

Title: The Prevalence of Cognitive Impairment Among Adults with Incident Heart Failure: The REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study

Short Title: Cognitive Impairment in Incident Heart Failure

Authors: Madeline R. Sterling¹, MD, MPH, MS, Deanna Jannat-Khah¹, DrPH, MSPH, Joanna Bryan, MPH¹, Samprit Banerjee² PhD, Leslie A. McClure³, PhD, Virginia G. Wadley⁴, PhD, Frederick W. Unverzagt⁵, PhD, Emily B. Levitan⁶, ScD, Parag Goyal^{1,7}, MD, MS, Janey C. Peterson¹, EdD, MS, RN, Jennifer J. Manly⁸, PhD, Deborah A. Levine⁹, MD, MPH, and Monika M. Safford¹, MD

Author Affiliations:

- 1. Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY.
- 2. Department of Healthcare Policy and Research, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY
- 3. Dornsife School of Public Health at Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA
- 4. Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL
- 5. Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN
- 6. Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL
- 7. Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY.
- 8. Department of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY
- 9. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI

Affiliations: From Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY (M.R.S., D.P.J., J.B., S.B., P.G., J.C.P., M.M.S.), from Dornsife School of Public Health at Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA (L.A.M.), from University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (V.G.W., E.B.L.), from Indiana University of School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN (F.U.W.), from Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY (J.J.M.), from University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI (D.A.L.)

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Sterling, M. R., Jannat-Khah, D., Bryan, J., Banerjee, S., McClure, L. A., Wadley, V. G., ... Safford, M. M. (2018). The Prevalence of Cognitive Impairment Among Adults with Incident Heart Failure: The REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. Journal of Cardiac Failure. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.12.006

Corresponding Author:

Madeline R. Sterling, M.D., M.P.H., M.S.

Assistant Professor of Medicine

Division of General Internal Medicine

Department of Medicine

Weill Cornell Medical College

1300 York Avenue, P.O. Box 46, New York, N.Y. 10065

Phone: 646-962-5029 | Fax: 646- 962- 0621 | Email : mrs9012@med.cornell.edu

Abstract Word Count: 199

Manuscript Word Count: 1,500

Tables: 3

Online Supplemental Figures: 2

Abstract

Background: Cognitive impairment (CI) is estimated to be present in 25%-80% of heart failure (HF) patients, but its prevalence at diagnosis is unclear. To improve our understanding of cognition in HF, we determined the prevalence of CI among adults with incident HF in the REGARDS study.

Methods: REGARDS is a longitudinal cohort study of adults \geq 45 years of age recruited from 2003-2007. Incident HF was expert-adjudicated. Cognitive function was assessed with the Six-Item-Screener. The prevalence of CI among those with incident HF was compared to the prevalence of CI among an age, sex, and race-matched cohort without HF.

Results: The 436 participants with incident HF had a mean age of 70.3 years (SD 8.9), 47% were female, and 39% were black. Old age, black race, female gender, less education, and anticoagulation use were associated with CI. The prevalence of CI among participants with incident HF (14.9% [11.7-18.6%]) was similar to the non-HF matched cohort (13.4% [11.6% – 15.4%], p<0.43).

Conclusion: 14.9% of adults with incident HF had CI, suggesting that the majority of cognitive decline occurs after HF diagnosis. Increased awareness of CI among newly diagnosed patients, and ways to mitigate it in the context of HF management, are warranted.

4

Keywords: heart failure; cognition; cohort study; hospitalization; prevalence

Abbreviations

- **HF =** heart failure
- CI = cognitive impairment
- **SIS =** Six-Item Screener
- **CHD =** coronary heart disease
- ECG = electrocardiogram
- eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate

Introduction

Cognitive impairment (CI) is one of the most common comorbid conditions among adults with heart failure (HF) (1, 2) and is associated with poor quality of life (3) and self-care (4), and increased morbidity and mortality (5-7). While prior studies agree that CI is highly prevalent in HF, prevalence estimates vary widely, ranging from 25-80% (1, 7, 8). Additionally, the underlying pathophysiology of CI and its trajectory in HF, remain uncertain (1, 7).

