

Citation for published version: Webster, SJ, López-Alled, CM, Liang, X, McMullin, CL, Kociok-Köhn, G, Lyall, CL, James, TD, Wenk, J, Cameron, PJ & Lewis, SE 2019, 'Azulenes with aryl substituents bearing pentafluorosulfanyl groups: synthesis, spectroscopic and halochromic properties', New Journal of Chemistry, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 992-1000. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NJ05520C

DOI: 10.1039/C8NJ05520C

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

NJC

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 992

Azulenes with aryl substituents bearing pentafluorosulfanyl groups: synthesis, spectroscopic and halochromic properties†

Stephen J. Webster, ^(D)^a Carlos M. López-Alled, ^(D)^b Xinxing Liang, ^(D)^a Claire L. McMullin, ^(D)^a Gabriele Kociok-Köhn, ^(D)^c Catherine L. Lyall, ^(D)^c Tony D. James, ^(D)^{ab} Jannis Wenk, ^(D)^{bd} Petra J. Cameron ^(D)^{ab} and Simon E. Lewis ^(D)*^{ab}

Four regioisomeric azulenes bearing pentafluorosulfanylphenyl substituents have been prepared and characterised by various spectroscopic techniques. The absorption spectra are qualitatively similar in the visible region for all isomers, but upon protonation exhibit pronounced variation dependent on the connectivity within each molecule.

Received 30th October 2018, Accepted 3rd December 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8nj05520c

rsc.li/njc

Introduction

Azulene (1) is a non-alternant, bicyclic aromatic compound that has an intense blue colour. Whilst it is isomeric with naphthalene, it has appreciably different properties such as an unusually large dipole for a hydrocarbon (1.08 D) and a HOMO to LUMO transition in the visible region.¹ By introducing substituents onto the azulene ring, the absorption spectrum may be perturbed such that a wide range of colours can be produced.² Thus, azulene derivatives have been employed as colorimetric sensors for a variety of analytes.³ Azulene derivatives have also found application in photovoltaics⁴ and more broadly in organic electronics.⁵ The azulene motif is also encountered in the context of medicinal chemistry.⁶ Many azulene derivatives are reported to exhibit halochromism,⁷ whereby the colour change on protonation may be rationalised in terms of protonation of either a substituent on the azulene, or of the five-membered ring of the azulene itself (Scheme 1). The protonated form contains a $6\pi e^-$ tropylium cation, hence the seven-membered ring remains aromatic.

Although azulenes have been reported bearing a wide range of substituents (and hence exhibiting a wide range of colours to the naked eye), the pentafluorosulfanyl group remains unexplored in the context of azulene chemistry. Specifically, to our knowledge

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.

^d Department of Chemical Engineering & Water Innovation & Research Centre:

 $\begin{array}{c} + H^{*} \\ \hline \\ 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} + H^{*} \\ \hline \\ () \\ ($

Scheme 1 The structure of azulene and its protonated form

there have been no reports of azulenes with pentafluorosulfanylcontaining substituents. The SF5 group, whilst known for many years, has been the focus of increasing research interest in recent years.8 This may be ascribed in part to the commercial availability of SF5-containing building blocks that were previously hard to access. In the life sciences, SF₅ substituents have been investigated as an alternative to CF₃. The unique combination of characteristics that an SF₅ group can impart includes high thermal, chemical and metabolic stability, a highly electron-withdrawing nature and a significant increase in lipophilicity. An SF₅ group also presents a larger steric demand compared to a CF₃ group. Aryl SF₅ groups in particular are inert to a variety of reaction conditions, including Brønsted acids and bases, hydrogenation and some organometallic reagents. From an environmental standpoint, it has been shown that aryl SF₅ compounds are susceptible to photodegradation⁹ and can undergo microbial metabolism.¹⁰ The SF₅ group has also been investigated in the context of materials chemistry, with reports on liquid crystals,¹¹ polymers,¹² and photophysical properties such as triboluminescence and fluorescence.13 Theoretical studies on the SF₅ group have also been disclosed.¹⁴

Results and discussion

In the present study, we have synthesised and characterised four novel isomeric azulenes bearing pentafluorosulfanylphenyl

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

E-mail: s.e.lewis@bath.ac.uk

^b Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

^c Materials and Chemical Characterisation (MC²), University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

WIRC @ Bath, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Computational data, electrochemical procedures, NMR spectra, X-ray crystallographic data. CCDC 1850019. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8nj05520c

substituents; these have been accessed using cross coupling methodology. Azulene cross coupling methods that employ the azulene-containing substrate as either the nucleophilic¹⁵ or electrophilic¹⁶ cross coupling partner have been developed, as well as C-H activation approaches.^{17,18} We intended to employ commercially available SF₅-aryl bromides **2** and **3** as the electrophilic cross coupling components. Thus, we used the reported Ir-catalysed C-H borylation of azulene¹⁹ to prepare substrates **4** and **5**. These underwent Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with **2** and **3**, to give target SF₅-phenylazulenes **6–9** (Scheme 2).

Initial attempts at the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling to form **6** employed PPh₃ as the ligand and were unsuccessful. Instead, use of Buchwald's bulky biaryl monodentate "SPhos" ligand²⁰ allowed the synthesis of target azulenes **6–9**. The products were characterised by various spectroscopic techniques, and the findings are described below.

