1	Intra- and intercontinental variation in the functional responses of a high impact
2	alien invasive fish
3	
4	Pieter Boets ^{1,2} , Ciaran Laverty ³ , Shinji Fukuda ⁴ , Hugo Verreycken ⁵ , Robert J. Britton ⁶ , Joe
5	Caffrey ⁷ , Peter L.M. Goethals ¹ , Josephine Pegg ⁶ , Vincent Médoc ⁸ , Jaimie T.A. Dick ³
6	
7	¹ Laboratory of Environmental Toxicology and Aquatic Ecology, Ghent University,
8	Coupure Links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
9	² Provincial Centre of Environmental Research, Godshuizenlaan 95, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
10	³ Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Medical and Biological
11	Centre, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL Northern Ireland,
12	UK
13	⁴ Institute of Agriculture, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan
14	⁵ Research Institute for Nature and Forest (INBO), Team Monitoring and Restoration of
15	Aquatic Fauna, Havenlaan 88 bus 73, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium
16	⁶ Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Bournemouth University, Poole,
17	Dorset. BH12 5BB, UK
18	⁷ INVAS Biosecurity, 6 Lower Ballymount Rd. Walkinstown Dublin 12, Ireland
19	⁸ Equipe Neuro-Ethologie Sensorielle, ENES/Neuro-PSI, CNRS UMR 9197, Université de
20	Lyon/Saint-Etienne, 23 rue Michelon, 42023 Saint-Etienne Cedex 2, France
21	
22	*Corresponding author: <u>pieter.boets@oost-vlaanderen.be</u>
23	ORCID: 0000-0001-8183-328X

25 Abstract

26 Recently, a body of literature has indicated the utility of comparisons among introduced 27 and native species of their functional responses, that is, the relationship between resource use (e.g. predator consumption rate) and resource availability (e.g. prey 28 29 density) to predict their impact. However, a key feature of this methodology, that has 30 not yet been examined, is the degree to which the functional response curves of an 31 introduced species differ within and between its native and introduced geographical 32 ranges. Information on the variation in functional responses is key to make robust 33 assessments on the ecological impact and to assess possible differences between native 34 and invasive species. Here, we examine the predatory functional responses in multiple 35 native and introduced populations of a globally high impact alien invasive fish, the topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*). In standardised aquaria and with two prey 36 species, significant differences in the functional responses among and between different 37 38 populations occurring in the native and the invaded range were found. Among 39 populations in the native range, the functional response indicated little variation, and 40 fish always showed a Type II response, irrespective of the type of prey used. In the 41 introduced range, populations showed a Type II response when fed chironomid larvae 42 as prey, while a Type III response was observed when feeding Daphnia magna. 43 Populations in the invaded range consumed overall more prey when fed *D. magna* 44 compared to the populations in the native range. When feeding chironomid larvae, no 45 consistent trend was observed. Context dependencies as well as species-specific traits 46 and fish density most likely play an important role when comparing the functional 47 response between populations occurring in their native and invaded ranges.

48

49 Keywords: topmouth gudgeon, alien species, *Pseudorasbora parva*, impact assessment,

50 functional response

- 51 Introduction
- 52

53 A key challenge in invasion ecology is to predict the future success and ecological impacts of alien invasive species (Kolar and Lodge 2001; van Kleunen et al. 2010; Dick et 54 55 al. 2014, 2017). Major achievements have been made in predicting the distribution of 56 invasive species based on species distribution modelling (Guisan and Thuiller 2005; 57 Gallardo & Aldridge 2013; Boets et al. 2013). Further, comparing the behaviour and 58 physiological tolerances of invasive with native species, or invasive with non-invasive 59 species, has seen some success in determining the characteristics of successful invaders 60 (e.g. Vila-Gispert et al. 2005; Statzner et al. 2008; van Kleunen et al. 2010; Kumschick et 61 al. 2015) and why invasive species can have severe impacts in their introduced, but not 62 in their native ranges (Hierro et al. 2005). Several mechanisms, such as a lack of natural 63 enemies (Torchin et al. 2003), lower biotic resistance (Levine et al. 2004) or species-64 traits (e.g. higher reproduction; Azzurro et al. 2014) may partially explain the success 65 and impacts of the introduced species, but these tend to be species specific and without general applicability (van Kleunen et al. 2010; Dick et al. 2014, 2017). There is thus still 66 67 a pressing need for an ubiquitous method that can reliably explain the ecological impacts of existing invaders and predict impacts of emerging and future invaders under 68 69 changing environmental conditions (Ricciardi & Rasmussen 1998; Vilà et al. 2011; Dick 70 et al. 2014, 2017).

