



PRIFYSGOL
BANGOR
UNIVERSITY

Beyond sensation seeking: affect regulation as a framework for predicting risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sport.

Castanier, C.; Le Scanff, C.; Woodman, Tim

Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology

Published: 01/10/2010

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Castanier, C., Le Scanff, C., & Woodman, T. (2010). Beyond sensation seeking: affect regulation as a framework for predicting risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sport. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 32(5), 731-738.

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

As accepted for publication

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Running head: AFFECT REGULATION AND RISK-TAKING

2

3 **Article accepted in its current form in *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*:**

4

5 **Castanier, C., Le Scanff, C., & Woodman, T. (2010). Beyond sensation seeking: Affect**
6 **regulation as a framework for predicting risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sport.**
7 ***Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 32, 731-738***

8

9

10

11 Beyond sensation seeking: Affect regulation as a framework for predicting risk-taking
12 behaviors in high-risk sport

13

Abstract

1
2 Sensation seeking has been widely studied when investigating individual differences in the
3 propensity for taking risks. However, risk-taking can serve many different goals beyond the
4 simple management of physiological arousal. The present study is an investigation of affect
5 self-regulation as a predictor of risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sport. Risk-taking
6 behaviors, negative affectivity, escape self-awareness strategy, and sensation seeking data
7 were obtained from 265 high-risk sportsmen. Moderated hierarchical regression analysis
8 revealed significant main and interaction effects of negative affectivity and escape self-
9 awareness strategy in predicting risk-taking behaviors: high-risk sportsmen's negative
10 affectivity leads them to adopt risk-taking behaviors only if they also use escape self-
11 awareness strategy. Furthermore, the affective model remained significant when controlling
12 for sensation seeking. The present study contributes to an in-depth understanding of risk-
13 taking in high-risk sport.

14
15 **Keywords:** risk, negative affectivity, escape self-awareness, sensation seeking, high-risk
16 sports
17

1 58). *T*-tests revealed that these high-risk sport groups did not differ significantly in age (M_{age}
2 = 32.3 years, $SD = 10.2$), experience ($M_{\text{experience}} = 10.4$ years, $SD = 7.3$), or ability level (self-
3 assessment rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 “*novice*” to 5 “*expert*”, $M = 4.1$; $SD =$
4 1.3), all $ps > .05$. The initial contact included a presentation of the study purpose and an
5 assurance of confidentiality. Next, each participant was mailed a five-page questionnaire.
6 Participants’ answers were returned by post or electronic mail.

7 *Measures*

8 *Risk-taking behaviors scale.* Because the objective surveillance of the large cohort of
9 participants over time was logistically untenable (Westaby & Lowe, 2005), we used a three-
10 item scale to measure risk-taking behaviors (Lafollie & Le Scanff, 2007). The items of this
11 scale are: “When practicing my high-risk sport I have sometimes been involved in accidents
12 (during last two years) that are caused by my somewhat irresponsible attitude”; “I think I am
13 very careful and far-sighted when I practice my high-risk sport” (reverse scored); “My
14 friends or colleagues who are experts in the activity think that I take too many risks when I
15 practice my high-risk sport”. Each item was scored on a five-point Likert scale from 1
16 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). The Cronbach alpha in the present study was .70.

17 *Positive and Negative Emotionality Inventory.* Negative affectivity was measured via
18 the two-factor Positive and Negative Emotionality Inventory (Pelissolo, Rolland, Perez-Diaz,
19 Jouvent, & Allilaire, 2007). The negative affectivity factor of this instrument comprises 18
20 items rated on a 7-point Likert scale from 1 (*never*) to 7 (*several times per day*), assessing
21 individuals’ general tendency to feel negative affect (e.g., anxiety, anger, shame, sadness).
22 Cronbach’s alpha for the present study was .91.

23 *Risk and Excitement Inventory (REI).* The escape self-awareness strategy was
24 assessed using the Risk and Excitement Inventory (Lafollie, Le Scanff, & Fontayne, 2008;
25 Taylor & Hamilton, 1997). The escape strategy sub-scale of the REI contains 6 items rated on

1 a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*not at all true*) to 5 (*exactly true*). Cronbach's alpha for
2 the present study was .74.

3 *Sensation Seeking Scale (SSS-V)*. Sensation seeking was measured with the French
4 version of the SSS-V (Carton, Jouvent, & Widlocher, 1992; Zuckerman, Eysenck, &
5 Eysenck, 1978). The SSS-V comprises 40 items, requiring forced-choice responses between
6 two different statements describing a sensation seeking behavior or a non-sensation seeking
7 behavior. Cronbach's alpha for the present study was .76.

