UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy living program for women with high body mass index

Mensinger, Janell L.; Meadows, Angela

DOI: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.01.010

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Mensinger, JL & Meadows, A 2017, 'Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy living program for women with high body mass index', Psychology of Sport and Exercise, vol. 30, pp. 64-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.01.010

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript

Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy living program for women with high body mass index

Janell L. Mensinger, Angela Meadows

PII: S1469-0292(17)30073-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.01.010

Reference: PSYSPO 1184

To appear in: Psychology of Sport & Exercise


Received Date: 24 July 2016

Revised Date: 29 January 2017

Accepted Date: 29 January 2017

Please cite this article as: Mensinger, J.L., Meadows, A., Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy living program for women with high body mass index, *Psychology of Sport & Exercise* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.01.010.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Running head: INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy

living program for women with high body mass index

Janell L. Mensinger^{a,b}

Angela Meadows^c

Corresponding author affiliation at time of research: ^aReading Health System Sixth Avenue and Spruce Street West Reading, PA 19611, USA

Corresponding author address (present): ^bDrexel University, College of Nursing and Health Professions 1601 Cherry Street 3 Parkway Building, 9th floor, MS 9503, Philadelphia, PA 19102, USA janell.l.mensinger@drexel.edu

^cUniversity of Birmingham, School of Psychology, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK <u>axm583@bham.ac.uk</u>

Acknowledgments: This manuscript was based on data also used in two previously published reports:

- Mensinger, J.L., Calogero, R.M., Stranges, S., & Tylka, T.L. (2016). A weight-neutral versus a weight-loss approach to health and well-being in women with high BMI: A randomized controlled trial. *Appetite*, *105*, 364-374. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.06.006
- Mensinger, J.L., Calogero R.M., & Tylka, T.L. (2016). Internalized weight stigma moderates eating behavior outcomes in women with high BMI participating in a healthy living program. *Appetite*, *102*, 32-43. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.033

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Edna G. Kynett Memorial Foundation awarded to the first author.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this work.

Running head: INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy
6	living program for women with high body mass index
7	

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

8

Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the influence of internalized weight stigma (IWS) on physical 9 activity (PA) outcomes among women with body mass index (BMI) over 30 kg/m². Design and 10 Method: Data were drawn from an RCT that included 80 primarily inactive women (94% non-11 Hispanic/Latina white; mean age=39.6, SD=4.1, range=30.0 to 45.0; mean BMI=38.0 kg/m², 12 SD=3.9, range=30.2 to 44.8 kg/m². Participants completed a 6-month weight-neutral, health-at-13 14 every-size or weight-loss-focused group-based healthy living program. PA enjoyment and engagement in moderate-intensity PA (MI-PA) (at least 30 minutes most days of the week) were 15 assessed at baseline and immediately post-intervention. We used intention-to-treat linear mixed-16 17 effects modeling to test IWS as a moderator of changes in MI-PA engagement. We also tested a model whereby the positive effects of participating in the program on engagement in MI-PA 18 would be serially mediated by a reduction in IWS and a concomitant increase in MI-PA 19 20 enjoyment. Results: The weight-neutral and weight-loss-focused data were combined for all analyses. The moderation hypothesis was supported with a significant interaction between IWS 21 and time. Participants had significant gains overall in MI-PA engagement from baseline to post-22 intervention; however, those with high IWS had an attenuated response. The serial mediation 23 model was also supported. The positive effect of the program on engagement in MI-PA occurred 24 through decreased IWS and increased MI-PA enjoyment. Conclusions: Self-directed stigma and 25 holding negative attitudes about one's weight interferes with positive changes in PA outcomes. 26 Healthy living programs may be less effective for those most vulnerable unless we aim to reduce 27 IWS. 28

Keywords: weight bias internalization, exercise enjoyment, weight self-stigma, obesity,
serial mediation, exercise motivation

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

31	Internalized weight stigma mediates and moderates physical activity outcomes during a healthy
32	living program for women with high body mass index
33	Lack of engagement in physical activity (PA) remains one of the top five contributors to
34	premature mortality (Kohl et al., 2012), and a growing number of public health promotion efforts
35	have focused on establishing effective strategies for increasing PA across the lifespan (see
36	Horodyska et al., 2015 for a review). However, US national data suggest that only one in five
37	American adults meet the recommended PA guidelines, and one quarter do not engage in any
38	leisure-time PA (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014). Further, some studies show
39	higher-weight individuals are less likely to meet PA guidelines than their counterparts with a
40	BMI less than 25 (Spees et al., 2012). In a recent study of youth, regardless of true body mass
41	index (BMI), perceiving oneself as "overweight" was associated with less vigorous-intensity PA,
42	and lower likelihood of playing sports compared to peers who perceive their weight as "about
43	right" (Patte, Laxer, Qian & Leatherdale, 2016). Given the widespread benefits of PA for both
44	physical and mental well-being, understanding the factors contributing to PA engagement in
45	higher-weight individuals could lead to improvements in health promotion interventions targeted
46	at this population.

In recent years, increasing attention has been paid to the impact of weight stigma on
health and behavioral outcomes (e.g., Hilbert et al., 2015; Latner, Barile, Durso, & O'Brien,
2014). Experiences of weight stigma can involve perceptions of negative judgment, poorer
treatment, rejection, or outright discrimination based on weight, shape, or body size (Tylka et al.,
2104). This form of prejudice is now one of the most frequently reported forms of stigma in both
adults (Puhl, Andreyeva, & Brownell, 2008) and children (Bucchianeri, Gower, McMorris, &
Eisenberg, 2016), and it affects almost all domains of daily life (Puhl & King, 2013). Most types

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

54 of weight stigma are disproportionately targeted at women (Judge & Cable, 2011), and frequency and severity increase exponentially at higher BMIs (see Spahlholz, Baer, König, Riedel-Heller, 55 & Luck-Sikorski, 2015 for a review). When higher-weight individuals personalize the negative 56 societal evaluation of larger bodies and, in turn, apply it to themselves, the consequent self-57 devaluation is known as internalized weight stigma or weight self-stigma (Durso & Latner, 2008; 58 Tylka et al., 2014). People with high levels of internalized weight stigma not only fear negative 59 evaluation from others, they endorse weight-related stereotypes-such as "higher weight 60 individuals have less will-power and are less deserving of a fulfilling social life." Weight self-61 stigma results in feeling less competent, less valued, more self-conscious, depressed, and anxious 62 63 (Hilbert, Braehler, Haeuser, & Zenger, 2014; Schvey et al., 2016).

