UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Clinical and demographic characteristics associated with suboptimal primary stroke and transient ischemic attack prevention: retrospective analysis

Turner, Grace; Calvert, Melanie; Feltham, Max G; Ryan, Ronan; Finnikin, Samuel; Marshall, Tom

DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020080

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Turner, GM, Calvert, M, Feltham, MG, Ryan, R, Finnikin, S & Marshall, T 2018, 'Clinical and demographic characteristics associated with suboptimal primary stroke and transient ischemic attack prevention: retrospective analysis', Stroke. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020080

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked for eligibility: 20/02/2018

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

. Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

- 1 Clinical and Demographic Characteristics Associated with Sub-optimal
- 2 Primary Stroke and TIA Prevention: Retrospective Analysis
- 3 Grace M Turner, PhD^{1,2}
- 4 Melanie Calvert, PhD^{1,2}
- 5 Max G Feltham, PhD^{1,3}
- 6 Ronan Ryan, PhD¹
- 7 Samuel Finnikin, MSc^{1,2}
- 8 Tom Marshall, PhD^{1,2}
- 9 ¹Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham,
- 10 B15 2TT, UK
- ¹¹ ²Centre for Patient Reported Outcomes Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,
- 12 Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK
- ¹³ ³Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15
- 14 2TT, UK
- 15 Correspondence: G Turner <u>G.Turner.1@bham.ac.uk</u> +44(0)121-414-5463
- 16 Cover title: Characteristics associated with sub-optimal primary stroke and TIA prevention
- 17 Tables:1
- 18 Key words: stroke, transient ischaemic attack, primary care, prevention, hypertension, atrial
- 19 fibrillation, dyslipidaemia
- 20 Word count:5,000
- 21

1 Abstract

2 Background and purpose

Primary prevention of stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) is important to reduce the
burden of these conditions; however, prescribing of prevention drugs is sub-optimal. We
aimed to identify individual clinical and demographic characteristics associated with potential
missed opportunities for prevention therapy with lipid-lowering, anticoagulant or
antihypertensive drugs prior to stroke/TIA.

8 Methods

9 We analysed anonymised electronic primary care records from a United Kingdom (UK)

10 primary care database that covers 561 family practices. Patients with first-ever stroke/TIA,

 $11 \ge 18$ years, with diagnosis between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2013, were included.

12 Missed opportunities for prevention were defined as people with clinical indications for lipid-

13 lowering, anticoagulant, or antihypertensive drugs but not prescribed these drugs prior to

14 their stroke/TIA. Mixed-effect logistic regression models evaluated the relationship between

15 missed opportunities and individual clinical/demographic characteristics.

16 **Results**

17 29,043 people with stroke/TIA met the inclusion criteria. Patients with Coronary Heart 18 Disease, Chronic Kidney Disease, Peripheral Arterial Disease or diabetes were at less risk of 19 a missed opportunity for prescription of lipid-lowering and antihypertensive drugs. However, 20 patients with a 10-year CVD risk \geq 20% but without these diagnoses had increased risk of 21 having a missed opportunity for prescription of lipid-lowering drugs or antihypertensive 22 drugs. Females were less likely to be prescribed anticoagulants but more likely to be 23 prescribed antihypertensive drugs. The very elderly (≥ 85 years) were less likely to be 24 prescribed all three prevention drugs, compared to people aged 75-79 years.

1 Conclusion

Knowing the patient characteristics predictive of missed opportunities for stroke prevention may help primary care identify and appropriately manage these patients. Improving the management of these groups may reduce their risk and potentially prevent large numbers of future strokes and TIAs in the population.

1 Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide; the Global Burden of Disease
Study found stroke is the second leading cause of death¹ and disability.² Furthermore stroke
incidence, in terms of absolute numbers, and age-adjusted prevalence rates have increased.³
Therefore, primary prevention of stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA), a risk factor for
stroke, is essential more than ever to reduce the burden of these conditions.

