UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

A rapid method for the determination of brominated flame retardant concentrations in plastics and textiles entering the waste stream

Abdallah, Mohamed; Drage, Daniel; Sharkey, Martin; Berresheim, Harald; Harrad, Stuart

DOI: 10.1002/jssc.201700497

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Abdallah, M, Drage, D, Sharkey, M, Bérresheim, H & Harrad, S 2017, 'A rapid method for the determination of brominated flame retardant concentrations in plastics and textiles entering the waste stream', Journal of Separation Science. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700497

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Abdallah MA, Drage DS, Sharkey M, Berresheim H Harrad S. A rapid method for the determination of brominated flame retardant concentrations in plastics and textiles entering the waste stream. J Sep Sci. 2017;00:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700497, which has been published in final form at 10.1002/jssc.201700497. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Title: A RAPID METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF BROMINATED
2	FLAME RETARDANT CONCENTRATIONS IN PLASTICS AND TEXTILES
3	ENTERING THE WASTE STREAM

- Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah^{a,c}, Daniel S Drage^a, Martin Sharkey^b, Harald Berresheim^b,
 Stuart Harrad^a
- ^a School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham,
 Edgbaston, West Midlands, B15 2TT, United Kingdom
- ^b School of Physics, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway, H91
- 9 CF50, Ireland
- ^c Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526 Assiut,
- 11 Egypt

Running Title: RAPID DETERMINATION OF BROMINATED FLAME RETARDANTS IN WASTE PRODUCTS

- 14 **Corresponding Author:**
- 15 Dr Daniel S Drage
- 16 School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham,
- 17 Edgbaston, West Midlands, B15 2TT, United Kingdom
- 18 Email: <u>d.s.drage@bham.ac.uk</u>
- 19 Tel: +44 (0) 121 414 7243
- 20 Fax: +44 (0)121 414 3971
- 21 List of non-standard abbreviations:

- **ABS:** acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
- **BFRs**: brominated flame retardants
- 24 C&D: construction and demolition
- **CRM**: certified reference material
- **ELV:** end of life vehicles
- **EPS**: expanded polystyrene
- **HBCDD**: hexabromocyclododecane
- **LOD:** limit of detection
- **LOQ:** limit of quantification
- 31 LPCL: low POP concentration limit
- 32 MRM: multiple reaction monitoring
- **PBDEs:** polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- **PLE:** pressurized liquid extraction
- **POPs:** persistent organic pollutants
- **PTV:** programmable temperature vaporiser
- **PUF:** polyurethane foam
- **RFs:** response factors
- **RRT:** relative retention time
- **RSD:** relative standard deviation

- 41 **SIM**: single ion monitoring
- 42 S/N: signal to noise ratio
- 43 **WEEE**: waste electrical and electronic equipment
- 44 **XPS:** extruded polystyrene
- 45 Keywords:
- 46 brominated flame retardants; hexabromocyclododecane; polybrominated diphenyl ethers;
- 47 Stockholm Convention;

48 Abstract

Due to new European legislation, products going to waste are subject to "low persistent 49 organic pollutant concentration limits". Concentrations of restricted brominated flame 50 retardants in waste products must be determined. A rapid extraction and clean-up method was 51 developed for determination of brominated flame retardants in various plastics and textiles. 52 The optimised method used vortexing and ultrasonication in dichloromethane followed by 53 sulfuric acid clean-up to determine target compounds. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers were 54 determined via GC/MS and hexabromocyclododecane via LC-MS/MS. Good recoveries of 55 target analytes were obtained after 3 extraction cycles. The method was validated using 56 polypropylene and polyethylene certified reference materials as well as previously 57 characterised textiles, expanded and extruded polystyrene samples. Measured concentrations 58 of target compounds showed good agreement with the certified values indicating good 59 60 accuracy and precision. Clean extracts provided low noise levels resulting in low limits of quantification (0.8-1.5 ng/g for polybrominated diphenyl ethers and 0.3 ng/g for α -, β - and γ -61 62 hexabromocyclododecane). The developed method was applied successfully to real consumer 63 products entering the waste stream and it provided various advantages over traditional methods including reduced analysis time, solvent consumption, minimal sample 64 contamination and high sample throughput which is crucial to comply with the implemented 65 legislation. 66

67

68 Introduction

69 Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) are a wide group of chemicals that have been used to impart flame retardancy in a variety of applications. Two of the most widely used BFRs since 70 the 1980s are polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs – used in plastics, textiles, electronic 71 casings, circuitry) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD – primarily used in expanded 72 polystyrene (EPS) and extruded polystyrene (XPS) for use in thermal building insulation) [1]. 73 Concerns regarding their environmental impacts have led to the listing of HBCDD as well as 74 75 the commercial PBDE formulations, Penta- and Octa-BDE, under the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants (POPs) [2, 3]. Moreover, the Deca-BDE formulation is 76 77 currently under consideration to be listed as a POP [4] and has already been subject to significant restriction within Europe since the expiry of the European Union restriction of 78 hazardous substances exemption on 1st July 2008 [5]. 79

