

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Osteonecrosis of the jaw and rebound hypercalcaemia in young people treated with denosumab for giant cell tumour of bone

Uday, Suma; Gaston, Louie; Rogers, Luke; Parry, Michael; Joffe, Johnathan; Pearson, John; Grimer, Robert; Höglér, Wolfgang

DOI:

[10.1210/jc.2017-02025](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-02025)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Uday, S, Gaston, L, Rogers, L, Parry, M, Joffe, J, Pearson, J, Grimer, R & Höglér, W 2018, 'Osteonecrosis of the jaw and rebound hypercalcaemia in young people treated with denosumab for giant cell tumour of bone', *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 596–603. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-02025>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* following peer review. The version of record: Suma Uday, Czar Louie Gaston, Luke Rogers, Michael Parry, Johnathan Joffe, John Pearson, David Sutton, Robert Grimer, Wolfgang Höglér; Osteonecrosis of the Jaw and Rebound Hypercalcemia in Young People Treated With Denosumab for Giant Cell Tumor of Bone, *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Volume 103, Issue 2, 1 February 2018, Pages 596–603 is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-02025>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Osteonecrosis of the jaw and rebound hypercalcaemia in young people treated with denosumab for giant cell tumour of bone

Authors:

Suma Uday^{1,6}, Louie Gaston², Luke Rogers³, Michael Parry⁴, Johnathan Joffe³, John Pearson⁵, David Sutton⁵, Robert Grimer⁴, Wolfgang Högl^{1, 6}

1. Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham, UK
2. Department of Orthopaedics, Philippine General Hospital, Manila, Philippines
3. Department of Medical Oncology, Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust
4. Department of Orthopaedic Oncology, The Royal Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
5. Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust
6. Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Short title: Denosumab related adverse effects in adolescents

Corresponding Author:

Dr Suma Uday
Department of Endocrinology & Diabetes
Birmingham Children's Hospital
Steelhouse Lane
B4 6NH, BIRMINGHAM
United Kingdom
Tel: ++44 121 333 9838 Email: sumauday14@gmail.com

Word Count: **Abstract: 245** **Manuscript: 2755**

Figures: 2 **Tables: 2**

Precise: We highlight the serious adverse effects of fixed high-dose denosumab in adolescents including osteonecrosis of the jaw on treatment and rebound hypercalcaemia following treatment cessation.

Key Words: Antiresorptive therapy, bisphosphonates, bone remodelling, rebound hypercalcaemia, hypocalcaemia, denosumab

1 **Abstract:**

2 **Context:** Denosumab, an inhibitor of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand, is
3 an approved treatment for giant cell tumour of bone (GCTB) in adults and ‘skeletally mature’
4 adolescents. Safety concerns include oversuppression of bone remodelling, with risk of
5 osteonecrosis of the jaw [ONJ] and atypical femur fractures during treatment in adults, and
6 rebound hypercalcaemia after treatment cessation in children. To date, ONJ has never been
7 reported in children or adolescents.

8 **Objectives:** To describe serious adverse effects during and following high-dose denosumab
9 therapy in GCTB patients.

10 **Patients:** Two adolescents (14 and 15 years) and a young adult (40 years) received fixed
11 denosumab for GCTB for 1.3 - 4years (cumulative dose 47-98 mg/kg), which was stopped
12 due to development of ONJ in one adolescent and bilateral **femoral cortical stress reactions** in
13 the young adult. All three patients developed rebound hypercalcaemia with acute kidney
14 injury 5.5 - 7 months after denosumab cessation.

15 **Results:** The ONJ necessitated surgical debridement. Rebound hypercalcaemia (serum
16 calcium 3.1-4.3mmol/L) was unresponsive to hyperhydration alone, requiring repeated doses
17 of calcitonin or intravenous bisphosphonate treatment. Hypercalcaemia recurred in 2 patients
18 within 4 weeks, with normal serum calcium profiles thereafter. All patients were naïve to
19 chemotherapy, radiotherapy, bisphosphonates, corticosteroids and metastases free,
20 confirming the causative role of denosumab in these complications.

21 **Conclusion:** These suppression-release effects of high-dose denosumab on bone remodelling
22 raise questions about safety of fixed dosing and treatment duration. In young people weight-
23 adjusted dosing and safety monitoring during and after antiresorptive therapy is required.