Both the experience of weight stigma and self-directed weight stigma are constructs 64 associated with poorer health and well-being (Hilbert et al., 2014; Latner et al., 2014). In fact, the 65 relationship between higher BMI and poorer physical health-related quality of life is only present 66 for those with high self-directed weight stigma (Latner et al., 2014). Further, evidence suggests 67 that perceived discrimination and stigma concerns explain the relationship between BMI and 68 self-reported health (Hunger & Major, 2015). Additionally, research has reliably shown that self-69 directed weight stigma is associated with increased disordered eating behavior (Durso & Latner, 70 2008; Durso, Latner, & Hayashi, 2012; Mensinger, Calogero, & Tylka, 2016). In studies that 71 measured self-directed and the experience of weight stigma together, self-directed weight stigma 72 consistently mediates the relationship between being stigmatized by others and health outcomes 73 (Durso et al., 2012; Pearl, Puhl & Dovidio, 2015). Combining these findings with similar 74 evidence from experimental research (Pearl & Puhl, 2016) suggests that self-directed stigma may 75 be a more potent driver of distress and health outcomes than is experiencing weight stigma. 76

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

77 Weight stigma is also associated with lower engagement in PA (Carels et al., 2009; Wott & Carels, 2010). Specifically, in a study of higher-weight adults seeking behavioral weight-loss 78 treatment, endorsement of greater weight bias was associated with lower energy expenditure, 79 while attributing more positive traits to higher-weight people was associated with longer bouts of 80 exercise (Carels et al., 2009). In addition, data from a cross-sectional study of college-aged 81 females found a positive relationship between weight stigma experiences and motivation for 82 exercise avoidance (Vartanian & Shaprow, 2008). Later evidence noted that this effect was 83 moderated by the participants' own anti-fat attitudes and internalization of the thin ideal 84 (Vartanian & Novak, 2011). Finally, in related research supporting a stereotype threat model, 85 86 Seacat and Mickelson (2009) showed that behavioral intentions for exercise were significantly lower in a sample of women with a high BMI who were experimentally primed to feel 87 stigmatized for their weight compared to a control condition. 88

89 A number of possible explanations exist for the inverse relationship between weight stigma and PA attitudes and behaviors. Systemic or institutional stigma results in physical 90 barriers to PA that affect higher-weight individuals, from facilities or equipment unsuitable for 91 larger bodies (Schvey et al., 2016), to difficulties in finding suitable apparel in bigger sizes 92 (Christel, O'Donnell, & Bradley, 2016; Packer, 1989). Perhaps more importantly though, is the 93 interpersonal aspect of weight stigma. Heavier individuals report fear of being judged, ridiculed, 94 or even abused when they are exercising (Packer, 1989; Schvey et al., 2016), and such fears are 95 not unfounded. Studies examining the prevalence of different types of stigma experienced by 96 higher-weight individuals report that being stared at, mocked, or verbally harassed by strangers 97 is not uncommon in this population, and approximately one in ten individuals with a high BMI 98 report having been physically attacked because of their weight (Puhl & Brownell, 2006). Within 99

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

formal fitness environments, larger bodies may be explicitly or implicitly devalued or shamed
(Prichard & Tiggemann, 2008; Schvey et al., 2016), and high levels of anti-fat attitudes and
endorsement of negative weight-related stereotypes have been reported in exercise science
students (Chambliss, Finley, & Blair, 2004), fitness professionals (Robertson & Vohora, 2008),
as well as frequent exercisers themselves (Flint & Reale, 2016).

To our knowledge, only one published study has specifically examined the association 105 between internalized weight stigma and PA behavior (Pearl et al., 2015). It was an online cross-106 107 sectional study of 177 US women whose self-report BMI placed them in the 'overweight' or 'obese' category. There was a negative association between self-efficacy, motivation to exercise, 108 109 and internalized weight stigma, even after controlling for BMI. Although weight stigma experiences were associated with increased PA behavior, there was also an indirect effect 110 whereby experiencing weight stigma predicted greater self-directed stigma, which was 111 112 associated with reduced PA behavior. Thus, while experiencing weight stigma might encourage women to engage in behaviors that will counteract stereotypes, these experiences also contribute 113 to the self-stigma that predicts multiple maladaptive attitudes and behaviors (as reviewed above) 114 including lower engagement in PA. 115

Little is known about the mechanisms via which the effects of internalized weight stigma on PA behavior are transmitted and how this might fit into larger theoretical models of PA. Despite the domination of cognitive frameworks (e.g., Ajzen, 1991; Bandura, 2001), some researchers have posited the importance of the affective judgments surrounding PA behavior (e.g., French et al., 2005; for a review see Rhodes, Fiala & Conner, 2009). Affective judgments involve studying the extent to which pleasure anticipated or derived from PA influences motivation and engagement. This approach draws from theories of "hedonism" where humans

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

are believed to behave in ways that will maximize the experience of pleasure and avoid pain 123 (Kahneman, Diener, & Schwarz, 1999). Given that cognitive models have only been able to 124 account for about a quarter of the variation in PA behavior, some researchers have suggested 125 synthesizing these approaches with hedonic theories of motivation (Ekkekakis & Dafermos, 126 2012). Several studies have supported approaches that combine cognitive and affective models. 127 For example, Kiviniemi et al. (2007) established that affective associations with PA behavior 128 mediated all components of the theory of planned behavior (i.e., attitudes, social norms, and 129 perceived benefits, barriers, and behavioral control) in predicting engagement in PA. Similarly, 130 Lewis et al. (2016) found PA enjoyment at baseline predicted future engagement in PA after 131 132 participating in a 6-month trial for increasing PA in 448 low-active adults. Their mediation analysis supported a model in which the effect of self-efficacy on future PA was mediated by 133 enjoyment, suggesting greater competency yields more enjoyment. 134

135 Also in support of a hedonic framework, two health promotion intervention studies demonstrated enjoyment of PA played an important role in future behavior (Jekauc, 2015; 136 Williams et al., 2008). More specifically, Jekauc (2015) instructed trainers in an experimental 137 group to promote positive emotions, such as pleasure and fun. Compared to a 'treatment as 138 usual' exercise control group, the experimental group experienced greater positive affect during 139 exercise, and group differences in affective states mediated adherence to the exercise program 140 (Jekauc, 2015). In a sample of 37 sedentary primarily female (78.4%) adults drawn from a parent 141 trial that intended to promote 30 minutes of moderate-intensity PA most days of the week, 142 Williams et al. (2008) found the experience of higher positive affect after a single exercise 143 stimulus at baseline predicted greater PA 6 and 12 months later. 144

145 By synthesizing components from social-cognitive and affective theories for

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

146 understanding behavior change, the purpose of this study was to advance the literature on how internalized weight stigma impacts PA behaviors. We proposed weight self-stigma as a 147 moderator and mediator of a treatment effect in a recent trial comparing a weight-neutral, health-148 at-every-size program (i.e., lifestyle change while emphasizing size acceptance) to a behavioral 149 weight loss (BWL) program for women with high BMI (Mensinger, Calogero, Tylka & Stranges, 150 2016). Specifically, we first hypothesized that women with high levels of internalized weight 151 152 stigma would show less improvement in PA than their counterparts with lower internalized weight stigma after taking part in a healthy living program (a moderator effect). Second, we 153 hypothesized a model whereby the positive effects of participating in the program on 154 155 engagement in PA would be serially mediated by a reduction in internalized weight stigma (a social-cognitive process) and a concomitant increase in PA enjoyment (an affective process). 156

157

Method

158 The present study utilized data derived from a randomized controlled trial comparing the health benefits of manualized weight-neutral, health-at-every-size (HAES) program (Omichinski, 159 2007) versus a manualized behavioral weight-loss (BWL) program (Brownell, 2000) for women 160 with a BMI between 30 and 45 kg/m² (Mensinger et al., 2016b). We recruited from a semi-rural 161 community in Berks County Pennsylvania using local flyers, Clipper magazine advertisements, 162 and the website of the sponsoring community hospital. Low-active or sedentary women (i.e., 163 those who scored in or below the "light intensity activity" category on the Stanford Brief 164 Activity Survey; Taylor-Piliae et al., 2006) who identified as "struggling with their weight" and 165 were free from any serious health conditions were invited to take part in a 6-month facilitator-166 guided group-based healthy living program that met weekly for 90 minutes. Both programs 167 focused on overall health promotion through sustainable lifestyle change, and they contained 168

