Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

Fluidization XV

Proceedings

5-26-2016

Solids maldistribution in parallel cyclones

T. M. Knowlton Particulate Solid Research, Inc, ted.knowlton@psri.org

John Findlay Particulate Solid Research, Inc

Larry P. Hackman Syncrude Canada Ltd

Craig A. McKnight Syncrude Canada Ltd

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/fluidization_xv Part of the <u>Chemical Engineering Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

T. M. Knowlton, John Findlay, Larry P. Hackman, and Craig A. McKnight, "Solids maldistribution in parallel cyclones" in "Fluidization XV", Jamal Chaouki, Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, Canada Franco Berruti, Wewstern University, Canada Xiaotao Bi, UBC, Canada Ray Cocco, PSRI Inc. USA Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2016). http://dc.engconfintl.org/fluidization_xv/169

This Abstract and Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fluidization XV by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.



Solids Maldistribution in Parallel Cyclones

T.M. Knowlton and J.G. Findlay (PSRI) L.P. Hackman and C. A. McKnight (Syncrude Canada Ltd.)

Fluidization XV Fairmont Le Chateau Montebello Quebec, CANADA

May 22-27, 2016



SYNCRUDE FLUID COKING PROCESS







Syncrude Reactor Horn Chamber Dimensions



Objective

 The Primary Objective of This Work was to Identify Why Solids Distribute Unequally in Parallel Cyclones, and to Attempt to Develop Techniques to More Equally Distribute the Solids



Schematic Drawing of Test Unit







- The Test Unit Cyclones Were 0.3 m in Diameter and 4 Cyclones in Parallel Were Used
- The Coker Has 6 Cyclones in Parallel That are 1.8 m in Diameter



Test Coke Particle Size Distribution

<u>Size, microns</u>	Cumulative Wt% less than stated dp	
44	0.25	
53	0.73	
63	1.61	
75	5.51	Sauter Mean Diameter: 138.6 microns
88	9.19	
106	17.85	Median Diameter (d _{n50}) = 156 microns
125	30.90	
150	44.54	
177	69.75	
250	93.72	
425	98.31	
600	98.62	
825	100.00	



Solids Distribution in Cyclones

The First Testing was Conducted With No Solids Flow Through the HCTL and SCTL Lines

Then, Solids Flow was Added Through the HCTL and the SCTL to See the Effect of This Flow



Solids Distribution in Cyclones

 The Solids Flow Rate Through Each Cyclone was not Equal – Even with no Solids Flowing Through the HCTL and SCTL





Solids Collection in Diplegs as a Function of Time (No Solids Flow Through the HCTL or SCTL)



HCTL Flow Effect

- Adding Solids Flow Through the HCTL did NOT Increase the Entrainment Rate Collected by the Cyclones
- It Appears that the Gas From the Bed is Saturated with Solids (at its Saturated Carrying Capacity) and Adding Solids to it From the SCTL Does Not Significantly Result in Additional Carryover to the Cyclones







Schematic Drawing of Test Unit







Effect of Adding Solids to the SCTL and HCTL on Solids Distribution, in the Cyclones





Schematic Drawing of Solids Flow Around the SCTL/HCTL Bend





Relative Locations of the HCTL and SCTL and Possible Influences on Solids Distribution



Orientation of the HCTL and SCTL

- The HCTL Enters the Test Unit From the Northwest Approximately 50 Degrees from the North
- The SCTL Enters the Test Unit from the Northeast Approximately 30 Degrees from the North
- Because of the Nature of Solids Flow in the HCTL and SCTL Line Bends, it Could be Expected That the Solids Would be Concentrated in the South and East Quadrants of the Horn Chamber. That is What was Observed in the Testing





Effect of Adding Solids to the SCTL and HCTL on Solids Distribution, in the Cyclones





Solids Mass Flux Profiles in the Horn Chamber (SCTL Solids Flux = 350 kg/s-m²; HCTL Solids Flux = 473 kg/s-m²)



Schematic Drawing of Cyclone Exit Line





Gas Flow in Cyclone Outlet Lines

• The Gas Flow Rate Through Each Cyclone Was Determined by Measuring the Pressure Drop Across an Orifice in Each Cyclone Gas Outlet Line

 The Gas Flow Rate Through Each Cyclone Was Not Equal. The Gas Flow Rates Were Highest for the Cyclones With the Lowest Solids Flows (N and W Cyclones)

• The Gas Flow Rate Was Then Increased to Make All Gas Flows Equal. This Had a Minimal Effect on the Solids Flow Distribution in the Cyclones.

 Increasing the Gas Flow Rate to Various Cyclones Also Did Not Have Any Effect on the Solids Flow Distribution Through the Cyclones





Deflection of Solids to Influence Cyclone Solids Distribution



SCTL Pipe Angled 10°



23



Deflection of Solids

 The Base SCTL Configuration Resulted in Solids Preferentially Flowing to the South and East Cyclones

 It was Found That the Solids Distribution Shifted From the South or East Cyclones to the North Cyclone After the Deflector Configuration was Added to the SCTL





Solids Distribution in Cyclones With and Without Deflecting Nozzle





Centering Nozzle





SCTL Exit Configurations

SCTL Nozzle Angled 10 degrees

Α



Concentric Nozzle with Impact Plate

В







Solids Distribution in Cyclones With and Without Centering Nozzles



Conclusions

- It Appears That the Solids Distribute to the Cyclones Based on the Solids Distribution at the Entrance of the Cyclones (in this case caused by the asymmetry of the solids flow due to centrifugal force in the HCTL and SCTL bends)
- The Gas Flow Then Distributes Itself so That the Pressure Drop Across the Cyclones are Equal
- Changing the Gas Flow Rate Through the Cyclones Did not Affect the Solids Distribution Through the Cyclones



Thank You

Gracias

Merci