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#### Abstract

The importance of the prime factorization problem is very well known (e.g., many security protocols are based on the impossibility of a fast factorization of integers on traditional computers). It is necessary from a number $k$ to establish two primes $a$ and $b$ giving $k=a \cdot b$. Usually, $k$ is written in a positional numeral system. However, there exists a variety of numeral systems that can be used to represent numbers. Is it true that the prime factorization is difficult in any numeral system? In this paper, a numeral system with partial carrying is described. It is shown that this system contains numerals allowing one to reduce the problem of prime factorization to solving $[K / 2]-1$ systems of equations, where $K$ is the number of digits in $k$ (the concept of digit in this system is more complex than the traditional one) and $[u]$ is the integer part of $u$. Thus, it is shown that the difficulty of prime factorization is not in the problem itself but in the fact that the positional numeral system is used traditionally to represent numbers participating in the prime factorization. Obviously, this does not mean that $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ since it is not known whether it is possible to re-write a number given in the traditional positional numeral system to the new one in a polynomial time.


Key Words: Numeral systems, partial carrying, prime factorization.

## 1 Introduction

In the prime factorization problem it is necessary from a number $k$ written in a positional numeral system to reestablish two primes $a$ and $b$ such that $k=a \cdot b$. The importance of this problem is very well known. For instance, many security protocols are based on the impossibility of a fast factorization of integers on traditional computers. Numerous algorithms working with conventional and unconventional paradigms have been proposed for this purpose (see, e.g., $[1,3,6,25]$ and references given therein). In particular, the result of Shor (see [25]) showing that this problem can be efficiently solved on a quantum computer has attracted a great attention not

[^0]only of specialists but also of a general public (on October 2016 Google Scholar reports almost 6000 citations to his paper [25]).

Traditionally it is tacitly supposed that a number to be factorized is written in a positional numeral system, and prime factors to be found should be written in the same system, as well. This paper separates the problem of factorization of a number from the problem of representation of the number. What will happen if the positional system is substituted with another numeral system? Will the factorization problem remain as difficult as it is with the usual positional system? In fact, there exists a variety of other numeral systems that can be used to represent numbers: Egyptian system, Roman system, unary system, etc. (see, e.g., [4] for numerous examples of numeral systems). It is well known that operations with some numerals are more difficult than with others. For instance, division with Roman numerals is rather tricky (see, e.g., [8]).

In order to start the discussion it is obligatory first to consider the positional numeral system. Such a system with a finite integer radix $r$ uses symbols $\{0,1, \ldots, r-$ $1\}$ called digits. In this system, an integer $a$ is expressed by the record

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all digits $a_{i}$ satisfy the condition $0 \leq a_{i} \leq r-1,0 \leq i \leq n$, and the numeral (1) represents the quantity calculated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{n} r^{n}+a_{n-1} r^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{1} r^{1}+a_{0} r^{0} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the quantity that represents by each digit $a_{i}$ depends on its position in the numeral (1). The finiteness of $r$ and the fact that each digit $a_{i}$ satisfies the condition $0 \leq a_{i} \leq r-1,0 \leq i \leq n$, leads to the necessity to carry forward digits to the position $i+1$ if a result of an arithmetic operation in a position $i$ is larger than $r-1$.

Notice that there exist mathematical objects such that operations of multiplication and addition with these objects are executed without carrying. The first example is obviously polynomials. Let us consider, for instance, the following multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x^{1}+a_{0}\right) \cdot\left(b_{2} x^{2}+b_{1} x^{1}+b_{0}\right)=k_{4} x^{4}+k_{3} x^{3}+k_{2} x^{2}+k_{1} x^{1}+k_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying is not present here since the value of $x$ is not defined and coefficients of the resulting polynomial are calculated as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{4}=a_{2} b_{2}, k_{3}=a_{2} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{2},  \tag{4}\\
& k_{2}=a_{2} b_{0}+a_{1} b_{1}+a_{0} b_{2}, \quad k_{1}=a_{1} b_{0}+a_{0} b_{1}, \quad k_{0}=a_{0} b_{0} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The second example of addition and multiplication without carrying is a recently introduced numeral system allowing one to execute numerical computations with infinite and infinitesimal numbers (see [ $9,14,16,21,22$ ] and the patent [18] describing the Infinity Computer able to work with this kind of numbers). In this numeral
system, the radix $b$ is infinite and all digits $a_{i}$ are finite. Thus, in practical computations where the number of operands is finite it cannot happen that multiplication or addition of two digits is infinite and so there is no necessity for carrying. Computations of this kind have shown to be very efficient in a number of applications (see, e.g., $[5,7,10,13,15,17,19,20,23,24,26,27]$ ).