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

169 similar PA components (e.g., coordination of walking groups, a brief facilitator-led PA during the weekly sessions). However, the BWL program focused on the goal of weight reduction and 170 monitoring behavior change through PA logs, while the HAES program focused on engaging in 171 PA for the purpose of self-care, health and well-being, regardless of changes in weight. After a 172 phone screen to determine preliminary eligibility in the trial (e.g., health status, age between 30 173 and 45 years, low activity level, etc.), study participants came to the hospital's Clinical Research 174 175 Center to complete a series of health assessments, including height and weight measured without shoes on a Detecto balance beam scale and wall-mounted stadiometer. The Institutional Review 176 Board of the Reading Health System (Pennsylvania, USA) approved and monitored the study 177 178 protocol. Additional details regarding the procedures and primary outcomes for the trial are reported in Mensinger et al. (2016b). 179

180 **Participants**

We enrolled and randomized eighty participants into the study groups. They were primarily non-Hispanic/Latina white women (94%) with a mean age of 39.6 years (SD = 4.1; range 30.0–45.0) and a mean BMI of 38.0 kg/m^2 (SD = 3.9; range $30.2-44.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Most participants (80%) were married or in a domestic partnership, 74% of the sample had children, and 65% had at least some college education. The mean household income was \$70,873 USD annually (SD = \$35,653 USD; range \$12,000–180,000 USD). At the end of the 6-month program, 72 study participants returned for a follow-up health assessment.

188 Measures

Data collection occurred during early morning appointments at baseline and again at the
end of the 6-month program. The following measures relevant to this study were included in a
larger packet of surveys.

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

192 Internalized weight stigma. We used the Weight Bias Internalization Scale (WBIS; Durso & Latner, 2008) to measure the degree to which participants have internalized society's 193 negative attitudes towards higher weight. It contains 11 items rated on a 7-point Likert scale 194 ranging from strongly disagree (scored as 1) to strongly agree (scored as 7). The WBIS asks 195 participants about current feelings regarding their weight (e.g., "I am less attractive than most 196 other people because of my weight."). Item responses are averaged, with higher scores indicating 197 higher internalized weight stigma. In community-based samples of mostly women (83%) who 198 were classified as 'overweight' or 'obese' by BMI, scores on the WBIS have demonstrated 199 excellent internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha = .90), and convergent as well as 200 incremental validity (Durso & Latner, 2008). In a treatment-seeking sample (pre-bariatric 201 surgery) of predominantly female (71%) adults with a BMI \ge 35 kg/m², Hubner et al. (2016) 202 found strong internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha of .84) and established convergent and 203 predictive validity for the scale. In our sample, the Cronbach's alpha was also .84. 204

Physical activity. We measured engagement in moderate-intensity PA and enjoyment of 205 moderate-intensity PA using two items from the health behaviors subscale of the Red Lotus 206 Quality of Life questionnaire, which was designed to capture change in salutogenic-focused 207 programs (i.e., programs that center on the promotion of health from a wellness as opposed to a 208 disease perspective) (Gregg & O'Hara, 2007; McKinnon, 2008). For engagement in moderate-209 intensity PA, participants were asked about current behavior by responding to the question, "I 210 participate in moderate-intensity physical activities (activities that make me breathe a bit harder 211 or puff and pant), for about 30 minutes on average, most days of the week" on a 5-point rating 212 scale ranging from 1 (never true) to 5 (always true). Higher scores indicate more frequent 213 engagement in moderate-intensity PA. This item was found to positively correlate in our 214

215	sample's prescreening scores on the Stanford Brief Activity Survey (Taylor-Piliae et al., 2006)				
216	(spearman rho = .42; $p < .001$), suggesting preliminary validity for its use as a brief tool for				
217	measuring engagement in moderate-intensity PA. For current enjoyment of moderate-intensity				
218	PA, participants were asked to respond to the question, "I enjoy participating in moderate-				
219	intensity physical activities (activities that make me breathe a bit harder or puff and pant)" on a				
220	5-point rating scale ranging from 1 (never true) to 5 (always true). Higher scores indicate a				
221	greater enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA behaviors.				
222	Data Analysis				
223	Statistical tests were performed in SPSS (Version 24.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).				
224	Scatterplots, normal P-P plots and histograms of the regression standardized residuals indicated				
225	that assumptions of linearity and normality were adequately met. We also found no influential				
226	outliers applying Cook's distance (Fung, Zhu, Wei & He, 2002).				
227	We tested the moderating role of internalized weight stigma on changes in moderate-				
228	intensity PA engagement over the course of the program using linear mixed-modeling with				
229	intention-to-treat analysis for repeated measures designs. Although there were missing data (see				
230	n's for each variable shown in Table 1), sensitivity analyses indicated they met the MAR				
231	(missing at random) assumption. Since we used restricted maximum likelihood estimation				
232	(REML) to derive the parameters of the model, data from every participant with information on				
233	the outcome variable contributed to the final determination of regression weights making these				
234	models particularly robust despite missingness (Gallop & Tasca, 2009). The model included an				
235	interaction effect between internalized weight stigma and time, a main effect for time (baseline				
236	to 6 months), a main effect for internalized weight stigma, and a covariate term for BMI. We				
237	plotted the interaction effect and inferentially tested the simple slopes by showing change in				

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

moderate-intensity PA engagement from baseline to the end of the intervention at values of 1 SD above and below the mean on the WBIS (Aiken & West, 1991). We derived an effect size for the interaction by calculating a partial correlation coefficient (ρ_w) from the regression parameter estimate using the procedure outlined by Lipsitz et al. (2001) for repeated measures data. As an effect size, a partial correlation is interpreted much like a zero-order correlation coefficient, which ranges from -1 to 1 and is considered larger as the value approaches either of these endpoints (Lipsitz, Leong, Ibrahim, & Lipshultz, 2001).

Using a path-analytic framework with the MEMORE macro as an add-on in SPSS 245 (Montoya & Hayes, 2016), we tested if the programs' positive effects on engagement in 246 moderate-intensity PA occurred serially through decreases in internalized weight stigma and 247 increases in enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA. To fit the model, the macro calculated 248 regression parameters using simple deviation scores (i.e., change scores) between baseline and 6-249 months for each of the mediators (internalized weight stigma and moderate-intensity PA 250 enjoyment) and for the outcome variable (moderate-intensity PA engagement). We used the 251 percentile bootstrapping method with 5000 bootstrapped samples to derive standard errors and 252 253 95% confidence intervals of the indirect, direct, and total effects. This method advances earliergeneration causal steps approaches by providing a single inferential test instead of relying on 254 piecemeal hypothesis testing to determine the presence of mediation (e.g., Judd, Kenny & 255 McClelland, 2001). Path-analytic frameworks for mediation have become a superior approach 256 also because they allow for more complex models with multiple parallel and/or serial processes 257 that can be tested conditionally as functions of another variable (Hayes, 2015). We calculated 258 effect sizes for the serial mediation model with partially standardized indirect effects¹ (Preacher 259 260 & Kelley, 2011). These are interpreted as the predicted change in the outcome, expressed in

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

standard deviations units, resulting from the indirect effect of the program through themediator(s).

263

Results

Changes in the PA outcomes (enjoyment and engagement) and internalized weight stigma did not differ according to the program assigned, and tests for moderation showed no difference between programs in how internalized weight stigma impacted outcomes; therefore, the groups were combined into a single sample for the current study. Descriptive statistics for each variable at baseline and 6-months are shown in Table 1.