NMR spectra were acquired for **6–9**, and the aromatic region of the ¹H-NMR spectra for the four products are shown in Fig. 1. All spectra have been fully assigned based on data from 2D

 $F_{5}S \xrightarrow{} F_{5}S \xrightarrow{$

NMR experiments (see ESI[†]). The plane of symmetry in isomer 6 gives rise to the simplest of the four spectra. The four proton environments on the azulene ring (H1/3, H4/8, H5/7 and H6, see Scheme 2 for numbering) are observed as a 2H singlet, 2H doublet, 2H double doublet and 1H triplet respectively, this being typical for a 2-substituted azulene. While isomer 7 no longer possesses a plane of symmetry, it is nevertheless still a 2-substituted azulene, and the same multiplicities for the azulene protons are observed as for 6. Indeed, the chemical shifts are near-identical, despite the differing location of the -SF₅ group. For isomers 8 and 9, substitution at the azulene 1-position means the internal plane of symmetry of the azulene core is broken, so 7 distinct azulene proton environments are observed. H4 and H8 were differentiated by means of NOESY correlations between H8 and H2' for both 8 and 9. For meta-disubstituted isomers 7 and 9, ${}^{4}J_{\rm HH}$ coupling is present for H2', which is observed as a double doublet (apparent triplet).

In the ¹⁹F NMR spectra for all four isomers **6–9**, the fluorines are observed as a 4F doublet and a 1F quintet. As $-SF_5$ groups have octahedral geometry at sulfur, the two distinct fluorine environments correspond to the four equatorial and one axial (*i.e. trans* to carbon) fluorines, respectively. The observed equivalence of the four equatorial fluorines indicates free rotation of the C–S bond on the NMR timescale.

In the {¹H}-¹³C-NMR spectra, the SF₅ *ipso* carbons (C4' for **6** and **8**; C3' for 7 and **9**) exhibit ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ coupling, and the SF₅ *ortho* carbons (C3'/5' for **6** and **8**; C2'/4' for 7 and **9**) exhibit ${}^{3}J_{CF}$ coupling. Due to the presence of four equatorial and one axial fluorine, such coupling would be expected to lead to a quintet of doublets. In fact, all signals in the ¹³C spectra that exhibit splitting are simply quintets, *i.e.* only couplings are in the range 16.5–16.9 Hz, whereas the ${}^{3}J_{CF}$ couplings are 4.2–4.9 Hz. A survey of ¹³C-NMR data for reported SF₅-containing compounds shows that such quintet splittings are commonly observed. The lack of doublet splitting is often not discussed; it has been stated²¹ that for the axial fluorine, values of ${}^{2}J_{CFax} < 2$ Hz and ${}^{3}J_{CFax} < 1$ Hz are typical, and so such coupling is often not observable.

Of the four isomers, we were able to grow crystals of 7 of sufficient quality for analysis by X-ray diffraction. The structure obtained is shown in Fig. 2. Azulene 7 crystallised in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The dihedral angles between the azulene and the phenyl ring are appreciably different for the two molecules in the unit cell, being 8.3(5)° and 22.6(5)° respectively. The F_{eq}-S-C-C dihedral angles are $38.4(3)^{\circ}$ and $44.5(3)^{\circ}$, with the equatorial fluorines staggered with respect to the phenyl ring. C-S bond lengths are 1.802(3) Å and 1.804(3) Å. S-Feq bond lengths are in the range 1.580(2) Å to 1.591(2) Å, whereas S–F_{ax} bond lengths are 1.585(2) Å and 1.592(2) Å. The geometry at sulfur is slightly distorted away from a perfect octahedron; the equatorial fluorine atoms of the SF5 group adopt an "umbrella" shape, canting toward the axial fluorine with Feq-S-Fax angles less than perpendicular (in the range $87.2(1)^{\circ}$ to $87.7(1)^{\circ}$). All these observations are in keeping with those previously reported for other aryl-SF₅ molecules.²²

Fig. 3 shows the packing arrangement in the unit cell to be of a herringbone pattern. The two molecules in the unit cell are



Fig. 1 ¹H-NMR spectra (aromatic region) for 6-9, with assignments. See Scheme 2 for atom numbering



Fig. 2 ORTEP representation of the X-ray structure of SF₅-phenylazulene 7. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Hydrogens are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius.



Fig. 3 ORTEP representation of the expanded unit cell of SF_{5} -phenylazulene 7. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity.

aligned in a head-to-head fashion, but they are not coplanar. Rather the two planes defined by the seven-membered rings of the two azulenes intersect at an angle of 60.0° (see ESI[†]).

UV-visible region absorption spectra for 6-9 were acquired in CH₂Cl₂, and the data for the visible region are shown in Fig. 4 (see ESI[†] for full data). The solutions of the four isomers are very similar in colour. In the visible region 6-9 all have the greatest absorbance in the green-to-red region, hence appear to be blue. Azulenes often exhibit halochromic behaviour, and upon adding excess trifluoroacetic acid to the solutions of 6-9, spectroscopic changes were observed. For the azulenes substituted at the 1-position (8 and 9), an increase in absorbance between 600–700 nm was noted; this was most pronounced for 9, with the appearance of a new absorbance maximum at λ_{max} = 636 nm. This resulted in only a subtle colour change (Fig. 5). In contrast, protonation of 6 and 7 resulted in pronounced colour changes, the solutions turning yellow-brown and red, respectively. This may be attributed to the appearance of new absorbance peaks in the blue region (peak at λ_{max} = 409 nm for 6, and a shoulder approximately the same wavelength for 7).