Legislative action has meant that waste products containing BFRs listed as POPs are subject 80 81 to severe restrictions regarding the method of disposal. Furthermore, common methods of disposal such as landfilling, recycling or incineration run the risk of releasing BFRs into the 82 environment [6-10]. Furthermore, BFRs have already been measured in various components 83 84 of the waste stream, including landfill leachate [11-13], sewage based samples [11, 14-18], electronic waste treatment centres and waste incinerator emissions and residues, leading to 85 substantially elevated BFR concentrations in environmental and biological samples impacted 86 by such activities and matrices [8-10, 16, 19-22]. 87

88 Currently, EU legislation is imposing "low POP concentration limit" (LPCL) values for 89 various polymers entering the waste stream. These LPCLs are designed to prevent polymers 90 containing restricted BFRs from being recycled and thus contaminating recycled goods and 91 materials. The current LPCLs are 1000 mg/kg for ΣHBCDD and for ΣPBDEs (from the Penta- and Octa- formulations). This means that products containing such POP-BFRs above
their respective LPCLs cannot be recycled and must instead be treated to remove the BFRs
from the product prior to its disposal [23]. Given the large mass of materials containing POPBFRs at percent level concentrations that are reaching the end of their lifetime, rapid, reliable
methods are required urgently to evaluate whether concentrations of BFRs in waste products
comply with LPCLs (and equivalent legislative limits in other jurisdictions).

Currently, there are only a few studies regarding the quantitative analysis of BFRs in plastics. 98 Allen et al. developed a method for the analysis of PBDEs in plastics, which involved stirring 99 the polymer in 250 mL toluene for 24 hours, followed by several purification steps including 100 101 liquid chromatography, silica and SPE prior to GC/MS analysis [24]. Gallen et al. performed similar methods for the analysis of PBDEs in plastics, extracting in dichloromethane (DCM) 102 for 24 hours followed by dilution and acid silica purification [25]. Other published methods 103 have measured PBDEs and HBCDD in plastics. These have involved crushing and 104 pulverising plastics prior to extraction in toluene, polymer precipitation in hexane, separating 105 106 into three aliquots for individual clean-up for each target compound group - multi-silica 107 column (PBDEs), H₂SO₄ wash and florisil column (HBCDD) [26, 27]. Similarly, there are only a handful of studies that have measured BFRs in textiles including those of Kajiwara et 108 al. [26, 27], which involved soaking samples in DCM for 2 days, followed by dilution prior 109 to analysis. Other studies involve a combination of vortexing and ultrasonication extraction, 110 followed by florisil clean-up [28] and 24 hour soxhlet extraction combined with 111 112 ultrasonication, followed by multi-silica column clean-up [29].

113 These pre-existing methods involve time-consuming, labour-intensive and/or high solvent 114 consumption sample preparation and extraction, followed by multiple clean-up steps. Thus 115 the aim of this paper was to: (a) develop a simple, sensitive, rapid and high throughput 116 method for identification and quantification of POP-BFRs and DecaBDE in all types of waste plastics and textiles; (b) validate the developed methods using certified and in-housereference materials; and (c) apply the developed method to the analysis of real waste samples.

119 **2. Materials and Methods**

120 **2.1 Chemicals and reagents**

All solvents used for extraction and LC-MS/MS analysis were of HPLC grade (Fisher
Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Silica (70-130 mesh), and concentrated sulfuric acid were
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MA, USA).

124 Individual α-, β- and γ-HBCDD standards, ${}^{13}C_{12}$ α-, β- and γ-HBCDD, d₁₈- γ-HBCDD, 125 individual standards of BDEs 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183, 209, 77 and 128, ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -BDE 126 209, PCB-129.

127 Certified reference materials for polyethylene (ERM-EC590) and polypropylene (ERM128 EC591) were purchased from IRMM (Brussels, Belgium). In-house laboratory reference
129 material for textiles, EPS and XPS were obtained from the National Institute for
130 Environmental Studies (NIES, Tsukuba City, Ibaraki, Japan).

131 **2.2 Sample collection**

Waste plastic, polystyrene and textile samples were collected from landfills across Ireland
during 2015 and 2016 as part of a separate study. Thirteen samples (2 x C&D, 4 x WEEE, 2
ELV, 5 Soft Furnishing) were selected at random for the purposes of this study.

135 **2.3 Sample preparation, extraction and clean-up**

In the optimised method, samples were cut into small pieces (< 1 cm²) using a retractable
knife blade and aliquots (ca. 200 mg) were accurately weighed, transferred into 15 mL glass

138 centrifuge tubes and spiked with 30 ng of all internal standards (${}^{13}C_{12} \alpha$ -, β - and γ -HBCDD, 139 ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -BDEs 77 and 128), except for ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -BDE-209, of which 120 ng was spiked.