Some uncertainty could be attributed to a lack of understanding of the prevalence of CI among adults with newly diagnosed disease. For example, if CI is prevalent at disease onset, then providers need to screen for cognitive deficits early and advise patients accordingly. If, however, adults with incident HF have similar cognitive profiles to adults without HF, then more attention ought to be focused on mitigating cognitive decline among those with existing HF. Yet, few studies have investigated the prevalence of CI in incident HF.

To fill this gap, we determined the prevalence and correlates of CI among adults with incident HF in the REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, a national prospective cohort of 30,239 community-dwelling adults in the US aged \geq 45 years.

Methods

REGARDS

Details of the REGARDS study have been described previously (9). Briefly, REGARDS is a cohort study that evaluates racial and geographic disparities in cardiovascular disease. Recruitment occurred from 2003 to 2007. Blacks and residents of the Stroke Belt were oversampled by design (9). Participants completed a telephone interview followed by an in-home examination. At six-month intervals, participants are asked about hospitalizations and health status. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating institutions. All participants provided written informed consent.

Study Population

Adults with incident HF who underwent a cognitive assessment more than 1 month but less than 18 months prior to their incident HF diagnosis were included. One month was selected as a cutoff because individuals may experience cognitive changes as HF worsens.

Incident Heart Failure

An incident HF diagnosis was defined as the participant's first hospitalization for HF without a prior history of HF. We included hospitalizations from 2004 to 2016. HF hospitalizations were adjudicated by two experts and disagreements were resolved by committee with $\kappa \ge 0.80$ (10). Since hospitalizations for cardiac events can affect cognition, participants with hospitalizations for other cardiac etiologies known to REGARDS prior to their incident HF hospitalization, were excluded.

Cognition

REGARDS participants undergo global cognitive function assessments annually with the Six-Item Screener (SIS), a validated measure that assesses 3-item recall and orientation to year, month, and day of the week.(11) The SIS, which can be administered easily by telephone, has a sensitivity of 74.2% to 84.0% and specificity of 80.2% to 85.3% in community and clinical samples for a diagnosis of cognitive impairment.(11) Scores for the SIS range from 0-6 and each correctly answered question receives 1 point.(12-15) Similar to other studies, scores were dichotomized; scores of 5 and 6 were normal, whilst scores of 4 and less connoted CI.

Participant Characteristics

Demographic data included age, sex, race, education, annual household income, and region of residence. Clinical data included history of coronary heart disease (CHD) by self-report or electrocardiogram (ECG); diabetes, defined as fasting blood glucose \geq 126 mL/dL, non-fasting glucose >200 mL/dL, oral hypoglycemic, or insulin use; history of hypertension, defined as systolic blood pressure \geq 140, diastolic blood pressure of \geq 90, or medication use for hypertension; history of atrial fibrillation by self-report or by ECG; history of self-reported stroke; chronic kidney disease, defined as eGFR <60ml/min/1.73²; body mass index; cigarette smoking; high-density lipoprotein and total cholesterol. Left ventricular ejection fraction was abstracted from the most recent echocardiogram. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the 4-item Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale (16). Medication use at baseline was ascertained.

Healthy Comparison Population

To contextualize our findings, we compared the prevalence of CI among adults with incident HF to that of an age, sex, and race-matched group of participants without HF. We matched participants (3:1 ratio) who did not have an adjudicated HF hospitalization or another adjudicated cardiovascular event in REGARDS, and were similar in age (+/- 5 years), gender, race, and year of SIS, to each HF participant. Matching was performed with SAS macro gmatch which uses a "greedy" algorithm approach (17) (18).

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of CI was determined among participants with incident HF and among the matched control group. First, we examined differences in participant characteristics by CI. Next, we performed multivariable logistic regression to examine associations between participant characteristics

and CI among those with incident HF. Multiple imputation with chained equations was used to account for missing data. Analyses were conducted with STATA and statistical significance for all analyses was set as P < .05 (2-sided).

Results

CI in Incident HF

539 participants were hospitalized for incident HF (Supplemental Figure 1). Among them, 103 lacked a SIS, leaving 436 in the final analytic cohort. Of note, there were no clinical differences between this cohort and the 103 who were excluded.