8 Results

9 The assumptions of parametric and multivariate analysis (cf. Tabachnick & Fidell,
10 2001) were satisfied for the present data set. All variables were centered before being
11 subjected to moderated hierarchical regression analyses. For each analysis risk-taking
12 behaviors were the criterion variable. Previous research findings suggest that risk-taking
13 behaviors generally decline with age (Nicholson, Soane, Fenton-O'Creevy, & Willman,
14 2005). Thus, age was entered in the first step of each analysis. Experience and ability were
15 also controlled in the first step of analyses. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics and zero-
16 order correlations.

17 *Affect self-regulation as a predictor of risk-taking behaviors*

18 A moderated hierarchical regression analysis was conducted to test the main and
19 interaction effects of negative affectivity and escape self-awareness strategy on risk-taking
20 behaviors. After controlling for age, experience and ability, both these variables were entered
21 in the analysis in the second step and their interaction in the third step. Entered in the first
22 step of the analysis age, experience and ability accounted for 3% of the variance, $F(3, 261) =$
23 $2.65, p < .05$. Age was a significant predictor of risk-taking behaviors, $\beta = -.12, p < .05$;
24 younger people engaged in greater risk-taking behaviors. Experience and ability were not
25 significant predictors. When the affective variables were entered in the second step, the

1 analysis revealed an incremental proportion of variance ($\Delta R^2 = .09, p < .001$), with significant
 2 contributions of negative affectivity ($\beta = .15, p < .05$) and escape strategy ($\beta = .24, p < .001$).
 3 Entered in the third step, the negative affectivity \times escape strategy interaction accounted for a
 4 significant proportion of variance over and above the main effects, $\Delta R^2 = .03, p < .01, \beta =$
 5 $.17, p < .01$. As depicted in Figure 1, negative affectivity lead to risk-taking behaviors only
 6 when combined with an escape from self-awareness strategy. The global affective model
 7 explained 15% of risk-taking behaviors variance, $F(6, 258) = 7.52, p < .001$.

8 *Beyond sensation seeking*

9 To test the additional contribution of the affective model beyond sensation seeking,
 10 negative affectivity, escape strategy and their interaction were entered simultaneously in the
 11 third step of a new hierarchical regression analysis, after having controlled for the effects of
 12 age, experience and ability in the first step and sensation seeking in the second step. After
 13 controlling for age, experience and ability, sensation seeking significantly predicted risk-
 14 taking behaviors, $\Delta R^2 = .03, p < .01, \beta = .19, p < .01$. Of more central interest, the main and
 15 interaction effects of negative affectivity and escape strategy accounted for a significant
 16 proportion of variance over and above sensation seeking, $\Delta R^2 = .09, p < .001$. In the final
 17 model, negative affectivity ($\beta = .16, p < .01$), escape strategy ($\beta = .19, p < .01$), and the
 18 interaction term ($\beta = .17, p < .01$) remained significant, whereas the effects of sensation
 19 seeking became non-significant.

20 Discussion

21 The present study sought first to examine the affect regulation framework as a
 22 predictor of risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sports. In line with our first hypothesis, results
 23 revealed a negative affectivity \times escape strategy interaction in predicting risk-taking
 24 behaviors: high-risk sportsmen's negative affectivity leads them to adopt risk-taking
 25 behaviors only if they also use escape self-awareness strategy.

1 The adoption of risk-taking behaviors in high-risk sports served an affect regulation
2 function only for those individuals who cope with their dysphoric mood by turning attention
3 away from the self (i.e., escape from self-awareness). At first, this seems counter to Taylor
4 and Hamilton's (1997) unsubstantiated view that involvement in high-risk sport should be
5 globally linked to a compensation self-regulation strategy. However, Taylor and Hamilton
6 seemingly ignored the fact that there may be different types of behaviors and self-regulation
7 strategies within the same activity. The present data certainly show that activity-based
8 classifications are simplistic and that high-risk sport can also serve an escape strategy to cope
9 with negative affects. Indeed, focusing on bodily sensations caused by risk-taking behaviors
10 adopted in high-risk sports may serve to divert people's attention from their ill-being and
11 problems (Taylor & Hamilton, 1997). The immediate experience of sensations would thus
12 allow then to keep these feelings at a distance, at least temporarily (Castanier et al., in press-
13 a; Woodman et al., 2009). Conversely, individuals who rely less on an escape from self-
14 awareness strategy are likely more able to face their emotional difficulties and to cope
15 directly with them, finding social support and opportunities to enhance self-esteem in their
16 environment (e.g., work, family, friends; Woodman, Hardy, Barlow, & Le Scanff, in press).