24

25 **Introduction**

26 Giant cell tumour of bone (GCTB) is a benign, locally aggressive tumour with malignant
27 potential (1) affecting young adults, usually in the 3rd and 4th decade of life. The majority of
28 patients are effectively treated with intralesional curettage whilst some patients with
29 extensive, aggressive, and/or incompletely resectable tumours require excision and
30 reconstruction or even joint sacrificing surgery given the predilection of the tumour for
31 apophyseal locations (1).

32 GCTB is characterised by the presence of multi-nucleated, osteoclast-like giant cells (2). The
33 neoplastic stromal cells express high concentrations of receptor activator of nuclear factor
34 kappa-B ligand (RANKL) which activates its receptor RANK on giant cells and their
35 precursors (2). Denosumab is a human monoclonal antibody that neutralizes the activity of
36 RANKL and therefore suppresses osteolytic bone destruction in GCTB (3). Greater than 90%
37 elimination of giant cells after 25 week treatment with high-dose denosumab (120 mg
38 subcutaneously on day 1, 8, 15, 28 and **then every 4 weeks thereafter**) has been reported
39 (n=20) (4). Denosumab is approved by **Food and Drug Administration and European**
40 **Medicines Agency** for the treatment of GCTB, in adults and skeletally mature adolescents,
41 when the tumour is deemed unresectable, requires morbid surgery, or in metastatic disease.
42 However, uncertainties regarding treatment duration remain (5).

43 The main safety concern of antiresorptive therapy relates to the oversuppression of bone
44 remodelling. In adults, bisphosphonates have been implicated in the development of
45 osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) (6) and atypical femoral fractures (7) which has led to
46 restrictions in treatment duration. High-dose denosumab therapy also causes ONJ and
47 atypical femoral fractures in 6% and 4%, respectively of adults treated for GCTB (5). Due to
48 the potent and rapid on-off effects on osteoclastic bone resorption, rebound hypercalcaemia

49 following treatment discontinuation has emerged as a relatively new adverse event specific to
50 denosumab (8).

51 In children and adolescents with osteogenesis imperfecta and osteoporosis, prolonged or
52 high dose bisphosphonate therapy has been associated with iatrogenic osteopetrosis (9) and
53 suspected atypical femoral fractures (10,11), but never with ONJ (12) or rebound
54 hypercalcaemia. To date, safety data for denosumab in adolescents are sparse since only 3.5%
55 (n=10 of 282) of patients in the GCTB safety trial were adolescents (aged 13-17 years) (3).
56 Low-dose denosumab therapy (1 mg/kg 12 weekly over 48 weeks) was found to be safe in a
57 small number (n=10) of **paediatric patients with osteogenesis imperfecta** (13). However, four
58 cases of rebound hypercalcaemia following cessation of denosumab (doses ranging from 0.5
59 mg/kg to 120 mg in GCTB) have been reported in children (aged 8-10 years), and attributed
60 to their physiologically higher bone turnover (14-17). The safety of prolonged use of
61 denosumab in adolescents remains **unknown due to a dearth of long term follow up studies**.

62 Here we report rebound hypercalcaemia with acute kidney injury following denosumab
63 cessation in three young people with GCTB. Denosumab therapy had been stopped in two of
64 them because of the development of ONJ in the adolescent and bilateral **femoral cortical**
65 **stress reactions** in the young adult.

66 **Case Descriptions**

67 **Individuals described here** had a confirmed histological diagnosis of GCTB and received
68 denosumab, 120mg subcutaneously on day 1, 8, 15, 28 and **then every 4 weeks** as part of a
69 phase three clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00680992) (18). **All patients**
70 **received fixed doses irrespective of age or weight as per the protocol but for different**
71 **durations**. Patient demographics, disease specifications, treatment indications, dose and

72 duration and reason for treatment cessation are detailed in **Table 1**. **Table 2** details the
73 biochemical picture at presentation of rebound hypercalcaemia and its management.

74 **Patient 1**

75 Diagnosis and initial management:

76 A 15-year old male with biopsy-proven GCTB of the sacrum received denosumab for
77 unresectable, recurring tumour despite previous embolisation and curettage. ‘Skeletal
78 maturity’ was confirmed as per trial protocol (defined as radiographic evidence of at least one
79 mature long bone [with closed epiphyseal growth plate] in ≥ 12 year old adolescents).
80 Ongoing clinical and radiological response was seen from 3 months.