Overall participants had a mean age of 70 (SD \pm 8.9) years, 53.0% were male, 60.6% were white, the majority (82.1%) had \geq high school education, 77.8% had hypertension, 38.5% had diabetes, and 31.9% had CHD (Table 1). The prevalence of CI was 14.9% [95% CI: 11.7, 18.6%]. Participants with CI were older, male, and less educated than those without CI. The majority of clinical characteristics did not differ by cognition.

Among participants with incident HF, older age (1.04 [1.01, 1.08]), black race (1.88 [1.08, 3.28]), less education (1.89 [1.02, 3.51]), higher SBP (1.01 [1.00,1.02]), and anticoagulant use (3.01 [1.05,8.63]) were associated with higher odds of CI, whereas female sex (0.54 [0.31,0.94]) was associated with lower odds of CI, in an age-adjusted model (Table 2). In a fully adjusted model, age, gender, race, and anticoagulant use remained independently associated with CI.

CI in Healthy Comparison Population

1,307 participants comprised the healthy comparison population (Supplemental Figure 2). Participants with CI were older, male, black, and had less education and income than those without CI (Table 3). Again, hypertension (62.5%), CHD (20%), and Diabetes (20%) were prevalent. The overall prevalence of CI was 13.4% (95% CI: 11.6 – 15.4%), which did not differ from the incident HF cohort (p<0.43).

Discussion

Overall, 14.9% of adults with incident HF had CI, indicating that healthcare providers should consider assessing cognition at the time HF diagnosis. Since the prevalence of CI among adults with existing HF ranges between 25% and 80% (1, 19), our results, alongside 2 recent studies in the Cardiovascular Health Study(20, 21), suggest that CI may develop during the course of the disease itself, rather than at the outset or due to CHD risk factors alone (7, 22).

Prior studies have attributed cognitive decline in HF to disease duration and severity (23). Underlying mechanisms include cerebral hypo-perfusion, multiple cardiogenic emboli, and impaired microcirculation (1, 23-26). Thus, among newly diagnosed HF patients, in addition to mitigating CHD risk factors, increased attention to interventions and medications which have the ability to affect these underlying processes may be most important to preserving cognitive function (7).

Similar to other studies (27, 28), we found older age, black race, and less education to be associated with CI, indicating that certain patient subgroups may require targeting at diagnosis. Interestingly, many clinical characteristics known to be associated with CI in HF were not associated with CI in incident HF. This may be due to our relatively small sample size and because the severity of some

11

factors may be less at HF onset. In addition, index event bias may support these unanticipated observations (29).

The prevalence of CI (13.4%) among a matched sub-cohort without HF did not differ significantly from the incident HF cohort, and was comparable to other populations of similar age and comorbidity status (30, 31). This finding supports our main finding, but also speaks to the accumulating evidence which has shown CHD and CHD risk factors to be associated with the development of CI (15, 30-33).

Strengths of our study include a geographically and racially diverse cohort, expert-adjudicated outcomes, and the measurement of cognition prior to an incident HF hospitalization, which minimizes the bias of clinical deterioration on cognition. Limitations include that cognition was assessed with 1 screener; although the SIS is brief, reliable, and validated against the MMSE (11), it is less sensitive for the detection of mild CI (34-36). Additionally, we did not study other cognitive domains which are relevant to self-care (34, 36). Finally, incident HF diagnoses made in the ambulatory setting were not included, which limits the generalizability.(37)

Conclusion

14.9% of adults hospitalized for incident HF had CI in a range consistent with varying levels of CHD risk in a similarly aged group of adults. The majority of the cognitive decline previously reported in HF may occur over the course of the disease rather than prior to presentation. Increased awareness of the prevalence of CI among newly diagnosed HF patients, and ways to mitigate cognitive decline in the context of HF management, are warranted. Acknowledgements: The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the REGARDS study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating REGARDS investigators and institutions can be found at <u>http://www.regardsstudy.org</u>

This research is supported by a cooperative agreement U01 NS041588 from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Service. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke or the National Institutes of Health. Representatives of the funding agency have been involved in the review of the manuscript but not directly involved in the collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data

Dr. Sterling was supported by grant number T32HS000066 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality during the conduct of this study. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Dr. Peterson is the recipient of a Paul B. Beeson Award from the National Institute on Aging, the American Federation for Aging Research, The John A. Hartford Foundation and The Atlantic Philanthropies under award K23AG042869.