71

72 Recently, assessing the "functional responses" of different species (the relationship 73 between resource uptake rate and resource availability) has shown great promise as a 74 robust method to understand and predict the ecological impacts of invasive species 75 (Bollache et al. 2008; Dick et al. 2013; Alexander et al. 2014; Dick et al. 2014, 2017). The 76 functional response quantifies the strength of trophic interactions and, in particular, 77 gives insight into predator behaviour and effects on prey populations (Holling 1959; 78 Dick et al. 2014, 2017). The shape and magnitude (asymptote) of the functional response can inform whether the consumer (e.g. introduced predator) will likely 79 80 regulate, stabilise or de-stabilise the resource (e.g. prey) populations, with implications 81 for population viability. With Type III functional responses, prey experience a low 82 density refuge with a reduction in risk of mortality as prey densities fall below a 83 threshold level, thus potentially imparting stability to predator-prey dynamics and 84 facilitating prey persistence (Murdoch and Oaten 1975). This is in contrast to the potentially population destabilising Type II functional response where most, if not all
prey are consumed at low prey densities, potentially leading to prey extinction at a
range of spatial and temporal scales (Hassell 1978). Further, the maximum feeding rate,
as determined by the reciprocal of the handling time, can indicate the prey "offtake rate"
(product of functional and numerical responses) and hence impact on prey populations
(Dick et al. 2014, 2017).

91

92 Functional response experiments have shown that ecologically damaging invasive 93 species mostly have a higher functional response (i.e. higher asymptote or estimated 94 maximum feeding rate), allowing them to more rapidly and efficiently consume 95 resources compared to native species (Dick et al. 2013; Alexander et al. 2014). However, 96 the usefulness of the method requires an understanding of the consistency, or 97 otherwise, of the functional response of a species across populations in both the native 98 and introduced ranges, an aspect that is, as yet, unexplored (Dick et al. 2014).

99

100 The aim of this study was, therefore, to examine the functional response of an alien 101 invasive species across its native and introduced range. At one extreme, the functional 102 response of a species could be highly conserved across populations throughout its 103 native and introduced range. On the other hand, due to bottlenecks and non-random 104 introduction of phenotypes and genotypes or other factors (e.g. prey naiveté, 105 plasticity,...), the functional response of a species could be highly variable, especially in 106 the invaded range where such processes are likely. Thus, for example, the functional 107 response could be lower in the native range compared to the invaded range and 108 populations with a long history of invasion could have a lower impact and thus a lower 109 functional response compared to recently introduced populations (see Iacarella et al. 110 2015). Overall, if the functional response of a species tracks its ecological impacts and 111 the above processes, this would add to the utility of using functional responses in a 112 predictive capacity.

113

The topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*) is a renowned invasive fish (see Gozlan et al. 2010), which is native to Japan, China, Korea and the River Amur basin (Pinder et al. 2005; Hardouin et al. 2018), but now widespread and locally abundant within most European countries (Britton et al. 2007; Verreycken et al. 2007). The species has

118 significant negative impacts on ecosystem structure and functioning in its invaded range 119 through interspecific competition with native species (Britton et al. 2010) and predation 120 on broods and eggs of native fish species (Xie et al. 2000). Although the species has been 121 extensively investigated in its introduced range, little is known on its impact in the 122 native range (but see e.g. Konishi et al. 2003). Thus, this invader is an excellent model to 123 examine variation in the functional response of a species across intra- and 124 intercontinental populations. We thus derived functional responses of populations in 125 two geographic locations in the invaded range in western Europe; Belgium (three 126 populations) and the United Kingdom (two populations) and in its native range, Japan 127 (two populations) to investigate differences in functional response among and between 128 populations in the native and invaded ranges.

129 Materials and Methods

130 Fish sampling and maintenance in the laboratory

131 Topmouth gudgeon were collected in the field by electrofishing or via baited traps at a 132 total of seven locations throughout its invaded range (Europe, 5 locations) and native 133 range (Japan, 2 locations) between June and December 2014 (five populations of the 134 invaded range and two populations of the native range Table 1). Only fish showing no 135 pathologies (visually observed) were transported to the laboratory and used for 136 experiments. Each population contained at least 50 individuals but no more than 60. We 137 use the term "population" for the fish sampled at a specific geographic location and sufficiently geographically distinct from each other to be considered a separate 138 139 population.

141 Table 1: Populations of the topmouth gudgeon sampled in different geographic locations throughout its

142	introduced and native range,	with indication	of the year of introducti	on.

Country	Status	Location	Coordinates	Year of
				introduction
Belgium	Introduced	Zonhoven	50°58'06"N; 5°20'58"E	1992
Belgium	Introduced	Sint-Pieters-Leeuw	50°47'01"N; 4°14'27"E	1992
Belgium	Introduced	Kastel	51°03'05"N; 4°11'23"E	1992
United Kingdom	Introduced	Crampmoor	50°59'54"N; 1°27'26"W	1996
United Kingdom	Introduced	Upper Lliedi Reservoir	51°43'11"N; 4°9'23"W	1996
Japan	Native	Fuchu	35°41'03"N; 139°28'55"E	
Japan	Native	Kunitachi	35°40'48"N; 139°25'32"E	—

Each population contained around 50 individuals that were not mixed and kept in one 145 100 l holding tank (50x30x40cm) in closed re-circulating systems filled with carbon-146 filtered water and aerated constantly. The light regime and air temperature were kept 147 constant at 16h light and 8h dark and $20(\pm 1)$ °C. Fish were acclimatised one week prior 148 to experiments and fed commercially available fish food (TetraMin®) three times a 149 week, thus avoiding prior learning to the prey used in the experiments.