Samples were extracted by adding approximately 3 mL of DCM to samples before vortexing 140 for 2 mins. Plastic samples were sonicated for 5 minutes, whilst textile samples were 141 sonicated for 30 minutes. The extract was collected in a separate centrifuge tube and the 142 process was repeated twice, collecting all DCM extracts in the same centrifuge tube. Samples 143 were then evaporated to approximately 2 mL at 40 °C under a gentle stream of nitrogen. Two 144 mL of hexane was added to the sample to precipitate any dissolved plastics. The sample was 145 evaporated to < 1 mL and reconstituted to 2 mL in hexane (to ensure removal of DCM) and 146 vortexed for 2 minutes. About 2 mL of >98% concentrated sulfuric acid was added to the 147 sample prior to vortexing for 30 s. Samples were left for at least 1 hour followed by 148 centrifugation at 3000 g for 5 minutes to separate the aqueous and organic layers. The clean 149 150 supernatant hexane layer was collected in a glass tube and concentrated to near-dryness. The sample was reconstituted in 100 µL hexane/isooctane containing 0.2 ng/µL PCB-129 as 151 152 recovery determination standard and transferred to a glass-inserted autosampler vial for quantitative analysis of PBDEs and screening for HBCDDs by GC/MS. Samples that were 153 positive for HBCDD were then solvent exchanged into 100 µL methanol (containing 0.2 154 $ng/\mu L d_{18}-\gamma$ -HBCDD as a recovery determination or syringe standard) for quantitative 155 determination of HBCDDs via LC-MS/MS. 156

Several parameters were considered to enhance the efficiency of extraction including sizereduction, solvent type and extraction temperature. Size-reduction of hard plastic samples was sought to increase the surface area of contact with the extraction solvents. This was performed using a Fritsch Pulverisette 0 cryo-vibratory micro mill (Idar-Oberstein, Germany). Plastic chips (~ $2 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$) were added to the stainless steel grinding mortar (50 mL volume) along with a stainless steel ball (25 mm diameter) and submerged in 163 liquid nitrogen (- 196 °C) to aid the pulverisation process. The sample was then ground at a 164 vibrational frequency of 30 Hz for 5 min and repeated 3 times resulting in plastic particles 165 that passed through a 250 μ m mesh aluminium sieve. Aliquots (typically 50 mg) of the 166 pulverised plastics were then used for further testing [30].

For pressurised liquid extraction (PLE), an ASE 350 (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used. Extraction was carried out using DCM at 90 °C and 1500 psi. The heating time was 5 minutes, static time 4 min, purge time 90 s, flush volume 60%, with three static cycles required to achieve maximum recovery of all target compounds. Further details of the SPE method parameters with in-cell cleanup can be found elsewhere [31].

172 **2.4 Sample Analysis**

Quantitative analysis of PBDEs and screening of HBCDDs was performed in a single 173 174 injection on a ThermoFisher Trace 1310 gas chromatograph coupled to a ThermoFisher ISQ mass spectrometer (MS). The MS was operated in electron ionisation mode using selective 175 ion monitoring (SIM). 1 µL of the purified extract was injected for analysis using a 176 programmable temperature vaporiser (PTV) onto a Restek Rxi-5Sil MS column (15 m x 0.25 177 mm x 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 178 179 mL/min with methane as the reagent gas. Further details of GC/MS conditions including the GC temperature programme and ions monitored are provided in the supporting information 180 181 (SI).

HBCDDs were measured using a Shimadzu LC-20AB Prominence binary pump liquid chromatograph, equipped with a SIL-20A autosampler, a DGU-20A3 vacuum degasser coupled to an AB Sciex API 2000 triple quadrupole MS. Chromatographic separation was achieved using Agilent Pursuit XRS3 C_{18} column (150 mm × 2 mm I.D., 3 µm particle size) and a mobile phase of (a) 1:1 methanol/water with 2 mM ammonium acetate and (b) methanol at a flow rate of 180 μ L min⁻¹. Molecular ionisation was achieved using an electrospray ionisation (ESI) source operated in negative ion mode. MS/MS detection operated in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used for quantitative determination of HBCDD isomers based on *m*/*z* 640.6 \rightarrow 79, *m*/*z* 652.4 \rightarrow 79 and *m*/*z* 657.7 \rightarrow 79 for the native, ¹³C₁₂-labelled and d₁₈-labelled diastereomers, respectively. Full LC-MS/MS parameters have been reported previously [32].

193 2.5 Quality Assurance / Quality Control

A reagent blank consisting of 200 mg of anhydrous sodium sulfate was analysed with every 5 samples. "Control" samples were created using plastics and textiles that contain no BFRs and were also analysed throughout the study. Three control samples were assessed for each matrix. None of the target compounds were found above the limits of detection in the blanks. Therefore results were not corrected for blank residues and method limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were estimated based on a signal to noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and 10:1 respectively.