Dr. Goyal was the recipient of the 2016-2017 Glorney-Raisbeck Fellowship Award in Cardiovascular Disease from the New York Academy of Medicine during a portion of this research. Dr. Goyal is currently supported by National Institute on Aging grant R03AG056446.

Conflicts and Disclosures: Dr. Safford and Dr. Levitan receive salary support from Amgen, Inc. Dr. Levitan has also served on Amgen's advisory board and consulted on research projects for Novartis. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Presentations: This study was presented at the American Heart Association Epidemiology/Lifestyle Meeting on March 21, 2018 in New Orleans, Louisiana.

References

1. Vogels RL, Scheltens P, Schroeder-Tanka JM, Weinstein HC. Cognitive impairment in heart failure: a systematic review of the literature. European journal of heart failure. 2007;9(5):440-9.

2. Gure TR, Blaum CS, Giordani B, Koelling TM, Galecki A, Pressler SJ, et al. Prevalence of cognitive impairment in older adults with heart failure. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(9):1724-9.

3. Pressler SJ, Subramanian U, Kareken D, Perkins SM, Gradus-Pizlo I, Sauve MJ, et al. Cognitive deficits and health-related quality of life in chronic heart failure. The Journal of cardiovascular nursing. 2010;25(3):189-98.

4. Dickson VV, Tkacs N, Riegel B. Cognitive influences on self-care decision making in persons with heart failure. Am Heart J. 2007;154(3):424-31.

5. Chaudhry SI, Wang Y, Gill TM, Krumholz HM. Geriatric conditions and subsequent mortality in older patients with heart failure. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2010;55(4):309-16.

6. Zuccala G, Marzetti E, Cesari M, Lo Monaco MR, Antonica L, Cocchi A, et al. Correlates of cognitive impairment among patients with heart failure: results of a multicenter survey. Am J Med. 2005;118(5):496-502.

7. Hajduk AM, Kiefe CI, Person SD, Gore JG, Saczynski JS. Cognitive change in heart failure: a systematic review. Circulation Cardiovascular quality and outcomes. 2013;6(4):451-60.

8. Debette S, Bauters C, Leys D, Lamblin N, Pasquier F, de Groote P. Prevalence and determinants of cognitive impairment in chronic heart failure patients. Congestive heart failure (Greenwich, Conn). 2007;13(4):205-8.

9. Howard VJ, Cushman M, Pulley L, Gomez CR, Go RC, Prineas RJ, et al. The reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study: objectives and design. Neuroepidemiology. 2005;25(3):135-43.

10. Senni M, Tribouilloy CM, Rodeheffer RJ, Jacobsen SJ, Evans JM, Bailey KR, et al. Congestive heart failure in the community: a study of all incident cases in Olmsted County, Minnesota, in 1991. Circulation. 1998;98(21):2282-9.

11. Callahan CM, Unverzagt FW, Hui SL, Perkins AJ, Hendrie HC. Six-item screener to identify cognitive impairment among potential subjects for clinical research. Med Care. 2002;40(9):771-81.

12. Wadley VG, Unverzagt FW, McGuire LC, Moy CS, Go R, Kissela B, et al. Incident cognitive impairment is elevated in the stroke belt: the REGARDS study. Annals of neurology. 2011;70(2):229-36.

13. Wilber ST, Lofgren SD, Mager TG, Blanda M, Gerson LW. An evaluation of two screening tools for cognitive impairment in older emergency department patients. Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. 2005;12(7):612-6.

14. Kurella Tamura M, Wadley V, Yaffe K, McClure LA, Howard G, Go R, et al. Kidney function and cognitive impairment in US adults: the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2008;52(2):227-34.

