Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

CO2 Summit II: Technologies and Opportunities

Proceedings

Fall 4-11-2016

Cryogenic carbon capture

Larry Baxter Sustainable Energy Solutions, l.baxter@sesinnovation.com

Andrew Baxter Sustainable Energy Solutions

Chris Bence Sustainable Energy Solutions

David Frankman Sustainable Energy Solutions

Chris Hoeger Sustainable Energy Solutions

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/co2_summit2 Part of the <u>Environmental Engineering Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Larry Baxter, Andrew Baxter, Chris Bence, David Frankman, Chris Hoeger, Aaron Sayre, Kyler Stitt, and Skyler Chamberlain, "Cryogenic carbon capture" in "CO2 Summit II: Technologies and Opportunities", Holly Krutka, Tri-State Generation & Transmission Association Inc. Frank Zhu, UOP/Honeywell Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2016). http://dc.engconfintl.org/ co2_summit2/12

This Abstract and Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in CO2 Summit II: Technologies and Opportunities by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.

Authors

Larry Baxter, Andrew Baxter, Chris Bence, David Frankman, Chris Hoeger, Aaron Sayre, Kyler Stitt, and Skyler Chamberlain



Cryogenic Carbon Capture

Larry Baxter Brigham Young University / Sustainable Energy Solutions Provo/Orem, UT

Outline

- Cryogenic Carbon Capture[™] (CCC) Overview
- Status and Highlights of Recent Tests
- CCC Enabling Low-CO₂ Fossil Systems, Renewables, Energy Storage, and Grid Stability

An Optimistic Message

- Cryogenic Carbon Capture[™] (CCC) represents a promising pathway for global CO₂ reduction with minimal cost and energy consumption.
- CCC addresses the largest issues in both fossil and renewable energy.
- CCC reduces fossil CO_2 emissions and reliably stores renewable energy. It is a realistic pathway to achieving a 2 °C global temperature rise.

CCC Value Proposition


- Energy efficient CO₂ capture (about ½ amine)
- Cost effective CO₂ capture (about ½ amine)
- Enables adoption of renewables through rapidly responding, large-scale energy storage
- Bolt-on technology (ideal retrofit or greenfield)
- Widely deployable (NG, refineries, coal ...)
- Multipollutant process (Hg, SO_x, HC, PM_{2.5}, ...)
- Consumes no additional water

Completed Skid-scale Demonstrations


- Fuels
 - Coals (subbituminous and bituminous)
 - Natural gas
 - Biomass
 - Municipal waste, tires

- Technologies
 - Utility power plants
 - Industrial heat plants
 - Cement plant kilns
 - Large pilot-scale reactor
- Pre-combustion/NG Processing (lab scale)


Simplified Flow Diagram (CFG)




Simplified Flow Diagram (ECL)




ASU Comparisons




Basic Principles



Energy Demand at High Capture



Actual Gas Temperature Profiles



Utility Power Plant Skid Test




Wyoming Skid Photos




1 tonne/day CO₂ capture

Utility Power plant




Pollutant Removal


• NO - Captured at very high rates, likely reacted to NO₂



Pollutant Capture Data




Cement Kiln



Particulate Capture

Particulate Capture




Mercury Testing

- Field test at utility power plant
- Inlet 735 ppt, or 5.77 μg/m³ (after wet scrubber)
- Outlet below detection limit, which is 1 ppt, or 0.01 μg/m³ for 99.9%+ capture.
- Actual concentrations predicted to be far below atmospheric levels (1-2 ng/m³).



Energy Penalty


CCC nearly eliminates emissions while consuming half the energy of alternatives




Energy Costs

	No Capture	Amine	CCC	Integrated CCC
Net Power (GJ/tonne CO ₂)	0	1.38	0.714	0.555
Net HHV Heat Rate (BTU/kWh)	8687	12002	10144	9776
Parasitic Load	0.00%	27.6%	14.4%	11.1%

Cost of Electricity



Retrofit Costs




Energy Storage

- Grid-scale energy storage
 - Intermittent renewable sources
 - Load leveling
 - High efficiency (95%+)
 - Low cost


CCC ECL Energy Consumption by Source

Energy Source	Percent of Total
Flue Gas Blower	11.6%
Refrigerant Compression	83.0%
Separations Compression	2.1%
Condensed-phase Pumping	3.3%
Total	100%


Energy Storing



Diverse Power Sources



Actual Supply Curve



Results

- An 800 MW_e power plant with CCC stabilized +/- 400 MW_e grid surges associated with periodic demand cycles and intermittent renewable availability with no need for spinning reserves or other supplementary power.
- Power demand cycles, wind availability, and general costs taken from actual grid data (southern California).
- 250 MW_e surge in wind power that occurs in the evening, as power demand generally is in rapid decrease, was effective absorbed by CCC and delivered the next day during peak power.
- Similar load following with coal being constant is possible, but requires larger storage tank and NG replacement rate.

Integrated System



Pilot Facility



Acknowledgements

- Wyoming Advanced Conversion Technologies Task Force funding, DOE/Arpa-E, CCEMC, GE, Air Liquide, Dong Energy, and BYU
- Dave Frankman and Kyler Stitt are engineering managers. Substantial contributions from all SES team members
- Power plants, cement plants, and other host facilities
- Details at www.sesinnovation.com