Although **6–9** differ only in their positions of substitution (that is to say, they are isomers), they differ markedly in their spectroscopic responses to Brønsted acid. There are precedents for this phenomenon. Wang, He and co-workers have studied azulenes bearing thienyl substituents;²³ Hawker and co-workers have studied azulenes bearing diketopyrrolopyrrole substituents;²⁴ and Murai, Takai and co-workers have studied analogues of **6** and **8** with a –CF₃ group in the place of the –SF₅ group.²⁵ In each case a more significant change in absorption maxima (and hence colour) is observed for the isomer with the substituent(s) aligned with



Fig. 4 Visible region absorbance spectra for 6–9, recorded in CH₂Cl₂.

8



(instead of orthogonal to) the dipole of the azulene core, *i.e.* at the azulene 2-position.

To gain further insight into the properties of 6-9, the structures were modelled by DFT, using the BP86 functional. Both the neutral and protonated structures were modelled in the gas phase and then corrected for dispersion and a dichloromethane solvent environment (see ESI[†] for full computational details). For $[8 + H]^+$ and $[9 + H]^+$, protonation at either the azulene 1-position or 3-position would give rise to a tropylium cation, as per Scheme 1. Both regioisomeric cations were modelled, and for both $[8 + H]^+$ and $[9 + H]^+$, the isomer protonated at the 3-position was found to be the more stable by 3.9 kcal mol⁻¹. The alternative of protonation at the 1-position involves rehybridisation of C1 to sp³, and hence conjugation between the seven membered ring and the phenyl substituent is lost (see ESI^{\dagger}). The cases of $[6 + H]^+$ and $[7 + H]^+$ are more straightforward, since the site of protonation is unambiguous. Of the four (neutral) isomers 6-9, the most stable were 2-substituted isomers 6 and 7, having the same free energy, whereas 8 and 9 were higher in free energy by 1.0 and 1.1 kcal mol^{-1} , respectively. For the corresponding protonated structures, larger free energy differences were calculated. $[7 + H]^+$ was found to be the most stable isomer, with $[6 + H]^+$ higher in free energy by 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹. In contrast, the 1-substituted isomers $[8 + H]^+$ and $[9 + H]^+$ were +4.1 and +3.9 kcal mol⁻¹ above $[7 + H]^+$, respectively.

		$E_{\rm HOMO}$ [eV]	$E_{\rm LUMO}$ [eV]	$\Delta E_{\text{LUMO-HOMO}}$ [eV]	Dipole [D]
Neutral	6 7 8 9	-5.093 -5.051 -5.016 -4.980	-3.157 -3.170 -3.087 -3.113	1.936 1.880 1.929 1.867	6.30 5.43 6.39 6.13
Protonated	$egin{bmatrix} {egin{array}{c} {en {array} {en {array} {en {array} {$	-9.547 -9.553 -9.603 -9.613	-7.525 -7.472 -7.735 -7.719	2.022 2.081 1.869 1.894	20.63 17.64 21.15 19.39

The calculated frontier molecular orbitals for **6–9** are shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that for the 2-substituted azulenes **6** and 7, the HOMO is localised entirely on the azulene ring system; the positions of the orbital lobes and nodes are extremely similar to those of azulene (**1**) itself.²⁶ For the 1-substituted azulenes **8** and **9**, the HOMO also extends onto the phenyl ring. In contrast, the LUMOS of **7**, **8** and **9** are localised primarily on the SF₅ substituent. The only isomer having C_2 symmetry, **6**, has a LUMO that deviates from this trend, with the orbital lobes and nodes on the azulene rings of **6** again resembling those of the corresponding MO of the parent unsubstituted azulene **1**. The MOs of the protonated forms were also determined (see ESI†). The calculated HOMO and LUMO energies for **6–9** and their protonated forms, as well as their calculated dipole moments, are shown in Table **1**.

The electrochemical behaviour of **6–9** was studied using cyclic voltammetry to determine the oxidation potentials (Fig. 7). All cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were acquired in dry acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu_4NPF_6) as supporting electrolyte, at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ (see ESI† for full details). Three scans were taken for each run (the CVs in Fig. 7 show plots for the first and third scans only). The small quantities of **6** and **8** available necessitated that these CVs were acquired at a lower concentration than for 7 and **9**. All four isomers exhibited an oxidation peak in the first scan; key electrochemical parameters



Fig. 6 Frontier molecular orbital plots for azulenes 6–9, calculated at the BP86/6-31G**&SDDALL level of theory.



Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammograms for 6-9 in acetonitrile. Supporting electrolyte 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆. Scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹. [6] = [8] = 0.1 mM. [7] = [9] = 0.5 mM.

Table 2 Electrochemical data for 6-9 (scan 1) in acetonitrile (E/mV vs. Fc/Fc⁺)

Substrate	Concentration/mM	E _{peak} /mV	$I_{ m peak}/\mu { m A}$	Eonset/mV
6	0.1	666	4.29	595
7	0.5	657	18.9	624
8	0.1	630	4.26	531
9	0.5	571	6.31	481

derived from the CVs are given in Table 2. When comparing the data, it can be seen that the 2-substituted azulenes 6 and 7 have very similar peak oxidation potentials (666 and 657 mV, respectively). The value for 1-substituted azulene 8 is lower, at 630 mV, and that for 1-substituted azulene 9 is lower again, at 571 mV. The same trend is discernible in the onset potential of the oxidation.

