CO2 Summit: Technology and Opportunity Vail, Colorado, June 6-10, 2010

Thermodynamic Analysis of an Oxy-Combustion Process for Coal-Fired Power Plants with CO2 Capture

Fu Chao, Truls Gundersen

Department of Energy and Process Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology - NTNU Trondheim, Norway CORE

Outline of the Presentation

- Motivation
- Power Plant
- Exergy Analysis
- Efficiency Improvements
- Conclusions



Motivation

Energy Related CO2 Emissions



World marketed energy use*

World energy related CO2 emissions*

- Coal becomes a more important energy source in the future
- Coal related CO2 emission represents an increasingly larger part
- Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS) :

an important way to mitigate man-made CO2 emissions

*Reference: EIA, International Energy Outlook 2008

-4-

BIGCCS: International CCS Research Centre (Trondheim, Norway)







- 400 mill NOK (65 mill USD) total in 8 years (2009-2016)
- 18 PhDs / 8 Post.docs (Coordinator: NTNU)
- 9 Industrial Partners
- 8 Research Institutes, 3 Universities
- Host Institution: SINTEF Energy Research



Ways to Capture CO2



-6-

Why Oxy-Combustion for Coal based Power Plants?

- The reduction in power efficiency due to CO2 capture is less than for natural gas based power plants
- The increment of investment cost is less
- \Rightarrow A promising route to CO2 capture
- Opportunities for co-capture of SOx and NOx
- For Natural Gas: Oxy-combustion gas turbines represent a challenge

CCS and **LCA**



LCA of NGCC with post-combustion CCS

Notice: 90% CO2 capture = 64% reduction in GWP

Reference: Singh B., Strømman A. H., Hertwich E., 2010, Int. JI. of Greenhouse Gas Control, in Press



NTNU

Changes in Impact Potentials

Impacts		Coal			Natural gas		
		Post-combustion ^a	Pre-combustion ^b	Oxyfuel ^a	Post-combustion ^a	Pre-combustion ^b	Oxyfuel ^a
Global warming	%	-74	-78	-76	-68	-64	-73
Terrestrial acidification	%	-13	20	13	26	20	2
freshwater eutrophication	%	136	120	59	200	94	111
marine eutrophication	%	43	20	1	30	18	-15
Photochemical oxidation	%	27	20	-1	17	18	-8
particulate matter formation	%	-7	8	12	23	21	2
human toxicity	%	51	40	38	74	62	73
terrestrial ecotoxicity	%	114	58	67	76	76	77
Fresh water ecotox.	%	205	60	46	413	90	103
Marine ecotoxicity	%	88	80	57	66	50	63

Notice: FEP, METP, POFP, FETP, METP are considerably less for oxy-combustion than for pre- and post- combustion, in particular for coal-fired power plants

> Reference: Singh B., Strømman A. H., Hertwich E., 2010, Int. Jl. of Greenhouse Gas Control, Submitted.



Power Plant

A Supercritical Oxy-Combustion Pulverized Coal Power Plant







Exergy Flows in the Power Cycle



Distribution of Exergy Losses in the Power Cycle



NTNU

-13-

combustor

- steam generation & reheat process
- □ MP & LP turbines

other losses

Exergy Flows in the ASU



Distribution of Exergy Losses in the ASU



NTNU

-14-

- main air compressor
- pre-purification unit
- main heat exchanger
- double distillation column
- other losses

Exergy Flows in the CPU



Distribution of Exergy Losses in the CPU



NTNU

Exergy Flows in the Entire Process



Net power output: 571,115 kW

Net power efficiency with CO2 capture: 30.4% (HHV)

NTNU



Penalty Related to CO2 Capture

- Net power efficiency without CO2 capture: 40.6% (HHV)
- Efficiency penalty: 10.2% points
 - caused by ASU: 6.6% points
 - caused by CPU: 3.6% points
- Theoretical efficiency penalty: 3.4% points
 - caused by ASU: 1.4% points
 - caused by CPU: 2.0% points

The ASU has the largest Potential for Improvement



Efficiency Improvements

Effects of Compressor Efficiencies



If the isentropic efficiencies of all compressors increase from 0.74 to 0.90:

- the net power output increases from 549,024 kW to 589,243 kW
- the net power efficiency increases from 29.2 to 31.4% points

-19-

Effects of CO2 Recovery Rate

	Base Case	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
Operating pressure [bar]	32	25	20	18	15
CO ₂ recovery rate [%]	95.1	93.3	91.5	90.2	86.9
Purity of capture CO ₂ [mol%]	96.2	97.2	97.0	97.4	98.0
Power used in the CPU [kW]	68,383	66,902	63,4670	63,767	60,699
Net power output [kW]	571,115	572,597	576,029	575,731	578,799
Net power efficiency [%]	30.4	30.5	30.7	30.6	30.8

The net power efficiency increases from 30.4 to 30.7% points

if the CO2 recovery rate is reduced from 95.1% to 91.5%

Integration between ASU & CPU



Conclusions





In Conclusion

- Oxy-combustion is more promising for coal-fired power plants than for natural gas based power plants
- The power efficiency penalty for CO2 capture is 10.2% points, while the theoretical penalty is 3.4% points
- The ASU and the CPU contribute 6.6% points and 3.6% points respectively
- The penalty can be mitigated by:
 - 1) Improving the performance of compressors
 - 2) Optimizing the CO2 recovery rate
 - 3) Heat integration between the ASU & the CPU

Thank You!

chao.fu@ntnu.no

