

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Review

VU, Van Tuan; Delgado Saborit, Juana Maria; Harrison, Roy

DOI:

[10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.027)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Vu, VT, Delgado-Saborit, JM & Harrison, RM 2015, 'Review: Particle number size distributions from seven major sources and implications for source apportionment studies', *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 122, pp. 114-132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.027>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

After an embargo period this document is subject to the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives license

Checked November 2015

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

**REVIEW: PARTICLE NUMBER SIZE
DISTRIBUTIONS FROM SEVEN MAJOR SOURCES
AND IMPLICATIONS FOR SOURCE
APPORTIONMENT STUDIES**

**Tuan V. Vu, Juana Maria Delgado-Saborit, and
Roy M. Harrison^{1*†}**

**Division of Environmental Health & Risk Management
School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT
United Kingdom**

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.
Tele: +44 121 414 3494; Fax: +44 121 414 3708; Email: r.m.harrison@bham.ac.uk

†Also at: Department of Environmental Sciences / Center of Excellence in Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

23

24 **HIGHLIGHTS**

25

26 ➤ Particle number size distributions (PNSD) of seven major sources of urban particles

27

28 ➤ Influence of atmospheric physical processes upon PNSD changes

29

30 ➤ Implications of PNSD datasets for source identification

31

32 ➤ A summary of current source apportionment studies of particle number in cities

33

34 **ABSTRACT**

35 The particle number size distribution (PNSD) of airborne particles not only provides us with
36 information about sources and atmospheric processing of particles, but also plays an important role
37 in determining regional lung dose. As a result, urban particles and their size distributions have
38 received much attention with a rapid increase of publications in recent years. The object of this
39 review is to synthesise and analyse existing knowledge on particles in urban environments with a
40 focus on their number concentration and size distribution. This study briefly reviews the
41 characterization of PNSD from seven major sources of urban particles including traffic emissions,
42 industrial emissions, biomass burning, cooking, transported aerosol, marine aerosol and nucleation.
43 It then discusses atmospheric physical processes such as coagulation or condensation which have a
44 strong influence on PNSD. Finally, the implications of PNSD datasets for source modelling are
45 briefly discussed. Based on this review, it is concluded that the concentrations, modal structures and
46 temporal patterns of urban particles are strongly influenced by traffic emissions, which are
47 identified as the main source of particle number in urban environments. Information derived from
48 particle number size distributions is beginning to play an important role in source apportionment
49 studies.

50

51 **Keywords:** Urban particles; number size distribution; source apportionment; human exposure

52

53

54

55

56 1. INTRODUCTION

57 Ambient aerosols are mixtures of primary particles emitted from anthropogenic activities (e.g.
58 industries, transportation), and natural sources (e.g. marine, forest burning), and secondary particles
59 formed by gas-to-particle conversion processes including nucleation and condensation. They exist
60 in a wide range of sizes, with most particles by number having a particle diameter (D_p) in the range
61 from a few nanometres to around one hundred micrometres (Harrison et al., 2000). In recent years,
62 aerosols have been an intense area of study since they have been found to play an important role in
63 climate regulation and human health, in which particle number size distribution (PNSD) is
64 recognised as a key metric in determining regional lung deposition.

65
66 In atmospheric environments, the PNSD is ideally characterized by a multi-lognormal structure,
67 usually based on four main modes: the nucleation mode ($D_p < 30\text{nm}$), the Aitken mode ($30\text{ nm} <$
68 $D_p < 100\text{ nm}$), the accumulation mode ($100\text{ nm} < D_p < 1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and the coarse mode ($D_p > 1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$)
69 (Harrison et al., 2000; Hussein et al., 2005; Kulmala et al., 2004; Von Bismarck-Osten et al., 2013).
70 In an urban area, the majority of particles by number are typically found in the nucleation and
71 Aitken modes, while the particle surface and volume or mass are found predominantly in the
72 accumulation and coarse modes as shown in Figure 1. According to Seinfeld and Pandis (1998) a
73 high percentage of urban particles is normally found in particles with diameters smaller than 0.1
74 μm , while the most common size ranges for particle surface area and particle mass are in the range
75 of $0.1\text{-}0.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and larger than $0.1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, respectively. More than 25% of total urban particle number
76 counts are in the size range with diameter less than 10 nm , whilst particles with diameters less than
77 50 nm and 100 nm account for approximately 75% and 90% of the total number concentration in
78 the studies reported by Stanier et al. (2004a) and Woo et al. (2001). Von Bismarck-Osten et al.
79 (2013) reported that more than 80% of particles were observed in the nucleation and Aitken modes
80 in five major European cities (as shown in Figure 2). Coarse particles do not contribute significantly

81 to the total number of particles, with number concentrations reported as ranging only from 0.59 to 8
82 particle cm^{-3} (Gao et al., 2011; Stanier et al., 2004a; Wu et al., 2008).

83

84 Particles in different modes derive from different sources or chemical and physical processes. For
85 example, nucleation mode particles from a roadside site are formed through nucleation in the
86 atmosphere after rapid cooling and dilution of emissions and condensation of supersaturated
87 vapour. Aitken mode particles are suggested to be a mixture of condensed semi-volatiles onto a
88 solid core. Accumulation mode particles from road vehicles are mainly generated from combustion
89 of fuel and lubricant oil and by growth of Aitken mode particles. Coarse particles are mechanically
90 generated from attrition processes and include wind-blown soil and sea spray. This diversity is
91 reflected in the measured PNSDs, which vary widely in the atmosphere due to the combination of
92 multiple sources, which generate particles with different sizes and concentration characteristics,
93 and the atmospheric formation and transformation processes which influence the evolution of size
94 distribution (Morawska et al., 1999). This can be useful as information upon sources and processes
95 affecting particles can be extracted from observational data. For example, Harrison et al. (2011) ran
96 a PMF model on a PSND dataset measured at Marylebone Road, London and this study
97 successfully identified specific contributions of particles from several sources, including brake dust
98 and re-suspension. In addition, Beddows et al. (2014) demonstrated clearly the dynamics of particle
99 formation by application of cluster analysis to a PNSD data set measured from 24 background
100 stations across Europe. Another example is illustrated by the study of Dusek et al. (2006), who
101 suggested that PNSD can be a better metric to achieve an estimate of total cloud condensation
102 nuclei than particle chemical composition.

103

104 In the aspect of human exposure studies, PNSD is also an essential parameter. Numerous articles
105 have shown that the ability of particles to penetrate deeply into the lung, which may contribute to
106 disease, depends upon their number size distribution (von Klot et al., 2005). ICRP (1994) developed

107 regional lung deposition curves based upon particle size. In some studies, the number concentration
108 of ultrafine particle has been more closely associated with adverse health effects than the mass
109 concentration (Peters et al., 1997). Although knowledge of which particle metric is the best
110 predictor of adverse health outcomes is incomplete, an increasing number of studies have found
111 associations with particle number (Atkinson et al., 2010; HEI_Review_Panel, 2013; Oberdörster et
112 al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2009).

113

114 Since different types of sources generate particles with different sizes and concentration
115 characteristics, it is of great importance to know the sources of particles to understand measured
116 particle size distributions (Morawska et al., 1999). The specific characteristics of the modal
117 structure of distributions, as well as the temporal patterns of particle generation from different
118 sources imply that particle size distribution and concentration profiles may be utilised to identify the
119 specific sources contributing to measured distributions of particles and to apportion sources of
120 particles in urban areas. In the next section, we review the characteristics of PNSD emitted from
121 seven major sources of particles including traffic emissions, industrial emission, biomass burning,
122 cooking emissions, long-range transported aerosols and nucleation.

123

124 **2. SOURCES AND CHARACTERISTIC SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS**

125 **2.1 Traffic Related Emissions**

126 **2.1.1 Vehicle exhaust emissions**

127 Vehicle emissions are considered a major source of particles in the urban atmosphere. Particle
128 emissions from vehicles can be generated directly in the engine during combustion of fuels or can
129 be formed in the air by nucleation and condensation during dilution and cooling of the hot exhaust
130 gaseous emissions from the tailpipe. The combustion-generated particles consist mostly of solid
131 graphitic carbon with a smaller amount of metallic ash, hydrocarbons and sulphur compounds.
132 These particles are found mainly as soot in the Aitken and accumulation modes with the size

133 ranging from 30 nm to 500 nm (Shi et al., 2000). The smaller sized mode of particles is believed to
134 be formed by binary nucleation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ or ternary nucleation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-NH}_3\text{-H}_2\text{O}$, which
135 comprises a small hydrated sulphuric acid core coated with condensed hydrocarbons (Meyer and
136 Ristovski, 2007; Shi and Harrison, 1999; Tobias et al., 2001). This second group of particles is
137 found predominantly in the nucleation mode (below 30 nm) (Morawska et al., 2008). The overall
138 size distribution of both types of particles emitted from vehicles has been described by a unimodal
139 or bimodal lognormal distribution, depending upon the type of engine (spark ignition and diesel
140 engines), the types of fuel and fuel properties (e.g. sulphur content), the exhaust after-treatment
141 devices, the vehicle use (vehicle operating modes, load and speed) (Li et al., 2013; Myung and
142 Park, 2012; Shi and Harrison, 1999; Agarwal et al., 2015), the test method (chassis dynamometer
143 test, on-road measurements, ground-fixed measurements and field measurements) and the
144 atmospheric conditions (temperature, wind speed or humidity) (Carpentieri and Kumar, 2011;
145 Casati et al., 2007; Charron and Harrison, 2003).

146 147 *2.1.1.1 PNSD measurements in different test methods and vehicle types*

148 Road vehicle-generated particle size distributions have been measured using different sampling
149 methods, resulting in a large variation of observed PNSD spectra. Particle number distributions
150 generated by diesel engines in chassis dynamometer tests and on-road measurements typically
151 present a bimodal distribution including a nucleation and an accumulation soot mode. For example,
152 Giechaskiel et al. (2005) reported that the size distribution of a diesel engine exhaust plume exhibits
153 two peaks, one with a size less than 30 nm and one at a size larger than 50 nm. Harrison et al.
154 (2011) made similar observations from size spectra disaggregated by Positive Matrix Factorization.
155 The nucleation mode contains mainly new small particles which are believed to be formed from
156 condensation on a sulphuric acid or ash particle nucleus, and the accumulation soot mode is the
157 result of incomplete combustion of the diesel fuel which consists of pyrolytic elemental carbon
158 (EC) and organic carbon (OC). The latter includes primarily aliphatic hydrocarbons, polycyclic

159 aromatic hydrocarbons and lubricant-derived ash-related species. On the contrary, particles emitted
160 from gasoline vehicles are generally less than 80 nm in diameter and their size distributions usually
161 display a unimodal structure. The size distribution profile of traffic-generated particles is shown in
162 Table 1.

163

164 In field measurements, traffic generated particles, which have been mostly measured at kerbside or
165 in a tunnel or street canyon, show the majority of particles in the nucleation and Aitken modes, with
166 a peak mode smaller than 30 nm as summarized in Table 2. Wehner et al. (2002) noted that the
167 particle count median diameter (CMD) measured in a street canyon was lower than the CMD
168 measured for gasoline and diesel vehicles in chassis dynamometer tests (40-70 nm). This could be
169 explained by the new particles formed from gaseous emissions from the tailpipe due to nucleation
170 of hydrocarbons. During this period, dilution ratio plays an important role in controlling the
171 saturation ratios which lead to nucleation (Kirchstetter et al., 1999). Shi and Harrison (1999)
172 showed that size distributions of particles in diesel exhaust were strongly dependent upon dilution
173 ratio. It has been reported that the concentration of traffic-generated nanoparticles was found to be
174 greater in winter compared to summer (Jeong et al., 2004; Jeong et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011b),
175 but it is unclear whether this is an effect of lower temperature or lesser dilution. At a kerbside site,
176 PNSDs emitted from vehicle exhausts can show a bimodal distribution (Morawska et al., 2008), but
177 the number of modes sometimes vary dependent on sampling conditions and local sources. Agus et
178 al. (2007) reported that the typical urban aerosol roadside particle distribution has been shown as
179 the sum of three log-normal distributions including nucleation, Aitken and accumulation modes,
180 while Lingard et al. (2006) found four modes in the size range 6-225 nm during rush hour
181 conditions at a UK urban roadside site. As the modes typically overlap, curve fitting is often
182 needed to identify the contributing modes (e.g. Lingard et al., 2006; Dall'Osto et al., 2011a ,b).

183

184 Emissions from ship and aircraft engines can show some similarity to automotive diesel emissions.
185 Hallquist et al. (2012) found that PNSDs obtained for a selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
186 equipped marine diesel engine in on-board measurements after dilution and cooling of exhaust
187 gases show a bimodal distribution with two peak modes at around 12 nm and 30-40 nm. A similar
188 result was found in a test rig study of a four-stroke marine diesel engine by Petzold et al. (2008)
189 which showed a bimodal distribution with two peaks at 15 and 50 nm. However, in another study,
190 PNSDs measured in the plumes of passenger ships showed unimodal size distributions with an
191 average geometric mean diameter (GMD) of 38 nm (Jonsson et al., 2011). This study suggested that
192 “for those conditions (initial real-world dilution + plume processes) there is either enough
193 condensational sinks available suppressing nucleation by adsorption/ condensation or that
194 coagulation is taking place leading to a decrease in number but preserved mass”. For particles
195 emitted from aircraft and airports, small particles with a size range of 10-40 nm were found to be
196 dominant in most studies (Buonanno et al., 2012; Kinsey et al., 2010; Mazaheri et al., 2008; Rogers
197 et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2011). Herndon et al. (2008) observed a peak at about 65 nm from take-off
198 plumes, and the mean particle size decreased to a smaller mode (about 25 nm) for idling engine
199 plumes.

200

201 *2.1.1.2 Effects of fuel types and properties*

202 The type of fuel (e.g. gasoline, diesel or biofuel) has a significant effect not only on particle
203 concentration but also on its size distribution. For example, Kasper et al. (2007) observed a mode at
204 about 30-40 nm associated with plumes from a two-stroke marine engine when using marine diesel
205 oil, and a smaller mode around 25 nm when using heavy fuel oil (HFO). In another study, Armas et
206 al. (2012) investigated the impacts of alternative fuels such as liquefied petroleum gas, compressed
207 natural gas or bio-gas and found that the use of ethanol blended fuels on urban buses can reduce by
208 50% the number of particles in the accumulation mode, but causes a significant increase of particle
209 number concentration in the nucleation mode. A recent study which compared the particle number

210 size distributions of primary and secondary aerosols from a 20% biodiesel blend and mineral diesel
211 fuel by Agarwal et al. (2013) found that there was a reduction of approximately two orders of
212 magnitude in particle number due to an addition of 20% biodiesel to mineral diesel, which was
213 attributed to the lower sulphur content.

214

215 Focussing on aspects of the fuel properties, sulphur and potassium contents likely play a key role in
216 the formation of vehicular particles. For instance, the emission rate of particle number was found to
217 decrease significantly (30-60%) by reducing the sulphur content in the diesel fuel from 500 to 5-50
218 ppm (Bagley et al., 1996; Jones et al., 2012; Kittelson et al., 2002; Ristovski et al., 2006). The
219 PNSD is also substantially affected by fuel sulphur content (Jones et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011b).

220

221 *2.1.1.3 Effects of vehicle operating modes and particle after-treatment devices*

222 The operating mode including the vehicle speed, acceleration and load were found not to have a
223 significant influence on the size distribution, even though these had strong effects on the number
224 and mass concentrations in both chassis and on-road measurements of a light-duty gasoline vehicle
225 (Li et al., 2013). On the contrary, for particles emitted from a diesel engine, the particle size
226 depends heavily upon the vehicle speed and load (Giechaskiel et al., 2005). For example, the
227 number mode of particles generated from a heavy duty diesel engine was smaller than 25 nm at a
228 speed of 1600 rev/min and from 40 to 60 nm at 2600 rev/min (Shi et al., 1999). In addition, the
229 dilution conditions including the dilution system, dilution ratio, temperature and humidity, have a
230 strong impact on the size of particles since these control the particle nucleation rate (Casati et al.,
231 2007; Maricq et al., 2001; Shi and Harrison, 1999).

232

233 Particle control technologies such as oxidation catalysts and particle traps have also been developed
234 and applied in recent years. The particle trap is one of the best ways to reduce soot and related black
235 smoke emissions from vehicles with an efficiency of more than 99% found for particles (Mayer et

236 al., 2002). Giechaskiel et al. (2010) evaluated the efficiency of a volatile particle remover system.
237 The results showed that 40-90% of the condensed material in the size range ~50-500 nm was
238 removed and 99% of particle number in the nucleation mode was wholly evaporated or was
239 decreased to sizes less than 23 nm.

240

241 **2.1.2 Non-exhaust vehicular particle emission**

242 Non-exhaust particles which typically arise from road-tyre interaction, brake wear, and re-
243 suspension also contribute significantly to urban airborne concentrations.

244

245 *2.1.2.1 Brake wear*

246 The particles generated from the mechanical process of brake wear have a wide range of diameters
247 from a few hundred nanometres to a few tens of micrometres (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). The
248 particle number distributions measured by optical methods in many studies show a bimodal or tri-
249 modal size distribution with the peak around 300 nm. Mosleh et al. (2004) conducted a pin-on-disc
250 test of brake wear particle emissions from a truck semi-metallic pad material against grey cast iron
251 under different controlled contact pressure and sliding velocity and found a bimodal PNSD. They
252 noted that all the measurements showed a similar first peak at 350 nm; however the second peak
253 could vary from 2 μm to 15 μm and shifted to a larger size with higher loads. Using EDX analysis,
254 they proposed that submicron particles, which mainly consist of iron, carbon and oxygen, are
255 mainly generated from the cast iron disc, while larger particles originate from the brake pad
256 material and contain aluminium, magnesium, antimony, silicon, sulphur, and copper. This study is
257 in agreement with Wahlström et al. (2010b) who compared the particle sizes of airborne wear
258 particles emitted from passenger car disc brakes from three test conditions including field tests, a
259 disc brake assembly test stand, and a pin-on-disc machine test. They found that all tests showed a
260 similar number size distribution with dominant peaks around 280 nm and 350 nm, with
261 independence of different load conditions, sliding speed, and pad temperature. A further

262 comparison between the particle wear debris originated from the interaction of non-asbestos organic
263 (NAO) and low-metallic pads with grey cast iron rotors showed that the number concentrations
264 generated from NAO pads were lower than those from low-metallic pads, but the size distributions
265 were similar.

