UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Addressing antimicrobial resistance in the UK and Europe

Piddock, Laura; Wells, Victoria

DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30633-3

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Piddock, L & Wells, V 2017, 'Addressing antimicrobial resistance in the UK and Europe', The Lancet Infectious Diseases. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30633-3

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Addressing Antimicrobial Resistance in the UK and Europe: How successful have we been?

Victoria Wells¹ and Laura JV Piddock^{2*}

¹British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 53 Regent Place, Birmingham, B1 3NJ ²Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT

*Corresponding author

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has received significant attention in recent years. As bacteria evolve to resist the effects of existing antibiotics, infections become more difficult to treat and modern medical interventions become more dangerous to perform. Fear of so-called "superbugs" has spurred international authorities into action. In 2011, the World Health Organization (WHO) developed a European Strategic Action Plan on Antibiotic Resistance, which set forth strategic objectives to aid European Member States in addressing the complex factors that drive AMR¹. In response, both the European Commission (EC) and the United Kingdom (UK) devised their own strategies to meet these objectives.

In 2011, the EC published its Action Plan, "Against the rising threats from Antimicrobial Resistance", which laid out 12 recommendations for its Member States to implement². This was accompanied in 2013 by a Road Map document detailing specific activities and milestones to satisfy each of the Plan's recommendations; this was updated in 2016 to reflect an evaluation of the Plan^{3,4}. The UK replaced its existing AMR Action Plan from 2000 with a new and improved Five Year Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy 2013-2018^{5,6}. This strategy went beyond that of the EU, incorporating aims to increase awareness, promote stewardship of current therapies, and stimulate the development of new treatments. These strategies were met with enthusiasm by the global community and certain objectives have been implemented beyond European borders. In 2011, the Transatlantic Taskforce on Antimicrobial Resistance (TATFAR) was established to improve collaboration between Europe and North America⁷.

With mounting political pressure for countries to address AMR, we critically appraised and evaluated the extent to which the recommendations of the UK and EU strategies have been implemented and produced a report for the UK All Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics^{8,9}. We did not review the 2015 WHO Global Action Plan on AMR or the 2017 European One Health Action Plan Against AMR as the objectives of the Global Plan are too general and the EU has not had sufficient time to address the EU One Health Plan^{9,10}.

Except for three key areas, the available evidence suggests that the UK and many EU member states have been successful in implementing the AMR strategies. There was a lack of evidence indicating significant activity to regulate and restrict non-prescription use of critically important antibiotics in humans, animals, and agriculture, both among EU countries and within the UK. Likewise, it appears that little has been done to evaluate the need for incentives to stimulate research and development of veterinary medicines. Finally, all regions appear to have struggled to address the recommendations regarding education and awareness. This lack of progress could be due to unsatisfactory action by regional and national authorities and/or a lack of tangible outcomes by which to measure success.

To facilitate collaboration and accountability, the language used throughout the various documents should be improved. The strategies employ vague recommendations and many lack measureable targets and objectives. The subjective terminology, such as "improve" and "promote", used throughout all strategies may have limited the impetus for definitive action by governments and delegated authorities. This is because it allows them to 'tick boxes' with no requirement to evaluate the intervention's success. Without measureable targets it is unrealistic to expect that governments will invest the necessary funds to deliver interventions with impact. Even if they do, it is difficult to identify evidence of this impact, or even of the activity itself. There is also inconsistency between the strategies themselves in regards to terminology, compliance areas, and recommendations, making it difficult to discern whether the EU and UK regional action plans have successfully satisfied the overarching WHO Action Plan.

The UK and other EU Member States have made progress in addressing AMR by meeting the majority of the AMR Strategy recommendations, which in turn satisfy those of the WHO-Europe Plan. However, to facilitate collaboration and coordination these strategies should be harmonised. Further activity to satisfy both of these plans is also recommended. First, a review into the progress of discovery, research, and development of new human and veterinary treatments including new drugs should be coordinated. Second, review on restrictions on the use of last resort antibiotics in veterinary medicine should be continued. Third, a compendium of harmonised educational tools on AMR should be developed. Finally, there should be careful evaluation of the efficacy of educational campaigns.

In summary, the UK and other European countries have made progress in addressing the complex issues of AMR. We look forward to the outcomes of upcoming G20 discussions and the new WHO AMR Strategy and hope to see greater regulation and increased accountability in future regional and national strategies through SMART (<u>specific</u>, <u>m</u>easurable, <u>a</u>ttainable, <u>r</u>ealistic, and <u>t</u>imely) objectives that clearly demonstrate compliance with the WHO Action Plan.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization RO for E. *European Strategic Action Plan on Antibiotic Resistance*.
 Copenhagen; 2011.
- 2. Dyck Hoffmann VA, Directorate-General for Health and Consumers. *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council: Action Plan against the Rising Threats from Antimicrobial Resistance: Action Plan against the Rising Threats from Antimicrobial Resistance*. Brussels; 2011.
- European Commission. Action Plan Against the rising threats from Antimicrobial Resistance: Road Map. 2016.
- 4. Smith E, Lichten CA, Taylor J, et al. *Evaluation of the EC Action Plan against the Rising Threats from Antimicrobial Resistance: Final Report*. Brussels; 2016.
- 5. Department of Health. UK Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy and Action Plan.; 2000.
- Department of Health, Department for Environment, Fisheries and Rural Affairs. UK Five Year
 Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy 2013 to 2018. London; 2013.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About TATFAR. 29 June.
 https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/tatfar/about.html. Published 2016.
- All Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics. The All Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <u>http://appg-on-antibiotics.com/</u>
- 9. Implementing WHO, EU AND UK AMR Strategies and Action Plans: has the World lived up to the Challenge? Report for the All Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics, Octobe , 2017. <u>http://appg-on-antibiotics.com/#publications</u>
- 10. World Health Organization. *Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance*. Geneva; 2015.
- 11. European Commission. *A European One Health Action Plan against Antimicrobial Resistance* (*AMR*).; 2017.