jean-Baptiste; Folke, Carl; Graham, Nicholas A.J.; Moberg, Frederik; Olsson, Per; Williams, Gareth

Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment

DOI:

10.1002/fee.1427

Published: 01/11/2016

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Norstrom, A. V., Nystrom, M., Jouffray, J-B., Folke, C., Graham, N. A. J., Moberg, F., Olsson, P., & Williams, G. (2016). Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1427

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private
 - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene

Albert V Norström^{1*}, Magnus Nyström¹, Jean-Baptiste Jouffray^{1,2}, Carl Folke^{1,2,3}, Nicholas A J Graham^{4,5}, Fredrik Moberg¹, Per Olsson¹., Gareth J Williams^{6,7} 1) Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, Sweden *(albert.norstrom@su.se) ²⁾ Global Economic Dynamics and the Biosphere Family Erling-Persson Academy Programme, Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, Sweden 3) The Beijer Institute, Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, Sweden ⁴⁾ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK ⁵⁾ ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia ⁶⁾ Center for Marine Biodiversity & Conservation, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA, USA 7) School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK

Abstract

Human changes to the Earth now rival the great forces of nature, and have shepherded us into a new planetary era – the Anthropocene. Changes include profound, and often surprising, alterations to coral reef ecosystems and the services they provide human societies. Ensuring their future in the Anthropocene will require that key drivers of coral reef change – fishing, water quality and anthropogenic climate change – stay within acceptable levels, or "safe operating spaces". The capacity to remain within these safe operating spaces hinges on understanding the local, but also the increasingly global and cross-scale, socio-economic causes of these human drivers of change. Consequently, even successful local and regional management efforts will fail if current decision making and institution-building around coral reef systems remains fragmented, poorly coordinated, and unable to keep pace with the escalating speed of social, technological and ecological change in the Anthropocene.

In a nutshell

• Key drivers of coral reef change should be kept at a "safe" distance from dangerous levels or potential thresholds.

• Fishable biomass should stay within or above 500-250 kg ha⁻¹, and chlorophyll between $0.45\text{-}0.55~\mu g~L^{-1}$.

• CO₂ concentrations should remain within or below 340-480 ppm and 480-750 ppm to avoid mass bleaching events and ocean acidification respectively.

• The capacity to stay within the safe operating spaces is challenged by socioeconomic factors, including globalized drivers of change such as trade, human migration and land-use change.

• Adaptive and multi-level governance that involves state and non-state actors is necessary keep pace with the escalating speed of change in the Anthropocene.

Coral reefs in the Anthropocene

There is growing scientific recognition that we live in the Anthropocene, an era where humans have become a dominant force of planetary change (Steffen *et al.* 2011). Changes include profound alterations of the Earth's marine and terrestrial ecosystems and the services they provide to globally interconnected societies and economies (Carpenter *et al.* 2009). Human migration, international trade, transnational land acquisitions, spread of invasive species and technology diffusion occur at unprecedented scales, underpinned by a global infrastructure that facilitates movement of people, goods, services, diseases and information (Reid *et al.* 2010). Actions taken in seemingly independent places increasingly affect the interlinked global social-ecological system in unexpected ways, with surprising mixes of immediate consequences as well as cascading and distant effects (Liu *et al.* 2013).

Coral reefs are informative examples of the key social-ecological challenges and interactions playing out in the Anthropocene. They are economic and social assets that have exhibited stability on centennial to millennial scales, but have experienced an unprecedented decline over the last 50 years (Hughes et al. 2010). Changes to reefs in the Anthropocene are multifaceted and complex (Figure 1). Impacts of overfishing and coastal pollution, which can be managed successfully at local scales, are increasingly compounded by the more recent, superimposed impacts of global warming and ocean acidification. These anthropogenic drivers of change are mediated by underlying traits in the social sphere such as economic systems, demography, cultural dimensions and societal norms. Many coral reefs have already shown signs of transgressing thresholds and have undergone regime shifts to alternate degraded states (Norström et al. 2009). In many cases this is resulting in a reduction of ecosystem services, such as tourism and fisheries that provide income and food security (Moberg and Folke 1999). On the other end of the spectrum, a few reefs are maintained in a semi-pristine state due to their remoteness from direct human impact (Graham and McClanahan 2013; Williams et al. 2015). An increasingly common scenario, however, is that reefs change in composition to novel coral-dominated ecosystems while still maintaining key functions and ecosystem services at relatively desirable levels (Graham et al. 2014).

The interlinked social, economic and ecological challenges of the Anthropocene call for broader transdisciplinary coral reef science that is complemented by management and governance strategies that facilitate the stewardship of coral reefs. Ecosystem stewardship has emerged as a powerful sustainability framework with a central goal to sustain ecosystem capacity to provide services that support human well-being under conditions of uncertainty and change (Chapin *et al.* 2010). Here we draw on several areas of emerging transdisciplinary social-ecological research to highlight three broad challenges that need to be addressed in the efforts towards sustainable stewardship of coral reefs. We start by describing safe operating spaces for the key drivers of change that must not be transgressed for coral reefs to continue to develop and exist. We then explore some of the critical cross-scale social-ecological interactions that will increasingly challenge the capacity to remain within these safe operating spaces, and propose ways to study these social-ecological interconnections. Finally, we outline the governance and institutional factors that need to be in place for navigating coral reefs towards a sustainable future.

