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While apical growth in plants initiates upon seed germination, radial growth is only primed during early ontogenesis in procambium cells and activated later by the vascular cambium ${ }^{1}$. Although it is not known how radial growth is organized and regulated in plants, this system resembles the developmental competence observed in some animal systems, in which preexisting patterns of developmental potential are established early on $^{2,3}$. Here we show that the initiation of radial growth occurs around early protophloem sieve element (PSE) cell files of the root procambial tissue in Arabidopsis. In this domain cytokinin signalling promotes expression of a pair of novel mobile transcription factors, PHLOEM EARLY DOF (PEAR1, PEAR2) and their four homologs (DOF6, TMO6, OBP2 and HCA2), collectively called PEAR proteins. The PEAR proteins form a short-range concentration gradient peaking at PSE and activating gene expression that promotes radial growth. The expression and function of PEAR proteins are antagonized by well-established polarity transcription factors, HD-ZIP III ${ }^{4}$, whose expression is concentrated in the more internal domain of radially non-dividing procambial cells by the function of auxin and mobile miR165/166. The PEAR proteins locally promote transcription of their inhibitory HD-ZIP III genes, thereby establishing a negative feedback loop that forms a robust boundary demarking the zone of cell divisions. Taken together, we have established a network, in which the PEAR - HD-ZIP III module integrates spatial information of the hormonal domains and miRNA gradients during root procambial development, to provide adjacent zones of dividing and more quiescent cells as a foundation for further radial growth.

Cambial growth in plants is initiated within the procambial tissues of the apical meristems through periclinal (i.e. longitudinal) divisions associated with formation of the vascular tissues xylem and phloem ${ }^{1}$ (Extended Data Fig. 1a). It has been established that during procambial development in Arabidopsis roots there are distinct domains for high auxin and cytokinin signalling, which mark the regions for further development of xylem and phloem/procambium, respectively ${ }^{5-8}$. To accurately map the spatial distribution of the periclinal divisions, we established a new nomenclature for the root procambial cells, including PSE-lateral neighbours (PSE-LN) as cells directly contacting both PSE and the pericycle, the outer procambial cells (OPC) as procambial cells adjacent to the pericycle but not contacting PSE, and SE-internal neighbours (PSE-IN) as cells located internal to and directly contacting PSE (Fig. 1a). Both the PSE cell and PSE-LN showed higher activity of periclinal cell division than the OPC and PSE-IN (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 1b-d and Supplementary Information). We observed virtually no periclinal divisions in metaxylem (MX) and internal procambial cells (IPC) (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, blocking symplastic transport genetically ${ }^{9}$ between the PSE and the surrounding cells results in a dramatic reduction in the number of cell files, not only in PSE lineage but also in the PSE-LN lineage (Extended Data Fig. 2a-e). Thus, the proliferative activity in procambium is centred on and around PSE and may involve symplastic intercellular signals.

By searching in silico for transcription factors enriched in early PSE $^{10}$ (Extended Data Fig. 3a), we identified a pair of DOF transcription factors ${ }^{11}$, PHLOEM-EARLY-DOF 1 (PEAR1)/DOF2.4 and PEAR2/DOF5.1 ${ }^{12}$ (Extended Data Fig. 3b). RNA in situ hybridization and transcriptional fusion constructs validated that both PEAR1 and PEAR2 are transcribed specifically in PSE cells (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 3d). However, fluorescent tagged versions of the PEAR proteins show localization not only in PSE but also in PSE neighbouring cells (PSE-LN and PSE-IN), indicating that these proteins move across short ranges via plasmodesmata (Fig. 1d, Extended Data Fig. 2f-g, 3d and 4a-d).

We next investigated whether the loss-of-function of these genes would lead to a phenotype corresponding to the one observed when symplastic transport is compromised (Extended Data Fig 2c). However, we did not find such phenotype in single or double mutants corresponding to PEAR1 and PEAR2 (Extended Data Fig. 5a and b). We subsequently identified DOF1.1/OBP2 ${ }^{13}$, DOF3.2/DOF6 ${ }^{14}$, $D O F 5.6 / H C A 2^{15}$, and DOF5.3/TMO6 ${ }^{16}$ as additional PSE specific/abundantly expressed $D O F$ genes with a broader gene product localization (Extended data Fig 3d). Furthermore, overexpression of any of these six loci results in an increased number of cell files (Extended Data Fig. 3c). In addition, we observed that DOF6, HCA2 and TMO6 are upregulated in pearl pear 2 double mutant apparently as a compensation response (Extended Data Fig. 3e, see also Supplementary Information). Among the several higher order combinatorial mutants involving all six genes, we found the pearl pear 2 tmo6 triple mutant to display reduced radial growth variably (Fig. 2a, c and f), while the corresponding three double mutants did not show this phenotype (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Furthermore, the pearl pear2 dof6 tmo6 quadruple mutant results in all plants with further, uniformly reduced radial growth corresponding to the line with compromised symplastic trafficking (Fig. 2d, f and Extended Data Fig. 2c), indicating that these four mobile PEAR proteins play a major role in radial growth. In addition, the pear 1 pear 2 dof6 obp 2 hca 2 quintuple mutant resulted in a population of slowly elongating roots (around 30 per cent, $\mathrm{n}=300$ ) with a reduction in radial growth (Fig. 2 b and f ), whereas the corresponding five quadruple mutants for the five genes did not display a strong phenotype (Extended Data Fig. 5b). The introduction of obp2 and hca2 mutations into the pearl pear 2 dof6 tmo6 quadruple background (resulting in the pear 1 pear 2 dof6 tmo6 obp 2 hca 2 hextuple mutant) did not result in further reduced radial growth (Fig. 2d-f), collectively suggesting a significant but minor contribution of $O B P 2$ and $H C A 2$. We were able to suppress the phenotype of the quintuple and/or hextuple mutants with all six genes (Extended Data Fig. 5c, d and see Supplementary Information). Collectively these data indicate that the mobile PEAR proteins redundantly control cell proliferation in and around PSE cells. Their effects are likely to be both cell autonomous and/or non-cell autonomous as several putatively direct target genes, including a central regulator of phloem formation SUPPRESSOR OF MAX2 1-LIKE3 (SMXL3) ${ }^{17}$, are expressed in both PSE and its surrounding cells (Fig. 2g-h and Extended Data Fig. 6, also see Supplementary Information). Moreover, ectopic expression of SMXL3 is sufficient to enhance periclinal cell divisions (Extended Data Fig. 6j).