150

151 Experimental design

152 Functional response experiments were conducted in separate plastic aquaria of 12 l 153 filled with 10 l of aerated and carbon-filtered water. The same light regime and 154 temperature were used as for the holding tanks. No flow-through system was used and 155 aquaria were wrapped in aluminium foil to avoid visual contact between individual fish 156 and to reduce the possible disturbance caused by research personnel. No habitat 157 enrichment or aeration was provided during the experiments. Water quality variables 158 were measured at the start and end of the experiment with mean temperature of 159 19.8±0.0.2°C, mean pH of 7.3±0.1 and mean oxygen saturation of 89±5%.

160

Two types of prey were used, separately, in experiments: juvenile *Daphnia magna*, 161 162 which were fed alive (1±0.2mm) and frozen chironomid larvae, which were provided as 163 dead organisms (10±3mm). The selection of prey was based on a previous study 164 conducted by Declerck et al. (2002) investigating the diet and gut content of 165 *Pseudorasbora parva* in its introduced range. Scientific studies on the food sources of the 166 species in its native range are lacking. The study of Declerk et al. (2002) indicated that 167 the gut content of small fish mainly consisted of cladocerans, while the gut content of 168 larger fish (>50mm standard length) was filled 75% with chironomid larvae. Fish were 169 not fed in the holding tanks during 48h prior to the functional response experiments to 170 standardize hunger levels (Alexander et al. 2014). Fish were randomly selected from the 171 holding tanks (containing on average 50 individuals per population) and transferred to 172 the individual aquaria one hour prior to the introduction of the prey. All fish (18 173 individuals per population and per prey species, see Fig. 1) were selected from a 174 relatively uniform size class (50-60mm standard length) to reduce the influence of size-175 related differences in prey consumption. Functional response experiments were run per 176 prey species at six different prey densities (4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128), with three replicates

177 per density. Per experiment, 18 aquaria filled with one individual of *P. parva* from one of 178 the populations and the aforementioned prey densities per prey species were set up. In 179 total, 252 individual fish were used in the experiments of which 108 (3 populations with 180 36 fish) originating from Belgium, and 72 from the UK and Japan (2 populations with 36 181 fish). Pilot experiments were run to determine the prey densities at which a functional 182 response asymptote appeared (128 individuals of D. magna and 64 individuals of 183 chironomid larvae). Fish were removed and prey consumption was examined 60 min 184 after the experiments were initiated. Individuals of *P. parva* were re-used at random in 185 the two different prey experiments. At least three days recovery time was allowed for 186 fish between two experimental runs.



188

Figure 1 - Overview of the experimental set up. Three, two and two populations (see table 1) with each
individual population containing 36 individuals from respectively Belgium, the United Kingdom and Japan
were used to run the experiments. For each population two prey species were tested: *Daphnia magna* and

- 192 chironomid larvae. Three replicates per prey density (4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 prey) were run.
- 193 Statistical analyses

The functional response was determined based on logistic regression analyses
modelling the proportion of the available prey consumed (initial prey density/number
of prey consumed) using the following equation:

197

198

 $P(Y_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon$ (1)

199 where Y_i denotes the response variable Y (prey consumed) at the *i*th term, X_i denotes the 200 explanatory variable X (prey density) at the *i*th term, β_i denotes the regression 201 coefficient β at the *i*th term, α denotes a constant and ε denotes the prediction error 202 between the observed value of the dependent variable for a given observation and the 203 value of the dependent variable predicted for that observation from the linear model. 204 Based on the values of β_1 and β_2 it was possible to determine the Type (Type II or Type III) of functional response. In case of a significant negative β_1 coefficient (first order 205 206 term), a Type II response was determined. In case of a significantly positive β_1 coefficient 207 in the first section of the line (first order term) and the second order term being 208 significantly negative (β_2), this indicated a Type III response. In case of a Type II 209 response, we used Rogers' Random Predator equation as the number of prey was 210 decreasing over time (i.e. prey were not replaced as they were consumed; see Alexander 211 et al. 2012) which is denoted by:

 $N_e = N_0 (1 - \exp(a(N_e h - T)))$

(2)

where N_e is the number of prey eaten, N_0 is the initial density of prey, *a* is the attack constant, *h* is the handling time and T is the total experimental period.

215 The Hassel's equation was used in case of a Type III response which is denoted by:

216 $N_e = N_0 \left(1 - \exp\left((d + bN_0) \left(T_h N_e - T\right) / (1 + cN_0)\right) \right)$ (3)

217 where *Ne* is the number of prey eaten, N_o is the initial density of prey, *a* the attack constant is replaced with but equal to $(d + bN_0) / (1 + cN_0)$, h is the handling time, T is 218 219 the total experimental time, *b*, *c* and *d* are constants. Raw consumption data were non-220 parametrically bootstrapped (n=1000), using either equation (2) for Type II data or 221 equation (3) for Type III data to construct 95% confidence intervals around the mean 222 functional response curve for each experimental group (Barrios-O'Neill et al. 2014). All 223 analyses were carried out in R using the FRAIR package (R core development team 224 2014, Pritchard et al. 2017).