Safe operating spaces for global coral reef change

Avoiding thresholds that trigger regime shifts is becoming a focal point of resilience-based management of coral reefs. However, despite recent advances in predicting thresholds (Mumby *et al.* 2007; Graham *et al.* 2015) their global generalizability is confounded by a strong dependence on the historical, geographic and environmental context of the system. Furthermore, the ecosystem consequences of crossing thresholds may lag by decades (or even centuries) and may not be obvious over human time scales (Hughes *et al.* 2013). In the face of this uncertainty a complementary approach has been to establish safe operating spaces for ecosystems (Scheffer *et al.* 2015). This concept is different from identifying specific thresholds. Safe operating spaces are set to maintain safe levels of human drivers to avoid the long-term degradation of ecosystems, and societies that depend on them. The concept neither assumes, nor rules out, the existence of thresholds and is applicable in situations with different types of system responses to increased levels of different

drivers (Rockström et al. 2009; Hughes et al. 2013) (Figure 2). We set safe operating spaces and zones of uncertainty for the key drivers of change on coral reefs; i) fishing ii) water quality, and iii) anthropogenic climate change (i.e. sea surface temperature, aragonite saturation levels, ocean acidification). The safe operating space (green zones in Figure 3) indicates the values of the drivers set at a "safe" distance from potentially dangerous levels or threshold points (where they exist). Defining the safe operating spaces is challenging and involves uncertainty due to interactions among drivers (WebPanel 1), variable responses within and among taxa, geographic variation, data limitation and the scope for acclimation or adaptation of reef-organisms to change (Mumby and Van Woesik 2014; Barkley et al. 2015). Consequently, a zone of uncertainty is associated with each of the drivers (yellow zones in Figure 3). Moving towards the "high risk" (red) zones represents an increasing probability of crossing a critical threshold or accelerated decline (Steffen et al. 2015). The values we provide should be regarded as guidelines that will become more accurate with increasing studies and knowledge.

Fishing

Historical overfishing precedes all other pervasive human drivers of change on coral reefs (Jackson *et al.* 2001). As predatory and herbivorous fish are removed from reef ecosystems, the risk of crossing thresholds and undergoing regime shifts to undesirable reef configurations increases. In order to set a safe operating range for fishing, we draw on recent regional (McClanahan *et al.* 2011, 2015; Karr *et al.* 2015) and global (MacNeil *et al.* 2015) assessments of the threshold and non-linear dynamics associated with fishable biomass - an easily measured proxy of fishing pressure - on reefs. Threshold points in the trend or variance associated with a range of ecosystem processes (e.g. herbivory, predation), state variables (e.g. the ratio of coral to macroalgae cover), fish community life history traits and functional groupings were associated with fishable biomass levels between 25-50% of unfished biomass (calculated from recovery trajectories in marine reserves, and unfished reference sites in each region). The results of these studies suggest that maintaining reefs in a desirable regime (i.e. low macroalgal cover, high coral cover, high fish diversity) requires fishable biomass to be kept above 500 kg ha⁻¹, with a zone of

uncertainty between 500-250 kg ha⁻¹ (Figure 3). 154 155 156 Water quality 157 158 In many parts of the world, water quality (e.g. nutrient loads, pollutants, sediments) in 159 coastal areas is changing in response to rapid urbanization, increasing fertilizer use 160 and land use change. Poor water quality can disrupt coral reproduction and 161 recruitment, smother adult corals and favor algal proliferation (Fabricius 2005). A 162 representative proxy for overall water quality status, which is highly correlated to 163 nutrient status and phytoplankton biomass, is chlorophyll concentration (De'ath and 164 Fabricius 2010). Chlorophyll concentration on reefs is naturally variable (Gove et al. 165 2016) and across uninhabited Pacific coral reefs the abundance of reef-building corals increases as chlorophyll concentration rises from 0.05-0.20 µg L⁻¹ (Williams et al. 166 167 2015). However, a large-scale assessment of the relationship between chlorophyll and 168 reef condition across the whole of the Great Barrier Reef in Australia, found critical levels of 0.45 µg L⁻¹ chlorophyll beyond which macroalgal cover increased and hard 169 170 coral richness declined (De'ath and Fabricius 2010). Earlier, smaller-scale, studies 171 from Barbados and Hawaii also showed measurable negative changes at chlorophyll 172 annual means above 0.5 µg L⁻¹ (Bell 1992). We therefore suggest a safe-operating space value of chlorophyll concentration below 0.45 µg L⁻¹, and a zone of uncertainty 173 174 between 0.45-0.55 μg L⁻¹, for continental and archipelago reef systems (Figure 3). 175 176 Anthropogenic climate change 177 178 Human-induced increases in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations ([CO₂]_{atm}) have driven 179 rapid rises in sea surface temperatures (SST) and ongoing ocean acidification (OA). 180 The vulnerability of reef-building corals to the unprecedented rates of change in SST 181 has been well documented; when temperatures exceed summer maxima by 1°-2°C for 182 3-4 weeks coral bleaching and mortality occurs. It is the increased intensity and 183 frequency of episodes of ocean warming and associated mass bleaching events (i.e. 184 the significant bleaching of multiple coral species at a regional scale) that is 185 compromising the long-term integrity of coral reefs. If mass bleaching events become 186 annual or biennial events corals may experience chronic decline as a result of reduced