In this paper, the problem of prime factorization where numbers $k, a$, and $b$ are represented in the positional numeral system (1), (2) is not discussed. A positional numeral system with partial carrying is described (Section 2) and it is shown (Section 3) that prime factorization in this system is much easier than the same problem with numbers expressed in the system (1), (2). Examples are given in Section 4 and Section 5 concludes the paper. Notice that the results obtained in this paper do not imply that $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ since it is not known whether it is possible to re-write a number given in the traditional positional numeral system to the new one in a polynomial time.

## 2 A positional numeral system with partial carrying

In the numeral system with partial carrying called $P_{r}$ hereinafter prime numbers are written in the usual positional way with the base $r$ as in (1), (2) where $r$ is a positive integer. All other numerals are constructed as results of operations with primes following the rules of operations with polynomials (see, e.g., [2] for detailed discussions on work with polynomials), i.e., without carrying between powers of $x$, where it is taken $x=r$. Let us show a way to construct numerals in $P_{r}$ using multiplication (that will be of a principal interest in this paper) and following an analogy with multiplication of polynomials. A numeral obtained as the result of multiplication of two prime numbers is called the generative form hereinafter.

We consider two prime numbers $a$ and $b$ and their product $k=a \cdot b$ written in the system $P_{r}$ in the generative form, i.e., as the result of multiplication of $a$ and $b$ with partial carrying, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=\left(a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{r}=a_{n} r^{n}+a_{n-1} r^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{1} r^{1}+a_{0} r^{0}  \tag{6}\\
b=\left(b_{m} b_{m-1} \ldots b_{1} b_{0}\right)_{r}=b_{m} r^{m}+b_{m-1} r^{m-1}+\ldots+b_{1} r^{1}+b_{0} r^{0}  \tag{7}\\
0 \leq a_{i} \leq r-1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq b_{j} \leq r-1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the result of multiplication is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=k_{n+m} r^{n+m}+\ldots+k_{1} r^{1}+k_{0} r^{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where numbers $k_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n+m$, are calculated as follows

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cll}
k_{n+m} & := & a_{n} b_{m},  \tag{10}\\
k_{n+m-1} & := & a_{n} b_{m-1}+a_{n-1} b_{m}, \\
k_{n+m-2} & := & a_{n} b_{m-2}+a_{n-1} b_{m-1}+a_{n-2} b_{m}, \\
& \cdots & \\
k_{s} & := & \sum_{\substack{j+j=s \\
0 \leq i \leq n \\
0 \leq j \leq m}} a_{i} b_{j} \\
& & \\
& \cdots & \\
k_{2} & := & a_{0} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{0}, \\
k_{1} & := & a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0}, \\
k_{0} & := & a_{0} b_{0},
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the numeral system $P_{r}$, since it works following the rules of polynomials, conditions analogous to (8) can be broken with respect to $k$ during multiplication as far as there is no carrying between powers of $x=r$. In fact, there can be numbers $k_{i}$ such that $k_{i}>r$ and to express them in the positional system with the radix $r$ more than one symbol can be required, i.e., it can be that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}>r, \quad k_{i}=\left(k_{i, j} k_{i, j-1} \ldots k_{i, 0}\right)_{r}, \quad 0 \leq k_{i, l} \leq r-1, \quad 0 \leq l \leq j \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case condition (11) holds for $k_{i}$, it is called compound digit, whereas digits $k_{j}$ consisting of one symbol only and satisfying condition $0 \leq k_{j} \leq r-1$ are called simple digits. The product $k$ has so $K+1$ digits $k_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq K$, where $K=n+m$ and some digits can be simple and other compound. The numeral system $P_{r}$ is called "with partial carrying" since the carrying is present inside compound digits but is not executed between digits $k_{i}$ and $k_{i+1}, 0 \leq i<K$. In order to separate compound and simple digits some signs should be used. For instance, compound digits can be bounded by signs $\mid$ or underlined in a way. Let us show hot to do it by the following example.

