UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Comprehensive mapping of O-glycosylation in flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168

Ulasi, Gloria; Creese, Andrew; Hui, Sam Xin; Penn, Charles; Cooper, Helen

DOI: 10.1002/pmic.201400533

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ulasi, GN, Creese, AJ, Hui, SX, Penn, CW & Cooper, HJ 2015, 'Comprehensive mapping of O-glycosylation in flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168: A multienzyme differential ion mobility mass spectrometry approach', Proteomics, vol. 15, no. 16, pp. 2733-2745. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201400533

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Eligibility for repository : checked 15/01/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

• User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) • Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Comprehensive mapping of O-glycosylation in flagellin from *Campylobacter jejuni* 11168: A multienzyme differential ion mobility mass spectrometry approach

Gloria N. Ulasi, Andrew J. Creese, Sam Xin Hui, Charles W. Penn and Helen J. Cooper

School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK

Glycosylation of flagellin is essential for the virulence of Campylobacter jejuni, a leading cause of bacterial gastroenteritis. Here, we demonstrate comprehensive mapping of the O-glycosylation of flagellin from *Campylobacter jejuni* 11168 by use of a bottom-up proteomics approach that incorporates differential ion mobility spectrometry (also known as high field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry or FAIMS) together with proteolysis with proteinase K. Proteinase K provides complementary sequence coverage to that achieved following trypsin proteolysis. The use of FAIMS increased the number of glycopeptides identified. Novel glycans for this strain were identified (pseudaminic acid and either acetamidino pseudaminic acid or legionaminic acid), as were novel glycosylation sites: Thr208, Ser343, Ser348, Ser349, Ser395, Ser398, Ser423, Ser433, Ser436, Ser445, Ser448, Ser451, Ser452, Ser454, Ser457 and Thr465. Multiply glycosylated peptides were observed, as well as variation at individual residues in the nature of the glycan and its presence or absence. Such extreme heterogeneity in the pattern of glycosylation has not been reported previously, and suggests a novel dimension in molecular variation within a bacterial population that may be significant in persistence of the organism in its natural environment. These results demonstrate the usefulness of differential ion mobility in proteomics investigations of PTMs.

Keywords:

Campylobacter jejuni 11168 / Flagellin A / Glycoproteomics / Glycosylation / LC FAIMS MS/MS / Proteinase K / Trypsin

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site

1 Introduction

Campylobacter jejuni is the most prevalent foodborne bacterial agent of diarrhoeal disease in humans worldwide [1]. This zoonosis enters the human diet from poultry and farm animal sources in which the organism causes little pathology [2]. Disease in humans is usually self-limiting and relatively short-lived although symptoms can be severe, such

Abbreviations: AGC, automatic gain control; FAIMS, field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry

Received: November 14, 2014 Revised: February 9, 2015 Accepted: April 14, 2015

that healthcare and economic impact are considerable [3]. A minority of cases lead to more serious sequelae such as Guillain–Barré motor neuropathy [4], the most common cause of general paralysis in developed countries since the eradication of poliomyelitis.

Virulence factors are not fully understood but it is clear that flagellar motility is crucial in the colonisation by the organism of digestive tracts in birds [5, 6], hence is also likely to be required for infection in humans, a conclusion supported by experimental infection in human subjects [7]. The flagellum is not only responsible for motility of campylobacters, but is also responsible for secretion of important colonisation and virulence factors, reviewed in [8]. The flagellar filament protein, flagellin, is both a dominant antigen important as a target of immune reactivity [9], and a receptor for bacteriophage

Correspondence: Prof. Helen J. Cooper, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK **E-mail:** h.j.cooper@bham.ac.uk.

attack [10]. A recent report demonstrated the involvement of flagellin glycan residues in phage receptor activity [11]. Hence there are strong selective pressures that may drive molecular variation in the flagellin molecule, with important implications in the control of infection.

Flagellins FlaA (major, ca. 90% of total) and FlaB, the major structural protein monomers of the flagellar filament, are proteins each of 572 amino acid residues totalling approximately 59 kDa, although apparent Mr determined by SDS-PAGE is about 10% higher, due to the presence of numerous glycan residues: for an overview see [12]. The two proteins are 94% identical in amino acid sequence, with very few differences in the glycosylated non-terminal portion of the molecule that is known to be exposed on the surface of the assembled structure. We have therefore taken the sequence of FlaA as the basis for our observations and refer to it throughout as 'flagellin'. Although it has been shown in strains 81-176 [13, 14] and NCTC 11168 [15] that a number of specific amino acid residues of flagellin may be O-glycosylated, it is unclear whether these modifications are always present or whether there is variation within the population of molecules in the nature of the glycan or the extent of glycosylation. Flagellin is rich especially in serine (approx. 11% of residues in strain 11168 and the most commonly modified residue) and also in threonine (approx. 6%) but many of these residues have not been shown to be targets for modification. It is not known how specific residues are selected for glycosylation or whether this process is tightly controlled or stochastic in nature.

MS was first applied to the analysis of glycosylation of flagellins from Campylobacter jejuni (81-176, 11168 and OH4384) and Campylobacter coli (VC167 T2) by Thibault et al. [16]. The major glycans identified were pseudaminic acid and derivatives thereof. Further work on C. coli (VC167) revealed the presence of legionaminic acid and derivatives [17]. Previously in our laboratory [18] we applied LC electron capture dissociation MS/MS (LC ECD MS/MS) to the analysis of glycosylation in flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168, revealing that the protein was modified by dimethyl glyceric acid derivatives of pseudaminic acid and acetamidino pseudaminic acid at Ser181, Ser207 and either Thr 464 or Thr465. That work was in agreement with the work of Logan et al. who first identified these novel glycans [19]. Although the LC ECD MS/MS approach was successful in identifying the presence and site of glycosylation in flagellin from C. jejuni 11168, there was an extensive region of the protein (residues 387-463) for which no coverage was achieved. This observation is particularly salient as the homologous region in flagellin from C. jejuni 81-176 is heavily glycosylated [16]. Moreover, the region contains numerous potential sites of O-glycosylation, 21 serine residues and four threonine residues, affording the opportunity for differential glycosylation within the region. Any (non-tryptic) proteolysis within the region is therefore likely to result in a complex mixture comprising multiple glycopeptide isomers and isobars.

In order to address the limited flagellin coverage obtained with trypsin, we have applied an alternative protease for the analysis of flagellin, that is, proteinase K. Proteinase K has a broad specificity and cleaves peptide bonds that are Cterminal to aliphatic and aromatic residues [20]. It has been previously successfully applied to the analysis of glycoproteins [21, 22]. Given the broad specificity of proteinase K and the likelihood of extensive glycosylation in the region of interest, we also incorporated gas-phase fractionation in the form of high field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry (FAIMS), in the workflow. FAIMS separates ions at atmospheric pressure on the basis of differences in their differential ion mobility. We, and others, have shown that FAIMS is useful in extending proteome coverage [23-25]. We have also shown that FAIMS is capable of separating glycopeptide isomers [26]. Here, we demonstrate comprehensive mapping of O-glycosylation in flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168 by use of this multienzyme differential ion mobility approach.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Preparation of flagellin A

Campylobacter jejuni (NCTC 11168) cultures were grown on Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) and incubated in microaerophilic conditions (90% N2, 4% O2, and 6% CO₂ at 37°C) for 30 h. Cells were harvested from 13 separate agar plates and suspended in 5.7 mL of Luria-Bertani Broth. The suspension was homogenised at high speed (20 500 rpm) using an Ultra Turrax T-25 homogeniser, for 2 min, with 30 s rest, for four cycles in order to shear the flagellar filament from the bacterial wall. The homogenate was centrifuged at 7500 rpm for 20 min at 4°C to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was collected and treated with 1% Triton X (Bio-Rad), incubated at 37°C for 45 min, and subjected to ultracentrifugation (TL-100 Ultracentrifuge, TLA-100.3 fixed angle rotor, maximum RCF 541000 \times g, Beckman, USA) at 50 000 rpm for 1 h at 4°C. The supernatant was removed immediately, tubes inverted on filter paper to remove residual fluid, and the resulting pellets were re-suspended in 100 µL distilled water. A 5 µL aliquot of the sample was analysed by SDS-PAGE, and visualised using Coomassie stain R250 (Bio-Rad) to check flagellar protein purity, see Supporting Information Fig. 1. A further 5 µL was subjected to a Bradford protein assay to measure the protein concentration. The remaining sample was stored at -20°C until further analysis. Two biological replicates were considered.

2.2 Trypsin digestion

For LC MS/MS analysis, 10 μ L of sample (~7 μ g of the extracted flagellar protein) was made up to 100 μ L in 100 mM of ammonium bicarbonate (Fluka Analytical, UK)) and

denatured by incubating at 95°C for 5 min. Trypsin (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK) (1 μ g/ μ L in 50 mM acetic acid (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK)) was added to the mixture to give 1:50 (replicate#1) or 1:7 (replicate#2) ratio of enzyme to protein, and incubated overnight at 37°C with mild shaking. Proteolysis was quenched by freezing at –20°C and the sample stored until further analysis. For LC FAIMS MS/MS analysis, 50 μ g of protein were digested using the digestion procedure as above.