269 Moderation Model

The linear mixed-effects model testing the first hypothesis indicated a statistically 270 significant interaction effect between internalized weight stigma and time for predicting change 271 in moderate-intensity PA engagement, b = -0.35, SE = 0.16, 95% CI [-0.67, -0.04], t(89) = -2.23, 272 p = .029, $\rho_w = -.25$, suggesting that the impact of a healthy living program on effecting change 273 in moderate-intensity PA depended on the degree of the participants' weight self-stigma. The 274 main effect for internalized weight stigma on moderate-intensity PA engagement did not reach 275 276 statistical significance, b = -0.22, SE = .11, 95% CI [-0.44, 0.01], t(132) = -1.89, p = .061. The main effect for time on moderate-intensity PA engagement was significant, b = 0.80, SE = .14, 277 95% CI [0.51, 1.08], t(71) = 5.54, p < .001. The effect of BMI on moderate-intensity PA 278 engagement was not statistically significant, b = 0.01, SE = .023, 95% CI [-0.04, 0.05], t(80) =279 0.31, p = .76. Figure 1 reveals the graphed predictive model showing that women high on 280 internalized weight stigma (1 SD above the mean) demonstrated little change in moderate-281 intensity PA engagement from baseline to the 6-months, slope = 0.44, t(72) = 1.93, p = .058. 282 283 Women with low internalized weight stigma scores (1 SD below the mean), on the other hand,

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

demonstrated significant improvement in moderate-intensity PA engagement from baseline to 6months, slope = 1.15, t(72) = 5.84, p < .001.

286 Serial Mediation Model

Figure 2 shows the serial mediation model and corresponding regression coefficients for 287 each pathway proceeding from participation in the healthy living program to engagement in 288 moderate-intensity PA (represented as simple deviation scores between pre and post program 289 290 assessments). In a serial mediation context, the total effect of program participation on the outcome variable (represented by c) can be broken down into several indirect effects 291 (represented by $a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_1a_3b_2$) and a direct effect (represented by c'), which is the remaining 292 portion not explained by the mediator variables in the model². The indirect effect of the program 293 on engagement in moderate-intensity PA through internalized weight stigma was statistically 294 significant, $a_1b_1 = -0.39$, SE = 0.13, 95% CI [-0.66, -0.15], Ind_{ps} (partially standardized indirect 295 effect) = -.32. The indirect effect of the program on engagement in moderate-intensity PA 296 through enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA was not statistically significant, $a_2b_2 = -0.06$, SE = 297 0.08, 95% CI [-0.25, 0.07,], Ind_{ps}= -.05. The serial indirect effect of the program on moderate-298 intensity PA engagement through decreases in internalized weight stigma and increases in 299 enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA was statistically significant, $a_1a_3b_2 = -0.15$, SE = 0.06, 95% 300 CI [-0.28, -0.05], Ind_{ps} = -.12. The direct effect of the program on engagement in moderate-301 intensity PA did not reach significance, c' = -0.33, SE = .19, 95% CI [-0.72, 0.06], t(58) = -1.69, 302 p = .096. Finally, the total effect of the program on engagement in moderate-intensity PA (i.e., 303 the sum of the direct and indirect effects) was significant, c = -0.94, SE = .16, 95% CI [-1.26, 304 -0.61], t(62) = -5.80, p < .001. The combined indirect effects account for almost two thirds 305 (65%) of the total effect of the program on engagement in moderate-intensity PA³. Although the 306

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

MEMORE macro for SPSS does not currently accommodate the use of covariates in the model
(Montoya & Hayes, 2016), we ran individual linear mixed models using the causal steps
approach to mediation for within-subjects designs (Judd et al., 2001) to determine if BMI
changes altered the findings. There were no differences in the findings even after controlling for
BMI as a time-varying covariate. BMI also did not interact with time, moderate-intensity PA
enjoyment, or internalized weight stigma in any of the causal steps models tested.

313

Discussion

314 This study draws on social-cognitive and hedonic motivation theories to understanding PA among higher-weight women. First, we sought to determine how internalized weight stigma 315 impacted engagement in moderate-intensity PA for women with high BMI after participating in a 316 6-month group-based healthy living program. As hypothesized, the positive effect of the healthy 317 living program on engagement in moderate-intensity PA behavior occurred mainly in 318 participants with low internalized weight stigma. This result is consistent with our previous 319 findings pertaining to eating outcomes (Mensinger et al., 2016a), suggesting that the presence of 320 weight self-stigma is detrimental for actualizing some of the benefits of lifestyle modification 321 programs focused on healthy living. 322

This research is novel, and it is the first to examine the moderating and mediating effect of weight self-stigma on PA outcomes in an experimental trial. A previous weight-loss intervention study found that participants who endorsed high explicit and implicit weight biased attitudes and stereotypes tended to expend less energy in PA (Carels et al., 2009). However, the authors cautioned that their findings might not apply equally to self-directed stigma and recommended future research examine the influence weight *self*-stigma on program outcomes. Therefore, the present study builds on this evidence by showing that women with high levels of

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

330 internalized weight stigma experience smaller increases in PA than those with lower internalized stigma after taking part in programs designed to promote health for higher-weight women. 331 We also sought to determine if reductions in weight self-stigma occurring during a 332 healthy living program accounted for greater engagement in moderate-intensity PA through the 333 impact it had on enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA. This serial mechanism was supported by 334 the data and provides new evidence for processes underlying PA behavior change for women 335 with high BMI. Thus, our study uniquely contributes to the theoretical literature in PA with a 336 sequential process-oriented mediator model of behavior change representing a framework 337 informed by understudied constructs (weight self-stigma and enjoyment) that synthesize 338 339 components from the social-cognitive model (Bandura, 2001) and a hedonic motivation framework for PA (Ekkekakis & Dafermos, 2012). 340 Baranowski et al. (1998) noted nearly two decades ago that few PA intervention studies 341 effectively incorporated and tested mediation mechanisms to understand processes behind 342 behavior change, even in light of advances in theory-driven research. Despite their 343 recommendations to test causal chains underlying program outcomes, reviews published since 344 then show limited progress in mechanistic frameworks (e.g., Rhodes & Pfaeffli, 2010). 345 Furthermore, a robust medium effect size (r = 0.42) derived from a meta-analysis of 82 346 correlational studies supported the association between affective judgements (i.e., pleasure and 347 enjoyment of PA) and increased PA engagement, yet a paucity of experimental research exists 348 on programs designed to effect PA behavior through increasing enjoyment (Rhodes et al., 2009). 349 In addition, many of the intervention studies reviewed in Rhodes et al. (2009) were ineffective in 350 producing change in PA enjoyment and called for more research on the antecedents of 351 enjoyment. Our study addresses these gaps specifically with evidence that a social-cognitive 352

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

process—self-directed weight stigma, one that is relatively new to research in the psychology of
PA, represents an important construct underlying increased enjoyment.