growth, calcification, fecundity and greater incidences of disease (Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.* 2007). Models suggest that avoiding chronic mass bleaching events (i.e. annual or biennial) for the majority of the world's coral reefs requires keeping [CO2]_{atm} levels below 480 ppm (Donner *et al.* 2005; Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.* 2007), or even below 450 ppm (van Hooidonk *et al.* 2013). However, substantially lower levels of [CO₂]_{atm} have been suggested based on conservative backcasting exercises that associate the advent of highly destructive mass bleaching (e.g. the 1997/1998 mass bleaching event which killed approximately 16% of coral communities globally), with [CO₂]_{atm} values of 340 ppm (Veron *et al.* 2009). We therefore suggest that the safe operating space to avoid chronic mass bleaching ends at 340 ppm, with the zone of uncertainty ranging between 340-480 ppm (Figure 3). With a current global value of 400 ppm it means that reefs have already entered the zone of uncertainty.

Absorption of CO₂ by the ocean is reducing water pH and the saturation levels of aragonite (Ω_{arag}), the principle crystalline form of calcium carbonate deposited in coral skeletons. Coral reefs are generally found in regions with Ω_{arag} values greater than 3.3, and this observation underlies projections of global coral reef decline as $[CO_2]_{atm}$ approaches 480 ppm and Ω_{arag} drops below 3.3 (Hoegh-Guldberg 2010). Models parameterized by field observations of coral community calcification as a response to Ω_{arag} , SST and live coral cover values, predict that by the time $[CO_2]_{atm}$ will reach 560 ppm almost all coral reefs will cease to grow and start to dissolve (Silverman et al. 2009). However, internal pH up-regulation at the point of calcification has been shown to reduce the vulnerability of corals to ocean acidification, and varies among species (McCulloch et al. 2012). Studies from naturally low-pH coral communities suggest that adaptation to low pH can occur over long time scales (Barkley et al. 2015), but that many ecological properties might be irreversibly damaged as pH drops below 7.8 at [CO2]atm 750 ppm (Fabricius et al. 2011). Consequently, we set a safe upper boundary associated with ocean acidification at 480ppm, and a broad zone of uncertainty between 480-750 ppm (Figure 3).

Coral reef social-ecological dynamics in the Anthropocene

The capacity to keep human drivers of change within safe operating spaces is challenged by a broad range of socio-economic interactions and feedbacks between reef systems and the human societies that depend on their goods and services (Panel 1). However, social-ecological dynamics in the Anthropocene are seldom just local or place-specific, but rather influenced by multiple global drivers with complex connections to other places that are now more prevalent, and occur more quickly, than ever before (Liu *et al.* 2013). We highlight three transboundary interactions - trade, human migration and foreign investments in land and large-scale land acquisitions (land grabbing) - that will increasingly define coral reef social-ecological dynamics (Figure 5).

Regional and global analyses suggest that access to external markets can affect coral reef fish resources (Cinner *et al.* 2013). Aside from local consumptive markets, the global aquarium trade targets over 1800 species of reef fishes and removes up to 30 million fish per year (Rhyne *et al.* 2012), while the live reef fish trade (LRFT) involves the exploitation of coral reef fishes from across the Indo-Pacific to satiate consumer demand in luxury seafood restaurants (Johnston and Yeeting 2006). Similarly, many invertebrate reef fisheries are extensively embedded in global trade networks composed by actors operating at different levels, including local fishers, middlemen and consumers in areas far from the reefs themselves. A consequence of this increased market connectivity and nestedness is that many local invertebrate and reef fish stocks are sequentially depleted as a result of the rapid emergence of specialized export markets and quick spatial shifts in exploitation (Scales *et al.* 2007; Eriksson *et al.* 2015).