81 Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ):

82 In the fourth year of denosumab treatment (after 44 doses), the patient (then aged 19 years)
83 underwent dental extraction for a chipped tooth **sustained whilst playing football**. The risk of
84 developing ONJ was discussed and the patient advised on dental hygiene and smoking
85 cessation. Weighing up the risks of developing ONJ against recurrence of GCTB, denosumab
86 was continued after ensuring complete healing of mucosa in the extraction socket with no
87 exposed bone. Two months later he presented with acute pain at the dental extraction site.
88 ONJ stage two was diagnosed (**Figure 1A**) **as per the classification adopted by American**
89 **Association of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgeons (6)**. Denosumab was stopped after a total of 46
90 doses (cumulative dose of 98mg/kg). Swab of the affected area showed heavy growth of
91 streptococci milleri and a moderate growth of alpha-haemolytic streptococcus. The ONJ was
92 initially managed conservatively (amoxicillin, metronidazole and mouthwash) with poor
93 healing, necessitating debridement and sequestrectomy of the exposed bone. A moderate
94 amount of necrotic bone around the extraction socket of the left mandible was debrided with

95 subsequent full recovery. Clear demarcation between necrotic and normal bone was noted at
96 surgery.

97 Rebound hypercalcaemia with acute kidney injury:

98 Seven months after stopping denosumab the patient presented with a 3-week history of
99 nausea, vomiting and generalised body pain. Investigations identified raised serum calcium
100 (3.1 mmol/L) (**Figure 2A**) and creatinine indicating acute kidney injury. Serum phosphate
101 and alkaline phosphatase were normal, 25-hydroxy vitamin D was low and parathyroid
102 hormone appropriately suppressed (**Table 2**), with normal thyroid function. An MRI scan and
103 bone scintigraphy demonstrated no evidence of local or metastatic disease. C-terminal
104 telopeptide of type I collagen was elevated (3.07 microg/L [normal range 0.016-0.584])
105 confirming increased bone resorption and the diagnosis of rebound hypercalcaemia secondary
106 to denosumab discontinuation was made.

107

108 Management and progress:

109 Hypercalcaemia persisted despite hyperhydration (**volume expansion**) and resolution of the
110 acute kidney injury. Since bisphosphonates were considered contra-indicated due to ONJ and
111 renal failure, calcitonin (**Table 2**) was administered on day 0 (D0). Normocalcaemia was
112 only achieved following a second dose on D2 (**Figure 2A**).

113 Despite compliance with high volume oral hydration at home, symptomatic hypercalcaemia
114 recurred on D19 and D33 requiring readmission for hyperhydration and calcitonin (**Figure**
115 **2A**). On each re-challenge with calcitonin, control of hypercalcaemia was more durable.
116 Eighteen months after stopping denosumab, he remained normocalcaemic on a high volume
117 oral fluid regimen, ONJ had healed and there was no tumour recurrence.

118 **Patient 2**

119 Diagnosis and initial management:

120 A 14-year old female with sacral GCTB received neoadjuvant treatment with denosumab due
121 to the extent of the tumour. MRI scans showed a reduction in tumour volume after 12 doses
122 and she underwent a partial sacrectomy; histology confirmed 50% loss of giant cells in
123 keeping with a response to denosumab. A further 6 doses of denosumab every 4 weeks post-
124 operatively were administered, with a cumulative dose of 47 mg/kg. A full recovery was
125 made with resolution of the lower back pain noted on presentation and return to full weight
126 bearing activities.

127

128 Rebound hypercalcaemia with acute kidney injury:

129 Six months after the last dose of denosumab, the patient (aged 15.9 years) experienced
130 increasing back pain, paraesthesia of her legs and nausea. An MRI scan showed no evidence
131 of recurrence or metastases. Investigations revealed marked hypercalcaemia (serum calcium
132 3.4 mmol/L) (**Figure 2B**) with acute kidney injury. Denosumab-induced rebound
133 hypercalcaemia was diagnosed following exclusion of other causes, i.e hyperparathyroidism
134 and thyrotoxicosis (**Table 2**). 25 hydroxy vitamin D levels were very low.