266

267 Several studies have reported aerodynamic diameters and have noted a primary peak at
268 approximately 1 μm . Iijima et al. (2007) found that the number size distribution displayed a peak
269 mode of 1-2 μm and the mass size distributions show a peak in the range 3-6 μm using an TSI
270 Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS). This peak mode (1-2 μm) was different to those (0.35 μm)
271 measured by a GRIMM optical particle sizer. This difference could be explained by the different
272 types of particle diameter due to differing measurement principles of different instruments. For
273 example, the APS measures particle size based on the aerodynamic properties while the SMPS uses
274 electrical mobility diameter and the GRIMM optical sizer uses light scattering. In addition, it is also
275 noted that there are inherent instrument differences even when using the same physical principle,
276 such as the difference between TSI SMPS and GRIMM SMPS (Jeong et al., 2009; Joshi et al.,
277 2012; Kaminski et al., 2013). Wahlström et al. (2010b) used a particle density of 5 g/cm^3 from
278 Sanders et al. (2003) to calculate the maximum peak size as an aerodynamic diameter from an
279 optical diameter (0.35 μm) measured by GRIMM optical spectrometer. They found the peak size
280 shifted from 0.35 μm optical diameter to 0.9 μm aerodynamic diameter, consistent with reports
281 from Aerodynamic Particle Sizer measurements. Table 3 shows an overview of size distribution of
282 brake wear particles.

283

284 Because of the inability of optical techniques to identify the ultrafine particles, which can comprise
285 a significant proportion of brake emissions (Wählén et al., 2006), some recent studies have applied a
286 Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS) system to measure particle sizes from 10 nm to 600 nm.
287 For example, Abbasi et al. (2012b) conducted a pin-on-disc test of the rate and size of airborne

288 particle emissions from railway braking materials. The disc samples were made from a wheel and a
289 brake disc (steel), while the pins were made from brake pads (organic or sintered), and brake blocks
290 (organic or cast iron). They found that there is one major peak around 70-120 nm partially in the
291 ultrafine particle region and two minor peaks around 300-400 nm and 500-600 nm in the fine
292 particle region, depending upon the type of discs and pins. These results are consistent with
293 previous work by Olofsson (2011) and Wahlström et al. (2010a).

294

295 Garg et al. (2000) reported that particle counts (4.17×10^{12} particles/stop at 400°C) measured using
296 a TSI Electrical Aerosol Analyser (that measures all particles larger than 10 nm) were much higher
297 than those (5.23×10^6 particles/stop) measured by a Dekati electrical low-pressure impactor (ELPI-
298 with stage cut points from 30 nm to $10 \mu\text{m}$) when they conducted a brake dynamometer test under
299 four wear conditions, which suggested that most particles are smaller than 30 nm. The evidence for
300 nanoparticles formed from brake emissions was observed by using a Fast Mobility Particle Sizer
301 (FMPS) and engine exhaust particle sizer (EEPS). Mathissen et al. (2011) set up a sampling tube
302 close to the brake disc of a diesel car and measured the particle sizes under different driving
303 situations using the EEPS. They found that the full braking presented a unimodal PNSD with a peak
304 at approximately 11 nm. A similar result was found in a recent study by Kwak et al. (2014) who
305 measured ultrafine particles from brake emissions using a proving ground and road simulator. The
306 mechanism of nanoparticle formation from brake emissions is unclear. Sanders et al. (2003)
307 suggested that due to very high temperatures during the brake wear process some of the brake pad
308 and lining materials can volatilise and therefore form the small particles by condensation. Kwak et
309 al. (2014) proposed that the thermo-oxidative degradation of organic materials or metals contained
310 in brake linings could lead to nanoparticle formation.

311

312 *2.1.2.2 Tyre- road surface interaction and resuspension*

313 The interactions of tyre and road surface lead to the abrasion of the tyre tread and it has often been
314 assumed to form primarily coarse particles ($> 2.5 \mu\text{m}$) (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). Dannis (1974)
315 reported that the mean diameter of tyre wear particles was in the range of $20 \mu\text{m}$ with few particles
316 below $3 \mu\text{m}$ found. However, not all tyre wear particles become airborne, just a small proportion,
317 due to settling on the road surface under gravity as such large particles have a short atmospheric
318 lifetime with respect to deposition (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008).

319

320 Interestingly, evidence for a significant contribution of ultrafine and fine particles from tyre wear
321 emissions has also been found. Fauser et al. (1999) reported that particles with a diameter smaller
322 than $1 \mu\text{m}$ accounted for approximately 90% of total airborne particles from tyre wear emissions.
323 The volatilisation and re-condensation of tyre wear materials were suggested as the main processes
324 leading to small particle formation (Cadle and Williams, 1979). The evidence for ultrafine particles
325 has been supported by some recent laboratory experimental studies. Dahl et al. (2006) found that
326 the estimated number concentration of ultrafine particles (15-700 nm) from the pavement-tyre
327 interface was $2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which was 10 times higher than the background number concentration
328 ($\sim 1-2 \times 10^3$). The mean particle diameters were in the range of 15-50 nm which were similar to
329 particles emitted from light duty vehicle exhaust emissions. Likewise, Gustafsson et al. (2008)
330 reported that the estimated number concentrations of ultrafine particles (15-700 nm) from the
331 interaction between tyre, road pavement and winter traction sand ranged from 0.12 to 2.65×10^4
332 cm^{-3} , depending upon the type of road surface and vehicle speed. In terms of particle sizes, the
333 number size distributions showed a peak mode at 20-50 nm while the mass distributions revealed
334 two peak modes at 4-5 μm and 7-8 μm . In a further study conducted by Aatmeeyata et al. (2009),
335 tyre wear particles in the size range of 0.3- 10 μm showed a bimodal distribution with peaks at 0.3
336 and 1.7 μm in the number size distribution.

337

338 Resuspended dust contains particles originating from the resuspension of road surface deposited
339 materials (i.e. debris of brake or tyre wear from vehicles, soil or crustal emission, de-icing salt and
340 sand) by tyre shear and wind or traffic-induced turbulence (Kumar et al., 2013; Thorpe and
341 Harrison, 2008; Thorpe et al., 2007). Lenschow et al. (2001) reported that the re-suspended road
342 dust particles contributed 50% of the total roadside increment of PM₁₀. Similar results have been
343 found in other studies conducted by Harrison et al. (2001) and Forsberg et al. (2005). There are
344 limited studies of number particle size distributions and concentrations. Harrison et al. (1999)
345 reported that these particles were distributed mainly in the coarse mode (2.5-10 µm) and showed a
346 distinct seasonality with a summer maximum and winter minimum.

347

348 **2.2 Industrial Combustion Emissions**

349 Industry is one of the major contributors of air pollutants to urban areas. In industrial areas, the
350 emissions from industrial combustion processes and traffic contribute mainly to the fine and
351 ultrafine particle fractions. In this section, we aim to summarize the particle size distribution of
352 emissions measured during coal fired combustion, oil combustion and municipal waste incineration.
353 The particle number modes measured in different combustors and plants are shown in Table 4.

354

355 **2.2.1 Coal combustion**

356 Coal combustion generates particles with a size ranging from a few nanometres to millimetres. The
357 small particles which are initially formed by vaporisation, nucleation, chemical reactions, and by
358 growth due to coagulation and condensation processes contain predominantly condensed and semi-
359 volatile materials, while the larger particles consist of mainly carbonaceous materials, fragments of
360 inorganic fly ash and trace elements.

361

362 In the number count, the majority of particles are in the submicron mode. The median diameter
363 varies between 30 and 80 nm, depending upon a number of factors, such as coal type, combustion

364 temperature, dilution ratio, residence time, treatment device and other sampling conditions
365 (Carbone et al., 2010; Li et al., 2009; Lighty et al., 2000; Linak and Peterson, 1984; Lipsky et al.,
366 2002; Lipsky et al., 2004). Suriyawong et al. (2006) measured the submicron particle number size
367 distribution from coal combustion in a typical O₂:CO₂ and air system and found a bimodal number
368 size distribution with a major peak around 30-54 nm and a minor peak at 300 nm. O₂:CO₂ and
369 O₂:N₂:CO₂ mixing ratios had strong effects not only upon the mass concentration but also the
370 number concentration and its size distribution. For example, the geometric mean diameter (GMD)
371 was observed to increase from 29 to 54 nm as the O₂:CO₂ ratio was changed from 0.25 to 1. In a
372 O₂:N₂:CO₂ system, as the ratio of N₂:CO₂ with fixed O₂ level increased, the GMD also increased
373 from 31.2 to 40.5 nm. This study concluded that different mixing ratios could change coal particle
374 surface temperature, affecting the vaporization rate, and resulting in the particle growth.

375

376 Electrostatic precipitators (ESP) are the most popular particle control device applied on coal power
377 plants. Collection efficiency is particle size-dependent, and hence the shape of the particle size
378 distribution is likely to change upon passage through the ESP (Li et al., 2009). Mohr et al. (1996)
379 reported that ESP particle control devices have lower collection efficiency in the size range between
380 200 and 400 nm and the measured number peak mode after ESP treatment was shifted to larger
381 sizes due to the penetration characteristics of the ESP. In an other study, Zhuang and Biswas (2001)
382 found that sorbent injection also increases the mean size of the coal combustion aerosol by
383 suppressing nucleation and promoting condensation on agglomerated sorbent particles.

384

385 A nucleation peak mode around 10 nm was found in the stack plume from coal combustion emitted
386 to ambient air (Lipsky et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2008). As with the exhaust emissions from internal
387 combustion engines, the presence of inorganic compounds could explain the nucleation of particles
388 from coal combustion. Lipsky et al. (2002) supposed that once entering a dilution tunnel, the coal
389 combustion emission is diluted and cooled, resulting in H₂SO₄ formation from the SO₃-H₂O

390 reaction. The rapid cooling of the coal emissions leads to a supersaturation of H_2SO_4 , causing new
391 particle formation due to nucleation. This study also reported that both nucleation and coagulation
392 rates increase as the dilution ratio increases and noted that the ultrafine particle number
393 concentrations increase and shift to smaller sizes. In addition, Wang et al. (2011a) found a reduction
394 of around 50% in the number of ultrafine particles following the shutdown of a large local coal-
395 fired power plant, although no distinction was made between primary emissions and secondary
396 particles.

397

398 **2.2.2 Oil combustion**

399 Morawska et al. (2006) measured the particles emitted from an oil shale boiler in both the stack
400 plume and at a site located 4.5 km from the plant. The PNSD was similar at the two sampling
401 sites, and showed a bimodal lognormal distribution with CMDs of 24-27 nm and 50-52 nm. Similar
402 to other fuel combustion, the first mode was attributed to formation from nucleation and the second
403 mode comprised primary particles consisting of carbonaceous compounds and condensed semi-
404 volatile material. In another study, Chang et al. (2004) compared the size distributions of particles
405 measured in flue gas of a pilot-scale test combustor using coal, heavy fuel oil and natural gas. The
406 CMD of the size distribution from heavy fuel oil combustion was around 70-100 nm, which is
407 similar to diesel combustion at low dilution ratios (suppressing the nucleation mode) but was larger
408 than from coal combustion (40-60 nm) and natural gas combustion (15-25 nm).

409

410 **2.2.3 Waste incineration**

411 There are several reports of particle size distributions measured in the flue gas and stack plume
412 emitted from municipal waste incineration plants (Buonanno et al., 2009a; Cernuschi et al., 2012;
413 Maguhn et al., 2003). Maguhn et al. (2003) measured particle sizes in both the flue gas and stack
414 plume of a municipal waste incineration plant. At the 700°C sampling point (grate exit, prior to the
415 boiler), they showed a bimodal size distribution with a peak mode at approximately 90 nm and a

416 minor mode at about 40 nm. When sampled after the boiler (at 300°C), the major peak mode shifted
417 to 140 nm and the minor peak mode observed at 700°C disappeared, suggesting the growth of
418 particles by absorption and coagulation processes. Changes in combustion conditions such as the
419 operation of back-up oil burners or cleaning which influence the soot particles, the flue gas
420 composition and re-suspended mineral particles, can alter the measured particle size distribution.
421 Within stack plume measurements (at 80°C), showed the peak mode reduced from 140 nm to 40-70
422 nm, which could be explained by the influence of the fabric filter and wet-ESP. The retention
423 efficiency of the fabric filter for particles of > 100 nm was found to be higher than those for
424 ultrafine particles (17-70 nm) and the efficiency of the wet-ESP is likely to be slightly poorer for
425 particles with a diameter smaller than 50 nm, therefore shifting the size distribution to smaller
426 particles. This is in agreement with Buonanno et al. (2011b). In addition, the particle removal
427 efficiency of the fabric filter was found very high (> 99.99 %) (Buonanno et al., 2011a; Buonanno
428 et al., 2011b). As a result, the consensus from studies of European waste incinerators is that particle
429 concentrations in stack emissions are comparable with, or lower than, those typical of ambient air,
430 and hence no source signature is visible in ground-level atmospheric measurements (Buonanno and
431 Morawska, 2015; Buonanno et al., 2011b; Ozgen et al., 2012).

432

433 **2.3 Biomass Burning**

434 Freshly generated smoke particles from biomass combustion consist mostly of organic carbon with
435 ~10-20% black carbon and inorganic species and are found predominantly in the accumulation
436 mode. The particle size distributions vary depending on combustion appliance, fuel type,
437 combustion phase and sampling method.

438

439 On a laboratory-scale, Wardoyo et al. (2006) reported that particle sizes initially showed a unimodal
440 distribution with a CMD of 50-70 nm, and 30-40 nm during the flaming and smouldering phase
441 from fast burning of wood. The CMD was found to be larger in a slow burning process with CMDs

442 of 110-150, 50-60 and 30-40 nm during the ignition, flaming and smouldering phases. This study
443 was consistent with Hosseini et al. (2010), who reported that particle size showed uni- or bimodal
444 distributions with a major mode ranging from 29 to 52 nm. In terms of type of fuels, Chakrabarty et
445 al. (2006) reported that burning wet fuels (tundra core and Montana grass) produced large particles
446 with CMD of 120-140 nm, compared to small particles with CMD of 30-70 nm from burning dry
447 fuels.

448

449 In field experiments, most studies have shown a consistent size distribution, with the count median
450 diameter of 100-160 nm for fresh smoke (Reid et al., 2005). Janhäll et al. (2010) calculated the
451 averaged count mean diameter and geometric standard deviation of fresh smoke to be 117 nm and
452 1.7 from 20 datasets from previous publications (Guyon et al., 2005; Reid and Hobbs, 1998; Reid et
453 al., 1998). During the ageing process, particles can increase their median diameter up to 235 nm by
454 coagulation/condensation (Janhäll et al., 2010).

455

456 **2.4 Cooking Emission**

457 Cooking emissions are a major source of submicron particles in indoor environments (Abdullahi et
458 al., 2013). Wallace (2006) found that aerosol concentrations generated during only 15 minutes of
459 cooking increase by a factor of 14, approximately 90% of which were found predominantly in the
460 ultrafine range in a house. Cooking aerosol can contribute significantly to ambient air
461 concentrations; Harrison et al. (2011) found that cooking made a significant contribution (nearly
462 7%) to particle number at a major kerbside site in London.

463

464 The particle size distribution from cooking activities has been reported as unimodal with a peak
465 generally between 20-70 nm, depending on the food type, heat source and cooking temperature as

466 shown in Table 5. Some studies, however, have reported peaks in the range 100-160 nm (Hussein et
467 al., 2006a; Yeung and To, 2008b).

468

469 Li et al. (1993) reported the particle size from three typical cooking process including scrambling
470 eggs, frying chicken, and boiling soup and found that the particle modes were 40 nm, 50 nm and 30
471 nm diameter, respectively. Similarly, Buonanno et al. (2009c) found that a higher concentration of
472 particles was generated by cooking fatty foods and the number mode diameters for fatty foods
473 (cheese, wurstel, bacon) were between 40 and 50 nm while those for vegetable foodstuffs
474 (eggplants) were around 30 nm.

475

476 In a comparison of heat sources, Dennekamp et al. (2001) found a higher particle number emitted
477 by a gas grill compared with using an electric grill or a gas or electric ring hob. Particles emitted
478 from gas stoves were in the size range 15-40 nm, while those generated from fried bacon by gas or
479 electric rings have a larger diameter (50-100 nm). This is consistent with the results reported by
480 Buonanno et al. (2009c). He et al. (2004) reported that the particle size and emission factor for
481 cooking aerosol also depend upon stove properties such as stove temperature. The reader is referred
482 to the work by Abdullahi et al., (2013) for a comprehensive review of particle number size
483 distributions associated with cooking emissions.

484

485 **2.5 Long Range Transported Aerosols**

486 Long-range transported aerosols comprise mostly accumulation mode particles, with the major
487 number peak mode around 100-200 nm. Aitken and nucleation mode particles have short lifetimes
488 due to their rapid coagulation and condensational growth, and coarse mode particles are removed
489 relatively rapidly by gravitational settling, whereas particles in the accumulation mode can be
490 transported over long distances because they are too small to deposit by inertial and gravitational
491 processes and too large to be influenced appreciably by growth processes (Hussein et al., 2006b).

492 Accumulation mode particles are most significantly removed from the atmosphere by precipitation
493 scavenging (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). During long-range transport of air masses SO₂ can oxidise
494 leading to nucleation and then growth, hence sometimes long-range transported air also contains
495 nucleation and Aitken mode particles (Wang et al., 2011a,b).

496

497 Accumulation mode particles can be generated directly from combustion processes such as wood
498 burning or traffic emissions, or from the growth of smaller particles such as Aitken mode particles
499 by coagulation and condensation during transportation. In a clean environment, such as the
500 background free troposphere or remote marine boundary layer, these particles are influenced largely
501 by cloud processing (Hoppel et al., 1994a,b).

502

503 The particle size distributions of long-range transported aerosols have been observed clearly in
504 remote or urban background areas. Raes et al. (1997) observed particle size distributions at the
505 Global Atmospheric Watch Observatory on the mountain of Izaña (IZO), at 2360 asl on the island
506 of Tenerife. This study found that the PNSD showed a dominant unimodal structure with a GMD of
507 120 nm and 55 nm during dusty and clean conditions respectively. These accumulation mode
508 particles were attributed to desert dust in the size range of 100-200 nm transported from northern
509 Africa or aged anthropogenic sulphate transported from southern Europe.

510

511 Similarly, Sellegri et al. (2010) observed the seasonal variations of PNSD at a high altitude
512 Himalayan site (5079 m) and found higher particle number concentrations due to the increase of
513 accumulation mode particles in the late afternoon during the pre-monsoons. The increase of these
514 accumulation particles was accompanied by an increase of black carbon and coarse mode particles.
515 This study concluded that these particles are evidence of the transport of regional or long-range
516 polluted aerosols. Similar results have been reported by Gogoi et al. (2014) and Adak et al. (2014).

517

518 **2.6 Marine Aerosols**

519 In general, marine aerosol can be divided into two broad categories: (1) primary sea-salt aerosol
520 formed by the mechanical disruption of the ocean surface and (2) secondary aerosol predominantly
521 contributed by non-sea salt sulphate and organic species due to gas-to-particle conversion processes
522 (O'Dowd et al., 1997). The total particle number concentration of marine aerosol ranged from 200
523 to 800 cm⁻³, depending on the regional latitudes but there was no significant interhemispheric
524 difference (Heintzenberg et al., 2000). Koponen et al. (2002) reported that the total particle
525 concentrations were predominantly lower than 1000 cm⁻³ measured in marine air masses.