17 The second aim of this study was to examine the contribution of emotion regulation to
18 risk-taking behaviors over and above sensation seeking. People engage in risk-taking
19 behaviors because such behaviors offer rewards that are both physiological (Jessor, 1991;
20 Slanger & Rudestam, 1997; Zuckerman, 1994) and affective (Cooper et al., 2000). The
21 results support the position that the affective reward is not simply a reflection of a
22 physiological sensation seeking drive. Thus, although risk-taking in the high-risk sport
23 domain may serve to regulate physiological arousal states (Cooper et al., 2000; Shapiro et al.,
24 1998), it also serves an affect regulation function (Woodman, Cazenave, & Le Scanff, 2008;

1 Woodman et al., in press) notably for individuals who use an escape from self-awareness
2 strategy to cope with their negative affectivity (Castanier et al., in press-a).

3 Despite some promising results, the current study has several limitations that should
4 be considered. First, the cross-sectional nature of the research precludes drawing causal
5 inferences regarding the relationships between the predictor variables and risk-taking.
6 Second, whereas in some domains such as road traffic risk-taking behaviors are readily
7 observed (e.g., road violations) and assessed (e.g., driving simulator), the specificity of the
8 high-risk sports environment makes the identification and measurement of risk-taking more
9 complex. In the present study, a subjective self-report measurement (Lafollie & Le Scanff,
10 2007) was used to evaluate risk-taking behaviors. Future research may wish to rely on
11 assessments other than subjective self-reports to study risk-taking behaviors in high-risk
12 sports. Previous research has typically sought to circumvent this limitation by asking
13 participants to report the injuries and accidents that they have experienced as a result of
14 practicing the activity (e.g., Castanier, Le Scanff, & Woodman, in press-b; Cogan & Brown,
15 1999). However, more direct assessments (e.g., peer judgment, objective criteria observation,
16 simulator) and in-depth interviews will likely help to further our understanding of these
17 sportspeople's experience of, and motivation for, risk-taking. Finally, as the population study
18 included only men, we cannot generalize the results to women (see also Cazenave et al.,
19 2007; Woodman et al., 2008).

20 The findings of the present study contribute to an in-depth understanding of risk-
21 taking behavior in high-risk sport and this research is a first step toward the identification of
22 several psychological predictors of this dimension. Future research on emotional and
23 interpersonal difficulties (e.g., family, professional, friendships; cf. Woodman et al., in press)
24 should be conducted to better understand the underlying motivation for risk-taking behaviors
25 in high-risk sport.

26

References

- 1
2 Arnett, J. J. (1996). Sensation seeking, aggressiveness, and adolescent reckless behavior.
3 *Personality and Individual Differences, 20*, 693-702.
- 4 Bonnet, A., Pedinielli, J. L., Romain, F., & Rouan, G. (2003). Subjective well-being and
5 emotional regulation in risk taking behaviors in underwater diving. *L'Encéphale, 29*,
6 488-497.
- 7 Carton, S., Jouvent, R., & Widlocher, D. (1992). Cross-cultural validity of the Sensation
8 Seeking Scale: Development of a French abbreviated form. *European Psychiatry, 7*,
9 225-234.
- 10 Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1981). *Attention and self-regulation: A control theory*
11 *approach to human behavior*. New-York: Springer-Verlag.
- 12 Castanier, C., Le Scanff, C., & Woodman, T. (in press-a). Mountaineering as affect
13 regulation: the moderating role of self-regulation strategies. *Anxiety, Stress, and*
14 *Coping*.
- 15 Castanier, C., Le Scanff, C., & Woodman, T. (in press-b). Who takes risks in high-risk
16 sports? A typological personality approach. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and*
17 *Sport*.
- 18 Cazenave, N., Le Scanff, C., & Woodman, T. (2007). Psychological profiles and emotional
19 regulation characteristics of women engaged in risk-taking sports. *Anxiety, Stress, and*
20 *Coping, 20*, 421-435.
- 21 Cogan, N., & Brown, R. I. F. (1999). Metamotivational dominance, states and injuries in risk
22 and safe sports. *Personality and Individual Differences, 27*, 503-518.
- 23 Cooper, M. L., Agocha, V. B., & Sheldon, M. S. (2000). A motivational perspective on risky
24 behaviors: The role of personality and affect regulatory processes. *Journal of*
25 *Personality, 68*, 1059-1088.