2.3 Proteinase K digestion

Approximately 84 μ L of the sample (70 μ g of the extracted flagellar protein) was made up to 100 μ L in 100 mM of ammonium bicarbonate (Fluka Analytical, UK) and denatured at 95°C for 5 min. Proteinase K (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK) (1 μ g/ μ L in 50 mM Tris-HCl (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK), 5 mM CaCl₂ (BHD laboratory, England)) was added to the mixture to give a 1:1 (replicate#1) or 1:100 (replicate#2) ratio of enzyme to protein, and incubated for 1 h (replicate#1) or 2 h (replicate#2) at 37°C with mild shaking. Proteolysis was quenched by freezing at –20°C and the sample stored until further analysis.

Both trypsin- and proteinase K-treated samples were desalted using C_{18} column zip tips (Merck Millipore Ltd., Germany) (according to manufacturers' instructions). Briefly, the tips were wetted using 100% acetonitrile (J.T., Baker, Holland), and then equilibrated using 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (Fisher Scientific Loughborough, UK). The samples were aspirated and dispensed for 10 cycles, and then washed three times using 0.1% TFA and eluted using 70:30 acetonitrile and 0.1% TFA. The desalted samples were dried and re-suspended in 10 μ L of 0.1% formic acid prior to MS analysis.

2.4 LC-MS/MS

Peptides were separated using online reversed phase LC (Dionex Ultimate 3000) using a binary solvent system consisting of mobile phase A (water (J.T., Baker, Holland)/0.1% formic acid (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK)) and mobile phase B (acetonitrile, (J.T., Baker, Holland)/0.1% formic acid (Fisher Scientific Loughborough, UK)). Six microliters of the desalted samples were loaded onto a 150 mm Acclaim® Pepmap 100 C₁₈ column and separated by a 30 min gradient from 3.2 to 44% of mobile phase B, followed by 10 min at 90% of mobile phase B and 16 min with 3.2% mobile phase B to re-equilibrate the system. The LC was coupled to an Advion Triversa Nanomate (Advion, Ithaca, USA) that infused the peptides at a spray voltage of 1.7 kV. Peptides were infused directly into the Thermo Orbitrap Velos ETD mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a flow rate of 0.35 µL/min.

The mass spectrometer alternated between a full FT-MS scan (m/z 380–1600) and subsequent CID and ETD of the four

most abundant precursor ions. Survey scans were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 60 000 at m/z 400. Only multiply charged precursor ions were selected for MS/MS. The dynamic exclusion was used with a repeat count of 1 for 30 s. Automatic gain control (AGC) was used to accumulate sufficient precursor ions. AGC target value for FT-MS was 1×10^6 charges. CID was performed in the linear ion trap using helium at normalised collision energy of 35%. Width of the precursor isolation window was 2 Th. AGC target was 5×10^4 charges with maximum injection time of 100 ms. Charge state-dependant ETD was performed in the linear ion trap with activation time 100 ms. Isolation window was 3 Th. AGC target of ETD was performed with fluoranthene ions. AGC target was 5×10^4 charges with maximum injection time of 100 ms. Supplemental activation was enabled with activation energy of 25%. Data acquisition was controlled by Xcalibur 2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.5 FAIMS

The LC was coupled to the FAIMS device (Thermo Fisher Scientific), though a modified nanospray HESI II heated electrospray source, similar to that used by Swearingen et al. [25], incorporating a Picotip emitter needle (New Objective, Woburn, USA). The spray voltage was 3 kV. For FAIMS the dispersion voltage was -5000 V, inner and outer electrode temperatures were 70°C and 90°C, respectively. The gas flow was 2.9 L/min, consisting of 50:50 helium and nitrogen. Separate LC-FAIMS-MS/MS analyses were performed at the following compensation voltages: -20 V, -25 V, -30 V, -35 V, -40 V, -45 V, -50 V and -55 V (trypsin replicate #2; proteinase K replicate #2); -20 V, -25 V, -30 V, -25 V, -30 V, -35 V, -30 V, -35 V, -40 V and -45 V (proteinase K replicate #1). LC and MS/MS method was the same as described above.

2.6 Data analysis

Raw data were loaded into Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4). Precursors with a mass of less than 350 Da and greater than 5000 Da were excluded and MS/MS spectra were required to have at least 1 peak. The CID and ETD spectra were separated and searched with the same parameters except for the fragment ions (b and y ions for CID and c, y and z ions for ETD). Data were searched against a manually created Campylobacter flagellin database (886 sequences) or a Campylobacter flagellin 11168 database (59 sequences) with sequences from the NCBI nr database. The data were searched using both the SEQUEST and Mascot algorithms (controlled through Proteome Discover version 1.4, Mascot version 2.4). In both SEQUEST and Mascot searches, the following parameters were used: precursor ion m/z tolerance 10 ppm; fragment ion tolerance 0.5 Da; enzyme-either trypsin or nonspecific enzyme (proteinase K); maximum



Scheme 1. Structures of glycans identified in the protein database searches (based on findings in [19], [16], and [17]).

number of missed cleavages = 2; no fixed modifications; dynamic modifications as described below. Multiple searches of the data were performed with different combinations of dynamic modifications (maximum number of dynamic modifications per search = 6). The glycans considered were as described in [18]. The following combinations of dynamic modifications were considered: (A) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), $C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_7$, + 316.127 Da, (S/T), $C_{16}H_{27}N_3O_8$ + 389.180 Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6 + 315.143$ Da (S/T) and $C_{16}H_{26}N_2O_9 + 315.143$ Da (S/T)390.164 (S/T); (B) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), C₁₆H₂₇N₃O₈ + 389.180 Da (S/T), $C_{16}H_{26}N_2O_9 + 390.164 (S/T)$, $C_{15}H_{24}N_2O_{11}$ + 408.138 Da (S/T), $C_{20}H_{31}N_5O_{9}$ +485.212 Da (S/T), and C15H22N2O8, + 358.137 Da (S/T); (C) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), $C_{16}H_{27}N_3O_8$ + 389.180 Da (S/T), $C_{16}H_{26}N_2O_9$ + 390.164 (S/T), $C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_7 + 316.127 \text{ Da}$ (S/T), $C_{20}H_{30}N_4O_{10}$ + 486.196 Da (S/T) and $C_{14}H_{23}N_3O_6$ + 329.1587 (S/T); (D) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), C₁₃H₂₀N₂O₇ +316.127 Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6$ + 315.143 Da (S/T), $C_{15}H_{24}N_2O_{11}$ + 408.138 Da (S/T), $C_{20}H_{31}N_5O_{9}$, +485.212 Da (S/T), and $C_{15}H_{22}N_2O_{8} + 358.137 \text{ Da} (S/T);$ (E) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), $C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_7 + 316.127 \text{ Da} (S/T)$, $C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6 + 315.143$ Da (S/T), $C_{20}H_{30}N_4O_{10}$ + 486.196 Da (S/T) and $C_{14}H_{23}N_3O_6$ +329.1587 (S/T) and C₁₆H₂₆N₂O₉ + 390.164 (S/T); (F) oxidation +15.995 Da (M), C₁₆H₂₇N₃O₈ + 389.180 Da (S/T), $C_{16}H_{26}N_2O_9 + 390.164$ (S/T), $C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_7 + 316.127$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6 + 315.143$ Da (S/T), and $C_{15}H_{24}N_2O_{11} + 315.143$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{24}N_2O_{11} + 315.143$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{24}N_2O_{12} + 315.1433$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{24}N_2O_{12} + 315.1433$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{24}N_2O_{14} + 315.1433$ Da (S/T), $C_{13}H_{24}N_2O_{14} + 315.1433$ Da (S/T), $C_{14}N_2O_{14} + 315.1433$ Da (S/T), $C_{14}N_2O_$ 408.138 Da (S/T). Glycopeptide identities were confirmed by manual analysis using the MS product package in Protein Prospector (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/prospector/mshome .htm).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Trypsin proteolysis and LC MS/MS

In our previous paper [18], we used a combination of trypsin proteolysis and LC ECD MS/MS. Here, we have used a CID-ETD method where each precursor ion is sequentially fragmented with CID and ETD. For comparison purposes, we first analysed the flagellin digest by LC CID ETD MS/MS without FAIMS. The data were searched against Campylobacter protein databases, using the Mascot and SEQUEST algorithms. A range of glycans were considered in the database searches as described in the experimental section. The glycans identified were dimethylglyceric acid derivative of pseudaminic acid (C₁₆H₂₆N₂O₉, Δm 390.164); dimethylglyceric acid derivative of 7-acetamidino pseudaminic acid $(C_{16}H_{27}N_3O_8, \Delta m 389.180)$, pseudaminic acid $(C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_7, M_2O_7)$ Δm 316.127), acetamidino pseudaminic acid/legionaminic acid ($C_{13}H_{21}N_3O_6$, Δm 315.143). The structures of these glycans are shown in Scheme 1. For convenience, the glycans will hereafter be referred to by their mass shift ($\Delta m390$, $\Delta m389$, $\Delta m316$, $\Delta m315$).

