

On: 22 October 2013, At: 01:13

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



International Gambling Studies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rigs20>

Mathematician's call for interdisciplinary research effort

Catalin Barboianu ^a

^a Division of Applied Mathematics , Infarom , Craiova , Romania

Published online: 13 Sep 2013.

To cite this article: Catalin Barboianu , International Gambling Studies (2013):
Mathematician's call for interdisciplinary research effort, International Gambling Studies, DOI:
10.1080/14459795.2013.837087

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14459795.2013.837087>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Mathematician's call for interdisciplinary research effort

Catalin Barboianu*

Division of Applied Mathematics, Infarom, Craiova, Romania

(Received 18 June 2013; accepted 15 August 2013)

The article addresses the necessity of increasing the role of mathematics in the psychological intervention in problem gambling, including cognitive therapies. It also calls for interdisciplinary research with the *direct* contribution of mathematics. The current contributions and limitations of the role of mathematics are analysed with an eye toward the professional profiles of the researchers. An enhanced collaboration between these two disciplines is suggested and predicted.

Keywords: gambling; mathematics; responsible gambling; psychology; research design

In the field of problem gambling, the discipline of psychology is predominant in both research and applicability over other disciplines studying this field. This predominance came about naturally since problem gambling has been seen primarily as a problem with pathological aspects and social consequences (Abbott & Clarke, 2007; Bradley, 2003). Thus, disciplines already connected to and collaborating with psychology such as other social and behavioural sciences, medicine (principally psychiatry), neuroscience and chemistry have continued to contribute to problem-gambling research. Once another discipline claims findings applicable in this field, that discipline will be implicitly subordinated to psychology since the ultimate purpose of intervention is to modify human behaviour; such modification is the province of psychology and psychiatry. Mathematics is strongly connected to gambling through the mathematical models underlying any game of chance. Among the branches of mathematics dealing with these models, Probability Theory and Statistics are the most important as these branches provide measures and predictions for random gaming events, both for gamblers and for people studying gambling. Probability Theory provides a quantification of the uncertainty under the measure theory; Statistics deals with the collection, organization, analysis and interpretation of empirical data, such interpretation being justified by probability theory.

Games of chance are developed in their physical, consumer-ready form on the basis of abstract mathematical models. These models are simply the essence of the games themselves, and applications within the governing theories of these models represent the functional premises around which the games operate. For instance, within statistical models, the *house edge* is ensured through precise mathematical calculations involving probability and expected value; if such calculations were not possible, the game would never run (Barboianu, 2009). In the research, treatment and prevention of problem gambling, we cannot separate the gambler from the game s/he plays; thus it follows that an optimal psychological intervention cannot disregard mathematics. Let us call this the gambling-math indispensability principle.

*Email: cb@infarom.ro

What has happened thus far? The mathematics of gambling has been a subject of greater interest to gamblers than to psychologists; the plethora of literature on gambling mathematics for the popular audience over the last decade confirms that observation (Turner, Fritz, & Mackenzie, 2003; Williams & Wood, 2007). As regards its interaction with psychology, the role of mathematics (through Probability Theory and Statistics) has been limited to two basic functions: providing numbers (quantities) and adjusting erroneous views related to probability and randomness (Peard, 2008). The quantification function includes the odds as well as statistical indicators attached to games of chance. Empirical studies have been conducted testing hypotheses related to changes in gambling behaviour associated with increased mathematical knowledge of the games; those tests, however, did not yield conclusive results (see Abbott & Volberg, 2000; Gerstein et al., 1999; Gray & Mill, 1990; Hertwig, Barron, Weber, & Erev, 2004; Lambos & Delfabbro, 2007; Pelletier & Ladouceur, 2007; Steenbergh, Whelan, Meyers, May, & Floyd, 2004; Williams & Connolly, 2006). As a result, the *direct* role of mathematics in problem-gambling research, treatment and prevention ended before even beginning. Furthermore, the connection between mathematics and psychology that has developed within the course of such research is not a direct one – mathematical intervention is addressed exclusively to gamblers via an instructional entity (schools, experimental courses, books, other media and the like), and psychology has conducted the empirical studies and interpreted the results only in terms of predicted behaviour after the intervention. In this respect, mathematics has served more as an external resource for psychology than as a research partner. To sum up, the direct contributions of mathematics to psychological intervention in problem gambling have been reduced to *facing the odds* and *correcting erroneous beliefs*. However, some past empirical studies have confirmed that these components of the intervention are not enough. (see Hertwig et al., 2004; Steenbergh et al., 2004; Williams & Connolly, 2006). A quick survey of the literature in this field indicates that no *complete* research has been conducted on the subject of *interpretations* of probability in the gambler's mind.