Earlier studies have highlighted cytokinins in regulating procambial cell proliferation ${ }^{6,8}$. During root development, cytokinin signalling reporter, $p A R R 5:: R F P e r{ }^{18}$ is initially activated and maintained in PSE and its surrounding procambial cells, later becoming concentrated in the procambial cells neighbouring to the xylem cells, while auxin response is maintained in xylem domain ${ }^{7,8}$ (Extended Data Fig. 7a, and see Supplementary Information). Cytokinin signalling reporter partially overlaps with the PEAR1 transcriptional domain (Fig. 3a). Exogenous cytokinin application rapidly increased the level of some of the PEAR transcripts (Extended Data Fig. 7b), and sustained cytokinin treatment resulted in a radial expansion of PEAR expression domains (Extended Data Fig. 7c). Conversely, both PEAR1 and TMO6 transcription were highly reduced in the procambial tissue of cytokinin signalling loss-of-function mutant wooden-leg (wol) ${ }^{5,19}$ (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 7d) and in plants overexpressing $A R R 22^{20}$, an inhibitor of cytokinin signalling (Fig. 3d and e). However, expression of both genes was restored by the induction of cytokinin signalling in wol (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 7d). In addition, we validated the requirement of cytokinin signalling for PEAR1
expression during embryogenesis (Extended Data Fig. 7e-r, and see Supplementary Information). Taken together, our results indicate that initiation of PEAR1 expression in early embryogenesis is independent of cytokinin signalling, but by the time the bisymmetric cytokinin pattern is formed at early heart stage, PEARI transcription is activated and maintained post-embryonically by cytokinins.

Almost no periclinal cell divisions were observed in the cells non-adjacent to the pericycle, including PSE-IN where both cytokinin response and PEAR protein are present (Fig. 1b-d and 3a), suggesting an inhibitory mechanism restricts PEAR function in the inner cells. We previously observed an increased cell number in the vascular tissue of quadruple loss-of-function mutant of the five Class III HOMEODOMAIN LEUCINE ZIPPER (HD-ZIP III) genes ${ }^{21,22}$ (Fig. 4a, d and g). These ectopic cell divisions occur in cells non-adjacent to the pericycle (Extended Data Fig. 8a-e). We observed high levels of three HD-ZIP III proteins, PHABULOSA (PHB), CORONA (CNA) and REVOLUTA (REV), in non-dividing procambial cells, IPC and PSE-IN, whereas their expression was absent in the actively dividing cells of the PSE and PSE-LN (Extended Data Fig. 8f-k). In this domain endodermal-derived mobile miR165/6 eliminates HD-ZIP III messenger RNA ${ }^{22,23}$, suggesting that HD-ZIP III inhibit periclinal cell divisions of PSE-IN by antagonizing the functions of co-localized PEAR proteins. This is further supported by our observation that overexpression of PEAR1 in the miRNA-resistant phb-1d mutant which has elevated levels of $\mathrm{PHB}^{22,23}$ is less effective than overexpressing PEARI in wild-type plants (Extended Data Fig. 81-o). Hence, to sharpen the boundary between dividing and non-dividing cells, the expression pattern of both HD-ZIP III and PEAR proteins must be tightly controlled.

Auxin is known to promote the xylem associated $H D-Z I P$ III transcription ${ }^{24,25}$. However, $P H B$, $C N A$ and $R E V$ show broader expression of both transcriptional and translational reporters (Fig. 4h, Extended Data Fig. 8f-h and Extended Data Fig. 9), suggesting that other factors may enhance HDZIP III transcription in the peripheral region. Interestingly, we observed a significant reduction of CNA transcription in PSE-neighbouring cells in the pear quintuple background (Fig. 4h-j). In addition, PEAR1 overexpression enhanced the transcription of HD-ZIP III genes, especially in the central domain of vascular tissue (Extended Data Fig. 9). These data suggest that PEAR1 locally enhances HD-ZIP III transcription at PSE-neighbouring cells. As previous work has reported that PEAR1 has the potential to bind $H D-Z I P$ III promoters ${ }^{26,27}$, it is possible that these interactions are direct. As $H D$ ZIP III and PEAR1 show complementary expression patterns, we explored whether HD-ZIP III could regulate PEAR1 transcription. PEAR1 expression was severely attenuated in mutants showing elevated levels of HD-ZIP III such as phb-1d and $s h r-2^{22}$ (Extended Data Fig.8p-t). Together these data suggest a feedback loop between HD-ZIP III and PEARI transcription.

Furthermore, to examine a possible effect of the HD-ZIP III on the mobile PEAR1 proteins, we measured the diffusion coefficient and movement pattern of PEAR1-GFP in wild type and in the hd-zip III quadruple mutant where PSE is formed in a triarch arrangement but PEARI transcription is restricted to PSE as observed in wild type (Extended Data Fig. 8u and w). We observed that the diffusion coefficient of PEAR1-GFP is significantly higher and the protein moves further in the mutant compared to wild type (Fig. 4k-m and Extended Data Fig. 4). To understand the significance of this enhanced PEAR1 movement, we analysed the cell proliferation pattern of combinatorial pearl pear 2 dof6 obp2 hca 2 phb phv cna athb8 nonuple and pear 1 pear 2 dof6 tmo6 obp 2 hca 2 phb phv cna athb8 decuple loss-of-function mutants. We found that these mutants showed a reduced number of periclinal cell divisions in the vascular cells both adjacent to and non-adjacent to the pericycle compared to the
hd-zip III quadruple mutant (Fig. 4a-g and Extended Data Fig. 8d-e). This indicates that HD-ZIP III inhibit periclinal cell division partially through inhibiting PEAR1 movement to position the cell division zone around phloem.

In order to further conceptualize the observed interactions between PEAR and HD ZIP III and test the capacity of this network to generate sharp boundaries, we incorporated the PEAR factors into a spatially one dimensional network model with HD-ZIP III, miR165/6, auxin and cytokinin as defined in previous theoretical studies ${ }^{8,28,29}$ (Supplementary Modelling Information). The model is defined on a line in one spatial dimension representing 3,4 or 5 cells from the centre of the xylem axis to the outer edge of the PSE cell (Extended Data Fig. 10a-d and Supplementary Modelling Information). One particularly interesting aspect of the system is that the network involves dual negative feedback loops, in which HD-ZIP III transcription is activated by PEAR1 (Interaction (1) in Extended Data Fig.10c), while in turn both PEAR1 transcription and protein movement are inhibited by HD-ZIP III (Interaction (2) and (3), respectively in Extended Data Fig.10c). We ran simulations exploring the steady state patterns created in networks with the above interactions and in scenarios when one of the interactions was missing (Extended Data Fig. 10d-h). Based solely on two inputs imposed at the margins, auxin (xylem) and miR165/6 (outer margin), the model predicts the spatial distribution of cytokinin, as well as PEAR and HD-ZIP III proteins (Extended Data Fig. 10d-h). The version of the model incorporating all three interactions (i.e repressing both the transcription and movement of PEAR) results in the sharpest gradients of PEAR and HD-ZIP III proteins (Extended Data Fig. 10f) with both PEAR1 protein and HD-ZIP III localized within the PSE-IN, consistent with experimental observations (Extended Data Fig. 10e). To our knowledge this is the first report of a role for the dual regulation of both transcription and movement of a developmental regulator in sharpening boundaries.