We wish to multiply two prime numbers $a$ and $b$ using $P_{r}$ and to compute $k=a \cdot b$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{r}, \quad b=\left(b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}\right)_{r} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

digits of $a$ and $b$ satisfy (8), and $k$ is calculated following (10). Since for both $a$ and $b$ we have $n=m=2$, it follows from (10) that $K=2+2=4$ and the product $k$ will contain 5 , digits: $k_{4}, k_{3}, k_{2}, k_{1}$, and $k_{0}$. If we suppose that digits $k_{4}, k_{2}$, and $k_{0}$ are simple and digits $k_{3}$ and $k_{1}$ are compound such that $k_{3}=\left(k_{3,2} k_{3,1} k_{3,0}\right)_{r}$ and $k_{1}=\left(k_{1,1} k_{1,0}\right)_{r}$, then the number $k$ can be written either as

$$
k=\left(k_{4}\left|k_{3,2} k_{3,1} k_{3,0}\right| k_{2}\left|k_{1,1} k_{1,0}\right| k_{0}\right)_{r}
$$

or as

$$
k=\left(k_{4} k_{3,2} k_{3,1} k_{3,0} k_{2} k_{1,1} k_{1,0} k_{0}\right)_{r}
$$

Let us give a numerical example (the first way to separate compound digits is used hereinafter). Suppose that we wish to multiply prime numbers $a=127$ and $b=$

359 written using $r=10$. Then in the traditional decimal system we have $k=$ $127 \cdot 359=45993$. In the numeral system $P_{10}$, by applying formulae (3)-(5) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
k= & 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^{4}+(1 \cdot 5+2 \cdot 3) \cdot 10^{3}+(1 \cdot 9+2 \cdot 5+7 \cdot 3) \cdot 10^{2}+(2 \cdot 9+7 \cdot 5) \cdot 10^{1}+(7 \cdot 9) \cdot 10^{0}= \\
& 3 \cdot 10^{4}+11 \cdot 10^{3}+40 \cdot 10^{2}+53 \cdot 10^{1}+63 \cdot 10^{0}=3|11\|40\| 53 \| 63| . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be emphasized that $P_{r}$ allows multiple representations of integers. Together with the generative way of constructing new numerals other representations are also possible as results of addition, subtraction, and division. For instance, the quantity represented by the numeral $k$ from (13) can be obtained as the result of addition

$$
\underbrace{1+1+1 \ldots+1+1}_{45993 \text { addends }}=|45993|
$$

giving us only one compound digit equal to 45933 . It can be represented also as numerals obtained by adding lacking quantities to generative numerals obtained by multiplication of two primes less than $a=127$ and $b=359$, etc.

Notice also that, as it happens for polynomials where their coefficients can be positive or negative, subtraction in $P_{r}$ can lead to numerals having negative (compound or simple) digits. Signed-digit representations are well known (see, e.g., $[11,12]$ and references given therein for discussions on advantages and disadvantages of several kinds of these systems).

## 3 Generative representation and prime factorization

Let us consider now the problem of prime factorization using numerals written in $P_{r}$. Suppose that there are two prime numbers $a$ and $b$ from (6), (7) and their product $k=a \cdot b$ from (9) is written in the system $P_{r}$ in the generative form, i.e., as the result of multiplication of $a$ and $b$ with partial carrying calculated following (10). Suppose also that numbers $n$ and $m$ are known. Our goal is to reestablish numbers $a$ and $b$ from $k$.