The most striking observation that can be made on comparing the CVs for 6-9 is that for 8 and 9 the first and third scans are superimposable, but this is not the case for 6 and 7. In the CVs for both 6 and 7, whereas in scan 1 no redox processes occur below the onset potential for the main oxidation peak, in scan 3 additional oxidation peaks can be seen at lower potential. The peak oxidation potential and current are also significantly lower for scan 3 in both cases. The CVs for 6 and 7 suggest that the oxidised substrate (an azulene radical cation) is undergoing polymerisation, as 6 and (in particular) 7 exhibit characteristic nucleation loops in scan 1. Electropolymerisation of azulene 1 has been extensively studied.²⁷ In addition, the polymerisation of 2-substituted azulenes in particular (i.e. the substitution pattern of 6 and 7) has been studied by Daub and co-workers.²⁸ Interestingly, under comparable experimental conditions the authors report peak oxidation potentials for a series of 2-phenylazulenes between 340 and 780 mV, depending on electronic nature (electron donating/withdrawing) of the substituents on the phenyl ring. For unsubstituted 2-phenyl azulene, the reported value is $E_{\text{peak}} = 660 \text{ mV}$, extremely similar to the values of 666 and 657 mV for 6 and 7, respectively. This is despite the electron-withdrawing nature of the SF5 group, as demonstrated in various other experimental contexts.²⁹

In contrast to the above, the CVs for 8 and 9 show scans 1 and 3 to be essentially identical. Being substituted at the azulene 1-position, 8 and 9 would not be expected to be good substrates for electropolymerisation, as the azulene 1- and 3-positions are where the union of monomers usually occurs.³⁰ Nevertheless, it seems the oxidised forms of 8 and 9 also do not decompose to give other electrochemically active products. We have previously studied the characteristics of azulenes that exhibit such superimposable CVs,^{4a} and have found that some substituents at the azulene 1-position can confer stability on the radical cation.^{16d,31} Such a characteristic is potentially beneficial for the application of azulene derivatives in various contexts such as photovoltaics and organic electronics. However, this effect is not general for all groups at the azulene 1-position, so the identification of m- and *p*-pentafluorosulfanylphenyl as substituents having this effect may be significant.

It is illuminating to compare the data we have gathered for 6-9 with literature data on analogues of 6-9 bearing other electron-withdrawing groups in the place of the -SF₅ group. As described in the Introduction, in many cases SF₅-containing compounds have been compared with their CF₃ analogues, with various differences in their properties being noted. In the present case, -CF3 analogues have previously been reported have been studied for the $-CF_3$ analogues of 6 and 8, with the same trend being observed in both cases: no significant colour change upon protonation of 8 or its -CF3 analogue, but a significant colour change upon protonation of 6 or its -CF₃ analogue. For 6 the new peak with λ_{max} = 409 nm leads to a brown colour, whereas for its -CF3 analogue a reported new peak with λ_{max} = 427 nm leads to a yellow colour.²⁵ The only data reported for all four -CF3 analogues are NMR data, which may be compared with the data for 6-9. For instance, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 6 and its –CF₃ analogue are almost identical, with the only significant difference being that the -SF₅ ortho protons are more deshielded than those in the -CF3 analogue $(\Delta \delta 0.12 \text{ ppm})$. The same trend is observed when comparing 7–9 with their respective -CF3 analogues - the chemical shifts of the azulenyl protons hardly vary, and only the protons on the phenyl ring show any significant changes. This indicates that while the -SF₅ group exerts a greater inductive electron-withdrawing effect than the $-CF_3$ group, and hence perturbs the chemical shifts of the phenylene protons, it is too far removed to influence significantly the shielding of the azulenyl protons. A second informative comparison is between 6 and 8 and their nitro analogues.^{15e,18i,32} (Comparisons cannot be made for 7 and 9 as their nitro analogues have not been reported.) Here, the ¹H-NMR spectra of the nitro analogues exhibit significantly more deshielded phenyl protons, as a consequence of the mesomeric and inductive electronwithdrawing effect of the nitro group. Some minor changes to the chemical shifts of the azulenyl protons are discernible, the largest being for the proton(s) on the azulene five-membered ring that are adjacent to the phenyl substituent. For these, $\Delta \delta = 0.04$ ppm in each case. A final NMR comparison to be considered is that between 6 and 8 and their analogues lacking the SF₅ substituent entirely (*i.e.* 2-phenylazulene and 1-phenylazulene, respectively²⁴). In these cases,

Paper

while the phenyl proton resonances differ significantly in the presence or absence of the SF₅ group, the variations in the chemical shifts of the azulenyl protons are again minor ($\Delta\delta$ values between 0.01 and 0.08 ppm are observed for the various positions on the azulene rings). Considering the above observations as a whole, it appears that for phenylazulenes the presence of an electron withdrawing group on the phenyl ring greatly influences the electronics of the phenyl ring, but its effect on the electronics of the azulene ring is attenuated in comparison.

Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesised and characterised the first azulene derivatives containing pentafluorosulfanylphenyl groups. These were accessed in one step from known azulenylboron species 4 and 5, by coupling with commercially available SF₅-containing bromoarenes 2 and 3. Ordinarily, the absorption spectra of azulene derivatives are usually profoundly influenced by the nature and position of the substituents on the azulene rings. However, in the present case the absorption spectra of isomers 6-9 are all very similar in the visible region. The spectroscopic response of isomers 6-9 to protonation varies appreciably, with significant absorbances in the blue region for $[6 + H]^+$ and $[7 + H]^+$ leading to observable changes in colour. The fact that 6 and 7 exhibit a response to a stimulus (increase in [H⁺]) is suggestive of possible applications in chemical sensing. The pattern of the 2-substituted azulenes 6-7 having differing characteristics to the 1-substituted azulenes 8-9 was also observed in the electrochemical study, where 6 and 7 underwent electropolymerisation, but 8 and 9 did not. We anticipate that the commercial availability of an increasing number of SF₅-containing building blocks will allow for the preparation of further SF₅-containing azulenes with time.