526

527 The typical marine particle number spectra show two modes: an Aitken mode with a mean diameter
528 around 40-52 nm and accumulation mode with a mean diameter of around 150-175 nm. In some
529 studies, a nucleation mode was also present with two distinct peaks: one around 10 nm and another
530 in the range of 15-20 nm (Koponen et al., 2002). A sea salt mode with a mean diameter above 400
531 nm is frequently found in the marine boundary layer (Heintzenberg et al., 2000). Fine particles
532 contributed 90-95% of the total particle number while the mass distribution showed two distinct
533 modes, one fine and one coarse. The coarse mode only accounted for 5-10% of the total number of
534 particles, but contributed 90-95% of total mass (Fitzgerald, 1991).

535

536 **2.7 Nucleation**

537 New particles formed by nucleation account for a significant fraction of the total number of
538 particles in the atmospheric environment. A review of the formation and growth of ultrafine
539 particles conducted by Kulmala et al. (2004) found that nucleation events occurred in both clean
540 and polluted environments: the continental boundary layer, remote boreal forest, suburban, rural,
541 industrialised agricultural regions or coastal environments. The high frequency occurrence of
542 nucleation events has also been observed in some urban environments in many studies (Dunn et al.,
543 2004; Reche et al., 2011). A study conducted by Gao et al. (2012) found that new particles formed

544 by homogeneous nucleation occurred on 42.7% of days in a two-month study period in Beijing
545 (China), while the frequency of nucleation events observed in Pittsburgh (US) was about 50% of
546 days (Stanier et al., 2004b). A high frequency of nucleation events was found in other cities such as
547 Brisbane (Australia), Rochester (US) and Beijing (China) (Cheung et al., 2011; Jeong et al., 2004;
548 Wehner et al., 2004). A very much lower frequency was reported in Birmingham (UK) by Alam et
549 al. (2003).

550

551 Nucleation events are characterised by a rapid increase of particle number concentration in the
552 nucleation mode causing the peak mode to shift to small particle diameters. Stanier et al. (2004b)
553 found the number concentration of particles during weak nucleation events and that in relatively
554 intense events ranged from $5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ up to $1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Similar results observed in Beijing by
555 Wehner et al. (2004) also showed that the number concentrations of particles increased 10 times
556 from 10^4 to 10^5 cm^{-3} during nucleation events. Jeong et al. (2004) observed two types of nucleation
557 event in Rochester. The first type with a dominant particle size ranging from 20 to 100 nm was
558 found typically during the morning rush hour (07:00-09:00) and often in the late afternoon rush
559 hour. These nucleation events were attributed to the new particle formation from local plumes, and
560 particularly traffic emissions as discussed in Section 2.1.1 above. The second type of nucleation
561 events were observed during the afternoon with a peak at around 13:00 and had a particle size range
562 of 11-30 nm. Stanier et al. (2004b) described atmospheric nucleation events as two groups, namely
563 “short-lived” and “regional” events. In the “short-lived” group, there was a rapid increase of
564 number particle in the nucleation size range, but nuclei particles did not grow to larger particles.
565 Jeong et al. (2006) found this “short-lived” nucleation events related to local SO_2 and solar radiation
566 (UV-B), indicating that the photochemical reaction of SO_2 and OH radicals produced from O_3
567 photolysis could be responsible for this type of nucleation event. In the “regional nucleation” event,
568 new particles can grow up to 100 nm over several hours in the late afternoon and evening (the
569 PNSD evolution can be seen in the so-called banana curves). Similarly, Cheung et al. (2011)

570 observed three cases of nucleation burst events which were classified as: (i) new particle formation
571 by photochemical processes; (ii) a nucleation burst without particle growth and (iii) the interplay
572 between these two cases. In the first case with photochemically driven nucleation, the GMD
573 reached the smallest value of the day (~ 8 nm) during the nucleation occurrence period, and then the
574 nucleation mode particles grew into larger particles (~ 57 nm) until around midnight. In the second
575 case of a nucleation burst occurring without growth into larger particles, the GMD dropped from
576 ~ 30 nm to 10 nm and the number of particles in the nucleation mode increased from $\sim 7 \cdot 10^3$ to 10^5
577 cm^{-3} while those in the Aitken mode did not show any significant variation (Cheung et al., 2011). It
578 was suggested that the nucleation burst could be due to the nucleation of local precursors emitted
579 from local sources such as traffic, ship or aircraft emissions. Reche et al. (2011) demonstrated the
580 frequent occurrence of particle nucleation between the morning and evening rush hour periods in
581 southern, but not northern European cities, and Brines et al. (2015) distinguished between traffic
582 and nucleation events as sources of nanoparticles in high insolation developed world cities,
583 following a quantitative estimation of source contributions conducted in Barcelona (Dall'Osto et al.,
584 2012). In later work, Dall'Osto et al. (2013) distinguished three types of nucleation and growth
585 events: (1) a regional type event affecting both the city and the surrounding rural area; (2) a
586 regional event impacting only the surrounding rural area, and (3) an event originating in the city and
587 continuing downwind. For criteria to identify nucleation events, the reader is directed to other
588 sources (Kulmala et al., 2012; Betha et al., 2013; Dal Maso et al., 2005; Manninen et al., 2010; Woo
589 et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2007).

590

591 Reche et al. (2011) compared diurnal profiles of particle number count and black carbon in a
592 number of European cities. In northern Europe, particle number generally correlated strongly with
593 black carbon reflecting a common source in road traffic emissions. In the data from southern
594 European cities an additional increase in particle number count, not associated with black carbon
595 occurred in the middle of the day, and was attributed to regional nucleation processes driven by

596 photochemistry (Reche et al., 2011). The seasonal occurrence of nucleation has been found to vary.
597 Stanier et al. (2004b) found that regional nucleation events occurred during all seasons but the most
598 intense ones were observed during spring and fall. Jeong et al. (2004) reported that there was no
599 clear seasonal variation of the afternoon nucleation occurrence frequency. However, that study
600 noted that strong afternoon events with number concentrations of particles higher than $30,000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
601 were mostly found in spring and summer.

602

603 The mechanisms for new particle formation have also received much attention from researchers.
604 There are two mechanisms that explain the nucleation of particles in the atmosphere: (1)
605 condensation of a low-vapour-pressure species without foreign nuclei or surface involved
606 (homogeneous nucleation) or (2) scavenging of the low-vapour-pressure products on a foreign
607 substance (heterogeneous nucleation). Holmes (2007) expressed the view that most secondary
608 particles formed within the atmosphere possibly occur by binary nucleation of sulphuric acid and
609 water or ternary nucleation involving a third molecule which is frequently ammonia. Furthermore,
610 Zhang et al. (2011) presented a critical review of nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in the
611 atmosphere. That review concluded that the binary nucleation or ternary nucleation of sulphuric
612 acid, water and ammonia cannot explain completely the observed nucleation frequency and growth
613 rate, suggesting that other nucleation mechanisms (i.e. ternary nucleation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ involving
614 amines, nucleation of iodine oxides or ion-induced nucleation) could participate in new particle
615 formation. For more information on nucleation process mechanisms, the reader is directed to other
616 sources (Holmes, 2007; Pitts and Pitts, 2000; Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998).

617

618 **3. FACTORS AFFECTING PARTICLE NUMBER SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS**

619 As discussed above, particles emitted from different sources show different size distributions, and
620 therefore the shape of a measured PNSD in the atmosphere depends upon the contributing sources
621 and atmospheric processing between source and receptor for both primary and secondary aerosols.

622 The evolution of PNSD from traffic exhaust emissions to ambient air has been investigated by
623 numerous experiments especially in traffic tunnels, street canyons or freeways which show a change
624 in PNSD with increasing distance from local emissions (Agus et al., 2007; Hitchins et al., 2000;
625 Zhu et al., 2002b). For example, Zhu et al. (2002b) reported that the ultrafine particle size
626 distribution changes significantly with increasing distance from a freeway. The study reported that
627 the PNSD shows three distinct modes with GMD of 12, 27 and 65 nm at 30 m downwind distance;
628 while it shows one mode with GMD of 41 nm at 150 m downwind and two modes with GMD of 15
629 and 65 nm at 300 m downwind. By conducting experiments of the evolution of PNSD near the 405
630 and 710 freeways in Los Angeles, California, Zhang and Wexler (2004) concluded that PNSD alters
631 due to nucleation, condensation and coagulation in the very rapid first-stage dilution process (1-3 s)
632 which is induced by vehicle turbulence. In the second dilution process which can last around 3-10
633 min, the main mechanisms in changing the particle number size are condensation, evaporation and
634 dilution while the effects of coagulation and deposition are minor (Zhang et al., 2004; Jacobson and
635 Seinfeld, 2004). As a result, Zhang et al., (2004b) found that a dominant fractions of particle
636 number grew into the size range of > 10 nm at around 30-90 m downwind distance while some of
637 them continued to grow to >100 nm and some shrank to <10 nm beyond 90 m of the freeways.
638 Similar findings were observed in a study on the impact of roadside noise barriers on PNSD near
639 freeways by Ning et al. (2010). Dall'Osto et al. (2011a) reported that traffic-generated
640 nanoparticles shrank by evaporation as they were carried downwind into a park, or mixed upwards
641 within the atmosphere. In a review of dynamics and dispersion modelling of nanoparticles from
642 roadside sites, Kumar et al. (2011) concluded that dilution is the most essential input parameter in
643 dispersion models, followed by the nucleation, condensation and deposition. Obviously,
644 meteorological parameters such as wind speed, wind direction, temperature and humidity have
645 significant roles in altering PNSD due to their strong effects in the dilution, nucleation,
646 condensation and evaporation of particles (Birmili et al., 2001; Charron and Harrison., 2003; Nieto
647 et al., 1994; Vakeva et al., 2000). For example, Shi and Harrison (1999) found that nucleation of

648 particles during diesel exhaust dilution was favoured by high relative humidity and low
649 temperatures. Similarly, Ketzel et al., (2007) found that the particle number emissions can double
650 due to a higher nucleation rate by a decrease of temperature from 20 to 5°C. In addition, Charron
651 and Harrison (2003) observed the evolution of PNSD at a major road in London and found that
652 wind and rain have a strong influence on the particle number. This study found the stronger wind
653 speed could reduce twofold total number counts of particles at diameter ranging from 30 to 450 nm,
654 but had no effect on the small particles (11-30 nm), and particle numbers with diameter below 150
655 nm were found higher during the rainy periods. In an other observation in a Northern Indian City,
656 Baxla et al. (2009) found that the particle number concentration on a winter foggy day was an order
657 of magnitude higher than a winter clear day and the particle size distribution show a high number of
658 ultrafine particles less than 20 nm. The higher number concentrations observed on foggy days could
659 be explained by the enhanced secondary organic aerosol production due to aqueous phase chemistry
660 during these episodes (Kaul et al., 2011). Furthermore, the other properties of particles such as
661 hygroscopicity can play a significant role in changing particle size (Swietlicki et al., 2008;
662 Weingartner et al., 1997; Rose et al., 2010).

663

664 During transportation in the atmosphere, both primary and secondary particles continue to be
665 subject to the processes of growth (coagulation, condensation, evaporation and cloud nuclei
666 formation) and removal (dilution, settling, deposition, rainout, and washout) (Hinds, 1999). Hence,
667 number concentration and diameter of particles can change during transport. The influences of
668 atmospheric processes on particles vary depending on their size. For example, nuclei mode particles
669 are strongly affected by coagulation due to their frequently high number concentration. The
670 influence of atmospheric processes can be observed via studies on ageing of combustion particles in
671 both the laboratory and the field. Interestingly, the influence of atmospheric processes has been
672 explained well in recent studies by application of receptor models on measured PNSD, as will be
673 discussed in detail in the following section.

674 **4. APPLICATION OF PNSD IN SOURCE IDENTIFICATION AND**
675 **APPORTIONMENT**

676 **4.1 Modal Analysis Methods**

677 The PNSD is ideally described by the log-normal distribution. For a unimodal distribution, a log₁₀-
678 normal distribution function is described by equation (1) (Pitts and Pitts, 2000):

679

$$\frac{dN}{d\log D_p} = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi} \log \sigma_g} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log D_p - \log CMD)^2}{2(\log \sigma_g)^2}\right] \quad (1)$$

680 where:

681 N: total number concentration and dN is the particle number concentration having a logarithmic
682 diameter between logD_p and (logD_p+dlogD_p) (cm⁻³); D_p: particle diameter (nm); σ_g: geometric
683 standard deviation; CMD: Count median diameter (nm).

684

685 As discussed in the above sections, measured PNSDs in the atmosphere typically have more than
686 one mode. Therefore, they can be considered as a sum of *n* modes that fit a sum of lognormal
687 distributions, in which each mode reflects a different source as described in Equation 2.

688

$$\frac{dN_{Total}}{d\log D_p} = \frac{dN_1}{d\log D_p} + \frac{dN_2}{d\log D_p} + \dots + \frac{dN_n}{d\log D_p} \quad (2)$$

689 Mäkelä et al. (2000) applied a fitting procedure to one year of submicron particle data from a
690 tropospheric background site in Southern Finland. This study found that there were three typical
691 modes including the nucleation mode, the Aitken mode and the accumulation mode. They
692 sometimes found a fourth and a fifth mode. Similarly, by analysis of modal parameters at an urban
693 roadside location, Lingard et al. (2006) found four modes including mode I - sub-11 nm nucleation
694 mode (CMD: 7-11 nm, σ_g: 1.1-1.4), mode II- super-11 nm nucleation mode (CMD: 11-25 nm, σ_g:

695 1.3-1.6), mode III-Aiken mode (CMD: 28-86 nm, σ_g : 1.5-2.0) and mode IV- accumulation mode
696 (CMD: 114-173 nm, σ_g : 1.2-1.5). Mode-I was interpreted as arising from atmospheric nucleation or
697 oxidation of post-exhaust emissions from vehicles. Mode II was particles that shared some common
698 sources with mode-I particles, whilst they were also believed to come from photolysis driven
699 sources and condensation of the vehicular emissions. However Mode II was believed to also
700 represent new particle formation “through aged air masses rich in precursor gases from
701 anthropogenic sources” at the beginning of the day. Mode III particles were interpreted as
702 externally mixed soot particles which were primarily generated from diesel engines while mode IV
703 particles were attributed to secondary aerosols which formed in the atmosphere by coagulation and
704 agglomeration of small particles or condensation of volatile materials onto pre-existing particles.
705 These results are consistent with Agus et al. (2007).

706

707 **4.2 Cluster Analysis**

708 The application of clustering techniques to size distribution data was first performed by Tunved et
709 al. (2004). Subsequently, it has been used by numerous recent studies (Beddows et al., 2014;
710 Beddows et al., 2009; Brines et al., 2014; Charron et al., 2008; Dall'Osto et al., 2011b; Wegner et
711 al., 2012). In this method, the measured PSND spectra in a large dataset can be classified into
712 controllable subgroups based on the highest degree of similarity between each PNSD spectrum. The
713 clustering algorithm used, known as K-Means, selects a random initial partition and repeatedly
714 assigns PSND spectra to categories by minimizing the sum of squared Euclidean distances between
715 each spectrum and its cluster centre. In the initial result, a higher number of clusters around 10-20 is
716 selected to avoid any possible combined PSND spectra from different sources or processes (Brines
717 et al., 2014). To optimise the selected cluster number, use of the Dunn-Index -defined as a function
718 of the ratio between cluster separation (minimum distance between SMPS spectra of neighbouring
719 clusters) to the maximum cluster diameter (maximum separation of the SMPS spectra within the
720 cluster)- was introduced by Beddows et al. (2009).

721 Tunved et al. (2004) used clustering on SMPS data collected at the Swedish continental background
722 station Aspvreten. By averaging of six consecutive hours, hourly SMPS data were reduced to four
723 distributions each day (00:00-06:00; 06:00-12:00; 12:00-18:00 and 18:00-24:00). Based on
724 clustering, these size distributions were classified into eight groups which represented three
725 different stages of the particle life cycle including fresh, intermediate and aged stages. Beddows et
726 al. (2014) used cluster analysis of hourly/daily/weekly SMPS data collected at 24 background sites
727 across Europe in 2008-2009. By investigation of nine clusters, this study successfully described the
728 atmospheric processes influencing aerosol life. Following particle size distributions as air masses
729 traversed trajectories across Europe, aerosol growth processes could be quantified (Beddows et al.,
730 2014). By combining with auxiliary data such as meteorological parameters and air pollutants
731 (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, O_3 , NO_x , SO_2), the sources of particles can be successfully identified (Beddows et al.,
732 2009; Charron et al., 2008).

733

734 **4.3 Receptor Model Studies**

735 The characteristic profiles of particle size distributions from local sources suggest that particle size
736 data may probably be useful to identify and apportion the sources of aerosols using receptor
737 modelling methods (Zhu et al., 2002b). Many recent publications that used factor analytical
738 techniques to PNSD data in recent years have demonstrated that this method can successfully
739 apportion sources of particles (Viana et al., 2008). The advantages of this method are that it can
740 identify specific sources of very small particles, such as nucleation, and it can separate sources of
741 particles such as brake and tyre-wear. For example, using this method Ogulei et al. (2007) identified
742 two types of nucleation sources of sub-micron particles in Rochester, New York, which could not
743 be identified if receptor models were applied to chemical species data. However, this method has
744 some disadvantages associated with variable profiles of PNSD due to weather conditions. Ogulei et
745 al. (2007) reported that the seasonal variation of ambient temperature and solar intensity could lead
746 to unstable size distributions of particles, which made impossible to resolve the source profiles.

747 One of the main receptor models which has been used is principal component analysis (PCA). Chan
748 and Mozurkewich (2007) performed absolute principal components analysis (APCA) in Southern
749 Ontario and reported that APCA can be applied effectively to a dataset composed of PNSD data and
750 originally measured particulate matter and gaseous pollutant data. Similarly, Costabile et al. (2009)
751 applied principal component analysis to the PNSD in Leipzig city and found that several of the
752 resulting factors could be associated with particle modes that represented specific sources of
753 particles. Furthermore, by using PCA combined with multi-linear regression analysis on the dataset
754 including PNSD, meteorological parameters, gaseous pollutants and chemical speciation of fine
755 particles, Pey et al. (2009) and Cusack et al. (2013) apportioned sources of particles with diameters
756 in the range of 13-800 nm and submicron particles collected at an urban background site in
757 Barcelona.