7 Dyslipidaemia, atrial fibrillation (AF) and hypertension are modifiable risk factors for stroke which can be targeted through pharmacotherapy to reduce stroke risk.⁴⁻⁶ However, despite 8 evidence-based guidelines, prescribing of lipid-lowering, anticoagulant and antihypertensive 9 10 drugs for primary stroke/TIA prevention is suboptimal in primary care. We previously found 11 that over half of people eligible for one or more of these drugs were not prescribed them prior to first stroke/TIA.⁷ Approximately 12,000 first-strokes could potentially be prevented 12 13 annually in the United Kingdom (UK) through optimal prescribing of lipid-lowering, anticoagulant and antihypertensive drugs.⁷ 14

15 A number of studies suggest variations and inequalities in prescribing of lipid-lowering, anticoagulant and antihypertensive drugs for prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD).⁸⁻¹⁴ 16 17 There are inconsistent findings regarding the association between deprivation status and prescribing of prevention drugs;^{10, 13} a Scottish study found that more deprived people were 18 less likely to be prescribed statins,¹¹ whereas as a survey of English family practices found 19 higher prescriptions of statins in more deprived areas.⁹ Sex differences have also been 20 observed; a survey of hypertension treatment in Europe and North America found women 21 were more likely than men to be prescribed antihypertensive drugs.¹⁴ Conversely, French and 22 Japanese studies reported women were less likely to be prescribed anticoagulant drugs.^{8,12} 23 24 However, these studies did not consider prescribing practice in the context of predicted CVD

1 and stroke risk and clinical indications for prescribing. This is important because a treatment-2 risk paradox has been observed whereby there is overprescribing of prevention drugs in people without a clinical indication¹³ and sub-optimal prescribing in people at high risk.¹⁵ 3 Understanding what characteristics are associated with sub-optimal prescribing of prevention 4 drugs in eligible patients is important to improve primary prevention of stroke/TIA. 5 6 Our objective was to determine the relationship between clinical and demographic 7 characteristics and prescription of lipid-lowering, anticoagulant or antihypertensive drugs in 8 patients with clinical indications prior to stroke/TIA.

9 Methods

The full protocol for this study has been published elsewhere,¹⁶ methods are summarised in
brief below. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the
corresponding author upon reasonable request.

13 Study design and data source

14 We conducted a retrospective analysis of electronic medical records from 561 family

15 practices in the UK. Anonymised data were obtained from The Health Improvement Network

16 (THIN),¹⁷ a large primary care database which covers approximately 6% of the UK

17 population and is broadly generalisable in terms of age, sex and morbidity.¹⁸ Recording of

18 stroke and TIA in THIN have a high validity.¹⁹ Furthermore, prescribing data are

19 comprehensive and accurate because this data is automatically retained in patients' electronic

20 medical records from software used to print prescriptions.²⁰

21 Analysis of THIN data has ethical approval from the National Health Service South-East

22 Multicentre Research Ethics Committee, subject to independent scientific review.²¹ This

study had approval by a scientific review committee administered by IMS Health Real-World
 Evidence Solutions (reference: 13-023).

3 Population

We defined primary stroke prevention as prevention of stroke in individuals with no prior
history of stroke; therefore, the study population comprised patients with a diagnosis of first
stroke (with or without previous TIA) and first TIA (if no prior stroke). Patients were
included who had a stroke/TIA diagnosis between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2013
and were aged ≥18 years at their diagnosis. The date of first-ever stroke or TIA was taken as
the index date.

10 Definitions of missed opportunities for primary stroke/TIA prevention

A potential missed opportunity for stroke/TIA prevention was defined as a person in whom a prevention drug was clinically indicated at the time of their stroke or TIA, but who was not receiving treatment. This meant no prescription of a lipid-lowering or antihypertensive drug within the previous 90 days (the usual maximum prescription length in the UK) or for an anticoagulant drug within 120 days (to allow for referral to an anticoagulation clinic). Patients with a clinical code for anticoagulation monitoring were also considered to be on anticoagulant drugs.

18 The most recent risk factor data prior to the stroke/TIA were used to determine if stroke

19 prevention drugs were clinically indicated. Clinical indications for lipid-lowering,

20 anticoagulant, and antihypertensive drugs were based on UK national guidelines used during

21 the study period (Online supplement). 5,22,23

22 Analysis

All analysis was conducted using STATA version 12 (StataCorp). The relationship between
 clinical/demographic characteristics (Online supplement) and missed prescribing

opportunities was evaluated using mixed-effects logistic regression, with family practice as a
random effect and odds ratios (OR) reported. Age and sex were forced into the models
because they were pre-identified as important predictors of under-treatment.²⁴⁻²⁶ Year of
stroke/TIA was included as a covariate in the regression models. Backwards elimination with
a p-to-eliminate value of >0.05 was used to select variables to be included in the final
models. Exploratory analyses are detailed in the online supplement. No attempt was made to
impute missing data, but a "missing" category was created for categorical variables.