15. Unverzagt FW, McClure LA, Wadley VG, Jenny NS, Go RC, Cushman M, et al. Vascular risk factors and cognitive impairment in a stroke-free cohort. Neurology. 2011;77(19):1729-36.

16. Melchior L, Huba, G.J, Brown, BV, Reback, CJ. A Short Depression Index for Women. Educational and Psychological Measurement. 1993;53(4):1117-25.

17. Bergstralh EJ, Kosanke JL, Jacobsen SJ. Software for optimal matching in observational studies. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass). 1996;7(3):331-2.

18. Clinic M. Locally Written SAS Macros [Available from: <u>http://www.mayo.edu/research/departments-divisions/department-health-sciences-research/division-biomedical-statistics-informatics/software/locally-written-sas-macros</u>.

19. Bennett SJ, Sauve MJ. Cognitive deficits in patients with heart failure: a review of the literature. The Journal of cardiovascular nursing. 2003;18(3):219-42.

20. Murad K, Goff DC, Morgan TM, Burke GL, Bartz TM, Kizer JR, et al. Burden of Comorbidities and Functional and Cognitive Impairments in Elderly Patients at the Initial Diagnosis of Heart Failure and their Impact on Total Mortality. The Cardiovascular Health Study. JACC Heart Fail. 2015;3(7):542-50.

21. Hammond CA, Blades NJ, Chaudhry SI, Dodson JA, Longstreth WT, Jr., Heckbert SR, et al. Long-Term Cognitive Decline After Newly Diagnosed Heart Failure: Longitudinal Analysis in the CHS (Cardiovascular Health Study). Circulation Heart failure. 2018;11(3):e004476.

22. Cannon JA, McMurray JJ, Quinn TJ. 'Hearts and minds': association, causation and implication of cognitive impairment in heart failure. Alzheimer's research & therapy. 2015;7(1):22.

23. Leto L, Feola M. Cognitive impairment in heart failure patients. Journal of geriatric cardiology : JGC. 2014;11(4):316-28.

24. Gibbs CR, Blann AD, Watson RD, Lip GY. Abnormalities of hemorheological, endothelial, and platelet function in patients with chronic heart failure in sinus rhythm: effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and beta-blocker therapy. Circulation. 2001;103(13):1746-51.

Zuccala G, Onder G, Pedone C, Carosella L, Pahor M, Bernabei R, et al. Hypotension and cognitive impairment: Selective association in patients with heart failure. Neurology. 2001;57(11):1986-92.

26. Georgiadis D, Sievert M, Cencetti S, Uhlmann F, Krivokuca M, Zierz S, et al. Cerebrovascular reactivity is impaired in patients with cardiac failure. European heart journal. 2000;21(5):407-13.

27. Riegel B, Lee CS, Glaser D, Moelter ST. Patterns of Change in Cognitive Function over Six Months in Adults with Chronic Heart Failure. Cardiology research and practice. 2012;2012:631075.

28. Trojano L, Antonelli Incalzi R, Acanfora D, Picone C, Mecocci P, Rengo F. Cognitive impairment: a key feature of congestive heart failure in the elderly. Journal of neurology. 2003;250(12):1456-63.

29. Dahabreh IJ, Kent DM. Index event bias as an explanation for the paradoxes of recurrence risk research. Jama. 2011;305(8):822-3.

30. Knopman D, Boland LL, Mosley T, Howard G, Liao D, Szklo M, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and cognitive decline in middle-aged adults. Neurology. 2001;56(1):42-8.

31. Deckers K, Schievink SHJ, Rodriquez MMF, van Oostenbrugge RJ, van Boxtel MPJ, Verhey FRJ, et al. Coronary heart disease and risk for cognitive impairment or dementia: Systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0184244.

32. Bleckwenn M, Kleineidam L, Wagner M, Jessen F, Weyerer S, Werle J, et al. Impact of coronary heart disease on cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease: a prospective longitudinal cohort study in primary care. The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners. 2017;67(655):e111-e7.

33. Weinstein G, Goldbourt U, Tanne D. Angina pectoris severity among coronary heart disease patients is associated with subsequent cognitive impairment. Alzheimer disease and associated disorders. 2015;29(1):6-11.

