UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Glucocorticoids and bone: consequences of endogenous and exogenous excess and replacement therapy

Hardy, Rowan; Zhou, Hong; Seibel, Markus J; Cooper, Mark S.

DOI: 10.1210/er.2018-00097

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard): Hardy, R, Zhou, H, Seibel, MJ & Cooper, MS 2018, 'Glucocorticoids and bone: consequences of endogenous and exogenous excess and replacement therapy' Endocrine Reviews. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00097

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked for eligibility 14/09/2018

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Endocrine Reviews following peer review. The version of record Hardy et al Glucocorticoids and bone: consequences of endogenous and exogenous excess and replacement therapy is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00097.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

. Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

• User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 Title: Glucocorticoids and bone: consequences of endogenous and

2	exogenous excess
3	
4	Authors:
5	Rowan Hardy ¹ , Hong Zhou ² , Markus J. Seibel ^{2,3,5} , Mark S Cooper ^{3,4,5}
6	
7	Affiliations:
8	1 University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
9	2 Bone Research Program, ANZAC Research Institute, Sydney, Australia
10	3 Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney,
11	Australia
12	4 Adrenal Steroid Laboratory, ANZAC Research Institute, Sydney, Australia
13	5 Concord Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
14	
15	Short title: Glucocorticoids and bone
16	
17	Keywords: Glucocorticoids, cortisol, osteoblasts, bone, osteoporosis
18	
19	Corresponding Author:

- 20 Mark S Cooper, Adrenal Steroid Laboratory, ANZAC Research Institute, University of Sydney, Concord
- 21 Repatriation General Hospital, Concord, Australia
- 22 Email: <u>mark.cooper@sydney.edu.au</u>
- 23 TEL: +61 (2) 97676775
- 24 FAX: +61 (2) 97677603
- 25
- 26 Contact for reprints:
- 27 Mark S Cooper
- 28
- 29 Funding:
- 30 This work was not directly supported by any grants or fellowships
- 31
- 32 Disclosure summary:
- 33 None of the authors have anything to disclose

35 Abstract:

36	Osteoporosis associated with long-term glucocorticoid therapy remains a common and serious bone
37	disease. In addition, in recent years it has become clear that more subtle states of endogenous
38	glucocorticoid excess may have a major impact on bone health. Adverse effects can be seen with
39	mild systemic glucocorticoid excess but there is also evidence of tissue-specific regulation of
40	glucocorticoid action within bone as a mechanism of disease. This review article will examine a) the
41	role of endogenous glucocorticoids in normal bone physiology, b) the skeletal effects of endogenous
42	glucocorticoid excess in the context of endocrine conditions such as Cushing's disease and
43	autonomous cortisol secretion (subclinical Cushing's syndrome), and c) the actions of therapeutic
44	(exogenous) glucocorticoids on bone. We will review the extent to which the effect of
45	glucocorticoids on bone is influenced by variations in tissue metabolising enzymes and
46	glucocorticoid receptor expression and sensitivity. We will consider how the effects of therapeutic
47	glucocorticoids on bone are complicated by the effects of the underlying inflammatory disease being
48	treated. We will also examine the impact that glucocorticoid replacement regimens have on bone in
49	the context of primary and secondary adrenal insufficiency.
50	

- 51 Precis:
- 52 We reviewed literature relating to the effects of glucocorticoids on bone. This included the impact of
- 53 endogenously synthesised and therapeutically administered glucocorticoids on bone and bone cells.

55 I. Introduction

56	Glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis (GIOP) remains an important and common clinical problem.
57	GIOP was first recognised in patients with Cushing's disease or other states of endogenous
58	glucocorticoid excess. ¹ However, since the introduction of therapeutic glucocorticoids over 60 years
59	ago, GIOP is now much more commonly seen in people treated with therapeutic glucocorticoids. ² It
60	is well established that therapeutic glucocorticoid treatment is associated with significant loss of
61	bone density, deterioration of bone structure and substantial increases in fracture risk. ^{3,4} The
62	condition appears to behave in many ways distinct to that of age-related or postmenopausal
63	osteoporosis and, as such, is regarded as a distinct metabolic bone disease. ⁵
64	The study of GIOP is complicated by the almost universal involvement of an underlying, usually
65	inflammatory disease, as the reason for glucocorticoid treatment in the first place. ^{5,6} These
66	underlying illnesses are rarely incorporated into animal models examining the pathogenesis of GIOP.
67	Various treatments have been evaluated for GIOP in the clinical setting but usually only after these
68	have proven effective in the context of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Trials in GIOP are generally
69	powered based on BMD changes rather than fracture risk reduction. All current treatments for GIOP
70	have significant limitations in terms of effectiveness and risk of adverse effects.
71	In addition to the clear evidence that high levels of therapeutic glucocorticoids do harm to bone
72	there is increasing evidence that more subtle states of endogenous of glucocorticoid excess
73	detrimentally impact on bone. ⁷ The main focus of this research has been the impact of subclinical
74	endogenous hypercortisolism (also known as sub-clinical Cushing's syndrome or autonomous
75	cortisol secretion), a condition characterised by autonomous cortisol secretion usually by one or
76	more adrenal cortex nodules. There is current debate regarding how prevalent this condition is and
77	how significant its impact is on bone but many studies indicate that the effects on bone can be
78	substantial. ⁷ It is less clear how to investigate and manage bone loss and extra-skeletal
79	manifestations in subclinical endogenous hypercortisolism.

Comment [M1]: ? add graphical abstract here?

80 There is now considerable evidence that glucocorticoid action can be modulated by various 81 mechanisms at a tissue level. These mechanisms include variations in the expression and sensitivity of the glucocorticoid receptors⁸, export of steroids out of the cell by transmembrane transporters⁹ 82 and enzymatic metabolism of glucocorticoids to more or less active forms.¹⁰ In particular, there has 83 been interest in the role of the 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (11β-HSDs) which interconvert 84 85 the active glucocorticoids cortisol and corticosterone with their inactive counterparts cortisone and dehydrocorticosterone.¹⁰ These enzymes appear to influence bone cell differentiation and function 86 87 and changes in enzyme expression have been implicated in the development of some aspects of 88 glucocorticoid induced bone loss. Excessive tissue glucocorticoid action in the presence of normal circulating levels of glucocorticoids might thus play a more generalised role in other forms of 89 90 osteoporosis not traditionally associated with glucocorticoid excess. 91 The issue of whether glucocorticoid levels are sufficient, inadequate or excessive for bone health is 92 relevant to the treatment of states of adrenal insufficiency such as Addison's disease or 93 hypopituitarism. Evidence suggests that historically, glucocorticoid replacement regimens were 94 excessive in many people and this is likely to have detrimentally impacted on bone health. More 95 contemporary (and lower) replacement glucocorticoid doses appear to have less of an adverse 96 impact on bone in terms of bone density and biochemical markers. However, whether this translates 97 into reduced fracture risk is unclear. 98 This review will therefore examine the role endogenous glucocorticoids play in normal bone 99 physiology, examine the skeletal effects of endogenous glucocorticoid excess in the context of 100 endocrine conditions such as Cushing's disease and autonomous cortisol secretion, and explore the actions of therapeutic glucocorticoids on bone. Based on a Medline[™] publication search within the 101

102 last five years (to February 2018) supplemented by earlier studies of continuing significance we

review how the effect of glucocorticoids on bone is influenced by tissue metabolising enzymes and

104 glucocorticoid receptor expression. We will consider how these effects are complicated by

Comment [RSH2]: Could use a figure here

- 105 inflammation. We will additionally examine the impact that glucocorticoid replacement has on bone
- 106 in the context of adrenal insufficiency.

108 II Mechanisms of action of glucocorticoids on bone

109 This section outlines how glucocorticoids have their effect on bone. Evidence primarily based on 110 mouse models suggests that the main adverse effects of high levels of glucocorticoids on bone are 111 through direct effects on cells involved in bone remodelling; osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts. Mechanisms such as impaired cellular proliferation, increased apoptosis, altered autophagy and 112 113 changes in RANKL/OPG, wnts/sclerostin expression have all been proposed to be important 114 mediators of these effects. These mechanisms have been examined in animal models of disease and 115 to some extent in human samples. We will conclude this section by discussing evidence that some of 116 the adverse effects of glucocorticoids on systemic fuel metabolism are mediated through the 117 skeleton. 118 An important consideration when interpreting the literature relating to glucocorticoid effects on 119 bone is to appreciate the significance and relationships of the various forms of glucocorticoids that 120 have been studied or implicated in disease. The main glucocorticoid secreted from the adrenal 121 cortex in humans is cortisol. When administered therapeutically, cortisol is referred to as hydrocortisone. A smaller amount of corticosterone (about 5-10% that of cortisol) is also secreted 122 from the human adrenal cortex.¹¹ Although traditionally considered to have a minor role in human 123 124 physiology recent work examining the selective export of cortisol and corticosteroids from the cell 125 suggests that corticosterone secretion could be important over and above the secretion of cortisol.⁹ In the mouse and rat corticosterone is the main glucocorticoid secreted from the adrenal due to the 126 absence of the 17alpha-hydroxylase enzyme in the adult adrenal gland in rodents.¹² Cortisol and 127 128 corticosterone have direct and similar actions at the glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors 129 but in classical mineralocorticoid target tissues (kidney, colon, salivary and sweat glands) these 130 glucocorticoids are inactivated by the enzyme 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11β -HSD2) 131 to cortisone and dehydrocorticosterone respectively. These steroids lack activity at the level of the 132 GR or MR but can be reactivated to cortisol and corticosterone by 11β-hydroxysteroid

Comment [RSH3]: Again a figure here could be useful. Could we tie it into HPA axis, with relative circulating levels and the 1st pass metabolism of pred in liver

Agree we need a figure

133	dehydrogenase type 1 (11 β -HSD1) enzyme which is expressed in a range of tissues, in particular in
134	the liver but also in bone. Prednisolone and prednisone are the most widely used oral
135	glucocorticoids. As with cortisol and cortisone these compounds differ by just a hydroxylation at
136	position 11 of the steroid ring with prednisolone being the active form and prednisone the inactive
137	form. In practice orally administered prednisone and prednisolone have similar properties in vivo
138	since prednisone is efficiently converted to prednisolone by hepatic 11 β -HSD1 activity on first pass
139	metabolism in the liver.

140 Endogenous glucocorticoids have the potential to bind to either the classical glucocorticoid receptor 141 (GR) or the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR). The MR is also referred to as the type 1 or high affinity 142 GR since the affinity of the MR for cortisol and corticosterone is 10 times higher than that of the GR.¹³ As discussed above, the main factor influencing endogenous glucocorticoid binding to the MR 143 144 is the presence of 11β -HSD2. As a further complication, the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone 145 only binds to the GR and not the MR. These differences have important implications when 146 interpreting differences between studies examining the mechanisms underlying GIOP. 147 The downstream cellular consequences of glucocorticoid receptor binding have been reviewed in detail elsewhere.^{14,15} These mechanisms will be discussed in each section where specifically relevant 148

to glucocorticoid actions on bone.

150

151 II.I Effects on osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts

Glucocorticoids have direct effects on specific tissues but also exert their effects through indirect mechanisms, e.g. through the regulation of endocrine signalling pathways. The extent to which glucocorticoid induced bone loss is mediated through direct effects on the cells which coordinate bone metabolism (osteoblasts, osteocytes, osteoclasts and their respective precursors) has been debated. Bone cells are clearly very sensitive to glucocorticoids in vitro and in vivo. In vivo mouse models that have attempted to examine this question show that the effects of glucocorticoids on
bone are primarily through direct actions on bone cells and bone remodelling. The situation
regarding the important clinical manifestations of GIOP in humans, fractures, might be different
since glucocorticoids can influence falls related factors such as muscle strength that are difficult to
replicate in mice.

- Whether or not there is a single primary or dominant target of glucocorticoids accounting for the effects on bone remains unclear. This is not helped by the wide variety of mouse models which vary according to strain; age; sex; skeletal site examined; and type, dose, duration and route of glucocorticoid administration.^{16,17} Furthermore, the effects of glucocorticoids are not consistent across skeletal sites and surfaces. Although glucocorticoid treatment of mouse models mimics some of the findings seen with clinical use, the extent to which non-human models mirror the pathophysiology in humans remains unclear.
- 169 In the following section the individual effects of glucocorticoids on 1, osteoblasts, 2, osteocytes, and
- 170 3, osteoclasts will be discussed in terms of in vitro and in vivo actions. These sections reflect the
- 171 majority of recent studies investigating how glucocorticoids affect bone. There is, however, a small
- 172 number of studies that report consequences possibly mediated by other cells. For example, a
- 173 preliminary report indicated that mice that lack lymphocytes are protected against glucocorticoid
- 174 induced changes in bone density, suggesting a possible role for these cells in GIOP.¹⁸
- 175
- 176 II.I.I Effects on osteoblasts

177 In vitro effects:

- 178 In contrast to the clearly detrimental impact that therapeutic glucocorticoids have on bone in the
- 179 clinical setting in many in vitro situations, glucocorticoids have an important and positive role in the
- 180 commitment and differentiation of cells of the osteoblast lineage. Glucocorticoids have a stimulatory

181 role in the differentiation of uncommitted mesenchymal precursor cells to the osteoblastic lineage 182 and high doses of glucocorticoids are generally part of the differentiation medium in protocols for the differentiation of these cells.^{19,20} Glucocorticoids demonstrate clear stimulatory activity on the 183 expression of a range of cellular markers related to osteoblast function, including osteocalcin and 184 185 alkaline phosphatase.²¹⁻²⁴ Glucocorticoids show inconsistent effects on cellular proliferation but in general high doses of glucocorticoids slows the proliferation rate of mature osteoblast like cells in 186 culture.²⁵ The observation that glucocorticoids in vivo usually result in a dramatic decrease in bone 187 188 formation but in vitro actions are largely stimulatory has been difficult to explain. It is possible that 189 there is dose dependency with low levels of glucocorticoids being stimulatory and high doses being inhibitory for osteoblasts.²⁶ Other lines of evidence suggest that in vitro effects of glucocorticoids in 190 191 culture are more complex than previously considered. For example, within a single primary culture 192 of osteoblastic cells there are various different populations present. It has been suggested that more 193 mature osteoblasts have stimulatory paracrine functions on less mature osteoblast precursors and 194 that these are glucocorticoid dependent. For example, disruption of glucocorticoid signalling by the 195 artificial introduction of 11β -HSD2 into mature osteoblasts results in reduced differentiation of less 196 mature osteoblasts within the same culture, an effect likely due to alterations of expression of wnt or wnt-related genes.²⁷ These results indicate that the communication between various types of 197 198 bone cells at different stages of differentiation is likely to be complex and glucocorticoids appear 199 important in these communication pathways. A recent review focussing on the various mechanisms by which glucocorticoids affect osteoblast function has been published by Frenkel et al.²⁸ 200 201 Glucocorticoids influence the proliferation, differentiation or function of osteoblasts but most 202 dramatically they influence their survival and death. It is now clear that osteoblast apoptosis has an important role in bone physiology.²⁹ Glucocorticoids stimulate osteoblast apoptosis in vitro, 203 204 triggering the rapid activation of the kinases Pyk2 and JNK and increasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) in primary cultures.^{30,31} Glucocorticoids can increase apoptosis via increased endoplasmic 205 reticulum stress and glucocorticoid actions through this pathway synergise with TNFa.³² 206

207	Glucocorticoids also regulate the expression and activity of pro-apoptotic factors of the Bcl2 family	
208	such as Bim. ³³ Knockdown of Bim in osteoblasts protects against glucocorticoid induced apoptosis	
209	and silencing of E4BP4 attenuates Bim expression and also blocks glucocorticoid induced apoptosis	
210	in osteoblasts. ³⁴ Glucocorticoids also increase expression of Bak (another Bcl2 family member) and	
211	decrease expression of Bcl-XL, a pro-survival Bcl2 protein. ³⁵ Dexamethasone can induce Bcl2	
212	mediated cell death via induction of p53. ³⁶ As such there appears to be multiple pathways by which	
213	glucocorticoids induce apoptosis of osteoblasts.	
214	A vast range of studies have attempted to identify specific pathways by which glucocorticoids act on	
215	osteoblasts in culture. The most prominent targets proposed include: effectors of apoptosis,	
216	RANKL/OPG signalling, wnts and their inhibitors, microRNAs, IL-11 and BMP/notch signalling.	
217	Glucocorticoids stimulate expression of RANKL and suppress expression of OPG in primary cultures	
218	of osteoblasts and osteoblast like cell lines. ³⁷⁻³⁹ These changes would be expected to generate a pro-	
219	osteoclastogenic signal. The significance of osteoblast expressed RANKL has recently been	
220	questioned with the osteocyte now considered to be the most important source of RANKL in normal	
221	physiology. ^{40,41}	
222	Wingless (wnt) signalling is firmly established as a critical mediator of many of the anabolic and	
223	catabolic signalling pathways in bone. ⁴² Glucocorticoids have dramatic impacts on a range of wnt	
224	related genes. At low doses glucocorticoids promote the secretion of wnt9a and wnt10b. At higher	
225	doses glucocorticoids suppress intracellular wnt signalling in osteoblasts resulting in a suppression of	
226	osteoblast differentiation. ^{43,44} Significant interest has focussed on the synthesis of wnt inhibitors	
227	such as DKK-1 and sclerostin. Whereas sclerostin will be discussed in the next section since it is	
228	expressed exclusively in osteocytes, DKK1 is an important wnt inhibitor which is expressed in	
229	osteoblasts and reported to be positively regulated by glucocorticoids. ⁴⁵ DKK1 appears to have a	
230	negative impact on bone formation but also causes a reduction in the expression of OPG by	

osteoblasts,⁴⁶ which would favour an increase in osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption, in addition
to the reported suppression of anabolic osteoblast behaviour.

Other osteoblastic signalling pathways targeted by glucocorticoids include insulin-like growth
factors, transforming growth factors, basic fibroblast growth factor, and platelet-derived growth
factors. In vitro, glucocorticoids supress the expression of IGF I and PDGF, which possess anabolic
mitogenic actions in osteoblasts, whilst reducing the anabolic actions of TGFb.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Novel cellular
targets in osteoblasts which appear to be influenced by glucocorticoids in vitro include IL-11⁵⁰, E3
ubiquitin ligases⁵¹ and microRNA-199a.²⁵

239

240 In vivo effects:

241 Much of what we know about the impact of glucocorticoid on osteoblast function comes from 242 animal models of GIOP. Although these models appear to recapitulate some of the features seen in 243 human GIOP there is considerable diversity and variability in the phenotypes seen with the models 244 used. This raises questions as to the applicability of these models to the human situation. Mouse 245 models vary in terms of the strain used; the animal age and gender; the glucocorticoid type, route 246 and dose employed; and the skeletal site examined. The C57/B6 mouse strain is increasingly 247 employed as this background is generally most efficient when using tissue targeted transgenic 248 models via the Cre/lox approach. Recent evidence suggests that this mouse strain is relatively 249 resistant to the effects of glucocorticoids on bone compared to other mouse strains.⁵² It is not clear 250 whether this reflects a bone specific difference in glucocorticoid sensitivity or a more generalised 251 disparity. Although this variability between animal strains in terms of glucocorticoid sensitivity and 252 mechanisms of glucocorticoid adverse consequences makes conclusions more difficult to draw, it is 253 possible that what is seen in mice is some of the clinical variability seen in humans. The findings from 254 mice models might need to be considered in their aggregate form rather than depending too much

on individual models. A comprehensive review of the various non-human models used to study GIOP
has recently been published.¹⁶ In addition to being useful for the study of GIOP these models have
also indicated that glucocorticoid signalling is important for normal mineralisation of vertebral bones
and bone growth at some surfaces.