8

9 **Results**

10 29,043 people with stroke/TIA met the inclusion criteria (Table 1). The median age was 74 11 years (IQR 64,82) and 51% were female. 17,680 patients had a clinical indication for one or 12 more stroke prevention drugs, of which, 9,579 were not prescribed these drugs at the time of 13 their stroke or TIA. Missed opportunities for prescribing of prevention drugs was found in 14 49% (7,836/16,028) of patients with a clinical indication for lipid-lowering drugs, 52% (1,647/3,194) for anticoagulant drugs and 25% (1,740/7,008) for antihypertensive drugs.⁷ 15 16 Predictors of missed opportunities for prescription of prevention drugs 17 The adjusted ORs for each prevention drug are presented below and reported in eTables I-III. 18 Sex 19 Females had increased odds of having a missed opportunity for prescribing anticoagulant 20 drugs (OR 1.37; 95% CI 1.18,1.58); however, the opposite was true for antihypertensive 21 drugs (OR 0.85; 95% 0.74,0.97) and there was no sex effect for lipid-lowering drugs.

1 **Age**

The very elderly (≥85 years) had increased odds of not being prescribed lipid-lowering,
anticoagulant and antihypertensive drugs when clinically indicated (eTables I-III). For lipidlowering and antihypertensive drugs, there was a J-shaped relationship between age and
missed prescribing opportunities where younger age categories (50-69 years) also had
increased odds of missed opportunities (reference category 75-79 years; eTables I and III).
However, for anticoagulant drugs, patients between 55 to 59 years had reduced odds of
having a missed opportunity (eTable II).

9 Comorbidities

10 The odds of missed opportunities for lipid-lowering drug prescribing were less than a third in

11 stroke/TIA patients with a diagnosis of coronary heart disease (CHD) (OR 0.21; 95% CI

12 0.19,0.22) or diabetes (OR 0.31; 95% CI 0.28,0.33) and significantly reduced in patients with

13 a diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) (OR 0.52; 95% CI 0.45,0.60), hypertension

14 (OR 0.69; 95% CI 0.64,0.75) or chronic kidney disease (CKD) (OR 0.86; 95% CI 0.79,0.94).

15 For antihypertensive drugs, odds of having a missed opportunity were substantially lower in

16 patients with a diagnosis of hypertension (OR 0.09; 95% CI 0.07,0.11), CHD (OR 0.26; 95%

17 CI 0.21,0.33), AF (OR 0.35; 95% CI 0.27,0.47), diabetes (OR 0.43; 95% CI 0.35,0.52), heart

18 failure (OR 0.49; 95% CI 0.33,0.73) and CKD (OR 0.50; 95% CI 0.41,0.60). In addition,

19 significantly reduced odds of having a missed opportunity for a prescription for

20 antihypertensive drugs was found for patients with a diagnosis of PAD (OR 0.62; 95% CI

21 0.47,0.81), cancer (OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.62,0.98), hypothyroidism (OR 0.79; 95% CI

22 0.63,1.00) or asthma (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.62,1.00). For anticoagulant drugs, a diagnosis of

23 heart failure (OR 0.53; 95% CI 0.44,0.63) or diabetes (OR 0.82; 95% CI 0.69,0.98) was

24 associated with reduced odds of having a missed opportunity for prescribing of these drugs.

Increased odds of having a missed opportunity was associated with a diagnosis of dementia
for anticoagulant (OR 1.51; 95% CI 1.11,2.06) and antihypertensive drugs (OR 1.78; 95% CI 1.26,2.51); palliative care (OR 2.48; 95% CI 1.83,3.34) for lipid-lowering drugs; and number
of comorbidities (OR 1.28 per unit increase; 95% CI 1.16,1.42) for antihypertensive drugs.
There was no association between number of comorbidities and prescribing of lipid-lowering
or anticoagulant drugs.

7 CVD risk

8 Exploratory analyses (Online supplement) found that people with a 10-year CVD risk \geq 20% 9 but without 'high risk comorbidities' (CHD, CKD, PAD, diabetes or familial 10 hypercholesterolaemia) had a 3-fold increased odds having a missed opportunity for lipid-11 lowering drug prescribing (OR 2.81; 95% CI 2.47, 3.21). There were 2,780 patients with a 12 clinical indication for lipid-lowering drugs who had a 10-year CVD risk \geq 20% but no high 13 risk comorbidities; 81% (2,238/2,780) of these were not prescribed these drugs. Similarly, 14 patients with a clinical indication for antihypertensive drugs who had a 10-year CVD risk 15 \geq 20% but no 'high risk comorbidities' had increased odds of having a missed opportunity for 16 these drugs (OR 1.43; 95% CI 1.17,1.75). There were 1,076 of these patients eligible for 17 antihypertensive drugs due to a 10-year CVD risk \geq 20% but no 'high risk comorbidities'; 18 45% (479/1,076) were not prescribed these drugs.

