#### Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

International Workshop on the Environmental Damage in Structural Materials Under Static Load/ Cyclic Loads at Ambient Temperatures

Proceedings

5-31-2016

## Predicting fatigue crack initiation in metals using dislocation dynamics simulations

Veera Sundararaghavan Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, 1320 Beal Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105, USA, veeras@umich.edu

Christian Heinrich University of Michigan Ann Arbor, USA

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/edsm Part of the <u>Engineering Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Veera Sundararaghavan and Christian Heinrich, "Predicting fatigue crack initiation in metals using dislocation dynamics simulations" in "International Workshop on the Environmental Damage in Structural Materials Under Static Load/Cyclic Loads at Ambient Temperatures", A.K. Vasudevan, Office of Naval Research (retired), USA Ronald Latanision, Exponent, Inc., USA Henry Holroyd, Luxfer, Inc. (retired) Neville Moody, Sandia National Laboratories, USA Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2016). http://dc.engconfintl.org/edsm/8

This Abstract and Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Workshop on the Environmental Damage in Structural Materials Under Static Load/Cyclic Loads at Ambient Temperatures by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.



#### Predicting fatigue crack initiation in metals using dislocation dynamics simulations

#### Veera Sundararaghavan, Christian Heinrich University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

International Workshop on the Environmental Damage in Structural Materials Under Static Load/Cyclic Loads at Ambient Temperatures May 31, 2016



#### Agenda

- 1. Problem statement
- 2. Energy based theories
- 3. 3D Cyclic Dislocation dynamics
- 4. Energy in dislocation networks
- 5. Multi-scaling: Efficiency factor and crystal plasticity



3D cyclic dislocation dynamics





$$G = 2\gamma - E_{el} + \gamma_p$$



MICHIGAN



MICHIGAN NGINEERING

Elastic energy release rate (LEFM)



$$G = 2\gamma - E_{el} + \gamma_p$$

- There is no "first principles" model to predict  $\gamma_p$  (yet)
- $\gamma_p$  = stored energy (eg. Dislocation structure) + heat energy
- Most of the energy is heat (>95%).

$$G = 2\gamma - E_{el} + \gamma_p$$



Plastic work can be estimated from experiments ( $2\gamma$ : DFT,  $E_{el}$ : Experiments, G=0 at fracture), can be plotted in terms of  $\gamma$ (see my poster)



$$\Delta G^* = 2\gamma \Delta A - E_{el}^{\Delta A} - E_{stored} + \delta_{diss}$$

- Perform energy balance at the moment the crack forms
- Thermal dissipation neglected at that instant.
- The surface energy is balanced by the release of elastic energy and the removal of stored dislocations.
- Approach brings in crack size  $\Delta A$ : not so popular!
- Terms are about the same order of magnitude

Mura and Nakasone, A Theory of Fatigue Crack Initiation in Solids, J. Appl. Mech., 57 (1990)



#### Incremental Energy Model

$$\Delta G^* = 2\gamma \Delta A - E_{el}^{\Delta A} - E_{stored} + \delta_{diss}$$



Mura and Nakasone, A Theory of Fatigue Crack Initiation in Solids, J. Appl. Mech., 57 (1990)



Increment in crack size

## $\Delta A$ (crack area) is total length of dislocations times the Burger's vector



Dislocation dynamics can predict stored energy AND crack size. Avoids difficulties associated with modeling thermal effects.



#### Dislocation Dynamics – General

- Only model the dislocation line no atoms
- Discretize the dislocations into line segments, evolve using Peach-Kohler force
- Advantages:
  - DD: micrometers and microseconds vs Atomistic models: nanometers, nanoseconds
  - Can model at grain level, with slip reactions vs homogenized forms in crystal plasticity
- Disadvantages:
  - O(N<sup>2</sup>)-Problem → high strain values (>1%) difficult to obtain
  - Topology of dislocations changes, causing continuous remeshing
  - Computationally expensive



### **Dislocation Dynamics**

• Steps for a DD calculation:

MICHIGAN

- 1. Calculate the force on each node
- 2. Move the dislocations according to a mobility function
- 3. Apply topological changes: Split, Merge, Remesh
- Nodal force: elastic force + core-energy contribution

$$\mathbf{f}_i = -\frac{\partial E_{\text{tot}}(\{\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{b}_{ij}\})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}$$