259 The acceptance of mice as models for human GIOP started with a highly influential study which 260 examined the Swiss Webster mouse strain treated with subcutaneous pellets of prednisolone.⁵³ 261 These studies demonstrated that glucocorticoids could induce osteoblast and osteocyte apoptosis in 262 vivo. The effects of glucocorticoids on osteoblasts appeared to dominate those on osteoclasts and 263 bone resorption. The direct cellular targets of glucocorticoids within bone have been examined in 264 subsequent studies utilising C57/B6 mice. These studies include tissue selective blockade of 265 glucocorticoid signalling in specific cell lineages using the 11β -HSD2 enzyme or selective deletion of 266 glucocorticoid receptors. A potentially important distinction between these approaches is that 267 models using 11β -HSD2 will have reduced glucocorticoid signalling through both GR and MR if these 268 receptors are present within target cells whereas selective GR or MR deletion will only target these 269 aspects of glucocorticoid signalling. Another important caveat using these approaches is that it is 270 now clear that the promoters used to drive selective expression within bone have some limitations 271 in that expression in tissues of interest is not normally complete and expression of transgenes can occur to a limited extent in off target tissues, for instance in selective regions of the brain during 272 development.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ This off target expression may differ between strains. 273

11β-HSD2 is a glucocorticoid inactivating enzyme which has expression primarily in MR expressing
tissues such as kidney, colon and salivary gland. There is additionally some expression within the
brain and in fetal tissues.^{57,58} Osteoblastic cells (osteoblasts and osteocytes) in the adult mouse do
not express 11β-HSD2 although the enzyme has been detected in fetal bone.⁵⁹ 11β-HSD2 is highly
effective at reducing glucocorticoid signalling and when expressed in osteoblasts appears to entirely
block the effect of physiological concentrations of endogenous glucocorticoids.⁶⁰ The enzyme is also

280	effective at blocking the action of prednisolone. 61 As such, transgenic expression of 11 eta -HSD2 within
281	osteoblasts has been utilised to examine the impact of glucocorticoids on these cells. Expression of
282	11 eta -HSD2 within osteoblasts has been reported in two different strains of mice with different
283	promoters. In the C57/B6 strain, expression of 11 eta -HSD2 gene was under the control of the
284	osteocalcin promoter and as such would be expected to be expressed in mature osteoblasts and
285	osteocytes. ⁶¹ These mice did not have an obvious basal phenotype but were protected against the
286	actions of glucocorticoids on osteoblast apoptosis and loss of bone density. In the CD1 strain, 11 β -
287	HSD2 has been driven under the control of the 2.3Kb CollAI promoter. ^{62,63} This truncated form of the
288	full type I collagen promoter is expressed in mature osteoblasts and osteocytes but not in other cell
289	types that normally produce type I collagen. ⁶⁴ These mice had a subtle basal phenotype with
290	reduced bone density of the vertebrae implying an impairment of bone mineralisation. ⁶³ These mice
291	also had delayed ossification of the cranial bones and reduced periosteal circumference of long
292	bones indicating reduced periosteal apposition of bone. ^{65,66} These mice were also protected against
	the obvious offects of all constraints on home, and finally the reduction in home formation rate on
293	the adverse effects of glucocorticoids on bone, specifically the reduction in bone formation rate an
293 294	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷
294	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷
294 295	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had
294 295 296	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰
294 295 296 297	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone
294 295 296 297 298	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone density. As with the mice above this strongly indicated that endogenous glucocorticoids are not
294 295 296 297 298 299	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone density. As with the mice above this strongly indicated that endogenous glucocorticoids are not essential for bone formation but do have a mild anabolic effect on bone. When treated with
294 295 296 297 298 299 300	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone density. As with the mice above this strongly indicated that endogenous glucocorticoids are not essential for bone formation but do have a mild anabolic effect on bone. When treated with prednisolone these mice did not demonstrate the bone loss seen in their wild type equivalents.
294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone density. As with the mice above this strongly indicated that endogenous glucocorticoids are not essential for bone formation but do have a mild anabolic effect on bone. When treated with prednisolone these mice did not demonstrate the bone loss seen in their wild type equivalents. These negative effects of glucocorticoids still occurred in mice where the GR was modified such that
294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 301	increase in endosteal bone resorption seen in controls. ⁶⁷ Mice with targeted deletion of the GR in osteoblastic cells have also been generated. These mice had Cre driven by the Runx2 promoter which is expressed in cells throughout the osteoblast lineage. ⁵⁰ These mice had a basal phenotype characterised by mildly reduced bone size and reduced bone density. As with the mice above this strongly indicated that endogenous glucocorticoids are not essential for bone formation but do have a mild anabolic effect on bone. When treated with prednisolone these mice did not demonstrate the bone loss seen in their wild type equivalents. These negative effects of glucocorticoids still occurred in mice where the GR was modified such that it was not able to form dimeric complexes (the dim-dim mice). This implies that the actions of

306	This study identified suppression of IL-11 as an important mediator of the adverse

307 effects of glucocorticoids on bone.

- 308 Although it has been generally assumed that the GR is the most important target of glucocorticoids
- 309 in the osteoblast it has been reported that the MR is also expressed in these cells.⁶⁸ In mouse models

310 blockade of MR signalling either through the use of the MR antagonist spironolactone or through

311 transgenic deletion of the MR results in some protection against the effects of therapeutic

312 glucocorticoids.⁶⁹ The relative importance of GR and MR signalling in bone and whether there are

313 interactions between the GR and MR signalling pathways has not been determined.

314

315 II.I.II Effects on osteocytes:

316 Our understanding of the role that osteocytes play in the coordination of bone remodelling has

317 developed rapidly over the last two decades. A role for osteocytes in the development of GIOP was

318 suggested at an early stage and in particular a role for osteocyte apoptosis was demonstrated in

319 animal models.⁵³ Thus, osteocyte appears to be extremely sensitive to glucocorticoids.

320

321 Evidence for osteocyte apoptosis.

322	Evidence for a role of glucocorticoids in osteocyte function initially came from animal models of
323	glucocorticoid treatment in which apoptosis of osteocytes could be demonstrated. 53,70 These
324	observations were supported by studies examining human bone from individuals that had been
325	exposed to high levels of glucocorticoids where signs of osteocyte apoptosis were also seen. 53,71
326	Osteocytes are thought to be long-lived cells and it is uncertain whether osteocytes that have
327	apoptosed can be replaced by new osteocytes. As such death of osteocytes would be likely to have
328	prolonged consequences for the organism. Apoptosis of osteocytes was also demonstrated in mice

Comment [RSH4]: Is it worth having a table summerising the GC targeted inhibition in OBs and reporting the phenotype?

Yes definitely

treated with a high dose of prednisolone (2.4mg/kg/d over 28 days) while osteocytes remained
unaffected at a lower dose of 1.4mg/kg/d, suggesting that there may be a threshold for the
development of osteocyte apoptosis.⁷²

Various factors have been found to protect against glucocorticoid induced apoptosis of osteocytes in
animal models. These include PTH, bisphosphonates, calcitonin and OPG.⁷³⁻⁷⁶ Whether this
mechanism contributes to the therapeutic efficacy of some of these agents in human GIOP is unclear
and difficult to test clinically.

336 It should be noted that osteocyte apoptosis in GIOP has not been a universal finding. Indeed, there 337 was no evidence of osteoblast or osteocyte apoptosis in control mice treated with glucocorticoids in 338 the study examining the effects of osteoblast/osteocyte specific deletion of GR on the sensitivity of 339 bone to glucocorticoids.⁵⁰ This lack of osteocyte apoptosis was manifest despite glucocorticoids 340 having a clearly detrimental effect on bone formation and bone strength. It is possible that this 341 observation reflects differences between strains and glucocorticoid dosing but it also implies that 342 glucocorticoid induced apoptosis of osteocytes is not an essential step for glucocorticoids to have 343 their negative effect on bone. In this context there may be a parallel with the clinical situations of 344 osteoporosis and osteonecrosis. Therapeutic glucocorticoid administration can cause both but the 345 development of clinically significant osteonecrosis is generally much rarer than that of osteoporosis.

346

Glucocorticoid treatment has been demonstrated to induce significant structural changes in the
environment of the osteocyte. Glucocorticoids adversely affect fluid flow in the canalicular network.
⁷⁷ This effect would be expected to have detrimental effects on osteocyte health but could also
directly influence bone mechanical strength through effects on bone tissue hydration. Glucocorticoid
treatment is also associated with an increase in mean osteocyte lacunar size.⁷⁸ There is, in addition,
a reduction in mineralisation in the bone adjacent to the osteocytes, a phenomenon referred to as

353	'osteocytic osteolysis'. ⁷⁸ This suggests that part of the anatomical pathology involved GIOP could be
354	microscopic changes to bone mineral properties through perilacunar osteolysis or
355	hypomineralisation. The mechanism by which glucocorticoids cause these changes is not
356	established. These changes could explain the relatively rapid change in fracture risk during
357	glucocorticoid treatment and its reversibility. In addition, this type of microarchitectural change
358	would reduce bone strength disproportionately to the change in BMD measured by DXA, thus
359	accounting for the increased fracture risk observed for the same level of BMD in GIOP.
360	The group that described the microscopic changes in osteocyte lacunae discussed above have
361	attempted to define the cellular processes that are responsible for these changes. They found that
362	glucocorticoid treatment was associated with expression of a range of genes in osteocytes
363	associated with autophagy. ^{72,79} Autophagy is a cellular pathway designed to maintain cellular
364	homeostasis by degrading damaged organelles through formation of autophagasomes. Osteocytes
365	are reported to respond to glucocorticoid treatment with an increase in autophagy markers and the
366	accumulation of autophagosome vacuoles. It was hypothesised that autophagy maintained cell
367	viability in the presence of glucocorticoids ⁷⁹ The balance between protective autophagy clearance of
368	damaged organelles and destruction of key cellular components may shift across tissue sites and
369	with different glucocorticoid doses making its contribution to glucocorticoid mediated suppression
370	of osteoblasts in vivo difficult to truly appreciate. Piemontese et al. tested whether genetic
371	suppression of autophagy was associated with increased sensitivity of osteocytes to
372	glucocorticoids. ⁸⁰ They deleted autophagy related gene 7 (Atg7), a gene central to the autophagy
373	process, from osteocytes using the Dmp1Cre promoter. In control mice glucocorticoids stimulated
374	autophagy in osteocytes and this was blocked in transgenic mice. However, there was no impact of
375	autophagy suppression on the effects of glucocorticoids on bone. Interestingly, chemical inhibitors
376	of autophagy have demonstrated protection against glucocorticoid induced bone loss and
377	maintained bone formation. ⁸¹ However, autophagy had now been reported to occur in osteoclasts
378	exposed to glucocorticoids. ^{82,83} Selective deletion of Atg7 in osteoclast precursors suppressed

glucocorticoid induced increases in bone resorption and bone loss in mice without any impact on
osteoblast differentiation.⁸² Currently it appears that glucocorticoids induce autophagy in both
osteocytes and osteoclasts but that the process in osteoclasts but not osteocytes impacts on bone
strength.

383 The osteocyte is now clearly established as being central to the process of bone remodelling through secretion of several key regulators of bone physiology.⁸⁴ Osteocytes can generate OPG and RANKL. 384 385 Glucocorticoids down regulate the production of OPG in osteocytes whereas the expression of RANKL appears unchanged. ^{85,86} Osteocyte secretion of RANKL appears to be a requirement for loss 386 of cortical bone in mice treated with glucocorticoids.⁸⁶ In this study glucocorticoids did not directly 387 388 regulate RANKL in osteocytes but rather reduced the expression of OPG which allowed greater 389 activity of the RANKL present. The osteocyte is also an important producer of wnt signalling 390 antagonists such as sclerostin and DKK1. Glucocorticoids appear to increase the production of both 391 sclerostin and DKK1 by osteocytes.⁵¹ The role of sclerostin in glucocorticoid induced bone loss has 392 been examined in studies using anti-sclerostin antibodies and animals with genetic knockout of 393 sclerostin. Treatment of mice with anti-sclerostin antibodies prevented the glucocorticoid induced reduction in bone formation seen with placebo treated mice.⁸⁷ One study reported that these 394 antibodies protected mice from glucocorticoid induced osteocyte apoptosis.⁸⁸ A study using 395 396 sclerostin/Sost knockout mice found that sclerostin deficiency protected against glucocorticoid 397 induced bone loss but did not protect against a decrease in bone formation or an increase in osteoblast/osteocyte apoptosis.⁸⁵ The protection appeared due to preservation of OPG levels and a 398 protection against increased bone resorption that was seen in wild type mice.⁸⁵ 399

These studies suggest that all of these molecules may have a role in different aspects of the effects of glucocorticoids depending on the model used. With regards to sclerostin and DKK1, it is currently unclear which particular pathway is most relevant in animals and humans. In particular it is not clear whether they both have essential roles or if there is compensation or redundancy between them.

405 Controversies in the field.

406	A major issue is that osteocyte apoptosis should leave long standing consequences on bone since
407	these cells are thought to be long lived. However, epidemiological studies indicate that the increased
408	risk of fracture during treatment with glucocorticoids declines rapidly when the treatment is
409	discontinued. It is possible that in humans there is a spectrum of osteocytic damage that can
410	manifest as osteoporosis if the degree of osteocyte damage is modest, but as frank osteonecrosis if
411	the degree of osteocyte damage is more extensive. It is also not clear why some studies in mice do
412	not show any evidence of osteocyte apoptosis even when there are clearly negative effects of
413	glucocorticoid treatment on other aspects of bone health.
414	A further limitation is that the transgenic models discussed above which target glucocorticoid
415	receptor signalling in osteoblasts also disrupt glucocorticoid signalling in osteocytes. To date, no
416	osteocyte or osteoblast specific GR deletion model has been produced and evaluated in the context
417	of GIOP. As such the relative contributions of osteoblasts and osteocytes to the observed
418	phenotypes are not clear. It is possible that there may be independent contributions from both of
419	these cell types which require a fuller exploration.
420	
421	II.I.III Effects on osteoclasts:
422	The effects of glucocorticoids on osteoclasts have been examined in vitro and in vivo with indirect
423	inferences being made through clinical studies. The examination of the role of osteoclasts in GIOP

424 has been complicated since glucocorticoids appear to have direct effects on osteoclasts or their

425 precursors but also have powerful indirect influences on osteoclastogenesis and osteoclast function

426 via effects on osteoblasts and osteocytes.

427 In vitro studies have shed light on the direct actions of glucocorticoids in human osteoclasts where they increase resorption activity and pit formation.^{89,90} High doses of glucocorticoids are used in 428 culture media to promote the growth and differentiation of osteoclasts.⁹¹ Greater mechanistic 429 430 insights into these observations have come from murine osteoclast culture studies where addition of glucocorticoids prolongs longevity through their activation of the GR receptor.^{92,93} However, these 431 432 same studies have identified that therapeutic glucocorticoids are also able to supress osteoclast 433 differentiation and activation in vitro by increasing apoptosis and interfering with cytoskeletal reorganisation and rendering them less responsive to the pro-osteoclastogenic actions of M-CSF.^{92,93} 434 Similarly, osteoclast activity is suppressed within cultures of osteoclast in rats as a result of increased 435 apoptosis in response to glucocorticoids.⁹⁴ Overall, similar to what has been found in osteoblasts, 436 437 the effects of glucocorticoids on osteoclast formation and bone resorbing capacity appear to be dose 438 dependent with mostly stimulatory actions at low concentrations and inhibitory effects at very high 439 concentrations. 440 The effects of glucocorticoids on osteoclasts in vivo have been examined in murine models of 441 glucocorticoid excess.^{93,95,96} Bone resorption/osteoclast activity is increased during early treatment 442 with glucocorticoids supporting in vitro observations that glucocorticoids increase the survival of 443 osteoclasts. Targeted abrogation of glucocorticoid signalling in osteoclasts using 11β -HSD2 444 expression resulted in protection against this initial increase in osteoclast activity in mice treated with prednisolone.⁹³ However, with prolonged exposure to high levels of glucocorticoids the number 445 of osteoclasts is reduced due to a delay in the differentiation of new osteoclasts.⁹² 446 The most provocative studies in this area examined the deletion of the GR in osteoclasts using the 447 LysM^{CRE} transgene.⁹² LysM^{CRE} is expressed in cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage including 448 449 osteoclasts. The mouse strain had a mixed 129/C57 genetic background. Rather than generating an

- 450 osteoclastic phenotype the main consequence of osteoclast GR deletion was unexpectedly protected
- 451 against the fall in bone formation during treatment with dexamethasone (10mg/kg daily injections)

as assessed by mineral apposition rate and serum osteocalcin levels. The osteoblasts did not appear
to be protected against glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis. This study implies that at least some of
the effects of glucocorticoids on the osteoblast might be mediated via the osteoclast. No mechanism
for such communication was identified. Although not examined in this context several established
signalling pathways by which osteoclasts can potently suppress bone formation have been
reported^{97,98} giving these findings plausibility despite their sharp contrast with most of the existing
literature.

459 Similar studies using osteoclast GR deletion have failed to show the same effect. Prednisolone treatment of another mouse (Balb/c background) with GR knockout using the LysM^{CRE} transgene did 460 461 not demonstrate any protection against the reduction in bone formation as assessed by bone 462 formation rate.⁵⁰ Likewise, the expression of 11β -HSD2 within osteoclasts using the tartrate resistant 463 acid phosphatase (TRAP) promoter in the FVB/N mouse strain failed to protect mice against a 464 decrease in bone formation as assessed by serum osteocalcin levels in response to treatment with slow release prednisolone pellets.⁹³ It is possible that these differences relate to subtle differences in 465 466 strain, glucocorticoid dose or experimental set up. For instance the data regarding the effect of osteoclasts on bone formation examined the growth of the calvarial bone surface.⁹² As discussed 467 earlier the outer cortex of bone seems to respond differently to glucocorticoids⁶⁷ and thus the 468 469 choice of surface may be an important factor in these results. Overall, given that there is only one 470 study in support, on the current balance of evidence a significant role for osteoclasts in 471 glucocorticoid induced suppression of bone formation throughout the skeleton appears unlikely.

472

473 Summary:

- 474 Glucocorticoids have multiple effects on osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts (summarised in
- 475 figure...). Many of these effects appear to be individually very powerful in determining specific

Comment [M5]: Need to add figure.

476 phenotypes when examined in mouse models and this implies that no single mechanism is likely to 477 mediate all of the effects seen in the clinical setting. Reduced bone formation at trabecular bone 478 sites and increased endocortical resorption appear to be the most consistent pathological findings. 479 The results appear to indicate that the osteocyte is the most important target of glucocorticoids but 480 several major signalling pathways and cellular processes are all affected simultaneously. It should 481 also be noted that none of the studies described above examined the effects of glucocorticoids in 482 the context of inflammation. Clinical studies of patients treated with therapeutic glucocorticoids for 483 various conditions consistently demonstrate that inflammation and the activity of the underlying 484 disease being treated can have a substantial effect on bone independent of glucocorticoid use or 485 more likely through complex interactions between glucocorticoids, the underlying illness and bone 486 metabolism.

487

488 II.II Other endocrine and non-endocrine effects on bone

489	Glucocorticoids have effects on bone independent of their direct actions on bone cells. These effects
490	are however difficult to study in animal models, particularly those that do not simulate an underlying
491	disease being treated. Therapeutic glucocorticoids are well known to reduce sex steroid levels and
492	this could have an adverse impact on bone. ⁹⁹ The reduction in sex steroid levels is likely to be greater
493	in people with serious inflammatory illness which in itself is likely to impact on the hypothalamo-
494	pituitary-gonadal axis. ¹⁰⁰ Evidence in support of this notion comes from clinical trial data which
495	indicate that premenopausal women are relatively protected against the effects of glucocorticoids
496	on fracture risk. ¹⁰¹ Clinical studies have indicated that estrogen treatment of post-menopausal
497	women ^{102,103} or testosterone (but not nandrolone) treatment of men ¹⁰⁴ taking glucocorticoids results
498	in an increase in spine but not hip bone density (as measured by DXA). No fracture data are
499	available. In women taking glucocorticoids who are already taking HRT there does not appear to be

any increase in bone density with continued use whereas the addition of intermittent PTH injection
 substantially improves BMD at the spine.¹⁰⁵

Glucocorticoids also have complex effects on calcium, vitamin D metabolism and parathyroid
hormone. The literature relating to these actions is relatively old but indicates that glucocorticoids
interfere with intestinal calcium absorption and increases renal calcium excretion.¹⁰⁶ Early research
also suggested a role for altered parathyroid hormone levels in the pathogenesis of GIOP.¹⁰⁷
However, a comprehensive review failed to find strong evidence for a role of parathyroid hormone
in the detrimental effects of glucocorticoids on bone.¹⁰⁸

508 The effects of glucocorticoids are also attributable to changes in other circulating or locally produced

509 hormones. The GH/IGF1 axis is known to have anabolic effects on bone growth and bone density.¹⁰⁹

510 These hormones are suppressed by high levels of glucocorticoids. Many in vitro studies have

511 indicated that the suppressive effects of glucocorticoids on osteoblast function can be partially

512 reversed by GH and/or IGF1 treatment.¹⁰⁹ However, there are very few clinical studies examining this

513 issue. Small studies in which children taking glucocorticoids for inflammatory bowel disease or

514 arthritis were treated with GH indicated that GH therapy could improve some measures of bone

515 formation and reverse effects of glucocorticoids on growth but these studies lacked control

516 groups.^{110,111}

517 Glucocorticoids also have adverse effects on muscle strength, which known to influence bone strength through mechanical loading ¹¹². This association of glucocorticoids with muscle strength is 518 519 well characterised in Cushing's disease where proximal myopathy is a characteristic and relatively 520 specific feature of glucocorticoid excess. These actions are mediated through inhibition of myogenesis and increased proteolysis and atrophy of muscle fibres.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ As a consequence, 521 522 glucocorticoid treatment appears to be a risk factor for falls. However, as discussed elsewhere, in a disease situation it is very difficult to disentangle the effect of glucocorticoid treatment from that of 523 524 the underlying disease. Indeed, in certain inflammatory myopathies including polymyositis and