What can happen next? Following the gambling-math indispensability principle, mathematics can go more deeply into the gambler's mind with the help of psychology (or vice versa, if you prefer), and its contribution can extend further to cognitive therapies. The influence of mathematics can also go beyond Probability Theory & Statistics to incorporate knowledge from adjacent domains such as mathematical modelling, decision theory, theory of representation and even epistemology. Additionally, a basic psychology of mathematics and of mathematical concepts (Godino, 1996; Vergnaud, 1990) can be used in a psychological intervention in problem gambling.

Psychologists do accept that mathematics plays an important role in the gambling phenomenon; however, they have not delved deeply into the mathematics of gambling in search of new elements that could help in their endeavour, and this is perhaps due in part to the nature of their profession. The typical scientific profile of the psychologist is that of a person inclined toward the social, empirical and practical, a team worker in research (Norwich, 2000), who perhaps struggled in school with formal mathematics. By contrast, the mathematician tends to be an individual researcher more inclined toward the abstract and theoretical (Albers & Alexanderson, 2008). Thus far, mathematicians have found nothing to model, apply and provide in the fields of psychological investigation, except for measuring, scaling and predicting through the methods of inferential statistics addressed to empirical studies (Coombs, 1951; Luce, 1995; Mazur, 2006). These methods are external tools and not direct contributions for psychology; therefore, the circumspection is mutual.

Moreover, when trying to disseminate ideas related to both mathematics and problem gambling, mathematicians encounter reluctance from the editorial boards of problem-gambling journals. The reason is that the boards consist mainly of psychologists, and thus some of the journals have difficulty finding appropriate reviewers for such papers.

Mathematics and psychology have been avoiding each other, but in the field of problem gambling their confluence is unavoidable and necessary. The fissure between the two disciplines arises mainly from the contrasting profiles of their researchers and will render difficult any further collaboration. One of the purposes of the current article is to make both factions aware of this difficulty; the other is to call for scientists whose interests fall partially within the other discipline, so as to initiate interdisciplinary research involving mathematics and psychology in the field of problem gambling.

Good examples of the successful adoption of applied mathematics in the last decade – despite the particular profiles of the researchers – include biology (Committee on Mathematical Sciences Research for DOE's Computational Biology, 2005; Friedman, 2010), neuroscience (Ermentrout & Terma, 2010) and some branches of medicine (Artzrouni et al., 2011), such as medical imaging (Epstein, 2008), cardiology (Ottesen, Olufsen, & Larsen, 2004) and, in some cases, oncology (Araujo & McElwain, 2004; Chaplain, 2011).

Notes on contributor

Catalin Barboianu is gaming mathematician, author of eight books on mathematics of gambling. He is the leader of a private research group in applied mathematics and militates for the exposure of the mathematical facts of games of chance to the gamblers.

References

- Abbott, M. W., & Clarke, D. (2007). Prospective problem gambling research: Contribution and potential. *International Gambling Studies*, 7, 123–144.
- Abbott, M. W., & Volberg, R. A. (2000). *Taking the pulse on gambling and problem gambling in New Zealand: A report on phase one of the 1999 National Prevalence Survey*. Department of Internal Affairs, Government of New Zealand.
- Albers, D., & Alexanderson, G. L. (2008). *Mathematical people: Profiles and interviews*. In D. Albers & G. L. Alexanderson (Eds.), Wellesley, MA: A K Peters.
- Araujo, R. P., & McElwain, D. L. S. (2004). A history of the study of solid tumour growth: The contribution of mathematical modelling. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 66, 1039–1091.
- Artzrouni, M., Begg, C. B., Chabiniok, R., Clairambault, J., Foss, A. J. E., Hargrove, J., ... Tindall, M. (2011). The first international workshop on the role and impact of mathematics in medicine: A collective account - meeting report. *American Journal of Translational Research*, 3, 492–497. Retrieved from <http://web.univ-pau.fr/~artzroun/FilesHP/Papers/RIMMAccount.pdf>
- Barboianu, C. (2009). Expectation. In Infarom (Ed.), *Probability guide to gambling: The mathematics of dice, slots, roulette, baccarat, blackjack, poker, lottery and sport bets* (pp. 93–95). Craiova: Infarom.
- Bradley, P. (2003). How psychologists are helping problem gamblers. *InPsych*, October 2003. Retrieved from <http://www.psychology.org.au/publications/inpsych/gamblers/>
- Chaplain, M. A. J. (2011). Multiscale mathematical modelling in biology and medicine. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 76, 371–388. doi:10.1093/imamat/hxr025.
- Committee on Mathematical Sciences Research for DOE's Computational Biology. (2005). *Mathematics and 21st century biology*. In Committee on Mathematical Sciences Research for DOE's Computational Biology (Ed.), Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Coombs, C. H. (1951). Mathematical models in psychological scaling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 46, 480–489.
- Epstein, C. L. (2008). Measurements and modeling. *Introduction to the mathematics of medical imaging* (pp. 1–30). New York, NY: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