For a given peak to be identified as a target pollutant in a sample, the following criteria needed to be met:

203 (1) The S/N must exceed 3:1

- 204 (2) The isotope ratios (for bromine) must be within $\pm 15\%$ of the average for the 2 205 calibration sets run before and after that sample batch.
- (3) The relative retention time (RRT) of the peak in the sample must be within ±0.2% of
 the average value determined for the same congener in the 2 calibration sets run
 before and after that sample batch
- 209 **3. Results and Discussion**

3.1 Optimisation of method parameters

Several initial experiments were conducted during method development designed to optimise
sample preparation parameters with the aim of improving extraction efficiency for all target
compounds, whilst minimising sample preparation time and chromatographic interferences.
These experiments were classified into two main categories:

215 **3.1.1 Optimisation of extraction**

Previous analyses of consumer goods, especially plastics, have involved the pulverisation of samples prior to extraction to improve recoveries [24-29, 33]. This study investigated pulverisation as a necessary step in extraction. Furthermore, the study also opted to test aggressive solvents including DCM and toluene in order to achieve maximum possible recoveries within the shortest possible extraction time. Extraction efficiencies were compared to PLE as a benchmark exhaustive extraction technique.

Our results showed higher extraction efficiencies for PBDEs from two types of plastic polymers (i.e. polypropylene and polyethylene) when using DCM compared to toluene. This was also evident even when toluene extractions were performed at higher temperatures (60 °C) than DCM extractions (30 °C) (Figure 1). No significant differences (t-test, p > 0.05) in the extraction efficiencies of HBCDD isomers from textiles, EPS and XPS were observed upon using DCM or toluene as extraction solvent (Table SI-1).

Optimum extraction times were also investigated. Results showed a marked improvement in recoveries upon increasing the initial mixing time (by vortex) from 30 sec to 2 min for both types of polymers investigated (Figure 2). A study of the percent recovery of target compounds as a function of ultrasonication time revealed 5 min and 30 min as the optimum for plastic and textile samples, respectively (Figure 3). EPS samples were completely soluble after 2 min of vortexing in DCM, hence the ultrasonication time was not a determinant factor
in their extraction. However, XPS samples showed a slightly different behaviour and slight
increase in HBCDD recovery was observed upon increasing the ultrasonication time from 2
to 5 mins (Figure 3). In our quest to minimise variations in method parameters, we opted to
use 5 min ultrasonication time for all PS samples.

238 The effect of pulverisation on the recoveries of PBDEs from plastic samples was investigated. Extraction of PBDEs from the studied polypropylene and polyethylene 239 polymers using ultrasonication with DCM achieved recoveries of 77-83 % (without 240 241 pulverisation) and 79-84 % (with pulverisation). Extraction with toluene achieved 26-35 % (without pulverisation), 56-63 % (with pulverisation at 30 °C) and 68-76 % (with 242 pulverisation at 60 °C) (Figure 4). Extraction by PLE using DCM as the extraction solvent 243 exhibited marginally higher recoveries of 79-85 % (without pulverisation) and 82-88 % (with 244 pulverisation) than ultrasonication with DCM. However, this difference was not statistically 245 246 significant.

Since PLE involves a lower sample throughput, along with a substantially higher volume of solvent used, we opted to use ultrasonication with DCM at 30 °C as the chosen extraction method. Pulverisation of plastic samples prior to extraction did not produce any significant increase in recovery of target PBDEs. Therefore, a sample pulverisation step was deemed unnecessary.

252 **3.1.2 Optimisation of clean-up**

Due to the nature of the matrices examined here, an aggressive clean-up procedure was required prior to injection of extracts for analysis on GC-MS and LC-MS/MS. All sample matrices were tested in triplicate using two different clean-up steps. Test (i) involved loading concentrated extracts onto pre-conditioned sulfuric acid impregnated (44 %) silica columns. The target BFRs were eluted with 12 mL hexane:DCM (1:1, v/v). Test (ii) involved washing the concentrated extracts (ca. 2 mL hexane) with >98% concentrated sulfuric acid, prior to centrifugation and collection of the target BFRs in the supernatant organic layer.

Visual inspection of extracts demonstrated that test (i) was suitable for polypropylene and textile based samples. However, EPS, XPS and polyethylene based samples produced turbid extracts, which drastically reduced the S/N ratio of analyte peaks in the corresponding chromatograms (Figure SI-1), therefore increasing the LOQ. Thus it was decided that test (i) was unsuitable as a universal method.

Test (ii) produced clean extracts for all matrices, whilst taking overall less time and using less
solvent. Therefore it was decided that test (ii) was the appropriate clean-up step for all sample
matrices investigated in the current study.

268 **3.2 Method Validation**

269 *3.2.1 Linearity and range*

A linear ($\mathbb{R}^2 > 0.99$) five point calibration curve was constructed successfully for each target compound (with at least 3 measurements at each concentration level) over a wide concentration range ($20pg/\mu L - 5ng/\mu L$) using the assigned internal standards. Relative response factors (RFs) were estimated for each target compound. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of RFs for each target compound did not exceed 5%.