19 Behavioural and other demographic characteristics

20 After adjustment for clinical and other patient factors, current smokers and people with a

21 missing smoking status were more likely to have a missed opportunity for prescription of

- 22 lipid-lowering and anticoagulant drugs, compared to non-smokers (eTable I and II).
- 23 Stroke/TIA patients who were underweight (body mass index $[BMI] < 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$) or missing
- 24 BMI had increased odds of not being prescribed lipid-lowering and anticoagulant drugs,
- compared to people with a healthy BMI (18.5-25.9 kg/m²) (eTable I and II). Being

1	overweight (BMI 26.0-30.0 kg/m ²) or obese (BMI $>$ 30.0 kg/m ²) was associated with
2	increased odds of having a missed opportunity for anticoagulant drugs, but reduced odds for
3	lipid-lowering drugs (eTable I and II). There was no association between BMI or smoking
4	and antihypertensive prescribing.
5	Provision of lifestyle advice was associated with reduced odds of missed opportunities for
0	Trovision of mestyle advice was associated with reduced odds of missed opportainties for
6	prescribing lipid-lowering drugs (advice on smoking and weight) and antihypertensive drugs
7	(advice on weight; eTables I and III). There were statistically significant regional differences
8	for prescribing of lipid-lowering drugs with stroke/TIA patients in Wales (OR 0.72; 95% CI
9	0.59,0.89) and Northern Ireland (OR 0.72; 95% CI 0.59,0.88) more likely to be prescribed
10	these drugs (West Midlands region of England as reference).
11	Deprivation and rurality (urban/rural) status had no effect on missed prescribing opportunities

12 for any of the three prevention drugs.

13

14 **Discussion**

15 **Principal findings**

We identified population subgroups where there are potential missed opportunities for prevention of stroke/TIA. Females were less likely to be prescribed anticoagulants but more likely to be prescribed antihypertensive drugs; however, there was no sex effect for lipidlowering drugs. Compared to patients aged 75-79 years, the very elderly (≥85 years) and patients aged 50-69 years were less likely to be prescribed preventative drugs. Patients on a disease register for CHD, CKD, PAD or diabetes were markedly more likely to be prescribed lipid-lowering and antihypertensive drugs. In contrast, patients at high risk (i.e. with a 10 year CVD risk ≥20%) but not on these disease registers were much less likely to be prescribed
 these drugs. Deprivation and urban/rural status had no effect on prescribing.

3 Strengths and weaknesses of the study

The strengths of this study are that the data source is generalisable to UK family practices and
reflects routine clinical practice. Prescribing data are accurate and comprehensively
recorded²⁷ and the sample size is very large. Stroke and the main comorbidities are likely to
be accurately recorded as they are clinically significant; diagnoses have been validated within
THIN;¹⁹ and, in the UK, GPs are incentivised through QOF to keep a register of patients with
these conditions.

10 This was an epidemiological, descriptive study; therefore, an important limitation is that the 11 reasons for non-prescribing are unclear. There may be legitimate reasons why patients were 12 not prescribed preventative medication which are not routinely coded in electronic patient 13 records, such as patients' preference, limited life expectancy or increased bleeding risk (when 14 prescribing anticoagulant drugs). Clinical judgment should be used in combination with 15 patient preference when considering prescribing preventative medication. Therefore, non-16 prescribing of these drugs should not be considered a missed opportunity if the doctor and 17 patient have engaged in a shared decision making process incorporating the best available 18 evidence. Patients with clinical codes indicating prevention drugs were declined, 19 contraindicated or an adverse reaction were not excluded from the analysis because it is 20 unclear if these were relevant at the time of index stroke/TIA. The number of patients in our 21 sample with these codes was small (5%, 7% and 0.7% for lipid lowering, anticoagulant and 22 antihypertensive drugs, respectively), suggesting that this information would not have altered 23 our conclusions. Lastly, prevention of stroke/TIA is complex and our definition of missed 24 prescribing opportunities does not address patients' adherence to medication, appropriate 25 prescribing of drug combinations or medication targets, such as blood pressure levels.