Collectively our research has uncovered a regulatory network involving the dual regulation of gene transcription and protein movement, in which the spatial distribution of phytohormones and small RNA is decoded into the activity of two functionally antagonistic sets of transcription factors, PEAR and HD-ZIP III, during root procambial development (Extended Data Fig. 10i). The mobile PEAR factors promote cell proliferation around the two early protophloem sieve element cell files, which constitute two new organizers just proximal to the quiescent centre. These organizers surround a more quiescent central zone defined by the HD-ZIP III factors. In this way, the PEAR - HD-ZIP III module specifies a lateral meristem within an apical meristem and as such, forms a foundation for further cambial development ${ }^{30}$. Therefore, in the future it will be interesting to determine how extensively this procambial pathway also contributes to ontogenetically late processes such as wood and storage organ formation in the crop species.
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## Figure legends

## Figure $1 \mid$ Periclinal cell divisions are centred around PSE, a domain highlighted by mobile PEAR transcription factors.

a, Schematic representation of procambial cells based on the position relative to PSE (red) and outer pericycle (gray). PSE neighbouring cells are classified as PSE lateral neighbour (PSE-LN, orange), a cell adjacent to both PSE and pericycle, or PSE internal neighbour (PSE-IN, dark-green), a cell adjacent to PSE but not pericycle. Intervening procambial cells are classified as outer PC (OPC, yellow), a procambial cell adjacent to pericycle, or internal PC (IPC), a procambial cell non-adjacent to pericycle. PX and MX represent protoxylem and metaxylem, respectively. $\mathbf{b}$, Number of periclinal cell divisions in each cell during procambial development ( 273 division events in total from 13 independent roots, also see Supplementary Information). PSE and PSE-LN exhibited higher proliferative activity. Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. Dots, individual data points. ce, Expression of $p P E A R 1: \because G F P-G U S(n=17)$ exhibits a highly PSE-specific expression pattern in the vascular tissue, though a residual level of GFP signal is observed in PSE-IN, most likely due to the retention of fluorescent protein after the division of PSE. d, Expression of the translational fusion of PEAR1 to GFP ( $n=15$ ). Fluorescent signal is observed not only in PSE but also in its neighbouring cells, including PSE-LN and PSE-IN. In cand d, $n$ represents independent biological samples. White, dark-green, orange arrowheads and asterisks indicate PSE, PSE-IN, PSE-LN and protoxylem, respectively. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure $2 \mid P E A R$ genes activate periclinal cell division by controlling downstream genes in noncell autonomous manner.
$\mathbf{a - e}$, Cross-section of wild type (a), pear quintuple (pear1 pear2 dof6 obp2 hca2) (b), pear triple (pear1 pear2 tmo6) (c), pear quadruple (pear1 pear2 dof6 tmo6) (d) and pear hextuple (pear1 pear2 dof6 obp2 hca2 tmoб) (e), respectively. Each image is representative of independent biological samples
analysed in $\mathbf{f}$. $\mathbf{f}$, Number of procambial and phloem cell files in wild type and pear combinatorial mutants. Values were calculated from root cross sections at the differentiation zone. Boxplot centres show median. For more information on boxplots, see Methods. Statistically significant differences between groups were tested using Tukey's HSD test $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. For individual $P$ values, see Supplementary Table 3. $n$, independent biological samples. g-h, Expression of selected PEAR1/2 downstream genes in wild type, PEAR2 overexpression plant and pear hextuple mutant. SMXL3 is expressed in phloem and procambial tissue, whose expression is induced by PEAR2 overexpression, but not altered in pear hextuple. AT4G00950 gene is expressed in PSE and its neighbouring cells, whose expression is induced by PEAR2 overexpression and reduced in pear hextuple mutant. Number in each panel indicates samples with similar results of the total independent biological samples analysed. White arrowheads, PSE. Asterisks, protoxylem. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 3| Cytokinin signalling triggers PEAR1 expression.
a, Expression of ARR5 and PEAR1 overlaps at the initial stage (a') and early proliferative phase (a'"), $n=15$. b-c, Transcription of PEAR1 in wol root, which is conditionally rescued by CRE1 induction (Est, estradiol treated). PEARI transcription is severely reduced in the condition with attenuated cytokinin response ( $\mathbf{b}, n=6$ ), and is restored after three days induction of $C R E 1$ ( $\mathbf{c}$, arrowheads, $n=6$ ). d-e, PEAR1 transcription is down-regulated after 48 hours of $A R R 22$ induction. $n=5$ and 7, respectively. In a-e, $n$ represents independent biological samples. White arrowheads, PSE. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 4| Antagonistic function of PEAR1 and HD-ZIP III sharpens the boundary between dividing and non-dividing cells.
a-f, An optical cross-section image of vascular tissue in wild type (a), pear quintuple (b), pear hextuple (c), hd-zip III quadruple (d), pear hd-zip III nonuple (e) and pear hd-zip III decuple mutant (f). Asterisks indicate pericycle cells. Each image is representative of independent biological samples analysed in $\mathbf{g}$. $\mathbf{g}$ Quantification of vascular cell number. In the analysis of pear quintuple and pear hdzip III nonuple, a population of slowly elongating roots is selected as described in Fig. 2f. h-i, Expression of CNA transcriptional reporter in the control (h, the heterozygous pear quintuple, $n=4$ ) and pear quintuple background (i, $n=3$ ). $\mathbf{j}$, Fluorescent level in PSE-IN is significantly reduced in pear quintuple. $n$ represents individual measurements across 4 or 3 independent biological samples, respectively. $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{I}$, PEAR1-GFP localization in wild type ( $\mathbf{k}, n=19$ ) and $h d$-zip III quadruple mutant ( $\mathbf{l}$, $n=17$ ). PEAR1-GFP is broadly localized even in IPC in $h d$-zip III quadruple (1, light-green arrowheads). $\mathbf{m}$, Average diffusion coefficient of PEAR1-GFP in wild-type and $h d$-zip III quadruple roots obtained by performing Raster Image Correlation Spectroscopy (RICS). In g-i and k-m, $n$ represents independent biological samples. In $\mathbf{g}$, boxplot centres show median. Statistically significant differences were tested using Tukey's HSD test $\mathbf{p}<0.05$. For individual $P$ values, see Supplementary Table 3. In $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{m}$, bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. (j) or s.e.m. (m). $P$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's t-test (j) or Mann-Whitney $U$ test ( $\mathbf{m}$ ). Dots, individual data points. White, darkgreen, orange, light-green arrowheads indicate PSE, PSE-IN, PSE-LN and IPC, respectively. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Methods

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized, and investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment. Experiments were repeated at least twice. All experiments were repeated successfully.

