Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

Fluidization XV

Proceedings

5-26-2016

Comparison of optical probes and X-ray tomography for bubble characterization in fluidized bed methanation reactors

F. Schillinger Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

S. Maurer Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

T.J. Schildhauer Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland, tilman.schildhauer@psi.ch

S.M.A. Biollaz Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

E. C. Wagner Delft University of Technology, Julianalaan 136, 2628 BL Delft, The Netherlands

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/fluidization_xv Part of the <u>Chemical Engineering Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

F. Schillinger, S. Maurer, T.J. Schildhauer, S.M.A. Biollaz, E. C. Wagner, R.F. Mudde, and J.R. van Ommen, "Comparison of optical probes and X-ray tomography for bubble characterization in fluidized bed methanation reactors" in "Fluidization XV", Jamal Chaouki, Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, Canada Franco Berruti, Wewstern University, Canada Xiaotao Bi, UBC, Canada Ray Cocco, PSRI Inc. USA Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2016). http://dc.engconfintl.org/fluidization_xv/148

This Abstract and Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fluidization XV by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.

Authors

F. Schillinger, S. Maurer, T.J. Schildhauer, S.M.A. Biollaz, E. C. Wagner, R.F. Mudde, and J.R. van Ommen





Paul Scherrer Institut / Delft University of Technology

F. Schillinger, S. Maurer, T. J. Schildhauer, S. M. A. Biollaz, E. C. Wagner, R. F. Mudde, J.R. van Ommen

Comparison of optical probes and X-ray tomography for bubble characterization in fluidized bed methanation reactors



Process chain for renewable CH₄ - production





Motivation

Determination of bubble properties important for reactor modelling and proper scale-up

X-ray tomography: Investigation of fluidization state over the entire cross-section



Only for Plexiglas column No reactive conditions !!

Optical sensor:

Only pointwise measurements



Applicable at steel reactor (pilot plant) Reactive conditions

Principal objective:

- Work out differences between both methods
- Assessment of data obtained at pilot-scale reactor with optical sensor





Pictures on setup adapted from: Mudde, R. F., Bubbles in a fluidized bed: A fast X-ray scanner, AIChE J., (2011)



- Due to pointwise measurements:
 - -Only chord length of bubble gets accessible for optical evaluation

$$u_b = \frac{\Delta s_{sensor}}{\Delta t} \qquad \qquad d_{chord} = u_b \cdot t_b$$



Reconstructed XRT - image Quasi 3-D reconstruction of bubbles





Detection of slugging with optical probes



→ Fraction of bubbles with chord length > 10 cm (\approx half of column - Ø) may be indicator for slugging

Comparison bubble size X-ray vs. optical evaluation



- Optical mean chord length annulus weighted
- Mean chord length (optical) roughly 40 % smaller than mean diameter (X-ray)

Explanation for discrepancies ?



Discussion of discrepancies between X-ray and optical evaluation



- 2 Main reasons for discrepancies between both evaluation methods:
 - Possibility to detect bubbles smaller than 1.8 cm only for optical method
 - Same bubble is hit at several positions by fictitious optical sensor
 - → Statistical mean chord length: $d_{b,chord} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} d_{b,Vol.equivalent}$ (70.7 %)



Comparison BRV of optical vs. X-ray evaluation



- Optical mean value again annulus weighted
- Similarity to findings concerning bubble size:
 - -BRV smaller for optical evaluation
 - ➔ Explanation for discrepancies ?



IMPORTANT: Determination of proper BRV for especially large bubbles:

- Possible procedure for future optical measurements:
 - →Negligence of bubbles smaller than 1.8 cm (uncritical concerning breakthrough of reactants)
 - → More precise determination of mean BRV for larger bubbles



Key findings and outlook

- Fraction of bubbles with chord length > 10 cm (≈ half of column diameter) may serve as indicator for slugging
- Differences in bubble properties between X-ray and optical evaluation method determined and explained

Next step:

➔ Evaluation of data set generated with optical sensor at the pilot-scale plant to determine the bubble properties especially for large bubbles precisely



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