758
759 The receptor modelling technique applied most to PNSD data is positive matrix factorization
760 (PMF), which will be discussed in detail in the next section. The first study using PMF on PNSD
761 was conducted by Kim et al. (2003) and successfully identified sources of particles including wood
762 burning, secondary aerosol, diesel and motor vehicle emission in Seattle (US). Since then,
763 numerous studies have apportioned source of particles in urban areas using PMF applied to PNSD.
764 In comparison with other receptor models applied to PNSD, the PMF model is reported to run well.
765 Kim et al. (2003) compared PMF and Unmix models in a study of the volume size distribution and
766 its pattern at a centrally located urban site in Seattle and found that both PMF and Unmix show four
767 similar factors. A recent comparison of PMF with PCA-APCA conducted by Friend et al. (2013),
768 reported that PMF identified six sources whereas PCA-APCA resolved ten sources. Interestingly
769 the six sources resolved by PMF were similar to those by PCA-APCA.

770

771

772

773 **4.3.1 PMF application to PNSD**

774 As mentioned above, PMF is the model most frequently applied to PNSD. Positive Matrix
775 Factorization (PMF) is a widely used tool to identify and apportion the sources of particulate matter
776 (PM) by analysing the measurement of observed species at the receptor site (Viana et al., 2008). In
777 the source apportionment of PM mass, many chemical components contained in PM such as organic
778 and black carbon, ionic species and heavy metals are typically included in the dataset run with
779 PMF. Additionally, other observations such as PAHs, gaseous species, meteorological parameters,
780 and traffic flow are also used to identify more sources.

781

782 In the source apportionment of particles in terms of number, each size bin in the dataset is
783 considered as an input variable. The number of variables has ranged from 16 to 158, depending on
784 the numbers of samples, the quality of variables and the goals of study. To smooth the size
785 distribution data and reduce the uncertainty in the number concentration, some studies have tried to
786 reduce the original number of size bins by summing sets of consecutive size bins (Thimmaiah et al.,
787 2009; Zhou et al., 2005b). In addition, Zhou et al. (2004) suggested that data from the days with
788 intense nucleation events that considerably affect the stability of particle size distribution data
789 should be excluded. In addition, in some instances the last size interval has not been included
790 because of the collection efficiency drop or low data capture (Gu et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2003). In
791 some studies, auxiliary data such as ion species, heavy metals, gaseous pollutants, meteorological
792 parameters and traffic data have been added to help separate and identify the sources of particulate
793 matter (Harrison et al., 2011; Ogulei et al., 2006b; Thimmaiah et al., 2009). Table 6 shows
794 published studies that have applied PMF to PNSD data.

795

796 **4.3.2 Sampling instruments**

797 Full range particle size distributions have mainly been measured using a Scanning Mobility Particle
798 Sizer (SMPS) and Aerodynamic Particle Size Spectrometer (APS), capable of covering the range

799 from 3 nm to 18 μm with time resolution less than one hour. Other measurement systems which
800 have been used are Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) and twin differential mobility
801 particle sizer (TDMPS) (Thimmaiah et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013; Yue et al., 2008). As discussed
802 previously, many studies have conclusively shown that the fine particles account for the majority of
803 the particle number concentration, therefore more than 50% of studies have applied PMF to identify
804 sources of ultrafine and fine particles. In long-term measurements, datasets were sometimes
805 subdivided into individual seasons to avoid the experimental uncertainty resulting from to large
806 season-to-season variability in ambient temperature and solar radiation intensity that would lead to
807 unstable/non-stationary size distributions (Wang et al., 2013). Missing data has been generally
808 replaced by the average concentration of particle in the same size bins with the uncertainties
809 assumed as 3 times the mean (Gu et al., 2011; Ogulei et al., 2006b) and outlier data has been
810 generally replaced by the mean concentration of the preceding and subsequent sample value
811 (Thimmaiah et al., 2009). Merging the data to accommodate differences between electrical
812 mobility and aerodynamic diameters is necessary prior to application of PMF (Beddows et al.,
813 2010).

814

815 **4.3.3 Calculation of measurement errors and uncertainties**

816 Since the error matrix for number particle counts is not provided by the experimental instruments,
817 several methods to assign measurement errors (σ) have been reported. The most popular formula to
818 calculate the measurement errors was introduced by (Ogulei et al., 2006a) as the following
819 empirical equation (Equation 3):

820

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \alpha(N_{i,j} + \bar{N}_j) & \text{if } N_{i,j} > 0 \\ 2 \bar{N}_j & \text{if } N_{i,j} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

821 where $\sigma_{i,j}$ is the calculated measurement error for size bin j and sample i . $N_{i,j}$ is the measured
 822 number concentration for size bin j and sample i , and \bar{N}_j is the arithmetic mean of the reported
 823 values for size bin j . $\alpha = 0.01$ is an arbitrary constant and was determined by a trial and error
 824 method. The missing data are replaced by \bar{N}_j , the mean value for the size bin, and the uncertainties
 825 are assumed to be three times \bar{N}_j .

826

827 Another method was presented by Zhou et al. (2004), which calculated the measurement error for
 828 the size range between 3 and 22 nm (particles measured by the nano-SMPS) based on the estimated
 829 instrumental error (U) in each size bin, as shown in Equation 4:

830

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{N_{i,j}}{U_j}} + 1 \right) \times U_j, \quad j=1,2, \dots, 55. \quad (4)$$

831 where $\sigma_{i,j}$ is the measurement error, $N_{i,j}$ is the measured number concentration for size bin j and
 832 sample i , U_j is the instrumental error calculated to be the lower of the minimum nonzero values and
 833 the minimum difference of the concentration values within the size bin j . To reduce the error caused
 834 by the discontinuity between instruments, this study combined every consecutive five size bins (j)
 835 into a new single size bin (h). The measurement error for the combined size fraction distribution $N_{i,h}$
 836 was calculated according to Equation 5:

837

$$D_p \leq 0.022 \mu\text{m}, \quad \sigma_{i,h} = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{j=5h-4}^{j=5h} \sigma_{i,j} \quad h=1,2,\dots,11 \quad (5)$$

838 With particles of $D_p > 0.022 \mu\text{m}$, this study suggested that the concentration differences between
 839 neighbouring size intervals are not significant, i.e. that the concentration of the five consecutive
 840 bins can be considered as five measurements of one size bin. Therefore, the measurement error can
 841 be described according to Equation 6:

$$D_p > 0.022 \mu\text{m}, \sigma_{i,h} = [\max(x_{i,5h-4}, \dots, x_{i,5h}) - \min(x_{i,5h-4}, \dots, x_{i,5h})] / 2, \quad (6)$$

$$h=12,13,\dots,33.$$

842

843 A recent publication by Wang et al. (2013) reported that the aerosol flow rate, the counting
844 efficiency of the DMA and CPC, along with the diffusion loss correction are error sources in the
845 measurement of particle size distribution using a TDMPS. This study estimated that the error
846 fraction for small particles (<25 nm) and large particle were 15% and 10%, respectively.

847

848 In terms of the uncertainties required to input into PMF, the uncertainty matrix is computed using
849 known concentrations, measurement errors and detection limit values. Readers are referred to
850 Hopke (2003) and Paatero and Tapper (1994) for different ways of estimating uncertainties. In
851 addition, Table 7 shows several formulae to estimate uncertainty used in studies of particle number
852 concentration.

853

854 **4.3.4 Solution interpretation**

855 PMF solutions are interpreted based on (1) modal characteristics of number (volume) size
856 distribution, (2) diurnal patterns of contribution, (3) source contributions to total number or volume
857 concentration, (4) the correlation of G-matrix with the measured gaseous or composition species
858 and (5) source directionality by the local wind trajectories and conditional probability functions
859 (Ogulei et al., 2007). In addition, many studies combine PMF results with auxiliary information to
860 link source types with factor profiles. While the local wind trajectories and conditional probability
861 functions are used to identify the location of the sources that originated the emissions corresponding
862 with each factor; the correlation of the G matrix (source contributions) with auxiliary information
863 such as gaseous and chemical composition data contributes towards the identification of the nature
864 of the sources. A comparison of PMF applied to particle size distribution and chemical composition
865 data conducted by Gu et al. (2011) showed moderate to strong correlations between four factors

866 including resuspended dust, stationary combustion, aged traffic and secondary aerosol obtained
867 from PNSD and chemical composition data. In addition, the outcomes of PMF are more successful
868 in analyses performed with datasets that combine particle number size fraction distribution with
869 gaseous data and chemical composition data (Kasumba et al., 2009; Ogulei et al., 2006b;
870 Thimmaiah et al., 2009; Yue et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2005a). Furthermore, by applying PMF to the
871 combined data of size distribution with meteorological and traffic volume, Harrison et al. (2011)
872 identified and separated specifically the components of on-road emissions, including tyre and brake
873 wear. The factor profiles and their fingerprints are summarized in Table 8.

874 875 **4.4 Source Apportionment of Particle Number in Urban Areas**

876 Traffic related emissions have been found to be a major source of urban particles (Morawska et al.,
877 2008). Gu et al. (2011) found that fresh and aged traffic emissions accounted for 25% and 40% of
878 total particles in central Augsburg, Germany. An application of PMF to PNSD data in Erfurt
879 reported that local traffic and remote traffic represented 78% and 15% of total ultrafine particles
880 (0.01-0.10 μm) respectively.

881
882 The second major source of particles in an urban area is nucleation. Ogulei et al. (2007) and
883 Kasumba et al. (2009) reported that nucleation from traffic emissions represented between 15% to
884 21% of total particles in Rochester. Ogulei et al. (2007) concluded that the most important sources
885 in urban Rochester, New York were traffic, nucleation and industrial emissions accounting for more
886 than 81% of total submicron particles. Other dominant sources found in urban areas were industrial
887 emissions, secondary aerosol and residential heating. Table 9 shows a summary of particle number
888 source apportionment in different cities over the world extracted from receptor model analysis.

889
890
891

892 **5. CONCLUSION**

893 In summary, most atmospheric particle size distributions generated from multiple sources can be
894 described as a sum of log-normal distributions, and each source displays a characteristic modal
895 structure such as peak mode or count median diameter. After emission from the source, particles are
896 subject to various dynamic processes such as nucleation, coagulation or condensation that alter their
897 sizes. By number, nanoparticles account for the majority of particles emitted from combustion
898 sources and are the main contributors to particle number concentration in urban areas. Modal,
899 cluster and positive matrix factorization analysis of PNSD can help to identify the sources of
900 particles measured at a particular receptor site. Moreover, the influence of atmospheric processes
901 can also be resolved using these methods. Furthermore, PMF model results consistently identify
902 traffic emissions as a major source of particle number concentration in cities.

903

904 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

905 This research is supported by European Community through FP7 MC-ITN 315760, HEXACOMM,
906 Proposal No. 315760.

907

908

909 **REFERENCES**

- 910
- 911 Aarnio, P., Yli-Tuomi, T., Kousa, A., Mäkelä, T., Hirsikko, A., Hämeri, K., Räisänen, M., Hillamo,
912 R., Koskentalo, T., Jantunen, M., 2005. The concentrations and composition of and exposure to fine
913 particles (PM_{2.5}) in the Helsinki subway system. *Atmospheric Environment* 39, 5059-5066.
- 914 Aatmeeyata, Kaul, D.S., Sharma, M., 2009. Traffic generated non-exhaust particulate emissions
915 from concrete pavement: A mass and particle size study for two-wheelers and small cars.
916 *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 5691-5697.
- 917 Abbasi, S., Jansson, A., Olander, L., Olofsson, U., Sellgren, U., 2012a. A pin-on-disc study of the
918 rate of airborne wear particle emissions from railway braking materials. *Wear* 284, 18-29.
- 919 Abbasi, S., Olander, L., Larsson, C., Olofsson, U., Jansson, A., Sellgren, U., 2012b. A field test
920 study of airborne wear particles from a running regional train. *Proceedings of the Institution of*
921 *Mechanical Engineers, Part F: Journal of Rail and Rapid Transit* 226, 95-109.
- 922 Abdullahi, K.L., Delgado-Saborit, J.M., Harrison, R.M., 2013. Emissions and indoor concentrations
923 of particulate matter and its specific chemical components from cooking: A review. *Atmospheric*
924 *Environment* 71, 260-294.
- 925 Abt, E., Suh, H.H., Allen, G., Koutrakis, P., 2000. Characterization of indoor particle sources: A
926 study conducted in the metropolitan Boston area. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 108, 35.
- 927 Adak, A., Chatterjee, A., Singh, A.K., Sarkar, C., Ghosh, S., Raha, S., 2014. Atmospheric Fine
928 Mode Particulates at Eastern Himalaya, India: Role of Meteorology, Long-Range Transport and
929 Local Anthropogenic Sources. *Aerosol Air Quality Research* 14, 440-450.
- 930 Agarwal, A.K., Gupta, T., Dixit, N., Shukla, P.C., 2013. Assessment of toxic potential of primary
931 and secondary particulates/aerosols from biodiesel vis-a-vis mineral diesel fuelled engine.
932 *Inhalation Toxicology* 25, 325-332.
- 933 Agarwal, A.K., Gupta, T., Lukose, J., Singh, A.P., 2015. Particulate Characterization and Size
934 Distribution in the Exhaust of a Gasoline Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition Engine.
935 *Aerosol and Air Quality Research* 15, 504-516.
- 936 Agus, E.L., Young, D.T., Lingard, J.J.N., Smalley, R.J., Tate, J.E., Goodman, P.S., Tomlin, A.S.,
937 2007. Factors influencing particle number concentrations, size distributions and modal parameters
938 at a roof-level and roadside site in Leicester, UK. *Science of The Total Environment* 386, 65-82.
- 939 Alam, A., Shi, J.P., Harrison, R.M., 2003. Observations of new particle formation in urban air.
940 *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108, 4093-4107. doi:10.1029/2001JD001417
- 941 Armas, O., Gómez, A., Mata, C., Ramos, Á., 2012. Particles emitted during the stops of an urban
942 bus fuelled with ethanol–biodiesel–diesel blends. *Urban Climate* 2, 43-54.
- 943 Atkinson, R.W., Fuller, G.W., Anderson, H.R., Harrison, R.M., Armstrong, B., 2010. Urban
944 ambient particle metrics and health: a time-series analysis. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* 21,
945 501-511.
- 946 Bagley, S.T., Baumgard, K.J., Gratz, L.D., Johnson, J.H., Leddy, D.G., 1996. Characterization of
947 fuel and aftertreatment device effects on diesel emissions. Research report (Health Effects Institute),
948 1-75; discussion 77-86.

- 949 Ban-Weiss, G.A., Lunden, M.M., Kirchstetter, T.W., Harley, R.A., 2010. Size-resolved particle
950 number and volume emission factors for on-road gasoline and diesel motor vehicles. *Journal of*
951 *Aerosol Science* 41, 5-12.
- 952 Baxla, S., Roy, A., Gupta, T., Tripathi, S., Bandyopadhyaya, R., 2009. Analysis of diurnal and
953 seasonal variation of submicron outdoor aerosol mass and size distribution in a northern Indian city
954 and its correlation to black carbon. *Aerosol Air Quality Research* 9, 458-469.
- 955 Beckerman, B., Jerrett, M., Brook, J.R., Verma, D.K., Arain, M.A., Finkelstein, M.M., 2008.
956 Correlation of nitrogen dioxide with other traffic pollutants near a major expressway. *Atmospheric*
957 *Environment* 42, 275-290.
- 958 Beddows, D.C., Dall'osto, M., Harrison, R.M., 2010. An enhanced procedure for the merging of
959 atmospheric particle size distribution data measured using electrical mobility and time-of-flight
960 analysers. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 44, 930-938.
- 961 Beddows, D.C.S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R.M., Kulmala, M., Asmi, A., Wiedensohler, A., Laj, P.,
962 Fjaeraa, A.M., Sellegri, K., Birmili, W., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U.,
963 Zdimal, V., Zikova, N., Putaud, J.P., Marinoni, A., Tunved, P., Hansson, H.C., Fiebig, M., Kivekäs,
964 N., Swietlicki, E., Lihavainen, H., Asmi, E., Ulevicius, V., Aalto, P.P., Mihalopoulos, N., Kalivitis,
965 N., Kalapov, I., Kiss, G., de Leeuw, G., Henzing, B., O'Dowd, C., Jennings, S.G., Flentje, H.,
966 Meinhardt, F., Ries, L., Denier van der Gon, H.A.C., Visschedijk, A.J.H., 2014. Variations in
967 tropospheric submicron particle size distributions across the European continent 2008–2009.
968 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 4327-4348.
- 969 Beddows, D.C.S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R.M., 2009. Cluster Analysis of Rural, Urban, and
970 Curbside Atmospheric Particle Size Data. *Environmental Science & Technology* 43, 4694-4700.
- 971 Betha, R., Spracklen, D.V., Balasubramanian, R., 2013. Observations of new aerosol particle
972 formation in a tropical urban atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 71, 340-351.
- 973 Birmili, W., Wiedensohler, A., Heintzenberg, J., Lehmann, K., 2001. Atmospheric particle number
974 size distribution in central Europe: Statistical relations to air masses and meteorology. *Journal of*
975 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 106, D23, 32005-32018, doi: 10.1029/2000JD000220.
- 976 Biswas, S., Hu, S., Verma, V., Herner, J.D., Robertson, W.H., Ayala, A., Sioutas, C., 2008.
977 Physical properties of particulate matter (PM) from late model heavy-duty diesel vehicles operating
978 with advanced PM and NOx emission control technologies. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 5622-
979 5634.
- 980 Brines, M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D.C.S., Harrison, R.M., Querol, X., 2014. Simplifying aerosol
981 size distributions modes simultaneously detected at four monitoring sites during SAPUSS. *Atmos.*
982 *Chem. Phys.* 14, 2973-2986.
- 983 Brines, M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D.C.S., Harrison, R.M., Gómez-Moreno, F., Núñez, L.,
984 Artíñano, B., Costabile, F., Gobbi, G.P., Salimi, F., Morawska, L., Sioutas, C., Querol, X., 2015.
985 Traffic and nucleation events as main sources of ultrafine particles in high insolation developed
986 world cities. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 15, 5929-5945.
- 987
- 988 Buonanno, G., Bernabei, M., Avino, P., Stabile, L., 2012. Occupational exposure to airborne
989 particles and other pollutants in an aviation base. *Environmental Pollution* 170, 78-87.
- 990 Buonanno, G., Ficco, G., Stabile, L., 2009a. Size distribution and number concentration of particles
991 at the stack of a municipal waste incinerator. *Waste Management* 29, 749-755.