Human migration, in particular to coastal regions, is currently at unprecedented levels (Ozden *et al.* 2011) and is forecast to increase as a response to the social-ecological changes associated with the Anthropocene. Consequently, local social-ecological dynamics will increasingly be sculpted by the complex flows of people across and within administrative boundaries. Fishers associated with coral reefs are already highly mobile in many regions and known to move to areas where the fish are more easily caught (Pollnac *et al.* 2010). Coastal areas are often the targets for internal migration in many countries, particularly as urban centers and industries

promising employment are commonly located at the coast. While mobility can be a key strategy for coastal communities to cope with global change, it can also exacerbate reef resource degradation through the concentration of fishing effort, introduction of new technology and fishing gear, and the deterioration of traditional rules and practices (Cassels *et al.* 2005).

A third important cluster of drivers are foreign investments in land and large-scale land acquisitions – commonly referred to as land grabbing - that are increasingly driving land use change (Meyfroidt *et al.* 2013). Land use change is a substantial threat to coral reefs, by directly affecting sediment, pollution and fresh water discharge into coastal zones. Past examples show how large-scale land clearing driven by intensive banana production, and exasperated by tourism development, has depleted coral communities in certain Caribbean reefs (Cramer *et al.* 2012). More recent modeling efforts are suggesting that human deforestation, primarily driven by demand for agricultural land, mineral exploration and mining, will outweigh climate change as the principal contributor to increased sedimentation of near-shore marine environments in Madagascar (Maina *et al.* 2013). Similarly, the run-off from export agriculture such as squash in Tonga and oil palm in Papua New Guinea is emerging as a key driver of change in Pacific Island reefs (Hunt 2003).

Capturing and studying the growing importance of these complex social-ecological interconnections on coral reef systems is a key research challenge. Research on land systems change has made progress, from which coral reef social-ecological systems research could learn. For example, cross-country statistical analyses have shown that recent tropical deforestation is associated with international trade of agricultural products and remote urban demand, rather than with rural population growth (DeFries *et al.* 2010). This resonates with coral reef systems, where access to markets (e.g. for exports or satisfying urban demand) is often a better predictor of overall reef fish biomass than other local socio-economic and natural drivers (Cinner *et al.* 2013). Land systems change research has also explored "displacement" and "cascade effects" - the unintended negative consequences of forest recovery beyond the borders of reforesting countries. For example, recent forest transitions and forest protection policies in both developed and developing countries have outsourced forest exploitation abroad via increased imports of wood and

agricultural products (Meyfroidt *et al.* 2013). Such approaches merge detailed economic (forest product prices, imports and exports of wood products) and environmental (land cover change) data. Similar analyses could be used to investigate whether the positive relationship between socio-economic development and reef condition in some parts of the world is due to displacement of domestic environment impacts through trade, or because of other, local factors such as low dependence on fishing and reduced use of potentially damaging gear (Cinner *et al.* 2009a). Similarly, while Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) can displace fishing effort at a local scale, the potential leakage of fishing effort across regions and national borders is a key research gap - especially in light of current trends of establishing large mega-reserves in many regions (Graham and McClanahan 2013). The approaches to analyze cross-scale linkages in coral reef social-ecological systems will be determined by the specific context, research question and data available. Learning from other disciplines and adapting existing methods and frameworks will speed these advances.

Multi-scale challenges require multi-level governance

Conventional approaches to deal with the decline of coral reefs, such as MPAs can offer local socioeconomic and ecological benefits but are usually narrow in scope, small-scale and often suffer from weak compliance and enforcement (Pollnac *et al.* 2010). Coral reef management is slowly shifting towards more systemic management strategies that are collaborative (involving both state and non-state actors) and adaptive. There is also increasing focus on ecosystem processes that underpin resilience and actions that target social-ecological interactions across the wider seascape (Panel 1). Advancing social-ecological and adaptive comanagement approaches requires acknowledging the broader governance and institutional (norms and rules) contexts that enable their successful implementation. For example, while monitoring and experimentation are central tenets of adaptively managing coral reefs, they have typically been carried out by scientists. Involving local resource users in the monitoring process enhances incentives to learn about local ecosystem dynamics and facilitates collective action in line with the management objectives (Christie *et al.* 2009; Montambault *et al.* 2015). Initial support by local communities and government