135 Management and progress:

136 Hyperhydration (150% maintenance) was commenced and single dose of frusemide (1
137 mg/kg) was administered on D0 to induce calciuresis. Since continued hyperhydration over 5
138 days was unsuccessful in resolving hypercalcaemia, low dose pamidronate (**Table 2**) was
139 administered on D5 and D6 (**Figure 2B**). Calcium normalised on D7 and previously
140 suppressed parathyroid hormone improved to 29 ng/L. A single dose of cholecalciferol
141 (150,000 IU) followed by daily vitamin D (400 IU) and calcium supplements (1.2 g three
142 times a day) were commenced. Symptomatic hypocalcaemia nevertheless occurred, requiring
143 one bolus dose of IV calcium, followed by oral calcium supplementation which was weaned

144 over 4 weeks. Severe bone pain, initially managed with ibuprofen and morphine, responded
145 very well to pamidronate. Serum calcium remains stable one year after pamidronate with no
146 further episodes of rebound hypercalcaemia. GCTB remains under remission.

147

148 **Patient 3**

149 Diagnosis and initial management:

150 A 40-year old male, with very large and vascular GCTB of the scapula opted for denosumab
151 treatment. Clinical and radiologic response to treatment was achieved and denosumab
152 continued to avoid morbid surgical resection of the whole scapula. After 51 doses of
153 denosumab therapy (cumulative dose of 51 mg/kg) the patient presented with bilateral thigh
154 pain. Plain radiographs did not reveal any identifiable lesions; therefore a single photon
155 emission computed tomography scan was undertaken which revealed increased tracer uptake
156 on the medial cortex of both proximal femora, the area of clinical concern (**Figure 1B**).
157 Since such femoral cortical stress reactions are association with antiresorptive agents (7),
158 denosumab therapy was stopped. Thigh pain settled with denosumab cessation and did not
159 necessitate any treatment.

160

161 Rebound hypercalcaemia and acute kidney injury:

162 The patient presented to the emergency department 5.5 months after denosumab cessation
163 with drowsiness, polyuria, polydipsia and vomiting. On presentation, hypercalcaemia (serum
164 calcium 4.27 mmol/L) and acute kidney injury (**Table 2**) was diagnosed, which was managed
165 with hyperhydration and ibandronate (**Figure 2C**). Parathyroid hormone was appropriately
166 suppressed. The patient presented again on D33 with a further episode of hypercalcaemia,
167 which was managed with hyperhydration alone. At restaging, 7.5 months from stopping

168 denosumab, a relatively small focal recurrence was identified relative to the overall
169 dimensions of the initial tumour on MRI and was confirmed on biopsy. This has been
170 managed by subtotal scapulectomy.

171

172 **Discussion**

173 Prolonged, potent antiresorptive therapy (including bisphosphonates and denosumab) in
174 adults is associated with ONJ and atypical femoral fractures. To date, these serious adverse
175 effects have not been reported in children and adolescents. Here we report the first case of
176 ONJ in an adolescent (P1) and **femoral cortical stress reactions** in a young adult (P3)
177 receiving treatment with **fixed** high-dose denosumab for GCTB. The fact that these two
178 patients and another adolescent also developed rebound hypercalcaemia and acute kidney
179 injury following treatment cessation raises serious safety questions. All patients were naïve to
180 chemotherapy, radiotherapy, bisphosphonates and had no evidence of metastatic disease,
181 confirming the causative role of denosumab in these complications.

182 Antiresorptive therapy in children is generally considered safe. However, the case of a child
183 who developed iatrogenic osteopetrosis with tube-like, dense metaphyses during prolonged,
184 high-dose bisphosphonate therapy has raised safety concerns amongst paediatric bone
185 specialists (9). Side-effects of standard-dose bisphosphonate therapy include typical
186 metaphyseal sclerotic bands which are also seen in denosumab treatment (19).
187 Bisphosphonates have also been associated with suspected atypical femoral fractures in
188 children (10,11) although not confirmed in larger series (20,21). Several studies have
189 assessed the risk of developing ONJ in bisphosphonate-treated children following dental
190 treatment (22, 23), however no cases have been reported so far.

191 Medication or antiresorptive agent-related ONJ (6) has been associated with risk factors such
192 as smoking, old age, poor oral hygiene, invasive dental procedures, serious comorbidities and
193 concomitant treatments (18). ONJ has been reported in nearly six per cent of GCTB treated
194 patients (5). Patient 1 developed ONJ following a dental extraction, a known major risk factor
195 reported by 52-61% of adult ONJ patients, with greatest risk in those on intravenous
196 bisphosphonates (6). He was also a smoker and had poor dental hygiene, hence had several
197 risk factors for developing ONJ.