225

227 Results

228

229 Intracontinental differences in functional response

230 For the populations in Belgium, when fed *D. magna* or chironomid larvae, there was 231 overlap of all three populations (95% confidence intervals) (Fig. 2, table 2). This 232 indicates that both attack rates and handling times were not significantly different 233 among these populations (table 2). For the populations in the UK, there was some 234 overlap between the populations at higher prey densities (> 96 individuals) for D. 235 magna and at lower prey densities for chironomid larvae (< 32 individuals). The 236 population originating from the Upper Lliedi reservoir showed a higher functional 237 response indicating that attack rates were significantly greater and handling times 238 lower for this population (Fig. 2, table 2). For the populations in Japan, overlap was 239 observed at prey densities above 42 individuals, whereas no overlap of the 95% 240 confidence intervals occurred at the lower prey densities for both *D. magna* and 241 chironomid larvae (Fig. 2, table 2).

242

243 Intercontinental differences in functional response

244 The 95% confidence intervals show that there was little overlap between Belgian and 245 Japanese *P. parva* when consuming *D. magna* and chironomid larvae, indicating that 246 attack rates were higher and handling times were significantly lower for Belgian than 247 Japanese *P. parva* (Fig. 3, table 2). The handling times of the UK topmouth gudgeon were 248 high and the attack rates were low when fed chironomid larvae compared to the 249 topmouth gudgeon sampled in the other countries and the 95% confidence intervals 250 were hardly overlapping with any of the other functional response curves, indicating 251 that the UK topmouth gudgeon consumed significantly less chironomid larvae compared 252 to the topmouth gudgeon sampled in Belgium and Japan (Fig. 3).

Table 2: Parameters for the different functional response curves that were developed for each country, each population and for each prey type used in the experiments. For details on the parameters we refer to the statistical analyses in materials and methods.

country	population	Prey	parameter			
			а	b	С	h
				0.562 (0.041-		
Belgium	Kastel	Daphnia magna		5.5*10 ^₅)	0.590 (0.0-4.9*10 ⁶)	0.0 (0.0-0.11)
Belgium	Zonhoven	Daphnia magna		0.019 (0.013-0.042)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)
Belgium	Sint-Pieters-Leeuw	Daphnia magna		0.205 (0.0-8.5*10 ⁵)	0.246 (0.0-1.4*10 ⁶)	0.002 (0.0-0.046)
United Kingdom	Upper Lliedi reservoir	Daphnia magna		0.014 (0.008-0.024)	0.003 (0.0-0.0172)	0.023 (0.0-0.049)
United Kingdom	Crampmoor	Daphnia magna		0.043 (0.023-0.148)	0.035 (0.0-0.209)	0.003 (0.0-0.0201)
Japan	Fuchu	Daphnia magna	2.052 (1.058-3.99)			0.031 (0.021-0.045)
Japan	Kunitachi	Daphnia magna	0.599 (0.236-1.565)			0.030 (0.0-0.052)
Belgium	Kastel	chironomid larvae	1.846 (0.991-3.03)			0.023 (0.015-0.034)
Belgium	Zonhoven	chironomid larvae	1.247 (0.3-5.618)			0.044 (0.014-0.092)
Belgium	Sint-Pieters-Leeuw	chironomid larvae	8.278 (1.114-183.58)			0.035 (0.015-0.065)
United Kingdom	Upper Lliedi reservoir	chironomid larvae	0.209 (0.061-1.212)			0.115 (0-0.0441)
United Kingdom	Crampmoor	chironomid larvae	0.675 (0.162-2972)			0.044 (0.0-0.141)
Japan	Fuchu	chironomid larvae	6.279 (2.835-94.45)			0.044 (0.026-0.065)
Japan	Kunitachi	chironomid larvae	1.2 (0.379-3.484)			0.067 (0.035-0.131)
Belgium		Daphnia magna		0.068 (0.019-4.037)	0.050 (0.0-185552)	0.0 (0.0-0.018)
UK		Daphnia magna		0.028 (0.016-0.071)	0.023 (0.0-0.146)	0.009 (0.0-0.026)
Japan		Daphnia magna	1.071 (0.603-1.947)			0.030 (0.02-0.045)
Belgium		chironomid larvae	1.983 (1.206-2.983)			0.028 (0.02-0.038)
UK		chironomid larvae	0.423 (1.045-1.463)			0.063 (0.0-0.156)
Japan		chironomid larvae	2.194 (1.034-4.29)			0.050 (0.03-0.072)





259 Figure 2 – Functional responses of the topmouth gudgeon when being fed as prey Daphnia magna (left) 260 and chironomid larvae (right) with indication of 95% confidence intervals based on bootstrapping. 261 Different populations were tested in Belgium (red=Kastel, green=Zonhoven, purple= Sint-Pieters Leeuw), 262 the United Kingdom (red=Upper Lliedi reservoir, green= Crampmoor), and Japan (red=Fuchu, 263 green=Kunitachi). Each colour represents a different population in each country. Raw data were added for 264 each population (green squares, pink dots and purple rhombuses)