Experimental

General synthetic methods

Reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. Petrol refers to petroleum ether, bp 40-60 °C. TLCs were performed using aluminium-backed plates precoated with Alugram[®]SIL G/UV or aluminium backed plates precoated with Alugram®ALOX N/UV 254 nm and visualised by UV light (254 nm) and/or curcumin followed by gentle warming. All solvents used in Suzuki-Miyaura couplings were degassed by sparging with nitrogen. Flash column chromatography was carried out using Davisil LC 60 Å silica gel (35-70 micron) purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 3-Bromophenylsulfur pentafluoride and 4-bromophenylsulfur pentafluoride were purchased from Fluorochem Ltd. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. or Fisher Scientific Ltd.; all reagents were used as received without further purification. SPhos refers to 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2',6'-dimethoxybiphenyl. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 1600 FT IR spectrometer with universal ATR sampling accessory, with absorbances quoted as ν in cm⁻¹. NMR spectra were run on an Agilent ProPulse 500 MHz instrument or Bruker Avance 500 MHz instruments at 298 K, unless otherwise specified. In tabulated NMR data, "p" refers to a pentet/quintet. UV/vis experiments were conducted using an Agilent Technologies Carry 60 UV/vis spectrometer. Mass spectra were acquired at the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre in Swansea, using an Atmospheric Solids Analysis Probe (Positive mode, Waters Xevo). For λ_{abs} and molar extinction coefficient determination, 0.125 mM solutions of **6–9** were prepared in MeCN, THF and DCM and spectra were acquired. For the Brønsted acid response test, a 1.0 M solution of TFA in DCM was prepared. 100 µL of the 0.125 mM solution of (one of) **6–9** was added to 100 µL of 1 M TFA, 800 µL of DCM was added and the resultant solution was analysed spectroscopically.

General procedure for Suzuki-Miyaura couplings

To a degassed solution of azulenylboronate 4 or 5 $(1.0 \text{ eq.})^{18}$ and pentafluorosulfanyl aryl bromide 2 or 3 (2.0 eq.) in dioxane (5.0 mL), were added K_2CO_3 (1.5 eq.), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (5 mol%) and SPhos (10 mol%). The resulting mixture was heated at 80 °C under an N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and water (25 mL) were added, transferred to a separating funnel and shaken. The organic layer was separated, washed with water (2 \times 25 mL), and then the combined aqueous extracts were back extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (25 mL), and passed through phase separating filter paper, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product was carried out using silica column chromatography with petrol and ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent. In some instances additional chromatography was required, eluting with pentane, then ethyl acetate.

(4-(Azulen-2-yl)phenyl)pentafluoro-λ⁶-sulfane (6). The general procedure was employed, using 4 (23 mg, 0.089 mmol), 2 (50 mg, 0.18 mmol) K₂CO₃ (18 mg, 0.13 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (1 mg, 0.004 mmol) and SPhos (4 mg, 0.009 mmol) for 21 h, to give **6** as a blue oil (15 mg, 0.045 mmol, 51%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.34 (2H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 8.00 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.83 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.67 (2H, s), 7.58 (1H, t, J = 9.9 Hz), 7.21 (2H, app t, J = 9.7 Hz); ¹³C (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 153.3 (p, J = 16.7 Hz) 147.2, 141.4, 140.0, 137.8, 137.3, 127.7, 126.7 (p, J = 4.5 Hz), 124.3, 114.9; ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 84.8 (1F, p, J = 150.4 Hz), 63.0 (4F, d, J = 150.3 Hz); IR (neat) 2962, 1575, 1404, 1213, 1098, 820, 800, 737 cm⁻¹; ASAP-MS (+ve) *m/z* calcd for (C₁₆H₁₁F₅S)⁺, 331.0574; found 331.0580.

(3-(Azulen-2-yl)phenyl)pentafluoro λ^6 -sulfane (7). The general procedure was employed, using 4 (23 mg, 0.089 mmol), 3 (50 mg, 0.18 mmol) K₂CO₃ (18 mg, 0.13 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (1 mg, 0.004 mmol) and SPhos (4 mg, 0.009 mmol) for 22 h, to give 7 as a blue solid (13 mg, 0.039 mmol, 44%). M. p. 240–242 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.34 (2H, d, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 8.31 (1H, app t, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 8.07 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.72 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.2 Hz), 7.67 (2H, s), 7.59 (1H, t, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 7.55 (1H, app t, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.22 (2H, app t, *J* = 9.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (p, *J* = 16.8 Hz), 147.6, 141.5, 137.8, 137.6,

137.1, 130.5, 129.3, 125.3 (p, J = 4.4 Hz), 125.1 (p, J = 4.2 Hz), 124.3, 114.6; ⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 84.6 (1F, p, J =150.8 Hz), 62.6 (4F, d, J = 150.3 Hz). IR (neat) 2922, 2853, 1571, 1535, 1463, 1452, 1420, 914, 890, 817, 737 cm⁻¹; ASAP-MS (+ve) m/z calcd for (C₁₆H₁₁F₅S)⁺, 330.0496; found 330.0501; calcd for (C₁₆H₁₁F₅S + H)⁺, 331.0574; found 331.0580.