 $E_{\text{tot}}(C) = E_{\text{el}}(C, r_c) + E_{\text{core}}(C, r_c)$ 

- Elastic force is due to long range effects that can be captured from continuum mechanics
- Core energy due to local effects of highly distorted atoms close to dislocation, where continuum mechanics cannot be used

[1] Arsenlis et al., Enabling strain hardening simulations with dislocation dynamics, Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng., 15 (2007) 553-595





• Mobility function: velocity as function of force

 $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{M}(\{\mathbf{f}_j\})$ 

- Would lead to curved dislocation segments  $\rightarrow$  invert, implicitly define velocity
- This represents motion in over damped regime

$$\mathbf{f}^{drag}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$$

- The mobility functions are the material model of DD code (prefer certain glide planes over others ...)
- With forces and velocities defined, calculate the next time step:  $X_i^{t+\Delta t} = X_i^t + V_i^t \Delta t$  (explicit)

$$X_{i}^{t+\Delta t}(n+1) = X_{i}^{t} + \frac{1}{2}(V_{i}^{t+\Delta t}(n) + V_{i}^{t})\Delta t$$

(implicit, iterative)

#### MICHIGAN ENGINEERING

### Topological changes

- When dislocations collide/ annihilate/ change size need to change discretization
- Core reactions need to be derived from DFT/ MD
- Only two operations defined in ParaDiS: *split node* and *merge node*





- Energy calculations for every mechanism
  - damping, annihilation, core, interactions, self energy
    - External and internal work
  - Test energy conservation for different loading cases
  - Enable cyclic stress driven loading
- Grain boundary mechanism pile-ups
- Quasi-Newton implicit solver



## Energy contributions in DD simulations

- Annihilation energy Energy associated with topological operations, that are not accompanied by movement
- Damping of moving dislocations  $E_{damp}$

$$\mathbf{p} = \int_0^t \sum_{\text{nodes}} \mathbf{F}_i \circ \mathbf{v}_i \mathsf{d}t$$

• Internal elastic energy  $E_{\text{el,int}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{ext}}^2}{E} V/2$ 

• External Work 
$$W_{\text{ext}} = \int_V \int_0^{\varepsilon} \sigma_{\text{ext}} d\overline{\varepsilon} dV = \int_V \int_0^t \sigma_{\text{ext}} \dot{\varepsilon} dt dV$$

- Core energy
- Energy of elastic dislocation field (self and interaction) see Cai et al. (2006)
- Sum has to be constant

#### Collapsing loop



MICHIGAN



#### Frank-Read Source





#### Frank-Read Source





### Cyclic loading

- Largest ever fatigue DD simulation till date – 40 cycles, 6 weeks on 128 CPUs
- French Group Depres, Fivel 20 cycles
- Density increases with number of cycles

z 0

-5000

-5000

о у



5000

5000

-5000

0

5000

Increment = 3; Time = 3.00e-10 s; Half cycle = 1





Increment = 3; Time = 3.00e-10 s; Half cycle = 1

#### Initial and final state





Increment = 3; Time = 3.00e-10 s; Half cycle = 1

#### 

#### Initial and final state

$$\Delta G^* = 2\gamma \Delta A - E_{el}^{\Delta A} - E_{stored}$$

Increment = 458580; Time = 3.50e-07 s; Half cycle = 7





#### Cyclic loading – Increase in density



Dislocation density [m/m<sup>3</sup>]





#### Partial energies

- Some dislocations are very far spaced out, unlikely to form one (contiguous) crack
- Remove only some dislocations in area where dislocation density is highest
- As before: total energy of all dislocations (and possible external elastic field) MINUS energy of remaining dislocations after crack is formed EQUAL to energy of the crack
- IMPORTANT: The dislocations removed should be able to form a surface of the size of the crack





# Modelling dislocation density evolution analytically

Mura and Nakasone, A Theory of Fatigue Crack Initiation in Solids, J. Appl. Mech., 57 (1990)