525	dermatomyositis the application of therapeutic glucocorticoids protects against muscle wasting
526	through the suppression of disease activity. ¹¹⁶ Some evidence supporting a positive role of
527	endogenous glucocorticoids in maintaining muscle mass during inflammatory disease comes from
528	mice in which 11 β -HSD1 expression in muscle has been deleted. ¹¹⁷ These mice have normal muscle
529	size and characteristics in the basal state but in response to inflammation, muscle loss is much
530	greater in 11 eta -HSD1 deficient mice. These data highlight the clinical dilemmas in treating
531	inflammatory muscle and joint diseases with glucocorticoids, where such treatment may result in
532	detrimental, neutral or strongly positive effects on muscle strength and falls risk, depending on the
533	impact of glucocorticoids on the underlying illness.
534	
535	II.III Metabolic consequences mediated through bone cells
536	In addition to the deleterious effects of therapeutic glucocorticoids on bone, these medications are
537	also associated with an increased risk of impaired glucose tolerance, diabetes or, in people with pre-
538	existing diabetes, worsening of diabetic control. ^{118,119} These effects have previously been assumed to
539	be due to actions of glucocorticoids on tissues classically associated with insulin secretion or
540	sensitivity such as the liver, muscle and pancreas. ¹¹⁸ However, recent studies suggest that there may
541	in addition by a role for bone in the development of dysmetabolism associated with glucocorticoid
542	treatment ¹²⁰ .
543	Multiple studies in mice indicate that osteocalcin has metabolic effects. In particular osteocalcin, and
544	in particular the uncarboxylated form of osteocalcin, appear to improve glycemic control and insulin
545	sensitivity through effects on insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. ¹²¹ Cross-sectional studies in
546	humans also demonstrate correlations between serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin and diabetes
547	risk. ¹²² The exact molecular pathways affected are unclear particularly since the identity of the

osteocalcin receptor(s) is still uncertain, although some candidates have been proposed such as the
 GPRC6A receptor.¹²³

550 Given that the level of osteocalcin in the circulation is dramatically reduced by therapeutic 551 glucocorticoids it was hypothesised that some of the effects of glucocorticoids on systemic 552 metabolism might be mediated by the glucocorticoid induced reduction of circulating osteocalcin 553 concentrations. Studies using 11β -HSD2 transgenic mice which, as discussed above, selectively 554 express 11β -HSD2 in osteoblasts and osteocytes, demonstrated that the glucocorticoid induced 555 reduction in serum osteocalcin levels was substantially reduced when glucocorticoid-signalling in 556 osteoblasts was disrupted. Furthermore, these mice had preserved glucose tolerance compared to littermate control mice that did not have 11β-HSD2 expression in bone.¹²¹ This protection against 557 558 the effects of glucocorticoids on glucose tolerance was also seen in wild type mice in which 559 osteocalcin was heterotopically and constitutively expressed in the liver. This rescuing of the 560 dysglycemic phenotype was seen with heterotopic expression of either wild type osteocalcin (which 561 would be expected to be carboxylated in vivo) or a mutant form of osteocalcin which lacked the 562 ability to be carboxylated. It is unclear whether similar protection might exist in humans. 563 An alternative approach has been to examine any possible impact of bone active treatments in 564 patients treated with glucocorticoids. It is known that bisphosphonates reduce, and teriparatide 565 stimulates osteocalcin synthesis and as such these treatments might result in differences in glycemic 566 control when used in the treatment of GIOP. One small prospective study involving 111 people 567 taking glucocorticoids that were treated with either bisphosphonates or teriparatide reported a 568 small but significant decrease in HbA1c in people who took teriparatide whereas there was no 569 change in HbA1c in those that took bisphosphonates or just calcium and vitamin D.¹²⁴ 570 Although osteocalcin has been the most studied mediator of effects of bone on systemic metabolism 571 it is likely that other pathways exist. These pathways have been reviewed elsewhere but have not yet been examined in the context of glucocorticoids and bone.^{125,126} 572

- 573 Given that there is a role for excess glucocorticoids in the development of systemic dysmetabolism
- 574 there is a possibility that endogenous glucocorticoids have a similar influence on systemic
- 575 metabolism via an action on bone. Circumstantial evidence for this exists in that transgenic deletion
- 576 of 11 β -HSD1 globally protects mice against the adverse effects of glucocorticoids on energy
- 577 metabolism.¹²⁷ However, deletion of 11β -HSD1 in classical target tissues of glucocorticoids such as
- 578 liver, fat or muscle failed to prevent these effects suggesting that other tissues also contribute to the
- adverse metabolic phenotype seen with glucocorticoid exposure.

581 III Endogenous glucocorticoids and bone

582	In this section we will review data relating to the effects of endogenous glucocorticoid excess on
583	bone. We will review the bone phenotype in Cushing's disease but also more subtle states of
584	autonomous states of circulating glucocorticoid excess. We will then examine the role of tissue
585	specific changes in glucocorticoid action focussing primarily on the role of 11 β -hydroxysteroid
586	dehydrogenase enzymes.
587	
588	III.I States of circulating glucocorticoid excess, Cushing's disease and autonomous cortisol
589	production
590	III.I.I Bone disease in Cushing's disease/syndrome.
591	As discussed in section II.1, there is strong evidence that endogenous glucocorticoids are required
592	for normal bone metabolism and osteoblastogenesis. ^{63,128} In contrast, in Cushing's disease and other
593	clear cut forms of endogenous circulating glucocorticoid excess, there is normally a substantial
594	negative impact on bone (reviewed in Toth and Grossman ¹²⁹). This was recognised early on by
595	Cushing, and bone related complications of Cushing's disease are clearly evident in clinical practice. ¹
596	More recent studies that have attempted to quantify the bone effects of endogenous Cushing's
597	syndrome have generally been small (up to around 180 patients) and varied according to the
598	number of patients with each underlying cause of Cushing's (pituitary, adrenal, ectopic,
599	adrenocortical cancer etc.), and length of time before diagnosis was made. Despite this diversity, the
600	studies have been very consistent in indicating a substantially increased risk of fracture (typically a
601	fracture prevalence of 50% is reported) and a greater chance of having very low bone density (below
602	a T-score of -2.5) when assessed by DXA. The incidence and prevalence figures depend on the extent
603	to which fractures are searched for. In a self-report survey of 125 patients with endogenous
604	Cushing's syndrome and age and sex matched controls, fracture risk appeared to be elevated

substantially in the 2 years prior to diagnosis with an incidence rate ratio of 6 in patients with
Cushing's syndrome.¹³⁰ Interestingly there was no evidence of an increased risk of fracture prior to 2
years before the diagnosis. Additionally, after successful treatment the reported fracture rate was
also no different from that of controls. Although an important study with a high (83%) response rate,
the data was limited by the study's focus on clinical fractures.

610 This type of analysis cannot accurately determine the risk of vertebral fractures. Vertebral fractures 611 are frequently misdiagnosed or missed. As a consequence, the overall rate of vertebral fractures of any origin is usually grossly underestimated unless examined for specifically.¹³¹ Vertebral fractures, 612 613 even if asymptomatic, are amongst the strongest risk factors for further fracture and premature mortality.^{131,132} The standard approach to the diagnosis of vertebral fractures is to examine for loss 614 615 of vertebral height on spine radiographs using the Genant classification (with a fracture defined as a loss of anterior vertebral height of 20% or more)¹³³. A study by Tauchmanova et al. focussed 616 617 particularly on the risk of spine fractures in patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome of various etiologies and examined spine radiographs in cases and controls.¹³⁴ In an analysis of 80 patients and 618 619 80 controls, vertebral fractures were present in a remarkable 76% of patients with Cushing's. In an 620 equally remarkable 85% of patients with a vertebral fracture, multiple fractures were present. Only 621 24% of spine fractures were known to the patient. 622 A comprehensive and contemporary analysis of bone disease in a cohort of patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome was reported by Belaya et al.¹³⁵ All patients had chest radiographs 623

and AP and lateral spine radiographs. In 182 patients studied, 81 patients had fractures. 70 of these
patients had fractures of the spine. 53 out of these 70 patients had multiple vertebral fractures. Out
of over 150 fractures just 7 were non rib, non-vertebral fractures. These figures indicate that
prevention of spine fractures should be the major skeletal priority in patients with endogenous

628 Cushing's syndrome.

629	Although Cushing's syndrome is associated with bone loss (as assessed by DXA) and osteoporotic
630	fractures, the utility of bone mineral density scans in predicting fracture is limited. In the studies
631	described above, fractures (and in particular spine fractures) occurred in some patients with
632	relatively well preserved BMD. ¹³⁴ In the largest study, bone density measured by DXA was not
633	predictive of fracture in a multivariable model that took into account the severity of
634	hypercortisolaemia. ¹³⁵ The only predictor of fracture in this study was the severity of Cushing's.
635	However in an earlier study spine BMD was a predictor of vertebral fracture in Cushing's. ¹³⁴ Since the
636	severity of Cushing's is associated with reductions in bone mass it is likely that the severity of
637	Cushing's and the decrease in measured BMD both provide clinically useful information in the
638	assessment of fracture risk in these patients. Trabecular bone score (TBS; a non-invasive measure of
639	trabecular bone architecture derived from spine DXA scans) has also been evaluated in patients with
640	Cushing's. ¹³⁵ Values were found to be significantly reduced (indicating impaired trabecular bone
641	structure) but the scores did not have predictive value in estimating the risk of vertebral bone
642	fracture. Advanced imaging techniques such as high resolution peripheral quantitative CT (HR-pQCT)
643	and hrQCT of vertebral bone, and techniques for in vivo examination of material properties such as
644	microindentation, which have all been used in patients treated with glucocorticoids, have not yet
645	been reported in patients with Cushing's.
646	Other potential predictors of fracture have also been examined in Cushing's. In one study fracture
647	risk at the spine appeared to be independent of the presence of menstrual irregularities with
648	amenorrheic women having a similar risk of fracture and BMD to those with eumenorrhea. ¹³⁴
649	Another study however suggested that reduction in BMD was more likely in women with estrogen
650	deficiency. ¹³⁶ Fracture risk was higher in patients with ectopic ACTH syndrome, presumably as a
651	result of the higher cortisol levels usually found in this condition. ¹³⁴ Serum osteocalcin levels have
652	also been associated with fracture risk but again this relationship appears to be mediated by the
653	levels of cortisol present. ¹³⁵ In terms of prediction of changes in BMD, the correlation between the
654	extent of reduction in BMD and degree of cortisol excess has been reported in eumenorrheic women

with Cushing's.¹³⁷ The extent of reduction of BMD in patients with Cushing's has also been
 associated with the duration of disease.¹³⁶

657 Whereas fracture risk in Cushing's has been quantified in only a small number of studies, changes in biochemical markers of bone turnover have been assessed in at least 16 reports (reviewed in¹²⁹). A 658 659 finding in all but one of these studies is that serum osteocalcin levels are considerably decreased in 660 Cushing's. The results for other formation markers (PINP, PICP, alkaline phosphatase) show less, if 661 any, change. Bone resorption markers do not appear to change in a consistent fashion in Cushing's. 662 The sensitivity of osteocalcin expression to glucocorticoids is well known and in this situation serum 663 osteocalcin levels might be viewed as a marker of bone tissue glucocorticoid exposure rather than a 664 true bone formation marker. The relationship of low serum osteocalcin with excessive cortisol levels 665 is so strong that serum osteocalcin has been proposed as a diagnostic marker of Cushing's 666 syndrome.¹³⁸ In a group of patients with Cushing's syndrome serum osteocalcin levels were found to 667 be highly correlated with serum cortisol measured at 0800 hrs, 2400 hrs and after a low dose 668 dexamethasone suppression test. In a follow up study, the diagnostic utility of serum osteocalcin in 669 patients presenting with obesity and risk factors for Cushing's syndrome was evaluated.¹³⁹ It was 670 found that osteocalcin had a sensitivity of 74% and a specificity of 97% for the identification of 671 Cushing's syndrome. Additional prospective studies will be required to fully evaluate the clinical 672 utility of osteocalcin as a diagnostic tool in Cushing's syndrome. 673 The changes in bone status in response to successful therapy have also been evaluated. In the self-674 report survey of patients with Cushing's syndrome described above the risk of fracture did not appear to be elevated after treatment.¹³⁰ This study was likely to have modest sensitivity in terms of 675 676 fracture detection given the relatively small number of patients available and the lack of detailed analysis of spine fractures. Studies consistently report a rise in BMD after successful treatment.¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴³ 677 678 Although pre-disease BMD is clearly not available in the majority of people it is reported that the

679 deficit in bone mass is largely reversible, at least in younger patients.¹⁴² These changes appear

680	relatively complex and vary between skeletal sites. Successful treatment is associated with an
681	improvement in spine areal BMD but also an increase in bone area. ¹⁴⁰ This suggests the possibility of
682	new bone being laid down on the outside of the vertebral bones (periosteal apposition) when
683	glucocorticoid levels are restored to normal. Intriguingly, after successful treatment bone density
684	and bone area at the wrist were actually reported to decrease. ¹⁴⁰ Although the authors proposed
685	that this reflects a redistribution of bone from the appendicular to the axial skeleton it is unclear
686	how such redistribution might occur, particular in relation to bone area, as this would require
687	removal of bone from the outer cortex of the bone. The results are in keeping with the findings in
688	mice that formation of bone at the outer cortex of some bones of the peripheral skeleton is actually
689	stimulated by glucocorticoids rather than being suppressed. ⁶⁶ Serum osteocalcin also increases
690	rapidly after treatment. ¹³⁸ Whereas there is no correlation between serum osteocalcin and other
691	bone markers prior to treatment, shortly after successful treatment a strong correlation between
692	osteocalcin and bone resorption markers develops (as is normally seen in populations of healthy
693	people). ¹³⁸ As such, serum osteocalcin levels appear to primarily reflect cortisol levels in patients
694	with Cushing's prior to treatment, in treated patients they behave more like a traditional marker of
695	bone formation. Although the data in general suggest a reversal of bone disease in patients
696	successfully cured caution should be taken if patients need long term glucocorticoid replacement
697	after cure. In a group of patients successfully cured the continuing use of glucocorticoid replacement
698	was associated with reductions in BMD, BMC and osteocalcin compared to matched controls. ¹³⁶
699	These effects were most evident in women with coexisting estrogen deficiency. This exaggerated
700	sensitivity of estrogen deficient women is in keeping with the greater risk of fracture of post-
701	menopausal women treated with therapeutic glucocorticoids (discussed in section IV.1). As such,
702	glucocorticoid replacement must be particularly carefully monitored in this group.
703	
,05	

704 III.I.II Bone disease is autonomous cortisol secretion.

705 More subtle states of glucocorticoid excess also appear to detrimentally impact on bone. Most 706 attention has focussed on the concept of subclinical endogenous hypercortisolism, also referred to as subclinical Cushing's and more recently autonomous cortisol secretion.^{144,145} This condition is in 707 708 principle defined by abnormal cortisol secretion in the absence of clinical features of glucocorticoid 709 excess. It is usually associated with nodules of the adrenal cortex (adrenal incidentaloma, AI). The 710 condition is controversial and the best diagnostic criteria have yet to be established. In various 711 studies the criteria differ but the most common component of the diagnosis is failure to suppress serum cortisol after a 1mg dexamethasone suppression text (DST).¹⁴⁴ Depending on the definition 712 713 the condition appears to be relatively common and is driven by the background prevalence of Als. 714 The prevalence of AIs based on radiographic series depends heavily on age but in is estimated that 715 3% of people aged 50 have an adrenal nodule whereas up to 10% of elderly individuals may have 716 Als.¹⁴⁴ It has been estimated that up to 30% of patients with Als have some degree of autonomous 717 cortisol secretion⁷ and as such up to 1-3% of the population aged 50 and above might have 718 autonomous cortisol secretion.

719 The research examining the relationship between bone health and the presence of autonomous 720 cortisol secretion (usually in the context of patients known to have AI) is dominated by the studies of 721 Chiodini and colleagues. These include cross-sectional, longitudinal, retrospective and prospective 722 studies examining bone density, bone markers and fracture prevalence and incidence in these individuals. Most studies reported a reduction in BMD at the spine as assessed by either DXA^{146,147} or 723 724 qCT.^{148,149} Trabecular bone score has also been reported to be lower and to predict the development of fracture in this group of patients.¹⁴⁷ As with bone changes in Cushing's disease the data relating to 725 726 the change in BMD at the hip is less clear with some studies indicating a reduction in BMD and some 727 no change. Differences between studies are likely due to the relatively small number of patients 728 examined in most studies and heterogeneity in the proportions of men, pre-menopausal and post-729 menopausal women. Again, in a similar fashion to that seen with endogenous Cushing's syndrome, 730 autonomous cortisol secretion is reported to be associated with a decrease in blood osteocalcin

revels but no consistent changes in other markers or bone formation or markers of bone resorption.
These studies were however relatively small with typically less than 50 patients.

733 The most dramatic findings in these studies are the presence of vertebral fractures. A recent meta-734 analysis of these studies found that the prevalence of radiographically identified vertebral fractures 735 was 63.6% (CI 56-71%) in patients with autonomous cortisol secretion compared to a prevalence of 736 16% (CI 5-28) in controls.⁷ Interestingly, patients known to have AI that do not meet the criteria for 737 autonomous cortisol secretion were reported to have a higher prevalence of spine fractures (28%) 738 than controls without AI (20-35). This suggested to the authors that some patients with AI with 739 excessive production of cortisol might not be detected by current tests and by implication that all Als 740 are a risk factor for fracture. The authors of the meta-analysis could not identify any patient related 741 factors that predicted the development of fractures beyond the presence of autonomous cortisol 742 secretion. A study of 570 patients with AIs attempted to determine the threshold cortisol level post 743 1mg DST (using an Abbott TDxFLs cortisol assay) which is best able to predict the presence and the future development of vertebral fractures.¹⁵⁰ It was found that a post DST cortisol level of greater 744 745 than 2.0 microgram per decilitre (55 nmol/L) was the best criteria in both situations with sensitivities 746 and specificities between 68 and 80%. The presence of cortisol levels above this threshold was 747 associated with an odds ratio of fracture of over 10.

748 These prevalence and incidence rates of vertebral fracture in people with AI are extremely high and 749 could represent a large burden of disease that is currently not being addressed. However, the 750 proportion of these fractures that actually cause symptoms or otherwise impact on patient well-751 being is unknown. Although difficult to perform, future trials would ideally aim to determine 752 whether AIs (with or without autonomous cortisol secretion) are associated with a greater risk of 753 clinical vertebral fractures, height loss, kyphosis development or reduced quality of life relating to 754 musculoskeletal health. An alternative way of assessing whether autonomous cortisol secretion 755 relating to AIs is associated with clinically significant vertebral fracture is to examine the prevalence

756	of these abnormalities in patients presenting with clinical vertebral fracture. In one study 7 out of 65
757	patients presenting with osteoporosis and spine fracture were found to have subclinical
758	hypercortisolism. ¹⁵¹ In a subsequent study of over 600 patients with osteoporosis and no apparent
759	cause the rate of subclinical hypercortisolemia was significantly lower at 1.3%. ¹⁵² These patients
760	however had a relatively low rate of reported fracture and in particular of clinical vertebral fracture.
761	On the basis of what is known about endogenous Cushing's and subclinical Cushing's it is reasonable
762	to assume that the development of a vertebral fracture rather than just a low BMD by DXA would be
763	a more sensitive indicator of the presence of abnormal cortisol secretion.
764	Remaining questions in this area include the most appropriate treatment approach to a patient with
765	bone disease related to autonomous cortisol secretion by AI and when and how to investigate for
766	the presence of autonomous cortisol secretion in patients presenting with bone disease. A recent
767	study suggested that adrenalectomy was effective at reducing the risk of new vertebral fracture over
768	a follow up period of 28-40 months. ¹⁵³ This study was limited by a lack of randomisation. In the
769	absence of randomised clinical trials it would be reasonable to consider the option of adrenalectomy
770	in patients with AI, autonomous cortisol secretion and bone disease, particularly in the presence of
771	other conditions that might be exacerbated by cortisol excess such as hypertension and diabetes. An
772	additional option is the use of medications that are proven to be effective in the treatment of
773	idiopathic osteoporosis or GIOP associated with therapeutic glucocorticoid use. There is additionally
774	data based on a small number of people that indicates that the bisphosphonate clodronate is
775	effective in increasing BMD at the lumbar spine in subclinical Cushing's. ¹⁵⁴ No guidelines are
776	available for use of possible medical therapies in this particular situation. The use of treatment
777	should also consider that the most common site of fracture in this condition is the spine, BMD can
778	be selectively reduced at the spine and bone density may not fully predict fracture risk associated
779	with glucocorticoid excess. Fracture risk calculators such as FRAX and the Garvan Fracture Risk
780	calculator are based on hip density and might underestimate the risk of vertebral fractures in this
781	condition.

782 It remains unclear whether patients with post-menopausal and age related osteoporosis and no 783 symptoms of hypercortisolemia should be tested routinely for the condition. A pragmatic approach 784 at the current time would be to test those individuals who have a higher likelihood of cortisol excess 785 e.g. people presenting with vertebral fractures, people with BMD values that are highly discordant 786 between spine and hip with spine being low, and people with non-traumatic fractures that occurred 787 in the context of relatively normal bone density. The most appropriate test to identify people with 788 excess cortisol secretion that is likely to impact on bone would appear to be the 1mg DST with a cut-789 off of 2 micrograms per decilitre (55 nmol/L) (although these values should be adjusted based on the performance of the local cortisol assay).^{7,150,151} Clearly the distinction between autonomous cortisol 790 791 secretion and overt Cushing's syndrome might be difficult in these situations where there is clear cut 792 bone disease in association with abnormal cortisol secretion. In these situations additional 793 investigations are required to determine the basis for the abnormal cortisol levels.