From a practical standpoint, targeting weight-self stigma to improve PA enjoyment and 355 ultimately engagement in PA is congruent with the conclusions of a new study by Schvey et al. 356 (2016), which surveyed 389 gym members with a BMI over 25 kg/m² about gym-related weight 357 stigma and their health and well-being. Internalized weight stigma was highly correlated with 358 maladaptive coping (r = 0.59), which included items about avoiding PA and feeling less 359 360 confident in oneself (Schvey et al., 2016). This relationship parallels the association between self-stigma and low self-efficacy also seen in many studies of individuals with mental illness 361 362 (e.g., Watson, Corrigan, Larson & Sells, 2007). Low self-efficacy defines one of the core problems in the theory known as the "why try" effect surrounding goal attainment in those with 363 identities that are devalued by society (Corrigan, Larson, & Rüsch, 2009). With internalized 364 weight stigma, endorsement of negative judgments result in the body shame and self-blame that 365 ultimately lead to poorer self-care behaviors, as posited by Tylka et al. (2014). Preliminary 366 evidence for a connection between these constructs in the PA literature can be found in Pearl et 367 al.'s (2015) study showing a negative correlation between self-directed weight stigma and 368 exercise self-efficacy. Thus, this research underscores the need for healthcare providers, public 369 health messages, and programs to remove blame for body size, celebrate body diversity, and 370 build self-efficacy by reinforcing the capacity for everyone to benefit from the positive and 371 joyful aspects of PA, independent of weight change and body sculpting. 372 Self-empowerment, which is conceptualized as the polar opposite to the low self-efficacy 373

outcomes (Vauth, Kleim, Wirtz & Corrigan, 2007). Research by Corrigan and Watson (2002)

374

generated by internalized stigma (Corrigan et al., 2009) predicts positive coping and health

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

376 suggests that high group identity among individuals coping with mental illness fosters healthy empowerment and righteous indignation towards unjust negative labeling and stereotypes. 377 Similarly, a strong ethnic group identity resulting in empowerment demonstrated by Molix and 378 Bettencourt (2010) may account for the higher self-esteem found among African American 379 compared to Caucasian youth reported in several large-scale studies (e.g., Twenge & Crocker, 380 2002). By drawing parallels to models created for other stigmatized groups, we can implement 381 strategies such as cognitive restructuring or reframing self-stigmatizing views to enhance self-382 confidence, strengthening social ties and community to reduce avoidant coping, and encouraging 383 advocacy to push for legal and policy change (e.g., Heijnders & Van Der Meij, 2006). 384 Although the current research contributes to a better understanding of engagement in PA 385 for women with a high BMI, the study has a number of limitations. Despite having the capacity 386

to examine change from baseline to the end of the 6-month program, the sequencing of the effects within the serial mediation model cannot be fully known without additional measurement points. Thus, we are unable to establish causality between the mediators and the outcome. We conducted tests to check for bidirectional effects between PA enjoyment and PA engagement, and although results showed engagement also predicted enjoyment, the data were a better fit to the model presented, particularly considering the theoretical framework. Future studies should test this model in a more time-lagged fashion so temporal relationships can be established.

In addition, our mediation model could not account for potential confounding variables, such as BMI. However, it is important to note that BMI did not covary with any of the outcomes tested. Moreover, when we utilized the causal steps approach to test alternative mediation models, we ascertained that controlling for BMI did not change the results. Nevertheless, we are unable to attain more precise estimates of the indirect effects without considering omitted

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

399variables, and future replications of the models supported here should aim to do so. Further, the400tools used to measure the PA outcomes were simple one-item self-report measures as opposed to401objective assessments of energy expenditure. Reporting bias and measurement error must be402considered in interpreting the results. The next generation of studies would advance our findings403by determining if the models hold when using digitized activity counters to measure PA.404Finally, although the program was targeted for low-active women with a high BMI (\geq 30405kg/m²) who identified as "struggling with their weight," the study should be replicated in larger

406 more diverse samples that include men, people of varying weight ranges, and different racial/ethnic and socio-economic backgrounds. Increasingly, men are being exposed to the same 407 408 body-related pressures experienced by women (Dryer, Farr, Hiramatsu, & Quinton, 2016), and self-directed weight stigma has been demonstrated among people across the weight spectrum 409 (Pearl & Puhl, 2014). Moreover, the benefits of PA are not limited to those with elevated BMI 410 411 (Barry et al., 2014). It could be argued that health promotion efforts targeted at heavier individuals, or positioning PA simply as a means to achieve weight loss, does a disservice to 412 people of all sizes. Despite the negative relationship between BMI and PA levels (Spees et al., 413 2012), it is best to avoid thinking about lower engagement in PA as a problem that is unique to 414 higher-weight people. High levels of sedentary behavior are reported across the weight spectrum 415 and are associated with significant personal and societal costs (Bouchard et al., 2015). 416

The lack of program differences and subsequent decision to combine our sample also deserves mention. Similar improvements in the PA outcomes were not surprising given that both programs equally focused on aiding participants with changing lifestyle, albeit using different frameworks (HAES vs. BWL). On the other hand, one might expect a HAES-oriented approach that emphasized size acceptance, reducing body shame, and lifestyle change regardless of

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

422 weight-loss, to achieve greater reductions in weight self-stigma. However, as reported in Mensinger et al. (2016a), both programs resulted in lower internalized weight stigma. There 423 could be several reasons for this. One relates to potential contamination between the programs. 424 Participants were recruited from within a relatively tightknit community where a number of 425 study members were employees of the hospital sponsoring the trial. Information regarding the 426 programs may have been passed between co-workers who were randomized to different groups. 427 428 Our findings however are consistent with the patterns shown in a trial comparing two weight-loss programs where only one of the programs specifically targeted concepts like weight 429 self-stigma and body dissatisfaction, yet significant pre-post improvements in self-directed 430 431 weight stigma were seen in both programs, with no between-group differences (Carels et al., 2014). In a prior study, Carels et al. (2010) compared two weight loss programs, neither of which 432 addressed negative stereotypes toward higher weight people or body acceptance, and they too 433 found significant pre-post reductions in internalized weight stigma. One possibility is that 434 decreases in self-directed weight stigma seen in weight-loss programs may be occurring via 435 different mechanisms than in our HAES program, where participants did not lose weight 436 (Mensinger et al., 2016b). As people lose weight, they may feel less shame about their bodies, 437 and so internalized stigma goes down. If they regain lost weight, self-directed stigma may 438 increase. In a HAES program, the self-directed stigma might decrease due to greater self-439 acceptance and compassion for oneself regardless of size. In fact, support for a similar 440 mechanism was shown in a correlational study by Hilbert et al. (2015) where self-compassion 441 mediated the relationship between internalized weight stigma and health-related quality of life. 442 Future studies should test the mediating factors accounting for the positive shifts in self-directed 443 stigma occurring in the variety of interventions promoting PA reviewed above. 444

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Alternatively, perhaps the positive changes in self-directed stigma are driven simply by 445 the group component. All of the programs met weekly for an extended period (three to six 446 months). It could be the social atmosphere and camaraderie of being in a program with people 447 who are similar that aids participants in coalescing to reject the harmful negative attitudes and 448 social stereotypes about people with higher BMI. Thus, a stronger group identity may have 449 formed for the study participants, regardless of the content. As shown in the mental health 450 literature, identification with a group is related to lower self-stigma and higher self-efficacy 451 (Watson et al., 2007). Bringing people together based on a common struggle—in this case 452 weight (as they self-identified this way for our study), may be critical for developing the 453 collective empowerment discussed in those with mental illness who have managed to resist 454 internalizing negative social labels (Corrigan & Watson, 2002). In this vein, to further 455 understand the psychology of PA behavior, future research should also examine if increased 456 457 social support and group identification accounts for changes in weight self-stigma after being part of a group-based health promotion program. 458

Given that this study is the first to examine the moderating and mediating role of 459 internalized weight stigma on PA behaviors change after taking part in a health promotion 460 program, the field is ripe for growth. The conceptual framework explored should be expanded 461 and replications are needed. In the name of patient-centered care and reducing the body shame 462 and self-blame that weight self-stigma fosters, we recommend that comprehensive programs for 463 health improvement have a weight-inclusive PA component that collaboratively meets 464 participants in their body movement comfort zone (Tylka et al., 2014). This might include 465 starting with modest changes like encouraging activities ranging from simple stretching exercises 466 to playing ball with a pet, dancing to favorite songs, or taking a lunchtime walk—whatever aids 467

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

468 that individual in connecting with their body's natural capacity to move. Future intervention 469 studies should ascertain if doing so increases self-efficacy for PA by assuring people that they can succeed, benefit from, and enjoy an active lifestyle, regardless of size. In conclusion, to 470 ensure health promotion efforts involving PA are effective in those with high weight self-stigma, 471 it is critical to further explore factors shown to reduce it. Supportive, non-shaming environments 472 that highlight the pleasure and joy of being physically active are important first steps to 473 improving wider systemic support for individuals across the weight spectrum to participate in 474 475 health promoting and life-enhancing behaviors.

