

Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem
Bölcsészettudományi Kar

Vida István

The Chronology of the Marcomannic-Sarmatian wars
The Danubian wars of Marcus Aurelius in the light of numismatics.

Theses of Dissertation

Budapest, 2017.

I have chosen the title of my dissertation after long contemplation. The appellation of the war is very diverse already on the ancient sources and epigraphic monuments. The different appellations were collected thoroughly by P. Kovács.¹ My decision of using the term Marcomannic-Sarmatian wars was motivated by numismatic reasons and by the traditions of the research. After the great Roman victories during the first phase of the war the coinage mentions the Germanic people and the Sarmatians. So the term Germanic-Sarmatian war could have been the most proper phrase. On the other hand the term Marcomannic wars is so widely spread in the research, that creating a new one would have been very confusing. Thus instead of the Germanic adnominal I have used a reference to the one of the most significant enemies. However I felt the use of the adnominal Sarmatian also significant, because the defeat of the Sarmatians, although less expressively, than the Germans was also a part of the propaganda expressed on coins. Therefore I felt it important to use it in this work written from the numismatic point of view.

1. Subject and goals

The history of Marcus Aurelius' wars against the various Germanic tribes and the Sarmatians is a well written up historical field from the point of view of the ancient written sources and epigraphy. Based on these sources the history of the era had been reconstructed in details, but there are still blank spots and open questions. For further proceeding the research and interpretation of new sources was necessary. One of these potential sources is numismatics. Some of its results had already been used earlier by researchers, but an overall, detailed numismatic summary has not been done yet; nevertheless the numismatic evidences are the only ones, which are absolutely contemporary with the events.

From numismatic point of view there are two possible ways of approach: the analyses of the coinage of the era, the presentation of the events of the war on the coins, and also taken notice of the impact of the war on the coinage. This historical source has to be dealt circumspectly, as coins do not tell us the reality and the whole truth, but what the government propaganda wanted the people to know in the way it wanted them to know: the successes might be exaggerated, while the defeats are not mentioned. However one might draw conclusions from silence and concealment.

The other way of approach is gathering and analysing coin hoards buried during the Marcomannic-Sarmatian wars. Their interpretation allows drawing further inferences about the events of the war.

I must emphasize, that I have taken into account strictly numismatic evidences only. I have interpreted the data obtained from them, but I did not try to assign their burial to certain events at any rate, or to confirm or deny the occurrence of historical events mentioned by written sources or epigraphic monuments.

¹ Kovács P., Marcus Aurelius esőcsodája és a markomann háborúk. (Pécs 2005)

2. Structure and methods

In the dissertation I have worked with two scientific sources, thus it is composed of two separate, large parts. In the first I have analysed the presentation of the war and related events in the successive issues of contemporary Roman coinage, and their impact on coinage. I have studied the coinage from A.D. 167 to 181, as reference for the war can be found on coins of this period. I have mostly taken into account numismatic evidences only, other sources were used only if they had been needed to interpret the message of the coins.

I have analysed coinage by emissions, in the smallest possible divisions to get the most accurate and detailed chronology of the events. I used the frame created by W. Szaivert for this,² however in some reasonable cases I have reclassified certain coins in other emissions, and respectively I have integrated coins which had become known after its publication.

The photos of the coins discussed – or coins with the same design, if photos of the actual coins were not available – are appended to each emission.

In the second large part of the dissertation I have studied the coins hoards in relation, or thought to be in relation with the Marcomannic Sarmatian war. I did not create a separate catalogue, because their analysis is an integral part of the work. Only with the help of this analysis can it be decided, whether a hoard is really in relation with the war, and whether there is enough information from the hoard to use it for the dating of an event. Thus a separate catalogue would have resulted in large overlapping and many repetitions.

I have based my dissertation primarily on the published archaeological material, but I have also made archival research, and the some new, unpublished finds – thanks to Hungarian and foreign researchers sharing information with me – are incorporated as well.

I have reidentified the published or unpublished, but described hoards described with the help of obsolete catalogues. The new identifications based on more modern catalogues and publications are described in the appendix of the dissertation. The new descriptions have usually modified the internal structure of the hoards, and in some cases their dating have also changed or narrowed.