## Plant materials and growth condition

Arabidopsis thaliana lines used in this study were either in Columbia or Landsberg erecta background. The following alleles were obtained from the publicly available collections: pearl (CSHL_GT8483) in Ler, pear2 (SALK_088165) in Col-0, obp2 (SK24984) in Col-4, dof6 (Wiscseq_Ds_Llox351c08) in Col-0, hca2 (GK-466B10) in Col-0. Knock-out alleles of TMO6 were generated using CRISPRCas 9 technology as previously described ${ }^{31}$. The following protospacer target sequence was selected as it had no predicted off-site targets and allowed screening via NheI restriction using the CRISPR-P web tool ${ }^{32}$. The Protospacer adjacent motif is underlined: GGACACCTGAGAGCTAGCTCCGG. Successful mutagenesis was confirmed via Sanger sequencing in plants of the T2 and T3 generation that no longer carried the Cas9 transgene. Four TMO6 mutant alleles were identified: tmo6-1 (+A), tmo6-2 (+T), tmo6-3 (deletion of 5 bp and at the same time insertion of 26 bp ) and tmo6-4 ( -5 bp ) (Extended Data Fig. 5a). The alleles tmo6-1, -2, and -3 were found in the pear hextuple mutant and caused the pear hextuple phenotype, while tmo6-4 was found in the tmo6 single mutant, respectively. The genotyping primers for these mutants are listed in Supplementary Table 1. hd-zip III quadruple (phb phv cna athb8) was described previously ${ }^{21}$. Plant growth conditions were described previously ${ }^{5}$.

## Histological analysis

Primary roots of vertically grown 4 to 5-day-old seedlings were used for histological analyses. For confocal imaging, root samples were stained with propidium iodide (PI), aniline blue (AB) or SCRI Renaissance 2200 (SR2200) (Renaissance Chemicals, UK). The method of PI and AB staining were described previously ${ }^{9,33}$. For SR2200 stain, root samples were fixed in SR2200 solution (4\% paraformaldehyde, $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ SR2200 in PBS buffer ( pH 7.4 )). Then samples were washed with PBS buffer and transferred into the ClearSee solution ${ }^{34}$. Confocal imaging was performed on Leica TCS SP5, Leica TCS SP8, Leica TCS SP5 II HCS-A or Nikon C2 CLSM using a solid state blue laser ( 480 nm ) for GFP, a green laser ( 514 nm ) for VENUS, a lime laser (DPSS 561nm) for RFP and PI, and a UV laser (diode 405nm) for SR2200. Transverse plastic sections of root were performed as described previously ${ }^{5}$. For histological analyses of embryo, dissected embryos were mounted in SR2200 solution and visualized by the confocal microscopy.

## Mapping of the position of periclinal cell divisions

A series of 2D confocal images of Arabidopsis root vascular tissue were recorded at $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ intervals using Nikon C2 CLSM or Leica TCS SP8. Cross section images in each developmental stage were created by ImageJ software from a series of 2D confocal images, and the cell segmentation was done using CellSeT ${ }^{35}$. For more information, see Supplementary Information.

## Box plots

Box plots were created with standard box blot setting (the first and third quartiles, split by the median; whiskers extend to a maximum of $1.5 \times$ interquartile range (IQR) beyond the box.) Outliers are indicated as black dots.

## DNA constructs and transgenic plants

Most of transgenic constructs were produced by using Gateway or multisite Gateway system (Invitrogen) as described previously ${ }^{18}$. To generate the transcriptional fusion constructs with GFP-GUS each promoter sequence was cloned into pDONR221 and fused to GFP-GUS coding sequence in the destination vector pBGWFS7 by normal LR reaction. For other transcriptional fusion constructs, including pPEAR $1: \because$ VENUSer, pPEAR $2::$ VENUSer, pAHA3 $: \because$ RFPer, pOBP $2: \because$ VENUSer, pHCA2 $\because:$ RFPer, pTMO6 $::$ RFPer and $p R E V: \because R F P e r$ and the transcriptional fusion constructs of PEAR1/PEAR2 downstream genes, each promoter was cloned into pDONRP4_P1R, and assembled with the coding sequence of fluorescent reporter (VENUSer or RFPer) and terminator into the destination vectors, pHm 43 GW (Hygromycin resistant), pBm 43 GW (Basta resistant) or by multisite Gateway system. To produce the transcriptional fusion constructs of HD-ZIP III, including PHB and CNA, each promoter was inserted upstream of the GAL4:VP16 (GV) coding region of pBIB-UAS-GFPer-NtADH5'-GV vector ${ }^{36}$. For most of the translational fusion constructs of PEAR genes, except for $p P E A R 1:: P E A R 1-G F P$, each promoter was cloned into the first-box vector pDONRP4_P1R, and each coding sequence was cloned into vector pDONR221, thereafter each promoter and coding sequence were assembled with pDONR P2R_P3-terminator/reporter into pHm43GW, pBm43GW or pFR 7 mGW by multisite Gateway system ${ }^{18}$. To generate other translational fusion constructs, including pPEAR1 $: \because P E A R 1-G F P, p C N A: \because C N A-G F P, \quad p A T H B 8:: A T H B-G F P$ and $p R E V:: R E V-G F P$, each genomic fragment which contains promoter, coding and its 3' region, was cloned into pAN19 vector. Then GFP coding sequence was fused to C-terminus of each coding sequence. Finally, each translational fusion sequence was inserted into the modified pBIN19 vector with Basta resistance ${ }^{23}$. For the overexpression construct, including PEAR genes and CRE1, the coding sequence of each genes was assembled with stele-specific estradiol-inducible promoter (pCRE1[XVE]) into pHm 43 GW or pBm43GW by the Multisite Gateway system described previously ${ }^{18}$. To construct pPEAR1[XVE]::icals3m, 1.5 kb PEAR1 promoter was cloned into p1R4-ML:XVE vector ${ }^{18}$, and assembled with icals 3 m sequence into $\mathrm{pBm} 43 \mathrm{GW}^{9}$. The primers for DNA construction and the list of plasmids are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

## In situ hybridization

Amplified fragments of PEAR1, PEAR2 and OBP2 were cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) vector and fragments of DOF6, HCA2, TMO6 into pCR-Bunt II-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions. In order to obtain antisense probes, plasmids were first linearized by restriction enzyme treatment: MluI for PEAR1 and OBP2, ScaI for PEAR2, HindIII for TMO6 and DOF1, and XbaI for HCA2 were used. Linearized plasmids were digoxigenin (DIG) labelled using DIG RNA Labelling Kit (Roche) following manufacturer's instructions. For PEAR1, OBP2, TMO6 and DOF1, T7 RNA polymerase and for PEAR2 and HCA2, SP6 RNA polymerase were used. mRNA detection on a whole-mount seedlings was performed as described ${ }^{37}$. Images were taken with Zeiss

Axioimager microscope with either 20x or 40x objective.