To do so let us consider (10) as a system of $n+m+1$ equations with $n+m+2$ unknowns $a_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, and $b_{j}, 0 \leq j \leq m$, and the known numbers $k_{i}, 0 \leq$ $i \leq n+m$.

$$
\begin{cases}a_{n} b_{m} & =k_{n+m},  \tag{14}\\ a_{n} b_{m-1}+a_{n-1} b_{m} & =k_{n+m-1} \\ a_{n} b_{m-2}+a_{n-1} b_{m-1}+a_{n-2} b_{m} & =k_{n+m-2} \\ b_{m-3}+a_{n-1} b_{m-2}+a_{n-2} b_{m-1}+a_{n-3} & =k_{n+m-3} \\ \ldots & =k_{3} \\ b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}+a_{3} & =k_{2} \\ a_{0} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{0} & =k_{1} \\ a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0} & =k_{0},\end{cases}
$$

The following theorem then follows.
Theorem 1 Suppose that primes $a$ and $b$ and their product $k=a \cdot b$ are written in the system $P_{2}$ in the generative form and numbers $n$ and $m$ from (6), (7) are known, then system (14) can be reduced to a system with $n+m-1$ equations and $n+m-2$ unknowns giving so the possibility to find $a$ and $b$.

Proof. Since the product $k$ is written in the generative form, numbers $k_{i}, 0 \leq$ $i \leq n+m$, are known. Then, due to the fact that $r=2$ and $n$ and $m$ are known, it should be $a_{n}=b_{m}=1$. Numbers $a$ and $b$ are primes, therefore, they are odd and this implies that $a_{0}=b_{0}=1$. Thus, (14) re-written using the established values $a_{n}=b_{m}=a_{0}=b_{0}=1$ (and with the first and the last lines omitted since they are identities) becomes

$$
\begin{cases}b_{m-1}+a_{n-1} & =k_{n+m-1}  \tag{15}\\ b_{m-2}+a_{n-1} b_{m-1}+a_{n-2} & =k_{n+m-2} \\ b_{m-3}+a_{n-1} b_{m-2}+a_{n-2} b_{m-1}+a_{n-3} & =k_{n+m-3} \\ \cdots & =k_{3} \\ b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}+a_{3} & =k_{2} \\ b_{2}+a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} & =k_{1}\end{cases}
$$

This system contains $n+m-1$ equations and $n+m-2$ unknowns.
Suppose now that we know only the value $K=n+m$ but numbers $n$ and $m$ are unknown. Then the following Corollary holds.

Corollary 1 Given the number $k,[K / 2]-1$ systems of the kind (15) should be solved to find $a$ and $b$, where $[u]$ is the integer part of $u$. One of these systems gives the solution and the others are inconsistent.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. Without loss of generality let us suppose that $n<m$ in (15). Since the numeral $k$ consists of $K+1$ digits and in $P_{2}$ the smallest prime consists of 2 digits $\left((3)_{10}=(11)_{2}\right)$ then the possible pairs of $n$ and $m$ giving $K+1$ digits in $k$ are: $(2, K-2),(3, K-3), \ldots(l, g)$, where $l=g=K / 2$ in case $K$ is even and $l=g-1=[K / 2]$ if $K$ is odd. Since numbers $a$ and $b$ are prime, only one of these systems gives the solution and the other systems are inconsistent.

## 4 Examples of factorization

Suppose that we have a number $k$ being the product of two primes, written in the generative form using the numeral system $P_{2}$, and consisting of $K+1$ digits, simple and/or compound. Then, as it has been established in the previous section, in order to find its prime factors $a$ and $b$, it is necessary to solve at maximum $[K / 2]-1$ systems (15). Notice that, since all digits $a_{i}$ and all $b_{j}$ should be nonnegative,
equations having $k_{i}=0$ help a lot to solve (15). Namely, they either allow us to determine that several digits in $a$ and $b$ are equal to zero simplifying so (15) or to establish that the system (15) under consideration is inconsistent.