1 Implications for clinical practice

2 The relationship we identified between sex and prescribing of preventative drugs has 3 important clinical implications, particularly for anticoagulant drugs. Female sex is an 4 independent risk factor for stroke in AF patients and strokes in women with AF are associated with increased mortality and disability compared to males.^{28,29} Therefore, sub-5 6 optimal prescribing of anticoagulants in females is likely to have a large impact on the burden 7 of stroke. Bleeding risk has been cited as the most common reason for physicians not 8 prescribing anticoagulants³⁰ and some evidence suggests that bleeding risk is greater in women.³¹ However, a recent systematic review found no difference in risk of bleeding 9 between men and women.³² Anticoagulation in AF patients with the highest stroke risk is 10 11 likely to provide the greatest benefit; therefore, raising clinicians' awareness of sub-optimal 12 prescribing of anticoagulants in females and the associated burden has potential to improve 13 stroke prevention in these high-risk patients.

14 Missed opportunities for stroke prevention in patients with a high 10-year CVD risk may 15 suggest that absolute risk is not considered. This is supported by our finding that patients with 16 a diagnosis of CHD, CKD, PAD or diabetes were more likely to be prescribed lipid-lowering 17 and antihypertensive drugs while those without these diagnoses but with a high 10-year CVD 18 risk were less likely to be prescribed these drugs. Our study calculated patients' CVD risk 19 scores post-hoc; however, many of the patients may not have had their CVD risk calculated 20 by GPs. A survey of physicians from six European countries found that only 38% used risk scores to estimate CVD risk.³³ This has important implications because evidence suggests 21 that both patients³⁴ and clinicians^{35,36} underestimate CVD risk. This is particularly relevant 22 23 following the most recent guideline recommendations for lipid-lowering drug prescribing which decrease the 10-year CVD risk cut off from $\geq 20\%$ to $\geq 10\%$.⁴ Furthermore, perception 24 of risk is influenced by social context, such as the media.³⁷ A study of UK primary care found 25

that a period of intense media coverage on statins was associated with a decrease in recording of CVD risk scores and increase in the number of people who stopped taking statins.³⁸ The responsibility of GPs to accurately assess absolute CVD risk and effectively communicate this risk is essential to inform the shared decision making process and prevent patients missing out on preventative medication that they may benefit from and wish to take.³⁹

6 Presence of a single comorbidity was associated with with reduced odds of having a missed 7 opportunity for prescribing antihypertensives; however, an increased number of 8 comorbidities increased the odds of having a missed opportunity for these drugs (eTable III). 9 Prescribing of antihypertensives in patients with a single comorbidity may be higher because in UK primary care general practices are incentivised to include these patients on a disease 10 11 register and regularly follow them up. This increases the opportunities for detection and 12 treatment of hypertesnsion. The researn for underprescribing of antihypertensive drugs in 13 people with multimorbidity is unclear; however, it could be reflective of documented barriers 14 to antihypertensive drug, which include: hypertension not considered a clinical priority,⁴⁰ compteting medical problems,⁴¹ polypharmacy²⁵ and physicians lack of belief of the benefit 15 of these drugs.²⁵ Inadequate blood pressure control in people with multi morbidity has been 16 observed in the literature.⁴² 17

18 Missed opportunities to prescribing prevention drugs to the very elderly has important 19 implications because age is one of the most important risk factors for stroke/TIA and the population is ageing.⁴³ In particular, this is relevant for anticoagulant prescribing where 39% 20 21 (1,240/3,194) of stroke/TIA patients with these drugs clinically indicated were aged ≥ 85 22 years, compared to 21% (3,368/16,028) and 22% (1,538/7,008) for lipid-lowering and 23 antihypertensive drugs respectively. There is a lot of potential gain in this elderly patient 24 group; the net benefit of anticoagulation is greatest in the elderly and the benefits of anticoagulation in the elderly have been shown to outweigh the risk.⁴⁴ 25

1 Current lipid-lowering guidelines recommend all patients aged \geq 85 years are considered high $risk^4$ and hypertension guidelines recommend people aged >80 years are prescribed the same 2 antihypertensives as patients aged 55-80 years.⁵ However, the guidelines acknowledge that 3 there is a lack of evidence to support these recommendations,^{4,5} particularly for stroke 4 5 prevention. Furthermore, there are greater risks for prescribing prevention drugs to the very 6 elderly in the context of multimorbidity and polypharmacy. The benefit of preventative 7 medication may be redundant if a patient has reduced life expectancy, frailty or the treatment 8 burden is greater than the added length or quality of life.⁴⁵ Multimorbidity guidelines 9 recommend that prescribing of preventative medication should take a personalised approach and include patients' preferences and health priorities.⁴⁵ Therefore, age alone should not 10 11 preclude prescribing of prevention drugs, but prescribing of these drugs should be undertaken 12 using shared decision making in consideration of the best available evidence, treatment 13 burden and patients' preference.