198 The risk of ONJ in adults on antiresorptive treatment is dose and duration dependent (5). The
199 fixed denosumab dose of 120mg 4 weekly is based on 90% suppression of urinary N-
200 telopeptide normalised to urinary creatinine (uNTx/Cr) in adults (n=373) with bone
201 metastases from solid tumours (24). Whilst to date no study has determined appropriate
202 paediatric doses, these high doses were anticipated to be safe in adolescents weighing over
203 45kg with closed growth plates, extrapolated from data in adults with GCTB weighing as low
204 as 38kg (18). However, bone metabolism in young people differs from adults, and includes
205 bone modelling and elongation, with bone accrual into their late twenties, long after closure
206 of growth plates (25). The teenage GCTB patients reported here, and those in other reports
207 (14,15), indicate an urgent need to consider weight-based dosing and systematic safety
208 studies. Although the rate and extent of uNTx/Cr suppression are reported to remain constant
209 at denosumab doses above 0.3 mg/kg, the duration of maximum suppression increases with
210 increasing doses (26,27). Moreover, denosumab displays a dose-proportional increase in
211 exposure at doses higher than 60mg. Of note, the incidence of denosumab related ONJ in
212 prostate cancer patients is reportedly higher in clinical practice (28) when compared to trials
213 (29) (11.4% vs 2.3% respectively). Hence, the clinical implications and safety of cumulative
214 doses over a prolonged period of time require further studies (30).

215 Patient 3 developed femoral cortical stress reactions in the proximal femur bilaterally.
216 Atypical femoral fractures have been linked to denosumab (5). The incidence of femoral
217 cortical stress reactions in metastatic bone disease patients receiving denosumab is reported
218 to be around 4.5% (31). Femoral cortical stress reaction is recognised as a prodrome of
219 atypical femoral fracture (31-34). Unlike previous reports P3 did not have osteoporosis,
220 metastatic disease and was not exposed to bisphosphonates or corticosteroids indicating the
221 independent role of denosumab in the causation of femoral cortical stress reactions.

222 Hypocalcaemia during denosumab treatment is well recognised as a sign of rapid suppression
223 of bone remodelling (3), however rebound hypercalcaemia due to rapid release of previously
224 suppressed remodelling is less known, hence unmonitored. There are four reported cases of
225 rebound hypercalcaemia following denosumab cessation in children, occurring between 7
226 weeks - 5 months after treatment cessation. Two juvenile patients with GCTB received high
227 dose denosumab (14,15), the third, a 9 year old boy with fibrous dysplasia, received a starting
228 denosumab dose of 1 mg/kg increasing up to 1.75 mg/kg with 0.25 mg/kg dose increments 3
229 monthly (16) and the fourth, an 8 year old girl with Paget's disease received 0.5 mg/kg (17).

230 Rebound hypercalcaemia is due to osteoclast overactivity after denosumab cessation and is
231 thought to be a feature of skeletally immature children due to high bone turnover. Quite in
232 contrast, P3, aged 40, and another reported adult, aged 60, also experienced rebound
233 hypercalcaemia (8), indicating that this side effect is not restricted to young patients. Whilst
234 hypercalcaemia can be a sign of tumour reactivation, there was no such evidence in our
235 patients. Similar to previous reports (14,15) all our patients had parathyroid hormone-
236 independent hypercalcaemia. Suppressed parathyroid hormone noted at presentation
237 improved following treatment of hypercalcaemia (P2).

238 Currently, there are no data on the incidence of rebound hypercalcaemia, and no monitoring
239 or management guidelines. The mean half-life of denosumab after cessation is reported to be
240 29 days (range 25-35 days) (27). However, the clearance is likely to be longer in individuals
241 with accumulated doses, hence the occurrence of rebound hypercalcaemia as late as 7 months
242 from treatment cessation. Possibly, the presence of vitamin D deficiency in P1 and P2
243 delayed their presentation. Hypercalcaemia responded poorly to hydration alone, so P1 was
244 managed with calcitonin in the setting of ONJ and renal failure, and P2 and P3 with low dose
245 pamidronate and ibandronate. A previous report described resistance to a combined use of
246 calcitonin, pamidronate and corticosteroids, necessitating the use of low dose denosumab
247 (15). Whilst the prolonged antiresorptive action of bisphosphonates is an effective treatment
248 for hypercalcaemia in this setting, the presence of acute kidney injury increases the risk of
249 bisphosphonate-induced renal failure. None of the patients reported here were monitored for
250 rebound hypercalcaemia and presented unwell and in pain, requiring extensive investigations
251 to rule out other causes of hypercalcaemia. The increasing use of denosumab necessitates
252 monitoring and increased awareness amongst clinicians and patients.