CER MA

476	References
477	Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions.
478	Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
479	Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision
480	Processes, 50, 179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
481	Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. Annual Review of
482	Psychology, 52, 1-26. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1
483	Barry, V. W., Baruth, M., Beets, M. W., Durstine, J. L., Liu, J., & Blair, S. N. (2014). Fitness vs.
484	fatness on all-cause mortality: A meta-analysis. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, 56,
485	382–390. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2013.09.002
486	Baranowski, T., Anderson, C., & Carmack, C. (1998). Mediating variable framework in physical
487	activity interventions: How are we doing? How might we do better? American Journal of
488	Preventive Medicine, 15, 266-297. doi:10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00080-4
489	Bouchard, C., Blair, S. N., Katzmarzyk, P. T., Lee, I. M., Shiroma, E. J., Lobelo, F., Loprinzi,
490	P. D. (2015). Less sitting, more physical activity, or higher fitness? Mayo Clinic
491	Proceedings, 90, 1533–1540. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.08.005
492	Brownell, K. D. (2000). The LEARN program for weight management (10th ed.). Dallas, TX:
493	American Health Publishing Company.
494	Bucchianeri, M. M., Gower, A. L., McMorris, B. J., & Eisenberg, M. E. (2016). Youth
495	experiences with multiple types of prejudice-based harassment. Journal of Adolescence, 51,
496	68–75. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.05.012
497	Carels, R. A., Burmeister, J. M., Koball, A. M., Oehlhof, M. W., Hinman, N., LeRoy, M.,
498	Gumble, A. (2014). A randomized trial comparing two approaches to weight loss:
499	Differences in weight loss maintenance. Journal of Health Psychology, 19, 296-311.

- 500 doi:10.1177/1359105312470156
- 501 Carels, R. A., Wott, C. B., Young, K. M., Gumble, A., Koball, A., & Oehlhof, M. W. (2010).
- 502 Implicit, explicit, and internalized weight bias and psychosocial maladjustment among
- treatment-seeking adults. *Eating Behaviors*, 11, 180-185. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2010.03.002
- 504 Carels, R. A., Young, K. M., Wott, C. B., Harper, J., Gumble, A., Oehlof, M. W., & Clayton, A.
- 505 M. (2009). Weight bias and weight loss treatment outcomes in treatment-seeking adults.
- 506 Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 37, 350–355. doi:10.1007/s12160-009-9109-4
- 507 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014). State Indicator Report on Physical Activity,
- 508 2014. US Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA. Retrieved from
- 509 http://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/downloads/pa_state_indicator_report_2014.pdf
- 510 Chambliss, H. O., Finley, C. E., & Blair, S. N. (2004). Attitudes toward obese individuals among
- 511 exercise science students. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *36*, 468–474.
- 512 doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000117115.94062.E4
- 513 Christel, D. A., O'Donnell, N. H., & Bradley, L. A. (2016). Coping by crossdressing: An
- 514 exploration of exercise clothing for obese heterosexual women. *Fashion and Textiles*, *3*, 1-
- 515 19. doi:10.1186/s40691-016-0063-z
- 516 Corrigan, P. W., Larson, J. E., & Rüsch, N. (2009). Self-stigma and the "why try" effect: Impact
 517 on life goals and evidence-based practices. *World Psychiatry*, *8*, 75-81.
- 518 doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2009.tb00218.x
- Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2002). The paradox of self-stigma and mental illness. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 9, 35-53. doi:10.1093/clipsy.9.1.35
- 521 Dryer, R., Farr, M., Hiramatsu, I., & Quinton, S. (2016). The role of sociocultural influences on
- 522 symptoms of muscle dysmorphia and eating disorders in men, and the mediating effects of
- 523 perfectionism. *Behavioral Medicine*, *42*, 174–182. doi:10.1080/08964289.2015.1122570

- 524 Durso, L. E., & Latner, J. D. (2008). Understanding self-directed stigma: Development of the
- weight bias internalization scale. *Obesity*, *16* (*Suppl 2*), S80–86. doi:10.1038/oby.2008.448
- 526 Durso, L. E., Latner, J. D., & Hayashi, K. (2012). Perceived discrimination is associated with
- 527 binge eating in a community sample of non-overweight, overweight, and obese adults.
- 528 *Obesity Facts*, *5*, 869-880. doi:10.1159/000345931
- 529 Ekkekakis, P., & Dafermos, M. (2012). Exercise is a many-splendored thing, but for some it does
- 530 not feel so splendid: Staging a resurgence of hedonistic ideas in the quest to understand
- 531 exercise behavior. In E. O. Acevedo (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of exercise psychology*.
- 532 New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 533 Flint, S. W., & Reale, S. (2016). Weight stigma in frequent exercisers: Overt, demeaning and
- 534 condescending. *Journal of Health Psychology*. Advance online publication.
- 535 doi:10.1177/1359105316656232
- 536 French, D. P., Sutton, S., Hennings, S. J., Mitchell, J., Wareham, N. J., Griffin, S., ... &
- 537 Kinmonth, A. L. (2005). The importance of affective beliefs and attitudes in the Theory of
- 538 Planned Behavior: Predicting intention to increase physical activity. *Journal of Applied*
- 539 *Social Psychology*, *35*, 1824–1848. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02197.x
- 540 Fung, W., Zhu, Z., Wei, B., & He, X. (2002). Influence diagnostics and outlier tests for
- 541 semiparametric mixed models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*, 64, 565-
- 542 579. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/3088789
- 543 Gallop, R., & Tasca, G. A. (2009). Multilevel modeling of longitudinal data for psychotherapy
- researchers: II. The complexities. *Psychotherapy Research*, *19*, 438-452.
- 545 doi:10.1080/10503300902849475
- 546 Gregg, J., & O'Hara, L. (2007). The Red Lotus Health Promotion Model: A new model for
- 547 holistic, ecological, salutogenic health promotion practice. *Health Promotion Journal of*