bodies is crucial (Olsson et al. 2004), and hinges on the management plans building on existing rules and institutions, such as traditional tenure and community committees. Research has also highlighted the role of key individuals that build visions, foster trust and develop partnerships between stakeholders (e.g., community groups, religious leaders, government authorities, NGOs and researchers) and facilitate the participatory and inclusive process that sets and adapts the management strategies to local contexts (Schultz et al. 2015). Local management efforts alone will not be able to keep pace with the escalating speed of technological and ecological change in the Anthropocene. The sustainability challenges of an increasingly interconnected world call for developing governance systems that foster international and cross-sectorial cooperation. An international binding treaty to alleviate coral reef degradation has not materialized, despite a number of favorable factors, such as the presence of supporting business interests, public appeal and the relatively small number of nations involved (Dimitrov 2002). However, the socio-economic and environmental issues facing marine ecosystems are finally receiving a focus equal to their terrestrial counterparts. For example, Goal 14 of the newly adopted United Nations Sustainable Development Goals encompasses ten targets for sustainable development in the oceans, while one of Convention of Biological Diversity's Aichi Targets explicitly calls to minimize anthropogenic pressures on coral reefs and maintain their integrity and functioning. This momentum could provide a window of opportunity for organizations such as the International Coral Reef Initiative (ICRI) and the International Society for Reef Studies (ISRS) to more ambitiously engage with high-level policy processes across different domains, such as climate change and trade, and bring issues of coral reef sustainability on the negotiating tables. Crucially, it will require strategic collaborations with emerging regional management initiatives such as the Micronesia Challenge, the Caribbean Challenge Initiative, Western Indian Ocean Coastal Challenge and Coral Triangle Initiative. These serve as practical operating platforms convening political leaders, non-governmental organizations, coastal communities and scientists with the aim of sustainably managing marine and coastal resources (Rosen and Olsson 2013; Johnson et al. 2014). Such multi-level governance systems involving state and non-state actors have emerged in response to other complex transnational and regional collective action problems like ocean acidification (Galaz et al. 2012) and fisheries

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

overexploitation (Österblom and Sumaila 2011) when enforceable global agreements are missing or have failed. Importantly, it has been shown that they foster learning between several types of key individuals and organizations, nurture trust and can facilitate collective action toward common goals.

359

355

356

357

358

Conclusions

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

360

Ensuring sustainable coral reef futures in the Anthropocene will require human drivers of change to stay within safe levels, far from dangerous thresholds. Local and regional actions can enhance resilience and limit the longer-term damage from climate-related effects by keeping fishing and water quality targets within their safe operating spaces. It is critical that such management targets are applied within a broader adaptive management context, which allows for learning and experimentation, and tolerates variability within the safe operating spaces. Management strategies that reduce the short-term variance near the boundary levels run the risk of narrowing the safe operating space, with potentially catastrophic consequences (Carpenter et al. 2015). Understanding the social dynamics underlying these drivers of change becomes crucial. New research is required to better capture how social-ecological dynamics are affected by interactions between regions, and across large distances. We reinforce the urgency for coral reef science to deeply engage with emerging regional management initiatives (such as the Micronesia Challenge and Coral Triangle Initiative) and the international policy arena (such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) to work for sharp reductions of greenhouse gas emissions and the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals. With the second global mass bleaching event currently underway, it is clearly urgent to up efforts to help steer reefs toward a more sustainable future

381

382

383

384

385

Panel 1. Social-ecological research on coral reefs

Coral reef social-ecological systems (SES) research has grown exponentially over the past 25 years (Figure 4), with a strong emphasis at the local or regional scale. One sub-set of coral SES research has focused on ecosystem services and human wellbeing in tropical coastal communities that exhibit livelihood strategies that are strongly tied to coral reefs. Ecosystem services associated with coral reefs extend beyond food production and encompass a broad bundle of provisioning, regulating and cultural services that varies across regions and contexts (Moberg and Folke 1999). Novel insights are uncovering how different social, institutional and knowledge mechanisms determine access to these different ecosystem services, and how preferences for ecosystem services are linked to inherent psychological values held by different kinds of people (Hicks and Cinner 2014; Hicks et al. 2015). Another sub-set of this research has highlighted how the combination of weak or missing institutions, a lack of individual and institutional leadership, few alternative livelihoods and inadequate financial capacity can trap a coral reef SES in undesirable and unsustainable pathways (Cinner 2011; Sale et al. 2014). Finally, a third broad category of research is using different diagnostic SES frameworks to understand how the ecological performance of fisheries and marine reserves is related to different socioeconomic variables of associated coastal communities (Pollnac et al. 2010).

This body of research is also beginning to underlie novel approaches to management that specifically include the local human communities dependent on coral reefs. For example, different fisheries management tools (such as gear-based management and size-selectivity) can help to maintain key ecosystem functions and significant yields of provisioning and other services (Johnson 2010). The emergence of property rights systems for coral reef fisheries, such as Kenya's recent Beach Management Unit legislation, allows local communities to deal with transgressions committed by outside poachers or globalized "roving-bandit" type exploitation (Cinner *et al.* 2009b). Combining local knowledge with contemporary science is developing 'hybrid' co-management systems that are having tangible conservation benefits (Aswani *et al.* 2012). Finally, there are increased calls for adaptive management efforts that emphasize collaborative "management experiments" and the importance of learning from these experiments. For example, viewing the implementation of MPAs as a hypothesis driven process that is monitored would