## Transcriptome analysis

Targets of PEAR1 and PEAR2 were identified by analysing transcriptional changes after dexamethasone (DEX) treatment of pRPS5A: $\because P E A R 1-G R$ and $p R P S 5 A: \because P E A R 2-G R$. To identify putative direct targets, DEX treatment was also performed with cycloheximide (CHX), which inhibits protein synthesis and therefore activation of indirect targets. 3-day-old seedlings were grown on control medium and transferred to medium containing $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DEX or $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DEX}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CHX}$ for 2 h , after which root tips were collected and RNA extraction was performed. Total RNA ( 100 ng ) was labelled using GeneChip WT PLUS Reagent Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and hybridized to GeneChip Arabidopsis Gene 1.1 ST array plates (Affymetrix). Sample labelling, hybridization to chips, and image scanning were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Microarray analysis was performed as previously described to yield significantly up-regulated genes ( $>1.0$-fold; $\mathrm{P}<0.05)^{8}$. Venn diagram of significantly up-regulated genes was made using Venny 2.1 on-line program (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny_old/venny.php). Previously published root spatiotemporal expression data was used to make a heatmap to visualize predicted expression patterns of all PEAR1 and PEAR2 targets ${ }^{10}$. To have relative expression values for every gene in different root cell types and developmental stages, values for every gene were normalized based on its highest expression in one of the cell types. Heatmap was generated using R with gplots R-package ${ }^{38}$. The transcriptomics data files are submitted to GEO (accession number GSE115183).

## Reporter analysis of PEAR1/2 downstream genes

When selecting genes for reporter analysis, putative direct targets were preferred. Significantly more direct targets were identified for PEAR2, and therefore those are overrepresented. Other considerations were how strongly they were upregulated, as well as their predicted expression pattern. Expression in early procambium or early phloem and procambium was preferred. AT1G49230, AT1G15080, AT3G16330, AT4G00950 and SMXL3 are putative direct targets of PEAR2 and with predicted expression in early phloem/procambium. AT3G54780 was chosen because it is a putative direct target of both PEAR1 and PEAR2, although no predicted expression data was available. AT1G09460, a direct target of PEAR2 and a target of PEAR1, was chosen because it was induced very strongly by both genes, although predicted to be expressed only very weakly in phloem/procambium.

## Quantitative RT-PCR analysis

qRT-PCR analyses were performed as described previously ${ }^{39}$. Cytokinin treatment was done with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 6-Benzylaminopurine (BA), and experiments were performed in three biological repeats and each of these with 3 technical repeats. RNA was extracted with the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN). Poly(dT) cDNA was prepared from $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA with an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Biorad) and analysed on a CFX384 Real-Time PCR detection system (BioRad) with iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Expression levels were normalized to those of EEF1 $\alpha$ and CDKA1;1. The primers are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

## Phloem transport assay

The phloem translocation was judged by the transport and unloading of 5(6) Carboxyfluorascein diacetate (CFDA) as describe ${ }^{40}$. After application of the dye, plants were kept in agar plates and only placed on regular cover slips for imaging.

## Raster image correlation spectroscopy (RICS)

To determine the rate of movement of GFP-labeled PEAR1 protein in wild type and hd-zip III quadruple (phb phv cna athb8) mutant background, Raster image correlation spectroscopy (RICS) was performed according to previous work ${ }^{41-43}$. Images were collected using a Zeiss 880 confocal microscope. Frames of $256 \times 256$ pixels were acquired using a raster scan with a dwell time of 8.19 $\mu$ sec pixel ${ }^{-1}$ at a pixel size of either 100 nm for 100 frames resulting in a line scan of 5.035 ms . Diffusion coefficients were derived using the SimFCS software (https://www.lfd.uci.edu/globals/) ${ }^{44}$ from GFPlabeled PEAR1 vascular cells within the first $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the QC. Specifically, 18 observations from WT and 30 for the $h d$-zip III quadruple (phb phv cna athb8) mutant background were used for the RICS analysis using the SimFCS software The RICS algorithm by comparing the intensity fluctuations of one pixel to the fluctuations of the pixels next to it and the fluctuations of one pixel to itself over time, produces a spatio-temporal Auto Correlation Function (ACF) that captures the fluorescence dynamics of the particles in the volume ${ }^{44,45}$. The ACF is decomposed into two correlation functions that depend on $\xi$ (the spatial lag in $x$ ) and $\psi$ (the spatial lag in $y$ ). The first correlation function, $S(\xi, \psi)$, calculates the spatio-temporal correlation due to the scanning of the microscope. The second correlation function, $G(\xi, \psi)$, calculates the spatio-temporal correlation due to particles diffusing in the medium. The ACF, $G_{S}(\xi, \psi)$, takes both of these correlations into account by multiplying them: $G S(\xi, \psi)=S(\xi, \psi) * G(\xi, \psi)$. The functions are constructed assuming that the distribution of fluorescence intensities follows a 3D Gaussian distribution. The decomposition of the ACF into two parts allows RICS to distinguish random, Brownian motion from diffusing particles in the medium ${ }^{45}$. The software fits the RICS-ACF using the pixel dwell time, pixel size, line scan and the Point Spread Function (PSF) bean waist of 0.241 nm as previously obtained ${ }^{41}$ and returns the diffusion coefficient of the protein. The diffusion coefficient returned results in the ACF curve that best fits the data. Goodness of fit is determined by comparing the residuals to the amplitude of the $\mathrm{ACF}^{41-45}$.

## Mathematical model

The mathematical model is formulated as a set of ordinary differential equations describing the set of interactions shown in Figure 4o, defined on a one-dimensional array of discrete spatial compartments representing a cross-section of root tissue. The spatial subdivisions may represent either cell or cell wall compartments, with multiple compartments per cell so that intracellular resolution is present within the model. Three, four or five cells are simulated, from the centre of the stele at the xylem axis to the edge of the stele where phloem is formed. The model is implemented as a single stand-alone text file using Python 2.7 plus the open source libraries Scipy, from which the 'odeint' function was used to solve the differential equations, and Matplotlib, which was used to plot the figures. See Supplementary Modelling Information for more details.

## Code availability

The code for mathematical model is available on request.

## Data availability

All lines and data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The microarray data files are available at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (accession no. GSE115183).
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## Extended Data legends

## Extended Data Fig. 1| Quantification of periclinal cell division during procambial development.

a, Schematic representation of root vascular tissue of Arabidopsis. Procambial cells originate from their initial cells, and periclinal cell division increases the cell files during the proliferative phase, eventually resulting in a bisymmetric vascular pattern composed of a pair of phloem poles, which are separated from central xylem axis by intervening procambium. $\mathbf{b}$, An example of mapping the position of periclinal cell divisions from the initial cells. From each position within the root vascular tissue (arrows), an optical cross-section image is constructed, and cells were segmented using CellSet. c, The number of periclinal cell divisions in each cell position ( 273 division events from 13 independent roots). d, The mean cell number in each category during procambial development. The number of events per cell in each group was calculated by diving the number of events by the mean cell number of each group during development (See Supplementary Information).