- 992 Buonanno, G., Lall, A.A., Stabile, L., 2009b. Temporal size distribution and concentration of
993 particles near a major highway. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 1100-1105.
- 994 Buonanno, G., Morawska, L., 2015. Ultrafine particle emission of waste incinerators and
995 comparison to the exposure of urban citizens. *Waste Management* 37, 75-81.
- 996 Buonanno, G., Morawska, L., Stabile, L., 2009c. Particle emission factors during cooking activities.
997 *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 3235-3242.
- 998 Buonanno, G., Scungio, M., Stabile, L., Tirler, W., 2011a. Ultrafine particle emission from
999 incinerators: The role of the fabric filter. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 62,
1000 103-111.
- 1001 Buonanno, G., Stabile, L., Avino, P., Belluso, E., 2011b. Chemical, dimensional and morphological
1002 ultrafine particle characterization from a waste-to-energy plant. *Waste Management* 31, 2253-2262.
- 1003 Cadle, S., Williams, R., 1979. Gas and particle emissions from automobile tires in laboratory and
1004 field studies. *Rubber Chemistry and Technology* 52, 146-158.
- 1005 Carbone, F., Beretta, F., D'Anna, A., 2010. Factors Influencing Ultrafine Particulate Matter (PM_{0.1})
1006 Formation under Pulverized Coal Combustion and Oxyfiring Conditions. *Energy & Fuels* 24,
1007 6248-6256.
- 1008 Carpentieri, M., Kumar, P., 2011. Ground-fixed and on-board measurements of nanoparticles in the
1009 wake of a moving vehicle. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 5837-5852.
- 1010 Casati, R., Scheer, V., Vogt, R., Benter, T., 2007. Measurement of nucleation and soot mode
1011 particle emission from a diesel passenger car in real world and laboratory in situ dilution.
1012 *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 2125-2135.
- 1013 Cernuschi, S., Giugliano, M., Ozgen, S., Consonni, S., 2012. Number concentration and chemical
1014 composition of ultrafine and nanoparticles from WTE (waste to energy) plants. *Science of the Total*
1015 *Environment* 420, 319-326.
- 1016 Chakrabarty, R.K., Moosmüller, H., Garro, M.A., Arnott, W.P., Walker, J., Susott, R.A., Babbitt,
1017 R.E., Wold, C.E., Lincoln, E.N., Hao, W.M., 2006. Emissions from the laboratory combustion of
1018 wildland fuels: Particle morphology and size. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*
1019 (1984–2012) 111.
- 1020 Chan, T.W., Mozurkewich, M., 2007. Application of absolute principal component analysis to size
1021 distribution data: identification of particle origins. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 887-897.
- 1022 Chang, M.-C.O., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., Hopke, P.K., Yi, S.-M., England, G.C., 2004.
1023 Measurement of ultrafine particle size distributions from coal-, oil-, and gas-fired stationary
1024 combustion sources. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 54, 1494-1505.
- 1025 Charron, A., Birmili, W., Harrison, R.M., 2008. Fingerprinting particle origins according to their
1026 size distribution at a UK rural site. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 113, D07202,
1027 doi:10.1029/2007JD008562.
- 1028 Charron, A., Harrison, R.M., 2003. Primary particle formation from vehicle emissions during
1029 exhaust dilution in the roadside atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 4109-4119.
- 1030 Cheung, H.C., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z.D., 2011. Observation of new particle formation in
1031 subtropical urban environment. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 11, 3823-3833.

- 1032 Costabile, F., Birmili, W., Klose, S., Tuch, T., Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., Franck, U., König,
1033 K., Sonntag, A., 2009. Spatio-temporal variability and principal components of the particle number
1034 size distribution in an urban atmosphere. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 9, 3163-3195.
- 1035 Cusack, M., Pérez, N., Pey, J., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., 2013. Source apportionment of fine PM
1036 and sub-micron particle number concentrations at a regional background site in the western
1037 Mediterranean: a 2.5 year study. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 13, 5173-5187.
- 1038 Dahl, A., Gharibi, A., Swietlicki, E., Gudmundsson, A., Bohgard, M., Ljungman, A., Blomqvist,
1039 G., Gustafsson, M., 2006. Traffic-generated emissions of ultrafine particles from pavement–tire
1040 interface. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 1314-1323.
- 1041 Dall'Osto, M., Thorpe, A., Beddows, D.C.S., Harrison, R.M., Barlow, J.F., Dunbar, T., Williams
1042 P.I., Coe, H., 2011a. Remarkable dynamics of nanoparticles in the urban atmosphere, *Atmos.*
1043 *Chem. Phys.* 11, 6623-6637.
- 1044 Dall'Osto, M., Monahan, C., Greaney, R., Beddows, D.C.S., Harrison, R.M., Ceburnis, D., O'Dowd,
1045 C.D., 2011b. A statistical analysis of North East Atlantic (submicron) aerosol size distributions.
1046 *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 11, 12567-12578.
- 1047 Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D.C.S., Pey, J., Rodriguez, S., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R.M., Querol, X.,
1048 2012. Urban aerosol size distributions over the Mediterranean city of Barcelona, NE Spain.
1049 *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 12, 10693-10707.
- 1050 Dall'Osto, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., O'Dowd, C., Harrison, R.M., Wenger, J., Gómez Moreno,
1051 F.J., 2013. On the spatial distribution and evolution of ultrafine particles in Barcelona.
1052 *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 13, 741-759.
- 1053
- 1054 Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P.P., Lehtinen, K.E.,
1055 2005. Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution
1056 data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland. *Boreal Environment Research* 10, 323-336.
- 1057 Dannis, M., 1974. Rubber dust from the normal wear of tires. *Rubber Chemistry and Technology*
1058 47, 1011-1037.
- 1059 Dennekamp, M., Howarth, S., Dick, C., Cherrie, J., Donaldson, K., Seaton, A., 2001. Ultrafine
1060 particles and nitrogen oxides generated by gas and electric cooking. *Occupational and*
1061 *Environmental Medicine* 58, 511-516.
- 1062 Dunn, M.J., Jiménez, J.-L., Baumgardner, D., Castro, T., McMurry, P.H., Smith, J.N., 2004.
1063 Measurements of Mexico City nanoparticle size distributions: Observations of new particle
1064 formation and growth. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31, L10102, doi: 10.1029/2004GL019483.
- 1065 Dusek, U., Frank, G.P., Hildebrandt, L., Curtius, J., Schneider, J., Walter, S., Chand, D., Drewnick,
1066 F., Hings, S., Jung, D., Borrmann, S., Andreae, M.O., 2006. Size matters more than chemistry for
1067 cloud-nucleating ability of aerosol particles. *Science (New York, NY)* 312, 1375-1378.
- 1068 Fauser, P., Tjell, J.C., Mosbaek, H., Pilegaard, K., 1999. Quantification of tire-tread particles using
1069 extractable organic zinc as tracer. *Rubber Chemistry and Technology* 72, 969-977.
- 1070 Fitzgerald, J.W., 1991. Marine aerosols: A review. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General*
1071 *Topics* 25, 533-545.

- 1072 Forsberg, B., Hansson, H.-C., Johansson, C., Areskoug, H., Persson, K., Järholm, B., 2005.
 1073 Comparative health impact assessment of local and regional particulate air pollutants in
 1074 Scandinavia. *AMBIO: Journal of the Human Environment* 34, 11-19.
- 1075 Friend, A., Ayoko, G., Jayaratne, E.R., Jamriska, M., Hopke, P., Morawska, L., 2012. Source
 1076 apportionment of ultrafine and fine particle concentrations in Brisbane, Australia. *Environmental*
 1077 *Science Pollution Research* 19, 2942-2950.
- 1078 Friend, A.J., Ayoko, G.A., Jager, D., Wust, M., Jayaratne, E.R., Jamriska, M., Morawska, L., 2013.
 1079 Sources of ultrafine particles and chemical species along a traffic corridor: comparison of the results
 1080 from two receptor models. *Environmental Chemistry* 10, 54-63.
- 1081 Fushimi, A., Hasegawa, S., Takahashi, K., Fujitani, Y., Tanabe, K., Kobayashi, S., 2008.
 1082 Atmospheric fate of nuclei-mode particles estimated from the number concentrations and chemical
 1083 composition of particles measured at roadside and background sites. *Atmospheric Environment* 42,
 1084 949-959.
- 1085 Gao, J., Chai, F., Wang, T., Wang, S., Wang, W., 2012. Particle number size distribution and new
 1086 particle formation: New characteristics during the special pollution control period in Beijing.
 1087 *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 24, 14-21.
- 1088 Gao, J., Chai, F., Wang, T., Wang, W., 2011. Particle number size distribution and new particle
 1089 formation (NPF) in Lanzhou, Western China. *Particuology* 9, 611-618.
- 1090 Garg, B.D., Cadle, S.H., Mulawa, P.A., Groblicki, P.J., Laroo, C., Parr, G.A., 2000. Brake wear
 1091 particulate matter emissions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34, 4463-4469.
- 1092 Giechaskiel, B., Chirico, R., DeCarlo, P.F., Clairotte, M., Adam, T., Martini, G., Heringa, M.F.,
 1093 Richter, R., Prevot, A.S.H., Baltensperger, U., Astorga, C., 2010. Evaluation of the particle
 1094 measurement programme (PMP) protocol to remove the vehicles' exhaust aerosol volatile phase.
 1095 *Science of the Total Environment* 408, 5106-5116.
- 1096 Giechaskiel, B., Ntziachristos, L., Samaras, Z., Scheer, V., Casati, R., Vogt, R., 2005. Formation
 1097 potential of vehicle exhaust nucleation mode particles on-road and in the laboratory. *Atmospheric*
 1098 *Environment* 39, 3191-3198.
- 1099 Gogoi, M.M., Moorthy, K.K., Kompalli, S.K., Chaubey, J.P., Babu, S.S., Manoj, M., Nair, V.S.,
 1100 Prabhu, T.P., 2014. Physical and optical properties of aerosols in a free tropospheric environment:
 1101 Results from long-term observations over western trans-Himalayas. *Atmospheric Environment* 84,
 1102 262-274.
- 1103 Gómez-Moreno, F.J., Pujadas, M., Plaza, J., Rodríguez-Maroto, J.J., Martínez-Lozano, P.,
 1104 Artíñano, B., 2011. Influence of seasonal factors on the atmospheric particle number concentration
 1105 and size distribution in Madrid. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 3169-3180.
- 1106 Gouriou, F., Morin, J.-P., Weill, M.-E., 2004. On-road measurements of particle number
 1107 concentrations and size distributions in urban and tunnel environments. *Atmospheric Environment*
 1108 38, 2831-2840.
- 1109 Gramsch, E., Gidhagen, L., Wahlin, P., Oyola, P., Moreno, F., 2009. Predominance of soot-mode
 1110 ultrafine particles in Santiago de Chile: Possible sources. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 2260-2267.
- 1111 Gu, J., Pitz, M., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Diemer, J., Reller, A., Zimmermann, R., Soentgen, J., Stoelzel,
 1112 M., Wichmann, H.E., Peters, A., Cyrys, J., 2011. Source apportionment of ambient particles:

- 1113 Comparison of positive matrix factorization analysis applied to particle size distribution and
1114 chemical composition data. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 1849-1857.
- 1115 Gupta, T., Kothari, A., Srivastava, D.K., Agarwal, A.K., 2010. Measurement of number and size
1116 distribution of particles emitted from a mid-sized transportation multipoint port fuel injection
1117 gasoline engine. *Fuel* 89, 2230-2233.
- 1118 Gustafsson, M., Blomqvist, G., Gudmundsson, A., Dahl, A., Swietlicki, E., Bohgard, M., Lindbom,
1119 J., Ljungman, A., 2008. Properties and toxicological effects of particles from the interaction
1120 between tyres, road pavement and winter traction material. *Science of the Total Environment* 393,
1121 226-240.
- 1122 Guyon, P., Frank, G., Welling, M., Chand, D., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L., Nishioka, G., Kolle, O.,
1123 Fritsch, H., Dias, S., 2005. Airborne measurements of trace gas and aerosol particle emissions from
1124 biomass burning in Amazonia. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 5, 2989-3002.
- 1125 Hagler, G.S.W., Baldauf, R.W., Thoma, E.D., Long, T.R., Snow, R.F., Kinsey, J.S., Oudejans, L.,
1126 Gullett, B.K., 2009. Ultrafine particles near a major roadway in Raleigh, North Carolina:
1127 Downwind attenuation and correlation with traffic-related pollutants. *Atmospheric Environment* 43,
1128 1229-1234.
- 1129 Hallquist, Å.M., Fridell, E., Westerlund, J., Hallquist, M., 2012. Onboard Measurements of
1130 Nanoparticles from a SCR-Equipped Marine Diesel Engine. *Environmental science & Technology*
1131 47, 773-780.
- 1132 Harris, S.J., Maricq, M.M., 2001. Signature size distributions for diesel and gasoline engine exhaust
1133 particulate matter. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 32, 749-764.
- 1134 Harrison, R.M., Beddows, D.C.S., Dall'Osto, M., 2011. PMF Analysis of Wide-Range Particle Size
1135 Spectra Collected on a Major Highway. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45, 5522-5528.
- 1136 Harrison, R.M., Jones, M., Collins, G., 1999. Measurements of the physical properties of particles
1137 in the urban atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 33, 309-321.
- 1138 Harrison, R.M., Shi, J.P., Xi, S., Khan, A., Mark, D., Kinnersley, R., Yin, J., 2000. Measurement of
1139 Number, Mass and Size Distribution of Particles in the Atmosphere. *Philosophical Transactions:
1140 Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 358, 2567-2580.
- 1141 Harrison, R.M., Yin, J., Mark, D., Stedman, J., Appleby, R.S., Booker, J., Moorcroft, S., 2001.
1142 Studies of the coarse particle (2.5–10 μ m) component in UK urban atmospheres. *Atmospheric
1143 Environment* 35, 3667-3679.
- 1144 He, C., Morawska, L., Hitchins, J., Gilbert, D., 2004. Contribution from indoor sources to particle
1145 number and mass concentrations in residential houses. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 3405-3415.
- 1146 HEI_Review_Panel, 2013. Understanding the Health Effects of Ambient Ultrafine Particles, HEI
1147 Perspectives 3. Health Effects Institute, Boston, MA.
- 1148 Heintzenberg, J., Covert, D., Van Dingenen, R., 2000. Size distribution and chemical composition
1149 of marine aerosols: a compilation and review. *Tellus B* 52, 1104-1122.
- 1150 Herndon, S.C., Jayne, J.T., Lobo, P., Onasch, T.B., Fleming, G., Hagen, D.E., Whitefield, P.D.,
1151 Miake-Lye, R.C., 2008. Commercial aircraft engine emissions characterization of in-use aircraft at
1152 Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport. *Environmental Science & Technology* 42, 1877-
1153 1883.

- 1154 Hinds, W.C., 1999. *Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of airborne*
1155 *Particles* (2nd edition).
- 1156 Hitchins, J., Morawska, L., Wolff, R., Gilbert, D., 2000. Concentrations of submicrometre particles
1157 from vehicle emissions near a major road. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 51-59.
- 1158 Holmes, N.S., 2007. A review of particle formation events and growth in the atmosphere in the
1159 various environments and discussion of mechanistic implications. *Atmospheric Environment* 41,
1160 2183-2201.
- 1161 Hopke, P.K., 2003. Recent developments in receptor modeling. *Journal of Chemometrics* 17, 255-
1162 265.
- 1163 Hoppel, W., Frick, G., Fitzgerald, J., Larson, R., 1994a. Marine boundary layer measurements of
1164 new particle formation and the effects nonprecipitating clouds have on aerosol size distribution.
1165 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 99, D7 14443-14459, doi: 10.1029/94JD00797.
- 1166 Hoppel, W., Frick, G., Fitzgerald, J., Wattle, B., 1994b. A cloud chamber study of the effect that
1167 nonprecipitating water clouds have on the aerosol size distribution. *Aerosol Science & Technology*
1168 20, 1-30.
- 1169 Hosseini, S., Li, Q., Cocker, D., Weise, D., Miller, A., Shrivastava, M., Miller, J., Mahalingam, S.,
1170 Princevac, M., Jung, H., 2010. Particle size distributions from laboratory-scale biomass fires using
1171 fast response instruments. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 10, 8065-8076.
- 1172 Huang, C., Lou, D., Hu, Z., Feng, Q., Chen, Y., Chen, C., Tan, P., Yao, D., 2013. A PEMS study of
1173 the emissions of gaseous pollutants and ultrafine particles from gasoline- and diesel-fueled vehicles.
1174 *Atmospheric Environment* 77, 703-710.
- 1175 Hussein, T., Glytsos, T., Ondráček, J., Dohányosová, P., Ždímal, V., Hämeri, K., Lazaridis, M.,
1176 Smolík, J., Kulmala, M., 2006a. Particle size characterization and emission rates during indoor
1177 activities in a house. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 4285-4307.
- 1178 Hussein, T., Hämeri, K., Aalto, P.P., Paatero, P., Kulmala, M., 2005. Modal structure and spatial-
1179 temporal variations of urban and suburban aerosols in Helsinki—Finland. *Atmospheric*
1180 *Environment* 39, 1655-1668.
- 1181 Hussein, T., Karppinen, A., Kukkonen, J., Härkönen, J., Aalto, P.P., Hämeri, K., Kerminen, V.-M.,
1182 Kulmala, M., 2006b. Meteorological dependence of size-fractionated number concentrations of
1183 urban aerosol particles. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 1427-1440.
- 1184 ICRP, 1994. ICRP Publication 66: Human Respiratory Tract Model for Radiological Protection.
1185 Elsevier Health Sciences.
- 1186 Iijima, A., Sato, K., Yano, K., Kato, M., Kozawa, K., Furuta, N., 2008. Emission factor for
1187 antimony in brake abrasion dusts as one of the major atmospheric antimony sources. *Environmental*
1188 *Science & Technology* 42, 2937-2942.
- 1189 Iijima, A., Sato, K., Yano, K., Tago, H., Kato, M., Kimura, H., Furuta, N., 2007. Particle size and
1190 composition distribution analysis of automotive brake abrasion dusts for the evaluation of antimony
1191 sources of airborne particulate matter. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 4908-4919.
- 1192 Jacobson, M.Z., Seinfeld, J.H., 2004. Evolution of nanoparticle size and mixing state near the point
1193 of emission. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 1839-1850.