- 1 Desrichard, O., & Denarié, V. (2005). Sensation seeking and negative affectivity as
2 predictors of risky behavior: A distinction between occasional versus frequent risk-
3 taking. *Addictive Behaviors, 30*, 1449-1453.
- 4 Ferrando, P. J., & Chico, E. (2001). The construct of sensation seeking as measured by
5 Zuckerman's SSS-V and Arnett's AISS: A structural equation model. *Personality and*
6 *Individual Differences, 31*, 1121-1133.
- 7 Jessor, R. (1991). Risk behavior in adolescence: A psychosocial framework for understanding
8 and action. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 12*, 597-605.
- 9 Kontos, A. P. (2004). Perceived risk, risk taking, estimation of ability and injury among
10 adolescent sport participants. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 29*, 447-455.
- 11 Lafollie, D., & Le Scanff, C. (2007). Detection of high-risk personalities in risky sports.
12 *L'Encéphale, 33*, 135-141.
- 13 Lafollie, D., Le Scanff, C., & Fontayne, P. (2008). French adaptation of "the Risk and
14 Excitement Inventory" (REI). *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science, 40*, 113-119.
- 15 Larsen, R. J., & Prizmic, Z. (2004). Affect regulation. In R. F. Baumeister & K. D. Vohs
16 (Eds.), *Handbook of Self-Regulation* (pp. 40-61). New York: Guilford Press.
- 17 Nicholson, N., Soane, E., Fenton-O'Creevy, M., & Willman, P. (2005). Personality and
18 domain-specific risk taking. *Journal of Risk Research, 8*, 157-176.
- 19 Pelissolo, A., Rolland, J. P., Perez-Diaz, F., Jouvent, R., & Allilaire, J. F. (2007).
20 Dimensional evaluation of emotions in psychiatry: validation of the EPN-31.
21 *L'Encéphale, 33*, 256-263.
- 22 Shapiro, R., Siegel, A. W., Scovill, L. C., & Hays, J. (1998). Risk-taking patterns of female
23 adolescents: what they do and why. *Journal of Adolescence, 21*, 143-159.


- 1 Slanger, E., & Rudestam, K. E. (1997). Motivation and Disinhibition in High Risk Sports:
2 Sensation Seeking and Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Research in Personality, 31*, 355-
3 374.
- 4 Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2001). *Using multivariate statistics* (4th ed.). Boston,
5 MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- 6 Taylor, R. L., & Hamilton, J. C. (1997). Preliminary evidence for the role of self-regulatory
7 processes in sensation seeking. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping, 10*, 351-375.
- 8 Westaby, J. D., & Lowe, J. K. (2005). Risk Taking Orientation and Injury among Youth
9 Workers: Examining the Social Influence of Supervisors, Coworkers, and Parents.
10 *Journal of Applied Psychology, 90*, 1297-1305.
- 11 Woodman, T., Cazenave, N., & Le Scanff, C. (2008). Skydiving as emotion regulation: The
12 rise and fall of anxiety is moderated by alexithymia. *Journal of Sport & Exercise*
13 *Psychology, 30*, 424-433.
- 14 Woodman, T., Hardy, L., Barlow, M., & Le Scanff, C. (in press). Motives for prolonged
15 engagement high-risk sports: An agentic emotion regulation perspective. *Psychology*
16 *of Sport and Exercise*.
- 17 Woodman, T., Huggins, M., Le Scanff, C., & Cazenave, N. (2009). Alexithymia determines
18 the anxiety experienced in skydiving. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 116*, 134-138.
- 19 Zuckerman, M. (1994). *Behavioral Expressions and Biosocial Bases of Sensation Seeking*.
20 New York: Cambridge University Press.
- 21 Zuckerman, M. (2007). *Sensation seeking and risky behavior*. Washington, DC: American
22 Psychological Association.
- 23 Zuckerman, M., Eysenck, S., & Eysenck, H. J. (1978). Sensation seeking in England and
24 America: cross-cultural, age, and sex comparisons. *Journal of consulting and clinical*
25 *psychology, 46*, 139-149.
- 26

Figure Caption

1
2
3
4
5
6

Figure 1. Interaction between negative affectivity and escape self-awareness strategy upon risk-taking behaviors (Regression slopes are derived from hypothetical individuals who are one standard deviation below the mean [low] or one standard deviation above the mean [high]).

1



2

3

1 Table 1

2 Means (M), standard deviation (SD) and zero-order correlations (N = 265).

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Age	32.27	10.18	-					
2. Experience	10.41	7.26	.08	-				
3. Ability	4.13	1.28	.10	.20**	-			
4. Risk-taking behaviors	5.43	2.14	-.12*	-.09	-.10	-		
5. Negative affectivity	24.46	13.18	-.25***	-.01	-.03	.20**	-	
6. Escape strategy	15.12	4.40	-.21**	-.02	-.05	.28***	.18**	-
7. Sensation seeking	24.77	5.07	-.13*	.04	.01	.20**	.04	.55***

3 Note: * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.