34. Bauer LC, Johnson JK, Pozehl BJ. Cognition in heart failure: an overview of the concepts and their measures. Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners. 2011;23(11):577-85.

35. Cameron J, Worrall-Carter L, Page K, Stewart S, Ski CF. Screening for mild cognitive impairment in patients with heart failure: Montreal cognitive assessment versus mini mental state exam. European journal of cardiovascular nursing : journal of the Working Group on Cardiovascular Nursing of the European Society of Cardiology. 2013;12(3):252-60.

36. Davis KK, Allen JK. Identifying cognitive impairment in heart failure: a review of screening measures. Heart & lung : the journal of critical care. 2013;42(2):92-7.

37. Camplain R, Kucharska-Newton A, Keyserling TC, Layton JB, Loehr L, Heiss G. Incidence of Heart Failure Observed in Emergency Departments, Ambulatory Clinics, and Hospitals. The American journal of cardiology. 2018;121(11):1328-35.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of REGARDS participants with Incident Heart Failure by CognitiveStatus

Characteristic	All Participants (n = 436)	No Cognitive Impairment (n = 371)	Cognitive Impairment (n=65)	P-value
	Pre	valence of Cognitive Imp		CI: 11.7-18.6%
			Demographic	Characteristic
Age, years(SD)	70.31 (8.98)	69.81 (8.94)	73.14 (8.73)	0.006*
Gender, n (%)		Ċ		0.02*
Male	231 (53.0%)	188 (50.7%)	43 (66.2%)	
Female	205 (47.0%)	183 (49.3%)	22 (33.8%)	
Race, n (%)				0.14
White	264 (60.6%)	230 (62.0%)	34 (52.3%)	
Black	172 (39.4%)	141 (38.0%)	31 (47.7%)	
Education, n (%)	Y			0.03*
Less than high school	78 (17.9%)	60 (16.2%)	18 (27.7%)	
High school or higher	358 (82.1%)	311 (83.8%)	47 (72.3%)	
Income, n (%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.87
< \$35K	234 (53.7%)	202 (54.4%)	32 (49.2%)	
≥\$35K	147 (33.7%)	126 (34.0%)	21 (32.3%)	
Region of Residence, n (%)				0.79
Belt	166 (38.1%)	139 (37.5%)	27 (41.5%)	
Buckle	94 (21.6%)	80 (21.6%)	14 (21.5%)	
Non-belt	176 (40.4%)	152 (41.0%)	24 (36.9%)	
			Clinical	Characteristic
Heart Failure Type, n (%)				0.76

HFrEF <50	198 (45.4%)	168 (45.3%)	30 (46.2%)	
HfpEF ≥50	178 (40.8%)	153 (41.2%)	25 (38.5%)	
Coronary Heart Disease, n (%)	139 (31.9%)	116 (31.3%)	23 (35.4%)	0.51
Diabetes Mellitus, n (%)	168 (38.5%)	142 (38.3%)	26 (40.0%)	0.93
Hypertension, n (%)	339 (77.8%)	288 (77.6%)	51 (78.5%)	0.91
Stroke, n (%)	50 (11.5%)	41 (11.1%)	9 (13.8%)	0.52
Atrial Fibrillation, n (%)	18 (4.1%)	15 (4.0%)	3 (4.6%)	0.82
Chronic Kidney Disease, n (%)	113 (25.9%)	93 (25.1%)	20 (30.8%)	0.34
Depressive symptoms, n (%)	60 (13.8%)	55 (14.8%)	5 (7.7%)	0.12
General Health, n (%)				0.68
Poor	23 (5.3%)	20 (5.4%)	3 (4.6%)	
Fair	112 (25.7%)	98 (26.4%)	14 (21.5%)	
Good	161 (36.9%)	136 (36.7%)	25 (38.5%)	
Very good	102 (23.4%)	83 (22.4%)	19 (29.2%)	
Excellent	38 (8.7%)	34 (9.2%)	4 (6.2%)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m2), mean (SD)	30.2 (6.6)	30.3 (6.7)	29.8 (6.5)	0.56
Waist circumference (cm), mean (SD)	102.2 (16.7)	101.91 (16.11)	103.87 (19.8)	0.39
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean, (SD)	134.4 (19.0)	133.9 (18.8)	137.4 (19.5)	0.17
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD)	184.8 (41.9)	186.0 (41.9)	177.9 (42.0)	0.15
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD)	47.1 (14.2)	47.4 (14.4)	45.8 (13.0)	0.43
			Нес	alth Behaviors
Smoking History, n (%)				0.88
Never	182 (41.7%)	157 (42.3%)	25 (38.5%)	