14 Conclusions

15 Stroke can be preventable; however, opportunities for prevention may be missed. We 16 identified characteristics that are associated with missed prescribing opportunities for lipid-17 lowering, anticoagulant and antihypertensive drugs. Patients with a high calculated CVD risk 18 but who did not have high risk comorbidities were markedly less likely to be prescribed lipid-19 lowering and antihypertensive drugs. In addition, female patients with AF were less likely to 20 be prescribed anticoagulant drugs and people aged \geq 85 years were less likely to be prescribed 21 all three prevention drugs. Despite evidence-based guidelines, prevention of stroke and TIA 22 with pharmacotherapy remains suboptimal in primary care. Knowledge of patient characteristics associated with missed opportunities for prescribing of prevention drugs 23

provides an opportunity to raise awareness amongst clinicians and improve primary
 prevention of stroke/TIA.

3

4 Acknowledgments

5 None

6 Source of funding

7 The study was funded by the National Institute for Health Research School for Primary Care 8 Research (NIHR SPCR). Tom Marshall was partly funded by the NIHR through the 9 Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care for West Midlands 10 (CLAHRC-WM). This article presents independent research funded by the NIHR. The views 11 expressed in this publication are not necessarily those of the NIHR, the Department of 12 Health, NHS Partner Trusts, University of Birmingham or the CLAHRC Management Group. 13 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or 14 preparation of the manuscript.

15 **Disclosures**

GMT, MGF, RR, SF and TM report no disclosures. MC has received travel funds from the
ESC and AFNET and has received consultancy fees from Astellas and Ferring pharam
outside the current work.

1 **References**

2 1. Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A, et al. Global, 3 regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 4 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 5 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1459-1544 6 2. Vos T, Allen C, Arora M, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Brown A, et al. Global, regional, 7 and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. 8 9 Lancet. 2016;388:1545-1602 10 3. Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, 11 et al. Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990–2010: Findings from the global 12 burden of disease study 2010. Lancet. 2014;383:245-254 13 4. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Lipid modification: Cardiovascular 14 risk assessment and the modification of blood lipids for the primary and secondary 15 prevention of cardiovascular disease. NICE; July 2014. Clinical guideline-181. ISBN:978-1-4731-0638-3 16 17 5. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Hypertension: The clinical 18 management of primary hypertension in adults. NICE: August 2011. Clinical guideline-127.

19 ISBN:978-1-4731-2195-9

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Atrial fibrillation: The
 management of atrial fibrillation. NICE; June 2014 Clinical guideline 180. ISBN:978-1 4731-0603-1

1	7. Turner GM, Calvert M, Feltham MG, Ryan R, Fitzmaurice D, Cheng KK, et al.
2	Under-prescribing of prevention drugs and primary prevention of stroke and transient
3	ischaemic attack in uk general practice: A retrospective analysis. PLOS Medicine.
4	2016;13:e1002169
5	8. Akao M, Chun YH, Esato M, Abe M, Tsuji H, Wada H, et al. Inappropriate use of
6	oral anticoagulants for patients with atrial fibrillation. Circulation Journal. 2014;78:2166-
7	2172
8	9. Ashworth M, Lloyd D, Smith RS, Wagner A, Rowlands G. Social deprivation and
9	statin prescribing: A cross-sectional analysis using data from the new uk general practitioner
10	'quality and outcomes framework'. J Public Health. 2007;29:40-47

10. Marshall IJ, Wang Y, McKevitt C, Rudd AG, Wolfe CD. Trends in risk factor
prevalence and management before first stroke: Data from the south london stroke register
13 1995-2011. *Stroke*. 2013;44:1809-1816

14 11. Pears E, Hannaford PC, Taylor MW. Gender, age and deprivation differences in the
primary care management of hypertension in scotland. *Family Practice*. 2003;20:22-31

16 12. Sabouret P, Depret-Bixio L, Cotte FE, Marie P, Bedira N, Blin P. Sex differences in

17 stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation in french primary care. *Clinical Research in*