794

795 III.I.III Bone impact of physiological variation in the HPA axis.

796 It is possible that individual variations in the circulating level of endogenous glucocorticoids might 797 also have an impact on bone even in the absence of any disease or condition affecting the HPA axis. 798 By examining healthy post-menopausal women before and after treatment with the adrenal 799 corticosteroid synthesis inhibitor metyrapone it has been established that the circadian variation in serum osteocalcin is influenced by adrenal cortisol secretion.¹⁵⁵ In the same study, other bone 800 801 formation or resorption markers did not appear to be influenced by adrenal function suggesting a 802 specific sensitivity of osteocalcin to glucocorticoids independent of its role as a marker of bone 803 formation. Whether variations in adrenal cortisol secretion impacts on bone health has been 804 primarily examined in studies looking at serum or salivary cortisol levels and differences in bone 805 health (mostly assessed as BMD by DXA) during ageing. These studies have generally found weak 806 associations between levels of circulating glucocorticoids at various time of day and either current

807	bone density or change in bone density over time. The results also appear to differ depending on
808	whether women or men are studied. In the a study of 228 elderly community dwelling people
809	salivary cortisol levels at 2300 were negatively associated with lumbar spine BMD in women
810	whereas in men 0700 salivary cortisol levels negatively correlated with spine BMD. ¹⁵⁶ In 34 healthy
811	elderly men that had frequent serum cortisol measurements over a 24 hour period the integrated
812	serum cortisol level over the 24 hour period was negatively associated with lumbar spine BMD.
813	Additionally, trough cortisol predicted the rate of bone loss at the spine and femoral neck over the
814	subsequent 4 years. ¹⁵⁷ In a study of over 500 men and women from the Longitudinal Ageing Study
815	Amsterdam serum fasting cortisol was associated with lower BMD at the femoral neck after
816	adjustment for age and BMI. ¹⁵⁸ A study of 135 elderly women and 171 men examined the
817	relationship between serum cortisol, serum cortisone, bone markers and BMD. ¹⁵⁹ It was found that
818	serum cortisol had no relationship with any bone measurements but serum cortisone was negatively
819	associated with serum osteocalcin levels and spine BMD. These relationships were independent of
820	the levels of cortisol. This study suggests that the relationship between adrenal corticosteroid
821	production and bone health may, at least in part, be mediated via cortisone. The only other study
822	that explored the role of cortisone in bone health performed a comprehensive analysis of adrenal
823	corticosteroid output and metabolism in young males in relation to bone development at the
824	proximal radius. In this study the level of urinary cortisone metabolites was independently and
825	negatively associated with reduced bone density. ¹⁶⁰
826	A significant limitation of these studies is their lack of information relating to fractures. Two studies
827	have however provided information in relation to fracture risk and adrenal corticosteroid
828	production. A sub-study of the MacArthur Study of Successful Ageing measured overnight (between
829	2000 to 0800 hrs) urinary free cortisol excretion in 684 men and women aged 70-79 at baseline. ¹⁶¹
830	Higher baseline UFC was significantly associated with the incidence of self-reported fractures over
831	the next 4 years. These relationships appeared to be relatively strong e.g. in the highest quartile of
832	UFC the adjusted odds of a fracture was over 5. A more recent cross-sectional study examined the

833	relationship between salivary cortisol measurements taken at various times of the day and the TBS
834	and presence of vertebral fractures. ¹⁶² The study involved over 600 women and vertebral fractures
835	were defined on the basis of Genant grade 2 or greater fractures on spine radiographs. This criteria
836	(a loss of height of greater than 25%) is more stringent than that typically used in the studies
837	examining spine fractures in people with subclinical Cushing's described above and would be
838	expected to increase the clinical significance of these fractures. It was found that salivary cortisol
839	levels at 2000 hrs were associated with the presence of vertebral fractures and that this relationship
840	was independent of age and BMD. A negative linear association between 2000 hrs salivary cortisol
841	and TBS values was also observed. Morning salivary cortisol levels were not found to be associated
842	with fracture prevalence. In multivariable models both evening salivary cortisol levels and TBS scores
843	independently predicted the presence of a spine fracture. Although methodologically very different
844	these two studies strongly support the idea that high exposure to endogenous cortisol levels in the
845	evening and overnight, even within the normal range, is associated with an increased risk of
846	fracture.

848 III.I.IV Bone impact of variation in glucocorticoid receptor expression.

849 A further possible way in which endogenous glucocorticoid action within bone could be amplified is 850 an alteration in the sensitivity or number of the glucocorticoid (or mineralocorticoid) receptors 851 within the cell or an alteration in post-receptor signalling. Several studies have examined the 852 influence of GR gene (NC3R1) polymorphisms on the sensitivity of bone to glucocorticoids. These 853 have generally been small and either negative or reported weak and inconsistent associations. Huizenga et al examined the influence of the N363S polymorphism of the GR gene on various 854 aspects of glucocorticoid sensitivity and bone composition.¹⁶³ Heterozygous carriers of this 855 856 polymorphism had greater suppression of serum cortisol levels during a 0.25mg overnight DST 857 implying greater sensitivity at the level of the GR. In terms of bone density there was a non-

858	significant difference of approximately 0.5 of a Z-score at the spine (p=0.08) but no suggestion of a
859	difference at the hip. This study was additionally limited by the low number of people with the
860	N363S polymorphism at just 10 compared to over 100 controls without. The gene for the GR has not
861	been linked to osteoporosis or fracture risk in genome wide association studies suggesting that
862	variation in the GR is unlikely to be a major factor in the development of these conditions. A possible
863	reason for this lack of association is that relatively modest changes in GR sensitivity are unlikely to
864	have consequences as long as normal HPA negative feedback is intact. Any difference in sensitivity
865	would be expected to be compensated for by small changes in circulating levels of cortisol.
866	GR gene variants that influence glucocorticoid sensitivity could influence the degree of bone damage
867	that occurs in people with excessive adrenal cortisol production due to disease states or exogenous
868	glucocorticoid usage. In these situations the HPA negative feedback would be unable to adjust for
869	difference in glucocorticoid sensitivity. Studies in these situations have suggested a possible impact
870	of GR variants. Szappanos et al. examined several GR gene variants (N363S, Bcll, ER22/23EK and
871	A3669G) in 60 people with endogenous Cushing's syndrome and 129 healthy controls. ¹⁶⁴ They found
872	that individuals with Cushing's syndrome that were homozygous for the Bcll polymorphism had
873	reduced BMD at the hip by DXA and an increased level of serum betaCTx (a bone resorption marker).
874	The other polymorphisms did not appear to influence bone. Koetz et al. examined the influence of
875	the Bcll polymorphism in 112 patients with adrenal insufficiency. ¹⁶⁵ Patients homozygous for the G
876	variant (which would be expected to increase cellular glucocorticoid sensitivity) were found to have
877	greater serum betaCTx and greater urinary NTx. However there was no difference in BMD at hip or
878	spine. Interestingly these patients were treated with significantly lower doses of replacement
879	glucocorticoids. This lower dose may have offset the increased tissue glucocorticoid sensitivity.
880	It might also be hypothesised that variants in GR sensitivity would predict the effects of therapeutic
881	glucocorticoids on bone. However, these studies are likely to be complicated by any impact that
882	variation in GR sensitivity might have on the activity of the underlying disease being treated. For

example, carriers of the N363S or Bcll minor variants (which predict increased glucocorticoid
sensitivity) are reported to have a lower risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis.¹⁶⁶ Likewise, patients
with rheumatoid arthritis that are carriers of the Bcll or N363S variants have lower levels of baseline
disease activity even in the absence of glucocorticoid treatment.¹⁶⁷ It seems likely that any
differences in GR sensitivity through genetic polymorphism will alter bone sensitivity in parallel to
that of the underlying disease requiring treatment.

889

890	Another mechanism by which glucocorticoid action could be altered at a tissue level is through the
891	active transport of glucocorticoids across cell membranes. Several membrane transporters can
892	remove certain types of glucocorticoids from the cytoplasm. This is best exemplified by the active
893	transport of the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone by cells of the blood brain barrier. ¹⁶⁸ More
894	recently the selective transport out of certain tissues of cortisol and corticosterone by ABC
895	transporters has been highlighted. ⁹ The clinical relevance of these effects is yet to be fully
896	established and they have not yet been examined in the context of bone cells or GIOP.
897	
897 898	III.II Tissue specific amplification of glucocorticoid action
	III.II Tissue specific amplification of glucocorticoid action Traditionally glucocorticoid action at a tissue level has been assumed to be closely linked with the
898	
898 899	Traditionally glucocorticoid action at a tissue level has been assumed to be closely linked with the

903 Various enzymes capable of glucocorticoid metabolism are present within bone. The enzymes that

904 have previously been examined include the 11β -HSDs and 5α -reductases. Although expression of

- 905 the 5a-reductase type 1 enzyme has been reported¹⁶⁹ the activity of this enzyme in human bone
- 906 appears modest and more attention has focussed on the 11 β -HSDs.^{10,170} There are two 11 β -HSD

907	enzymes. 11 β -HSD1 is an intrinsically bidirectional enzyme which interconverts hormonally inactive
908	cortisone (human) and dehydrocorticosterone (DHC) (rodent) with their active counterparts cortisol
909	and corticosterone respectively. ¹⁰ Although bidirectional in most situations in vivo the enzyme acts
910	principally as an activating enzyme due to the presence of a cofactor generating enzyme hexose-6-
911	phosphate dehydrogenase. ¹⁷¹ This enzyme provides a supply of NADPH within the endoplasmic
912	reticulum where 11 eta -HSD1 is located. 11 eta -HSD2 by contrast is a powerful glucocorticoid inactivating
913	enzyme converting active cortisol and corticosterone to inactive cortisone and DHC. 11 β -HSD2 is
914	normally expressed in classical mineralocorticoid sensitive tissues such as kidney, colon and
915	pancreas, where it protects the MR from binding by glucocorticoids, whilst 11 eta -HSD1 is more widely
916	expressed in tissues such as liver, adipose and skin. In terms of expression within bone, 11 β -HSD
917	activity was first recognised in cultured osteosarcoma cells and primary cultures of osteoblasts. ^{172,173}
918	In osteosarcoma cells 11 β -HSD2 mRNA and activity were detected whereas primary cultures of bone
919	demonstrated exclusive expression of 11 β -HSD1 ¹⁷³ . It is now known that 11 β -HSD2 is expressed in a
920	range of malignant tissues and its presence in osteosarcoma cells is thought to reflect their
921	malignant status rather than being a feature of bone cells. ^{60,174} Studies in adult mouse and human
922	bone demonstrate expression of 11 eta -HSD1 but not 11 eta -HSD2. 59,175 Immunohistochemistry and in
923	situ hybridisation studies demonstrated that the main cell type expressing 11 eta -HSD1 in bone were
924	osteoblasts and osteocytes 175 . 11 β -HSD1 expression was seen to a lesser degree in osteoclasts. 175 In
925	vitro expression appeared to vary across osteoblast differentiation with levels being low in immature
926	cells, rising and reaching a peak in mature osteoblasts. 176 The functional significance of 11 β -HSD
927	expression in bone cells was examined by transfection and stable expression of these enzymes in
928	osteosarcoma cell lines which do not normally have 11 β -HSD activity. ¹⁷⁷ Whereas empty vector cells
929	were unresponsive to cortisone, expression of 11 eta -HSD1 rendered cells sensitive to cortisone in
930	terms of reduced proliferation and expression of glucocorticoid responsive bone cell markers.

Comment [M6]: Should have a figure here.

931 The expression and activity of 11β -HSD1 have been shown to be regulated by age, cell 932 differentiation status, inflammation and by glucocorticoids themselves. Primary cultures of human osteoblasts demonstrated greater ability to generate cortisol from cortisone when cells were grown 933 from older compared to younger donors.¹⁷⁸ This relationship was also observed in mice where mRNA 934 935 for 11 β -HSD1 was increased in bones obtained from old compared to young mice.⁷⁷ The 936 inflammatory cytokines TNF α and IL-1 β are powerful stimulators of 11 β -HSD1 activity in 937 mesenchymal derived cell populations such as osteoblasts, and have been proposed as potential mediators of increased 11 β -HSD1 activity in aging.^{179,180} This upregulation appears to be via an NF- κ B 938 dependent mechanism, although CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) β has also been shown to 939 play a role in this inflammatory induction of 11β -HSD1.¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸² Glucocorticoids themselves also cause a 940 941 modest increase in 11 β -HSD1 activity and expression in osteoblasts and they can synergise with proinflammatory cytokines to cause a more dramatic increase in 11β -HSD1 expression.^{178,183}. 942 943 Clinical studies also indicate the presence of 11β -HSD1 within bone. In a cohort of elderly subjects 944 the level of cortisone in the circulation was a significant negative predictor of the blood level of osteocalcin whereas cortisol was not.¹⁵⁹ This suggested that 11β-HSD1 within osteoblasts is a 945 regulator of osteocalcin synthesis. A number of relatively small genetic association studies have 946 suggested that polymorphisms in the 11β -HSD1 gene (HSD11B1) might contribute to the 947 development of osteoporosis, regulate the level of serum osteocalcin, or increase the risk of 948 fracture.¹⁸⁴⁻¹⁸⁷ However, the gene has not been identified as a candidate in large GWAS studies. It is 949 950 possible that these polymorphisms might be important in some ethnic groups but not others. It also 951 needs to be considered that 11 β -HSD1 is also expressed in other tissues and any associations could 952 be mediated indirectly e.g. through an effect on the regulation of the degree of inflammation, rather 953 through an effect on bone cells themselves.

954 The functional role of 11 β -HSD1 in bone has been examined in some animal models. In mice with 955 global deletion of 11 β -HSD1 there is no alteration in bone density or structure.¹⁸⁸ However, on the

956 background examined the 11β -HSD1 global knock out mice have an alteration in feedback regulation of the HPA axis leading to a high level of corticosterone in the circulation.¹⁸⁹ It is possible that this 957 958 high circulating level might offset any tissue level reduction in glucocorticoid levels. The global 959 knockout mouse has not been evaluated in the context of old age or in models of glucocorticoid 960 excess and inflammation associated osteoporosis. Certainly, in the context of glucocorticoid induced 961 muscle wasting, skin thinning and hepatic steatosis, global deletion of 11β -HSD1 results in almost 962 complete protection raising the possibility that these mice will also be protected from glucocorticoid 963 induced osteoporosis.

964 The 11β-HSD enzymes also regulate the activity of the most widely used oral glucocorticoids prednisone and prednisolone.¹⁹⁰ 11β-HSD1 converts inactive prednisone to active prednisolone with 965 966 similar enzyme kinetics to that of the conversion of cortisone to cortisol. In healthy males the 967 baseline level of 11β -HSD1 (measured as the ratio of corticosteroid metabolites on a 24 hour urine 968 collection) predicted the response of bone formation markers to a short course (7 days) of oral 969 prednisolone. High baseline 11β -HSD1 activity was associated with the greatest falls in serum 970 osteocalcin and PINP levels. This relationship was independent of the circulating levels of prednisone 971 or prednisolone. The conclusions from this study are limited due to the activity being measured in 972 the total body rather than in the bone itself. Additionally, even though the predictive ability of total 973 measures of 11 β -HSD1 activity are predictive of the response of bone to glucocorticoids these 974 relationships may not persist in patients treated with glucocorticoids for inflammatory disease since inflammation itself is associated with a tissue specific increase in 11 β -HSD1 activity.¹⁹¹ In patients 975 976 with inflammatory bowel disease baseline measures of 11β-HSD1 activity on a urine sample were not predictive of the change in bone density in response to oral glucocorticoid treatment.¹⁹² 977 978 Given that inflammation increases 11β -HSD1 activity and activation of therapeutic glucocorticoids

within bone cells, the potential exists for locally activated steroids to both abrogate inflammatory

979

980 bone loss whilst directly contributing to glucocorticoid mediated bone loss. Clinical data suggest that

981	the reality may lie somewhere in-between the two, with therapeutic glucocorticoids partially
982	suppressing disease activity in patients with chronic inflammatory disease and reducing immediate
983	bone loss whilst ultimately contributing to glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with prolonged
984	use. 193,194 These data support the idea that a rapid and marked increase in 11 β -HSD1 in response to
985	inflammation is an important part of the host response to inflammation, with elevated
986	glucocorticoid activation preventing inflammatory bone loss in an acute setting. This situation is
987	complicated in chronic inflammation, where prolonged increases in 11 eta -HSD1 may begin to promote
988	bone loss in a similar fashion as seen with long term therapeutic glucocorticoid application.
989	If correct, the targeted inhibition of 11 eta -HSD1 in an inflammatory context may be highly
505	
990	disadvantageous, in a similar manner as reported in the muscles of mice with systemic inflammation
991	on a 11 β -HSD1 KO background. ¹⁹⁵ Here, the reduction in local steroid activation within muscle
992	greatly increases systemic inflammation and local muscle inflammatory cytokine production,
993	increasing inflammatory catabolic and anti-anabolic muscle wasting. In a similar manner, systemic
994	inhibition of 11 β -HSD1 may exacerbate inflammatory bone loss. Instead, alternative approaches, for
995	example, targeted inhibition of pro-inflammatory NF- κ B (or any other tissue specific regulator of
996	11 β -HSD1 activity) or bone selective inhibition of 11 β -HSD1 may be a more effective approach.
997	
998	IV Therapeutic glucocorticoid excess and bone
999	This section reviews data relating to the epidemiology and treatment of iatrogenic GIOP.
1000	Importantly, as discussed throughout this article, the term GIOP in this context could be misleading.
1001	This is because therapeutic glucocorticoids might indeed lead to bone loss through their direct
1002	actions but are also likely to have complex interactions with the underlying disease being treated. ^{5,6}
1003	Glucocorticoids in some situations might magnify the amount of damage being done to bone
1004	through worsening of imbalances between bone formation and resorption but in conditions

1005	characterised by systemic inflammation, glucocorticoids, particularly when used at modest doses
1006	might be 'bone sparing' through their anti-inflammatory actions. ^{196,197} In the later situation bone
1007	disease might be present in the context of prolonged glucocorticoid use but the damage would not
1008	be truly 'glucocorticoid-induced'. It is generally thought that most systemic inflammatory illnesses
1009	cause bone loss primarily through increased bone resorption with a relative suppression or restraint
1010	on bone formation. ^{6,198} The effectiveness of different treatment approaches (anti-resorptive agents
1011	targeting osteoclast activity vs. anabolic drugs targeting bone formation) might depend on the
1012	extent to which bone disease is secondary to inflammation or to the glucocorticoids needed to
1013	control the underlying disease.
1014	IV.I Epidemiology of glucocorticoid use and impact on bone
1015	The use of therapeutic glucocorticoids in the community is still high and may even be increasing.
1016	Studies around the turn of the century from the UK reported that up to 1% of the population were
1017	taking oral glucocorticoids on a long term basis. ^{199,200} This figure rose to almost 3% in the elderly.
1018	Data from the US based on the NHANES database between 1999 and 2008 estimated that the
1019	prevalence of long term use was 1.2%. ²⁰¹ In the Global Longitudinal Study of Osteoporosis in Women
1020	(GLOW) the rate of glucocorticoid usage at baseline study visit in this post-menopausal population
1021	was 4.6%. ²⁰² Studies based on UK databases indicate that the rate of long term glucocorticoid use is
1022	gradually increasing. ²⁰³ A recent study based on the population of Denmark reported that 3% of the
1023	Danish population filled at least one prescription for a systemically administered therapeutic
1024	glucocorticoid. In the Danish elderly population this figure rose to around 8-10%. ²⁰⁴ As such a
1025	significant proportion of the global population is exposed to therapeutic glucocorticoids.
1026	Several studies have attempted to estimate the fracture risk associated with long term
1027	glucocorticoid use. In some groups of patients treated long-term with oral glucocorticoids, the risk of
1028	developing osteoporosis and vertebral fractures was estimated at 50% or more. ⁴ These rates will
1029	depend on the specific disease being treated and the age and gender profile of the populations

1030 s [.]	tudied. Population based studies have similarly indicated that glucocorticoid usage is associated
1031 v	with an increased risk of fracture. ³ Importantly, risks of fracture were increased at the hip (relative
1032 ri	isk increase 1.6) and spine (relative risk increase 2.6) as well as an increased risk of non-vertebral
1033 fi	ractures (relative risk 1.3). Even relatively modest doses of glucocorticoids were associated with a
1034 s	ignificantly increased fracture risk, with doses as low as 2.5mg/day being linked to spine fractures.
1035 R	Risk of fractures was also associated with daily dose with a 20% increased risk of fracture seen at 5
1036 n	ng/day of prednisolone, increasing to 60% at 20 mg/day. The time of onset and offset of fracture
1037 ri	isk was particularly instructive. In the study by van Staa et al. the risk of fracture increased rapidly
1038 w	within a short time of commencing glucocorticoid therapy. ³ The risk of fracture remained elevated
1039 v	while glucocorticoids were continued but fell rapidly after glucocorticoids were ceased. The
1040 n	nechanisms for these rapid changes in fracture risk are unclear but changes in bone density alone
1041 a	are an unlikely explanation. An increased risk of falls due to myopathy associated with glucocorticoid
1042 u	use might be part of the explanation. The risk of fracture also appeared to increase in glucocorticoid
1043 u	users even before therapy was initiated, indicating that the indication for treatment is an important
1044 c	component of the increase in risk of fracture during therapy. As such glucocorticoid treatment is
1045 li	ikely to be a marker of the presence of a disease associated with increased fracture risk as well as an
1046 ir	ndependent factor itself. Support for this involvement of underlying disease in fracture risk comes
1047 fi	rom studies of patients taking inhaled glucocorticoids for respiratory disease, who had a higher
1048 fi	racture risk than healthy matched controls. ²⁰⁵ However, patients taking inhaled bronchodilators but
1049 n	no inhaled glucocorticoids had a similar increase in fracture risk compared to controls, a finding that
1050 ir	mplies that the underlying respiratory condition was the most significant contributor to fracture
1051 ri	isk.
1052 S	
	Subsequent studies have attempted to determine whether fracture risk was associated with
1053 c	Subsequent studies have attempted to determine whether fracture risk was associated with cumulative or daily dose. In general both dose and duration influence fracture risk and these two

Comment [M7]: Table for epidemiology studies?

population based cohort of over 50,000 patients from Canada which examined the relative

1056	importance of recent or remote and short or prolonged use of glucocorticoids on bone density and
1057	fracture incidence. ²⁰⁸ In this cohort only recent prolonged glucocorticoid use was associated with
1058	reduced femoral neck T-scores and a BMD-independent increase in the risk of major and hip
1059	fractures. However, most other studies provide reassurance that glucocorticoid use is only harmful
1060	to bone when used for relatively long durations. Occasional intermittent use of high dose
1061	glucocorticoids has been reported to be relatively safe in terms of bone health. ²⁰⁹ However, a recent
1062	retrospective cohort study based on private insurance claims from the US demonstrated an
1063	association between short-term glucocorticoid use (less than 30 days) with various types of harm
1064	including a 1.8 fold increased risk of fracture. ²¹⁰ Such short-term use of glucocorticoids was common
1065	at 20% of the population over the 3-year period examined, suggesting that intermittent
1066	glucocorticoid use could contribute more to population fracture risk than previously thought.
1067	Another important source of information relating to the epidemiology of GIOP are the placebo arms
1068	of the initial RCTs that examined the impact of various treatments on the development of GIOP. ²¹¹⁻
1069	²¹³ This approach has the advantage of having greater sensitivity for determining the impact of
1070	glucocorticoids on vertebral fracture risk as spine radiographs were typically taken in these trials.
1071	Overall, the placebo arms of these studies indicate that glucocorticoid treatment is associated with a
1072	high risk of fracture, particularly vertebral fracture in post-menopausal women and older men. ^{101,214}
1073	However, the risk of fracture in pre-menopausal women and younger men appeared to be very low.
1074	Where fractures did occur the BMD T-score tended to be below a level of -1.5. Whether these
1075	younger patient groups have reduced absolute risks of fracture by virtue just of their age or whether
1076	there are independent age related protective factors such as sex steroid levels remains unclear. A
1077	recent formal meta-regression of data from the placebo arms of these studies has reported annual
1078	incidence rates of vertebral fracture of 5.1% and 3.2% for patients initiating or continuing
1079	glucocorticoid treatment respectively. ²¹⁴ The corresponding rates of non-vertebral fractures were
1080	2.5% and 3.0%.

