

## HIGHLY EFFICIENT INFLUENZA VIRUS PRODUCTION: A MDCK-BASED HIGH-CELL-DENSITY PROCESS

Thomas Bissinger, Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems, Magdeburg, Germany  
bissinger@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de

Yixiao Wu, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Xuping Liu, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Yvonne Genzel, Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems, Magdeburg, Germany

Wen-Song Tan, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Udo Reichl, Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems &  
Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

**Key Words:** vaccine manufacturing, influenza virus, high-cell-density, MDCK suspension, semi-perfusion

Seasonal vaccination campaigns for influenza in developed and developing countries create a massive demand for 500 million (2015) vaccine doses every year [1]. Besides egg-based vaccine manufacturing, production platforms based on animal cell culture increasingly contribute to this overall growing market. In order to intensify cell culture-based influenza virus production, high-cell-density (HCD) cultivation of suspension cells can be applied to improve virus titer, process productivity and production costs [2]. For process optimization and evaluation of HCD conditions, cells cultivated using semi-perfusion approaches in small shakers can be used as a scale-down model for bioreactors operating in full perfusion mode [3].

In this study, a previously developed MDCK suspension cell line [4] was adapted to a new serum free medium [5] to facilitate higher growth rate, cell density and virus titer both in batch and in HCD. Therefore, MDCK cells cultivated in Smif-8 medium were slowly adapted to a new cultivation medium (Xeno™) by stepwise increasing the Xeno content. Fully adapted cells were cultivated in shaker flasks to evaluate the performance of influenza A virus production in batch and HCD. Cell densities exceeding  $2 \cdot 10^7$  cells/mL were achieved in shakers using semi-perfusion, where cell free medium was manually replaced with fresh medium. Volume and time interval of media replacement were chosen to achieve a constant cell-specific perfusion rate of 2.5 pL/(cell h). Cell cultures were infected with influenza virus (A/PR/8/34 H1N1 RKI) with trypsin addition. Cell count, viability, main metabolites and virus titer (HA-assay & TCID<sub>50</sub>) were monitored pre and post infection.

Medium adaptation resulted in a MDCK suspension cell line with morphological, growth, and metabolic characteristics different from parental cells. Cells fully adapted to Xeno medium were growing to higher cell densities ( $1.4 \cdot 10^7$  vs  $6 \cdot 10^6$  cells/mL) with higher specific growth rate ( $\mu_{\max}$ : 0.036 vs 0.026 1/h), cells were bigger (15-16 vs 13-14  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and grew without aggregate formation. Due to higher cell densities at time of infection, virus titers up to  $3.6 \log_{10}(\text{HAU}/100\mu\text{L})$  were reached. In semi-perfusion, adapted MDCK cells were grown up to  $6 \cdot 10^7$  cells/mL, however, maximum virus titer and productivity were observed with  $4 \cdot 10^7$  cells/mL. In multiple harvests, very high virus titer exceeding  $4 \log_{10}(\text{HAU}/100\mu\text{L})$  and up to  $9 \cdot 10^9$  virions/mL (TCID<sub>50</sub>) were measured, which corresponded to an accumulated titer of  $4.5 \log_{10}(\text{HAU}/100\mu\text{L})$ . Cell-specific virus titer was similar or higher compared to the reference batch infections, depending on perfusion and infection strategy.

Overall, results in this semi-perfusion scale-down model for influenza A virus production suggest a highly efficient and productive upstream process for influenza virus production, with an up to six-fold improved space time yield compared to batch mode.

[1] Palache A. et al., *Vaccine* 35 (2017): 4681–4686. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.07.053

[2] Genzel Y. et al., *Vaccine* 32 (2014): 2770–2781. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.02.016

[3] Vázquez-Ramírez D. et al., *Vaccine* (2018): article in press. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.10.112

[4] Lohr V. et al., *Vaccine* 28 (2010): 6256–6264. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.07.004

[5] Xeno™-S001S MDCK Cell Serum Free Medium (#FG0100402), Bioengine, Shanghai, China