253 **Conclusions:**

254 The effect that denosumab has on GCTB size reduction is remarkable, but the necessity for
255 high-dose long-term antiresorptive therapy comes at the price of suppression of bone
256 remodelling which in adults includes the risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw and atypical femoral
257 fractures. Denosumab, with its potent suppression-release effects has introduced the
258 additional complications of hypocalcaemia at drug commencement and rebound
259 hypercalcemia after discontinuation. This first case of ONJ in an adolescent and the
260 substantial morbidity from rebound hypercalcaemia after treatment discontinuation in our
261 young patients stresses the need to consider weight-adjusted dosing, frequency and duration

262 of treatment, and **develop tools to monitor treatment**. A systematic monitoring of serum
263 calcium and pain for a minimum of 7 months after treatment cessation should be adopted.

264 **Conflict of interest:**

265 None declared

266 **Acknowledgements:**

267 We are grateful to Zaira Akhtar, Birmingham Medical School and Claudette Jones, Royal
268 Orthopaedic Hospital Research Nurse, for assisting in data collection.

269 **Funding:**

270 None

271 **Consent:**

272 Consent for publication has been obtained from all patients. Amgen have had the opportunity
273 to comment on the manuscript.

274 **Contributorship statement:**

275 SU: Preparation of manuscript, data acquisition, data analysis, editing figures, final approval

276 LG: Data acquisition, data analysis, editing manuscript

277 LR: Data acquisition, creating graphs

278 MP: Intellectual revision of manuscript and final approval

279 JJ: Intellectual revision of manuscript

280 JP: provided images and revision of manuscript

281 DS: intellectual revision of manuscript

282 RG: concept and intellectual revision of manuscript

283 WH: Design, concept, manuscript preparation, intellectual revision of manuscript and final
284 approval

285 **Disclosures:**

286 RG has previously consulted for Amgen

287 WH is a co-investigator in Amgen trial in osteogenesis imperfect

288 SU, LG, LR, MP, JJ, JP, DS have nothing to disclose

289 **References**

- 290 1. Mendenhall WM, Zlotecki R, Scarborough M, Gibbs C, Mendenhall N. Giant cell
291 tumor of bone. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2006;29(1):96–9.
- 292 2. Singh AS, Chawla NS, Chawla SP. Giant-cell tumor of bone: Treatment options and
293 role of denosumab. *Biol Targets Ther*. 2015;9:69–74.
- 294 3. Chawla S, Henshaw R, Seeger L, Choy E, Blay JY, Ferrari S, Kroep J, Grimer R,
295 Reichardt P, Rutkowski P, Schuetze S, Skubitz K, Staddon A, Thomas D, Qian Y,
296 Jacobs I. Safety and efficacy of denosumab for adults and skeletally mature
297 adolescents with giant cell tumour of bone: Interim analysis of an open-label, parallel-
298 group, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14(9):901–8.
- 299 4. Thomas D, Henshaw R, Skubitz K, Chawla S, Staddon A, Blay JY, Roudier M, Smith
300 J, Ye Z, Sohn W, Dansey R, Jun S. Denosumab in patients with giant-cell tumour of
301 bone: an open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(3):275–80.
- 302 5. Palmerini E, Chawla NS, Ferrari S, Sudan M, Picci P, Marchesi E, Leopardi MP, Syed
303 I, Sankhala KK, Parthasarathy P, Mendanha WE, Pierini M, Paioli A, Chawla SP.
304 Denosumab in advanced/unresectable giant-cell tumour of bone (GCTB): For how
305 long? *Eur J Cancer*. 2017;76:118–24.
- 306 6. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Fantasia J, Goodday R, Aghaloo T, Mehrotra B, O’Ryan F.
307 American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on
308 medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw-2014 update. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*.
309 2014;72(10):1938–56.