- 1194 Janhäll, S., Andreae, M.O., Pöschl, U., 2010. Biomass burning aerosol emissions from vegetation
1195 fires: particle number and mass emission factors and size distributions. *Atmospheric Chemistry &
1196 Physics* 10, 1427-1439.
- 1197 Jeong, C.-H., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D., Utell, M., 2004. Characteristics of Nucleation and Growth
1198 Events of Ultrafine Particles Measured in Rochester, NY. *Environmental Science & Technology* 38,
1199 1933-1940.
- 1200 Jeong, C.-H., Evans, G.J., 2009. Inter-comparison of a fast mobility particle sizer and a scanning
1201 mobility particle sizer incorporating an ultrafine water-based condensation particle counter. *Aerosol
1202 Science & Technology* 43, 364-373.
- 1203 Jeong, C.-H., Evans, G.J., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D.J., Utell, M., 2006. Influence of atmospheric
1204 dispersion and new particle formation events on ambient particle number concentration in
1205 Rochester, United States, and Toronto, Canada. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management
1206 Association* 56, 431-443.
- 1207 Jones, A.M., Harrison, R.M., Barratt, B., Fuller, G., 2012. A large reduction in airborne particle
1208 number concentrations at the time of the introduction of “sulphur free” diesel and the London Low
1209 Emission Zone. *Atmospheric Environment* 50, 129-138.
- 1210 Jonsson, Å.M., Westerlund, J., Hallquist, M., 2011. Size-resolved particle emission factors for
1211 individual ships. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38, doi: 10.1029/2011GL047672.
- 1212 Joshi, M., Sapra, B.K., Khan, A., Tripathi, S.N., Shamjad, P.M., Gupta, T., Mayya, Y.S., 2012.
1213 Harmonisation of nanoparticle concentration measurements using GRIMM and TSI scanning
1214 mobility particle sizers. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research* 14, 1-14.
- 1215 Joutsensaari, J., Kauppinen, E.I., Ahonen, P., Lind, T.M., Ylätaalo, S.I., Jokiniemi, J.K., Hautanen,
1216 J., Kilpeläinen, M., 1992. Aerosol formation in real scale pulverized coal combustion. *Journal of
1217 Aerosol Science* 23, 241-244.
- 1218 Kaminski, H., Kuhlbusch, T.A., Rath, S., Götz, U., Sprenger, M., Wels, D., Polloczek, J.,
1219 Bachmann, V., Dziurawitz, N., Kiesling, H.-J., 2013. Comparability of mobility particle sizers and
1220 diffusion chargers. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 57, 156-178.
- 1221 Kasper, A., Aufdenblatten, S., Forss, A., Mohr, M., Burtscher, H., 2007. Particulate emissions from
1222 a low-speed marine diesel engine. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 41, 24-32.
- 1223 Kasumba, J., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D.C., Utell, M.J., 2009. Comparison of sources of submicron
1224 particle number concentrations measured at two sites in Rochester, NY. *Science of The Total
1225 Environment* 407, 5071-5084.
- 1226 Kaul, D., Gupta, T., Tripathi, S., Tare, V., Collett Jr, J., 2011. Secondary organic aerosol: a
1227 comparison between foggy and nonfoggy days. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45, 7307-
1228 7313.
- 1229 Ketzel, M., Wählin, P., Berkowicz, R., Palmgren, F., 2003. Particle and trace gas emission factors
1230 under urban driving conditions in Copenhagen based on street and roof-level observations.
1231 *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 2735-2749.
- 1232 Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., Larson, T.V., Covert, D.S., 2003. Analysis of Ambient Particle Size
1233 Distributions Using Unmix and Positive Matrix Factorization. *Environmental Science &
1234 Technology* 38, 202-209.

- 1235 Kinsey, J.S., Dong, Y., Williams, D.C., Logan, R., 2010. Physical characterization of the fine
 1236 particle emissions from commercial aircraft engines during the Aircraft Particle Emissions
 1237 eXperiment (APEX) 1–3. *Atmospheric Environment* 44, 2147-2156.
- 1238 Kirchstetter, T., Harley, R.A., Kreisberg, N.M., Stolzenburg, M.R., Hering, S.V., 1999. On-road
 1239 measurement of fine particle and nitrogen oxide emissions from light-and heavy-duty motor
 1240 vehicles. *Atmospheric Environment* 33, 2955-2968.
- 1241 Kittelson, D., Watts, W., Johnson, J., 2002. Diesel Aerosol Sampling Methodology–CRC E-43.
 1242 Final report, Coordinating Research Council.
- 1243 Kittelson, D.B., Watts, W.F., Johnson, J.P., 2004. Nanoparticle emissions on Minnesota highways.
 1244 *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 9-19.
- 1245 Knibbs, L.D., de Dear, R.J., Morawska, L., Mengersen, K.L., 2009. On-road ultrafine particle
 1246 concentration in the M5 East road tunnel, Sydney, Australia. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 3510-
 1247 3519.
- 1248 Koponen, I.K., Virkkula, A., Hillamo, R., Kerminen, V.M., Kulmala, M., 2002. Number size
 1249 distributions and concentrations of marine aerosols: Observations during a cruise between the
 1250 English Channel and the coast of Antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*
 1251 (1984–2012) 107, AAC 6-1-AAC 6-8, doi/10.1029/2002JD002533.
- 1252 Kukutschová, J., Moravec, P., Tomášek, V., Matějka, V., Smolík, J., Schwarz, J., Seidlerová, J.,
 1253 Šafářová, K., Filip, P., 2011. On airborne nano/micro-sized wear particles released from low-
 1254 metallic automotive brakes. *Environmental Pollution* 159, 998-1006.
- 1255 Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W.,
 1256 McMurry, P.H., 2004. Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of
 1257 observations. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 35, 143-176.
- 1258 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H.E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal Maso, M.,
 1259 Aalto, P.P., H. Junninen, Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K.E.J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-
 1260 M., 2012. Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles. *Nature Protocol* 7,
 1261 1651-1667, doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.091.
- 1262
- 1263 Kumar, P., Pirjola, L., Ketzel, M., Harrison, R.M., 2013. Nanoparticle emissions from 11 non-
 1264 vehicle exhaust sources—A review. *Atmospheric Environment* 67, 252-277.
- 1265 Kumar, P., Ketzel, M., Vardoulakis, S., Pirjola, L., Britter, R., 2011. Dynamics and dispersion
 1266 modelling of nanoparticles from road traffic in the urban atmospheric environment—a review.
 1267 *Journal of Aerosol Science* 42, 580-603.
- 1268 Kwak, J., Lee, S., Lee, S., 2014. On-road and laboratory investigations on non-exhaust ultrafine
 1269 particles from the interaction between the tire and road pavement under braking conditions.
 1270 *Atmospheric Environment* 97, 195-205.
- 1271 Lenschow, P., Abraham, H.J., Kutzner, K., Lutz, M., Preuß, J.D., Reichenbacher, W., 2001. Some
 1272 ideas about the sources of PM10. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, Supplement 1, S23-S33.
- 1273 Li, C.-S., Lin, W.-H., Jenq, F.-T., 1993. Size distributions of submicrometer aerosols from cooking.
 1274 *Environment International* 19, 147-154.

- 1275 Li, T., Chen, X., Yan, Z., 2013. Comparison of fine particles emissions of light-duty gasoline
1276 vehicles from chassis dynamometer tests and on-road measurements. *Atmospheric Environment* 68,
1277 82-91.
- 1278 Li, X., Wang, J., Tu, X., Liu, W., Huang, Z., 2007. Vertical variations of particle number
1279 concentration and size distribution in a street canyon in Shanghai, China. *Science of the Total*
1280 *Environment* 378, 306-316.
- 1281 Li, Y., Suriyawong, A., Daukoru, M., Zhuang, Y., Biswas, P., 2009. Measurement and capture of
1282 fine and ultrafine particles from a pilot-scale pulverized coal combustor with an electrostatic
1283 precipitator. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 59, 553.
- 1284 Liang, B., Ge, Y., Tan, J., Han, X., Gao, L., Hao, L., Ye, W., Dai, P., 2013. Comparison of PM
1285 emissions from a gasoline direct injected (GDI) vehicle and a port fuel injected (PFI) vehicle
1286 measured by electrical low pressure impactor (ELPI) with two fuels: Gasoline and M15 methanol
1287 gasoline. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 57, 22-31.
- 1288 Lighty, J.S., Veranth, J.M., Sarofim, A.F., 2000. Combustion aerosols: factors governing their size
1289 and composition and implications to human health. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management*
1290 *Association* 50, 1565-1618.
- 1291 Linak, W.P., Peterson, T.W., 1984. Effect of coal type and residence time on the submicron aerosol
1292 distribution from pulverized coal combustion. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 3, 77-96.
- 1293 Lingard, J.J., Agus, E.L., Young, D.T., Andrews, G.E., Tomlin, A.S., 2006. Observations of urban
1294 airborne particle number concentrations during rush-hour conditions: analysis of the number based
1295 size distributions and modal parameters. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 8, 1203-1218.
- 1296 Lipsky, E., Stanier, C.O., Pandis, S.N., Robinson, A.L., 2002. Effects of sampling conditions on the
1297 size distribution of fine particulate matter emitted from a pilot-scale pulverized-coal combustor.
1298 *Energy & Fuels* 16, 302-310.
- 1299 Lipsky, E.M., Pekney, N.J., Walbert, G.F., O'Dowd, W.J., Freeman, M.C., Robinson, A., 2004.
1300 Effects of dilution sampling on fine particle emissions from pulverized coal combustion. *Aerosol*
1301 *Science and Technology* 38, 574-587.
- 1302 Longley, I., Gallagher, M., Dorsey, J., Flynn, M., Allan, J., Alfarra, M., Inglis, D., 2003. A case
1303 study of aerosol ($4.6 \text{ nm} < D_p < 10 \mu\text{m}$) number and mass size distribution measurements in a busy
1304 street canyon in Manchester, UK. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 1563-1571.
- 1305 Manninen, H., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagné, S., Häkkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana, M.,
1306 Mirme, A., Mirme, S., 2010. EUCAARI ion spectrometer measurements at 12 European sites–
1307 analysis of new particle formation events. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 10, 7907-7927.
- 1308 Maguhn, J., Karg, E., Kettrup, A., Zimmermann, R., 2003. On-line analysis of the size distribution
1309 of fine and ultrafine aerosol particles in flue and stack gas of a municipal waste incineration plant:
1310 effects of dynamic process control measures and emission reduction devices. *Environmental*
1311 *Science & Technology* 37, 4761-4770.
- 1312 Mäkelä, J.M., Koponen, I.K., Aalto, P., Kulmala, M., 2000. One year data of submicron size modes
1313 of tropospheric background aerosol in southern Finland. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 31, 595-611.
- 1314 Maricq, M.M., Chase, R.E., Xu, N., 2001. A comparison of tailpipe, dilution tunnel, and wind
1315 tunnel data in measuring motor vehicle PM. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*
1316 51, 1529-1537.

- 1317 Mathissen, M., Scheer, V., Vogt, R., Benter, T., 2011. Investigation on the potential generation of
1318 ultrafine particles from the tire–road interface. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 6172-6179.
- 1319 Mayer, A., Czerwinski, J., Legerer, F., Wyser, M., 2002. VERT particulate trap verification. SAE
1320 Technical Paper.
- 1321 Mazaheri, M., Johnson, G.R., Morawska, L., 2008. Particle and gaseous emissions from
1322 commercial aircraft at each stage of the landing and takeoff cycle. *Environmental Science &
1323 Technology* 43, 441-446.
- 1324 Meyer, N.K., Ristovski, Z., 2007. Ternary nucleation as a mechanism for the production of diesel
1325 nanoparticles: Experimental analysis of the volatile and hygroscopic properties of diesel exhaust
1326 using the volatilization and humidification tandem differential mobility analyzer. *Environmental
1327 Science & Technology* 41, 7309-7314.
- 1328 Mohr, M., Ylätaalo, S., Klippel, N., Kauppinen, E., Riccius, O., Burtscher, H., 1996. Submicron fly
1329 ash penetration through electrostatic precipitators at two coal power plants. *Aerosol Science and
1330 Technology* 24, 191-204.
- 1331 Mönkkönen, P., Uma, R., Srinivasan, D., Koponen, I., Lehtinen, K., Hämeri, K., Suresh, R.,
1332 Sharma, V., Kulmala, M., 2004. Relationship and variations of aerosol number and PM₁₀
1333 mass concentrations in a highly polluted urban environment—New Delhi, India.
1334 *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 425-433.
- 1335 Morawska, L., Jayaratne, E., Mengersen, K., Jamriska, M., Thomas, S., 2002. Differences in
1336 airborne particle and gaseous concentrations in urban air between weekdays and weekends.
1337 *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 4375-4383.
- 1338 Morawska, L., Johnson, G.R., He, C., Ayoko, G.A., Lim, M., Swanson, C., Ristovski, Z., Moore,
1339 M., 2006. Particle number emissions and source signatures of an industrial facility. *Environmental
1340 Science & Technology* 40, 803-814.
- 1341 Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z., Jayaratne, E.R., Keogh, D.U., Ling, X., 2008. Ambient nano and
1342 ultrafine particles from motor vehicle emissions: Characteristics, ambient processing and
1343 implications on human exposure. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 8113-8138.
- 1344 Morawska, L., Thomas, S., Jamriska, M., Johnson, G., 1999. The modality of particle size
1345 distributions of environmental aerosols. *Atmospheric Environment* 33, 4401-4411.
- 1346 Mosleh, M., Blau, P.J., Dumitrescu, D., 2004. Characteristics and morphology of wear particles
1347 from laboratory testing of disk brake materials. *Wear* 256, 1128-1134.
- 1348 Myung, C.L., Park, S., 2012. Exhaust nanoparticle emissions from internal combustion engines: A
1349 review. *International Journal of Automotive Technology* 13, 9-22.
- 1350 Nieto, P.G., García, B.A., Diaz, J.F., Brana, M.R., 1994. Parametric study of selective removal of
1351 atmospheric aerosol by below-cloud scavenging. *Atmospheric Environment* 28, 2335-2342.
- 1352 Ning, Z., Hudda, N., Daher, N., Kam, W., Herner, J., Kozawa, K., Mara, S., Sioutas, C., 2010.
1353 Impact of roadside noise barriers on particle size distributions and pollutants concentrations near
1354 freeways. *Atmospheric Environment* 44, 3118-3127.
- 1355 Noble, C.A., Mukerjee, S., Gonzales, M., Rodes, C.E., Lawless, P.A., Natarajan, S., Myers, E.A.,
1356 Norris, G.A., Smith, L., Özkaynak, H., 2003. Continuous measurement of fine and ultrafine
1357 particulate matter, criteria pollutants and meteorological conditions in urban El Paso, Texas.
1358 *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 827-840.

- 1359 O'Dowd, C.D., Smith, M.H., Consterdine, I.E., Lowe, J.A., 1997. Marine aerosol, sea-salt, and the
1360 marine sulphur cycle: A short review. *Atmospheric Environment* 31, 73-80.
- 1361 Oberdörster, G., Elder, A., Finkelstein, J., Frampton, M., Hopke, P.K., Peters, A., Prather, K.,
1362 Wichmann, E., Utell, M., 2010. Assessment of Ambient UFP Health Effects: Linking Sources to
1363 Exposure and Responses in Extrapulmonary Organs. Rochester PM Center Report. Grant EPA
1364 R827354. Ultrafine particles: Characterization, Health Effects and Pathophysiological Mechanisms.
1365 1999 - 2005
- 1366 Ogulei, D., Hopke, P., Wallace, L., 2006a. Analysis of indoor particle size distributions in an
1367 occupied townhouse using positive matrix factorization. *Indoor Air* 16, 204-215.
- 1368 Ogulei, D., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D.C., Utell, M.J., 2007. Modeling source contributions to
1369 submicron particle number concentrations measured in Rochester, New York. *Aerosol Science and
1370 Technology* 41, 179-201.
- 1371 Ogulei, D., Hopke, P.K., Zhou, L., Patrick Pancras, J., Nair, N., Ondov, J.M., 2006b. Source
1372 apportionment of Baltimore aerosol from combined size distribution and chemical composition
1373 data. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 396-410.
- 1374 Ohlström, M.O., Lehtinen, K.E., Moisio, M., Jokiniemi, J.K., 2000. Fine-particle emissions of
1375 energy production in Finland. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 3701-3711.
- 1376 Olofsson, U., 2011. A study of airborne wear particles generated from the train traffic—Block
1377 braking simulation in a pin-on-disc machine. *Wear* 271, 86-91.
- 1378 Ozgen, S., Ripamonti, G., Cernuschi, S., Giugliano, M., 2012. Ultrafine particle emissions for
1379 municipal waste-to-energy plants and residential heating boilers. *Reviews in Environmental Science
1380 and Bio/Technology* 11, 407-415.
- 1381 Paatero, P., Tapper, U., 1994. Positive matrix factorization: A non-negative factor model with
1382 optimal utilization of error estimates of data values. *Environmetrics* 5, 111-126.
- 1383 Peters, A., Wichmann, H.E., Tuch, T., Heinrich, J., Heyder, J., 1997. Respiratory effects are
1384 associated with the number of ultrafine particles. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care
1385 Medicine* 155, 1376-1383.
- 1386 Petzold, A., Hasselbach, J., Lauer, P., Baumann, R., Franke, K., Gurk, C., Schlager, H.,
1387 Weingartner, E., 2008. Experimental studies on particle emissions from cruising ship, their
1388 characteristic properties, transformation and atmospheric lifetime in the marine boundary layer.
1389 *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 8, 2387-2403.
- 1390 Pey, J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Rodríguez, S., Putaud, J.P., Van Dingenen, R., 2009. Source
1391 apportionment of urban fine and ultra-fine particle number concentration in a Western
1392 Mediterranean city. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 4407-4415.
- 1393 Pitts, B.F., Pitts, J., 2000. *Chemistry of the upper and lower atmosphere: Theory, Experiments, and
1394 Applications*. Academic Press New York, NY, USA.
- 1395 Raes, F., Van Dingenen, R., Cuevas, E., Van Velthoven, P.F., Prospero, J.M., 1997. Observations
1396 of aerosols in the free troposphere and marine boundary layer of the subtropical Northeast Atlantic:
1397 Discussion of processes determining their size distribution. *Journal of Geophysical Research:
1398 Atmospheres* (1984–2012) 102, 21315-21328, doi: 10.1029/97JD01122.
- 1399 Reche, C., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Viana, M., Pey, J., Moreno, T., Rodríguez, S., González, Y.,
1400 Fernández-Camacho, R., de la Rosa, J., Dall'Osto, M., Prévôt, A.S.H., Hueglin, C., Harrison, R.M.,

- 1401 Quincey, P., 2011. New considerations for PM, Black Carbon and particle number concentration for
1402 air quality monitoring across different European cities. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 11, 6207-
1403 6227.
- 1404 Reid, J., Koppmann, R., Eck, T., Eleuterio, D., 2005. A review of biomass burning emissions part
1405 II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*
1406 5, 799-825.
- 1407 Reid, J.S., Hobbs, P.V., 1998. Physical and optical properties of young smoke from individual
1408 biomass fires in Brazil. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* (1984–2012) 103, 32013-
1409 32030.
- 1410 Reid, J.S., Hobbs, P.V., Ferek, R.J., Blake, D.R., Martins, J.V., Dunlap, M.R., Lioussse, C., 1998.
1411 Physical, chemical, and optical properties of regional hazes dominated by smoke in Brazil. *Journal*
1412 *of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 103, D24, 32059-32080, doi: 10.1029/98JD00458.
- 1413 Ristovski, Z., Jayaratne, E., Lim, M., Ayoko, G.A., Morawska, L., 2006. Influence of diesel fuel
1414 sulfur on nanoparticle emissions from city buses. *Environmental Science & Technology* 40, 1314-
1415 1320.
- 1416 Rogers, F., Arnott, P., Zielinska, B., Sagebiel, J., Kelly, K.E., Wagner, D., Lighty, J.S., Sarofim,
1417 A.F., 2005. Real-time measurements of jet aircraft engine exhaust. *Journal of the Air & Waste*
1418 *Management Association* 55, 583-593.
- 1419 Rose, D., Nowak, A., Achtert, P., Wiedensohler, A., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zhang, Y., Andreae, M.,
1420 Poschl, U., 2010. Cloud condensation nuclei in polluted air and biomass burning smoke near the
1421 mega-city Guangzhou, China-Part 1: size resolved measurements and implications for the
1422 modelling of aerosol particle hygroscopicity and CCN activity. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics*
1423 10, 3365-3383.
- 1424 Sanders, P.G., Xu, N., Dalka, T.M., Maricq, M.M., 2003. Airborne brake wear debris: size
1425 distributions, composition, and a comparison of dynamometer and vehicle tests. *Environmental*
1426 *Science & Technology* 37, 4060-4069.
- 1427 See, S.W., Balasubramanian, R., 2006. Physical characteristics of ultrafine particles emitted from
1428 different gas cooking methods. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research* 6, 82-92.
- 1429 Seinfeld, J., Pandis, S., 1998. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 1326 pp. John Wiley, Hoboken,
1430 NJ.
- 1431 Sellegri, K., Laj, P., Venzac, H., Boulon, J., Picard, D., Villani, P., Bonasoni, P., Marinoni, A.,
1432 Cristofanelli, P., Vuillermoz, E., 2010. Seasonal variations of aerosol size distributions based on
1433 long-term measurements at the high altitude Himalayan site of Nepal Climate Observatory-Pyramid
1434 (5079 m), Nepal. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics Discussions* 10, 6537-6566.
- 1435 Shi, J.P., Harrison, R.M., 1999. Investigation of Ultrafine Particle Formation during Diesel Exhaust
1436 Dilution. *Environmental Science & Technology* 33, 3730-3736.
- 1437 Shi, J.P., Khan, A., Harrison, R.M., 1999. Measurements of ultrafine particle concentration and size
1438 distribution in the urban atmosphere. *Science of the Total Environment* 235, 51-64.
- 1439 Shi, J.P., Mark, D., Harrison, R.M., 2000. Characterization of particles from a current technology
1440 heavy-duty diesel engine. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34, 748-755.