(4-(Azulen-1-yl)phenyl)pentafluoro- λ^6 -sulfane (8). The general procedure was employed, using 5 (31 mg, 0.12 mmol), 2 (69 mg, 0.24 mmol), K₂CO₃ (25 mg, 0.18 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (1.4 mg, 0.0061 mmol) and SPhos (5 mg, 0.012 mmol) for 17 h to give 8 as a blue oil (9 mg, 0.027 mmol, 22%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) δ 8.54 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 8.41 (1H, d, J = 9.4 Hz), 8.02 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz), 7.87 (2H, br d, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.69 (2H, br d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.67 (1H, app t, J = 9.5 Hz), 7.46 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz), 7.243 (1H, app t, J = 9.5 Hz), 7.237 (1H, app t, J = 9.5 Hz); ¹³C (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 152.1 (p, J = 16.9 Hz), 142.5, 141.3, 138.8, 137.9, 137.3, 135.9, 135.4, 129.6, 128.9, 126.4 (p, J = 4.9 Hz), 124.4, 124.1, 118.1; ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 85.4 (1F, p, J = 150.4 Hz), 63.4 (4F, d, J = 150.1 Hz), IR (neat) 2923, 1576, 1517, 1396, 1260, 1085, 826, 745 cm⁻¹; ASAP-MS (+ve) m/z calcd for $(C_{16}H_{11}F_5S)^+$, 330.0496; found 330.0502; calcd for $(C_{16}H_{11}F_5S + H)^+$, 331.0574; found 331.0574.

(3-(Azulen-1-yl)phenyl)pentafluoro- λ^6 -sulfane (9). The general procedure was employed, using 5 (54 mg, 0.21 mmol), 3 (120 mg, 0.43 mmol), K₂CO₃ (73 mg, 0.32 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (2.5 mg, 0.011 mmol) and SPhos (9 mg, 0.021 mmol) for 16 h to give 9 as a blue oil (29 mg, 0.088 mmol, 41%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm) 8.48 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 8.41 (1H, d, J = 9.3 Hz), 8.03 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz), 8.01 (1H, app t, J = 1.9 Hz), 7.77-7.73 (2H, m), 7.66 (1H, t, J = 9.9 Hz), 7.58 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.47 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz), 7.24 (2H, app t, J = 9.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.5 (p, J = 16.5 Hz), 142.1, 138.8, 138.7, 137.9, 137.2, 135.7, 135.2, 132.7, 129.1, 129.0, 127.1 (t, J = 4.6 Hz), 124.3, 124.00, 123.6 (p, J = 4.7 Hz), 117.9; ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 84.8 (1F, p, J = 150.0 Hz), 62.8 (4F, d, J = 149.7 Hz); IR (neat) 2965, 2925, 2857, 1601, 1576, 1395, 822, 792, 777, 743, 691, 662, 647 cm⁻¹; ASAP-MS (+ve) m/z calcd for $(C_{16}H_{11}F_5S)^+$, 330.0496; found 330.0503; calcd for $(C_{16}H_{11}F_5S + H)^+$, 331.0574; found 331.0577.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for PhD funding to C. M. L.-A. from the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement H2020-MSCA-CO-FUND, #665992. The Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies is supported by EPSRC under grant EP/L016354/1. NMR and X-ray crystallography facilities were provided through the Chemical Characterisation and Analysis Facility (CCAF) at the University of Bath. We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea, for sample analysis. This research also made use of the Balena High Performance Computing (HPC) Service at the University of Bath.

References

- 1 R. S. H. Liu, J. Chem. Educ., 2002, 79, 183.
- 2 R. S. H. Liu and A. E. Asato, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *C*, 2003, 4, 179.
- 3 For selected recent examples, see: (a) D. Lichosyt, S. Wasiłek, P. Dydio and J. Jurczak, Chem. – Eur. J., 2018, 24, 11683;
 (b) G.-O. Buica, I.-G. Lazar, L. Birzan, C. Lete, M. Prodana, M. Enachescu, V. Tecuceanu, A. B. Stoian and E.-M. Ungureanu, Electrochim. Acta, 2018, 263, 382; (c) C. M. López-Alled, A. Sanchez-Fernandez, K. J. Edler, A. C. Sedgwick, S. D. Bull, C. L. McMullin, G. Kociok-Köhn, T. D. James, J. Wenk and S. E. Lewis, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 12580;
 (d) S. Wakabayashi, M. Uchida, R. Tanaka, Y. Habata and M. Shimizu, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2013, 2, 786.
- 4 For selected recent examples, see: (a) P. Cowper, A. Pockett, G. Kociok-Köhn, P. J. Cameron and S. E. Lewis, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, 74, 2775; (b) H. Xin, C. Ge, X. Jiao, X. Yang, K. Rundel, C. R. McNeill and X. Gao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 1322; (c) H. Nishimura, N. Ishida, A. Shimazaki, A. Wakamiya, A. Saeki, L. T. Scott and Y. Murata, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 15656; (d) E. Puodziukynaite, H.-W. Wang, J. Lawrence, A. J. Wise, T. P. Russell, M. D. Barnes and T. Emrick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 11043.
- 5 For selected examples, see: (a) H. Xin, J. Li, C. Ge, X. Yang, T. Xue and X. Gao, Mater. Chem. Front., 2018, 2, 975; (b) H. Xin, C. Ge, X. Jiao, X. Yang, K. Rundel, C. R. McNeill and X. Gao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 1322; (c) H. Xin and X. Gao, ChemPlusChem, 2017, 82, 945; (d) H. Xin, C. Ge, L. Fu, X. Yang and X. Gao, Chin. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 37, 711; (e) J.-X. Dong and H.-L. Zhang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2016, 27, 1097; (f) H. Xin, C. Ge, X. Yang, H. Gao, X. Yang and X. Gao, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 6701; (g) Y. Yamaguchi, M. Takubo, K. Ogawa, K.-I. Nakayama, T. Koganezawa and H. Katagiri, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 11335; (h) Y. Yamaguchi, K. Ogawa, K.-I. Nakayama, Y. Ohba and H. Katagiri, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 19095; (i) P. H. Wöbkenberg, J. G. Labram, J.-M. Swiecicki, K. Parkhomenko, D. Sredojevic, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, D. M. de Leeuw, D. D. C. Bradley, J.-P. Djukic and T. D. Anthopoulos, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 3673; (j) E. C. P. Smits, S. Setayesh, T. D. Anthopoulos, M. Buechel, W. Nijssen, R. Coehoorn, P. W. M. Blom, B. deBoer and D. M. deLeeuw, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 734.
- 6 (a) T. Wada, R. Maruyama, Y. Irie, M. Hashimoto, H. Wakabayashi, N. Okudaira, Y. Uesawa, H. Kagaya and H. Sakagami, *In Vivo*, 2018, 32, 479; (b) J. Peet, A. Selyutina and A. Bredihhin, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, 24, 1653; (c) K. Ikegai, M. Imamura, T. Suzuki, K. Nakanishi, T. Murakami, E. Kurosaki, A. Noda, Y. Kobayashi, M. Yokota, T. Koide, K. Kosakai, Y. Okhura, M. Takeuchi, H. Tomiyama and M. Ohta, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2013, 21, 3934; (d) S. Löber,