420	enable managers to learn what works and better deal with the uncertain futures of
421	coral reefs.
422	
423	
424	References
425	
426	Aswani S, Christie P, Muthiga NA, et al. 2012. The way forward with ecosystem-
427	based management in tropical contexts: reconciling with existing management
428	systems. Mar Policy 36 : 1–10.
429	Barkley HC, Cohen AL, Golbuu Y, et al. 2015. Changes in coral reef communities
430	across a natural gradient in seawater pH. Sci Adv 1: e1500328.
431	Bell P. 1992. Eutrophication and coral reefs: examples in the Great Barrier Reef
432	lagoon. Water Res 26: 553–68.
433	Carpenter SR, Mooney HA, Agard J, et al. 2009. Science for managing ecosystem
434	services: Beyond the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Proc Natl Acad Sci
435	106 : 1305-12.
436	Carpenter SR, Brock WA, Folke C, et al. 2015. Allowing variance may enlarge the
437	safe operating space for exploited ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci: 201511804.
438	Cassels S, Curran SR, and Kramer R. 2005. Do migrants degrade coastal
439	environments? Migration, natural resource extraction and poverty in North
440	Sulawesi, Indonesia. Hum Ecol 33: 329–63.
441	Chapin FS, Carpenter SR, Kofinas GP, et al. 2010. Ecosystem stewardship:
442	sustainability strategies for a rapidly changing planet. Trends Ecol Evol 25: 241-
443	9.
444	Christie P, Pollnac RB, Fluharty DL, et al. 2009. Tropical Marine EBM Feasibility: A
445	Synthesis of Case Studies and Comparative Analyses. Coast Manag 37: 374-85
446	Cinner JE. 2011. Social-ecological traps in reef fisheries. <i>Glob Environ Chang</i> 21:
447	835–9.
448	Cinner JE, Graham NAJ, Huchery C, and MacNeil MA. 2013. Global effects of local

149 150	human population density and distance to markets on the condition of coral reef fisheries. <i>Conserv Biol</i> 27 : 453–8.
451 452	Cinner JE, McClanahan TR, Daw TM, <i>et al.</i> 2009a. Linking social and ecological systems to sustain coral reef fisheries. <i>Curr Biol</i> 19 : 206–12.
453 454 455	Cinner JE, Wamukota A, Randriamahazo H, and Rabearisoa A. 2009b. Toward institutions for community-based management of inshore marine resources in the Western Indian Ocean. <i>Mar Policy</i> 33 : 489–96.
456 457 458	Cramer KL, Jackson JBC, Angioletti C V, <i>et al.</i> 2012. Anthropogenic mortality on coral reefs in Caribbean Panama predates coral disease and bleaching. <i>Ecol Lett</i> 15 : 561–7.
459 460	De'ath G and Fabricius K. 2010. Water quality as a regional driver of coral biodiversity and macroalgae on the Great Barrier Reef. <i>Ecol Appl</i> 20 : 840–50.
461 462 463	DeFries RS, Rudel T, Uriarte M, and Hansen M. 2010. Deforestation driven by urban population growth and agricultural trade in the twenty-first century. <i>Nat Geosci</i> 3 : 178–81.
464 465	Dimitrov RS. 2002. Confronting nonregimes: science and international coral reef policy. <i>J Environ Dev</i> 11 : 53–78.
466 467 468	Donner SD, Skirving WJ, Little CM, <i>et al.</i> 2005. Global assessment of coral bleaching and required rates of adaptation under climate change. <i>Glob Chang Biol</i> 11 : 2251–65.
169 170	Eriksson H, Österblom H, Crona B, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Contagious exploitation of marine resources. <i>Front Ecol Environ</i> 13 : 435–40.
471 472	Fabricius K. 2005. Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and synthesis. <i>Mar Pollut Bull</i> 50 : 125–46.
473 474 475	Fabricius KE, Langdon C, Uthicke S, <i>et al.</i> 2011. Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. <i>Nat Clim Chang</i> 1 : 165–9.
476 477 478	Galaz V, Crona B, Österblom H, <i>et al.</i> 2012. Polycentric systems and interacting planetary boundaries — Emerging governance of climate change—ocean acidification—marine biodiversity. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 81 : 21–32

479 Gove JM, McManus MA, Neuheimer AB, et al. 2016 Near-island biological hotspots 480 in barren ocean basins. Nat Commun 7: 10581. 481 Graham NA, Cinner JE, Norström A V, and Nyström M. 2014. Coral reefs as novel 482 ecosystems: embracing new futures. Curr Opin Environ Sustain 7: 9–14. 483 Graham NAJ, Jennings S, Macneil MA, et al. 2015. Predicting climate-driven regime 484 shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. *Nature* **518**: 94–7. 485 Graham NAJ and McClanahan TR. 2013. The last call for marine wilderness? 486 Bioscience **63**: 397–402. 487 Hicks CC and Cinner JE. 2014. Social, institutional, and knowledge mechanisms 488 mediate diverse ecosystem service benefits from coral reefs. Proc Natl Acad Sci 489 **111**: 17791–6. 490 Hicks CC, Cinner JE, Stoeckl N, and McClanahan TR. 2015. Linking ecosystem 491 services and human-values theory. *Conserv Biol* **29**: 1471-80. 492 Hoegh-Guldberg O. 2010. Coral reef ecosystems and anthropogenic climate change. 493 *Reg Environ Chang* **11**: 215–27. 494 Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby PJ, Hooten AJ, et al. 2007. Coral reefs under rapid 495 climate change and ocean acidification. Science 318: 1737–42. 496 Hooidonk R van, Maynard J, and Planes S. 2013. Temporary refugia for coral reefs in 497 a warming world. Nat Clim Chang 3: 508–11. 498 Hughes TP, Graham NAJ, Jackson JBC, et al. 2010. Rising to the challenge of 499 sustaining coral reef resilience. *Trends Ecol Evol* **25**: 633–42. 500 Hughes TP, Carpenter S, Rockström J, et al. 2013. Multiscale regime shifts and 501 planetary boundaries. Trends Ecol Evol 28: 389-95 502 Hunt C. 2003. Economic globalisation impacts on Pacific marine resources. Mar 503 Policy 27: 79-85. 504 Jackson JBC, Kirby MX, Berger WH, et al. 2001. Historical overfishing and the 505 recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. *Science* **293**: 629–38. 506 Johnson AE. 2010. Reducing bycatch in coral reef trap fisheries: Escape gaps as a 507 step towards sustainability. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 415: 201–9.