- 1441 Stanier, C.O., Khlystov, A.Y., Pandis, S.N., 2004a. Ambient aerosol size distributions and number
1442 concentrations measured during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS). *Atmospheric*
1443 *Environment* 38, 3275-3284.
- 1444 Stanier, C.O., Khlystov, A.Y., Pandis, S.N., 2004b. Nucleation events during the Pittsburgh Air
1445 Quality Study: description and relation to key meteorological, gas phase, and aerosol parameters
1446 special issue of aerosol science and technology on findings from the fine particulate matter
1447 supersites program. *Aerosol Science & Technology* 38, 253-264.
- 1448 Suriyawong, A., Gamble, M., Lee, M.-H., Axelbaum, R., Biswas, P., 2006. Submicrometer particle
1449 formation and mercury speciation under O₂-CO₂ coal combustion. *Energy & Fuels* 20, 2357-2363.
- 1450 Swietlicki, E., Hansson, H.C., Hämeri, K., Svenningsson, B., Massling, A., McFiggans, G.,
1451 McMurry, P., Petäjä, T., Tunved, P., Gysel, M., 2008. Hygroscopic properties of submicrometer
1452 atmospheric aerosol particles measured with H-TDMA instruments in various environments—A
1453 review. *Tellus B* 60, 432-469.
- 1454 Thimmaiah, D., Hovorka, J., Hopke, P.K., 2009. Source apportionment of winter submicron Prague
1455 aerosols from combined particle number size distribution and gaseous composition data. *Aerosol*
1456 *and Air Quality Research* 9, 209-236.
- 1457 Thorpe, A., Harrison, R.M., 2008. Sources and properties of non-exhaust particulate matter from
1458 road traffic: a review. *Science of the Total Environment* 400, 270-282.
- 1459 Thorpe, A.J., Harrison, R.M., Boulter, P.G., McCrae, I.S., 2007. Estimation of particle resuspension
1460 source strength on a major London Road. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 8007-8020.
- 1461 Tobias, H.J., Beving, D.E., Ziemann, P.J., Sakurai, H., Zuk, M., McMurry, P.H., Zarling, D.,
1462 Waytulonis, R., Kittelson, D.B., 2001. Chemical Analysis of Diesel Engine Nanoparticles Using a
1463 Nano-DMA/Thermal Desorption Particle Beam Mass Spectrometer. *Environmental Science &*
1464 *Technology* 35, 2233-2243.
- 1465 Tunved, P., Ström, J., Hansson, H.C., 2004. An investigation of processes controlling the evolution
1466 of the boundary layer aerosol size distribution properties at the Swedish background station
1467 Aspöreten. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics* 4, 2581-2592.
- 1468 Väkevä, M., Hämeri, K., Puhakka, T., Nilsson, E.D., Hohti, H., Mäkelä, J.M., 2000. Effects of
1469 meteorological processes on aerosol particle size distribution in an urban background area. *Journal*
1470 *of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 105, D8, 9807-9821, doi: 10.1029/1999JD901143.
- 1471 Viana, M., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R.M., Hopke, P.K., Winiwarter,
1472 W., Vallius, M., Szidat, S., Prévôt, A.S.H., Hueglin, C., Bloemen, H., Wählin, P., Vecchi, R.,
1473 Miranda, A.I., Kasper-Giebl, A., Maenhaut, W., Hitzenberger, R., 2008. Source apportionment of
1474 particulate matter in Europe: A review of methods and results. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 39, 827-
1475 849.
- 1476 Vogt, R., Scheer, V., Casati, R., Benter, T., 2003. On-road measurement of particle emission in the
1477 exhaust plume of a diesel passenger car. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37, 4070-4076.
- 1478 Von Bismarck-Osten, C., Birmili, W., Ketzel, M., Massling, A., Petäjä, T., Weber, S., 2013.
1479 Characterization of parameters influencing the spatio-temporal variability of urban particle number
1480 size distributions in four European cities. *Atmospheric Environment* 77, 415-429.
- 1481 von Klot, S., Peters, A., Aalto, P., Bellander, T., Berglind, N., D'Ippoliti, D., Elosua, R., Hörmann,
1482 A., Kulmala, M., Lanki, T., 2005. Ambient air pollution is associated with increased risk of hospital

- 1483 cardiac readmissions of myocardial infarction survivors in five European cities. *Circulation* 112,
1484 3073-3079.
- 1485 Wählén, P., Berkowicz, R., Palmgren, F., 2006. Characterisation of traffic-generated particulate
1486 matter in Copenhagen. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 2151-2159.
- 1487 Wahlström, J., Olander, L., Olofsson, U., 2010a. Size, shape, and elemental composition of airborne
1488 wear particles from disc brake materials. *Tribology Letters* 38, 15-24.
- 1489 Wahlström, J., Söderberg, A., Olander, L., Jansson, A., Olofsson, U., 2010b. A pin-on-disc
1490 simulation of airborne wear particles from disc brakes. *Wear* 268, 763-769.
- 1491 Wahlström, J., Olofsson, U., 2015. A field study of airborne particle emissions from automotive
1492 disc brakes. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile
1493 Engineering*, 229, 747-757.
- 1494
- 1495 Wahlström, J., Söderberg, A., Olander, L., Olofsson, U., 2009. A disc brake test stand for
1496 measurement of airborne wear particles. *Lubrication Science* 21, 241-252.
- 1497
- 1498 Wallace, L., 2006. Indoor Sources of Ultrafine and Accumulation Mode Particles: Size
1499 Distributions, Size-Resolved Concentrations, and Source Strengths. *Aerosol Science & Technology*
40, 348-360.
- 1500 Wang, H.L., Hao, Z.P., Zhuang, Y.H., Wang, W., Liu, X.Y., 2008. Characterization of inorganic
1501 components of size-segregated particles in the flue gas of a coal-fired power plant. *Energy & Fuels*
1502 22, 1636-1640.
- 1503 Wang, Y., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D.C., Utell, M.J., 2011a. Effect of the shutdown of a coal-fired
1504 power plant on urban ultrafine particles and other pollutants. *Aerosol Science & Technology* 45,
1505 1245-1249.
- 1506 Wang, Y., Hopke, P.K., Chalupa, D.C., Utell, M.J., 2011b. Long-term study of urban ultrafine
1507 particles and other pollutants. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 7672-7680.
- 1508 Wang, Z.B., Hu, M., Wu, Z.J., Yue, D.L., He, L.Y., Huang, X.F., Liu, X.G., Wiedensohler, A.,
1509 2013. Long-term measurements of particle number size distributions and the relationships with air
1510 mass history and source apportionment in the summer of Beijing. *Atmospheric Chemistry &
1511 Physics Discussion* 13, 5165-5197.
- 1512 Wardoyo, A.Y., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z.D., Marsh, J., 2006. Quantification of particle number
1513 and mass emission factors from combustion of Queensland trees. *Environmental Science &
1514 Technology* 40, 5696-5703.
- 1515 Wegner, T., Hussein, T., Hämeri, K., Vesala, T., Kulmala, M., Weber, S., 2012. Properties of
1516 aerosol signature size distributions in the urban environment as derived by cluster analysis.
1517 *Atmospheric Environment* 61, 350-360.
- 1518 Wehner, B., Birmili, W., Gnauk, T., Wiedensohler, A., 2002. Particle number size distributions in a
1519 street canyon and their transformation into the urban-air background: measurements and a simple
1520 model study. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 2215-2223.
- 1521 Wehner, B., Uhrner, U., Von Löwis, S., Zallinger, M., Wiedensohler, A., 2009. Aerosol number
1522 size distributions within the exhaust plume of a diesel and a gasoline passenger car under on-road
1523 conditions and determination of emission factors. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 1235-1245.
- 1524

1525

1526 Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., Tuch, T., Wu, Z., Hu, M., Slanina, J., Kiang, C., 2004. Variability of
1527 the aerosol number size distribution in Beijing, China: New particle formation, dust storms, and
1528 high continental background. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31, L22108,
1529 doi:10.1029/2004GL021596.

1530 Weingartner, E., Burtscher, H., Baltensperger, U., 1997. Hygroscopic properties of carbon and
1531 diesel soot particles. *Atmospheric Environment* 31, 2311-2327.

1532 Westerdahl, D., Wang, X., Pan, X., Zhang, K.M., 2009. Characterization of on-road vehicle
1533 emission factors and microenvironmental air quality in Beijing, China. *Atmospheric Environment*
1534 43, 697-705.

1535 Woo, K.S., Chen, D.R., Pui, D.Y.H., McMurry, P.H., 2001. Measurement of Atlanta Aerosol Size
1536 Distributions: Observations of Ultrafine Particle Events. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 34, 75-
1537 87.

1538 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Bauer, S., Wiedensohler, A., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M.,
1539 Kulmala, M., 2007. New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-year data
1540 set. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 112, D09209, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007406.

1541 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Lin, P., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., 2008. Particle number size
1542 distribution in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, China. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 7967-7980.

1543 Yeung, L., To, W., 2008a. Size distributions of the aerosols emitted from commercial cooking
1544 processes. *Indoor and Built Environment* 17, 220-229.

1545 Yeung, L.L., To, W.M., 2008b. Size distributions of the aerosols emitted from commercial cooking
1546 processes. *Indoor Built Environment* 17, 220-229.

1547 Yue, D.L., Hu, M., Wang, Z.B., Wen, M.T., Guo, S., Zhong, L.J., Wiedensohler, A., Zhang, Y.H.,
1548 2013. Comparison of particle number size distributions and new particle formation between the
1549 urban and rural sites in the PRD region, China. *Atmospheric Environment* 76, 181-188.

1550 Yue, W., Stölzel, M., Cyrys, J., Pitz, M., Heinrich, J., Kreyling, W.G., Wichmann, H.E., Peters, A.,
1551 Wang, S., Hopke, P.K., 2008. Source apportionment of ambient fine particle size distribution using
1552 positive matrix factorization in Erfurt, Germany. *Science of the Total Environment* 398, 133-144.

1553 Zhang, J.J., McCreanor, J.E., Cullinan, P., Chung, K.F., Ohman-Strickland, P., Han, I.-K., Jarup, L.,
1554 Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., 2009. Health effects of real-world exposure to diesel exhaust in persons with
1555 asthma. *Research Report, Health Effects Institute*, 5-109; Discussion 111-123.

1556 Zhang, K.M., Wexler, A.S., 2004. Evolution of particle number distribution near roadways—Part I:
1557 analysis of aerosol dynamics and its implications for engine emission measurement. *Atmospheric*
1558 *Environment* 38, 6643-6653.

1559 Zhang, K.M., Wexler, A.S., Zhu, Y.F., Hinds, W.C., Sioutas, C., 2004. Evolution of particle
1560 number distribution near roadways. Part II: the 'Road-to-Ambient' process. *Atmospheric*
1561 *Environment* 38, 6655-6665.

1562 Zhang, R., Khalizov, A., Wang, L., Hu, M., Xu, W., 2011. Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles
1563 in the atmosphere. *Chemical Reviews* 112, 1957-2011.

1564 Zhou, L., Hopke, P.K., Stanier, C.O., Pandis, S.N., Ondov, J.M., Pancras, J.P., 2005a. Investigation
1565 of the relationship between chemical composition and size distribution of airborne particles by

- 1566 partial least squares and positive matrix factorization. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
1567 *Atmospheres* 110, D07S18, doi:10.1029/2004JD005050.
- 1568 Zhou, L., Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., Stanier, C., Pandis, S.N., 2005b. Mining airborne particulate size
1569 distribution data by positive matrix factorization. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*
1570 110, D07S19, doi:10.1029/2004JD004707.
- 1571 Zhou, L., Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., Stanier, C.O., Pandis, S., 2004. Advanced Factor Analysis on
1572 Pittsburgh Particle Size-Distribution Data Special Issue of *Aerosol Science and Technology* on
1573 Findings from the Fine Particulate Matter Supersites Program. *Aerosol Science & Technology* 38,
1574 118-132.
- 1575 Zhu, Y., Fanning, E., Yu, R.C., Zhang, Q., Froines, J.R., 2011. Aircraft emissions and local air
1576 quality impacts from takeoff activities at a large International Airport. *Atmospheric Environment*
1577 45, 6526-6533.
- 1578 Zhu, Y., Hinds, W.C., Kim, S., Shen, S., Sioutas, C., 2002a. Study of ultrafine particles near a
1579 major highway with heavy-duty diesel traffic. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 4323-4335.
- 1580 Zhu, Y., Hinds, W.C., Kim, S., Sioutas, C., 2002b. Concentration and size distribution of ultrafine
1581 particles near a major highway. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 52, 1032-
1582 1042.
- 1583 Zhuang, Y., Biswas, P., 2001. Submicrometer particle formation and control in a bench-scale
1584 pulverized coal combustor. *Energy & Fuels* 15, 510-516.
- 1585

1586 **TABLE LEGENDS**

1587

1588 **Table 1:** Overview of particle number size distributions generated from traffic emissions.

1589

1590 **Table 2:** Total number concentration at traffic sampling sites.

1591

1592 **Table 3:** Overview of particle number size distribution of brake wear particles.

1593

1594 **Table 4:** Particle size from industrial emissions.

1595

1596 **Table 5:** Particle sizes from cooking emissions.

1597

1598 **Table 6:** PMF studies in different cities.

1599

1600 **Table 7:** Uncertainty estimation.

1601

1602 **Table 8:** PNSD source profiles of particles reported in PMF analysis.

1603

1604 **Table 9:** Source apportionment of particle number in different cities.

1605

1606

1607 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

1608

1609 **Figure 1:** A) Number, B) Surface, and C) Volume distribution for a typical urban background
1610 aerosol in North Kensington, London during 24th-29th July 2012. The APS/SMPS
1611 dataset was collected during the ClearfLo Project and merged by the authors.

1612

1613 **Figure 2:** Distribution of particles in the nucleation (Nu), Aitken (Aik) and accumulation (Acc)
1614 modes in different European sampling sites: COP: Copenhagen (Denmark); LEI:
1615 Leipzig (Germany); HEL: Helsinki (Finland); LON: London (UK), MAD: Madrid
1616 (Spain). BA denotes Urban background and RO denotes Urban roadside. Data is
1617 extracted from Von Bismarck-Osten et al. (2013) and Gómez-Moreno et al. (2011).

1618

1619

Table 1: Overview of particle number size distributions generated from traffic emissions.

Vehicle type	Fuel type	Test method	Instruments	Size range	EF (particle /km)	NC (particle /cm ³)	Number (GSD)	Mode-	References
Multipoint port injection- GS engine	GS	CDT	EEPS	5.5-560 nm	-	0.9-1.2E07	< 20 nm	Gupta et al. (2010)	
	MD					2.9-8.8E07	> 40 nm		
LDV	GS	CDT	EEPS	5.5-560 nm	-	1.10E07	10.8 nm	Li et al. (2013)	
		ORM				3.70E06	10.8/39.8 nm		
HDV EURO II bus	D	ORM	EEPS	5.5-560 nm	-	~ 6.5E07	~ 10 nm/140-160 nm	Armas et al. (2012)	
	ED	ORM				~ 7.5E07	~ 10 nm/ 100-160 nm		
	EBD	ORM				~ 3.5E07	~ 10 nm/ 40-60 nm		
HDV	D	CDT	SMPS/ELPI	9.6 nm-10 um	-	1.00E08	< 25 nm at 1600 rev./min	Shi and Harrison (1999)	
						6.00E07	40-60 nm at 2500 rev./min		
HDV EURO III	D	ORM	SMPS	7 -316 nm	-	-	10-20 nm/ 50 nm	Vogt et al. (2003)	
	DLS	ORM			1.10E14	-	50 nm (1.8)		
	DLS	CDT			9.30E13	-	50 nm (1.7)		
HDV EUIII	D	ORM	SMPS	7-400 nm	~1.5E14	~8.5E06	70-100 nm	Wehner et al. (2009)	
LDV EUIV	GS				~1.7E12	~1.6E05	15-20 nm/ 70-100 nm		
HDV	D	CDT	DMS/EEPS	4.5-1000 nm	-	-	60-100 nm	Biswas et al. (2008)	
Vauxhall Astra Van	D	ORM	DMS	5-560 nm	-	~1.19E07	11-21 nm/ 63-112 nm	Carpentieri and Kumar (2011)	
DV EUIII	D	CDT/ORM	SMPS	2.5-316 nm	-	-	10-20 nm/ 50-70 nm	Casati et al. (2007)	
	DLS	CDT/ORM					50-70 nm		
DPC EUIII	D	CDT	SMPS	7.6- 300 nm	-	-	~10 nm/ 55-62 nm	Giechaskiel et al. (2005)	
		ORM					~20 nm/ 60- 63 nm		
HDV EUIII	D	CDT	SMPS/FMPS	5.6- 560 nm	-	1E07-1E08	< 23 nm/ 50 nm	Giechaskiel et al. (2010)	
HDV- bus	D	ORM	EEPS	5.6- 560 nm	7.06 E14	-	80 nm	Huang et al. (2013)	
LDV-car	D	ORM			6.08 E14	-	60 nm		
LDV-car	GS	ORM			1.57 E14	-	20 nm		
Direct Injection	D	CDT	SMPS	12-604 nm	-	-	60-120 nm	Harris and Maricq (2001)	

Port Injection	GS	CDT					40- 80 nm	
GDI	GS	CDT	ELPI	8 nm-10 um	1.84E12		23 nm	Liang et al. (2013)
GDI	MGS	CDT			8.46E11			
PFI	GS	CDT			5.39E11			
PFI	MGS	CDT			5.14E11			

1621

1622 Note: LDV: light duty vehicle; HDV: Heavy duty vehicle; DPS: Diesel passenger car; GDI: gasoline direct injected vehicle; PFI: Port fuel injected vehicle; GS: gasoline; D:
1623 diesel; MD: Mineral diesel; DLS: diesel low surf; ED: Ethanol/Diesel blends; EBD: Ethanol/Bio-diesel/Diesel blends; MGS: Methanol Gasoline; CDT: chassis
1624 dynamometer test; ORM: On-road measurements; EF: Emission factor; NC: number concentration (particle/cm³); GSD: Geometric Standard Deviation.