- Ermentrout, G. B., & Terma, D. H. (2010). *Mathematical foundations of neuroscience*. In S. S. Antmann, J. E. Marsten, L. Sirovich, & S. Wiggins (Eds.). New York, NY: Springer.
- Friedman, A. (2010). What is mathematical biology and how useful is it? *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 57, 851–857.
- Gerstein, D., Volberg, R. A., Murphy, S., Toce, M., Hoffmann, J., Palmer, A., . . . Sinclair, S. (1999). *Gambling impact and behavior study*. Report to the National Gambling Impact Study Commission. Chicago, IL: National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago.
- Godino, J. D. (1996). Mathematical concepts, their meanings and understanding. *PME conference (Vol. 2, pp. 2–417)*. The Program Committee of the 18th PME Conference.
- Gray, T., & Mill, D. (1990). Critical abilities, graduate education (Biology vs. English), and belief in unsubstantiated phenomena. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue Canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 22, 162–172.
- Hertwig, R., Barron, G., Weber, E. U., & Erev, I. (2004). Decisions from experience and the effect of rare events in risky choice. *Psychological Science*, 15, 534–539.
- Lambos, C., & Delfabbro, P. (2007). Numerical reasoning ability and irrational beliefs in problem gambling. *International Gambling Studies*, 7, 157–171.
- Luce, R. D. (1995). Four tensions concerning mathematical modeling in psychology. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 46(1), 1–27.
- Mazur, J. E. (2006). Mathematical models and the experimental analysis of behavior. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 85, 275–291.
- Norwich, B. (2000). Psychology: study of humanity or science? *Education and psychology in interaction: Working with uncertainty in interconnected fields* (pp. 68–123). New York, NY: Routledge Falmer.
- Ottesen, J. T., Olufsen, M. S., & Larsen, J. K. (2004). A cardiovascular model. *Applied mathematical models in human physiology* (pp. 137–155). New York, NY: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. doi:10.1137/1.9780898718287.ch6.
- Peard, R. (2008). Teaching the mathematics of gambling to reinforce responsible attitudes towards gambling. *Proceeding of the 11th international congress on mathematical education*. Retrieved from http://www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~iase/publications/icme11/ICME11_TSG13_15P_peard.pdf
- Pelletier, M., & Ladouceur, R. (2007). The effect of knowledge of mathematics on gambling behaviours and erroneous perceptions. *International Journal of Psychology*, 42, 134–140.
- Steenbergh, T. A., Whelan, J. P., Meyers, A. W., May, R. K., & Floyd, K. (2004). Impact of warning and brief intervention messages on knowledge of gambling risk, irrational beliefs and behaviour. *International Gambling Studies*, 4, 3–16.
- Turner, N., Fritz, B., & Mackenzie, B. (2003). How to gamble: Information and misinformation in books and other media on gambling. *Journal of Gambling Issues*, 9. doi:10.4309/jgi.2003.9.13 .
- Vergnaud, G. (1990). Epistemology and psychology of mathematics education. In P. Neshet & J. Kilpatrick (Eds.), *Mathematics and cognition: A research synthesis by the international group for the psychology of mathematics education* (pp. 14–30). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, R. J., & Connolly, D. (2006). Does learning about the mathematics of gambling change gambling behavior? *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 20, 62–68.
- Williams, R. J., & Wood, R. T. (2007). *Internet gambling: A comprehensive review and synthesis of the literature*. Report prepared for the Ontario Problem Gambling Research Centre, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. Retrieved from <https://www.uleth.ca/dspace/bitstream/handle/10133/432/2007-InternetReview-OPGRC.pdf?sequence=1>