1081 Other studies have attempted to define the effect of glucocorticoid treatment on bone density and architecture over time. Zhu et al. performed a carefully controlled prospective study of women with 1082 SLE on long term glucocorticoids followed up for over 2 years.²¹⁵ Areal BMD by DXA at multiple sites 1083 1084 and microstructural analysis by high resolution peripheral QCT (HR-pQCT) at the distal radius were 1085 examined at baseline, 12 and 24 months. In premenopausal women the changes in aBMD by DXA 1086 over the two years were very similar between cases and controls. There was however a significant 1087 decrease in cortical area and thickness and an increase in cortical porosity in cases compared to 1088 controls. In post-menopausal women, again there was no significant difference in changes in BMD 1089 between cases and controls but by HR-pQCT there was a significant decrease in volumetric BMD at 1090 the cortex and more substantial decreases in cortical thickness and increases in cortical porosity. The 1091 increases in porosity seen were double in post-menopausal women compared to premenopausal 1092 women and the decrease in cortical thickness 10 fold greater. As such, at least in SLE, cortisol bone 1093 loss is significant during glucocorticoid treatment with the magnitude of changes being substantially 1094 greater in post-menopausal women. This data indicate that prolonged use of glucocorticoids leads to 1095 deterioration in bone, and in particular cortical architecture, even in the absence of any changes in 1096 BMD measured by DXA. A similar DXA independent deterioration in bone quality may also occur at 1097 the spine. Paggiosi et al. compared spine aBMD by DXA and TBS values in 484 women treated with or without glucocorticoids.²¹⁶ There was no difference in aBMD between groups but the TBS Z-score 1098 1099 was 0.8 lower in the group treated with glucocorticoids. Whether the change in TBS is predictive of 1100 fractures in this situation is not yet clear.

An important consideration in these studies is whether the changes in trabecular or cortical bone seen in people treated with glucocorticoids is due to the glucocorticoids or to the underlying disease being treated. Some studies suggest that the underlying disease itself could be contributing more to the adverse effects on bone than glucocorticoids. For example Olsson et al. examined the effect of short term, high dose glucocorticoids on bone in patients with multiple sclerosis.²¹⁷ No independent association was found between glucocorticoid usage and BMD. However, disease activity was

1107	strongly associated with decreases in spine and hip BMD. In a population based cohort study
1108	examining 1 million patients with or without COPD, COPD severity was strongly related to an
1109	increased risk of osteoporosis and fracture. ²¹⁸ However, prednisolone use and inhaled corticosteroid
1110	use were associated with a reduced rather than increased risk of osteoporosis. Although this type of
1111	study could be influenced by confounding this is further evidence of the importance of the
1112	underlying disease on fracture risk in glucocorticoid treated patients. Even though reductions in
1113	BMD in patients treated with glucocorticoids may be more strongly related to the underlying disease
1114	in some circumstances than the glucocorticoids themselves, from a practical point of view BMD
1115	measurements are still clinically useful as these patients are likely to be at an increased risk of
1116	fracture and likely to benefit from treatment.
1117	
1118	IV.II Risk stratification in the clinical setting
1119	As with guidelines relating to other forms of osteoporosis there has been a shift away from fixed cut
1119 1120	As with guidelines relating to other forms of osteoporosis there has been a shift away from fixed cut off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as $FRAX^{TM}$
1120	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM
1120 1121	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently
1120 1121 1122	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include
1120 1121 1122 1123	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the
1120 1121 1122 1123 1124	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the intervention threshold. A question relating to exposure to glucocorticoids is incorporated into
1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the intervention threshold. A question relating to exposure to glucocorticoids is incorporated into FRAX TM , the most widely used fracture risk calculator, but not other fracture risk calculators. The
1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the intervention threshold. A question relating to exposure to glucocorticoids is incorporated into FRAX TM , the most widely used fracture risk calculator, but not other fracture risk calculators. The glucocorticoid question requires a yes/no answer with the yes answer indicated if the patient is
1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the intervention threshold. A question relating to exposure to glucocorticoids is incorporated into FRAX TM , the most widely used fracture risk calculator, but not other fracture risk calculators. The glucocorticoid question requires a yes/no answer with the yes answer indicated if the patient is currently exposed to oral glucocorticoids or has been exposed to oral glucocorticoids for more than
1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128	off values of BMD by DXA as the basis for estimation of risk to the use of calculators such as FRAX TM ²¹⁹ and the Garvan Fracture Risk calculator ²²⁰ that include multiple aspects of fracture risk. Currently guidelines for GIOP risk stratification attempt to focus on estimated absolute risk but usually include additional 'red flags' that would prompt treatment even if the estimated risk is below the intervention threshold. A question relating to exposure to glucocorticoids is incorporated into FRAX TM , the most widely used fracture risk calculator, but not other fracture risk calculators. The glucocorticoid question requires a yes/no answer with the yes answer indicated if the patient is currently exposed to oral glucocorticoids or has been exposed to oral glucocorticoids for more than 3 months at a dose of prednisolone of 5mg daily or more. As discussed earlier, the risks associated

1132	FRAX output manually based on glucocorticoid dose and recency of exposure. ²¹⁹ A more
1133	fundamental limitation of FRAX and other calculators in the context of GIOP is the focus on hip and
1134	non-vertebral fractures with little emphasis on spine fractures. This extends to the requirement to
1135	enter femoral neck BMD with no possibility of entering spine BMD scores. As such in younger
1136	patients with relatively preserved BMD it is unlikely that high fracture risk values will be generated
1137	even if the risk of spine fractures was clinically significant. To address this, many guidelines also
1138	suggest treatment to be considered if the patient has a low traumatic fracture, or has a low BMD e.g
1139	less than -1.5 T score by DXA, particularly at the spine. Although not evaluated in the context of
1140	clinical studies it would seem reasonable clinical practice to have a lower threshold for obtaining
1141	spine radiographs or VFA by DXA (if available) in patients at risk of GIOP.
1142	
1143	IV.III Treatment strategies

1144There are a range of guidelines and recommendations published for the pharmacological treatment1145of GIOP.²²¹⁻²²³ Intervention thresholds and rules for treatment use and patient reimbursement vary1146considerably between countries. These guidelines will not be reviewed extensively here but rather1147the evidence related to the effectiveness of various treatments specifically on fracture risk are1148described below.

A number of RCTs have been performed in the context of GIOP. These are generally much smaller in
size than the RCTs that demonstrated the effectiveness of these medications in post-menopausal
osteoporosis and generally were not powered for fracture. The main RCTs that compared
treatments to placebo include those that evaluated etidronate,²¹² alendronate²¹³ and risedronate,²¹¹
whereas zoledronic acid²²⁴ and teriparatide²²⁵ evaluated medications in non-inferiority studies.
These trials consistently demonstrated improvements in BMD by DXA relative to placebo in patients
treated shortly after initiation of glucocorticoids and patients treated with glucocorticoids prior to

1156 initiation of therapy. Although not powered for fracture risk reduction, post-hoc analyses have indicated the likely impact of these treatments on fracture risk, particularly at the spine.^{226,227} Other 1157 studies have examined the effect of vitamin D or its metabolites but these have generally been 1158 smaller and found less substantial changes in BMD.²²⁸⁻²³⁰ Due to heterogeneity of inclusion criteria, 1159 1160 baseline fracture risk and methods of ascertainment of BMD and fracture incidence it is difficult to 1161 compare between treatments. However, the effectiveness of aldendronate and teriparatide has 1162 been compared in the context of non-inferiority. Saag et al. compared teriparatide with alendronate 1163 treatment over 18 months in a randomised double blind study of 428 women and men receiving therapeutic glucocorticoids.²²⁵ Spine BMD by DXA increased substantially more in the teriparatide 1164 1165 group than in the alendronate group. Most importantly, in a pre-specified secondary analysis, the 1166 risk of developing new morphometric vertebral fractures were considerably lower in patients taking 1167 teripartide (0.6%) than alendronate (6.1%). These results are dramatic especially taking into account 1168 that alendronate substantially reduces the risk of new morphometric vertebral fractures when compared to placebo.²²⁶ The superiority of teriparatide compared to bisphosphonates for increasing 1169 1170 bone strength at the spine is also supported by an open label randomised trial of teriparatide versus 1171 and risedronate in men treated with glucocorticoids.²³¹ This smaller RCT (92 men in total) focussed primarily on spine BMD and structural parameters using DXA, QCT of L1-3, and high resolution QCT 1172 1173 or the T12 vertebra. Both treatments led to improvements in various parameters of bone density 1174 and strength but these were in general much greater with teriparatide. Estimates of vertebral 1175 strength using finite element analysis (FEA) demonstrated clear superiority of teriparatide over 1176 risedronate. Even though small, there was also a trend towards fewer spine fractures in men treated 1177 with teriparatide compared to those treated with risedronate. The effects of alendronate versus 1178 teriparatide on spine TBS have also been compared in a secondary analysis of a RCT of these treatments in GIOP.²³² It was found that alendronate had no impact on TBS despite increasing aBMD 1179 1180 whereas teriparatide caused a significant increase in TBS in addition to positive impact on aBMD. 1181 These data collectively indicate that the bisphosphonates and teriparatide are effective in reducing

fracture risk at the spine in GIOP but teriparatide appears superior over 18 months. An important
caveat regarding teriparatide is that it is generally only licenced for a duration of 18 months
(depending on country) and subsequent anti-resorptive medications are typically needed after this
treatment ends.

1186 The small size of these RCTs and relative rarity of non-vertebral and hip fractures compared to 1187 morphometric spine fractures means that the effect of these drugs on non-vertebral and hip fracture 1188 risk is not possible to determine. Three recent publications have used retrospective database 1189 analysis to try to determine the real world impact of these medications on overall fracture risk and 1190 hip fracture risk specifically. Overman et al. examined the effect of anti-osteoporotic medications 1191 (AOMs, including bisphosphonates, teriparatide and denosumab) collectively on the risk of clinical 1192 fractures in women aged 50 plus taking oral glucocorticoids included in the MarketScan databases. 1193 The analysis included 7885 women with 12.1% of them treated with AOMs. It was found that AOM 1194 use was associated with significantly reduced hazard ratios (HRs) for fracture of 0.52 at 1 year and 0.68 at 3 years.²³³ Axelsson et al. examined the association between alendronate use and hip 1195 1196 fracture risk in women and men using glucocorticoids in a national Swedish database including 1197 433,195 patients 65 years and older.²³⁴ The use of alendronate was associated with a significantly 1198 reduced risk of hip fracture (HR 0.35). A third study by Bergman et al. also used Swedish national 1199 databases which included over 3 million people to compare the impact of alendronate on risk of fracture in patients treated with glucocorticoids.²³⁵ They found that alendronate use was associated 1200 1201 with a 16% reduction in non-vertebral fracture and a 34% reduction in hip fractures compared to 1202 non-users. These studies clearly have intrinsic limitations due to their non-randomised nature and 1203 could be influenced by confounding by indication. However, sensitivity analyses within these studies 1204 consistently supported a strong and clinically important reduction in non-vertebral and hip fracture 1205 risk in patients taking glucocorticoids who are treated with anti-osteoporosis medications. 1206 Importantly, these studies also failed to detect any evidence of harm relating to gastrointestinal 1207 adverse reactions with these medications. These reductions in non-vertebral fracture risk imply that

1208 treatments for GIOP might also improve the strength of cortical bone. A recent study directly 1209 examined in vivo changes in bone tissue properties in glucocorticoid treated patients commencing various osteoporosis treatments.²³⁶ Reference point indentation (a form of microindentation) was 1210 1211 performed on the tibia using a hand held device under local anaesthetic. This technique measures 1212 the resistance of cortical bone to indentation and thus provides a measure of tissue properties. Over 1213 periods of 7 and 20 weeks treatment with calcium and vitamin had no impact on material properties 1214 (the Bone Material Strength Index; BMSi). However, treatment with risedronate over 20 weeks and 1215 treatment with denosumab or teriparatide over 7 and 20 weeks resulted in a significant increase in 1216 BMSi. There was however no change in BMD by DXA in any group. Although the clinical utility of 1217 microindentation techniques remains uncertain these preliminary studies strongly support a rapid 1218 and beneficial effect of osteoporosis medications on cortical bone properties in patients at risk of 1219 GIOP.

1220 A concern with anti-resorptive drugs is that they predispose to the development of atypical femoral 1221 fractures (AFFs). Although the pathogenesis of AFFs is still unclear decreased bone turnover is 1222 implicated. Since both anti-resorptive medications and glucocorticoids decrease bone turnover prolonged use of both might theoretically increase the risk of AFFs. Although early reports²³⁷ 1223 1224 suggested a possible link between glucocorticoids and AFFs in patients taking bisphosphonates more recent studies do not support such an association.²³⁸ 1225 1226 A 2 year double blind placebo controlled non-inferiority RCT examining the effectiveness of 1227 denosumab compared to risedronate in over 700 women and men with GIOP has been completed 1228 but not published. Data from the 1st year of treatment has been reported in abstract form and the 1229 drug appears to have a positive effect on bone mineral density with increases at spine and hip in 1230 excess of those seen with risedronate. Small observational studies of the use of denosumab in GIOP suggest that the drug is effective in maintaining or increasing BMD.²³⁹⁻²⁴¹ Formal licencing of 1231

1232 denosumab for this indication is expected in the future.

1234 V Skeletal impact of glucocorticoid replacement

1235 This section will review the data relating to the skeletal impact of glucocorticoid replacement for 1236 primary or secondary adrenal insufficiency. The amount of literature in this area is modest but there 1237 have been some recent contributions and the subject is clearly of relevance to an endocrine 1238 audience. When interpreting the literature relating to the impact of glucocorticoid replacement on 1239 bone it is important to consider that patients are treated for long periods of time with some patients 1240 treated for several decades, that treatment regimens have varied over time with a trend to using 1241 lower doses of glucocorticoids in more recent years, and that glucocorticoid replacement may be 1242 only one aspect of their underlying condition that might impact on bone. For example, patients 1243 treated for Addison's disease will additionally have adrenal androgen deficiency and a greater 1244 chance of previous thyrotoxicosis; patients with hypopituitarism will commonly have coexisting 1245 growth hormone deficiency and patients with CAH will commonly have differences in height and 1246 bone structure that make comparisons to controls difficult. Much of the literature focusses on BMD 1247 by DXA but there is some fracture risk data.

1248 The epidemiology of hip fractures in patients with Addison's disease has been examined in a population based analysis from Sweden.²⁴² Using hospital database, information relating to the 1249 1250 diagnosis of autoimmune adrenal insufficiency and hip fracture of 3,219 patients were identified and 1251 compared to over 31,000 age and sex matched controls from the background population. The risk of 1252 hip fracture was found to be substantially increased with a hazard ratio of 1.8 (Cl 1.6-2.1). The 1253 relative risk increase was independent of age or sex. The risk of any fracture was also increased to a 1254 similar degree. The relationship between risk of hip fracture and the time of diagnosis of Addison's 1255 disease was also explored. The relative risk of fracture was most increased in the first year after the 1256 diagnosis but was elevated at all time points. Interestingly, the risk of fracture was also increased by 1257 almost 3-fold in the year prior to the diagnosis, indicating that the cause of the increased fracture

1258	incidence, at least at this time point is not glucocorticoid replacement. It is possible that
1259	glucocorticoid deficiency has a major negative effect on bone in keeping with some of the
1260	observations of an anabolic effect of physiological levels of glucocorticoid action within bone. ^{50,63,66}
1261	However, there are additionally many other reasons for this increased fracture risk not least an
1262	increased risk of falls arising from the often severe myopathy seen in untreated adrenal failure. ²⁴³
1263	Nevertheless the data support the notion that fracture risk might be increased in patients that are
1264	undertreated as well as those that are over-treated with replacement glucocorticoids.

1265 Other studies have focussed on bone density in Addison's disease. These were mostly cross-sectional 1266 and are difficult to interpret since some of the patients included had been exposed to higher doses 1267 of glucocorticoids than typically used now for prolonged periods of time. In general these studies 1268 reported that adrenal insufficiency was associated with a reduction in BMD at the spine and the hip 1269 and that this reduction was greater with more prolonged use. These studies are summarised in a recent review by Lee and Greenfield.²⁴⁴ One relatively recent cross-sectional study of patients with 1270 1271 Addison's disease or CAH treated with lower glucocorticoid replacement doses failed to find a 1272 reduction in BMD as assessed by DXA suggesting that replacement regimens adopted more recently 1273 have less negative impact on bone.²⁴⁵ However, another study of 87 patients with Addison's disease 1274 and 81 age and sex matched controls found a higher than expected prevalence of spine fractures 1275 (using DXA based vertebral fracture assessment (VFA)) in patients with adrenal insufficiency despite there being no difference in BMD.²⁴⁶ Using the Genant criteria 31% of Addison's patients had at least 1276 1277 one vertebral abnormality compared to 12.8% of controls. Suggesting that these fractures might be 1278 related to treatment the risk of fracture appeared greater in those with a longer duration of disease. 1279 Interestingly mineralocorticoid replacement was associated with the presence of a higher BMD.

A recent prospective study examined the impact of targeted reduction in glucocorticoid replacement
 dose in patients with Addison's disease and CAH.²⁴⁷ In patients where a reduction in glucocorticoid
 replacement appeared justified there was a significant increase in spine and hip Z scores over a 2

- 1283 year follow up period. This suggests that bone health can be improved by careful attention to
- 1284 glucocorticoid replacement doses but the actual impact of these changes on risk of fractures has not
- 1285 been examined.
- 1286 Several studies have examined the bone health of individuals with CAH and the likely impact of
- 1287 glucocorticoid replacement.²⁴⁸⁻²⁵² These studies are complicated by the differences in height and
- 1288 bone size, which tend to overstate reductions in BMD. Additionally, excessive and rogen exposure
- 1289 resulting from inadequate glucocorticoid dosing might have an anabolic effect on bone. However
- 1290 despite this it appears that bone density is reduced at all skeletal sites in CAH using both DXA and
- 1291 spinal QCT. The reduction has been correlated with cumulative glucocorticoid exposure in some
- 1292 studies²⁴⁹ but not others.²⁴⁸ Two small studies by the same research group found that adult women
- 1293 with CAH had an increased risk of fracture²⁵¹ but men with CAH did not.²⁵²

1295 VI Future directions

1296 Currently it is unclear how to separate the anabolic versus catabolic actions of glucocorticoids on
1297 bone. Endogenous glucocorticoids have powerful effects on bone health which appear to some
1298 extent to be regional and surface dependent. In scientific studies there is no consistency in the
1299 regions and surfaces examined which makes comparisons between studies difficult.