Current	66 (15.1%)	56 (15.1%)	10 (15.4%)	
Former	186 (42.7%)	157 (42.3%)	29 (44.6%)	
Alcohol Use, n (%)				0.84
Never	143 (32.8%)	122 (32.9%)	21 (32.3%)	
Current	191 (43.8%)	164 (44.2%)	27 (41.5%)	
Past	102 (23.4%)	85 (22.9%)	17 (26.2%)	
		·	I	Medication Use
Anti-platelet**, n (%)	239 (54.8%)	204 (55.0%)	35 (53.8%)	0.86
Anticoagulation, n (%)	17 (3.9%)	11 (3.0%)	6 (9.2%)	0.02*
Statin, n (%)	170 (39.0%)	147 (39.6%)	23 (35.4%)	0.52
Anti-Hypertensive, n (%)	298 (68.3%)	255 (68.7%)	43 (66.2%)	0.92

*Significant at p<0.05; missing values included income (n=55), Heart failure type (n=60), coronary heart disease (n=7), diabetes (n=11), hypertension (n=11), stroke(n=1), atrial fibrillation (n=3), Chronic kidney disease (n=15), depressive symptoms (n=2), waist circumference (n=3), systolic blood pressure (n=1), total cholesterol (n=15), HLD (n=17), smoking status (n=2), aspirin (n=1), anti-hypertensives (10)

**Includes aspirin and clopidogrel

Table 2. Association Between Baseline Characteristics of REGARDS Participants with Incident Heart
Failure and the Odds of Cognitive Impairment

Characteristic	Model 1 (Age-adjusted)	Model 2	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Age	1.04 [1.01,1.08]	1.05 [1.02,1.09]	
Female	0.54 [0.31,0.94]	0.48 [0.27,0.85]	
Black	1.88 [1.08,3.28]	1.83 [1.01,3.32]	
Less than high school education	1.89 [1.02,3.51]	1.79 [0.92,3.46]	
Region of residence			
Belt	ref	ref	
Buckle	0.89 [0.44,1.81]	0.85 [0.41,1.76]	
Non-belt	0.72 [0.39,1.32]	0.62 [0.33,1.16]	
Systolic blood pressure	1.01 [1.00,1.02]	1.01 [0.99,1.02]	
Anticoagulation use	3.01 [1.05,8.63]	3.00 [1.00,8.99]	

*p<0.05; Model 1: Age-adjusted; Model 2: Fully adjusted

CERT

Table 3. Baseline Characteristics of a Matched Cohort of REGARDS Participants Without Heart Failure

Characteristic	All Participants (n =1307)	Cognitively Intact	Cognitively Impaired	P-value
		(n = 1132)	(n=175)	
P	revalence of Cognitiv	ve Impairment: 13	3.4% (95% CI: 11.	6 – 15.4%)
		De	emographic Char	acteristics
Age, years(SD)	70.28 (8.94)	69.56 (8.90)	74.99 (7.67)	<0.001*
Gender, n (%)	Ň			<0.001*
Male	692 (52.9%)	575 (50.8%)	117 (66.9%)	
Female	615 (47.1%)	557 (49.2%)	58 (33.1%)	
Race, n (%)				<0.001*
White	791 (60.5%)	707 (62.5%)	84 (48.0%)	
Black	516 (39.5%)	425 (37.5%)	91 (52.0%)	
Education, n (%)				<0.001*
< High school	200 (15.3%)	145 (12.8%)	55 (31.4%)	
≥High school	1106 (84.6%)	987 (87.2%)	119 (68.0%)	
Income, n (%)				<0.001*