During the study and the analysis of the hoards I used information coming from the coins and – if they were known – the circumstances of the finds. During the several decades of research of the Marcomannic wars there had been so many uncertain theories and conclusions based on these set in scientific research, that it would had been impossible to work, agree or disagree with all of these one by one.

For an easier overview I have grouped the coin hoards found within the former borders of the empire by provinces, while the hoards found in the Barbaricum were divided into geographical groups: hoards found west of the Carpathian basin, hoards of the Carpathian basin, and hoards found east of the Carpathian basin.

The maps of the findspots of the coin hoards are in the appendix of the dissertation, where I marked them in the division mentioned above. As there is no separate catalogue in the dissertation I gave the basic scientific data of the hoards (findspot – material – original/known number of coins – closure – type of burial – other objects in the hoards) in tables attached to each map.

² Szaivert, W., *Die Münzprägung der Kaiser Marcus Aurelius, Lucius Verus und Commodus (161–192)*. (Wien 1986). He created a clear, exact, and detailed chronology for the dating of the coins of the period generally accepted by numismatists.

The maps of the findspots are followed by the diagrams. If it was necessary and I had enough data I have rearranged the distribution of the hoards by rulers, and I have assigned the coins to actual issuers. This has also meant simplification, as the coins minted to the members of the imperial family were assigned to the emperors. For the chronological distribution I have always used the same diagrams, although there are seemingly many unnecessary, empty columns, but this was the way that easy and apparent comparisons can be made.

The reidentified coin hoards are at the end of the appendix. I have reidentified many coin hoards during my research. However I included here only those, which were either unpublished, or for the study of their structure or for the determination of their closure a reidentification with more modern catalogues was necessary.

3. The results of the dissertation

I could prove, that many of the hoards related previously to the Marcomannic wars were not hidden or not necessarily hidden in the period, and thus cannot be used for dating the events.

Many authors mention anxiety, the weakening of collective security in Pannonia before the Marcomannic-Sarmatian wars, during the reign of Antoninus Pius, which is also supported by coin hoards: Poetovio (closure: 152) – there were only 11 coins in the hoard; Zalahosszúfalu (closure: 153) – by taking the counterfeit coins into account after 159, but both hoards are incomplete; Bonyhád (closure: 154) – 158 in fact; Szemely (closure: 158) – 161 to 176 in fact; Bara Osatina (closure: 160) – a very fragmentary hoard, and even more than half of the known coins are unidentifiable. Thus the premonitory signs of the Marcomannic wars cannot be attested numismatically.

Another example can be the hoard horizon of 168–169, also mentioned in several publications. In the case of the Gummern, Carnuntum, and Katafa hoards it is true, but in the Witzelberg 'hoard' there were modern forgeries, the closure of the Mocsolád hoard is 164, the closure of the Baranyavár hoard is 165. The small number of coins in the Katafa hoard (18 aurei), and because of the problems of the accumulation of gold coins its burial could have happened many years later.

Besides excluding several hoards from the research I could involve some new coin finds, such as the hoards of Szigetcsép and a new one from Brigetio.

Coin hoards of Pannonia Inferior and Moesia Inferior suggest that the Marcomannic wars had begun on – one and a half years earlier than the conventional date.

The invasion of Italy is not discernible with coin hoards, only the destruction of Opitergium/Oderzo is marked by a hoard, and the coin find of Verona is the only known hoard, that might had been buried in connection with the war in Northern Italy. The absence of the coin finds suggests that shock caused by the enemy appearing in Italy was much greater, than the actual devastation.

The Costobocian invasion of 170 cannot be attested with numismatic evidences, there is not any coin hoards known from Moesia Inferior or Thrace that could be dated for this time for sure. This might be explained by the fact, that most of the hoards were buried during the early years of the war; either because the population was unprepared and was taken by surprise, or the Barbarians were gradually expelled from the territory of the empire.

The analysis of the coin hoards found in the Barbaricum is much more problematic, than that of the ones found in the Roman Empire. There was no active, everyday monetary circulation on the territory. As there was no regular monetary supply, the closure of the hoards is dating the period the coins were taken or exported from the empire, and usually do not indicate the time of their burials.