1300 There are also limitations with current animal models as it is unclear to what extent they adequately 1301 model the human situation. Few examine the sensitivity of bone in the context of an underlying 1302 illness which is being treated with glucocorticoids. Animal studies examining interventions to protect 1303 against GIOP need to be assessed in inflammatory disease models to determine whether these 1304 interventions have independent actions on the underlying illness being treated in the first place. 1305 It remains unclear if there is a single target for glucocorticoid action within bone that can be 1306 targeted therapeutically. Although there have been attractive targets such as the IGF1 system, 1307 osteoblast apoptosis, IL-11, autophagy, OPG/RANKL and wnts/DKK1/sclerostin no single system 1308 appears to account for all of the actions of glucocorticoids on bone. As discussed above, even if a 1309 single target was identified it would need to be clear that this target was not involved in the 1310 beneficial effects of glucocorticoids on the immune system. Currently the most likely candidates in 1311 this respect are approaches that target sclerostin and/or DKK1 (the other major antagonist of wnt 1312 signalling). The feasibility of such an approach has been demonstrated in principle outside the context of glucocorticoid therapy in rodents and non-human primates.²⁵³ 1313 1314 It should also be remembered that glucocorticoid excess is associated with many adverse effects

outside of bone and these are currently not addressed well. An ideal treatment approach would target multiple components of risk. Being able to do this without blocking the anti-inflammatory actions of glucocorticoids has proven difficult. One approach to achieve this goal that has been an active topic of research for several decades is the development of selective glucocorticoid receptor

1319	modulators. There are various theoretical underpinnings of these molecules largely based on the
1320	concept of dissociating effects of glucocorticoids which are mediated by transactivation and
1321	transrepression. ^{254,255} These concepts now appear overly simplistic and furthermore the actions of
1322	glucocorticoids on bone appear to be through transrepression rather than transactivation. 50 There
1323	have been some interesting compounds examined, in particular 'compound A', which exhibits some
1324	useful features although it's relatively narrow therapeutic range is likely to limit human use. ²⁵⁶ Also,
1325	these agents are complicated by the likelihood that they could interfere with HPA axis regulation
1326	leading to low levels of endogenous cortisol. This could create a mixture of excessive glucocorticoid
1327	action in some tissues but an absence of glucocorticoid action in others. Moreover, these
1328	compounds would not exhibit the same properties of selective activation by tissue specific enzymes
1329	and would be unlikely to have effects on the MR (which could play a role in some inflammatory
1330	situations or even modulate the impact of more conventional glucocorticoids on bone). Given these
1331	complexities selective glucocorticoid receptor modulators are unlikely to be developed but if they
1332	are they would probably need to be evaluated in specific inflammatory conditions rather than for
1333	inflammatory disease in general.
1334	There also remains uncertainty regarding what is actually being treated in patients with GIOP. In
1334	There also remains uncertainty regarding what is actually being treated in patients with Olor . In
1335	some contexts glucocorticoids are likely to be detrimental but in other situations altered bone
1336	remodelling due to the underlying inflammatory disease might be more important. Glucocorticoids
1337	might therefore have an important role in controlling inflammation related bone loss and thus be
1338	bone 'sparing' rather than negative to bone. If this distinction can be made it might be possible to

independently target glucocorticoid induced and inflammation induced bone disease separately orsynergistically.

References:

1344	1.	Cushing HW. The basophil adenomas of the pituitary body and their clinical manifestations		
1345	(pituitary basophilism). BullJohns Hopkins Hosp 1932;50:137-95.			
1346	2.	Strehl C, Bijlsma JW, de Wit M, et al. Defining conditions where long-term glucocorticoid		
1347	treatment has an acceptably low level of harm to facilitate implementation of existing			
1348	recommendations: viewpoints from an EULAR task force. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:952-7.			
1349	3.	van Staa TP, Leufkens HG, Abenhaim L, Zhang B, Cooper C. Use of oral corticosteroids and		
1350	risk of fractures. JBone MinerRes 2000;15:993-1000.			
1351	4.	Walsh LJ, Lewis SA, Wong CA, et al. The impact of oral corticosteroid use on bone mineral		
1352	density and vertebral fracture. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine			
1353	2002;166:691-5.			
1354	5.	Hardy R, Cooper MS. Bone loss in inflammatory disorders. JEndocrinol 2009;201:309-20.		
1355	6.	Briot K, Geusens P, Em Bultink I, Lems WF, Roux C. Inflammatory diseases and bone fragility.		
1356	Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European			
1357	Found	ation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2017;28:3301-		
1358	14.			
1359	7.	Chiodini I, Vainicher CE, Morelli V, et al. MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: Endogenous		
1360	subclinical hypercortisolism and bone: a clinical review. European journal of endocrinology /			
1361	European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2016;175:R265-R82.			
1362	8.	Manenschijn L, van den Akker EL, Lamberts SW, van Rossum EF. Clinical features associated		
1363	with gl	ucocorticoid receptor polymorphisms. An overview. Ann NYAcadSci 2009;1179:179-98.		
1364	9.	Nixon M, Mackenzie SD, Taylor AI, et al. ABCC1 confers tissue-specific sensitivity to cortisol		
1365	versus corticosterone: A rationale for safer glucocorticoid replacement therapy. Science translationa			
1366	medici	ne 2016;8:352ra109.		

1367 10. Gathercole LL, Lavery GG, Morgan SA, et al. 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1:

1368 translational and therapeutic aspects. EndocrRev 2013;34:525-55.

1369 11. Raubenheimer PJ, Young EA, Andrew R, Seckl JR. The role of corticosterone in human

- 1370 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis feedback. Clinical endocrinology 2006;65:22-6.
- 1371 12. Keeney DS, Jenkins CM, Waterman MR. Developmentally regulated expression of adrenal
- 1372 17α-hydroxylase cytochrome P450 in the mouse embryo. Endocrinology 1995;136:4872-9.
- 1373 13. Gomez-Sanchez E, Gomez-Sanchez CE. The multifaceted mineralocorticoid receptor.
- 1374 Comprehensive Physiology 2014;4:965-94.
- 1375 14. Vandewalle J, Luypaert A, De Bosscher K, Libert C. Therapeutic Mechanisms of
- 1376 Glucocorticoids. Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM 2018;29:42-54.
- 1377 15. Cohen DM, Steger DJ. Nuclear Receptor Function through Genomics: Lessons from the
- 1378 Glucocorticoid Receptor. Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM 2017;28:531-40.
- 1379 16. Wood CL, Soucek O, Wong SC, et al. Animal models to explore the effects of glucocorticoids
- 1380 on skeletal growth and structure. The Journal of endocrinology 2018;236:R69-R91.
- 1381 17. Gasparini SJ, Weber MC, Henneicke H, Kim S, Zhou H, Seibel MJ. Continuous corticosterone
- 1382 delivery via the drinking water or pellet implantation: A comparative study in mice. Steroids
- 1383 2016;116:76-82.
- 1384 18. Grahnemo L, Jochems C, Andersson A, et al. Possible role of lymphocytes in glucocorticoid-
- 1385 induced increase in trabecular bone mineral density. The Journal of endocrinology 2015;224:97-108.
- 1386 19. Jaiswal N, Haynesworth SE, Caplan AI, Bruder SP. Osteogenic differentiation of purified,
- 1387 culture-expanded human mesenchymal stem cells in vitro. Journal of cellular biochemistry
- 1388 1997;64:295-312.
- 1389 20. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human
- 1390 mesenchymal stem cells. Science 1999;284:143-7.
- 1391 21. Bellows CG, Aubin JE, Heersche JN. Physiological concentrations of glucocorticoids stimulate
- 1392 formation of bone nodules from isolated rat calvaria cells in vitro. Endocrinology 1987;121:1985-92.

1393 22. Cheng SL, Yang JW, Rifas L, Zhang SF, Avioli LV. Differentiation of human bone marrow

- 1394 osteogenic stromal cells in vitro: induction of the osteoblast phenotype by dexamethasone.
- 1395 Endocrinology 1994;134:277-86.
- 1396 23. Leboy PS, Beresford JN, Devlin C, Owen ME. Dexamethasone induction of osteoblast mRNAs
- in rat marrow stromal cell cultures. J Cell Physiol 1991;146:370-8.
- 1398 24. Buttery LD, Bourne S, Xynos JD, et al. Differentiation of osteoblasts and in vitro bone
- 1399 formation from murine embryonic stem cells. Tissue Eng 2001;7:89-99.
- 1400 25. Shi C, Huang P, Kang H, et al. Glucocorticoid inhibits cell proliferation in differentiating
- 1401 osteoblasts by microRNA-199a targeting of WNT signaling. J Mol Endocrinol 2015;54:325-37.
- 1402 26. Mak W, Shao X, Dunstan CR, Seibel MJ, Zhou H. Biphasic glucocorticoid-dependent
- 1403 regulation of Wnt expression and its inhibitors in mature osteoblastic cells. Calcified tissue
- 1404 international 2009;85:538-45.
- 1405 27. Zhou H, Mak W, Zheng Y, Dunstan CR, Seibel MJ. Osteoblasts directly control lineage
- 1406 commitment of mesenchymal progenitor cells through Wnt signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry
- 1407 2008;283:1936-45.
- 1408 28. Frenkel B, White W, Tuckermann J. Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis. Advances in
- 1409 experimental medicine and biology 2015;872:179-215.
- 1410 29. Manolagas SC. Birth and death of bone cells: basic regulatory mechanisms and implications
- 1411 for the pathogenesis and treatment of osteoporosis. EndocrRev 2000;21:115-37.
- 1412 30. Plotkin Ll, Manolagas SC, Bellido T. Glucocorticoids induce osteocyte apoptosis by blocking
- focal adhesion kinase-mediated survival. Evidence for inside-out signaling leading to anoikis. J Biol
 Chem 2007;282:24120-30.
- 1415 31. Plotkin LI, Weinstein RS, Parfitt AM, Roberson PK, Manolagas SC, Bellido T. Prevention of
- 1416 osteocyte and osteoblast apoptosis by bisphosphonates and calcitonin. J Clin Invest 1999;104:1363-
- 1417 74.

1418	32.	Almeida M, Han L, Ambrogini E, Weinstein RS, Manolagas SC. Glucocorticoids and tumor			
1419	necrosi	is factor alpha increase oxidative stress and suppress Wnt protein signaling in osteoblasts. The			
1420	Journal	of biological chemistry 2011;286:44326-35.			
1421	33.	Espina B, Liang M, Russell RG, Hulley PA. Regulation of bim in glucocorticoid-mediated			
1422	osteob	last apoptosis. Journal of cellular physiology 2008;215:488-96.			
1423	34.	Chen F, Zhang L, OuYang Y, Guan H, Liu Q, Ni B. Glucocorticoid induced osteoblast apoptosis			
1424	by incr	easing E4BP4 expression via up-regulation of Bim. Calcified tissue international 2014;94:640-			
1425	7.				
1426	35.	Chang JK, Li CJ, Liao HJ, Wang CK, Wang GJ, Ho ML. Anti-inflammatory drugs suppress			
1427	proliferation and induce apoptosis through altering expressions of cell cycle regulators and pro-				
1428	apoptotic factors in cultured human osteoblasts. Toxicology 2009;258:148-56.				
1429	36.	Li H, Qian W, Weng X, et al. Glucocorticoid receptor and sequential P53 activation by			
1430	dexamethasone mediates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells. PloS one				
1431	2012;7:e37030.				
1432	37.	Brandstrom H, Bjorkman T, Ljunggren O. Regulation of osteoprotegerin secretion from			
1433	primary cultures of human bone marrow stromal cells. Biochemical and biophysical research				
1434	communications 2001;280:831-5.				
1435	38.	Hofbauer LC, Gori F, Riggs BL, et al. Stimulation of osteoprotegerin ligand and inhibition of			
1436	osteop	osteoprotegerin production by glucocorticoids in human osteoblastic lineage cells: potential			
1437	paracri	ne mechanisms of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Endocrinology 1999;140:4382-9.			
1438	39.	Vidal NO, Brandstrom H, Jonsson KB, Ohlsson C. Osteoprotegerin mRNA is expressed in			
1439	primar	primary human osteoblast-like cells: down-regulation by glucocorticoids. J Endocrinol 1998;159:191-			
1440	5.				
1441	40.	Nakashima T, Hayashi M, Fukunaga T, et al. Evidence for osteocyte regulation of bone			
1442	homeo	stasis through RANKL expression. Nat Med 2011;17:1231-4.			

- 1443 41. Xiong J, Piemontese M, Onal M, et al. Osteocytes, not Osteoblasts or Lining Cells, are the
- 1444 Main Source of the RANKL Required for Osteoclast Formation in Remodeling Bone. PloS one
- 1445 2015;10:e0138189.
- Baron R, Kneissel M. WNT signaling in bone homeostasis and disease: from human
 mutations to treatments. Nat Med 2013;19:179-92.
- 1448 43. Ohnaka K, Tanabe M, Kawate H, Nawata H, Takayanagi R. Glucocorticoid suppresses the
- 1449 canonical Wnt signal in cultured human osteoblasts. Biochemical and biophysical research
- 1450 communications 2005;329:177-81.
- 1451 44. Morimoto E, Li M, Khalid AB, Krum SA, Chimge NO, Frenkel B. Glucocorticoids Hijack Runx2
- 1452 to Stimulate Wif1 for Suppression of Osteoblast Growth and Differentiation. Journal of cellular
- 1453 physiology 2017;232:145-53.
- 1454 45. Ohnaka K, Taniguchi H, Kawate H, Nawata H, Takayanagi R. Glucocorticoid enhances the
- 1455 expression of dickkopf-1 in human osteoblasts: novel mechanism of glucocorticoid-induced
- 1456 osteoporosis. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 2004;318:259-64.
- 1457 46. Diarra D, Stolina M, Polzer K, et al. Dickkopf-1 is a master regulator of joint remodeling.
- 1458 Nature Medicine 2007;13:156-63.
- 1459 47. Centrella M, McCarthy TL, Canalis E. Glucocorticoid regulation of transforming growth factor
- 1460 beta 1 activity and binding in osteoblast-enriched cultures from fetal rat bone. Mol Cell Biol
- 1461 1991;11:4490-6.
- 1462 48. Bennett A, Chen T, Feldman D, Hintz RL, Rosenfeld RG. Characterization of insulin-like
- 1463 growth factor I receptors on cultured rat bone cells: regulation of receptor concentration by
- 1464 glucocorticoids. Endocrinology 1984;115:1577-83.
- 1465 49. McCarthy TL, Centrella M, Canalis E. Cortisol inhibits the synthesis of insulin-like growth
- 1466 factor-I in skeletal cells. Endocrinology 1990;126:1569-75.
- 1467 50. Rauch A, Seitz S, Baschant U, et al. Glucocorticoids suppress bone formation by attenuating
- 1468 osteoblast differentiation via the monomeric glucocorticoid receptor. Cell Metab 2010;11:517-31.

- 1469 51. Sato AY, Richardson D, Cregor M, et al. Glucocorticoids Induce Bone and Muscle Atrophy by
- 1470 Tissue-Specific Mechanisms Upstream of E3 Ubiquitin Ligases. Endocrinology 2017;158:664-77.
- 1471 52. Ersek A, Santo AI, Vattakuzhi Y, George S, Clark AR, Horwood NJ. Strain dependent
- 1472 differences in glucocorticoid-induced bone loss between C57BL/6J and CD-1 mice. Sci Rep
- 1473 2016;6:36513.
- 1474 53. Weinstein RS, Jilka RL, Parfitt AM, Manolagas SC. Inhibition of osteoblastogenesis and
- 1475 promotion of apoptosis of osteoblasts and osteocytes by glucocorticoids. Potential mechanisms of
- 1476 their deleterious effects on bone. JClinInvest 1998;102:274-82.
- 1477 54. Scheller EL, Leinninger GM, Hankenson KD, Myers MG, Jr., Krebsbach PH. Ectopic expression
- 1478 of Col2.3 and Col3.6 promoters in the brain and association with leptin signaling. Cells, tissues,
- 1479 organs 2011;194:268-73.
- 1480 55. Terasawa M, Shimokawa R, Terashima T, Ohya K, Takagi Y, Shimokawa H. Expression of
- dentin matrix protein 1 (DMP1) in nonmineralized tissues. Journal of bone and mineral metabolism
 2004;22:430-8.
- 1483 56. Camerino C, Conte E, Cannone M, Caloiero R, Fonzino A, Tricarico D. Nerve Growth Factor,
- 1484 Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and Osteocalcin Gene Relationship in Energy Regulation, Bone
- 1485 Homeostasis and Reproductive Organs Analyzed by mRNA Quantitative Evaluation and Linear
- 1486 Correlation Analysis. Frontiers in physiology 2016;7:456.
- 1487 57. Wyrwoll CS, Holmes MC, Seckl JR. 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases and the brain:
- 1488 from zero to hero, a decade of progress. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 2011;32:265-86.
- 1489 58. Condon J, Gosden C, Gardener D, et al. Expression of type 2 11β -hydroxysteroid
- 1490 dehydrogenase and corticosteroid hormone receptors in early human fetal life.
- 1491 JClinEndocrinolMetab 1998;83:4490-7.
- 1492 59. Woitge H, Harrison J, Ivkosic A, Krozowski Z, Kream B. Cloning and in vitro characterization of
- 1493 alpha 1(I)-collagen 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 transgenes as models for
- 1494 osteoblast-selective inactivation of natural glucocorticoids. Endocrinology 2001;142:1341-8.

1495	60.	Rabbitt E, Lavery GG, Walker EA, Cooper MS, Stewart PM, Hewison M. Pre-receptor	
1496	regulat	ion of glucocorticoid action by 11 eta -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase: a novel determinant of	
1497	cell proliferation. FASEB J 2002;16:36-44.		
1498	61.	O'Brien CA, Jia D, Plotkin LI, et al. Glucocorticoids Act Directly on Osteoblasts and Osteocytes	
1499	to Induce Their Apoptosis and Reduce Bone Formation and Strength. Endocrinology 2004;145:1835		
1500	41.		
1501	62.	Dunstan CR, Zhou H, Brennan K, Zheng Y, Seibel MJ. Osteoblast Targeted Overexpression of	
1502	Hydrox	ysteroid Dehydrogenase Type 2 Induces Delayed Calvarial Development in Transgenic Mice.	
1503	ASBMR Meeting Nashville 2005:SU520.		
1504	63.	Sher LB, Woitge HW, Adams DJ, et al. Transgenic expression of 11 eta -hydroxysteroid	
1505	dehydrogenase type 2 in osteoblasts reveals an anabolic role for endogenous glucocorticoids in		
1506	bone. Endocrinology 2004;145:922-9.		
1507	64.	Kalajzic I, Kalajzic Z, Kaliterna M, et al. Use of type I collagen green fluorescent protein	
1508	transge	nes to identify subpopulations of cells at different stages of the osteoblast lineage. JBone	
1509	MinerRes 2002;17:15-25.		
1510	65.	Zhou H, Mak W, Kalak R, et al. Glucocorticoid-dependent Wnt signaling by mature	
1511	osteoblasts is a key regulator of cranial skeletal development in mice. Development 2009;136:427-		
1512	36.		
1513	66.	Kalak R, Zhou H, Street J, et al. Endogenous glucocorticoid signalling in osteoblasts is	
1514	necessa	ary to maintain normal bone structure in mice. Bone 2009;45:61-7.	
1515	67.	Henneicke H, Herrmann M, Kalak R, et al. Corticosterone selectively targets endo-cortical	
1516	surface	s by an osteoblast-dependent mechanism. Bone 2011;49:733-42.	
1517	68.	Beavan S, Horner A, Bord S, Ireland D, Compston J. Colocalization of glucocorticoid and	

1518 mineralocorticoid receptors in human bone. JBone MinerRes 2001;16:1496-504.

151969.Fumoto T, Ishii KA, Ito M, Berger S, Schutz G, Ikeda K. Mineralocorticoid receptor function in1520bone metabolism and its role in glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia. Biochem Biophys Res Commun

1521 2014;447:407-12.

- 1522 70. Eberhardt AW, Yeager-Jones A, Blair HC. Regional trabecular bone matrix degeneration and
- 1523 osteocyte death in femora of glucocorticoid- treated rabbits. Endocrinology 2001;142:1333-40.
- 1524 71. Weinstein RS, Nicholas RW, Manolagas SC. Apoptosis of osteocytes in glucocorticoid-induced
 1525 osteonecrosis of the hip. JClinEndocrinolMetab 2000;85:2907-12.

1526 72. Jia J, Yao W, Guan M, et al. Glucocorticoid dose determines osteocyte cell fate. FASEB

- 1527 journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology
- 1528 2011;25:3366-76.
- 1529 73. Plotkin LI, Weinstein RS, Parfitt AM, Roberson PK, Manolagas SC, Bellido T. Prevention of
- 1530 osteocyte and osteoblast apoptosis by bisphosphonates and calcitonin. JClinInvest 1999;104:1363-
- 1531 74.
- 1532 74. Jilka RL, Weinstein RS, Bellido T, Roberson P, Parfitt AM, Manolagas SC. Increased bone

1533 formation by prevention of osteoblast apoptosis with parathyroid hormone. JClinInvest

- 1534 1999;104:439-46.
- 1535 75. Weinstein RS, O'Brien CA, Almeida M, et al. Osteoprotegerin prevents glucocorticoid-
- 1536 induced osteocyte apoptosis in mice. Endocrinology 2011;152:3323-31.
- 1537 76. Weinstein RS, Jilka RL, Almeida M, Roberson PK, Manolagas SC. Intermittent parathyroid
- 1538 hormone administration counteracts the adverse effects of glucocorticoids on osteoblast and
- 1539 osteocyte viability, bone formation, and strength in mice. Endocrinology 2010;151:2641-9.
- 1540 77. Weinstein RS, Wan C, Liu Q, et al. Endogenous glucocorticoids decrease skeletal
- angiogenesis, vascularity, hydration, and strength in aged mice. Aging Cell 2010;9:147-61.
- 1542 78. Lane NE, Yao W, Balooch M, et al. Glucocorticoid-treated mice have localized changes in
- 1543 trabecular bone material properties and osteocyte lacunar size that are not observed in placebo-
- 1544 treated or estrogen-deficient mice. JBone MinerRes 2006;21:466-76.