- 548 *Australia*, 18, 12–19. doi:10.1071/HE07012
- 549 Hayes, A. F. (2015). An index and test of linear moderated mediation. *Multivariate Behavioral*
- 550 *Research*, 50, 1-22. doi:10.1080/00273171.2014.962683
- 551 Heijnders, M., & Van Der Meij, S. (2006). The fight against stigma: An overview of stigma-
- reduction strategies and interventions. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, *11*, 353-363.
- 553 doi: 10.1080/13548500600595327
- Hilbert, A., Braehler, E., Haeuser, W., & Zenger, M. (2014). Weight bias internalization, core
- self-evaluation, and health in overweight and obese persons. *Obesity*, 22, 79–85.
- 556 doi:10.1002/oby.20561
- 557 Hilbert, A., Braehler, E., Schmidt, R., Lowe, B., Hauser, W., & Zenger, M. (2015). Self-
- 558 compassion as a resource in the self-stigma process of overweight and obese individuals.
- 559 *Obesity Facts*, *8*, 293–301. doi:10.1159/000438681
- 560 Horodyska, K., Luszczynska, A., Hayes, C. B., O'Shea, M. P., Langoien, L. J., Roos, G., . .
- 561 Brug, J. (2015). Implementation conditions for diet and physical activity interventions and
- policies: An umbrella review. BMC Public Health, 15, 1250. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-
- 563 2585-5
- Hubner, C., Schmidt, R., Selle, J., Kohler, H., Muller, A., de Zwaan, M., & Hilbert, A. (2016).
- 565 Comparing self-report measures of internalized weight stigma: The weight self-stigma
- questionnaire versus the weight bias internalization scale. *PloS One*, *11*, e0165566.
- 567 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165566
- Hunger, J. M., & Major, B. (2015). Weight stigma mediates the association between BMI and
- self-reported health. *Health Psychology*, *34*, 172-175. doi:10.1037/hea0000106.supp
- 570 Jekauc, D. (2015). Enjoyment during exercise mediates the effects of an intervention on exercise
- 571 adherence. *Psychology*, *6*, 48–54. doi:10.4236/psych.2015.61005

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- 572 Judd, C. M., Kenny, D. A., & McClelland, G. H. (2001). Estimating and testing mediation and
- 573 moderation in within-subject designs. *Psychological Methods*, 6, 115–134.
- 574 doi:10.1037//1082-989X.6.2.115
- 575 Judge, T. A., & Cable, D. M. (2011). When it comes to pay, do the thin win? The effect of
- 576 weight on pay for men and women. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96, 95–112.
- 577 doi:10.1037/a0020860
- 578 Kahneman, D., Diener, E., & Schwarz, N. (Eds.). (1999). *Well-being: Foundations of hedonic*

579 *psychology*. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.

- 580 Kiviniemi, M. T., Voss-Humke, A. M., & Seifert, A. L. (2007). How do I feel about the
- 581 behavior? The interplay of affective associations with behaviors and cognitive beliefs as
- influences on physical activity behavior. *Health Psychology*, 26, 152-158.
- 583 doi:10.1037/0278-6133.26.2.152
- 584 Kohl, H. W., Craig, C. L., Lambert, E. V., Inoue, S., Alkandari, J. R., Leetongin, G., &
- 585 Kahlmeier, S. (2012). The pandemic of physical inactivity: Global action for public health.
- 586 *Lancet*, *380*, 294–305. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8
- Latner, J. D., Barile, J. P., Durso, L. E., & O'Brien, K. S. (2014). Weight and health-related
- quality of life: The moderating role of weight discrimination and internalized weight
 bias. *Eating behaviors*, *15*, 586-590. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.201408.014
- Lewis, B. A., Williams, D. M., Frayeh, A., & Marcus, B. H. (2016). Self-efficacy versus
- 591 perceived enjoyment as predictors of physical activity behaviour. *Psychology & Health*,
 592 *31*, 456–469. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2015.1111372
- 593 Lipsitz, S. R., Leong, T., Ibrahim, J., & Lipshultz, S. (2001). A partial correlation coefficient and
- 594 coefficient of determination for multivariate normal repeated measures data. *The*
- 595 *Statistician*, 50, 87-95. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/2681160

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- 596 McKinnon, J. (2008). Assessing the holistic health and well being of the Cootharaba community
- 597 (Unpublished master's thesis). University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Australia.
- 598 Mensinger, J. L., Calogero, R. M., & Tylka, T. L. (2016a). Internalized weight stigma moderates
- 599 eating behavior outcomes in women with high BMI participating in a healthy living
- 600 program. *Appetite*, *102*, 32–43. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.033
- 601 Mensinger, J. L., Calogero, R. M., Stranges, S., & Tylka, T. L. (2016b). A weight-neutral versus
- 602 weight-loss approach for health promotion in women with high BMI: A randomized-
- 603 controlled trial. *Appetite*, 105, 364–374. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.06.006
- Molix, L., & Bettencourt, B. (2010). Predicting well-being among ethnic minorities:
- 605 Psychological empowerment and group identity. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 40,

606 513-533. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2010.00585.x

- 607 Montoya, A. K., & Hayes, A. F. (2016). Two-condition within-participant statistical mediation
- analysis: A path-analytic framework. *Psychological Methods*. Advance online publication.
- 609 doi:10.1037/met0000086
- 610 Omichinski, L. (2007). The HUGS program group leaders guide: Health-focused, centered on
- 611 Understanding lifestyle behaviors, Group supported, Self-esteem building. Portage la
- 612 Prairie, MB R1N 3A3 Canada: HUGS International Inc.
- 613 Packer, J. (1989). The role of stigmatization in fat people's avoidance of physical exercise.
- 614 *Women & Therapy*, 8, 49–63. doi:10.1300/J015V08N03_07
- Patte, K. A., Laxer, R., Qian, W, & Leatherdale, S. T. (2016). An analysis of weight perception
- 616 and physical activity and dietary behaviours among youth in the COMPASS study. SSM -
- 617 *Population Health*, 2, 841-849. doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.10.016
- 618 Pearl, R. L., & Puhl, R. M. (2014). Measuring internalized weight attitudes across body weight
- 619 categories: Validation of the Modified Weight Bias Internalization Scale. *Body Image*, *11*,

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- 620 89–92. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2013.09.005
- 621 Pearl, R. L., & Puhl, R. M. (2016). The distinct effects of internalizing weight bias: An
- 622 experimental study. *Body Image*, *17*, 38–42. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.002
- 623 Pearl, R. L., Puhl, R. M., & Dovidio, J. F. (2015). Differential effects of weight bias experiences
- and internalization on exercise among women with overweight and obesity. *Journal of*
- 625 *Health Psychology*, 20, 1626–1632. doi:10.1177/1359105313520338
- 626 Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative
- 627 strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological Methods*, *16*, 93-115.
- 628 doi:10.1037/a0022658.supp
- 629 Prichard, I., & Tiggemann, M. (2008). Relations among exercise type, self-objectification, and
- body image in the fitness centre environment: The role of reasons for exercise. *Psychology*

631 *of Sport and Exercise*, 9, 855–866. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.10.005

- 632 Puhl, R. M., Andreyeva, T., & Brownell, K. D. (2008). Perceptions of weight discrimination:
- 633 Prevalence and comparison to race and gender discrimination in America. *International*
- 634 *Journal of Obesity*, *32*, 992–1000. doi:10.1038/ijo.2008.22
- 635 Puhl, R. M., & Brownell, K. D. (2006). Confronting and coping with weight stigma: An
- 636 investigation of overweight and obese adults. *Obesity*, *14*, 1802–1815.
- 637 doi:10.1038/oby.2006.208
- 638 Puhl, R. M., & King, K. M. (2013). Weight discrimination and bullying. *Best Practice* &
- 639 *Research. Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 27, 117–127.
- 640 doi:10.1016/j.beem.2012.12.002
- 641 Rhodes, R. E., Fiala, B., & Conner, M. (2009). Affective judgments and physical activity: A
- review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *38*, 180-204.
- 643 doi:10.1007/s12160-009-9147-y