- 265
- 266



267

Figure 3 – Functional responses of the topmouth gudgeon when being fed *Daphnia magna* as prey (left)
and chironomid larvae (right) with indication of 95% confidence intervals based on bootstrapping. Each
colour represents a different country (green=United Kingdom, red=Belgium, and blue=Japan). Data for
these figures were based on data represented in Fig. 2. . Raw data were added for each country (green
squares, red dots and cyan rhombuses)

274 Functional response Type

When *Daphnia magna* were used as prey, fish populations in the invaded range always showed a Type III response, indicated by positive first order and negative second order terms derived from logistic regressions of proportions of prey eaten, whereas populations of the native range showed a Type II response (Table 3; Fig. 2). All populations of the topmouth gudgeon (invaded and native range) showed a Type II functional response towards chironomid larvae as indicated by negative first order terms derived from logistic regressions of proportions of prey eaten (Table 3; Fig. 2).

- 282
- 283

Table 3: The Type of functional response for the different fish populations with respect to type of prey

Country	Status	Location	Type of functional response	
			chironomid larvae	D. magna
Belgium	Introduced	Zonhoven	Type II	Type III
Belgium	Introduced	Sint-Pieters-Leeuw	Type II	Type III
Belgium	Introduced	Kastel	Type II	Type III
United Kingdom	Introduced	Crampmoor	Type II	Type III
United Kingdom	Introduced	Upper Lliedi	Туре II	Type III
		Reservoir		
Japan	Native	Fuchu	Type II	Type II
Japan	Native	Kunitachi	Type II	Type II

285 (chironomid larvae or *Daphnia magna*)

287 **Discussion**

288

289 Differences in ecological impact between different populations

290 We observed clear differences in functional responses between different populations of 291 the topmouth gudgeon for both prey items used. Indeed, differences were found 292 between populations within one country as well as between populations from the native 293 and introduced range. The functional response of the populations in their native range 294 was intermediate to the functional response derived for the populations in their invaded 295 range, especially when using chironomid larvae as prey. There are several possible 296 explanations for the difference in functional response observed between populations in 297 the native and invaded range such as prey naivety or species plasticity (Cuthbert et al. 298 2018; Hollander & Bordeau 2016). Species plasticity of predator and prey has shown to 299 be responsible for the observed variation in species responses caused by specific 300 environmental habitat conditions.

301

302 Besides the differences in functional response between different geographic areas, we 303 observed large variations in functional responses and thus possible ecological impact 304 between populations within one geographic area, especially in the invaded range. 305 Population differences are depending on the invasion history of the species and on the 306 local environmental conditions (Sakai et al. 2001). Newly introduced populations often 307 pass through genetic bottlenecks causing possible variations in the functional response. 308 Based on this, a reduction in variation of the functional response in the introduced range 309 could be expected, although we observed the opposite. Indeed, whereas the functional 310 response in the native range showed little variation there were significant differences 311 observed in functional response between the populations in the introduced range. In 312 addition to the context dependencies (e.g. habitat complexity), these variations in 313 populations should be taken into account when comparing the functional response 314 between native and invasive fish species to increase the predictive power (Dick et al. 315 2014).

316

317 Besides the functional response as calculated in this study, other aspects such as a lack 318 of natural enemies in combination with a high reproductive capacity, should be 319 considered when determining its ecological impact. Research carried out in the UK by 320 Britton et al. (2010), indicated that topmouth gudgeon can reach very high densities of 321 up to 60 individuals per square meter, a phenomenon that is not reported from its 322 native range. In this respect, it is important to mention that the functional response 323 examined in our study is a *per capita* effect of the alien invasive fish species (Parker et al. 324 1999) and does not include fish density. Thus, although the functional response (per 325 capita effect) might not be higher for populations in the invaded range (as is the case in 326 our study for some populations), when considering its high abundance, the species 327 might still exert a significant ecological impact on the local community. Indeed, previous 328 research suggests that *P. parva* only has a detectable impact when being present at high 329 densities (Britton et al. 2010; Jackson et al. 2014). In this respect, the development of the 330 Invader Relative Impact Potential (RIP) metric by Dick et al. (2017) could provide a 331 better understanding of the likelihood and degree of ecological impact as it considers 332 both the functional response and the abundance. Recent research by Laverty et al. 333 (2017) indicated that the predictive power of the functional response for topmouth 334 gudgeon was limited, however, incorporation of their abundances relative to natives 335 into the RIP metric gave high predictive power.

336

337 Differences in the Type of functional response

In its native range (Japan) topmouth gudgeon always showed a Type II functional response towards either *Daphnia magna* or chironomid larvae used as prey. A Type II functional response typically describes the foraging behaviour of a species that is capable of handling only one prey at a time and in environments of reduced complexity that do not influence factors such as capture success or learned behaviour (Abrams, 1990), similar to the experiments in this study. Earlier studies on functional responses

344 have shown that this Type II response is often observed for fish (Murray et al. 2013, 345 Alexander et al. 2014), but that with increasing habitat complexity the functional 346 response might change to a Type III response (Alexander et al. 2015). A Type II response 347 is likely to be destabilising with respect to prey populations (Murdoch and Oaten 1975), 348 as prey at low densities experience increased proportional consumption, whereas prey 349 are not consumed at low densities when predators show a Type III functional response 350 (Juliano 2001). In any case, the shape of the functional response may not be as 351 important as the maximum feeding rate, that is, the reciprocal of handling time "h", 352 which will determine the offtake rate of prey from the population and therefore be more 353 indicative of ecological impact (see Dick et al. 2014).