275 *3.2.2 Method accuracy and precision*

276 Method accuracy and precision was assessed via repeated analysis of certified reference

277 materials (CRMs) ERM-EC591 (polypropylene), ERM-EC590 (polyethylene) in addition to

- textiles (polyester fabrics), extruded polystyrene and expanded polystyrene that have been
- 279 previously measured by our research group and another laboratory. The method was

validated for PBDEs by replicate analysis (n=5) of two different certified reference materials
ERM-EC591 and ERM-EC590 (Table 1).

In the absence of a CRM for HBCDD, replicate analysis (n=5) of four different materials (1 x XPS, 1 x EPS, 2 x polyester fabrics) were analysed. These materials have previously been measured for HBCDDs by our research group and another laboratory, the results of which were used as indicative values (Table 2).

There were no significant differences between our measured concentrations of reference 286 materials compared to certified/indicative measurements (t-test, p<0.05). This combined with 287 a low RSD between measurements (<15 %, except for BDE-28, which is <20% due to its 288 proximity to the LOQ in ERM-EC591) demonstrates that this is an accurate, precise and 289 290 robust method for determination of BFRs in various plastic and textile samples. The results have demonstrated that there is no requirement for a pulverization step prior to extraction, 291 whilst only one clean-up step is required, regardless of the matrix tested. This confirms the 292 293 simplicity (i.e. minimal number of steps) of the developed method and fulfils the need for 294 rapid and high throughput analysis.

295 *3.2.3 Sensitivity, limits of detection and quantification*

The method achieved consistently high recoveries of target compounds and internal standards 296 (80-90 %) at the lower limits of the calibration range for each of the studied compounds. No 297 interference was observed in the method or field blanks analysed alongside the samples. This 298 combined with a low baseline (Figure SI-2) meant that the method achieved high sensitivity 299 300 and low detection limits. Instrumental method LODs were estimated based on a 3:1 S/N ratio (Table SI-2). The LOQ was determined by a concentration equivalent to a S/N ratio of 10:1 301 in the samples (0.8 ng/g for BDEs -28, -47, -99 and -100; 1.0 ng/g for BDEs -153, -154 and -302 303 183; 1.5 ng/g for BDE-209; 0.3 ng/g for α -, β - and γ -HBCDD). These were considered satisfactory given that it is believed that consumer products have been treated at considerable concentrations, whilst the current LPCL (1000 mg/kg) is more than 10^6 higher than our highest LOQ.

307 3.3. Application to real samples

The developed extraction and clean-up method was applied to the analysis of real samples 308 309 entering the waste stream. These comprised 13 samples (2 x EPS cavity wall insulation foam (from construction and demolition (C&D) waste), 4 x waste electrical and electronic 310 equipment (WEEE, all comprised of ABS/HIPS housing), 2 x end of life vehicle waste (ELV) 311 (1 x polyurethane foam (PUF), 1 x upholstery textile), and 5 x soft furnishings (1 x carpet, 2 312 x PUF, 2 x upholstery textile)) collected from waste treatment sites in Ireland. Our analytical 313 314 method displayed good performance evidenced by high recoveries of all internal standards as well as providing clean extracts with low base line in the mass chromatograms (Figure SI-3). 315

Levels of different BFRs varied depending on the type of waste measured (Table 3). Two samples of EPS used as cavity wall insulation were found to contain HBCDD at more than five times the corresponding LPCL (5800 and 5200 mg/kg). No other BFRs were detected in the two EPS samples. This is consistent with the fact that cavity wall insulation has historically been treated with commercial HBCDD.

Whilst the two ELV foam samples contained only negligible levels of BFRs (<10 ng/g) currently listed under the Stockholm Convention, BDE-209 was present in both foam and upholstery at extremely high concentrations – if a similar LPCL of 1000 mg/kg was imposed for DecaBDE, then these two samples would exceed it by up to 30 times. These high concentrations of BDE-209 are consistent with previous dust measurements of BDE-209 from UK cars where median concentrations were 100 mg/kg (there is currently no data from within Ireland) [34].

With respect to soft furnishings, much lower concentrations were detected in the carpet 328 sample with only BDE-209 found at 150 mg/kg. In our sofa samples, BDE-209 and HBCDD 329 were found in high concentrations in both foam and upholstery. HBCDD was detected in 330 331 both foam samples at or above the current LPCL (in one upholstery sample it exceeded it by a factor of 42. BDE-209 was also found in both samples at concentrations above 1000 mg/kg. 332 Interestingly, upholstery samples contained considerably higher concentrations than foam 333 samples for HBCDD and BDE-209. Both HBCDD and DecaBDE have had known 334 applications as backcoating of fabrics used as furniture upholstery [35]. This suggests that 335 336 concentrations found in foam samples could be the result of BFR migration from flameretarded upholstery. This backed up by a previous study that demonstrated migration of BFRs 337 from source to dust through direct contact [36]. These high levels of BDE-209 and HBCDD 338 339 in domestic furniture are consistent with previous dust measurements in UK homes (there is currently no data from within Ireland) - BDE-209 was found in concentrations as high as 340 2200 mg/kg [37] whilst HBCDD has been found at levels as high as 140 mg/kg [38]. 341