The combined protein sequence coverage obtained from the two replicates with CID was 76.2%. Eighteen glycopeptides were identified in the two database searches, however manual analysis of the mass spectra revealed that in each case fragmentation was poor and the peptide sequence coverage insufficient to assign sites of glycosylation. The combined protein sequence coverage obtained for ETD was 76.2%, see Fig. 1. Nine glycopeptides were assigned in the database

Proteomics 2015, 15, 2733-2745

MGFR <mark>INTNVA</mark>	<mark>ALNAK</mark> ANADL	NSK <mark>SLDASLS</mark>	RLSSGLR <mark>INS</mark>	AADDASGMAI	ADSLRSQANT	60
M <mark>GFRINTNVA</mark>	<mark>ALNAKANADL</mark>	<mark>NSKSLDASLS</mark>	RLSSGLR <mark>INS</mark>	AADDASGMAI	ADSLRSQANT	60
MGFRINTNVA	ALNAKANADL	NSKSLDASLS	RLSSGLRINS	AADDASGMAI	ADSLRSQANT	60
MGFRINTNVA	ALNAKANADL	NSKSLDASLS	RLSSGLRINS	AADDASGMAI	ADSLRSQANT	60
<mark>LGQAISNGND</mark>	ALGILQTADK	AMDEQLKILD	TIKTK <mark>ATQAA</mark>	<mark>QDGQSLK</mark> TR <mark>T</mark>	MLQADINRLM	120
LGQAISNGND	ALGILQTADK	AMDEQLKILD	TIKTKATQAA	QDGQSLKTRT	MLQADINRLM	120
LGQAISNGND	ALGILQTADK	AMDEQLKILD	TIKTKATQAA	QDGQSLKTRT	MLQADIN <mark>RLM</mark>	120
LGQAISN <mark>GND</mark>	<mark>ALGIL</mark> QTA <mark>DK</mark>	AMDEQLKILD	TIKTKATQAA	QDGQSLKTRT	MLQADIN <mark>RLM</mark>	120
EELDNIANTT	<mark>SFNGKQLLSG</mark>	NFINQEFQIG	<mark>ASSNQTVKAT</mark>	<mark>IGATQSSK</mark> IG	LTR <mark>FETGGRI</mark>	180
EELDNIANTT	SFNGKQLLSG	NFINQEFQIG	ASSNQTVKAT	<mark>IGATQSSK</mark> IG	LTR <mark>FETGGRI</mark>	180
EELDNIANTT	SFNGKQLLSG	NFINQEFQIG	ASSNQTVKAT	IGATQSSKIG	LT <mark>RFETGGRI</mark>	180
EELDNIANTT	SFNGKQLLSG	NFINQEFQIG	ASSNQTVKAT	IGATQSSKIG	LT <mark>RFETGGRI</mark>	180
<mark>STSGEVQFTL</mark>	<mark>KNYNGIDDFQ</mark>	<mark>FQKVVISTSV</mark>	<mark>GTGLGALADE</mark>	<mark>INKNADKTGV</mark>	RATFTVETRG	240
STSGEVQFTL	KNYNGIDDFQ	FQKVVISTSV	GTGLGALADE	INKNADKTGV	RATFTVETRG	240
STSGEVQFTL	KNYNGIDDFQ	FQ <mark>KVVISTSV</mark>	GTGLGALADE	INKNADKTGV	RATFTVETRG	240
<mark>STS</mark> GEVQFT <mark>L</mark>	KNYNGIDDFQ	FQ <mark>KVVISTSV</mark>	GTGLGALADE	<mark>INKN</mark> ADKTGV	RATFTVETRG	240
IAAVR <mark>AGATS</mark>	<mark>DTFAINGVK</mark> I	GK <mark>VDYKDGDA</mark>	<mark>NGALVAAINS</mark>	VKDTTGVEAS	<mark>IDANGQLLLT</mark>	300
IAAVR <mark>AGATS</mark>	DTFAINGVKT	<mark>GKVDYKDGDA</mark>	NGALVAAINS	VKDTTGVEAS	IDANGQLLLT	300
IAAVRAGATS	DTFAINGVKI	GKVDYKDGDA	NGALVAAINS	VKDTTGVEAS	IDANGQLLLT	300
IAAVRAGATS	DTFAINGVKI	GKVDYKDGDA	NGALVAAINS	VKDTTGVEAS	IDANGQLLLT	300
<mark>SR</mark> EGR <mark>GIKID</mark>	<mark>GNIGGGAFIN</mark>	<mark>ADMKENYGR</mark> L	SLVK <mark>NDGKDI</mark>	<mark>LISGSNLSSA</mark>	GFGATQFISQ	360
SREGR <mark>GIKID</mark>	GNIGGGAFIN	ADMKENYGRL	SLVK <mark>NDGKDI</mark>	LISGSNLSSA	GFGATQFISQ	360
SREGRGI <mark>KID</mark>	GNIGGGAFIN	ADMKENYGRL	SLVKNDGKDI	LISGSNLSSA	GFGATQFISQ	360
SREGRGIKID	GNIGGGAFIN	ADMKENYGRL	<mark>SLVKNDGKDI</mark>	LISGSNLSSA	GFGATQFISQ	360
ASVSLRESKG	<mark>QIDANIADAM</mark>	<mark>GFGSANK</mark> GVV	LGGYSSVSAY	MSSAGSGFSS	GSGYSVGSGK	420
ASVSLRESKG	<mark>QIDANIADAM</mark>	<mark>GFGSANK</mark> GVV	LGGYSSVSAY	MSSAGSGFSS	GSGYSVGSGK	420
ASVSLRESKG	QIDANIADAM	GFGSANKGVV	L <mark>GGYSSVSAY</mark>	MSSAGSGFSS	GSGYSVGSGK	420
ASVSLRESKG	QIDANIADAM	GF <mark>GSANKGVV</mark>	LGGYSSVSAY	MSSA <mark>GSGFSS</mark>	<mark>GSGY</mark> SVGSGK	420
NYSTGFANAI	AISAASQLST	VYNVSAGSGF	SSGSTLSQFA	TMK <mark>TTAFGVK</mark>	<mark>DETAGVTTLK</mark>	480
NYSTGFANAI	AISAASQLST	VYNVSAGSGF	SSGSTLSQFA	TMK <mark>TTAFGVK</mark>	DETAGVTTLK	480
NYST <mark>GFANAI</mark>	<mark>AISAASQLST</mark>	<mark>VY</mark> NVSAGSGF	SSGSTLSQFA	TMKTTAFGVK	DETAGVTTLK	480
NYSTGFANAI	<mark>AISAASQLST</mark>	<mark>VYNVSAGSGF</mark>	<mark>SSGSTLSQF</mark> A	TMKTTAF <mark>GVK</mark>	<mark>DETAGVT</mark> TLK	480
GAMAVMDIAE	<mark>TAITNLDQIR</mark>	<mark>ADIGSVQNQV</mark>	TSTINNITVT	<mark>QVNVK</mark> AAESQ	IR <mark>DVDFAAES</mark>	540
GAMAVMDIAE	TAITNLDQIB	ADI <mark>GSVQNQV</mark>	TSTINNITVT	<mark>QVNVKAAESQ</mark>	IRDVDFAAES	540
GAMAVMDIAE	TA <mark>ITNLDQIR</mark>	ADIGSVQNQV	TSTINNITVT	QVNVKAAESQ	IRDVDFAAES	540
GAMAVMDIAE	TA <mark>ITNLDQIR</mark>	<mark>ADIG</mark> SVQNQV	TSTINNITVT	QVNVKAAESQ	<mark>IRDVDFAAE</mark> S	540
<mark>ANYSKANILA</mark> ANYSKANILA ANYSKANILA ANYSKANILA	QSGSYAMAQA QSGSYAMAQA QSGSYAMAQA QSGSYAMAQA	<mark>NSVQQNVLR</mark> L <mark>NSVQQNVLR</mark> L NSVQQNVLRL NSVQQNVLRL	LQ 572 LQ 572 LQ 572 LQ 572			

Figure 1. Sequence coverage obtained from ETD MS/MS for tryptic digest (no FAIMS) (green), tryptic digest (with FAIMS) (red); proteinase K digest (no FAIMS) (yellow); proteinase K (with FAIMS) (pink).

search of the ETD data, but in this case, the fragmentation spectra were of sufficient quality to assign the modification sites in all but one case. Table 1 summarises the glycopeptides identified. (Unmodified peptides are summarised in Supporting Information Table 1). The ETD MS/MS spectra for the glycopeptides are shown in Supporting Information Fig. 2. Although the ETD mass spectra are better suited for localisation of sites of glycosylation, the CID mass spectra are useful in confirming the nature of the glycan: Each CID mass spectrum of a glycopeptide contains peak(s) corresponding to glycan oxonium ions, see below. Seven of the glycopeptides identified were modified by Δ m389 or by Δ m390, five of which were at Ser181, Ser207 and Thr 464 or Thr465. These combinations of glycan and modification site were seen in our previous work. Two of the glycopeptides were glycosylated by these glycans at Ser345 and 349. These sites of glycosylation have not been observed previously. Two of the glycopeptides are modified by Δ m315, one at Ser181 and one at Ser207. Modification of flagellin A from *Campylobacter jejuni* 11168 by this glycan has not been observed previously.