1545 79. Xia X, Kar R, Gluhak-Heinrich J, et al. Glucocorticoid-induced autophagy in osteocytes. J Bone

1546 Miner Res 2010;25:2479-88.

- 1547 80. Piemontese M, Onal M, Xiong J, et al. Suppression of autophagy in osteocytes does not
- 1548 modify the adverse effects of glucocorticoids on cortical bone. Bone 2015;75:18-26.
- 1549 81. Dai W, Jiang L, Lay YA, et al. Prevention of glucocorticoid induced bone changes with beta-

1550 ecdysone. Bone 2015;74:48-57.

- 1551 82. Lin NY, Chen CW, Kagwiria R, et al. Inactivation of autophagy ameliorates glucocorticoid-
- induced and ovariectomy-induced bone loss. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1203-10.
- 1553 83. Shi J, Wang L, Zhang H, et al. Glucocorticoids: Dose-related effects on osteoclast formation
- and function via reactive oxygen species and autophagy. Bone 2015;79:222-32.
- 1555 84. Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. Endocrine
 1556 reviews 2013;34:658-90.
- 1557 85. Sato AY, Cregor M, Delgado-Calle J, et al. Protection From Glucocorticoid-Induced
- 1558 Osteoporosis by Anti-Catabolic Signaling in the Absence of Sost/Sclerostin. Journal of bone and
- 1559 mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research
- 1560 2016;31:1791-802.
- 1561 86. Piemontese M, Xiong J, Fujiwara Y, Thostenson JD, O'Brien CA. Cortical bone loss caused by
- 1562 glucocorticoid excess requires RANKL production by osteocytes and is associated with reduced OPG
- 1563 expression in mice. American journal of physiology Endocrinology and metabolism 2016;311:E587-
- 1564 93.
- 1565 87. Yao W, Dai W, Jiang L, et al. Sclerostin-antibody treatment of glucocorticoid-induced
- 1566 osteoporosis maintained bone mass and strength. Osteoporosis international : a journal established
- 1567 as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National
- 1568 Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2016;27:283-94.
- 1569 88. Achiou Z, Toumi H, Touvier J, et al. Sclerostin antibody and interval treadmill training effects
- in a rodent model of glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia. Bone 2015;81:691-701.

- 1571 89. Sivagurunathan S, Muir MM, Brennan TC, Seale JP, Mason RS. Influence of glucocorticoids on
- 1572 human osteoclast generation and activity. J Bone Miner Res 2005;20:390-8.
- 1573 90. Conaway HH, Henning P, Lie A, Tuckermann J, Lerner UH. Activation of dimeric
- 1574 glucocorticoid receptors in osteoclast progenitors potentiates RANKL induced mature osteoclast
- 1575 bone resorbing activity. Bone 2016;93:43-54.
- 1576 91. Hirayama T, Sabokbar A, Athanasou NA. Effect of corticosteroids on human osteoclast
- 1577 formation and activity. JEndocrinol 2002;175:155-63.
- 1578 92. Kim HJ, Zhao H, Kitaura H, et al. Glucocorticoids suppress bone formation via the osteoclast.
- 1579 JClinInvest 2006;116:2152-60.
- 1580 93. Jia D, O'Brien CA, Stewart SA, Manolagas SC, Weinstein RS. Glucocorticoids act directly on
- 1581 osteoclasts to increase their life span and reduce bone density. Endocrinology 2006;147:5592-9.
- 1582 94. Dempster DW, Moonga BS, Stein LS, Horbert WR, Antakly T. Glucocorticoids inhibit bone
- resorption by isolated rat osteoclasts by enhancing apoptosis. J Endocrinol 1997;154:397-406.
- 1584 95. Swanson C, Lorentzon M, Conaway HH, Lerner UH. Glucocorticoid regulation of osteoclast
- 1585 differentiation and expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-kappaB) ligand,
- 1586 osteoprotegerin, and receptor activator of NF-kappaB in mouse calvarial bones. Endocrinology
- 1587 2006;147:3613-22.
- 1588 96. Weinstein RS, Chen JR, Powers CC, et al. Promotion of osteoclast survival and antagonism of
- bisphosphonate-induced osteoclast apoptosis by glucocorticoids. J Clin Invest 2002;109:1041-8.
- 1590 97. Zhang Y, Wei L, Miron RJ, Shi B, Bian Z. Anabolic bone formation via a site-specific bone-
- 1591 targeting delivery system by interfering with semaphorin 4D expression. Journal of bone and mineral
- 1592 research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2015;30:286-
- 1593 96.
- 1594 98. Deb Roy A, Yin T, Choudhary S, Rodionov V, Pilbeam CC, Wu YI. Optogenetic activation of
 1595 Plexin-B1 reveals contact repulsion between osteoclasts and osteoblasts. Nature communications
 1596 2017;8:15831.

- 1597 99. Chrousos GP, Torpy DJ, Gold PW. Interactions between the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
- 1598 axis and the female reproductive system: clinical implications. Annals of internal medicine
- 1599 1998;129:229-40.
- 1600 100. Straub RH, Cutolo M, Pacifici R. Evolutionary medicine and bone loss in chronic inflammatory
- 1601 diseases--A theory of inflammation-related osteopenia. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism
- 1602 2015;45:220-8.
- 1603 101. Sambrook PN. Corticosteroid osteoporosis: practical implications of recent trials. JBone
 1604 MinerRes 2000;15:1645-9.
- 1605 102. Kung AW, Chan TM, Lau CS, Wong RW, Yeung SS. Osteopenia in young hypogonadal women
- 1606 with systemic lupus erythematosus receiving chronic steroid therapy: a randomized controlled trial
- 1607 comparing calcitriol and hormonal replacement therapy. Rheumatology 1999;38:1239-44.
- 1608 103. Hall GM, Daniels M, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Effect of hormone replacement therapy on bone
- 1609 mass in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with and without steroids. Arthritis Rheum
- 1610 1994;37:1499-505.
- 1611 104. Crawford BA, Liu PY, Kean MT, Bleasel JF, Handelsman DJ. Randomized placebo-controlled
- 1612 trial of androgen effects on muscle and bone in men requiring long-term systemic glucocorticoid
- 1613 treatment. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2003;88:3167-76.
- 1614 105. Lane NE, Sanchez S, Modin GW, Genant HK, ini E, Arnaud CD. Parathyroid hormone
- 1615 treatment can reverse corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. Results of a randomized controlled
- 1616 clinical trial. JClinInvest 1998;102:1627-33.
- 1617 106. Ritz E, Kreusser W, Rambausek M. Effects of glucocorticoids on calcium and phosphate
- 1618 excretion. Advances in experimental medicine and biology 1984;171:381-97.
- 1619 107. Fucik RF, Kukreja SC, Hargis GK, Bowser EN, Henderson WJ, Williams GA. Effect of
- 1620 glucocorticoids on function of the parathyroid glands in man. The Journal of clinical endocrinology
- 1621 and metabolism 1975;40:152-5.

- 1622 108. Rubin MR, Bilezikian JP. Clinical review 151: The role of parathyroid hormone in the
- 1623 pathogenesis of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: a re-examination of the evidence.
- 1624 JClinEndocrinolMetab 2002;87:4033-41.
- 1625 109. Giustina A, Mazziotti G, Canalis E. Growth hormone, insulin-like growth factors, and the
- 1626 skeleton. Endocrine reviews 2008;29:535-59.
- 1627 110. Mauras N, George D, Evans J, et al. Growth hormone has anabolic effects in
- 1628 glucocorticosteroid-dependent children with inflammatory bowel disease: a pilot study. Metabolism
 1629 2002;51:127-35.
- 1630 111. Simon D, Prieur A, Czernichow P. Treatment of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis with growth
- 1631 hormone. Hormone research 2000;53 Suppl 1:82-6.
- 1632 112. Snow-Harter C, Bouxsein M, Lewis B, Charette S, Weinstein P, Marcus R. Muscle strength as
- 1633 a predictor of bone mineral density in young women. J Bone Miner Res 1990;5:589-95.
- 1634 113. Lofberg E, Gutierrez A, Wernerman J, et al. Effects of high doses of glucocorticoids on free
- amino acids, ribosomes and protein turnover in human muscle. Eur J Clin Invest 2002;32:345-53.
- 1636 114. Schakman O, Gilson H, de Coninck V, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I gene transfer by
- 1637 electroporation prevents skeletal muscle atrophy in glucocorticoid-treated rats. Endocrinology
- 1638 2005;146:1789-97.
- 1639 115. Tomas FM, Munro HN, Young VR. Effect of glucocorticoid administration on the rate of
- 1640 muscle protein breakdown in vivo in rats, as measured by urinary excretion of N tau-methylhistidine.
- 1641 Biochem J 1979;178:139-46.
- 1642 116. Ponyi A, Borgulya G, Constantin T, Vancsa A, Gergely L, Danko K. Functional outcome and
- 1643 quality of life in adult patients with idiopathic inflammatory myositis. Rheumatology (Oxford)
- 1644 2005;44:83-8.
- 1645 117. Hardy RS, Doig CL, Hussain Z, et al. 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 within
- 1646 muscle protects against the adverse effects of local inflammation. The Journal of pathology 2016.

- 1647 118. Rafacho A, Ortsater H, Nadal A, Quesada I. Glucocorticoid treatment and endocrine pancreas
- 1648 function: implications for glucose homeostasis, insulin resistance and diabetes. The Journal of
- 1649 endocrinology 2014;223:R49-62.
- 1650 119. Ozen G, Pedro S, Holmqvist ME, Avery M, Wolfe F, Michaud K. Risk of diabetes mellitus
 1651 associated with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and statins in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann
- 1652 Rheum Dis 2016.
- 1653 120. Brennan-Speranza TC, Henneicke H, Gasparini SJ, et al. Osteoblasts mediate the adverse
- 1654 effects of glucocorticoids on fuel metabolism. JClinInvest 2012;122:4172-89.
- 1655 121. Lee NK, Sowa H, Hinoi E, et al. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism by the skeleton.
- 1656 Cell 2007;130:456-69.
- 1657 122. Yeap BB, Alfonso H, Chubb SA, et al. Higher serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin and other
- 1658 bone turnover markers are associated with reduced diabetes risk and lower estradiol concentrations
- in older men. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2015;100:63-71.
- 1660 123. Pi M, Kapoor K, Ye R, et al. Evidence for Osteocalcin Binding and Activation of GPRC6A in
- 1661 beta-Cells. Endocrinology 2016;157:1866-80.
- 1662 124. Mazziotti G, Maffezzoni F, Doga M, Hofbauer LC, Adler RA, Giustina A. Outcome of glucose
- homeostasis in patients with glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis undergoing treatment with bone
 active-drugs. Bone 2014;67:175-80.
- 1665 125. Suchacki KJ, Roberts F, Lovdel A, et al. Skeletal energy homeostasis: a paradigm of endocrine
 1666 discovery. The Journal of endocrinology 2017;234:R67-R79.
- 1667 126. Dirckx N, Tower RJ, Mercken EM, et al. Vhl deletion in osteoblasts boosts cellular glycolysis
- and improves global glucose metabolism. The Journal of clinical investigation 2018;128:1087-105.
- 1669 127. Morgan SA, McCabe EL, Gathercole LL, et al. 11beta-HSD1 is the major regulator of the
- 1670 tissue-specific effects of circulating glucocorticoid excess. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 1671 Sciences of the United States of America 2014;111:E2482-91.

- 1672 128. Yang M, Trettel LB, Adams DJ, Harrison JR, Canalis E, Kream BE. Col3.6-HSD2 transgenic
- 1673 mice: a glucocorticoid loss-of-function model spanning early and late osteoblast differentiation.
- 1674 Bone 2010;47:573-82.
- 1675 129. Toth M, Grossman A. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: lessons from Cushing's
- 1676 syndrome. Clinical endocrinology 2013;79:1-11.
- 1677 130. Vestergaard P, Lindholm J, Jorgensen JO, et al. Increased risk of osteoporotic fractures in
- 1678 patients with Cushing's syndrome. EurJEndocrinol 2002;146:51-6.
- 1679 131. Kendler DL, Bauer DC, Davison KS, et al. Vertebral Fractures: Clinical Importance and
- 1680 Management. The American journal of medicine 2016;129:221 e1-10.
- 1681 132. Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Milch VE, Nguyen TV, Eisman JA, Center JR. Mortality risk associated
- 1682 with low-trauma osteoporotic fracture and subsequent fracture in men and women. JAMA
- 1683 2009;301:513-21.
- 1684 133. Genant HK, Wu CY, van Kuijk C, Nevitt MC. Vertebral fracture assessment using a
- 1685 semiquantitative technique. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the
- 1686 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 1993;8:1137-48.
- 1687 134. Tauchmanova L, Pivonello R, Di SC, et al. Bone demineralization and vertebral fractures in
- 1688 endogenous cortisol excess: role of disease etiology and gonadal status. JClinEndocrinolMetab
- 1689 2006;91:1779-84.
- 1690 135. Belaya ZE, Hans D, Rozhinskaya LY, et al. The risk factors for fractures and trabecular bone-
- 1691 score value in patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome. Archives of osteoporosis 2015;10:44.
- 1692 136. Barahona MJ, Sucunza N, Resmini E, et al. Deleterious effects of glucocorticoid replacement
- 1693 on bone in women after long-term remission of Cushing's syndrome. JBone MinerRes 2009;24:1841-
- 1694 6.
- 1695 137. Chiodini I, Carnevale V, Torlontano M, et al. Alterations of bone turnover and bone mass at
- 1696 different skeletal sites due to pure glucocorticoid excess: study in eumenorrheic patients with
- 1697 Cushing's syndrome. JClinEndocrinolMetab 1998;83:1863-7.

1698 138. Szappanos A, Toke J, Lippai D, et al. Bone turnover in patients with endogenous Cushing's

- syndrome before and after successful treatment. OsteoporosInt 2010;21:637-45.
- 1700 139. Belaya ZE, Iljin AV, Melnichenko GA, et al. Diagnostic performance of osteocalcin
- 1701 measurements in patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome. BoneKEy reports 2016;5:815.
- 1702 140. Futo L, Toke J, Patocs A, et al. Skeletal differences in bone mineral area and content before
- and after cure of endogenous Cushing's syndrome. OsteoporosInt 2008;19:941-9.
- 1704 141. Kawamata A, lihara M, Okamoto T, Obara T. Bone mineral density before and after surgical
- 1705 cure of Cushing's syndrome due to adrenocortical adenoma: prospective study. World JSurg
- 1706 2008;32:890-6.
- 1707 142. Manning PJ, Evans MC, Reid IR. Normal bone mineral density following cure of Cushing's
- 1708 syndrome. ClinEndocrinol(Oxf) 1992;36:229-34.
- 1709 143. Hermus AR, Smals AG, Swinkels LM, et al. Bone mineral density and bone turnover before
- 1710 and after surgical cure of Cushing's syndrome. JClinEndocrinolMetab 1995;80:2859-65.
- 1711 144. Fassnacht M, Arlt W, Bancos I, et al. Management of adrenal incidentalomas: European
- 1712 Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline in collaboration with the European Network for
- 1713 the Study of Adrenal Tumors. European journal of endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine
- 1714 Societies 2016;175:G1-G34.
- 1715 145. Goddard GM, Ravikumar A, Levine AC. Adrenal mild hypercortisolism. Endocrinol Metab Clin
 1716 North Am 2015;44:371-9.
- 1717 146. Chiodini I, Morelli V, Masserini B, et al. Bone mineral density, prevalence of vertebral
- 1718 fractures, and bone quality in patients with adrenal incidentalomas with and without subclinical
- 1719 hypercortisolism: an Italian multicenter study. JClinEndocrinolMetab 2009;94:3207-14.
- 1720 147. Eller-Vainicher C, Morelli V, Ulivieri FM, et al. Bone quality, as measured by trabecular bone
- 1721 score in patients with adrenal incidentalomas with and without subclinical hypercortisolism. Journal
- 1722 of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral
- 1723 Research 2012;27:2223-30.

1724 148. Chiodini I, Torlontano M, Carnevale V, et al. Bone loss rate in adrenal incidentalomas: a

- 1725 longitudinal study. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2001;86:5337-41.
- 1726 149. Chiodini I, Viti R, Coletti F, et al. Eugonadal male patients with adrenal incidentalomas and
- 1727 subclinical hypercortisolism have increased rate of vertebral fractures. ClinEndocrinol(Oxf)

1728 2009;70:208-13.

1729 150. Morelli V, Eller-Vainicher C, Palmieri S, et al. Prediction of Vertebral Fractures in Patients
1730 With Monolateral Adrenal Incidentalomas. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism
1731 2016;101:2768-75.

1732 151. Chiodini I, Mascia ML, Muscarella S, et al. Subclinical hypercortisolism among outpatients
1733 referred for osteoporosis. Ann InternMed 2007;147:541-8.

1734 152. Eller-Vainicher C, Cairoli E, Zhukouskaya VV, et al. Prevalence of subclinical contributors to
1735 low bone mineral density and/or fragility fracture. European journal of endocrinology / European
1736 Federation of Endocrine Societies 2013;169:225-37.

1737 153. Salcuni AS, Morelli V, Eller Vainicher C, et al. Adrenalectomy reduces the risk of vertebral

1738 fractures in patients with monolateral adrenal incidentalomas and subclinical hypercortisolism.

1739 European journal of endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2016;174:261-9.

1740 154. Tauchmanova L, Guerra E, Pivonello R, et al. Weekly clodronate treatment prevents bone

1741 loss and vertebral fractures in women with subclinical Cushing's syndrome. JEndocrinolInvest

1742 2009;32:390-4.

1743 155. Heshmati HM, Riggs BL, Burritt MF, McAlister CA, Wollan PC, Khosla S. Effects of the

1744 circadian variation in serum cortisol on markers of bone turnover and calcium homeostasis in normal

1745 postmenopausal women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 1998;83:751-6.

1746 156. Raff H, Raff JL, Duthie EH, et al. Elevated salivary cortisol in the evening in healthy elderly

1747 men and women: correlation with bone mineral density. JGerontolA BiolSciMed Sci 1999;54:M479-

1748 M83.

- 1749 157. Dennison E, Hindmarsh P, Fall C, et al. Profiles of endogenous circulating cortisol and bone
- 1750 mineral density in healthy elderly men. JClinEndocrinolMetab 1999;84:3058-63.
- 1751 158. van Schoor NM, Dennison E, Lips P, Uitterlinden AG, Cooper C. Serum fasting cortisol in
- 1752 relation to bone, and the role of genetic variations in the glucocorticoid receptor.
- 1753 ClinEndocrinol(Oxf) 2007;67:871-8.
- 1754 159. Cooper MS, Syddall HE, Fall CH, et al. Circulating cortisone levels are associated with
- 1755 biochemical markers of bone formation and lumbar spine BMD: the Hertfordshire Cohort Study.
- 1756 ClinEndocrinol(Oxf) 2005;62:692-7.
- 1757 160. Shi L, Sanchez-Guijo A, Hartmann MF, et al. Higher glucocorticoid secretion in the
- 1758 physiological range is associated with lower bone strength at the proximal radius in healthy children:
- 1759 importance of protein intake adjustment. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal
- 1760 of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2015;30:240-8.
- 1761 161. Greendale GA, Unger JB, Rowe JW, Seeman TE. The relation between cortisol excretion and
- 1762 fractures in healthy older people: results from the MacArthur studies-Mac. JAmGeriatrSoc
- 1763 1999;47:799-803.
- 1764 162. Gonzalez Rodriguez E, Lamy O, Stoll D, et al. High Evening Cortisol Level Is Associated With
- 1765 Low TBS and Increased Prevalent Vertebral Fractures: OsteoLaus Study. The Journal of clinical
- 1766 endocrinology and metabolism 2017;102:2628-36.
- 1767 163. Huizenga NA, Koper JW, De Lange P, et al. A polymorphism in the glucocorticoid receptor
- 1768 gene may be associated with and increased sensitivity to glucocorticoids in vivo.
- 1769 JClinEndocrinolMetab 1998;83:144-51.
- 1770 164. Szappanos A, Patocs A, Toke J, et al. Bcll polymorphism of the glucocorticoid receptor gene is
- 1771 associated with decreased bone mineral density in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism.
- 1772 ClinEndocrinol(Oxf) 2009;71:636-43.