508 509 510	Johnson DE, Martinez C, Vestergaard O, <i>et al.</i> 2014. Building the regional perspective: Platforms for success. <i>Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst</i> 24 : 75–93.
511 512	Johnston B and Yeeting B. 2006. Economics and marketing of the live reef fish trade in Asia–Pacific.
513 514	Karr KA, Fujita R, Halpern BS, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Thresholds in Caribbean coral reefs: implications for ecosystem-based fishery management. <i>J Appl Ecol</i> 52 : 402-12.
515 516	Liu J, Hull V, Batistella M, <i>et al.</i> 2013. Framing Sustainability in a Telecoupled World. <i>Ecol Soc</i> 18 .
517 518	MacNeil MA, Graham N a. J, Cinner JE, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Recovery potential of the world's coral reef fishes. <i>Nature</i> 520 : 341–4.
519 520	Maina J, Moel H de, Zinke J, <i>et al.</i> 2013. Human deforestation outweighs future climate change impacts of sedimentation on coral reefs. <i>Nat Commun</i> 4 : 1986.
521 522 523	McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ, MacNeil MA, <i>et al.</i> 2011. Critical thresholds and tangible targets for ecosystem-based management of coral reef fisheries. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 108 : 17230–3.
524 525 526	McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ, MacNeil MA, and Cinner JE. 2015. Biomass-based targets and the management of multispecies coral reef fisheries. <i>Conserv Biol</i> 29 409–17.
527 528 529	McCulloch M, Falter J, Trotter J, and Montagna P. 2012. Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation. <i>Nat Clim Chang</i> 2 : 623–7.
530 531 532	Meyfroidt P, Lambin EF, Erb K-H, and Hertel TW. 2013. Globalization of land use: distant drivers of land change and geographic displacement of land use. <i>Curr Opin Environ Sustain</i> 5 : 438–44.
533 534	Moberg F and Folke C. 1999. Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. <i>Ecol Econ</i> 29 : 215–33.
535 536 537	Montambault JR, Wongbusarakum S, Leberer T, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Use of monitoring data to support conservation management and policy decisions in Micronesia. <i>Conserv Biol</i> 29 : 1279–89.

539	Mumby PJ, Hastings A, and Edwards HJ. 2007. Thresholds and the resilience of Caribbean coral reefs. <i>Nature</i> 450 : 98–101.
540 541 542	Mumby PJ and Woesik R Van. 2014. Consequences of ecological, evolutionary and biogeochemical uncertainty for coral reef responses to climatic stress. <i>Curr Biol</i> 24 : R413–23.
543 544	Norström A, Nyström M, Lokrantz J, and Folke C. 2009. Alternative states on coral reefs: beyond coral–macroalgal phase shifts. <i>Mar Ecol Prog Ser</i> 376 : 295–306.
545 546	Olsson P, Folke C, and Berkes F. 2004. Adaptive comanagement for building resilience in social-ecological systems. <i>Environ Manage</i> 34 : 75–90.
547 548 549	Österblom H and Sumaila UR. 2011. Toothfish crises, actor diversity and the emergence of compliance mechanisms in the Southern Ocean. <i>Glob Environ Chang</i> 21 : 972–82.
550 551 552	Ozden C, Parsons CR, Schiff M, and Walmsley TL. 2011. Where on Earth is everybody? The evolution of global bilateral migration 1960-2000. <i>World Bank Econ Rev</i> 25 : 12–56.
553 554	Pollnac R, Christie P, Cinner JE, <i>et al.</i> 2010. Marine reserves as linked social-ecological systems. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 107 : 18262–5.
555 556	Reid W V., Chen D, Goldfarb L, <i>et al.</i> 2010. Earth system science for global sustainability: grand challenges. <i>Science</i> 330 : 916–7.
557 558 559	Rhyne AL, Tlusty MF, Schofield PJ, <i>et al.</i> 2012. Revealing the appetite of the marine aquarium fish trade: the volume and biodiversity of fish imported into the United States. <i>PLoS One</i> 7 : e35808.
560 561	Rockström J, Steffen W, Noone K, <i>et al.</i> 2009. Planetary boundaries: exploring the safe operating space for humanity. <i>Ecol Soc</i> 114 : art32.
562 563 564	Sale PF, Agardy T, Ainsworth CH, <i>et al.</i> 2014. Transforming management of tropical coastal seas to cope with challenges of the 21st century. <i>Mar Pollut Bull</i> 85 : 8–23.
565 566	Scales H, Balmford A, and Manica A. 2007. Impacts of the live reef fish trade on populations of coral reef fish off northern Borneo. <i>Proc Biol Sci</i> 274 : 989–94.