## Extended Data Fig. 2| Inhibition of symplastic connection in early PSE results in the reduction of vascular cell number and in PSE-specific PEAR1-GFP localization.

a, Aniline blue stained primary root of pPEAR1[XVE]: :icals $3 m$ after 24 hours of induction. Callose deposition occurs superficially in PSE cells (arrowheads, $n=10$ ). b-c, The vascular tissue of pPEAR1[XVE] $\because$ icals $3 m$ root, in non-induced (b, $n=13$ ) and after three days induction ( $\mathbf{c}, n=9$ ). In noninduced condition, PSE cells (white arrowheads) and their neighbouring cells, composed of MSE (dark-green arrows) and two lateral companion cells (orange arrowheads), are spatially separated from the xylem axis by intervening procambium. By contrast, after three days induction of callose deposition in PSE cells, only a single SE cell file is formed in each phloem pole (c, white arrowheads), and its neighbouring cells often touch the xylem axis (c, yellow hashtags). Number of procambial and phloem cell files is significantly reduced after three days induction. Boxplot centres show median. For more information on boxplots, see Methods. $P$ value was calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. d-e, Expression of Sister of APL (SAPL, AT3G12730) and ATPase 3 (AHA3, AT5G57350) in pPEAR1[XVE]: :icals $3 m$ before (d, $n=10$ and 4, respectively) and after 24 hours of induction (e, $n=10$ and 4, respectively). SAPL is expressed in CC and MSE in meristematic zone, and AHA3 is expressed in differentiated CC (d). After induction, expression of these genes is restricted to a single cell file, indicating that symplastic cell communication between PSE and PSE-LN is required for the specification of PSE-neighbouring cell identity. f-g, PEAR1-GFP localization in $p C R E 1[X V E] \because: i c a l s 3 m$ before (f, $n=8$ ) and after 24 hours of induction ( $\mathbf{g}, n=7$ ). PEAR1-GFP becomes specific to the PSE cell after the induction of callose deposition in whole vascular tissue, suggesting that PEAR1-GFP move in a short rage via plasmodesmata. White, orange and dark-green arrowheads
indicate PSE, PSE-LN and PSE-IN, respectively. Asterisks indicate protoxylem (PX) cells. In a-g, $n$ represents independent biological samples. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 3| Identification of PEAR genes.

a, In silico analysis of the early phloem abundant transcription factors. Nine transcription factors are shown to be expressed abundantly in the early phloem cell (S32 fraction), containing four types of transcription factors, including DOF-type, MADS-box, NAC-type and GATA-type transcription factors. $\mathbf{b}$, A phylogenetic tree of 36 Arabidopsis DOF transcription factors is drawn using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). c, Overexpression of PEAR genes, including PEAR1, PEAR2, OBP2, DOF6, HCA2 and TMO6, under the CRE1 inducible promoter enhances periclinal cell division in the vascular tissue. $n$ represents independent biological samples. Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. Dots, individual data points. $P$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. d, Expression of six PEAR genes, including PEAR1, PEAR2, DOF6, TMO6, OBP2 and HCA2, show similar expression patterns to PEAR1, in which both mRNA and transcriptional fusion reporter exhibit PSE-specific pattern with a broad protein localization. HCA2 translational fusion in wild-type background exhibits weak but detectable signal in PSE-neighbouring cells (arrows), and its expression level is enhanced in the pear quintuple mutant background. Though TMO6 mRNA is highly specific to PSE cells, its transcriptional fusion reporter shows a broad but PSE abundant expression pattern with a broad TMO6 protein localization. Mobility of TMO6 protein is more evident when TMO6-VENUS is expressed under PSE-specific PEAR1 promoter (pPEAR1::TMO6-VENUS) in pear hextuple. Number in each panel indicates samples with similar results of the total independent biological samples analysed. Boxplot centres show median. $P$ value calculated by two-sided Student's t -test. Dots, individual data points. e, A quantitative analysis of $P E A R$ transcripts in pearl pear 2 double mutant background. Note that the level of transcripts of three PEAR genes, including TMO6, DOF6 and HCA2, is elevated in pearl pear2 background, suggesting that a compensation mechanism would mask the effect of pearl pear 2 loss of function (also see Supplemental Information). Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. Dots, individual data points. $P$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 4| PEAR1-GFP localization during procambial development.

a-d, PEAR1-GFP localization in wild-type background ( $n=19$, independent biological samples). The position of each optical section is indicated in the left panel showing the longitudinal section. At the position of the vascular initial cells, weak PEAR1-GFP signal is observed in PSE and neighbouring procambial cells but not in the xylem cells (a). During an early stage of the proliferative phase, the highest PEAR1-GFP signal is detected in the PSE, and substantial level of PEAR1-GFP signal is observed in PSE neighbouring cells, PSE-LN and PSE-IN (b, cc) and its expression is maintained by the end of proliferation stage ( $\mathbf{d}$ ), indicating that the expression pattern of PEAR1-GFP is correlated with the domain having high proliferative activity, except for PSE-IN where almost no periclinal cell division is detected (see Fig. 1b). e-h, PEAR1-GFP localization in hd-zip III quadruple (phb phv cna athb8) mutant background ( $n=17$, independent biological samples). The position of each optical section is indicated in left panel showing the longitudinal section. Broad localization of PEAR1-GFP is detected at the level of vascular initials. Central domain is highlighted with a dotted square (e). At
the early stage of proliferative stage, fluorescent signal is detected in IPC cells (light-green arrowheads), as well as PSE and its neighbouring cells ( $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ ), and gradually becomes specific to PSE and its neighbours (h). i, Quantification of PEAR1-GFP signal in each cell type. Fluorescent intensity of PEAR1-GFP in IPC and PSE-IN cells during proliferative phase (b-c in wild-type, $\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}$ in $h d-z i p$ III quadruple, respectively) was measured and normalized to the fluorescent intensity in PSE cells, confirming a broad distribution of PEAR1-GFP in hd-zip III quadruple. $n$ represents individual measurements across 5 (wild type) or 4 (hd-zip III quadruple) independent biological samples, respectively. Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. Dots, individual data points. $P$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. White, orange and dark-green and light-green arrowheads indicate PSE, PSE-LN, PSE-IN and IPC respectively. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. $5 \mid$ Loss of function of PEAR genes.

a, Organization of PEAR genes and CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutation in TMO6 locus. Deletions are denoted by dashes; insertions and a replacement are indicated by red letters. b, Quantification of phloem and procambium cell files in lower (left) and higher (right) order pear combinatorial mutants. Tukey's HSD test is provided for all samples in Supplementary Table 3. c, pear quintuple mutant phenotype is suppressed by introduction of fluorescent-tagged PEAR proteins expressed under their native promoters. d, pear hextuple mutant phenotype is significantly suppressed by the introduction of PEAR1, DOF6 and TMO6 construct, but not PEAR2. In the pear hextuple background, PEAR2 expression is highly reduced in the vascular tissue. e, Phloem unloading assay in wild type, pear quintuple (with shortest roots) and pear hextuple ( $n=15,8$ and 15 , respectively). Fluorescent CFDA dye is loaded on the cotyledon and imaged two hours after application (see Methods). Phloem transport and unloading is not changed in the shortest roots of the pear quintuple mutant strongly affected in the radial growth. pear hextuple shows defects in phloem transport. f, Phenotype of pear hextuple mutant at the early developmental stage ( 1.5 days after germination). The cell number in vascular tissue of pear hextuple is significantly reduced before the onset of phloem PSE differentiation and activation of the phloem transport (see Supplementary Information). $P$ value was calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. In b-f, $n$ represents independent biological samples. In b-d, statistically significant differences between groups were tested using Tukey's HSD test $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. For individual $P$ values, see Supplementary Table 3. Boxplot centres show median. For more information on boxplots, see Methods. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 6| Identification of genes acting downstream of PEAR.

