Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

Ultra-High Temperature Ceramics: Materials For Extreme Environmental Applications II

Proceedings

Spring 5-15-2012

Solid state diffusion bonding of ZrC to Zr-based alloys

Andrew Gillen Institute of Materials Engineering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation

Lyndon Edwards Institute of Materials Engineering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation

Daniel Riley Institute of Materials Engineering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation

George Franks University of Melbourne

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/uhtc Part of the <u>Materials Science and Engineering Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Andrew Gillen, Lyndon Edwards, Daniel Riley, and George Franks, "Solid state diffusion bonding of ZrC to Zr-based alloys" in "Ultra-High Temperature Ceramics: Materials For Extreme Environmental Applications II", W. Fahrenholtz, Missouri Univ. of Science & Technology; W. Lee, Imperial College London; E.J. Wuchina, Naval Service Warfare Center; Y. Zhou, Aerospace Research Institute Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2013). http://dc.engconfintl.org/uhtc/12

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in Ultra-High Temperature Ceramics: Materials For Extreme Environmental Applications II by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.



Australian Government



Solid state diffusion bonding of ZrC to Zr-based alloys

<u>Andrew L. Gillen^{1,2}</u>, George V. Franks², Lyndon Edwards¹, and Daniel P. Riley^{1,2}

¹Institute of Materials Engineering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Lucas Heights NSW 2234 AUSTRALIA

²University of Melbourne, School of Engineering, Parkville, VIC 3010 AUSTRALIA

Email: andrew.gillen@ansto.gov.au



Introduction





Introduction

- Nuclear Power will play a vital role in realising a clean energy future
 - Lowest cost, low emission technology for producing baseload power
 - Currently generates 30% of baseload power in EU
 - Two nuclear power plants to be built at Vogtle, GA (First in US in 35 years)
- Currently, worldwide, there are:
 - **433** nuclear reactors in **operation**
 - 63 nuclear reactors under construction
 - 160 nuclear reactors in planning

Source: http://www.world-nuclear.org/info/reactors.html



Olkiluoto-3, Finland

Source: theage.com.au





A Technology Roadmap for Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems



- Generation IV initiative (GIF) lauched by US DOE in 2000
 - 10 Member Nations + Euratom
 - Aim: Design and develop a new generation, of energy efficient, sustainable, safe and reliable, proliferation resistant nuclear reactors by 2030



Proposed Gen-IV Reactor Designs

Reactor System	Coolant	Neutron Spectrum	Core Outlet Temperature (ºC)	Pressure (High = 7-15MPa)
Very High Temperature Reactor (VHTR)	Gas (eg. He)	Thermal	>900	High
Gas Cooled Fast Reactor	Gas (eg. He)	Fast	~850	High
Sodium Cooled Fast Reactor (SFR)	Molten Salt (fluoride salts)	Fast	700-800	Low
Lead Cooled Gas Reactor (LFR)	Liquid Metal (eg. Pb, Pb-Bi)	Fast	550-800	Low
Molten Salt Reactor (MSR)	Liquid Metal (Na)	Thermal	~550	Low
Supercritical water- cooled reactor (SCWR)	Water	Thermal/Fast	350-620	Very High



Proposed Gen-IV Reactor Designs

- Materials exposed to
 - Higher temperatures
 - Higher neutron doses
 - High stresses
 - Extremely corrosive environments
- Additional radiation-induced material effects
 - 1D/2D and 3D Defects
 - Segregation
 - Creep
 - Diffusion
 - Precipitation
 - Volumetric Swelling



Source: Guerin et al., 2009



Proposed Gen-IV Reactor Designs

- Reactor Technology Challenges
 - Availability of durable, radiation tolerant materials
 - Sustainability & waste disposal
 - Economics
 - Safety and reliability
 - Proliferation resistance & physical protection
- Radiation Tolerant Materials
 - Dimensional stability under irradiation
 - Large interfacial area
 - Low defect mobility
 - Good recovery from irradiation induced defects





Source: Buschow et. al, 2001



Research Motivation



ANSTO OPAL Reactor Core Source: ansto.gov.aU

- Many reactor components in proposed Generation IV systems will require high-strength interfacial bonding for maximised performance
- Several refractory non-oxide ceramics are proposed for application in Gen-IV reactors
 - SiC, TiC, ZrC
 - Applications: heat exchangers, thermal insulations, core-reactor components and high thermal load components
- A UHTC joined to a metallic material
 - exhibits high refractoriness
 - retains structural integrity of the underlying metallic substrate



Zirconium Carbide

- A promising UHTC Gen-IV material
 - Diffusion barrier layer on TRISO fuel in earlier Generation GFRs
 - Proposed as a fuel matrix component in Gen-IV GFR
 - Other potential applications in elevated temperature nuclear environments
- Key Nuclear Properties
 - Low neutron absorption cross section
 - High melting point (~3420°C)
 - Recovery from irradiation induced defects
 - Resistance to attack from fissile products
- Crystal Structure
 - Interstitial carbide
 - NaCl, B1 FCC structure
 - Stoichiometry: $ZrC_{0.55}$ to $ZrC_{0.98}$