Let us consider as an example multiplication with $a=13$ and $b=19$ giving $a \cdot b=k=247$. In the system $P_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
a \cdot b=1101 \cdot 10011=k=110|10 \| 10| 111= \\
1 \cdot 2^{7}+1 \cdot 2^{6}+0 \cdot 2^{5}+10 \cdot 2^{4}+10 \cdot 2^{3}+1 \cdot 2^{2}+1 \cdot 2^{1}+1 \cdot 2^{0} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, the problem consists of finding $a$ and $b$ from $k=110|10||10| 111$. This numeral has $K=7$ and it consists of 8 digits

$$
k_{7}=1, \quad k_{6}=1, \quad k_{5}=0, \quad k_{4}=10, \quad k_{3}=10, \quad k_{2}=1, \quad k_{1}=1, \quad k_{0}=1
$$

where $k_{4}$ and $k_{3}$ are compound and the remaining digits are simple.
Since $K=7$, it follows from Corollary 1 that we should consider two systems: $n=2, m=5$ and $n=3, m=4$. Let us consider the first pair, $n=2, m=5$, i.e., we test the hypothesis that multipliers $a$ and $b$ have the following form

$$
a=a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}, \quad b=b_{5} b_{4} b_{3} b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}
$$

Taking into account that $a_{2}=b_{5}=a_{0}=b_{0}=1$ system (15) becomes

$$
\begin{cases}a_{1}+b_{4} & =1  \tag{17}\\ b_{3}+1+a_{1} b_{4} & =0 \\ a_{1} b_{3}+b_{2}+b_{4} & =10 \\ b_{1}+b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2} & =10 \\ a_{1} b_{1}+1+b_{2} & =1 \\ b_{1}+a_{1} & =1\end{cases}
$$

Since all $a_{i}$ and all $b_{j}$ should be nonnegative, it follows immediately from the second equation of (17) that this system has no solution.

Let us consider now the second hypothesis, i.e., that multipliers have the form

$$
a=a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}, \quad b=b_{4} b_{3} b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}
$$

Since in this case $n=3$ and $m=4$ and $a_{3}=b_{4}=a_{0}=b_{0}=1$, system (15) becomes

$$
\begin{cases}b_{3}+a_{2} & =1  \tag{18}\\ b_{2}+a_{2} b_{3}+a_{1} & =0 \\ b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{3}+1 & =10 \\ b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}+1 & =10 \\ b_{2}+a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} & =1 \\ b_{1}+a_{1} & =1\end{cases}
$$

This system having six equations and five unknowns can be easily solved. As it was in the previous case, equations with the right-hand part equal to zero show to be very useful. In this case regarding system (18), the second equation of (18) allows us to conclude immediately that $b_{2}=a_{2} b_{3}=a_{1}=0$. Then, the last equation gives us $b_{1}=1$, the penultimate one provides $a_{2}=1$, and the first one $b_{3}=0$ completing so the reconstruction of the factors $a$ and $b$ from (16).

## 5 A brief conclusion

In this paper, the prime factorization problem was considered from the point of view of numeral systems used to represent integers. The question that was investigated was: 'Is it true that the prime factorization is difficult in any numeral system?'

A numeral system with partial carrying has been described. The concept of digit in this system is more complex than the traditional one, namely, each digit can consist of several symbols. It was shown that this system contains numerals allowing one to reduce the problem of prime factorization to solving $[K / 2]-1$ systems of binary equations, where $K$ is the number of digits in the number $k$ that should be factorized and $[u]$ is the integer part of $u$. One of these systems of binary equations gives the solution and the others are inconsistent. A method allowing one to solve the systems easily has been suggested. Examples of factorization were given.

Thus, the numeral system $P_{2}$ with partial carrying and the information that the numeral $k$ written in this system has been obtained as a result of multiplication of primes $a$ and $b$ give the possibility to find the two multipliers $a$ and $b$. This means, that the difficulty of the problem of prime factorization is due to the usage of the traditional positional system for representing numbers and not due to the problem of factorization itself. It should be stressed that the obtained results cannot be considered as a proof of $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$, since it is not known whether it is possible to rewrite a number given in the traditional positional numeral system to the new one in a polynomial time.
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