As observed previously, no sequence coverage was observed between amino acid residues 387 and 463. This region

27	700	
	0.0	

Peptide sequence Glycan Site Charge state m2 ₂ mis m2 Typsin proteolysis and LC MS/MS IstSECEVOFTLK Image Ser181 3.4 566.9626 566.9667 -3.7 m3 IstSECEVOFTLK Image Ser181 3.4 567.2933 567.2933 567.2933 567.393 m3 WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4197 7.3 m3 WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4197 -3.3 ma WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4197 -3.3 ma WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4197 7.3 ma WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4197 7.3 ma WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 3.4 778.4193 7.3 ma WistSVGTGLGALADEINK Image Ser207 </th <th>lable 1. Glycopeptides identified from tryp</th> <th>otic digest of flagellir</th> <th>tollowing ETU MS/MS</th> <th>(with and without I</th> <th>-AIMS)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	lable 1. Glycopeptides identified from tryp	otic digest of flagellir	tollowing ETU MS/MS	(with and without I	-AIMS)					
Trypsin proteolysis and LC MS/MS Trypsin proteolysis and LC MS/MS BTSGEVOFTLK	Peptide sequence	Glycan	Site	Charge state	m/z _{meas}	m/z _{calc}	∆ ppm	CV	#۱	#2
ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 566.926 566.967 -3.7 n/a ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 567.2328 567.2328 0.9 n/a ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser131 3+ 567.2328 567.2328 0.9 n/a VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.5 n/a VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.5 n/a VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm380 Ser736 Ser346° 5- 778.4197 1.5 n/a VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm380 Ser736 Ser346° 5- 778.4197 1.5 n/a DILISGS	Trypsin proteolysis and LC MS/MS									
ISTSGEVOFTLK Am390 Ser181 3+ 567.2933 567.2928 0.9 n/a ISTSGEVOFTLK Am315 ⁴) Ser181 3+ 778.4171 778.4197 1.5 n/a ISTSGEVOFTLK Am315 ⁴) Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.8 1.9 1.6 INTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am380 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.8 1.9 1.6 1.7 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am380 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.8 1.9 1.6 1.8 1.8 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am380 Ser207 3+ 702.007 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.1 1.8 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	IS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm389	Ser181	3+	566.9626	566.9647	-3.7	n/a	>	\geq
ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm315 ^{al} Ser181 3+ 542.2829 542.2858 -5.3 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.33 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 7.33 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser245 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 778.4197 7.33 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser245 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 778.4197 7.33 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser345 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 778.4197 7.33 n/a DILISGSVLSSAGFGATOFISOASVLR Δm390 Ser45 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 1202.607 2.1 n/a DILISGSVLSSAGFGATOFISOASVLR Δm390 Ser45 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 710.3671 710.3667 1202.6072 2.1 n/a Typesin protopysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS Mm390 Ser181 3+ 710.3671 710.3669 0.3 n/a FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^a 3+	IS TSGEVQFTLK	Δm390	Ser181	3+	567.2933	567.2928	0.9	n/a		\geq
Vistsvordeladadelink Jm38 Ser207 3+ 778.4171 778.4197 -3.3 n/a Vistsvordeladadelink Jm315 Ser207 3+ 778.4197 1.5 n/a Vistsvordeladadelink Jm315 Ser207 3+ 778.7483 778.4197 1.5 n/a Vistsvordeladadelink Jm315 Ser207 3+ 778.7485 7.8.4197 1.5 n/a Vistsvorderadelink Jm38, Jm390 Ser207 3+ 710.5601 202.9352 6.1 n/a DillsGsNLSSAGFGATOFISOASVSLR Jm390 Ser345 ^a , Ser349 ^a 3+ 710.3671 710.3669 0.3 n/a Trypsin protovisy and LC FAIMS MS/MS Jm300 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 788.0633 26 45 1.4 40 1.1, 40 41, 41 40 41, 44 46 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 47 46 47	IS TSGEVQFTLK	Δm315 ^{a)}	Ser181	3+	542.2829	542.2858	-5.3	n/a	>	>
VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am390 Ser207 3+ 778.748 778.7197 1.5 n/a VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am390 Ser207 3+ 753.7415 750.471.42 740.411.42 740.411.42 740.411.42 740.411.42 740.411.42 740.411.42 753.7426 753.7426 753.7426 750.741 750.741 750.741 750.741 7	<u>V</u>VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm389	Ser207	3+	778.4171	778.4197	-3.3	n/a	. >	~
VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Am315 Ser207 3.4 753.7415 753.7408 0.9 n/a DILISGSNLSSAGFGATOFISOASVSLR Am389, Am390 Ser345 ^a , Ser349 ^a) 3.4 7202.6097 1202.6072 2.1 n/a DILISGSNLSSAGFGATOFISOASVSLR Am390 Ser345 ^a , Ser349 ^a) 3.4 1202.6097 1202.6072 2.1 n/a Typerotrovise and LC FAIMS Am390 Ser181 3.4 710.3661 0.3 n/a FTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am390 Ser181 3.4 710.361 710.3669 0.1 -46 FTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am380 Ser181 3.4 710.361 0.3 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am380 Ser181 3.4 783.0633 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am380 Ser181 3.4 783.0633 7.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am380 Ser181 3.4 783.0633 7.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Am380 Ser181 3.4 782.0563 788	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm390	Ser207	3+	778.7489	778.4197	1.5	n/a	•	~
DILISG <u>S</u> NL <u>S</u> SAGFGATOFISOASVSLR Δm389, Δm390 Ser345 ^{a1} , Ser349 ^{a1} 3+ 1202.6072 2.1 n/a TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm390 Ser345 ^{a1} , Ser349 ^{a1} 3+ 1202.3425 1202.9352 6.1 n/a Trypsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 782.032 2.6 -45 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 782.7322 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 782.7322 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 782.7322 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7322 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 782.66.564 0.4 -50 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm319 Ser181 3+ 782.7323 783.7407 7.1 -50 (#1, #2) FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 758.0534 0.4 -50 FFTGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4181 778.4189 -2.1 -30 VISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 4+,3+ 778.4181 778.4189 -2.1 -36 VISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 7.78.4181 778.4181 778.4189 -2.1 -30 VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 7.78.4181 778.4	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm315	Ser207	3+	753.7415	753.7408	0.9	n/a		$\langle \rangle$
DILISGENLESAGFGATQFISQASVSLR Am390 Ser345 ^{al} , Ser345 ^{al} , Ser349 ^{al} 3+ 1202.9425 1202.9352 6.1 n/a TAFGVKDETAGVTLK Am390 Thr464 or Thr465 3+ 710.3671 710.3659 0.3 n/a Typsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am390 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am389 Ser181 3+ 782.7332 711 -40 (#1), -4 FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am389 Ser181 3+ 782.0537 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am316 Ser181 3+ 758.0534 0.4 -50 (#1), #2 FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am316 Ser181 3+ 758.339 788.333 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), #2 FETGGRIETSGEVOFTLK Am316 Ser181 3+ 758.339 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), #2 ISTSGEVOFTLK Am339 Ser181 3+ 758.339 850.4356 -1.9 -20 (#1, #2) ISTSGEVOFTLK Am339 Ser181 3+	DILISGSNLSSAGFGATQFISQASVSLR	Δm389, Δm390	Ser345 ^{a)} , Ser349 ^{a)}	3+ 3+	1202.6097	1202.6072	2.1	n/a		\geq
Theorem 110.367 110.365 0.3 n/a Trypsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS 710.365 3.4 710.365 0.3 n/a FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m380$ Ser181 $3.+$ 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m380$ Ser181 $3.+$ 783.0523 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m380$ Ser181 $3.+$ 783.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 417 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m315$ Ser181 $3.+$ 782.7323 11.1 -40 417 42 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m316$ Ser181 $3.+$ 758.3839 0.4 56 66.9647 1.1 -50 $41, m2$ ISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m316$ Ser181 $3.+$ 758.3839 56.9647 1.1 -50 $41, m2$ ISTSGEVOFTLK $\Delta m330$ Ser181 $3.+$ 778.4181 778.4181 778.4181 778.4181 <	DILISGSNLSSAGFGATOFISOASVSLR	Δm390, Δm390	Ser345 ^{a)} , Ser349 ^{a)}	3+	1202.9425	1202.9352	6.1	n/a		\geq
Trypsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS Trypsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 3+ 758.3839 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 758.3839 768.3814 3.3 -40 1.1 -50 (#1, #2) ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4181 2.4 -50 41, #2) VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 4+, +3+	TTAFGVKDETAGVTTLK	Δm390	Thr464 or Thr465	3+	710.3671	710.3669	0.3	n/a		\geq
FETGGRISTSGEVGFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 783.0623 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVGFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 783.0633 783.0603 2.6 -45 FETGGRISTSGEVGFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 783.0537 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTSGEVGFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3+ 758.3339 758.3314 3.3 -40 (#1), -4 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 3+ 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 3+ 758.3339 758.3314 3.3 -40 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 758.339 758.3314 3.3 -40 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 758.339 758.3314 3.3 -40 -40 -50 (#1, #2) ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 -36 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+<	Trypsin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/M	AS No.								
FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 782.7332 782.7323 1.1 -40 (#1), -4 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 ^{al} 3+ 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3+ 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3+ 758.339 758.3814 3.3 -40 SFTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 758.339 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 758.383 758.3814 3.3 -20 (#1, #2) ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 3+ 758.3846 542.2858 -2.4 -50 ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 (#1, #2) VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -36 (#1, #2) VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm315 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -36 (#1, #2) <td>FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK</td> <td>Δm390</td> <td>Ser181</td> <td>3+ 3+</td> <td>783.0623</td> <td>783.0603</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>-45</td> <td>></td> <td></td>	FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK	Δm390	Ser181	3+ 3+	783.0623	783.0603	2.6	-45	>	
FETGGRISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 ^{al} 3.+ 758.0537 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3.+ 758.3839 758.0534 0.4 -50 FETGGRISTGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3.+ 758.3839 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3.+ 758.3839 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3.+ 758.439 850.4355 -1.9 -20 (#1, #2) ISTSGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3.+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -50 (#1, #2) VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3.+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3.+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -36 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3.+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -36 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3.+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1	FETGGRIS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm389	Ser181	3+	782.7332	782.7323	1.1	-40 (#1), -45 (#2)	~	\geq
FETGGRISTSGEVOFTLK Δm316 Ser181 ^{al} 3+ 758.3839 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 758.3839 758.3814 3.3 -40 ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 566.9653 566.9647 1.1 -50 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 566.9653 566.9647 1.1 -50 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 542.2846 542.2858 -2.4 -50 VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 710.3674 710.368 0.8 -25 <t< td=""><td>FETGGRIS TSGEVOFTLK</td><td>Δm315</td><td>Ser181^{a)}</td><td>3+</td><td>758.0537</td><td>758.0534</td><td>0.4</td><td>-50</td><td>></td><td></td></t<>	FETGGRIS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm315	Ser181 ^{a)}	3+	758.0537	758.0534	0.4	-50	>	
ISTGEVOFTLK Δm389 Ser181 3+ 566.9653 566.9647 1.1 -50 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 2+ 850.4339 850.4355 -1.9 -20 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 2+ 850.4339 850.4355 -1.9 -20 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 710.3674 70.1 -35 -26 V	FETGGRIS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm316	Ser181 ^{a)}	3+	758.3839	758.3814	3.3	-40	>	
ISTGEVOFTLK Δm390 Ser181 2+ 850.4335 -1.9 -20 (#1, #2) ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 542.2846 542.2858 -2.4 -50 ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 710.3674 710.368 0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3604 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 TAFGVKDETAGVTT	ISTSGEVOFTLK	Δm389	Ser181	3+	566.9653	566.9647	1.1	-50 (#1, #2)	>	\geq
ISTGEVOFTLK Δm315 Ser181 3+ 542.2846 542.2858 -2.4 -50 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 -40 (#1), -4	IS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm390	Ser181	$^{2+}$	850.4339	850.4355	-1.9	-20 (#1, #2)	>	\geq
VUSTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m389$ Ser207 3+ 778.4181 778.4198 -2.1 -35 VUSTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 4+,3+ 584.3129 584.3126 0.5 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 4+,3+ 584.3129 584.3126 0.5 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 3+ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK $\Delta m389$ Ser207 3+ 921.1532 921.1537 -0.5 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK $\Delta m390$ Thr 464 or Thr465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK $\Delta m389$ Thr464 or Thr465 ^{al} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 -40 (#1), -4	IS TSGEVOFTLK	Δm315	Ser181	3+	542.2846	542.2858	-2.4	-50		\geq
VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 $4+,3+$ 584.3129 584.3126 0.5 -35 (#1, #2) VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m315$ Ser207 ^{al} $3+$ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK $\Delta m390$ Ser207 ^{al} $3+$ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK $\Delta m389$ Ser207 $3+$ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK $\Delta m389$ Ser207 $3+$ 921.1532 921.1537 -0.5 -25 VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK $\Delta m390$ Thr 464 or Thr 465 $3+$ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK $\Delta m389$ Thr 464 or Thr 465^{al} $3+$ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 -40 (#1), -4	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm389	Ser207	3+	778.4181	778.4198	-2.1	-35		\geq
VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINK Δm315 Ser207 ^{al} 3+ 753.7407 753.7408 -0.1 -30 VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 921.1532 921.1537 -0.5 -25 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm389 Thr 464 or Thr 465 ^{al} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 -40 (#1), -4	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm390	Ser207	4+,3+	584.3129	584.3126	0.5	-35 (#1, #2)	>	\geq
VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm390 Ser207 3+ 921.4810 921.4817 -0.8 -25 VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 921.1532 921.1537 -0.5 -25 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 -45 (#1), -4 TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm389 Thr464 or Thr465 ^{a1} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 -40 (#1), -4	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINK	Δm315	Ser207 ^{a)}	3+	753.7407	753.7408	-0.1	-30	>	
VVI S TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK Δm389 Ser207 3+ 921.1532 921.1537 –0.5 –25 <u>TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm390 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 –45 (#1), –4</u> <u>TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm389 Thr 464 or Thr 465^{a)} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 –40 (#1), –4</u>	VVI <u>S</u> TSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK	Δm390	Ser207	3+	921.4810	921.4817	-0.8	-25	>	
TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm390 Thr 464 or Thr 465 3+ 710.3674 710.3668 0.8 –45 (#1), –4 TAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm389 Thr464 or Thr465 ^{a)} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 –40 (#1), –4	VVISTSVGTGLGALADEINKNADK	Δm389	Ser207	3+	921.1532	921.1537	-0.5	-25	>	
TTAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm389 Thr466 or Thr465 ^{a)} 3+ 710.0390 710.0388 0.3 –40 (#1), –4	TT AFGVKDETAGVTTLK	Δm390	Thr 464 or Thr465	3+	710.3674	710.3668	0.8	-45 (#1), -40 (#2)	>	>
	TT AFGVKDETAGVTTLK	Δm389	Thr464 or Thr465 ^{a)}	3+	710.0390	710.0388	0.3	-40 (#1), -45 (#2)	>	\geq
TTAFGVKDETAGVTTLK Δm315 Thr464 or Thr465 ^{a)} 3+ 685.3596 685.3599 –0.4 –40 (#1), –5	TT AFGVKDETAGVTTLK	Δm315	Thr464 or Thr465 ^{a)}	3+	685.3596	685.3599	-0.4	-40 (#1), -50 (#2)	>	\geq