567 568	Scheffer BM, Barrett S, Folke C, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Creating a safe operating space for iconic ecosystems. <i>Science</i> 347 : 1317–9.
569 570	Silverman J, Lazar B, Cao L, et al. 2009. Coral reefs may start dissolving when atmospheric CO 2 doubles. <i>Geophys Res Lett</i> 36 : L05606.
571 572	Steffen W, Persson Å, Deutsch L, <i>et al.</i> 2011. The Anthropocene: from global change to planetary stewardship. <i>Ambio</i> 40 : 739–61.
573 574	Steffen W, Richardson K, Rockstrom J, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. <i>Science</i> 347 : 1259855.
575 576	Veron JEN, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Lenton TM, <i>et al.</i> 2009. The coral reef crisis: the critical importance of<350 ppm CO2. <i>Mar Pollut Bull</i> 58 : 1428–36.
577 578	Williams GJ, Gove JM, Eynaud Y, <i>et al.</i> 2015. Local human impacts decouple natural biophysical relationships on Pacific coral reefs. <i>Ecography</i> 38 : 751–61.
579	

580	
581	Figure captions
582	
583	Figure 1. Examples of multifaceted changes occurring on reefs in the Anthropocene.
584	(a) Many coral reefs have become degraded as a consequence of overfishing
585	(macroalgal dominated, bottom panel)(courtesy of J Lokrantz), decreased water
586	quality (corallimorph dominated, left panel) and climate change (bleached, right
587	panel)(courtesy of A Masslenikov), (b) Some reefs are maintained in a semi-pristine
588	state due to their remoteness from direct human impacts (courtesy of BJ Zgliczynski)
589	(c) Other reefs are changing in composition to novel coral-dominated ecosystems
590	(courtesy of M Vermeij)
591	Figure 2. Three potential ways a coral reef may respond to increased driver levels are
592	illustrated, and all three are congruent with the safe operating space concept.
593	Increased levels of certain drivers (e.g. overfishing) may trigger threshold responses (I
594	and II). In other cases the response may be a smoother acceleration towards a
595	deleterious state (III). The safe operating space (green zones) indicates the range of
596	driver values that are at a "safe" distance from potentially dangerous levels or
597	threshold points. The zone of uncertainty associated with each of the boundaries
598	(yellow zones) encapsulates the gaps in scientific knowledge and uncertainty due to
599	driver interaction, scope for adaptation and geographic variation. As driver values
600	move towards the "high risk" end of the zone of uncertainty, there is an increasing
601	probability of declining ecosystem state. Modified from Rockström et al. 2009 and
602	Hughes et al. 2013
603	Figure 3. The safe operating spaces, zones of uncertainty and zones of high risk of
604	the key drivers of change on coral reefs; i) fishing ii) water quality, and iii)
605	anthropogenic climate change (i.e. sea surface temperature and ocean acidification).
606	Figure 4 (to be embedded in Panel 1). The dramatic increase of coral reef social-
607	ecological research. An ISI Web of Knowledge literature survey showed that the
608	number of papers containing the keywords "coral reef" together with either "social-
609	ecological", "socio-ecological", "social-environmental" or "socio-environmental" has
610	increased exponentially between $1000 (n-1)$ and $2014 (n-106)$

Figure 5. Three global interactions that shape local social-ecological dynamics of coral reefs: 1) Human migration to coastal areas can result in deterioration of traditional rules and practices, enhance pollution and increase pressures on reef fish stocks. Graph shows net global migration to coastal areas between 1970-2010, and specifically in the regions housing the majority of the worlds coral reefs; 2) Land grabbing is increasingly driving land use change, which is a threat to coral reefs by directly affecting water quality (e.g. nutrient loads, pollutants, sediments). Graph shows cumulative number of concluded land grab deals between 2000-2014 on a global scale, and in countries that have coral reefs; 3) International trade of coral reef products is driven by intensifying foreign consumer demand and better access to markets. Graph shows US imports of chilled reef fish (groupers and snappers) and live coral colonies between 1990-2014. Data sources and methods are explained in WebPanel 2.