H. Hübner, A. Buschauer, F. Sanna, A. Argiolas, M. R. Melis and P. Gmeiner, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, 22, 7151; (e) C.-H. Chen, O. Lee, C.-N. Yao, M.-Y. Chuang, Y.-L. Chang, M.-H. Chang, Y.-F. Wen, W.-H. Yang, C.-H. Ko, N.-T. Chou, M.-W. Lin, C.-P. Lai, C.-Y. Sun, L.-M. Wang, Y.-C. Chen, T.-H. Hseu, C.-N. Chang, H.-C. Hsu, H.-C. Lin, Y.-L. Chang, Y.-C. Shih, S.-H. Chou, Y.-L. Hsu, H.-W. Tseng, C.-P. Liu, C.-M. Tu, T.-L. Hu, Y.-J. Tsai, T.-S. Chen, C.-L. Lin, S.-J. Chiou, C.-C. Liu and C.-S. Hwang, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 20, 6129; (f) Y. Tanaka and K. Shigenobu, *Cardiovasc. Drug Rev.*, 2001, 19, 297; (g) A. E. Asato, A. Peng, M. Z. Hossain, T. Mirzadegan and J. S. Bertram, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1993, 36, 3137; (h) T. Tomiyama, M. Yokota, S. Wakabayashi, K. Kosakai and T. Yanagisawa, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1993, 36, 791.

- 7 For selected examples, see: (a) M. Murai, S. Iba, H. Ota and K. Takai, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 5585; (b) Y. M. Poronik, L. M. Mazur, M. Samoć, D. Jacquemin and D. T. Gryko, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 2620; (c) M. Murai, M. Yanagawa, M. Nakamura and K. Takai, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2016, 5, 629; (d) A. W. Woodward, E. H. Ghazvini Zadeh, M. V. Bondar and K. D. Belfield, R. Soc. Open Sci., 2016, 3, 160373; (e) E. H. Ghazvini Zadeh, S. Tang, A. W. Woodward, T. Liu, M. V. Bondar and K. D. Belfield, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 8495; (f) K. Ninomiya, Y. Harada, T. Kanetou, Y. Suenaga, T. Murafuji and R. Tsunashima, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 9079; (g) K. Tsurui, M. Murai, S.-Y. Ku, C. J. Hawker and M. J. Robb, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 7338; (h) M. Koch, O. Blacque and K. Venkatesan, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2013, 1, 7400; (i) X. Wang, J. K.-P. Ng, P. Jia, T. Lin, C. M. Cho, J. Xu, X. Lu and C. He, Macromolecules, 2009, 42, 5534.
- 8 For reviews, see: (a) P. Das, E. Tokunaga and N. Shibata, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, 58, 4803; (b) P. R. Savoie and J. T. Welch, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 1130; (c) C. N. von Hahmann, P. R. Savoie and J. T. Welch, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 19, 1592; (d) S. Altomonte and M. Zanda, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2012, 143, 57; (e) G. L. Gard, *Chim. Oggi*, 2009, 27, 10.
- 9 D. A. Jackson and S. A. Mabury, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2009, **28**, 1866.
- 10 (a) M. Saccomanno, S. Hussain, N. K. O'Connor, P. Beier, M. Somlyay, R. Konrat and C. D. Murphy, *Biodegradation*, 2018, 29, 259; (b) C. D. Murphy, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, 100, 2617; (c) E. Kavanagh, M. Winn, C. N. Gabhann, N. K. O'Connor, P. Beier and C. D. Murphy, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2014, 21, 753.
- 11 For reviews, see: (a) P. Kirsch and M. Bremer, *Chimia*, 2014,
 68, 363; (b) P. Kirsch, M. Bremer, M. Heckmeier and K. Tarumi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, 38, 1989.
- 12 P. Kenyon and S. Mecking, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 13786.
- 13 (a) P. Gautam, C. P. Yu, G. Zhang, V. E. Hillier and J. M. W. Chan, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 11008; (b) H. Nakayama, J.-i. Nishida, N. Takada, H. Sato and Y. Yamashita, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 671.
- 14 For selected examples, see: (a) G. L. Borosky and K. K. Laali,
 J. Fluorine Chem., 2017, 197, 118; (b) X.-H. Li, H.-L. Cui,

W.-W. Ju, T.-W. Li, R.-Z. Zhang and Y.-L. Yong, *J. Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 126, 1163; (c) P. R. Savoie, S. Higashiya, J.-H. Lin, D. V. Wagle and J. T. Welch, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2012, 143, 281; (d) O. Exner and S. Böhm, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, 32, 1449.