Proteomics 2015, 15, 2733-2745

Table 2.	Glycopeptides identified fro	m proteinase	K digest o	f flagellin fo	ollowing ETD	MS/MS (without FAIMS)
----------	------------------------------	--------------	------------	----------------	--------------	-----------------------

Peptide sequence	Glycans	Site	Charge state	m/z _{meas}	m/z _{calc}	Δ ppm	CV	#1	#2
Proteinase K proteolys	sis and LC MS/MS								
RFETGGRISTS	Δm390	Ser181	3+	534.2614	534.2653	-7.3	n/a		\checkmark
KVVI S TSVGTGL	Δm389	Ser207	3+	517.2893	517.2943	-9.7	n/a		
KVVI S TSVGT	Δm390	Ser207	3+	460.9164	460.9205	-8.9	n/a		
KVVI S TSVGT	Δm389	Ser207	3+	460.5884	460.5925	-8.9	n/a		\checkmark
SLVKNDGKDILI S GS	Δm389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	645.6748	645.6809	-9.4	n/a		\checkmark
SLVKNDGKDILI S GS	Δm390	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	646.0026	646.0089	-9.6	n/a		\checkmark
DGKDILI S GS	Δm389	Ser343 ^{a)}	2+	697.3502	697.3565	-9.0	n/a	\checkmark	
LVKNDGKDILI S GS	Δm389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	616.6645	616.6702	-9.2	n/a		
DGKDILI S GS	Δm390	Ser343 ^{a)}	2+	697.8419	697.8485	-9.4	n/a	\checkmark	\checkmark
DGKDILI S GS	Δm315	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	440.5617	440.5612	1.2	n/a		
NDGKDILI S GS	Δm389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	503.2496	503.2544	-9.5	n/a		\checkmark
NDGKDILI S GS	Δm315	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	478.5761	478.5755	1.3	n/a		
GGY S SV S AY	Δ m315, Δ m390	Ser395 Ser398 ^{a)}	3+	532.5648	532.5702	-9.8	n/a		\checkmark
GGYSSVSAY	Δ m315, Δ m389	Ser395 Ser398 ^{a)}	3+	532.2426	532.2422	0.8	n/a	Ň	•
GSGF SS G S GY <u>S</u> VG	Δm316, Δm315, Δm389	Ser409, Ser410, Ser412 Ser415	3+	853.3694	853.3766	-8.4	n/a	·	\checkmark
NY S TGFAN	Δm389	Ser423 ^{a)}	2+	631.7742	631.7804	-9.7	n/a		\checkmark
KT <u>T</u> AFGVKDETAGVT	Δm390	Thr465 ^{a)}	3+	638.9835	638.9896	-9.5	n/a		

a) Indicates novel glycan and/or glycosylation site. Note that where peptides were identified from both replicates, *m*/z_{meas} values are given for replicate#2. Glycosylated residues are underlined and in bold.

of the protein has a high concentration of serine and threonine residues and may be heavily glycosylated, as observed in *C. jejuni* 81–168 [16]. The region is also characterised by a scarcity of lysine and arginine residues. Only two large peptides are predicted to result from trypsin proteolysis, one containing 11 and one containing 14 potential O-glycosylation sites.