Dislocation accumulation is typically modeled as linear with number of cycles



$$\begin{split} D_{2n+1}(x) &\approx n \Delta D \\ &\approx n (\Delta \tau - 2\tau_f) x / [\pi A (\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}] \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} D\mbox{-}\ {\rm dislocation}\ {\rm distribution}, D=f(x,n)\\ n\mbox{-}\ {\rm number}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm cycles}\\ \Delta\tau\mbox{-}\ {\rm applied}\ {\rm cyclic}\ {\rm shear}\ {\rm stress}\\ \tau_f\mbox{-}\ {\rm frictional}\ {\rm stress}\\ x\mbox{-}\ {\rm coordinate}\\ A\mbox{-}\ \mu b/2\pi(1-\nu)\\ a\mbox{-}\ {\rm half}\ {\rm length}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm PSB} \end{array}$ 



#### Dependence of dislocation length on cycles and volume



- Assume up to quadratic increase in space and square root in w.r.t number of cycles  $l = (C_{l1}d + C_{l2}d^2) (\sqrt{n} + C_{l3})$ 
  - number of cycles  $l = (C_{l1}d + C_{l2}d^2) (\sqrt{n} + C_{l3})$  $C_{\ell 1}, C_{\ell 2}, C_{\ell 3}$  .. some fitting constants n .. number of cycles
  - $\boldsymbol{d}$  .. diameter of sphere used to remove the dislocations



#### Dependence of dislocation length on cycles and volume

 At any given point in time the dislocation removed in the test volume should form a crack of comparable size:

Currently dislocations in sphere are removed





27

$$bl = \frac{\pi}{4}d^{2}$$

$$l = (C_{l1}d + C_{l2}d^{2})(\sqrt{n} + C_{l3})$$

$$d = \frac{C_{l1}(\sqrt{n} + C_{l3})}{\pi/(4b) - C_{l2}(\sqrt{n} + C_{l3})}$$



#### Doing the numbers



Incubation crack we found is 150 nm

MICHIGAN NGINEERING ersity of michigan

#### Varying maximum strain

 As expected: higher maximum load leads to increase in dislocation density

MICHIGAN ENGINEERING

TY OF MICHIGAN

- Incubation crack sizes and critical cycles will be different
- Could be linear with respect to cycles – Mura.



#### Comparison to experiments

 At higher strain levels: DD conservative

MICHIGAN ENGINEERING

- Experimental: detectable initiation & full failure
- DD results are for initiation



#### Comparison to experiments

 At higher strain levels: DD conservative

MICHIGAN

- Experimental: detectable initiation & full failure
- Numerical: initiation





- Crystal plasticity can be used to compute (total) plastic work
- The total work can be scaled by an efficiency factor to compute the stored energy
- The efficiency factor is obtained from dislocation dynamics



- A- Area of crack
- $\boldsymbol{f}$  Efficiency factor
- $\varpi\text{-}$  Plastic strain energy density
- ${\cal N}$  Cycles to failure
- $\Delta\gamma^{\alpha}\text{-}$  Plastic strain increment in system  $\alpha$
- $\tau^{\alpha} \mbox{Resolved}$  shear stress in system  $\alpha$
- $t^m\mathchar`$  Thichness of PSB

(Li, Shen & Proust, 2015 Fine & Bhat, 2007, Naderi et al (TDA) 2016)



#### **CPFE** simulations

- Rate independent CPFE formulation for copper
- Random FCC orientation
- Cyclic loading for 20 cycles
- Plastic Stored Energy Density (J/m<sup>3</sup>) for various grains are plotted







#### Efficiency factor comparison between DD and CPFE + experiments





#### Conclusion

- Performed the largest cyclic dislocation dynamics simulation till date. Accurately computed energy in stored dislocations.
- Showed an incremental energy approach for using DD results
  - Hypothetical crack is inserted and incremental energy balance is calculated
  - Energy stored in dislocation network drives crack
  - Has to balance surface energy change and reduction in continuum energy due to crack
- Showed how to calculate efficiency factor from DD for use in continuum or crystal plasticity calculations
- Future work: Addition of crack tip stress fields. Addition of precipitate structure, addition of chemical effects (solute, environment)



Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Award #DE-SC0008637 as part of the Center for PRedictive Integrated Structural Materials Science (PRISMS Center, PI John Allison) at University of Michigan.



## Thank you for your attention! Questions?