a, Venn diagram showing the genes upregulated by overexpression of PEAR1 or PEAR2 with and without cycloheximide (chx). The analysis revealed 212 and 435 upregulated genes, in the respective experiments. Heatmap showing the predicted spatiotemporal expression patterns of all genes induced by PEAR1 or PEAR2. b-i, Expression patterns of eight selected genes responding to PEAR2 overexpression. In control conditions, all genes exhibit a broad expression pattern, in which five of them are transcribed both in phloem and procambial cells (b-d and $\mathbf{i}$ ), and the rest of them are in PSE and its surrounding cells where PEAR proteins are accumulated abundantly (e-h). Whereas expression of SMXL3, AT1G09460 and AT1G15080 are maintained even in pear hextuple (b, c, f), the expression level of five genes are attenuated (d, e, g-i). Number in each panel indicates samples with similar
results of the total independent biological samples analysed. $\mathbf{j}$, Number of vascular cells after 3-days induction of overexpression of each PEAR downstream gene. In each case, several lines were analysed in parallel for a phenotypic change. Only SMXL3 overexpression can increase the vascular cell number (confirmed in three independent lines). Boxplot centres show median. For more information on boxplots, see Methods. $n$ represents independent biological samples. Dots, individual data points. $P$ value was calculated by two-sided Student's t-test. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 7| Cytokinin controls PEAR expression.

a, Expression of auxin (pIAA2::GFP-GUS) and cytokinin (pARR5 $::$ RFPer) response genes ( $n=15$ ). Auxin response is restricted to the xylem cells at initial stage ( $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ) and maintained during development ( $\mathbf{a}$ ", $\mathbf{a}$ "). High cytokinin response is activated initially and maintained in PSE and its neighbouring cell ( $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ), and later becomes concentrated into the intervening procambial cells flanking xylem cells ( $\mathbf{a}$ "). $\mathbf{b}$, Exogenous cytokinin application rapidly promotes the transcript level of PEAR genes, including PEAR2, DOF6, and TMO6. Asterisks indicate significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) upregulation as determined by a two-sided t -test on three biological replicates. Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.e.m. For individual $P$ values, see Supplementary Table 3. c, Sustained cytokinin application leads to ectopic transcription of PEAR genes. The optical cross section images are obtained after 4 days (for PEAR1, PEAR2 and HCA2) or 1-day (DOF6 and OBP2) treatment of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of BA. d, Conditional induction of CRE1 expression restores TMO6 transcription in wol root. In the absence of cytokinin response, TMO6 transcription is increased in pericycle and attenuated in the vascular tissue (Control, hashtags), and is restored in the vascular tissue after CRE1 induction (Est). e-l, Expression pattern of auxin (pIAA2::GFP-GUS) and cytokinin (pARR5::RFPer) response reporters during embryogenesis in wild type (e-h, $n=29,13,13,11$, respectively) and wol (i-l, $n=12,8,6$, respectively). At globular stage, auxin response is activated among provascular cells both in wild type (e) and in wol (i). At early heart stage, cytokinin response is activated in cells positioned below shoot apical meristem (f, ult, arrowheads), and the stripe of cytokinin response domain is formed by mid heart stage (g, ult, arrowheads), simultaneously auxin response becomes concentrated in the cells proximal to the cotyledon (g, ult, asterisks), resulting in the bisymmetric hormonal response pattern. During the torpedo stage, cytokinin response domain reaches to 1 llt ( $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{llt}$, arrowheads). In wol embryos, activation of cytokinin response in vascular tissue does not occur and a radial auxin response pattern is maintained (i-I). m-r, Expression of ARR5 and PEAR1 during embryogenesis. In the wild-type embryo (m-0, $n=17$, 25,10 , respectively), PEAR1 is broadly transcribed among provascular cells both in ult and llt with radial symmetric pattern at the globular stage ( $\mathbf{m}$ ). At the heart stage, PEARI transcription is enhanced in ult cells underneath the shoot apical meristem, which correlated with the activation of cytokinin response in this domain (n, arrowheads), and expression of both ARR5 and PEAR1 extends rootward and reaches to llt, becoming more concentrated within the cell files where phloem is specified postembryonically ( $\mathbf{0}$, arrowheads). In wol embryos (p-r, $n=19,13,13$, respectively), PEARI transcription is initiated among provascular cells at the globular embryo stage (p) similar to wild type (m), but neither cytokinin response nor PEAR1 transcription occurs in ult at the heart stage ( $\mathbf{q}$ ), and PEAR1 expression is gradually attenuated by the torpedo stage (r). ult and llt represent upper- and lower tier, respectively. In a, e-r, $n$ represents independent biological samples. In $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$, number in each panel indicates samples with similar results of the total independent biological samples analysed. Scale bars
represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 8| HD-ZIP III restrict periclinal cell divisions during procambial development.

a-c, Periclinal cell divisions in the cells non-adjacent to pericycle, including PSE-IN (a), IPC (b) and xylem cell (c), occurs in hd-zip III quadruple (phb phv cna athb8). $n=8$. d-e, The number of periclinal cell divisions in cells adjacent (d) or non-adjacent to pericycle (e) in wild type, pear and hd-zip III combinatorial mutants. In the analysis of pear quintuple and pear hd-zip III nonuple, a population of slowly elongating roots is selected as described in Fig. 2f. Boxplot centres show median. Statistically significant differences between groups were tested using Tukey's HSD test $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. For individual $P$ values, see Supplementary Table 3. f-i, Localization of PHB-GFP (f, $n=12$ ), CNA-GFP ( $\mathbf{g}, n=10$ ), REVGFP ( $\mathbf{h}, n=7$ ) and ATHB8-GFP ( $\mathbf{i}, n=5$ ). $\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k}$, Protein localization of PHB-GFP ( $\mathbf{j}, n=7$ ) and CNA-GFP $(\mathbf{k}, n=4)$ during procambial development. In the initial cells $\left(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{\prime}}\right)$, both proteins are localized in metaxylem cells but not in PSE (white arrowheads). During the proliferation stage, PSE-IN (green arrowheads), which is produced by periclinal cell division in PSE, acquires the expression of both PHB-GFP ( $\mathbf{j} ", \mathbf{j} \gg)$ and CNA ( $\mathbf{k} ", \mathbf{k} ")$. l-o, Overexpression of PEAR1-VENUS under the CRE1 inducible promoter in wild type ( $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{n}, n=5,3$, respectively) and heterozygous $p h b-1 d$ background ( $p h b-$ $1 d /+, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{o}, n=5,5$, respectively). After 18 hours of induction, PEAR1-VENUS signal is detected in both backgrounds ( $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{m}$ ), however, enhanced periclinal divisions are only observed in wild type ( $\mathbf{l}$ ), and not in phb-ld (m). Longer induction of PEAR1 overexpression induces divisions even in phb-ld (n, o). p-r, pPEAR1-PEAR1-GFP expression is reduced in heterozygous phb-1d/+ background. Most of $p h b-l d$ heterozygotes exhibit a single PEAR1-GFP expressing pole ( $\mathbf{q}, 72 \% n=11$ ), and the expression of PEAR1-GFP is almost completely abolished in some roots of $p h b-1 d(\mathbf{r}, 18 \% n=11)$. s$\mathbf{t}$, The expression of $p P E A R 1: \because G F P e r$ in wild type ( $\mathbf{s}, n=10$ ) and $\operatorname{shr}-2(\mathbf{t}, n=9)$. The fluorescent signal is below the limit of detection in shr-2. u-w, Expression of pPEAR1 $: \because G F P-G U S$ in WT $(\mathbf{u}, n=19)$ and $h d$-zip III quadruple mutant ( $\mathbf{w}, n=11$ ). In a-w, $n$ represents independent biological samples. White, orange and dark-green arrowheads indicate PSE, PSE-LN, PSE-IN respectively. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Extended Data Fig. 9| Overexpression of PEAR1 enhances the transcription of HD-ZIP III.