3.2 Tryspin proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS

Trypsin digests of flagellin were analysed by use of LC FAIMS MS/MS with the top-4 MS method. An 'external CV stepping' FAIMS method [23] was applied in which multiple LC MS/MS analyses are performed at different compensation voltages. The total combined protein sequence coverage obtained for ETD was 81.6%, see Fig. 1. Nineteen glycopeptides were assigned in the protein database search of the ETD data. Manual analysis of the data revealed the presence of 15 glycopeptides, summarised in Table 1. MS/MS spectra are shown in Supporting Information Fig. 3. (Unmodified peptides are summarised in Supporting Information Table 1).

Seven glycopeptides containing Ser181 were identified, four of which were modified by Δ m389 or Δ m390, as observed previously [18]. The missed-cleavage peptide FETGGRISTS-GEVQFTLK modified with Δ m315 was identified in the analysis conducted at CV = -50 V. Glycosylation by Δ m315 of the same site in the fully tryptic peptide was also observed in this analysis (CV = -50 V) and in the analysis without FAIMS described above. The missed-cleavage peptide FETGGRISTS-

GEVQFTLK was also identified as modified by Δ m316 in the analysis at CV = -40 V. This modification of flagellin has not been observed previously in *C. jejuni* 11186.

Five glycopeptides containing Ser207 were identified, four of which were modified by Δ 389 or Δ m390. The remaining peptide was modified by Δ m315 at Ser 207. This combination of glycan/glycosylation site has not been observed previously. Finally, three peptides were identified which contained Thr464 and Thr465, modified by Δ m389, Δ m390 and Δ m315. In all cases, although the ETD fragmentation was extensive, no cleavage between the two threonine residues was observed precluding unambiguous site localisation. As seen above, glycosylation of these site(s) by Δ m389 and Δ m315 are novel observations.

The introduction of FAIMS into the workflow resulted in observation of novel glycans and combinations of glycan/glycosylation sites. Nevertheless, protein coverage between amino acid residues 388 and 463 was not achieved, presumably as a result of the use of trypsin as the protease.

3.3 Proteinase K proteolysis and LC MS/MS

To address the problem of the 'missing region', i.e. amino acid residues 388–463, an alternative protease—proteinase K —was considered. Two biological replicates were investigated. For the first, proteolysis conditions were enzyme/protein ratio 1:1, 1 h incubation (replicate #1). Subsequent experiments to determine the optimum conditions (to achieve maximum coverage) for proteolysis by proteinase

G. N. Ulasi et al.

Table 3.	Glycopeptides	identified from	proteinase K	digest of	flagellin f	ollowing	ETD	MS/MS (with FA	IMS)
----------	---------------	-----------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	----------	-----	---------	---------	------

Peptide sequence	Glycans	Site	Charge state	<i>m/z</i> _{meas}	m/z _{calc}	Δ ppm	CV	#1	#2
K proteolysis and LC FAIMS	MS/MS								
FETGGRIS	∆m390	Ser181	3+	482.2289	482.2316	-5.6	-55		./
BFETGGBI S TS	Δm390	Ser181	2+	800 8937	800 8943	-0.7	-30		./
REETGGRISTS	Am390	Ser181	21	53/ 2627	534 2653	_1 9	_40 _50		~
	Am550	001101	5 +	554.2027	334.2000	4.5	-+0, -50, EE		\mathbf{v}
KMARETENCE	A ma 200	Car207	2	600 0720	600 0770	6.1	-00		,
	Δm390	Ser207	2+ 2 :	690.8729	690.8770	-0.1	-30		√,
KVVI <u>S</u> ISVGI	Δm390	Ser207	3+	460.9183	460.9205	-4.8	-45		
KVVI <u>S</u> TSVGT	Δm389	Ser207	3+	460.5901	460.5925	-5.2	-40		\checkmark
KVVI <u>S</u> TSVGT	∆m315	Ser207 ^{a)}	2+	653.8559	653.8587	-4.3	-25		\checkmark
KVVIS <u>T</u> SVGT	Δ m390	Thr208 ^{a)}	3+	460.9181	460.9205	-5.2	-40		\checkmark
KVVI S TSVGTGL	∆m390	Ser207	2+	775.9260	775.9298	-4.9	-20, -25		
KVVI <mark>S</mark> TSVGTGL	∆m390	Ser207	3+	517.6198	517.6223	-4.8	-40		Ň
KVVI S TSVGTGL	∆m389	Ser207	3+	517.2915	517.2943	-5.4	-40		./
GKDILI S GS	∆m389	Ser343a)	2+	639 8397	639 8430	-5.2	-30(#1 #2	./	./
			- 1	000.0007		0.2	35(#1), 40(#1)	v	v
GKDILI S GS	∆m389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	426.898	426.898	0.5	-35	\checkmark	
GKDILI <mark>S</mark> GS	∆m315	Ser343 ^{a)}	2+	602.8246	602.8246	-0.1	-30	1	
DGKDILISGS	Am315	Ser343a)	3⊥	440 5618	440 5612	14	-40	•/	
DGKDILISGS	Am390	Sor3/3a)	2	697 8/65	697 8/85	_1.7	-25(#1)	~	/
DCKDILI <u>S</u> CS	Am200	Ser2428)	2⊤	465 5656	465 5691	-4.5	-30(#2)	~	v /
DOKDILI <u>3</u> 03	Am390	Sel 343	3+	405.5050	405.5061	-5.5	-40	~	 √
DGKDILI <u>S</u> GS	Δm389	Ser343°	2+	697.3527	697.3565	-5.4	-30	\checkmark	\checkmark
DGKDILI <u>S</u> GS	Δ m389	Ser343a	3+	465.2376	465.2401	-5.4	-40		\checkmark
NDGKDILI <u>S</u> GS	∆m390	Ser343 ^{a)}	2+	754.8671	754.8700	-3.8	-25		\checkmark
NDGKDILI S GS	∆m389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	503.2518	503.2544	-5.0	-40		
VKNDGKDILI S GS	Δm390	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	579.3001	579.3035	-5.9	-40, -45		Ň
	∆m390	Ser343 ^{a)}	2+	924 9881	924 9937	-6.1	-20 -25		./
	Am390	Sor3/3 ^{a)}	21	616 99/6	616 0082	-5.8	_35 _40		~,
	Am550	561545	3-	010.3340	010.3302	-5.0	-33, -40, -45		\checkmark
LVKNDGKDILI S GS	∆m389	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	616.6667	616.6702	-5.7	-40		~
SI VKNDGKDILI S GS	∆m390	Ser343 ^{a)}	3+	646.0053	646.0089	-5.6	-40, -45		./
SIVKNDGKDILISGS	Am389	Ser3/13a)	31	6/5 6771	6/15 6809	_5.9	_10 _15		~/
DGKDILISGSNILSSA	Am290	Sor242	2	752 7002	752 7041	5.0	40, 40		~,
DURDIELOUSINE	∆m300,	Ser340a)	37	/32./002	752.7041	-5.2	-40		\checkmark
DOKOU IOCONU OCA	Δm390	Ser348"	0	750 0740	750 0704		05		,
DGKDILI <u>S</u> GSNL <u>S</u> SA	Δ m 389	Ser343, Ser348/ 349 ^{a)}	3+	/52.3/16	/52.3/61	6.0	-35		\checkmark
DGKDILI <u>S</u> GSNL <u>S</u> SA	∆m390	Ser343, S348 ^{a)}	3+	753.0285	753.0321	-4.7	-40		\checkmark
NDGKDILI <u>S</u> GSNL <u>S</u> SA	∆m390	Ser343, Ser348 ^{a)}	3+	791.0425	791.0464	-4.9	-35		\checkmark
NDGKDILI <u>S</u> GSNL <u>S</u> SA	∆m390, ∆m389	Ser343, Ser348 ^{a)}	3+	790.7147	790.7184	-4.6	-30, -35		\checkmark
LVKNDGKDILI <u>S</u> GSNLS <u>S</u> A	∆m389	Ser343, Ser349 ^{a)}	3+	903.8013	903.8062	-5.4	-30		\checkmark
NYSTGFAN	∆m389	Ser423 ^{a)}	2+	631 7775	631 7804	-4.6	-25		./
NVSTGEAN	Am390	Sor/22 ^{a)}	2	632 2601	632 2724	-5.2	_25		~,
	Am200	Sor422	2+	550,6170	EE0 6209	5.2	40		~,
AIAI <u>3</u> AA <u>3</u> OL	Δm315	Ser435,	3+	550.0175	550.0208	-5.5	-40		\checkmark
COVEOVEAN	ΔΠ315	Ser430"	2	500 0000	500 0404	F 4	45(#1.0)	/	,
GGY <u>S</u> SV <u>S</u> AY	Δm315,	Ser395	3+	532.2392	532.2421	-5.4	-45(#1,2),	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Δm389	Ser398ª/					-40(#2),		
							-50(#2)		
GGY <u>S</u> SV <u>S</u> AY	Δm315,	Ser395,	2+	798.3481	798.3516	-4.4	-25		\checkmark
	Δ m390	Ser398 ^{a)}							
GGY <u>S</u> SV <u>S</u> AY	∆m315, ∆m390	Ser395, Ser398 ^{a)}	3+	532.5664	532.5702	-7.1	-45		\checkmark