< \$ 35K	619 (47.4%)	520 (45.9%)	99 (56.6%)	
≥\$35k	482 (36.9%)	439 (38.8%)	43 (24.6%)	
Region of Residence, n(%)				0.03*
Belt	436 (33.4%)	372 (32.9%)	64 (36.6%)	
Buckle	303 (23.2%)	276 (24.4%)	27 (15.4%)	
Non-belt	568 (43.5%)	484 (42.8%)	84 (48.0%)	
linical Characteristics	1	1	1	
Heart Disease, n (%)	262 (20.0%)	212 (18.7%)	50 (28.6%)	0.002*
Diabetes Mellitus, n (%)	261 (20.0%)	219 (19.3%)	42 (24.0%)	0.14
Hypertension, n (%)	817 (62.5%)	705 (62.3%)	112 (64.0%)	0.68
Stroke, n (%)	92 (7.0%)	75 (6.6%)	17 (9.7%)	0.14
Atrial Fibrillation, n (%)	50 (3.8%)	42 (3.7%)	8 (4.6%)	0.59
Chronic Kidney Disease,	200 (15.3%)	154 (13.6%)	46 (26.3%)	<0.001*
n (%)	200 (13.370)	154(15.6),07	40 (20.370)	0.001
Depressive symptoms,	139 (10.6%)	113 (10.0%)	26 (14.9%)	0.05*
n (%)	(,			
General Health, n (%)	K V			
Poor	34 (2.6%)	29 (2.6%)	5 (2.9%)	0.35
Fair	192 (14.7%)	158 (14.0%)	34 (19.4%)	
Good	462 (35.3%)	404 (35.7%)	58 (33.1%)	
Very good	417 (31.9%)	366 (32.3%)	51 (29.1%)	
Excellent	197 (15.1%)	174 (15.4%)	23 (13.1%)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m2), mean (SD)	28.4 (5.4)	28.5 (5.4)	27.5 (4.9)	0.02*
Waist circumference (cm), mean (SD)	95.6 (13.9)	95.5 (14.2)	96.4 (12.7)	0.41
Systolic blood pressure	129.7 (17.1)	129.4 (17.1)	131.9 (17.3)	0.06

(mmHg), mean, (SD)				
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD)	189.9 (40.4)	190.7 (40.4)	185.2 (40.2)	0.11
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD)	51.7 (16.7)	52.2 (16.7)	48.6 (16.4)	0.01*
	1	1	Health	Behaviors
Smoking History, n (%)				0.26
Never	568 (43.5%)	487 (43.0%)	81 (46.3%)	
Current	161 (12.3%)	146 (12.9%)	15 (8.6%)	
Former	566 (43.3%)	489 (43.2%)	77 (44.0%)	
Alcohol Use, n (%)				0.02*
Never	405 (31.0%)	338 (29.9%)	67 (38.3%)	
Current	639 (48.9%)	570 (50.4%)	69 (39.4%)	
Former	263 (20.1%)	224 (19.8%)	39 (22.3%)	
		1	Media	cation Use
Anti-platelet**, n (%)	615 (47.1%)	537 (47.4%)	78 (44.6%)	0.48
Anticoagulation, n (%)	41 (3.1%)	34 (3.0%)	7 (4.0%)	0.48
Statin, n (%)	429 (32.8%)	367 (32.4%)	62 (35.4%)	0.43
Anti-Hypertensive, N (%)	709 (54.2%)	616 (54.4%)	93 (53.1%)	0.82
Insulin, n (%)	33 (2.5%)	29 (2.6%)	4 (2.3%)	0.83

*significant at p<0.05; missing values included education(n=1), income (n=206), coronary heart disease (n= 26), diabetes (n=44), hypertension (n=2), stroke(n=3), atrial fibrillation (n=18), Chronic kidney disease (n=52), depressive symptoms (n=14), waist circumference (n=6), systolic blood pressure (n= 2), total cholesterol (n=52), HDL (n=58), smoking status (n=12), aspirin (n=2), anti-hypertensives (n=37)

**includes aspirin and clopidogrel