18 Cardiology. 2014;103:887-893

19 13. Wu J, Zhu S, Yao GL, Mohammed MA, Marshall T. Patient factors influencing the
20 prescribing of lipid lowering drugs for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in uk
21 general practice: A national retrospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e67611

1	14. Wolf-Maier K, Cooper RS, Kramer H, Banegas JR, Giampaoli S, Joffres MR, et al.			
2	Hypertension treatment and control in five european countries, canada, and the united states.			
3	Hypertension (Dallas, Tex.: 1979). 2004;43:10-17			
4	15. Ko DT, Mamdani M, Alter DA. Lipid-lowering therapy with statins in high-risk			
5	elderly patients: The treatment-risk paradox. JAMA. 2004;291:1864-1870			
6	16. Moran GM, Calvert M, Feltham MG, Marshall T. Retrospective case review of			
7	missed opportunities for primary prevention of stroke and TIA in primary care: Protocol			
8	paper. BMJ Open. 2014;4:e006622			
9	17. The Health Improvement Network (THIN). Vision Health.			
10	https://www.visionhealth.co.uk/portfolio-items/the-health-improvement-network-thin/			
11	Accessed December 6, 2017			
12	18. Blak BT, Thompson M, Dattani H, Bourke A. Generalisability of the health			
13	improvement network (THIN) database: Demographics, chronic disease prevalence and			
14	mortality rates. Informatics in Primary Care. 2011;19:251-255			
15	19. Ruigomez A, Martin-Merino E, Rodriguez LA. Validation of ischemic			
16	cerebrovascular diagnoses in the health improvement network (THIN).			
17	Pharmacoepidemiology & Drug Safety. 2010;19:579-585			
18	20. Vision Health. <u>https://www.visionhealth.co.uk</u> Accessed December 6, 2017			
19	21. THIN database. University Central London. <u>https://www.ucl.ac.uk/pcph/research-</u>			
20	groups-themes/thin-pub/database. Accessed December 6, 2017			

22. National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions. Atrial fibrillation: National
 clinical guideline for management in primary and secondary care. London: Royal College of
 Physicians (UK);2006. Clinical guideline-36. ISBN-10:1-86016-282-7

4 23. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Lipid modification: Cardiovascular
5 risk assessment and the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. NICE;
6 May 2008. Clinical guideline-67.

7 24. McKinlay JB, Link CL, Freund KM, Marceau LD, O'Donnell AB, Lutfey KL.

8 Sources of variation in physician adherence with clinical guidelines: Results from a factorial

9 experiment. J Gen Internal Med. 2007;22:289-296

10 25. Midlov P, Ekesbo R, Johansson L, Gerward S, Persson K, Nerbrand C, et al. Barriers
11 to adherence to hypertension guidelines among gps in southern sweden: A survey. *Scand J*12 *Primary HealthCare*. 2008;26:154-159

13 26. Ramsay SE, Whincup PH, Wannamethee SG, Papacosta O, Lennon L, Thomas MC,

14 et al. Missed opportunities for secondary prevention of cerebrovascular disease in elderly

british men from 1999 to 2005: A population-based study. J Public Health. 2007;29:251-257

16 27. Gnani S and Majeed A. A user's guide to data collected in primary care in England.

17 Imperial College London. https://www1.imperial.ac.uk/resources/579D8B09-C1C1-4026-

18 A7BE-C3E936EE9567/ Accessed Decmber 6, 2017

19 28. Lin HJ, Wolf PA, Kelly-Hayes M, Beiser AS, Kase CS, Benjamin EJ, et al. Stroke
20 severity in atrial fibrillation. The Framingham study. *Stroke*. 1996;27:1760-1764

21 29. Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for
22 stroke: The Framingham study. *Stroke*. 1991;22:983-988