342 Concentrations of BFRs in our samples of WEEE were more variable than in the other waste streams examined here, with HBCDDs, PBDEs and BDE-209 detected. In all but one WEEE 343 sample, multiple BFRs were found in the same samples. This suggests that either different 344 components of the same product were treated with different flame retardants; or that a 345 mixture of raw polymer material (potentially comprising recycled materials treated with 346 different BFRs) was used in its manufacture. However, in the TV sample, the presence of 347 congeners comprising the Penta- and Octa-BDE formulations at levels only 2% of the BDE-348 209 concentration (60,000 mg/kg), is likely a result of impurities in the commercial mixture 349 or debromination of BDE-209 during the process of incorporating the flame retardant 350 formulation into the polymer [39]. 351

352 **4. Summary**

353 A rapid, simple and sensitive method was developed for the extraction and clean-up of POP-BFRs (PBDEs and HBCDD) from consumer products prior to analysis by GC-MS and LC-354 MS/MS. The method involved a combination of vortexing, ultrasonication followed by 355 356 H₂SO₄ clean up to remove polymers and other co-extracted compounds from the extracts. The method was validated using certified reference materials and displayed good accuracy 357 and precision. Application of the validated method to a limited number of real samples of 358 consumer products entering the waste stream revealed some interesting results. The BFR 359 concentrations determined in such products highlights the need for a rapid determination for 360 361 these compounds in plastics; in all 6 of the 13 samples studied, the concentration of HBCDD exceeded the LPCL, with a further 5 samples containing BDE-209 at concentrations >1000 362 mg/kg, pertinent given the likely future introduction of an LPCL for Deca-BDE. Items 363 364 containing concentrations of POP-BFRs exceeding LPCLs cannot be recycled. The developed method provided advantages over previous methods including reduced solvent 365 consumption, shorter analysis time and enhanced recovery of target analytes, allowing for 366 high sample throughput that will expedite future monitoring of compliance with LPCLs. 367

368 Acknowledgements

Funding for this study was provided by the Environmental Protection Agency of Ireland Project Reference 2014-RE-MS-2. The authors are also grateful to all the waste treatment sites who provided samples, and to our collaborators Natsuko Kajiwara, Go Suzuki and the late Hidetaka Takigami at NIES, Japan for providing us with the EPS, XPS and textile curtain samples used as in-house reference materials.

374

375 **References**

- 376 [1] https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic/brominated-flame-retardants (last time
- accessed: October 30, 2016).
- 378 [2] http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/NewPOPs/The9newPOPs/tabid/672/language/en-
- 379 <u>US/Default.aspx</u> (last time accessed: January 11, 2010)
- [3] H.a.E. Alliance, Global ban of flame retardant HBCD, in, 2013.
- 381 [4] http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/download.aspx?d=UNEP-POPS-POPRC.9-2.English.pdf
- 382 (last time accessed: June 23, 2017).
- 383 [5] <u>http://www.edn.com/electronics-news/4326700/ROHS-DecaBDE-exemption-ending</u> (last
- time accessed: June 23, 2017).
- 385 [6] W.A. Stubbings, N. Kajiwara, H. Takigami, S. Harrad, Leaching behaviour of
- hexabromocyclododecane from treated curtains, *Chemosphere* 2016, 144, 2091-2096.
- 387 [7] Y.-J. Kim, M. Osako, S.-i. Sakai, Leaching characteristics of polybrominated diphenyl
- ethers (PBDEs) from flame-retardant plastics, *Chemosphere* 2006, 65, 506-513.
- 389 [8] J.-P. Wu, X.-J. Luo, Y. Zhang, Y. Luo, S.-J. Chen, B.-X. Mai, Z.-Y. Yang,
- 390 Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls
- 391 (PCBs) in wild aquatic species from an electronic waste (e-waste) recycling site in South
- 392 China, *Environ. Int.* 2008, 34, 1109-1113.
- 393 [9] A. Eguchi, K. Nomiyama, N. Minh Tue, P.T.K. Trang, P. Hung Viet, S. Takahashi, S.
- Tanabe, Residue profiles of organohalogen compounds in human serum from e-waste
- 395 recycling sites in North Vietnam: Association with thyroid hormone levels, *Environ. Res.*
- 396 2015, 137, 440-449.
- 397 [10] L.-C. Wang, H.-C. Hsi, Y.-F. Wang, S.-L. Lin, G.-P. Chang-Chien, Distribution of
- 398 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and