- 310 7. Shane E, Burr D, Abrahamsen B, Adler RA, Brown TD, Cheung AM, Cosman F,
311 Curtis JR, Dell R, Dempster DW, Ebeling PR, Einhorn TA, Genant HK, Geusens P,
312 Klaushofer K, Lane JM, McKiernan F, McKinney R, Ng A, Nieves J, O’Keefe R,
313 Papapoulos S, Howe TS, van der Meulen MCH, Weinstein RS, and Whyte MP .
314 Atypical subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femoral fractures: Second report of a task
315 force of the American society for bone and mineral research. *J Bone Miner Res.*
316 2014;29(1):1–23.
- 317 8. Koldkjær Sølling AS, Harsløf T, Kaal A, Rejnmark L, Langdahl B. Hypercalcemia
318 after discontinuation of long-term denosumab treatment. *Osteoporos Int.*
319 2016;27(7):2383–6.
- 320 9. Whyte MP, Wenkert D, Clements KL, McAlister WH, Mumm S. Bisphosphonate-
321 induced Osteopetrosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349(5):457–63.
- 322 10. Vasanwala RF, Sanghrajka A, Bishop NJ, Högler W. Recurrent Proximal Femur
323 Fractures in a Teenager With Osteogenesis Imperfecta on Continuous Bisphosphonate
324 Therapy: Are We Overtreating? *J Bone Miner Res.* 2016;31(7):1449–54.
- 325 11. Boyce AM, Collins MT, Tosi LL, Gafni RI. A Subtrochanteric Femoral Stress Fracture
326 following Bisphosphonate Treatment in an Adolescent Girl. *Horm Res Paediatr.*
327 2017;87(1):69–72.
- 328 12. Hernandez M, Phulpin B, Mansuy L, Droz D. Use of new targeted cancer therapies in
329 children: effects on dental development and risk of jaw osteonecrosis: a review. *J Oral*
330 *Pathol Med.* 2016;46(5):321–6.
- 331 13. Hoyer-Kuhn H, Franklin J, Allo G, Kron M, Netzer C, Eysel P, Hero B, Schoenau E,
332 Semler O. Safety and efficacy of denosumab in children with osteogenesis imperfecta -
333 A first prospective trial. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* 2016;16(1):24–32.
- 334 14. Setsu N, Kobayashi E, Asano N, Yasui N, Kawamoto H, Kawai A, Horiuchi K. Severe
335 hypercalcemia following denosumab treatment in a juvenile patient. *J Bone Miner*
336 *Metab. Springer Japan;* 2016;34(1):118–22.
- 337 15. Gossai N, Hilgers M V, Polgreen LE, Greengard EG. Critical hypercalcemia following
338 discontinuation of denosumab therapy for metastatic giant cell tumor of bone. *Pediatr*
339 *Blood Cancer.* 2015;62(6):1078–80.

- 340 16. Boyce AM, Chong WH, Yao J, Gafni RI, Kelly MH, Chamberlain CE, Bassim C,
341 Cherman N, Ellsworth M, Kasa-Vubu JZ, Farley FA, Molinolo AA, Bhattacharyya N,
342 and Collins MT. Denosumab treatment for fibrous dysplasia. *J Bone Miner Res.*
343 2012;27(7):1462–70.
- 344 17. Grasmann C, Schündeln MM, Hövel M, Schweiger B, Bergmann C, Herrmann R,
345 Wiczorek D, Zabel B, Wieland R, and Hauffa BP . Effects of RANK-ligand antibody
346 (denosumab) treatment on bone turnover markers in a girl with juvenile paget’s disease.
347 *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;98(8):3121–6.
- 348 18. EU Clinical Trials Register. Clinical trials for 2008-001606-16 . 2016. Available from:
349 <https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/search?query=2008-001606-16>
- 350 19. Kobayashi E, Setsu N. Osteosclerosis induced by denosumab. *Lancet.*
351 2015;385(9967):539.
- 352 20. Trejo P, Fassier F, Glorieux F, Rauch F. Diaphyseal Femur Fractures in Osteogenesis
353 Imperfecta: Characteristics and Relationship With Bisphosphonate Treatment. *J bone*
354 *Miner Res.* 2016; 32(5):1034-1039.
- 355 21. Vuorimies I, Mäyränpää M, Valta H, Kröger H, Toiviainen-Salo S, Mäkitie O.
356 Bisphosphonate Treatment and the Characteristics of Femoral Fractures in Children
357 With Osteogenesis Imperfecta. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2017;102(4):1333–9.
- 358 22. Chahine C, Cheung MS, Head TW, Schwartz S, Glorieux FH, Rauch F. Tooth
359 Extraction Socket Healing in Pediatric Patients Treated with Intravenous Pamidronate.
360 *J Pediatr.* 2008;153(5):719–20.
- 361 23. Brown JJ, Ramalingam L, Zacharin MR. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of
362 the jaw: Does it occur in children? *Clin Endocrinol.* 2008;68(6):863–7.
- 363 24. Doshi S, Sutjandra L, Zheng J, Sohn W, Peterson M, Jang G, et al. Denosumab dose
364 selection for patients with bone metastases from solid tumors. *Clin cancer Res.*
365 2012;18(9):2648–57.
- 366 25. Baxter-Jones ADG, Faulkner RA, Forwood MR, Mirwald RL, Bailey DA. Bone
367 mineral accrual from 8 to 30 years of age: An estimation of peak bone mass. *J Bone*
368 *Miner Res.* 2011;26(8):1729–39.
- 369 26. Body J-J, Greipp P, Coleman RE, Facon T, Geurs F, Femand J-P, Harousseau JL,