1	30. Pugh D, Pugh J, Mead GE. Attitudes of physicians regarding anticoagulation for atr	ial
2	fibrillation: A systematic review. Age Ageing. 2011;40:675-683	
3	31. Humphries KH, Kerr CR, Connolly SJ, Klein G, Boone JA, Green M, et al. New-	
4	onset atrial fibrillation: Sex differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome. Circulation	n.
5	2001;103:2365-2370	
6	32. Lapner S, Cohen N, Kearon C. Influence of sex on risk of bleeding in anticoagulated	d
7	patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JTH. 2014;12:595-605	
8	33. Graham IM, Stewart M, Hertog MG. Factors impeding the implementation of	
9	cardiovascular prevention guidelines. Eur J Cardiovasc Prevent & Rehab. 2006;13:839-84	5
10	34. Samsa GP, Cohen SJ, Goldstein LB, Bonito AJ, Duncan PW, Enarson C, et al.	
11	Knowledge of risk among patients at increased risk for stroke. Stroke. 1997;28:916-921	
12	35. Heeley EL, Peiris DP, Patel AA, Cass A, Weekes A, Morgan C, et al. Cardiovascula	ar
13	risk perception and evidencepractice gaps in Australian general practice. Med J Australia.	•
14	2010;192:254-259	
15	36. McManus RJ, Mant J, Meulendijks CF, Salter RA, Pattison HM, Roalfe AK, et al.	
16	Comparison of estimates and calculations of risk of coronary heart disease by doctors and	
17	nurses using different calculation tools in general practice: Cross sectional study. BMJ.	

18 2002;324:459-464

Mosca L, Mochari-Greenberger H, Dolor RJ, Newby LK, Robb KJ. Twelve-year 19 37. 20 follow-up of american women's awareness of cardiovascular disease risk and barriers to heart health. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes. 2010;3:120-127 21

1	38.	Matthews A, Herrett E,	Gasparrini A,	Van Staa T,	Goldacre B	, Smeeth L, et al.
---	-----	------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------	--------------------

2 Impact of statin related media coverage on use of statins: Interrupted time series analysis with

3 UK primary care data. *BMJ*. 2016;353:839-845

4 39. Webster R, Heeley E. Perceptions of risk: Understanding cardiovascular disease. *Risk*5 *Management & Healthcare Policy*. 2010;3:49-60

6 40. Lin ND, Martins SB, Chan AS, Coleman RW, Bosworth HB, Oddone EZ et al.

7 Identifying barriers to hypertension guideline adherence using clinician feedback at the point
8 of care. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc.* 2006;2006:494–498

9 41. Oliveria SA, Lapuerta P, McCarthy BD, L'Italien GJ, Berlowitz DR, Asch SM.

10 Physician-related barriers to the effective management of uncontrolled hypertension. JAMA

11 Internal Medicine. 2002;162(4):413-420

Li YT, Wang H, Liu K, Lee G, Chan W, Griffiths S et al. Medication adherence and
blood pressure control among hypertensive patients with coexisting long-term conditions in
primary care settings. *Medicine*. 2016;95(20):e3572.

15 43. Townsend N, Bhatnagar P, Smolina K, Nichols M, Leal J, Luengo-Fernandez R,

16 Rayner M. Coronary heart disease statistics: A compendium of health statistics. 2012;2017

17 44. Mant J, Hobbs FDR, Fletcher K, Roalfe A, Fitzmaurice D, Lip GYH, et al. Warfarin

18 versus aspirin for stroke prevention in an elderly community population with atrial

- 19 fibrillation: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*.370:493-503
- 20 45. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Multimorbidity: Clinical
- assessment and management. NICE: September 2016. 1-23. NG56. ISBN:978-1-4731-2065-5

1 Tables

Diagnosis (n,%)	Stroke only	16,245 (55.9)		
	TIA only	10,446 (36.0)		
	Stroke with previous TIA	2,352 (8.1)		
Age (Median [IQR])	(years)	74 [64, 82]		
Sex (n,%)	Male	14,204 (48.9)		
	Female	14,839 (51.1)		
Comorbidity (n,%)	Atrial fibrillation	3,544 (12.2)		
	Asthma	3,062 (10.5)		
	Cancer	3,239 (11.2)		
	CHD	5,543 (19.1)		
	CKD	5,774 (19.9)		
	COPD	2,198 (7.6)		
	Dementia	1,270 (4.4)		
	Depression	6,174 (21.3)		
	Diabetes	4,512 (15.5)		
	Epilepsy	614 (2.1)		
	Heart failure	1,625 (5.6)		
	Hypertension	14,646 (50.4)		
	Hypothyroidism	2,890 (10.0)		
	Learning disability	130 (0.5)		
	Osteoporosis	2,318 (8.0)		
	PAD	1,431 (4.9)		
	Palliative care	359 (1.2)		
	Psychosis	439 (1.5)		
	Rheumatoid arthritis	655 (2.3)		
CHD: Coronary Heart Disease, CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease, COPD:				
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, PAD: Peripheral Artery				
Disease, TIA: Transient Ischaemic Attack				

Table 1: Characteristics of the study population.