- 1773 165. Koetz KR, van Rossum EF, Ventz M, Diederich S, Quinkler M. Bcll polymorphism of the
- 1774 glucocorticoid receptor gene is associated with increased bone resorption in patients on
- 1775 glucocorticoid replacement therapy. Clinical endocrinology 2013;78:831-7.
- 1776 166. van Oosten MJ, Dolhain RJ, Koper JW, et al. Polymorphisms in the glucocorticoid receptor
- gene that modulate glucocorticoid sensitivity are associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis ResTher 2010;12:R159.
- 1779 167. Quax RA, Koper JW, Huisman AM, et al. Polymorphisms in the glucocorticoid receptor gene
- 1780 and in the glucocorticoid-induced transcript 1 gene are associated with disease activity and response
- 1781 to glucocorticoid bridging therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology international
- 1782 2015;35:1325-33.
- 1783 168. Meijer OC, de Lange EC, Breimer DD, de Boer AG, Workel JO, de Kloet ER. Penetration of
- 1784 dexamethasone into brain glucocorticoid targets is enhanced in mdr1A P-glycoprotein knockout
- 1785 mice. Endocrinology 1998;139:1789-93.
- 1786 169. Issa S, Schnabel D, Feix M, et al. Human osteoblast-like cells express predominantly steroid
- 1787 5alpha-reductase type 1. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2002;87:5401-7.
- 1788 170. Chapman K, Holmes M, Seckl J. 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases: intracellular gate-
- 1789 keepers of tissue glucocorticoid action. Physiological reviews 2013;93:1139-206.
- 1790 171. Lavery GG, Walker EA, Draper N, et al. Hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase knock-out mice
- 1791 lack 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1-mediated glucocorticoid generation. JBiolChem
- 1792 2006;281:6546-51.
- 1793 172. Bellows CG, Ciaccia A, Heersche JN. Osteoprogenitor cells in cell populations derived from
- 1794 mouse and rat calvaria differ in their response to corticosterone, cortisol, and cortisone. Bone
- 1795 1998;23:119-25.
- 1796 173. Bland R, Worker CA, Noble BS, et al. Characterization of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase
- 1797 activity and corticosteroid receptor expression in human osteosarcoma cell lines. JEndocrinol
- 1798 1999;161:455-64.

- 1799 174. Patel P, Hardy R, Sumathi V, et al. Expression of 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase
- 1800 enzymes in human osteosarcoma: potential role in pathogenesis and as targets for treatments.
- 1801 EndocrRelat Cancer 2012;19:589-98.
- 1802 175. Cooper MS, Walker EA, Bland R, Fraser WD, Hewison M, Stewart PM. Expression and
- 1803 functional consequences of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity in human bone. Bone
- 1804 2000;27:375-81.
- 1805 176. Eijken M, Hewison M, Cooper MS, et al. 11 β -Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase expression and
- 1806 glucocorticoid synthesis are directed by a molecular switch during osteoblast differentiation.
- 1807 MolEndocrinol 2005;19:621-31.
- 1808 177. Eyre LJ, Rabbitt EH, Bland R, et al. Expression of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in rat
- 1809 osteoblastic cells: Pre-receptor regulation of glucocorticoid responses in bone. JCell Biochem
- 1810 2001;81:453-62.
- 1811 178. Cooper MS, Rabbitt EH, Goddard PE, Bartlett WA, Hewison M, Stewart PM. Osteoblastic 11β-
- 1812 hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 activity increases with age and glucocorticoid exposure. JBone
- 1813 MinerRes 2002;17:979-86.
- 1814 179. Cooper MS, Bujalska I, Rabbitt E, et al. Modulation of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase
- 1815 isozymes by proinflammatory cytokines in osteoblasts: an autocrine switch from glucocorticoid
- 1816 inactivation to activation. JBone MinerRes 2001;16:1037-44.
- 180. Ahasan MM, Hardy R, Jones C, et al. Inflammatory regulation of glucocorticoid metabolism
- 1818 in mesenchymal stromal cells. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:2404-013.
- 1819 181. Sai S, Esteves CL, Kelly V, et al. Glucocorticoid regulation of the promoter of 11beta-
- 1820 hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 is indirect and requires CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-beta.
- 1821 Mol Endocrinol 2008;22:2049-60.
- 1822 182. Yang Z, Zhu X, Guo C, Sun K. Stimulation of 11beta-HSD1 expression by IL-1beta via a C/EBP
- binding site in human fetal lung fibroblasts. Endocrine 2009;36:404-11.

- 1824 183. Kaur K, Hardy R, Ahasan MM, et al. Synergistic induction of local glucocorticoid generation
- 1825 by inflammatory cytokines and glucocorticoids: implications for inflammation associated bone loss.
- 1826 AnnRheumDis 2009:Jun 22. [Epub ahead of print].
- 1827 184. Hwang JY, Lee SH, Kim GS, et al. HSD11B1 polymorphisms predicted bone mineral density
 1828 and fracture risk in postmenopausal women without a clinically apparent hypercortisolemia. Bone
 1829 2009;45:1098-103.
- 1830 185. Szappanos A, Patocs A, Gergics P, et al. The 83,557insA variant of the gene coding 11beta-
- 1831 hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 enzyme associates with serum osteocalcin in patients with
- 1832 endogenous Cushing's syndrome. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology
- 1833 2011;123:79-84.
- 1834 186. Feldman K, Szappanos A, Butz H, et al. The rs4844880 polymorphism in the promoter region
- 1835 of the HSD11B1 gene associates with bone mineral density in healthy and postmenopausal
- 1836 osteoporotic women. Steroids 2012;77:1345-51.
- 1837 187. Siggelkow H, Etmanski M, Bozkurt S, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in 11beta-hydroxysteroid
- 1838 dehydrogenase type 1 correlate with the postdexamethasone cortisol levels and bone mineral
- density in patients evaluated for osteoporosis. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism
 2014;99:E293-302.
- 1841 188. Justesen J, Mosekilde L, Holmes M, et al. Mice Deficient in 11β -Hydroxysteroid
- 1842 dehydrogenase Type 1 Lack Bone Marrow Adipocytes but Maintain Normal Bone Formation.
- 1843 Endocrinology 2004.
- 1844 189. Kotelevtsev Y, Holmes MC, Burchell A, et al. 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1
- 1845 knockout mice show attenuated glucocorticoid-inducible responses and resist hyperglycemia on
- 1846 obesity or stress. ProcNatlAcadSciUSA 1997;94:14924-9.
- 1847 190. Cooper MS, Blumsohn A, Goddard PE, et al. 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1
- 1848 activity predicts the effects of glucocorticoids on bone. JClinEndocrinolMetab 2003;88:3874-7.

1850	approach to manipulating local glucocorticoid levels with implications for rheumatic disease. Curr
1851	Opin Pharmacol 2013;13:440-4.
1852	192. Cooper MS, Kriel H, Sayers A, et al. Can 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity
1853	predict the sensitivity of bone to therapeutic glucocorticoids in inflammatory bowel disease?
1854	Calcified tissue international 2011;89:246-51.
1855	193. Hansen M, Florescu A, Stoltenberg M, et al. Bone loss in rheumatoid arthritis. Influence of
1856	disease activity, duration of the disease, functional capacity, and corticosteroid treatment. Scand J
1857	Rheumatol 1996;25:367-76.
1858	194. Kirwan JR. The effect of glucocorticoids on joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis. The
1859	Arthritis and Rheumatism Council Low-Dose Glucocorticoid Study Group. N Engl J Med
1860	1995;333:142-6.
1861	195. Hardy RS, Doig CL, Hussain Z, et al. 11beta-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 within
1862	muscle protects against the adverse effects of local inflammation. The Journal of pathology
1863	2016;240:472-83.
1864	196. Landewe RB, Boers M, Verhoeven AC, et al. COBRA combination therapy in patients with
1865	early rheumatoid arthritis: long-term structural benefits of a brief intervention. Arthritis Rheum
1866	2002;46:347-56.
1867	197. Kirwan JR. The effect of glucocorticoids on joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis. The
1868	Arthritis and Rheumatism Council Low-Dose Glucocorticoid Study Group. NEnglJMed 1995;333:142

Hardy RS, Seibel MJ, Cooper MS. Targeting 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases: a novel

1869 6.

1849

191.

- 1870 198. Gough A, Sambrook P, Devlin J, et al. Osteoclastic activation is the principal mechanism
- 1871 leading to secondary osteoporosis in rheumatoid arthritis. JRheumatol 1998;25:1282-9.
- 1872 199. van Staa TP, Leufkens HG, Abenhaim L, Begaud B, Zhang B, Cooper C. Use of oral
- 1873 corticosteroids in the United Kingdom. QJM 2000;93:105-11.

78

- 1874 200. Walsh LJ, Wong CA, Pringle M, Tattersfield AE. Use of oral corticosteroids in the community
- 1875 and the prevention of secondary osteoporosis: a cross sectional study. BMJ 1996;313:344-6.
- 1876 201. Overman RA, Yeh JY, Deal CL. Prevalence of oral glucocorticoid usage in the United States: a
- 1877 general population perspective. Arthritis care & research 2013;65:294-8.
- 1878 202. Silverman S, Curtis J, Saag K, et al. International management of bone health in
- 1879 glucocorticoid-exposed individuals in the observational GLOW study. Osteoporosis international : a
- 1880 journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and
- the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2015;26:419-20.
- 1882 203. Fardet L, Petersen I, Nazareth I. Prevalence of long-term oral glucocorticoid prescriptions in
- the UK over the past 20 years. Rheumatology 2011;50:1982-90.
- 1884 204. Laugesen K, Jorgensen JOL, Sorensen HT, Petersen I. Systemic glucocorticoid use in
- 1885 Denmark: a population-based prevalence study. BMJ open 2017;7:e015237.
- 1886 205. van Staa TP, Leufkens HG, Cooper C. Use of inhaled corticosteroids and risk of fractures.
- 1887 JBone MinerRes 2001;16:581-8.
- 1888 206. van Staa TP, Leufkens HG, Abenhaim L, Zhang B, Cooper C. Oral corticosteroids and fracture
- risk: relationship to daily and cumulative doses. Rheumatology(Oxford) 2000;39:1383-9.
- 1890 207. Balasubramanian A, Wade SW, Adler RA, et al. Glucocorticoid exposure and fracture risk in
- 1891 patients with new-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as
- 1892 result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National
- 1893 Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2016;27:3239-49.
- 1894 208. Majumdar SR, Morin SN, Lix LM, Leslie WD. Influence of recency and duration of
- 1895 glucocorticoid use on bone mineral density and risk of fractures: population-based cohort study.
- 1896 Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European
- 1897 Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2013;24:2493-8.
- 1898 209. De VF, Bracke M, Leufkens HG, Lammers JW, Cooper C, van Staa TP. Fracture risk with
- intermittent high-dose oral glucocorticoid therapy. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:208-14.

1900 210. Waljee AK, Rogers MA, Lin P, et al. Short term use of oral corticosteroids and related harms

among adults in the United States: population based cohort study. BMJ 2017;357:j1415.

1902 211. Cohen S, Levy RM, Keller M, et al. Risedronate therapy prevents corticosteroid-induced bone

- 1903 loss: a twelve-month, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group
- 1904 study. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:2309-18.
- 1905 212. Adachi JD, Bensen WG, Brown J, et al. Intermittent etidronate therapy to prevent
 1906 corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. NEnglJMed 1997;337:382-7.

1907 213. Saag KG, Emkey R, Schnitzer TJ, et al. Alendronate for the prevention and treatment of

- 1908 glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis Intervention Study
- 1909 Group. NEnglJMed 1998;339:292-9.
- 1910 214. Amiche MA, Albaum JM, Tadrous M, et al. Fracture risk in oral glucocorticoid users: a
- 1911 Bayesian meta-regression leveraging control arms of osteoporosis clinical trials. Osteoporosis
- 1912 international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for

1913 Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2016;27:1709-18.

- 1914 215. Zhu TY, Griffith JF, Qin L, et al. Cortical thinning and progressive cortical porosity in female
- 1915 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus on long-term glucocorticoids: a 2-year case-control

1916 study. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the

1917 European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA

1918 2015;26:1759-71.

1919 216. Paggiosi MA, Peel NF, Eastell R. The impact of glucocorticoid therapy on trabecular bone
1920 score in older women. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation
1921 between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of
1922 the USA 2015;26:1773-80.

- 1923 217. Olsson A, Oturai DB, Sorensen PS, Oturai PS, Oturai AB. Short-term, high-dose glucocorticoid
- 1924 treatment does not contribute to reduced bone mineral density in patients with multiple sclerosis.
- 1925 Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England) 2015;21:1557-65.

- 1926 218. Chen SJ, Liao WC, Huang KH, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and allied
- 1927 conditions is a strong independent risk factor for osteoporosis and pathologic fractures: a
- 1928 population-based cohort study. QJM : monthly journal of the Association of Physicians
- 1929 2015;108:633-40.
- 1930 219. Kanis JA, Johansson H, Oden A, McCloskey EV. Guidance for the adjustment of FRAX
- 1931 according to the dose of glucocorticoids. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result
- 1932 of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis
- 1933 Foundation of the USA 2011;22:809-16.
- 1934 220. Nguyen ND, Frost SA, Center JR, Eisman JA, Nguyen TV. Development of prognostic
- 1935 nomograms for individualizing 5-year and 10-year fracture risks. Osteoporosis international : a
- 1936 journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and
- 1937 the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2008;19:1431-44.
- 1938 221. Buckley L, Guyatt G, Fink HA, et al. 2017 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for
- 1939 the Prevention and Treatment of Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis. Arthritis & rheumatology
- 1940 (Hoboken, NJ) 2017;69:1521-37.
- 1941 222. Lekamwasam S, Adachi JD, Agnusdei D, et al. A framework for the development of guidelines
- 1942 for the management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal
- 1943 established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the
- 1944 National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2012;23:2257-76.
- 1945 223. Compston J, Cooper A, Cooper C, et al. UK clinical guideline for the prevention and
- 1946 treatment of osteoporosis. Archives of osteoporosis 2017;12:43.
- 1947 224. Reid DM, Devogelaer JP, Saag K, et al. Zoledronic acid and risedronate in the prevention and
- 1948 treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis (HORIZON): a multicentre, double-blind, double-
- dummy, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009;373:1253-63.
- 1950 225. Saag KG, Shane E, Boonen S, et al. Teriparatide or alendronate in glucocorticoid-induced
- 1951 osteoporosis. NEnglJMed 2007;357:2028-39.

- 1952 226. Adachi JD, Saag KG, Delmas PD, et al. Two-year effects of alendronate on bone mineral
- 1953 density and vertebral fracture in patients receiving glucocorticoids: a randomized, double-blind,
- 1954 placebo-controlled extension trial. Arthritis Rheum 2001;44:202-11.
- 1955 227. Wallach S, Cohen S, Reid DM, et al. Effects of risedronate treatment on bone density and
- 1956 vertebral fracture in patients on corticosteroid therapy. CalcifTissue Int 2000;67:277-85.
- 1957 228. Reginster JY, Kuntz D, Verdickt W, et al. Prophylactic use of alfacalcidol in corticosteroid1958 induced osteoporosis. OsteoporosInt 1999;9:75-81.
- 1959 229. Ringe JD, Coster A, Meng T, Schacht E, Umbach R. Treatment of glucocorticoid-induced
- 1960 osteoporosis with alfacalcidol/calcium versus vitamin D/calcium. CalcifTissue Int 1999;65:337-40.
- de Nijs RN, Jacobs JW, Lems WF, et al. Alendronate or alfacalcidol in glucocorticoid-induced
 osteoporosis. NEnglJMed 2006;355:675-84.
- 1963 231. Gluer CC, Marin F, Ringe JD, et al. Comparative effects of teriparatide and risedronate in
- 1964 glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in men: 18-month results of the EuroGIOPs trial. Journal of
- 1965 bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral
- 1966 Research 2013;28:1355-68.
- 1967 232. Saag KG, Agnusdei D, Hans D, et al. Trabecular Bone Score in Patients With Chronic
- 1968 Glucocorticoid Therapy-Induced Osteoporosis Treated With Alendronate or Teriparatide. Arthritis &
- 1969 rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ) 2016;68:2122-8.
- 1970 233. Overman RA, Gourlay ML, Deal CL, Farley JF, Brookhart MA, Layton JB. Fracture rate
- 1971 associated with quality metric-based anti-osteoporosis treatment in glucocorticoid-induced
- 1972 osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the
- 1973 European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA
- 1974 2015;26:1515-24.
- 1975 234. Axelsson KF, Nilsson AG, Wedel H, Lundh D, Lorentzon M. Association Between Alendronate
- 1976 Use and Hip Fracture Risk in Older Patients Using Oral Prednisolone. JAMA 2017;318:146-55.

- 1977 235. Bergman J, Nordstrom A, Nordstrom P. Alendronate Use and the Risk of Nonvertebral
- 1978 Fracture During Glucocorticoid Therapy: A Retrospective Cohort Study. The Journal of clinical
- 1979 endocrinology and metabolism 2018;103:306-13.
- 1980 236. Mellibovsky L, Prieto-Alhambra D, Mellibovsky F, et al. Bone Tissue Properties Measurement
- 1981 by Reference Point Indentation in Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis. Journal of bone and mineral
- research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2015;30:1651-
- 1983 6.
- 1984 237. Girgis CM, Sher D, Seibel MJ. Atypical femoral fractures and bisphosphonate use. The New
- 1985 England journal of medicine 2010;362:1848-9.
- 1986 238. Schilcher J, Koeppen V, Aspenberg P, Michaelsson K. Risk of atypical femoral fracture during
 and after bisphosphonate use. Acta orthopaedica 2015;86:100-7.
- 1988 239. Ishiguro S, Ito K, Nakagawa S, Hataji O, Sudo A. The clinical benefits of denosumab for
- 1989 prophylaxis of steroid-induced osteoporosis in patients with pulmonary disease. Archives of1990 osteoporosis 2017;12:44.
- 1991 240. Sawamura M, Komatsuda A, Togashi M, Wakui H, Takahashi N. Effects of Denosumab on
- 1992 Bone Metabolic Markers and Bone Mineral Density in Patients Treated with Glucocorticoids. Internal
- 1993 medicine (Tokyo, Japan) 2017;56:631-6.
- 1994 241. Mok CC, Ho LY, Ma KM. Switching of oral bisphosphonates to denosumab in chronic
- 1995 glucocorticoid users: a 12-month randomized controlled trial. Bone 2015;75:222-8.
- 1996 242. Bjornsdottir S, Saaf M, Bensing S, Kampe O, Michaelsson K, Ludvigsson JF. Risk of hip fracture
- 1997 in Addison's disease: a population-based cohort study. Journal of internal medicine 2011;270:187-
- 1998 95.
- 1999 243. Mor F, Green P, Wysenbeek AJ. Myopathy in Addison's disease. Ann Rheum Dis 1987;46:81-
- 2000 3.
- 2001 244. Lee P, Greenfield JR. What is the optimal bone-preserving strategy for patients with
- 2002 Addison's disease? Clinical endocrinology 2015;83:157-61.

- 2003 245. Koetz KR, Ventz M, Diederich S, Quinkler M. Bone mineral density is not significantly reduced
- 2004 in adult patients on low-dose glucocorticoid replacement therapy. The Journal of clinical
- 2005 endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97:85-92.
- 2006 246. Camozzi V, Betterle C, Frigo AC, et al. Vertebral fractures assessed with dual-energy X-ray
- 2007 absorptiometry in patients with Addison's disease on glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid
- 2008 replacement therapy. Endocrine 2018;59:319-29.
- 2009 247. Schulz J, Frey KR, Cooper MS, et al. Reduction in daily hydrocortisone dose improves bone
- 2010 health in primary adrenal insufficiency. European journal of endocrinology / European Federation of
- 2011 Endocrine Societies 2016;174:531-8.
- 2012 248. Ceccato F, Barbot M, Albiger N, et al. Long-term glucocorticoid effect on bone mineral
- 2013 density in patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency. European
- 2014 journal of endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2016;175:101-6.
- 2015 249. Elnecave RH, Kopacek C, Rigatto M, Keller BJ, Sisson de Castro JA. Bone mineral density in
- 2016 girls with classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to CYP21 deficiency. JPediatrEndocrinolMetab
- 2017 2008;21:1155-62.
- 2018 250. El-Maouche D, Collier S, Prasad M, Reynolds JC, Merke DP. Cortical bone mineral density in
- 2019 patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency. Clinical
- 2020 endocrinology 2015;82:330-7.
- 2021 251. Falhammar H, Filipsson H, Holmdahl G, et al. Fractures and bone mineral density in adult
- 2022 women with 21-hydroxylase deficiency. JClinEndocrinolMetab 2007;92:4643-9.
- 2023 252. Falhammar H, Filipsson Nystrom H, Wedell A, Brismar K, Thoren M. Bone mineral density,
- 2024 bone markers, and fractures in adult males with congenital adrenal hyperplasia. European journal of
- 2025 endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2013;168:331-41.
- 2026 253. Florio M, Gunasekaran K, Stolina M, et al. A bispecific antibody targeting sclerostin and DKK-
- 2027 1 promotes bone mass accrual and fracture repair. Nature communications 2016;7:11505.

- 2028 254. Newton R, Holden NS. Separating transrepression and transactivation: a distressing divorce
- 2029 for the glucocorticoid receptor? Molecular pharmacology 2007;72:799-809.
- 2030 255. Cooper MS, Zhou H, Seibel MJ. Selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists: glucocorticoid
- 2031 therapy with no regrets? Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American
- 2032 Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2012;27:2238-41.
- 2033 256. Thiele S, Ziegler N, Tsourdi E, et al. Selective glucocorticoid receptor modulation maintains
- 2034 bone mineral density in mice. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the
- 2035 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2012;27:2242-50.

2036

2037