The transcription patterns of four $H D-Z I P I I I$, including $\operatorname{PHB}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), C N A(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), \operatorname{REV}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})$ and $A T H B 8$ $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ are visualized using their transcriptional fusion constructs. A longitudinal section is shown in the left panel, and the optical cross sections associated with this are shown in the right panels (the position of each section is indicated in the left panel). a-b, Transcription pattern of $P H B$ ( $p P H B:: G V>U A S: \because G F P e r$ ) in $p C R E 1[X V E]: \because P E A R 1$ plant before (a) and after 24 hours of induction of PEAR1 overexpression (b). PHB transcription is observed in whole vascular tissue at the initial and proliferative phase with peaks in xylem cells ( $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ), and its expression becomes concentrated into protoxylem cells (a" and a"", asterisks indicate protoxylem cell). After the induction of PEAR1 overexpression, $P H B$ expression in the central domain of the vascular tissue is maintained at the later stage, resulting in the radially symmetric $P H B$ transcription pattern (b' and b"). c-d, Transcription of CNA ( $p C N A \because: G V>U A S: \because G F P e r$ ) in $p C R E 1[X V E] \because: P E A R 1-R F P$ plant before (c) and after 24 hours of induction (d). CNA transcription is observed mainly in xylem lineage at initial cells (c'), and becomes
broader in whole vascular tissue, with peaks in procambial tissue, including PSE neighbouring cells (c"), and eventually its expression is gradually reduced in PSE and metaxylem, but is maintained in procambium, PSE neighbouring cells, as well as protoxylem cells ( $\mathbf{c}$ "'). In a similar manner to PHB, $C N A$ transcription in the central domain of the vascular tissue is maintained at the later stage when PEAR1-RFP is overexpressed (d'"). e-f, Transcription of REV (pREV::RFPer) in pCRE1[XVE] $\because: P E A R 1-V E N U S$ plant before (e) and after 24 hours of induction (f). REV exhibits a distinct transcriptional pattern where its expression is initially uniform in vascular tissue ( $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ), and highest expression is localized in PSE, while decreasing towards xylem axis ( $\mathbf{e}$ " and $\mathbf{e}$ "). When PEAR1-VENUS is overexpressed (f), the transcription pattern of $R E V$ is also activated in the central domain of vascular tissue, resulting in the radial symmetric $R E V$ transcription pattern. $\mathbf{g}-\mathbf{h}$, The expression pattern of pATHB8::HTA6-YFP is highly specific to xylem cells (g), and its expression is enhanced after 24 hours of induction of PEAR1 overexpression with a broad expression domain (h). Number in each panel indicates samples with similar results of the total independent biological samples analysed.

## Extended Data Fig. 10| The boundary between HD-ZIP III and PEAR proteins forms within the PSE-IN.

a, Summarizing results on pattern of auxin-cytokinin (data shown in Extended Data Fig. 7a), HD-ZIP III (CNA, data shown in Extended Data Fig. 8g and k) and PEAR (PEAR1, data shown in Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 4a-d) in procambium. In the simulation, we simulate the concentration of HD-ZIP III and PEAR1 along the axis between metaxylem (MX, brown arrowheads) and PSE (white arrowheads). $\mathbf{b}$, Summarizing result of procambial development. Number of cells between metaxylem and PSE increases during procambial development (data shown in Extended Data Fig. 1). Therefore, the model is defined as a line in one spatial dimension representing 3,4 or 5 cells from the centre of the xylem axis to the outer edge of the PSE cell. c, The regulatory network embedded within each cell. Regulatory interactions shown using a black line have been published previously, whilst those using a green line are described for the first time here. d, Predicted concentration gradient of all elements in 3, 4 or 5 cells (from left to right). Within different root geometries corresponding to different growth stages in Arabidopsis, both PEAR1 and HD-ZIP III are co-localized in PSE-IN, forming a sharp concentration boundary within this cell. In f-h, only PEAR and HD-ZIP concentrations are shown, whilst all model components are shown here in all cases. e, Quantification of expression level of CNAGFP and PEAR1-GFP at 4-cell region in wild-type background. $n$ represents individual measurements across 3 (CNA-GFP) or 4 (PEAR1-GFP) independent biological samples, respectively. Bar graphs represent mean. Error bars are s.d. Dots, individual data points. f, Including all three interactions labelled in panel $\mathbf{c}$ in the model, results in the formation of sharp concentration gradients of PEAR1 (black line) and HD-ZIP III (blue line) with the boundary forming in the PSE-IN. g, In simulations where HD-ZIP III does not regulate PEAR1 diffusion (Interaction (3) in panel c), PEAR1 protein is predicted to spread into the procambium and metaxylem as shown in Fig. 4k-m and Extended Data Fig. 4. h, In simulations where PEAR1 does not activate HD-ZIP III transcription (Interaction (1) in panel $\mathbf{c}$ ), the concentration of HD-ZIP III is reduced in the PSE-IN cell as shown in Fig. 4h-j. i, A regulatory mechanism forming the boundary between a dividing and a non-dividing cell during
procambial development. White, orange, dark-green and light-green arrowheads indicate PSE, PSELN, PSE-IN and IPC. Scale bars, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Supplementary Table 1|

This file contains a list of primers and plasmids used in this study.

## Supplementary Table 2|

This file contains a list of putative PEAR1/PEAR2 direct targets and their description.

## Supplementary Table 3|

This file contains individual $P$-values for Tukey's HSD test (for Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 5b-d) and for two-sided Student's t-test (for Extended Data Fig. 7b). For Tukey's HSD test, data contains: difference in mean values (diff), the lower end point of the interval (lwr), the upper end point of the interval (upr) and $p$-value after adjustment for the multiple comparisons ( p adj).