354

355 A previous study conducted by Hanazato and Yasuno (1989) found that *P. parva* exerted 356 a high predation pressure on *Daphnia longispina* in mesocosm experiments conducted in 357 Japan leading to a strong reduction in abundance of the prey. Our experiments indicated 358 that in its introduced range the topmouth gudgeon showed a Type III response when 359 being fed *Daphnia magna* as prey. *Daphnia* typically clump together, which reduces the 360 probability of being detected and thus their likelihood of being consumed at low fish 361 density. Based on gut content analyses, derived from field studies, it has been shown 362 that both *Daphnia* and chironomid larvae are being predated, but that most of the time 363 chironomid larvae make up the largest part of the diet of *P. parva* (Gozlan et al. 2010; 364 Yalçın-Özdilek et al. 2013). It is clear that topmouth gudgeon are limited by their 365 handling ability, but not search efficiency with respect to chironomid larvae, and their 366 attack rate or search efficiency but not handling ability with respect to *D. magna*. 367 Furthermore, topmouth gudgeon are omnivorous, therefore, when prev densities are 368 depleted their feeding mode may switch to take advantage of available algal resources, 369 thus altering the functional response through switching (Yalçın-Özdilek et al. 2013; 370 Médoc et al. 2017). Topmouth gudgeon are noted for the high densities they accrue in 371 invaded ranges, thus it is unlikely that one predator would be feeding in isolation on a 372 prey resource. Facilitative, mutualistic and antagonistic interactions between 373 conspecifics that may alter the functional response have not been considered in this 374 study. However, these aspects are of primary concern in future studies of topmouth 375 gudgeon if impacts on native prey populations are to be comprehensively understood 376 (Britton et al. 2007; Médoc et al. 2013; Barrios-O'Neill et al. 2014).

378 Our experiments were conducted under laboratory conditions with fixed light and 379 temperature conditions and no substrate (low habitat complexity). As it has been shown 380 that context dependencies (e.g. habitat complexity) are important (Alexander et al. 381 2015), our results illustrate functional responses of adult topmouth gudgeon in a 382 simplified habitat. As we want to compare the functional response between different 383 populations the tests in a controlled environment can help to understand the differences 384 in impact of a species between native and invaded regions. However, further research 385 under different habitat and environmental conditions, different predator densities as well as the use of juvenile fish may contribute to a better understanding of the functional 386 387 response of topmouth gudgeon.

388

389 In conclusion, our study shows differences in the functional responses of an invasive fish 390 species between different populations within the native and invaded range, or when comparing populations from the native and invaded range. The topmouth gudgeon 391 392 showed both a Type II and Type III functional response depending on the prey species 393 and the population origin. Populations in the invaded range did not always show a 394 higher functional response compared to populations in the native range. To better 395 estimate the impact of invasive species based on the functional response, the fish 396 density as well as habitat conditions should be taken into account. Finally, even if the 397 functional response of this invader is highly variable, if it is still much greater than that 398 of trophically analogous natives, the comparison of functional responses between 399 invader and native will often be predictive of the ecological impact of the invader (see 400 Dick et al. 2013, Alexander et al. 2014, Dick et al. 2014).

401

402 Acknowledgements

Pieter Boets was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Fund for Scientific
Research (FWO Vlaanderen, Belgium). This study was supported in part by the JSPS
Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (26250044) and the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for
Challenging Exploratory Research (70437771). Ciaran Laverty, Kyle D. Green and Jaimie
T.A. Dick were funded by the Department of Employment and Learning (DEL) N. Ireland,
the ITSligo President's Award and Inland Fisheries Ireland.