Proteomics 2015, 15, 2733-2745

Table 3. Continued

Peptide sequence	Glycans	Site	Charge state	<i>m/z</i> _{meas}	m/z _{calc}	Δ ppm	CV	#1	#2
<u>s</u> agsgf <u>ss</u> gstl <u>s</u> Ωf	Δm390, Δm315, Δm316, Δm390	Ser445, Ser451, Ser452, Ser457 ^{a)}	3+	944.4135	944.4170	-3.7	-30		\checkmark
YNV <u>S</u> AG <u>S</u> GFSSG <u>S</u> TL <u>S</u> QF	Δm390, (Δm316 or Δm315), (Δm315 or Δm316), Δm390	Ser445, Ser448, Ser454 Ser457 ^{a)}	4+	802.6043	802.6082	-4.9	-40		\checkmark
GFS <u>S</u> G <u>S</u> TL <u>S</u> QF	Δm315, Δm316, Δm 390	Ser452, Ser454, Ser457 ^{a)}	3+	713.6513	713.6548	-4.9	-40		\checkmark
GSGF <u>SS</u> GSGY	∆m316, ∆m315 site undeter- mined	Ser409, Ser410	2+	768.8207	768.8205	0.3	-35	\checkmark	
SGF <u>SS</u> GSTL <u>S</u> QF	∆m389, ∆m316, ∆m390	Ser451, Ser452 Ser457 ^{a)}	3+	767.3407	767.3444	-4.8	-40		\checkmark
T <u>T</u> AFGVKDETAGVT	Δm390	Thr465	3+	596.2880	596.2913	-5.5	-40		\checkmark

a) Indicates novel glycan and/or glycosylation site. Note that where peptides were identified from both replicates, *m*/z_{meas} values are given for replicate#2. Glycosylated residues are underlined and in bold.

K were performed (data not shown), and conditions found to be enzyme/protein ratio 1:100, 2 h incubation (replicate #2). The proteinase K digest of flagellin was first analysed by the 'top-4' LC MS/MS method without FAIMS. The combined protein sequence coverage obtained for ETD was 18.4%, see Fig. 1. Note that proteinase K has provided access to the 'missing region': the sequence coverage in the region [388–463] is 36.6%.

Twenty glycopeptides were assigned in the database search of the ETD data, however manual analysis of the ETD spectra, with cross-validation against the corresponding CID spectra, revealed 17 true assignments, see Table 2. (Unmodified peptides are summarised in Supporting Information Table 2. Note that no unmodified peptides were identified in replicate #1). ETD MS/MS spectra are shown in Supporting Information Fig. 4. One of the glycopeptides contained Ser181 modified by $\Delta m390$. Three contained Ser207 glycosylated with either $\Delta m389$ or $\Delta m390$. These observations support our earlier work [18]. One of the glycopeptides contained Thr465 modified by $\Delta m390$. In our earlier work and with trypsin and FAIMS-see above, we showed that either Thr464 or Thr465 was modified, but we were unable to unambiguously assign the glycosylation site. Six of the glycopeptides identified contained the novel glycosylation site Ser343 and were glycosylated with either $\Delta m389$ or $\Delta m390$. Two of the peptides contained site Ser343 glycosylated with Δ m315. The remaining peptides derived from the region inaccessible via trypsin. Peptide [NYSTGFAN] was shown to be modified by $\Delta m389$ at Ser423. Peptide [GGYSSVSAY] was multiply modified by $\Delta m315$ and $\Delta m390$ or $\Delta m389$ at sites Ser395

and 298, respectively. Peptide [GSGFSSGSGYSVG] was multiply modified by Δ m316, Δ m315, Δ m389 and Δ m389 at sites Ser409, Ser410, Ser412 and Ser415, respectively.

3.4 Proteinase K proteolysis and LC FAIMS MS/MS

Proteinase K digests were analysed by LC FAIMS MS/MS ('external CV stepping') with the top-4 MS method. The combined protein sequence coverage obtained for ETD was 39.0%, see Fig. 1. Importantly, the sequence coverage in the 'missing region' was 81.5%. The combined coverage from the proteinase K and trypsin LC FAIMS MS/MS analyses was 94.1%. Forty-four non-redundant glycopeptides were assigned in the database search; however 37 non-redundant glycopeptides were confirmed by manual analysis and crossvalidation against corresponding CID mass spectra. See Table 3. (Unmodified peptides are summarised in Supporting Information Table 3. Note that no unmodified peptides were identified in replicate #1). Previously unobserved glycans or glycosylation sites are indicated with an asterisk. Representative ETD MS/MS spectra are shown in Fig. 2 and the remainders are shown in Supporting Information Fig. 5. Figure 2A shows the ETD mass spectrum of triply charged ions of multiply-glycosylated peptide GGYSSVSAY modified at Ser395 and Ser398 with glycans Δ m315 and Δ m389, respectively. This peptide is from the region of the protein inaccessible by trypsin digestion. The corresponding CID mass spectrum (shown inset) confirms the nature of the glycans. Oxonium ions are observed at m/z 316 and 390. This



Figure 2. (A) ETD mass spectrum of $[M+3H]^{3+}$ ions of glycopeptide GGYSSVSAY modified at Ser395 and Ser398 with glycans $\Delta m315$ and $\Delta m389$, respectively. Retention time = 12.88 min. CV = -45 V. Inset: CID mass spectrum showing the oxonium ions for glycans $\Delta m315$ and Δ m389 at *m/z* 316 and *m/z* 390. (B) ETD mass spectrum of $[M{+}4H]^{4+}$ ions of glycopeptide <code>YNVSAGSGFSSGSTLSQF</code> modified at Ser445, Ser448, Ser454, and Ser457 modified with glycans $\Delta m390$, $\Delta m316$, $\Delta m316$, and $\Delta m389$, respectively (see text for discussion). Retention time = 19.75 min. CV = -40 V. Inset: CID mass spectrum showing the oxonium ions for glycans $\Delta m315$, $\Delta m316$, $\Delta m389$, and Δm390 at *m/z* 316, *m/z* 317, *m/z* 390, and *m/z* 391. (C) ETD mass spectrum of [M+3H]³⁺ ions of glycopeptide GFSSGSTLSQF modified at Ser 452, Ser454, and Ser457 with glycans $\Delta m315$, $\Delta m316$, and $\Delta m390$, respectively. Retention time = 19.82 min. CV = -40 V. Inset: CID mass spectrum showing the oxonium ions for glycans $\Delta m315$, Δ m316, and Δ m390 at *m/z* 316, *m/z* 317, and *m/z* 391.



2743

Figure 3. (Top) The number of glycopeptides identified at each of the compensation voltages. (Bottom) Distribution of glycopeptides identified according to charge state.

peptide was observed at compensation voltages -45 V and -50 V (as 3+ precursor). Differential glycosylation of this peptide was observed, i.e. modification at Ser395 and Ser398 with glycans Δ m315 and Δ m390, respectively. That peptide was observed at CV = -45 V (3+ precursor) and -25 V (2+ precursor).

The ETD spectrum shown in Fig. 2B was obtained for quadruply charged multiply glycosylated YNVSAGSGFSS-GSTLSQF. The precursor ion mass suggests glycan combinations (i) Δ m316, Δ m316, Δ m389 and Δ m390; or (ii) Δ m315,

 Δ m316, Δ m390 and Δ m390. Given the well-established propensity for hydrogen transfer among ETD fragments (z, z+1, c, c-1), there is scope for ambiguity in the ETD mass spectrum. The corresponding CID mass spectrum reveals oxonium ions at *m*/*z* 316, 317, 390 and 391 suggesting both species are present; however, the relative abundances of the oxonium ions suggests that (ii) is the predominant species. It is not possible to confirm unambiguously the sites of the Δ m315 and Δ m316 glycans within the glycopeptide because of the potential for hydrogen transfer between ETD

MGFRINTNVA	ALNAKANADL	NSKSLDASLS	RLSSGLRINS	AADDASGMAI	ADSLRSQANT	60
LGQAISNGND	ALGILQTADK	AMDEQLKILD	TIKTKATQAA	QDGQSLKTRT	MLQADINRLM	120
EELDNIANTT	SFNGKQLLSG	NFINQEFQIG	ASSNQTVKAT	IGATQSSKIG	LTRFETGGRI	180
S TSGEVQFTL	KNYNGIDDFQ	FQKVVI <u>ST</u> SV	GTGLGALADE	INKNADKTGV	RATFTVETRG	240
IAAVRAGATS	DTFAINGVKI	GKVDYKDGDA	NGALVAAINS	VKDTTGVEAS	IDANGQLLLT	300
SREGRGIKID	GNIGGGAFIN	ADMKENYGRL	SLVKNDGKDI	LI S GSNL SS A	GFGATQFISQ	360
ASVSLRESKG	QIDANIADAM	GFGSANKGVV	lggy s sv s ay	MSSAGSGF SS	G S GY S VGSGK	420
NY S TGFANAI	AI s aa s qlst	VYNV S AG S GF	SS G S TL S QFA	TMK TT AFGVK	DETAGVTTLK	480
GAMAVMDIAE	TAITNLDQIR	ADIGSVQNQV	TSTINNITVT	QVNVKAAESQ	IRDVDFAAES	540
ANYSKANILA	QSGSYAMAQA	NSVQQNVLRL	LQ 572			

Figure 4. Summary of the sites of glycosylation observed following multienzyme differential ion mobility mass spectrometry of flagellin from *Campylobacter jejuni* 11168.