- dibenzofurans (PBDD/Fs) in municipal solid waste incinerators, *Environ Pollut*. 2010, 158,
 1595-1602.
- 401 [11] C. Gallen, D. Drage, S. Kaserzon, C. Baduel, M. Gallen, A. Banks, S. Broomhall, J.F.
- 402 Mueller, Occurrence and distribution of brominated flame retardants and perfluoroalkyl
- 403 substances in Australian landfill leachate and biosolids, J. Hazard. Mater. 2016, 312, 55-64.
- 404 [12] A.P. Daso, O.S. Fatoki, J.P. Odendaal, O.O. Olujimi, Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- 405 (PBDEs) and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB-153) in landfill leachate in Cape Town,
- 406 South Africa, *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2013, 185, 431-439.
- 407 [13] M. Osako, Y.-J. Kim, S.-i. Sakai, Leaching of brominated flame retardants in leachate
- 408 from landfills in Japan, *Chemosphere 2004*, 57, 1571-1579.
- 409 [14] A. Cincinelli, T. Martellini, L. Misuri, E. Lanciotti, A. Sweetman, S. Laschi, I. Palchetti,
- 410 PBDEs in Italian sewage sludge and environmental risk of using sewage sludge for land
- 411 application, *Environ. Pollut.* 2012, 161, 229-234.
- 412 [15] C. Yang, X.-Z. Meng, L. Chen, S. Xia, Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in sewage sludge
- 413 from Shanghai, China: Possible ecological risk applied to agricultural land, *Chemosphere*
- 414 2011, 85, 418-423.
- 415 [16] M. Song, S. Chu, R.J. Letcher, R. Seth, Fate, Partitioning, and Mass Loading of
- 416 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) during the Treatment Processing of Municipal
- 417 Sewage, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2006, 40, 6241-6246.
- 418 [17] B. Gevao, S. Muzaini, M. Helaleh, Occurrence and concentrations of polybrominated
- diphenyl ethers in sewage sludge from three wastewater treatment plants in Kuwait,
- 420 *Chemosphere* 2008, 71, 242-247.
- 421 [18] Sánchez-Brunete, C., Miguel E., Tadeo, J.L., Analysis of polybrominated diphenyl
- 422 ethers in sewage sludge by matrix solid-phase dispersion and isotope dilution GC–MS, J Sep
- 423 *Sci*, 2008, 32, 109-117

- 424 [19] D. Chen, X. Bi, J. Zhao, L. Chen, J. Tan, B. Mai, G. Sheng, J. Fu, M. Wong, Pollution
- 425 characterization and diurnal variation of PBDEs in the atmosphere of an E-waste dismantling
- 426 region, *Environ. Pollut.* 2009, 157, 1051-1057.
- 427 [20] M.-J. He, X.-J. Luo, L.-H. Yu, J. Liu, X.-L. Zhang, S.-J. Chen, D. Chen, B.-X. Mai,
- 428 Tetrabromobisphenol-A and Hexabromocyclododecane in Birds from an E-Waste Region in
- 429 South China: Influence of Diet on Diastereoisomer- and Enantiomer-Specific Distribution
- 430 and Trophodynamics, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 5748-5754.
- 431 [21] A. Eguchi, K. Nomiyama, G. Devanathan, A. Subramanian, K.A. Bulbule, P.
- 432 Parthasarathy, S. Takahashi, S. Tanabe, Different profiles of anthropogenic and naturally
- 433 produced organohalogen compounds in serum from residents living near a coastal area and e-
- 434 waste recycling workers in India, *Environ. Int.* 2012, 47, 8-16.
- 435 [22] Shi, Z.X., Wang, Y.F., Niu, P.Y., Wang, J.D., Sun, Z.W., Zhang, S.H., Wu, Y.N.,
- 436 Concurrent extraction, clean-up, and analysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers,
- 437 hexabromocyclododecane isomers, and tetrabromobisphenol A in human milk and serum, J
- 438 Sep Sci, 2013, 36, 3402-3410
- 439 [23] European Commission, Commission regulation (EU) 2016/460 of 30 March 2016
- 440 amending Annexes IV and V to regulation No 850/2004 of the European Parliament and of
- the Council on persistent organic pollutants., Off. J. Eur. Commun., 2016, 80, 17-24
- 442 [24] J.G. Allen, M.D. McClean, H.M. Stapleton, T.F. Webster, Linking PBDEs in House
- 443 Dust to Consumer Products using X-ray Fluorescence, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 4222-
- 444 4228.
- 445 [25] C. Gallen, A. Banks, S. Brandsma, C. Baduel, P. Thai, G. Eaglesham, A. Heffernan, P.
- 446 Leonards, P. Bainton, J.F. Mueller, Towards development of a rapid and effective non-
- 447 destructive testing strategy to identify brominated flame retardants in the plastics of consumer
- 448 products, *Sci Total Environ* 2014, 491-492, 255-265