Major limitation: low fracture toughness



ZrC NaCl Structure



Zirconium Alloys

- Zircaloy-4, Zircaloy-2 (Zr+Sn), Zr-Nb
- Nuclear Applications
 - Cladding, pressure tubes and structural components
- Key Nuclear properties
 - Low neutron absorption cross section
 - Excellent corrosion resistance
 - Good mechanical properties
- HCP Crystal Structure (@RT)
 - Strong anisotropy
 - $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation ~850° C





Zircaloy Cladded Nuclear Reactor Fuel Source: cameco.com





Source: Fernie et. al . 2009

- Usually carried out in a HUP or HIP
- Structure, CTE mismatch and surface roughness important
- Zircaloy-4/ZrC CTE mismatch is relatively low
- Key Steps
 - (a) asperity contact
 - (b) yielding under large localised stresses
 - (c) deformation and diffusion mass transfer
 - (d) removal of interfacial voids and bond formation







Source: Fernie et. al . 2009

- Usually carried out in a HUP or HIP
- Structure, CTE mismatch and surface roughness important
- Zircaloy-4/ZrC CTE mismatch is relatively low
- Key Steps
 - (a) asperity contact -
 - (b) yielding under large localised stresses
 - (c) deformation and diffusion mass transfer
 - (d) removal of interfacial voids and bond formation







Source: Fernie et. al . 2009

- Usually carried out in a HUP or HIP
- Structure, CTE mismatch and surface roughness important
- Zircaloy-4/ZrC CTE mismatch is relatively low
- Key Steps
 - (a) asperity contact
 - (b) yielding under large localised stresses
 - (c) deformation and diffusion mass transfer
 - (d) removal of interfacial voids and bond formation







Source: Fernie et. al . 2009

- Usually carried out in a HUP or HIP
- Structure, CTE mismatch and surface roughness important
- Zircaloy-4/ZrC CTE mismatch is relatively low
- Key Steps
 - (a) asperity contact
 - (b) yielding under large localised stresses
 - (c) deformation and diffusion mass transfer -
 - (d) removal of interfacial voids and bond formation







Source: Fernie et. al . 2009

- Usually carried out in a HUP or HIP
- Structure, CTE mismatch and surface roughness important
- Zircaloy-4/ZrC CTE mismatch is relatively low
- Key Steps
 - (a) asperity contact
 - (b) yielding under large localised stresses
 - (c) deformation and diffusion mass transfer
 - (d) removal of interfacial voids and bond formation





Preparation

- Zirconium Carbide
 - ~2% Hf, 3-5 micron APS
 - Cold Uniaxially Pressed, HUP, HIP
 - No sintering aids
 - Ø25mm size, 2mm thick
 - Grinding/polishing steps to 1µm diamond surface finish
- Zircaloy-4
 - Zr-1.56Sn
 - Hot rolled, annealed, blasted & pickled
 - Ø25mm size, 3mm thick
 - Grinding polishing steps to 1µm surface diamond finish

ZrC Processing Steps

	Stage 1	Stage 2
Process	HUPing	HIPing
Temperature	2000ºC	2000ºC
Pressure	20MPa	100MPa
Bulk Density after Processing (ASTM C20)	~96.5	~99%





- Samples joined in hot uniaxial press, 0.01Pa vacuum, no interlayer
- Processing parameters: 1300°C, 40MPa
- Void-free solid-state diffusion bond produced
- Macro-deformation of Zircaloy-4 during joining process





Results



EDS: Minor Elements

ID	Elemental wt%				
	0	Sn	Hf		
1	1.99	0.75			
2	2.17	1.81			
3	3.07				
4	1.36		2.37		
5	1.18		2.36		

50µm

BSE Image

Australian Government

Observations: EDS Linescan

Results

- Higher [O], [Sn] in Zircaloy-4
- Evidence of Hf diffusion into Zircaloy-4
- Marked changes in [Sn], [Hf] near interlayer





Results

• X-ray Diffraction

- Zr and ZrC presence confirmed
- Free carbon also detected
- Need smaller beam size for more accurate resolution of interface structure



Conclusions & Future Work

Conclusions

- Void-free ZrC/Zircaloy-4 solid-state diffusion bond achieved
- Observations
 - Higher relative [O] in Zircaloy-4
 - Evidence of surface oxidation
 - Evidence of Sn segregation at interface
 - Evidence of Hf diffusion into Zircaloy-4
 - Macro-deformation of Zircaloy-4 during joining process

- Future Work

- Optimise process temperature and pressure to minimise residual stresses and macro-deformation
- C detection & mapping (eg. Raman)
- Mechanical testing of diffusion couples
- Irradiation of samples



Acknowledgements

PhD Advisory Committee

Dr Daniel Riley, Prof. George Franks, Prof. Lyndon Edwards, Sam Moricca

Material Fabrication & Facility Support – IME/Engineering, ANSTO

Dr Ken Short, Neil Webb, Kerry Cruickshank, Stephen Deen

Metallography – IME, ANSTO

Tim Palmer, Clint Jennison

Microscopy / X-ray Diffraction – IME, ANSTO

Joel Davis, Dr Gordon Thorogood, Dr Tracey Hanley

IME Workshop – IME, ANSTO

Garrie Hammond, Patrick De Bono, Wilfred Crasta