- 370 Lipton A, Mariette X, William CD, Nakanishi A, Holloway D, Martin S, Dunstan CR
371 and Bekker PJ. A phase I study of AMGN-0007, a recombinant osteoprotegerin
372 construct, in patients with multiple myeloma or breast carcinoma related bone
373 metastases. *Cancer*. 2003;97(3 Suppl):887–92.
- 374 27. Sohn W, Simiens MA, Jaeger K, Hutton S, Jang G. The pharmacokinetics and
375 pharmacodynamics of denosumab in patients with advanced solid tumours and bone
376 metastases: A systematic review. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(3):477–87.
- 377 28. Vehmanen L, Utriainen T, Blomqvist C, Suojanen J, Kontio R. High frequency of
378 osteonecrosis of the jaw among denosumab-treated prostate cancer patients. *Acta*
379 *Oncol*. 2017;56(1):104–6.
- 380 29. Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, Damião R, Brown J, Karsh L, Milecki P, Shore N,
381 Rader M, Wang H, Jiang Q, Tadros S, Dansey R and Goessl C. Denosumab versus
382 zoledronic acid for treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant
383 prostate cancer: A randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9768):813–22.
- 384 30. Boyce M A. Denosumab: an Emerging Therapy in Pediatric Bone Disorders. *Curr*
385 *Osteoporos Rep*. 2017; 15(4):283–292.
- 386 31. Yang S, Kim T, Boland P, Farooki A. Retrospective Review of Atypical Femoral
387 Fracture in Metastatic Bone Disease Patients Receiving Denosumab Therapy.
388 *Oncologist*. 2017; 22(4):438-444.
- 389 32. Koh JS, Goh SK, Png MA, Kwek EB, Howe TS. Femoral Cortical Stress Lesions in
390 Long-Term Bisphosphonate Therapy: A Herald of Impending Fracture? *Journal of*
391 *Orthopaedic Trauma*. 2010; 24(2):75-81
- 392 33. Png MA, Koh JS, Goh SK, Fook-Chong S, Howe TS. Bisphosphonate-related femoral
393 periosteal stress reactions: Scoring system based on radiographic and MRI findings.
394 *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012; 198(4):869-77.
- 395 34. Kwek EB, Goh SK, Koh JS, Png MA, Howe TS. An emerging pattern of
396 subtrochanteric stress fractures: a long-term complication of alendronate therapy?
397 *Injury* 2008; 39(2):224–231.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Side effects during denosumab therapy:

Fig 1A: Osteonecrosis of the jaw (circled) in patient 1, demonstrating an area of non-healing, exposed bone in the mandible following the removal of a permanent lower left first molar tooth.

Fig 1B: Single photon emission computed tomography scan on patient 3 demonstrating **increased tracer uptake representing bilateral femoral cortical stress reactions** (arrows), and the giant cell tumour of the right scapula.

Figure 2: Rebound hypercalcaemia following denosumab discontinuation: Serum calcium levels (corrected for albumin, cCa^{2+}) at presentation and response to treatment in patient 1 (A), patient 2 (B) and patient 3 (C). Interventions are indicated with arrows and lower (LL) and upper (UL) normal ranges for serum calcium are indicated by horizontal lines.