Even though I have collected and analysed the coin hoards of the Barbaricum thoroughly it seems, that at the present stage of research it is not possible to date the events of the war with their help. The concentration of the finds in certain territories cannot be explained unequivocally: it might be caused by the uneven scientific research, or might indicate where the people attacking the empire came from, or they might mark the direction of the Roman counter attacks.

The chronology of the Marcomannic-Sarmatian war based on coinage and coin hoards

165

According to the coin hoards the Barbarians attack Moesia Inferior and Pannonia Inferior. The invasion in Pannonia might reach the central parts of Pannonia Superior as well.

166

Based on the Sotin hoard there is an attack against the southern parts of Pannonia Inferior. A probable attack against Raetia.

167

The coin hoard bear evidence of Barbarian attacks along the whole Danubian limes: Raetia (or probably already in the previous year), Noricum, Pannonia Superior, Dacia, and Moesia Inferior.

The coinage informs on the beginning of Marcus Aurelius' and Lucius Verus' joint campaign. The two emperors divide the tasks: Marcus Aurelius is the strategist, while Lucius Verus is the actual commander of the troops.

168

Early in the year, in the beginning of the campaign the Romans are successful. The army lead by Lucius Verus is victorious. Later in the year the attack burns out, there is no decisive victory.

Coin hoards give evidence of invasion of Moesia Superior.

By the end of the year the Roman campaign is a total failure, they might also be defeated. The beginning of Marcus Aurelius' illness.

169

Early in the year the emperors head for Rome. Death of Lucius Verus. Marcus Aurelius stays in Rome in the middle of the year.

According to the Carnuntum 3 hoard Pannonia is attacked. The earliest possible date for the invasion of Italy based on the coin hoards of Gummern and Oderzo.

Late in the years Marcus Aurelius departs Rome for a new campaign against the Barbarians.

170

The actual military actions start early in this year. The Romans achieve initial successes, they might overvalue their victories and expect a prompt completion of the campaign. However in

spring they suffer a catastrophic defeat. In the rest of the year the Romans are helpless with the Barbarians.

171

The Romans are helpless against the Barbarians, the absence of Roman victories leads to unrest within the army in spring.

In autumn a decisive victory is won over the Germans, the expelling of the enemy from the territory of the empire begins. Late in the year preparations for a new campaign begin.

172

The Roman army lead by Marcus Aurelius crosses River Danube, the theatre of war is no longer on Roman territory, but on Germanic land.

A possible date of the lightning miracle.

At the end of the year Marcus Aurelius returns to Rome.

173

The war continues against the Sarmatians, the coinage gives no details.

174

The war continues further, the details are not known. The lack of success might be the reason of the unrest within the army in the second half of the year.

175

In spring the campaign continued in spite of the revolt of Avidius Cassius brings Roman victory over the Sarmatians. The end of the first phase of the war.

176

In autumn the triumph of Marcus Aurelius and Commodus in Rome.

177

The war is renewed, the Barbarians attack Pannonia and Dacia.

The two emperors – just like Marcus Aurelius and Lucius Verus in 167 – divide the tasks. Commodus is the immediate commander of the troops.

The first victory is followed by a serious defeat.

178

The war continues. Dacia is invaded again, the coinage does not inform on the events, most likely due to the absence of Roman victories. This might lead to the unrest within the army in autumn.

179

In spring the Romans achieve victory. The war continues, coins do not refer to particular events.

180

Early in the year Marcus Aurelius dies before the war is over. Commodus returns to Rome, end of the fights.

Late in the year Commodus' triumph at Rome.

4. Publications on the subject of the dissertation

Two 2nd century coin hoards. In: Gaiu, C. – Gazdăc, C. (ed.), *Fontes Historiae. Studia in Honorem Demetrii Protase*. (Bistrița – Cluj-Napoca 2006) 657–666.

Late 2nd century Sarmatian coin hoards. In: Bíró Sz. (ed.), *Ex officina ... Studia in honorem Dénes Gabler*. 573–585.

Kincsek és etnikum. 2–3. századi dák kincsek. In: Bíró Sz. – Vámos P. (szerk.) *Fiatal Római Koros Kutatók II. Konferenciakötete*. (Győr 2012) 189–198.

Traiectus Augusti. In: Balázs P. (szerk.) *Fiatal Római Koros Kutatók III. Konferenciakötete*. (Szombathely 2014) 87–94.