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1635

1636

1637 Table 2: Total number concentration at traffic sampling sites.

Urban sites	City	Periods	Instruments	Size range (nm)	Peak number mode (nm)	Total counts (particle/cm ³)	References
RO	Raleigh, NC, US	Jul-Aug 2006	SMPS	20-1000	-	30000	Hagler et al. (2009)
RO	Beijing, China	Aug-07	SMPS	6-560	-	38400	Westerdahl et al. (2009)
RO	Kawasaki, Japan	Jan 2005	SMPS	10-470	20 nm	600000	Fushimi et al. (2008)
RO	New Delhi, India	Mar-Nov 2002	SMPS	3-800	-	50000	Mönkkönen et al. (2004)
RO	Santiago, Chile	Jul-Aug 2006	SMPS	10-700	20-30 nm	36300	Gramsch et al. (2009)
RO	El Paso, TX, US	Nov-Dec 1999	SMPS	20-100	-	14100	Noble et al. (2003)
TT	San Francisco, US	Jul-Aug 2006	SMPS	10-290	10-30 nm	200000	Ban-Weiss et al. (2010)
TT	California, US	Jul-Aug 1997	CNC	-	-	270000	Kirchstetter et al. (1999)
TT	Sydney, Australia	May-July 2006	CPC	10-1000	-	100000	Knibbs et al. (2009)
TT	Rouen, France	May 2002	ELPI	30-10000	< 60 nm	95000	Gouriou et al. (2004)
SC	Copenhagen, Denmark	May-Nov 2001	DMPS	10-700	20-25 nm	21400	Ketzel et al. (2003)
SC	Leipzig, Germany	Oct-Dec 1997	TDMPS	3-800	15 nm	110000	Wehner et al. (2002)
SC	Shanghai, China	May, Nov 2005	SMPS	10-487	10-30 nm	120000	Li et al. (2007)
SC	Manchester, UK	Oct 2001	SMPS	4-160	25-30 nm	27000	Longley et al. (2003)
Near HW	Los Angeles, CA, US	Aug-Oct 2001	SMPS	6-220	10-20 nm	180000	Zhu et al. (2002a)
Near HW	Toronto, Canada	Aug-04	CPC-GRIMM	-	-	33867	Beckerman et al. (2008)
HW (100 m)	Brisbane, Australia	1995-1999	SMPS	16-700	40-60 nm	7400	Morawska et al. (2002)
Near HW	Cassino, Italy	Apr-May 2004	SMPS, APS	6-20000	7-30 nm	190000	Buonanno et al. (2009b)
On HW	Minnesota, US	Nov-2000	SMPS	8-300	14-30 nm	403000	Kittelson et al. (2004)
SW station	Helsinki, Finland	Mar 2004	DMPS	10-500	-	31000	Aarnio et al. (2005)

Notes: RO: Roadside; TT: Traffic Tunnels; SC: Street Canyon; HW: Highway; SW: Subway

1638
1639
1640

1641 Table 3: Overview of particle number size distribution of brake wear particles.

1642

Brake testing	Vehicle type	Brake Type	Pad/shoes	Instruments	Size range	Diameter	Number mode (µm)		References
							Major	Minor	
Field	Gasoline car	Disc (grey cast iron)	LM	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	0.35-0.40	-	Wahlström and Olofsson (2015)
Field	Train	Disc	-	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	0.28; 0.35	0.6; 3-6	Abbasi et al. (2012b)
Field	Diesel car	Disc	-	EEPS	0.06-0.56	Mobility	0.01	-	Mathissen et al. (2011)
Field/Road simulation	Gasoline car	Disc	NAO	FMPS	0.05-0.52	Mobility	0.01	-	Kwak et al. (2014)
Dynamometer	-	Disc/drum	SM	MOUDI/ELPI	0.1-18	Aerodynamic	< 30 nm	-	Garg et al. (2000)
Dynamometer	Light duty	Disc	LM/SM/NAO	MOUDI/ELPI	0.1-18	Aerodynamic	1-2	-	Sanders et al. (2003)
Dynamometer	Passenger cars	Disc (grey cast iron)	LM	SMPS	0.01-0.45	Mobility	0.1	0.3	Kukutschová et al. (2011)
				APS	0.5-20	Aerodynamic	1.5-2	-	
Pin-on-dics	Truck	Disc (grey cast iron)	SM	LA700	0.04-262	Optical	0.35	2-7-15	Mosleh et al. (2004)
Pin-on-dics	Passenger cars	Grey cast iron	LM/NAO	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	0.35	0.28; 0.55	Wahlström et al. (2010a)
				SMPS	0.01-0.52	Mobility	0.1	-	
Pin-on-dics	Train	railway wheel	cast iron/ composition brake block	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	~ 0.3	0.28; 0.6	Olofsson (2011)
				SMPS	0.01-0.52	Mobility	0.07	-	
Pin-on-dics	Train	railway wheel	Organic/Sintered /Cast iron/Steel	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6; 3-6	Abbasi et al. (2012a)
				SMPS	0.01-0.52	Mobility	0.07-0.12	-	
Test rig	-	Disc	LM / NAO	GRIMM	0.25-32	Optical	0.35	0.6; 3	Wahlström et al. (2009)
Test rig	-	Disc	LM / NAO	APS	0.5-20	Aerodynamic	1-2	-	Iijima et al. (2007)
Test rig	-	Disc	NM/ NAO	APS	0.5-20	Aerodynamic	0.8	-	Iijima et al. (2008)

1643 Note: LM: Low metallic; NAO: Semi-metallic; NAO: Non-asbestos organic;

1644

1645

1646

1647 Table 4: Particle size from industrial emissions.

Methods	Combustion system	Fuels	Method	Size range	NM-1 (nm)	NM-2 (nm)	References
Flue gas	Power plant	pulverized coal	DMA	0.01-1	0.04	0.3	Joutsensaari et al. (1992)
Flue gas	Combustor -laboratory scale	pulverized coal	ELPI/SMPS	0.01-10	0.07	-	Li et al. (2009)
Flue gas	Combustor -laboratory scale	pulverized bituminous coal	SMPS	-	0.06-0.09	-	Zhuang and Biswas (2001)
Flue gas	Combustor -laboratory scale	Pulverized sub-bituminous coal	SMPS	0.01-0.5	0.03-0.05	~0.3	Suriyawong et al. (2006)
Stack plume	Pilot-Scale Combustor	Low sulphur bituminous coal	SMPS/APS	0.003-20	0.01	0.05	Lipsky et al. (2002)
Flue gas	Coal-Fired Power Plant	pulverized coal	ELPI	0.03-10	-	0.08	Ohlström et al. (2000)
Flue gas	Coal-Fired Power Plant	pulverized coal	EEPS/APS	0.056-10	0.009	0.06; 0.835	Wang et al. (2008)
Flue gas	Pilot-scale test combustor	Coal	SMPS	0.01-0.4	0.04-0.06	-	Chang et al. (2004)
		Heavy Fuel Oil			0.07-0.10		
		Natural gas			0.015-0.025		
Stack plume	Package boiler	Oil shale	SMPS	0.013-0.083	0.020-0.028	0.043-0.061	Morawska et al. (2006)
Field					0.027	0.05	
Flue gas	Municipal Waste Incineration Plant	-	SMPS/APS	0.017-30	0.04	0.090-0.140	Maguhn et al. (2003)
Stack plume					ULD	0.04-0.07	
Stack plume	Municipal waste-to-energy (WTE) plants	-	ELPI	0.007-10	0.017-0.035	0.08	Cernuschi et al. (2012)
Stack plume	Municipal waste incinerator	-	ELPI	0.03-10	-	0.08	Buonanno et al. (2009a)

1648 NM: number mode (nm).

Table 5: Particle sizes from cooking emissions.

Activities		NPV (part/cm ³)	NMD (nm) (GSD)	Sampling equipment	References	
Grilling	gas stove at maximum power	Cheese	1.10E+05	41	APS, SMPS (6nm- 20 um)	Buonanno et al. (2009c)
		Wurstel	1.30E+05	43		
		Eggplants	1.20E+05	29		
		Bacon	1.00E+05	49		
	gas stove at minimum	Bacon	-	22		
Frying	gas stove at maximum power	chips with Olive oil	1.20E+05	61.5 (1.91)		
		chips with Peanut oil	1.20E+05	49.6 (1.82)		
		chips with Sunflower oil	1.10E+05	49.6 (1.80)		
Rings	Gas	No Food	2.60E+04	16		
	Electric	No Food	9.40E+04	32		
	Gas	Boil water	1.33E+05	17		
	Gas	Stir fry	1.37E+05	41		
	Electric	Stir fry	1.10E+04	22		
	Gas	Fry bacon	5.90E+05	69		
	Electric	Fry bacon	1.59E+05	72		
	Oven	Gas	Bake cake	9.80E+04	34	SMPS (10-500 nm)
Electric		Bake cake	3.00E+04	38		
Gas		bake potatoes	1.25E+05	39		
Electric		bake potatoes	1.60E+04	46		
Grill	Gas	No Food	1.03E+05	24		
	Electric	No Food	7.70E+04	20		
	Gas	Toast	1.38E+05	25		
	Electric	Toast	1.34E+05	27		
	Gas	Bacon	4.13E+05	39		
	Electric	Bacon	5.30E+05	53		
Cooking		1.26E+05				
Cooling pizza		1.37E+05				
Frying		1.54E+05				
Grilling		1.61E+05				
Kettle		1.56E+04	22-63	APS, SMPS (15nm-20 um)	He et al. (2004)	
Microwave		1.63E+04				
Oven		6.15E+04				
Stove		1.79E+05				
Toasting		1.14E+05				

Cooking	-	20-70	SMPS	Abt et al. (2000)	
Electric oven	1.80E+06	20-100	SMPS (14-552 nm)	Hussein et al. (2006a)	
Gas stove	scrambling eggs	1.80E+05	40 (2.1)	SMPS (17-886 nm)	Li et al., 1993
	frying chicken	2.60E+05	50 (1.86)		
	cooking soup	1.00E+05	30 (1.8)		
Gas stove	Steaming	5.40E+04	<10; 70-80	SMPS (10-500 nm)	See and Balasubramanian (2006)
	Boling	6.90E+04	<10; 70-80		
	Stir-frying	9.30E+04	10-25		
	Pan-frying	1.10E+05	10-25		
	Deep-frying	5.95E+05	10-25		
Chinese style using gas stove	8.98E+06	140 (1.63)			
Western style using electric griddle	8.83E+05	100-160 (2.6)	SMPS (16-674 nm)	Yeung and To (2008a)	
Hot oil test	6.47E+05	107 (3.0)			
Frying tortillas-gas stove		<10; 60	SMPS (10-1000); APS	Wallace (2006)	

NPV: number peak value; NMD: number mode diameter; GSD: geometric standard deviation

Table 6: PMF studies in different cities.

Sampling Sites	Size Range	Methods	No. of factors	References
Brisbane, Australia	14 nm-0.7 μm	SMPS	6	Friend et al. (2012)
Reston, VA, USA	10 nm-20 μm	APS/SPMS	9	Ogulei et al. (2006a)
Baltimore, USA	10 nm-2.5 μm	APS/SPMS	12	Ogulei et al. (2006b)
Rochester, NY, USA	12 nm-0.5 μm	SMPS	10	Ogulei et al. (2007)
Prague, Czech	15 nm-0.7 μm	SMPS	4	Thimmaiah et al. (2009)
Seattle, WA, USA	20 nm-0.6 μm	DMPS	4	Kim et al. (2003)
Augsburg, Germany	3.8 nm-8.8 μm	DMA, APS	6	Gu et al. (2011)
Rochester, NY, USA	11nm-0.5 μm	SMPS	7-9	Kasumba et al. (2009)
Pittsburgh, USA	3 nm-2.5 μm	SMPS/APS	5	Zhou et al. (2004)
Erfurt, Germany	10 nm-3 μm	DMPS/LAS-X	5	Yue et al. (2013)
Beijing, China	3 nm-0.9 μm	TDMPS	4	Wang et al. (2013)
London, UK	15 nm-18 μm	APS/SPMS	10	Harrison et al. (2011)

Table 7: Uncertainty estimation.

PMF uncertainties for particles	References
$\sigma_{i,j} + C_3(N_{i,j})$	Ogulei et al. (2006a)
$\sigma_{i,j} + C_3 \max(N_{i,j} , Y_{i,j})$	Zhou et al. (2005a)
$1 + \sqrt{N_{i,j}} + 0.1 \times (N_{i,j})$	Thimmaiah et al. (2009)
$\frac{5}{6} \times \text{MDL}$ if $N_{i,j} \leq \text{MDL}$ $\sqrt{(\text{Error Fraction} \times N_{i,j})^2 + \text{MDL}^2}$ if $N_{i,j} > \text{MDL}$	Wang et al. (2013)

Notes: $\sigma_{i,j}$ is the calculated measurement error for size bin j and sample i . $N_{i,j}$ is the measured number concentration for size bin j and sample i . C_3 is a dimensionless constant value and should be chosen in which the scaled residuals are approximately randomly distributed between -2 to +2. Ogulei et al. (2006a) reported that the value of C_3 ranges from 0.01 to 0.5, with a value of 0.4 providing the best results with the PMF model. $Y_{i,j}$ is the calculated value for $N_{i,j}$. Zhou et al (2005a) used a value of 0.08 for the C_3 constant. MDL is method detection limit. Error fraction was estimated to be 15 for small particles ($D_p < 25\text{nm}$) and 10 for larger particles (Thimmaiah et al, 2009).

Table 8: PNSD source profiles of particles reported in PMF analysis.

Sources	Mode (nm)	Correlation with air pollutants	Diurnal Profiles	References
Petrol vehicles	30-40	NO, PM ₁₀ , CO	05-08. AM & 05-06. PM	Friend et al. (2013)
Diesel vehicles	50-80	NO, CO	05-08. AM & 06-12. PM	
Aircraft	15-20	NO, NO ₂ , PM ₁₀ , CO	05-08. AM	
Biomass burning	100-200	NO, PM ₁₀	Morning, Evening	
Nucleation 1	5-10	-	Morning, afternoon	Ogulei et al. (2007)
Nucleation 2	Multiples	SO ₂	Mid afternoon	
Local traffic	20-30	-	Pronounced morning	
Distant traffic	50-80	CO, PM _{2.5}	Evening	
Industrial Emissions	40-50	-	-	
Residential/ Commercial Heating	100-200	PM _{2.5} , CO	Evening	
Secondary Nitrate	200-300	PM _{2.5}	Evening	
O ₃ -rich secondary aerosol	Multiples	O ₃	Daytime, Summer	
Secondary Sulphate	100-200	PM _{2.5}	-	
Regional Transport	200-300	PM _{2.5}	-	
Re-suspended dust	-	Ca, Mg, Fe, Ni, Mn, Cr, Ti, Co	Daytime	Gu et al. (2011)
Fresh traffic	9-10		Morning rush hours	
Aged traffic	20-100	OC, EC, NO ₂ , NO, Cu, Sb, Ce	Morning rush hours/evening	
Stationary combustion	70-80	OC, EC, NO, NO ₂	Morning/night time	
Long-range transported dust	0.7-3µm	NH ₄ , NO ₃		
Nucleation	5.5		rush hours	
Secondary aerosol	320	NH ₄ , SO ₄ , EC, OC	Night time	
Regional secondary	300	PM _{2.5} , SO ₄		Zhou et al. (2004)
Diesel emission	40	NO _x , CO, EC		
Local traffic	15	-	rush hours	
Combustion	100	NO, NO _x , CO, EC		
New particles	3	-	mid and late afternoon	
Airborne soil	1-2.84µm	No correlation	Moderate	Yue et al. (2008)
Local traffic	10-100	NO, NO ₂ , CO, OC, EC	Strong	

Secondary aerosols from local fuel traffic	0.25-1.8 um	NO ₂ , CO, OC, EC	Weak	Wang et al. (2013)
Remote traffic	10-500	CO,SO ₂ , OC, EC	Strong	
Secondary aerosols from multiple sources	0.2-1.0 um	SO ₄ , OC, EC	Weak	
Local traffic	16	NO _x	morning/evening	
Remote traffic	50		after rush hours	
Combustion	100	BC		
Secondary transformation	30	SO ₄ , NO ₃ ,NH ₄ , Oxygenated organic aerosol		

Table 9: Source apportionment of particle number in different cities (%).

	Pittsburgh, NY,US	Rochester, NY,US	Barcelona, Spain	Augsburg, Germany	Beijing, China
Nucleation	-	24.5	3.3	3.7	-
Local traffic	21.7	21.9	64.2	24.9	25
Distant traffic	20	16		40.3	29
Industry	-	20.3	1.8	-	-
Secondary nitrate	28.2	1	-	1.2	33
Secondary sulphate		6.2	-		
Regional transport	9	1.5	24.2	-	-
Combustion	21.1	-	0.3	26.1	13
Re-suspension	-	-	1.4	2.6	-
Residential heating	-	6.6	-	-	-
Others	-	1.7	1.8	-	-
	Zhou et al. (2004)	Ogulei et al. (2007)	Pey et al. (2009)	Gu et al. (2011)	Wang et al. (2013)




Figure 1: A) Number, B) Surface, and C) Volume distribution for a typical urban background aerosol in North Kensington, London during 24th-29th July 2012. The APS/SMPS dataset was collected during the ClearLo Project and merged by the authors.




Figure 2: Distribution of particles in the nucleation (Nu), Aitken (Aik) and accumulation (Acc) modes in different European sampling sites: COP: Copenhagen (Denmark); LEI: Leipzig (Germany); HEL: Helsinki (Finland); LON: London (UK), MAD: Madrid (Spain). BA denotes Urban background and RO denotes Urban roadside. Data is extracted from Von Bismarck-Osten et al. (2013) and Gómez-Moreno et al. (2011).