- 644 Rhodes, R. E., & Pfaeffli, L. A. (2010). Mediators of physical activity behaviour change among
- adult non-clinical populations: A review update. *International Journal of Behavioral*
- 646 *Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7, 37. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-7-37
- 647 Robertson, N., & Vohora, R. (2008). Fitness vs. fatness: Implicit bias towards obesity among
- 648 fitness professionals and regular exercisers. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 9, 547–557.
- 649 doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.06.002
- 650 Schvey, N. A., Sbrocco, T., Bakalar, J. L., Ress, R., Barmine, M., Gorlick, J., . . . Tanofsky-
- 651 Kraff, M. (2016). The experience of weight stigma among gym members with overweight
- and obesity. *Stigma and Health*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1037/sah0000062
- 653 Seacat, J. D., & Mickelson, K. D. (2009). Stereotype threat and the exercise/dietary health
- 654 intentions of overweight women. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *14*, 556–567.
- 655 doi:10.1177/1359105309103575
- 656 Spahlholz, J., Baer, N., König, H. H., Riedel-Heller, S. G., & Luck-Sikorski, C. (2015). Obesity
- and discrimination: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Obesity Reviews*, 17, 43–55. doi:10.1111/obr.12343
- Spees, C. K., Scott, J. M., & Taylor, C. A. (2012). Differences in amounts and types of physical
 activity by obesity status in US adults. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, *36*, 56-65.
 doi:10.5993/AJHB.36.1.6
- 662 Taylor-Piliae, R. E., Norton, L. C., Haskell, W. L., Mahbouda, M. H., Fair, J. M., Iribarren, C.,
- 663 ... Fortmann, S. P. (2006). Validation of a new brief physical activity survey among men
- and women aged 60–69 years. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *164*, 598–606. doi:
- 665 10.1093/aje/kwj248
- 666 Tylka, T. L., Annunziato, R. A., Burgad, D., Danielsdottir, S., Shuman, E., Davis, C., &
- 667 Calogero, R. M. (2014). The weight-inclusive versus weight-normative approach to health:

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- 668 Evaluating the evidence for prioritizing well-being over weight loss. *Journal of Obesity*,
- 669 983495. doi:10.1155/2014/983495
- Twenge, J. M., & Crocker, J. (2002). Race and self-esteem: Meta-analyses comparing whites,
- blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and American Indians and comment on Gray-Little and Hafdahl
- 672 (2000). *Psychological Bulletin*, *128*, 371-408. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.128.3.371
- 673 Vartanian, L. R., & Novak, S. A. (2011). Internalized societal attitudes moderate the impact of
- weight stigma on avoidance of exercise. *Obesity*, *19*, 757–762. doi:10.1038/oby.2010.234
- 675 Vartanian, L. R., & Shaprow, J. G. (2008). Effects of weight stigma on exercise motivation and
- behavior: A preliminary investigation among college-aged females. *Journal of Health*
- 677 *Psychology*, *13*, 131–138. doi:10.1177/1359105307084318
- Vauth, R., Kleim, B., Wirtz, M., & Corrigan, P. (2007). Self-efficacy and empowerment as
- outcomes of self-stigmatizing and coping schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*, 150, 71-80.

680 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2006.07.005

- Watson, A. C., Corrigan, P., Larson, J. E., & Sells, M. (2007). Self-Stigma in people with mental
 illness. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *33*, 1312-1318. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbl076
- 683 Williams, D. M., Dunsiger, S., Ciccolo, J. T., Lewis, B. A., Albrecht, A. E., & Marcus, B. H.
- 684 (2008). Acute affective response to a moderate-intensity exercise stimulus predicts physical
- 685 activity participation 6 and 12 months later. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 9, 231-245.
- 686 doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.04.002
- Wott, C. B., & Carels, R. A. (2010). Overt weight stigma, psychological distress and weight loss
 treatment outcomes. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *15*, 608–614.
- 689 doi:10.1177/1359105309355339
- 690
- 691

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

692	Footnotes
693	¹ Given that it is not possible to calculate a standard deviation when the predictor variable
694	is an intervention, fully standardized indirect effects cannot be derived for the multiple
695	components of the serial mediation model presented here. However, a partially standardized
696	indirect effect is calculated by dividing the coefficient for the indirect effect by the standard
697	deviation of the change scores on the outcome (personal communication with A. Montoya, July
698	21, 2016).
699	² Each indirect effect has an associated percentile-derived bootstrapped standard error plus
700	a 95% confidence interval; however, the MEMORE macro calculates <i>t</i> -statistics and <i>p</i> -values for
701	total and direct effects only.
702	³ To show the presence of a potential bi-directional effect between moderate-intensity PA
703	engagement and PA enjoyment, we tested a model with enjoyment as the dependent variable and
704	engagement as the second mediator in the serial pathway. The total effect of the program on PA
705	enjoyment was, $c = 0.44$, SE = .11, 95% CI [0.21, 0.67], $t(62) = 3.87$, $p < .001$. The indirect
706	effect of the program on PA enjoyment through decreasing internalized weight stigma was not
707	statistically significant $a_1b_1 = 0.17$, SE = 0.10, 95% CI [-0.3, 0.38]. The indirect effect of the
708	program on PA enjoyment through PA engagement was marginally significant $a_2b_2 = 0.12$, SE =
709	0.08, 95% CI [0.00, 0.32]. The serial indirect effect of the program on PA enjoyment through
710	decreases in internalized weight stigma and increases in PA engagement was statistically
711	significant, $a_1a_3b_2 = 0.15$, SE = 0.05, 95% CI [0.05, 0.26]. The direct effect of the program on PA
712	enjoyment was non-significant, $c' = 0.01$, SE = .15, 95% CI [-0.30, 0.31].

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Table 1.

Descriptive statistics of primary variables

<u>Variable</u>	<u>N</u>	Mean (SD)		
Internalized Weight Stigma (WBIS mean)				
		4.28 (1.04)		
6-months	72	3.41 (1.11) ^b		
Enjoyment of moderate-intensity PA				
Baseline	80	3.5 (0.75) 3.94 (0.73) ^b		
6-months	72	3.94 (0.73) ^b		
Engagement in moderate-intensity PA				
Baseline	80	2.25 (0.99) 3.15 (0.94) ^b		
6-months	72	3.15 (0.94) ^b		

Note. Total listwise N = 63 (number of participants with data on all variables used for serial mediation model); PA - physical activity

^{*a*} The WBIS was missing from several baseline survey packets resulting in a reduced sample size for this variable. ^{*b*} Significant pre-post changes (p < .001) per intention-to-treat linear mixed model analysis

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY




Figure 1. Internalized weight stigma (IWS) as a moderator of the healthy living program's effect on moderate-intensity physical activity (MI-PA) engagement among higher-weight women.

Note. High IWS = 1 SD above the mean. Low IWS = 1 SD below the mean.

INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY




Figure 2. Serial mediation model for the effect of a healthy living program (HLP) on change in moderate-intensity physical activity (MI-PA) engagement among higher-weight women through sequential changes in internalized weight stigma (IWS) and MI-PA enjoyment.

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001

Note – Variables represent simple deviations between baseline and 6-month assessments.

c = Total effect of HLP on MI-PA engagement, the total effect is the sum of all the direct and indirect effects, $c = c' + (a_1b_1) + (a_2b_2) = (a_1a_3b_2)$

c'= Direct effect HLP on MI-PA engagement

 a_1b_1 = Indirect effect of HLP on MI-PA engagement through IWS

 a_2b_2 = Indirect effect of HLP on MI-PA engagement through MI-PA enjoyment

 $a_1a_3b_2$ = Serial indirect effect of HLP on MI-PA engagement through IWS and MI-PA enjoyment

Highlights: INTERNALIZED WEIGHT STIGMA AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- Women with high BMI participated in a 6-month health living program.
- We explored the effect of internalized weight stigma (IWS) on physical activity (PA).
- IWS moderated the effect of the program on change in PA behavior.
- IWS and PA enjoyment serially mediated the effect of the program on PA behavior.

A ALANCE