- 15 For selected examples, see: (a) J. Dubovik and A. Bredihhin, Synthesis, 2015, 2663; (b) S. Ito, T. Shoji and N. Morita, Synlett, 2011, 2279; (c) M. Fujinaga, K. Suetake, K. Gyoji, T. Murafuji, K. Kurotobi and Y. Sugihara, Synthesis, 2008, 3745; (d) T. Shibasaki, T. Ooishi, N. Yamanouchi, T. Murafuji, K. Kurotobi and Y. Sugihara, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 7971; (e) S. Ito, T. Terazono, T. Kubo, T. Okujima, N. Morita, T. Murafuji, Y. Sugihara, K. Fujimori, J. Kawakami and A. Tajiri, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 5357; (f) K. Kurotobi, H. Tabata, M. Miyauchi, A. F. M. M. Rahman, K. Migita, T. Murafuji, Y. Sugihara, H. Shimoyama and K. Fujimori, Synthesis, 2003, 30.
- (*a*) For selected examples, see: P. Cowper, Y. Jin, M. D. Turton, G. Kociok-Köhn and S. E. Lewis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 2564; (*b*) J. Dubovik and A. Bredihhin, *Synthesis*, 2015, 538; (*c*) M. Koch, O. Blacque and K. Venkatesan, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 1580; (*d*) T. Shoji, S. Ito, K. Toyota, T. Iwamoto, M. Yasunami and N. Morita, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 4307.
- 17 For a review, see: X. Shi, A. Sasmal, J.-F. Soulé and H. Doucet, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 143.
- 18 For selected examples, see: (a) J. Carreras, Y. Popowski, A. Caballero, E. Amir and P. J. Perez, J. Org. Chem., 2018, 83, 11125; (b) J. Liu, H. Li, R. Dehren, J. Liu, A. Spannenberg, R. Franke, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 11976; (c) A. Székely, A. Péter, K. Aradi, G. L. Tolnai and Z. Novák, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 954; (d) L. Gu, L. M. Wolf, A. Zielinski, W. Thiel and M. Alcarazo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 4948; (e) J. C. Timmerman, W. W. Schmitt and R. A. Widenhoefer, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 4966; (f) X. C. Cambeiro, N. Ahlsten and I. Larrosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 15636; (g) L. Zhao, C. Bruneau and H. Doucet, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 5598; (h) J. Liu, E. Muth, U. Floerke, G. Henkel, K. Merz, J. Sauvageau, E. Schwake and G. Dyker, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 456; (i) G. Dyker, S. Borowski, J. Heiermann, J. Körning, K. Opwis, G. Henkel and M. Köckerling, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, 606, 108.
- 19 (a) K. Kurotobi, M. Miyauchi, K. Takakura, T. Murafuji and Y. Sugihara, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 3663; (b) M. Fujinaga, T. Murafuji, K. Kurotobi and Y. Sugihara, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, 65, 7115.
- 20 T. E. Barder, S. D. Walker, J. R. Martinelli and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 4685.
- 21 C. Zarantonello, A. Guerrato, E. Ugel, R. Bertani, F. Benetollo, R. Milani, A. Venzo and A. Zaggia, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2007, 128, 1449.
- 22 J. Du, G. Hua, P. Beier, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Struct. Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 723.
- 23 T. Tang, T. Lin, F. Erden, F. Wang and C. He, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, 6, 5153.
- 24 M. Murai, S.-Y. Ku, N. D. Treat, M. J. Robb, M. L. Chabinyc and C. J. Hawker, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3753.

- 25 M. Murai, K. Takami, H. Takeshima and K. Takai, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 1798.
- 26 (a) J. Michl and E. W. Thulstrup, *Tetrahedron*, 1976, 32, 205;
 (b) R. S. H. Liu, R. S. Muthyala, X.-S. Wang and A. E. Asato, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, 2, 269.
- 27 (a) G. Tourillon and F. Garnier, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1982,
 135, 173; (b) R. J. Waltman, A. F. Diaz and J. Bargon,
 J. Electrochem. Soc., 1984, 131, 1452; (c) Y.-B. Shim and
 S.-M. Park, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1997, 144, 3027; (d) G. Nie,
 T. Cai, S. Zhang, J. Hou, J. Xu and X. Han, Mater. Lett., 2007,
 61, 3079.
- 28 A. Mirlach, M. Feuerer and J. Daub, *Adv. Mater.*, 1993, 5, 450.
- (a) J. E. True, T. D. Thomas, R. W. Winter and G. L. Gard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 42, 4437; (b) L. J. Saethre, N. Berrah, J. D. Bozek, K. J. Boerve, T. X. Carroll, E. Kukk, G. L. Gard, R. Winter and T. D. Thomas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, 123, 10729; (c) P. Brant, A. D. Berry, R. A. DeMarco, F. L. Carter, W. B. Fox and J. A. Hashmall, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 1981, 22, 119; (d) W. A. Sheppard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, 84, 3072.
- 30 K. Iwasaki, K. Matsumoto, S. Hino and M. Yasunami, *Synth. Met.*, 1993, 55, 1062.
- 31 F. Gerson, M. Scholz, H.-J. Hansen and P. Uebelhart, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1995, 215.
- 32 V. A. Nefedov, N. A. German, A. I. Lutsenko and G. I. Nikishin, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1987, **23**, 172.

(cc) BY