- 409
- 410

411 **Conflict of Interest**

- 412 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 413

414 **References**

- 415 Abrams PA (1990). The effects of adaptive behavior on the Type-II functional response.
 416 Ecology 71: 877–885.
- Alexander ME, Dick JTA, O'Connor NE, Haddaway NR & Farnsworth KD (2012).
 Functional responses of the intertidal amphipod *Echinogammarus marinus*: effects of
 prey supply, model selection and habitat complexity. Marine Ecology Progress
 Series: 468, 191.
- 421 Alexander ME, Dick JTA, Weyl OL, Robinson TB & Richardson DM (2014). Existing and
 422 emerging high impact invasive species are characterized by higher functional responses
- 423 than natives. Biology letters 10, 20130946.
- Alexander ME, Kaiser H, Weyl OLF & Dick JTA (2015). Habitat simplification increases
 the impact of a freshwater invasive fish. Environmental Biology of Fishes 98: 477-486.
- Azzurro E, Tuset VM, Lombarte A, Maynou F, Simberloff D, Rodríguez-Pérez A, & Solé RV
 (2014). External morphology explains the success of biological invasions. Ecology letters
 17: 1455-1463.
- Barrios-O'Neill D, Dick JTA, Emmerson MC, et al. (2014). Fortune favours the bold: a
 higher predator reduces the impact of a native but not an invasive intermediate
 predator. Journal of Animal Ecology 83: 693–701. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12155
- Boets P, Pauwels IS, Lock K & Goethals PLM (2013). Using an integrated modelling
 approach for risk assessment of the 'killer shrimp' *Dikerogammarus villosus*. River
 Research and Applications 4: 403-412.
- 435 Bollache L, Dick JTA, Farnsworth KD & Montgomery WI (2008). Comparison of the 436 functional responses of invasive and native amphipods. Biology letters 4(2): 166-169.
- Britton JR, Davies GD, Brazier M & Pinder AC (2007). A case study on the population
 ecology of a topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*) population in the UK and the
 implications for native fish communities. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater
 Ecosystems 17(7): 749-759.
- Britton JR, Davies GD & Harrod C (2010). Trophic interactions and consequent impacts
 of the invasive fish *Pseudorasbora parva* in a native aquatic foodweb: a field
 investigation in the UK. Biological Invasions 12(6): 1533-1542.
- 444 Cuthbert RN, Dalu, Tatenda, Wasserman RJ, Dick JTA, Mofu L, Callaghan A & Weyl OLF
 445 (2018). Intermediate predator naïveté and sex-skewed vulnerability predict the impact
 446 of an invasive higher predator. Scientific Reportsvolume 8, Article number: 14282.
- 447 Declerck S, Louette, G, De Bie T, De Meester L (2002). Patterns of diet overlap between

- 448 populations of non-indigenous and native fishes in shallow ponds. Journal of Fish449 Biology 61: 1182 1197.
- Dick JTA, Gallagher K, Avlijas S, Clarke HC, Lewis SE, Leung S, et al. (2013). Ecological impacts of an invasive predator explained and predicted by comparative functional responses. Biological invasions 15(4): 837-846.
- Dick JTA, Alexander, ME, Jeschke JM, Ricciardi A, MacIsaac HJ, Robinson TB, et al. (2014).
 Advancing impact prediction and hypothesis testing in invasion ecology using a
 comparative functional response approach. Biological invasions 16(4): 735-753.
- Dick JTA, Laverty C, Lennon JJ, Barrios-O'Neill D, Mensink PJ, Britton JR, Medoc V, Boets
 P, et al. (2017). Invader Relative Impact Potential: a new metric to understand and
 predict the ecological impacts of existing, emerging and future invasive alien
 species. Journal of Applied Ecology 154(4): 259–1267.
- 460 Gallardo B & Aldridge DC (2013). Priority setting for invasive species management: risk
- 461 assessment of Ponto-Caspian invasive species into Great Britain. Ecological Applications462 23(2): 352-364.
- Gozlan RE, Andreou D, Asaeda T, Beyer K, Bouhadad R, Burnard D, Caiola N, Cakic P,
 Djikanovic V, Esmaeili HR, Falka I, Golicher D, Harka A, Jeney G, Kováč V, Musil J, Nocita
 A, Povz M, Poulet N, Virbickas T, Wolter C, Serhan Tarkan A, Tricarico E, Trichkova T,
 Verreycken H, Witkowski A, Guang Zhang C, Zweimueller I & Britton RJ (2010). Pancontinental invasion of *Pseudorasbora parva*: towards a better understanding of
 freshwater fish invasions. Fish and Fisheries 11: 315–340.
- Guisan A & Thuiller W (2005). Predicting species distribution: offering more than simplehabitat models. Ecology letters 8(9): 993-1009.
- 471 Hanazato T & Yasuno M (1989). Zooplankton community structure driven by vertebrate472 and invertebrate predators. Oecologia 81: 450–458.
- Hardouin EA, Andreou D, Zhao Y, Chevret P, Fletcher DH, Britton JR & Gozlan RE (2018).
 Reconciling the biogeography of an invader through recent and historic genetic
 patterns: the case of topmouth gudgeon *Pseudorasbora parva*. Biological Invasions 20: 2157–2171.
- Hassell MP (1978). Functional responses. In: Hassell MP (ed) The dynamics of arthropod
 predator-prey systems. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 28–49.
- Hollander J & Bordeau PE (2016). Evidence of weaker phenotypic plasticity by prey to
 novel cues from non native predators. Ecology and Evolution : 5358–5365.
- 481 Holling CS (1959). Some characteristics of simple types of predation and parasitism.482 Canadian Entomology 91: 385–398.
- Hierro JL, Maron JL & Callaway RM (2005). A biogeographical approach to plant
 invasions: the importance of studying exotics in their introduced and native range.
 Journal of Ecology 93(1): 5-15.