© 2015 The Authors. PROTEOMICS published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

fragments. The glycans are sited on Ser448 and Ser454 (or a combination of the two). Figure 2C shows a third example of a multiply glycosylated peptide from the previously inaccessible region.

Figure 3 (top) shows the number of glycopeptides identified at each of the various compensation voltages in replicate #2. The greatest number of identifications was achieved at a compensation voltage of -40 V. The distribution of glycopeptides identified according to charge state is shown in Fig. 3 (bottom). Doubly charged glycopeptides ions were observed between CVs of -20 and -30 V; triply charged glycopeptides between -30 and -55 V. Two 4+ glycopeptides ions were observed at -35 and -40 V. The majority of the identifications were of triply charged precursors.

4 Concluding remarks

We have demonstrated that comprehensive mapping of the O-glycosylation of flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168 may be achieved by incorporating differential ion mobility spectrometry into the bottom-up proteomics workflow, together with use of both trypsin and proteinase K for proteolysis. A summary of the sites of glycosylation observed is given in Fig. 4. Novel glycans for this strain have been identified (pseudaminic acid and either acetamidino pseudaminic acid or legionaminic acid), as have novel glycosylation sites: Thr208, Ser343, Ser348, Ser349, Ser395, Ser398, Ser423, Ser433, Ser436, Ser445, Ser448, Ser451, Ser452, Ser454, Ser457 and Thr465. Multiply and differentially glycosylated peptides were observed: the identity of the glycan at modified amino acid residues was variable, and both presence and absence of glycan at specific residues was also observed. The observed heterogeneity in glycosylation patterns thus appears to confer a combinatorial element of biological variation in the flagellin, potentially advantageous to selective survival of members of the population in the face of biological attack. These results further demonstrate the usefulness of differential ion mobility in proteomics investigations of PTMs.

H.J.C. and A.J.C. are funded by EPSRC (EP/L023490/1). The Advion Triversa Nanomate and Thermo Fisher Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer used in this research were funded through Birmingham Science City Translational Medicine, Experimental Medicine Network of Excellence Project with support from Advantage West Midlands.

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

5 References

 Humphrey, T., O'Brien, S., Madsen, M., Campylobacters as zoonotic pathogens: a food production perspective. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2007, *117*, 237–257.

- [2] Pielsticker, C., Glunder, G., Rautenschlein, S., Colonization properties of *Campylobacter jejuni* in chickens. *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol.* 2012, *2*, 61–65.
- [3] Toljander, J., Dovarn, A., Andersson, Y., Ivarsson, S., Lindqvist, R., Public health burden due to infections by verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) and *Campylobacter* spp. as estimated by cost of illness and different approaches to model disability-adjusted life years. *Scand. J. Public Health* 2012, 40, 294–302.
- [4] Tam, C. C., Rodrigues, L. C., O'Brien, S. J., Guillain-Barre syndrome associated with *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in England, 2000–2001. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2003, *37*, 307–310.
- [5] Wassenaar, T. M., vander Zeijst, B. A., Ayling, R., Newell, D. G., Colonization of chicks by motility mutants of *Campy-lobacter jejuni* demonstrates the importance of flagellin A expression. J. Gen. Microbiol. 1993, 139, 1171–1175.
- [6] Nachamkin, I., Yang, X. H., Stern, N. J., Role of Campylobacter jejuni flagella as colonization factors for three-day-old chicks: analysis with flagellar mutants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1993, 59, 1269–1273.
- [7] Black, R. E., Levine, M. M., Clements, M. L., Hughes, T. P., Blaser, M. J., Experimental *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in humans. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1988, *157*, 472–479.
- [8] Barrero-Tobon, A. M., Hendrixson, D. R., Flagellar biosynthesis exerts temporal regulation of secretion of specific *Campylobacter jejuni* colonization and virulence determinants. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2014, *93*, 957–974.
- [9] Guerry, P., Nonlipopolysaccharide surface antigens of Campylobacter species. J. Infect. Dis. 1997, 176, S122–S124.
- [10] Coward, C., Grant, A. J., Swift, C., Philp, J. et al., Phasevariable surface structures are required for infection of *Campylobacter jejuni* by bacteriophages. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2006, *72*, 4638–4647.
- [11] Javed, M. A., vanAlphen, L. B., Sacher, J., Ding, W. et al., A receptor binding protein of *Campylobacter jejuni* bacteriophage NCTC 12673 recognizes flagellin glycosylated with acetamidino-modified pseudaminic acid. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2015, *95*, 101–115.
- [12] Szymanski, C. M., Logan, S. M., Linton, D., Wren, B. W., Campylobacter—a tale of two protein glycosylation systems. *Trends Microbiol.* 2003, *11*, 233–238.
- [13] Thibault, P., Logan, S. M., Kelly, J. F., Brisson, J. R. et al., Identification of the carbohydrate moieties and glycosylation motifs in *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, *276*, 34862–34870.
- [14] Ewing, C. P., Andreishcheva, E., Guerry, P., Functional characterization of flagellin glycosylation in *Campylobacter jejuni* 81–176. *J. Bacteriol.* 2009, *191*, 7086–7093.
- [15] Zampronio, C. G., Blackwell, G., Penn, C. W., Cooper, H. J., Novel glycosylation sites localized in *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin FlaA by liquid chromatography electron capture dissociation tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* 2011, 10, 1238–1245.
- [16] Thibault, P., Logan, S. M., Kelly, J. F., Brisson, J. R. et al., Identification of the carbohydrate moieties and glycosylation motifs in *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, *276*, 34862–34870.

- [17] McNally, D. J., Aubry, A. J., Hui, J. P. M., Khieu, N. H. et al., Targeted metabolomics analysis of *Campylobacter coli* VC167 reveals legionaminic acid derivatives as novel flagellar glycans. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007, *282*, 144463–144475.
- [18] Zampronio, C. G., Blackwell, G., Penn, C. W., Cooper, H. J., Novel glycosylation sites localized in *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin FlaA by liquid chromatography ECD tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* 2011, *10*, 1238–1245.
- [19] Logan, S. M., Hui, J. P. M., Vinogradov, E., Aubry, A. J. et al., Identification of novel carbohydrate modifications in *Campylobacter jejuni* 11168 flagellin using metabolomics-based approaches. *FEBS J.* 2009, *276*, 1014–1023.
- [20] Sweeney, P. J., Walker, J. M., Proteinase K, in Methods in Molecular Biology, Clifton, NJ, Springer, 1993, pp. 305–311.
- [21] Larsen, M. R., Hojrup, P., Roepstorff, P., Characterization of gel-separated glycoproteins using two-step proteolytic digestion combined with sequential microcolumns and mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 2005, *4*, 107–119.
- [22] Zauner, G., Koeleman, C. A. M., Deelder, A. M., Wuhrer, M., Protein glycosylation analysis by HILIC-LC-MS of proteinase

K-generated N- and O-glycopeptides. J. Sep. Sci. 2010, 33, 903–910.

- [23] Creese, A. J., Shimwell, N. J., Larkins, K. P. B., Heath, J. K., Cooper, H. J., Probing the complementarity of FAIMS and SCX in shotgun proteomics. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* 2013, *24*, 431–443.
- [24] Saba, J., Bonneil, E., Pomies, C., Eng, K., Thibault, P., Enhanced sensitivity in proteomics experiments using FAIMS coupled with a hybrid linear ion trap/orbitrap mass spectrometer. *J. Proteome Res.* 2009, *8*, 3355– 3366.
- [25] Swearingen, K. E., Hoopmann, M. R., Johnson, R. S., Saleem, R. A. et al., Nanospray FAIMS fractionation provides significant increases in proteome coverage of unfractionated complex protein digests. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 2011, *11*, doi: 10.1074/mcp.M111.014985.
- [26] Creese, A. J., Cooper, H. J., The separation and identification of isomeric glycopeptides by high field symmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 2012, *84*, 2597– 2601.