- 449 [26] N. Kajiwara, Y. Noma, H. Takigami, Brominated and organophosphate flame retardants
- 450 in selected consumer products on the Japanese market in 2008, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011, 192,
 451 1250-1259.
- 452 [27] N. Kajiwara, Y. Noma, H. Takigami, Photolysis Studies of Technical
- 453 Decabromodiphenyl Ether (DecaBDE) and Ethane (DeBDethane) in Plastics under Natural
- 454 Sunlight, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, 42, 4404-4409.
- 455 [28] A.C. Ionas, A. Ballesteros Gómez, N. Uchida, G. Suzuki, N. Kajiwara, K. Takata, H.
- 456 Takigami, P.E.G. Leonards, A. Covaci, Comprehensive characterisation of flame retardants
- 457 in textile furnishings by ambient high resolution mass spectrometry, gas chromatography-
- 458 mass spectrometry and environmental forensic microscopy, Environ. Res. 2015, 142, 712-
- 459 719.
- 460 [29] A. Schecter, N. Shah, J.A. Colacino, S.I. Brummitt, V. Ramakrishnan, T. Robert Harris,
- 461 O. Päpke, PBDEs in US and German clothes dryer lint: A potential source of indoor
- 462 contamination and exposure, *Chemosphere* 2009,75, 623-628.
- 463 [30] W.A. Stubbings, S. Harrad, Factors influencing leaching of PBDEs from waste cathode
- ray tube plastic housings, *Sci Total Environ* 2016, 569–570, 1004-1012.
- 465 [31] M.A.-E. Abdallah, D. Drage, S. Harrad, A one-step extraction/clean-up method for
- determination of PCBs, PBDEs and HBCDs in environmental solid matrices, Env. Sci.
- 467 *Process. Impact.* 2013, 15, 2279-2287.
- 468 [32] M.A.-E. Abdallah, C. Ibarra, H. Neels, S. Harrad, A. Covaci, Comparative evaluation of
- liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry versus gas chromatography–mass spectrometry
- 470 for the determination of hexabromocyclododecanes and their degradation products in indoor
- 471 dust, J. Chromatogr. A. 2008, 1190, 333-341.

- 472 [33] N. Kajiwara, J. Desborough, S. Harrad, H. Takigami, Photolysis of brominated flame
- retardants in textiles exposed to natural sunlight, *Env. Sci. Process. Impact.* 2013,15, 653660.
- 475 [34] S. Harrad, M.A.-E. Abdallah, Brominated flame retardants in dust from UK cars -
- 476 Within-vehicle spatial variability, evidence for degradation and exposure implications,
- 477 *Chemosphere* 2011, 82, 1240-1245.
- 478 [35] Weil ED, Levchik SV Flame Retardants in Commercial Use or Development for
- 479 Textiles Journal of Fire Sciences 2008; 26: 243-281.
- 480 [36] C. Rauert, I. Kuribara, T. Kataoka, T. Wada, N. Kajiwara, G. Suzuki, H. Takigami, S.
- 481 Harrad direct contact between dust and HBCD-treated fabrics is an important pathway of
- 482 source-dust transfer, Science of The Total Environment, 2016 545–546, 77–83.
- 483 [37] S. Harrad, C. Ibarra, M.A.-E. Abdallah, R. Boon, H. Neels, A. Covaci, Concentrations of
- 484 brominated flame retardants in dust from United Kingdom cars, homes, and offices: Causes
- of variability and implications for human exposure, *Environ. Int.* 2008, 34, 1170-1175.
- 486 [38] M.A. Abdallah, S. Harrad, A. Covaci, Hexabromocyclododecanes and
- tetrabromobisphenol-A in indoor air and dust in Birmingham, U.K: implications for human
- 488 exposure, *Environ Sci Technol*. 2008, 42, 6855-6861.
- [39] Chen, S.J., Ma, Y.J., Wang, J., Tian, M., Luo, X.-J., Chen, D., Mai, B.-X., Measurement
- 490 and human exposure assessment of brominated flame retardants in household products from
- 491 South China. J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, 176, 979–984.
- 492 [40] H. Takigami, M. Watanabe, N. Kajiwara, Destruction behavior of
- 493 hexabromocyclododecanes during incineration of solid waste containing expanded and
- 494 extruded polystyrene insulation foams, *Chemosphere* 2014, 116, 24-33.

List of Tables

Table 1: Certified and measured concentrations of PBDEs in ERM-EC590 and ERM-EC591

 Table 2: Measured and indicative levels of HBCDD (mg/kg) EPS and XPS and polyester

 fabrics

Table 3: Concentrations (mg/kg) of BFRs in real plastic and textile samples entering the waste stream in Ireland

List of Figures

Figure 1: Effect of extraction solvent and temperature on the average $\pm \sigma_n$ recoveries of PBDEs from replicate (n=5) analyses of (a) polyethylene (ERM-EC590) and (b) polypropylene (ERM-EC591) polymers.

Figure 2: Effect of vortex time on average $\pm \sigma_n$ recoveries of PBDEs from replicate (n=5) analyses of (a) polyethylene (ERM-EC590) and (b) polypropylene (ERM-EC591) polymers.

Figure 3: Effect of ultrasonication time (min) on average $\pm \sigma_n$ recoveries of target compounds from replicate (n=5) analyses of plastics and textiles

Figure 4: Effect of pulverisation on the average $\pm \sigma_n$ recoveries of PBDEs from replicate (n=5) analyses of ERM-EC591 using different extraction methods