- Iacarella JC, Dick JT & Ricciardi A (2015). A spatio-temporal contrast of the predatory
 impact of an invasive freshwater crustacean. Diversity and Distributions 21: 803–812.
- 488 Jackson MC, Ruiz-Navarro A & Britton JR (2014). Population density modifies the 489 ecological impacts of invasive species. Oikos 124: 880-887.
- 490 Juliano SA (2001.) Non-linear curve fitting: predation and functional response curves. In:
- 491 Scheiner SM, Gurevitch J (eds) Design and analysis of ecological experiments. Oxford
- 492 University Press, Oxford.
- Kolar CS & Lodge DM (2001). Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trendsin Ecology & Evolution 16(4): 199-204.
- Konishi M, Hosoya K & Takata K (2003). Natural hybridization between endangered and
 introduced species of *Pseudorasbora*, with their genetic relationships and characteristics
 inferred from allozyme analyses. Journal of Fish Biology 63: 213–231.
- Kumschick S, Gaertner M, Vilà M, Essl F, Jeschke JM, Pyšek P, Bacher S, Blackburn TM,
 Dick JTA, Evans T, Hulme PE, Kühn I, Mrugała A, Pergl J, Rabitsch W, Ricciardi A,
 Richardson DM, Sendek A & Winter M (2015). Ecological impacts of alien species:
 quantification, scope, caveats and recommendations. BioScience 65: 55–63.
- Laverty C, Green KD, Dick JTA, Barrios-O'Neill D, Mensink PJ, Medoc V, Spataro T, Cafrrey
 JM, Lucy FE, Boets P, Britton JR, Pegg J & Gallagher C (2017). Assessing the ecological
 impacts of invasive species based on their functional responses and abundances.
- 505 Biological Invasions 19(5): 1653–1665.
- Levine JM, Adler, PB & Yelenik SG (2004). A meta-analysis of biotic resistance to exoticplant invasions. Ecology letters 7(10): 975-989.
- Médoc V, Spataro T, Arditi R (2013). Prey: predator ratio dependence in the functional
 response of a freshwater amphipod. Freshwater Biology 58:858–865.
- 510 Médoc, V., Thuillier, L. & Spataro, T. Biological Invasions (2017). 511 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-017-1628-5.
- 512 Murdoch WW & Oaten A (1975). Predation and Population Stability. Advances Ecology513 Research 9: 1–131.
- Murray GP, Stillman RA, Gozlan RE & Britton JR (2013). Experimental predictions of the
 functional response of a freshwater fish. Ethology 119(9): 751-761.
- 516 Parker IM, Simberloff D, Lonsdale WM, Goodell K, Wonham M, Kareiva PM, et al. (1999).
 517 Impact: toward a framework for understanding the ecological effects of invaders.
 518 Biological invasions 1(1): 3-19.
- 519 Pinder AC, Gozlan RE & Britton JR (2005). Dispersal of the invasive topmouth gudgeon 520 *Pseudorasbora parva* in the UK: a vector for an emergent infectious disease. Fisheries
- 521 Management and Ecology 12: 411–414.

- 522 Pritchard DW, Paterson RB, Helene C & Barrios-O'Neill D (2017). FRAIR: an R package
- 523 for fitting and comparing consumer functional responses. Methods in Ecology and
- 524 Evolution 8: 1528-1534.
- 525 R Core Team (2014). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

Ricciardi A & Rasmussen, JB (1998). Predicting the identity and impact of future
biological invaders: a priority for aquatic resource management. Canadian Journal of
Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55(7): 1759-1765.

- Sakai AK, Allendorf FW, Holt JS, Lodge DM, Molofsky J, With KA, et al. (2001). The
 population biology of invasive species. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics: 305332.
- 532 Statzner B, Bonada N & Dolédec S (2008). Biological attributes discriminating invasive
 533 from native European stream macroinvertebrates. Biological Invasions 10(4): 517-530.
- 534 Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Dobson AP, McKenzie VJ & Kuris AM (2003). Introduced 535 species and their missing parasites. Nature 421(6923): 628-630.
- Van Kleunen M, Weber E & Fischer M (2010). A meta-analysis of trait differences
 between invasive and non-invasive plant species. Ecology letters 13(2): 235-245.
- 538 Verreycken H, Anseeuw D, Van Thuyne G, Quataert P & Belpaire C (2007). The non-
- 539 indigenous freshwater fishes of Flanders (Belgium): review, status and trends over the
- 540last decade. Journal of Fish Biology 71(Supplement D): 1–13.
- Vila-Gispert A, Alcaraz C & García-Berthou E (2005). Life-history traits of invasive fish in
 small Mediterranean streams. Biological Invasions 7(1): 107-116.

Vilà M, Espinar, JL, Hejda M, Hulme PE, Jarošík V, Maron JL, et al. (2011). Ecological
impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities
and ecosystems. Ecology letters 14(7): 702-708.

- Xie S, Cui Y, Zhang, T & Li Z (2000) Seasonal patterns in feeding ecology of three small
 fishes in the Biandantang Lake, China. Journal of Fish Biology 57: 867 880.
- Yalçın-Özdilek Ş, Kırankaya ŞG & Ekmekçi FG (2013). Feeding Ecology of the Topmouth
 Gudgeon *Pseudorasbora parva* (Temminck and Schlegel, 1846) in the Gelingüllü
- 550 Reservoir, Turkey. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences: 13(1).