

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Inter-participant variability in daily physical activity and sedentary time among male youth sport footballers

Fenton, Sally; Duda, Joan; Barrett, Timothy

DOI:

[10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Fenton, SAM, Duda, JL & Barrett, T 2015, 'Inter-participant variability in daily physical activity and sedentary time among male youth sport footballers: independent associations with indicators of adiposity and cardiorespiratory fitness', *Journal of Sports Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **DOI:** [10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273)

2 **Original article available at:** <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02640414.2015.1048273>

3

4 **Inter-participant variability in daily physical activity and sedentary time among male**
5 **youth sport footballers: Independent associations with indicators of adiposity and**
6 **cardiorespiratory fitness**

7 SALLY A M. FENTON¹, JOAN L. DUDA¹, TIMOTHY BARRETT²

8 ¹ *School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT,*

9 *England and* ² *School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Birmingham, B15*

10 *2TT, England.*

11 Date of submission: 05.01.2014

12 **Running head:** Physical activity, sedentary time and adiposity in youth sport footballers

13 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank all of the coaches and players who
14 gave their time to participate in this study.

15 **Funding source:** This work was supported by the European Commission under the Seventh
16 Framework Programme – Health (project reference 223600) - as part of the Promoting
17 Adolescent Physical Activity Project (PAPA; www.projectpapa.org). The work of Sally
18 Fenton was supported by the Centre for Obesity Research (COR) at the University of
19 Birmingham.

20 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no personal or institutional conflict of interest.

21 Correspondence: Sally Fenton (corresponding author), School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences,
22 University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, England. Email: s.a.m.fenton@bham.ac.uk. Tel: 0121 4158724. Fax: 0121
23 414 4121. Joan Duda. Email: J.L.Duda@bham.ac.uk. Tel: 0121 4158724. Fax: 0121 414 4121. Timothy Barrett.
24 Email: T.G.barrett@bham.ac.uk. Tel: 0121 414. Fax: 0121

Abstract

1
2 Participation in youth sport is assumed to promote, and contribute towards more
3 physically active lifestyles among children and adolescents. The aim of this study was to
4 examine inter-participant variability in objectively measured habitual physical activity (PA)
5 behaviours and sedentary time among youth sport participants and their implications for
6 health. One-hundred-and-eighteen male youth sport footballers ($M_{age} = 11.72 \pm 1.60$) wore a
7 GT3X accelerometer for 7 days. Average daily PA [min/day, in light, (LPA), moderate
8 (MPA), vigorous (VPA) and combined moderate-to-vigorous (MVPA)] and sedentary time
9 were calculated. Participants' body-mass-index adjusted for age and sex (BMI-standard-
10 deviation-score), percent body fat (BF%), waist circumference and cardiorespiratory fitness
11 were assessed. Results revealed variability in daily PA behaviours and sedentary time
12 (min/day) were associated with BMI-standard-deviation-score, [VPA (-), MVPA (-)], BF%
13 [sedentary time (+), VPA (-), MVPA (-)], waist circumference [sedentary time (+), LPA (-)]
14 and cardiorespiratory fitness [sedentary time (-), MPA (+), VPA (+), MVPA (+)]. Whilst
15 sedentary time and MVPA were not related to health outcomes independent of one another,
16 associations with markers of adiposity and cardiorespiratory fitness were stronger for
17 sedentary time. Sedentary time was also significantly positively related to waist
18 circumference independent of VPA. Results demonstrate inter-participant variability in
19 habitual PA and sedentary time among youth sport participants which holds implications for
20 their health. Thus, promoting PA and in particular, reducing sedentary time, may contribute
21 towards the prevention of adverse health consequences associated with a physically inactive
22 lifestyle for children and adolescents active in the youth sport context.

23 **Key words:** *Youth sport, MVPA, sedentary time, adiposity, cardiorespiratory fitness*

1 **Introduction**

2 In 2013, overweight and obesity were identified as the fifth leading risk for global deaths
3 (World Health Organisation, 2013). Childhood overweight and obesity has reached epidemic
4 proportions worldwide, with global prevalence estimates of 14% (overweight including
5 obesity) and 4% (obesity), respectively (International Association for the Study of Obesity,
6 2014). Given that obesity and its associated co-morbidities track from childhood to adulthood
7 (Reilly & Kelly, 2011), identifying preventative strategies to counteract this growing
8 epidemic and associated negative health consequences in young people is a critical public
9 health concern.

10 Low levels of engagement physical activity (PA) and an increase in engagement in
11 sedentary pursuits have been implicated in the aetiology of childhood obesity (Must & Tybor,
12 2005). Specifically, population based studies of youth demonstrate negative associations
13 between moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and indicators of adiposity,
14 cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes (Chaput et al., 2012; Dencker & Andersen, 2008;
15 Ekelund et al., 2012; Mark & Janssen, 2011), with the reverse evidenced for sedentary time
16 (Tremblay et al., 2011). Vigorous physical activity (VPA) also demonstrates consistent
17 associations with these same health outcomes, with relationships often reported to be stronger
18 than observed for MVPA (Dencker & Andersen, 2008). Consequently, evidenced based
19 guidelines for PA and sedentary time have been developed and endorsed by numerous health
20 organisations across the globe. These guidelines hold that school-aged youth should engage
21 in at least 60 minutes and up to several hours of MVPA per day (Mark & Janssen, 2011). In
22 addition, it is recommended that children and adolescents should minimise time spent
23 sedentary, and participate in VPA on at least 3 days per week (Australian Government:
24 Department for Health and Ageing: 2004; Department of Health, 2011; US Department of

1 Health and Human Services, 2013). However, despite evidence demonstrating the health
2 benefits of engaging in regular PA, population based research indicates school-aged youth
3 across the globe are insufficiently active to meet recommended levels of MVPA and VPA,
4 and also engage in high levels of sedentary time.

5 *Youth sport: promoting, and contributing towards more physically active lifestyles?*

6 Numerous government reports advocate increasing participation in youth sport as an
7 avenue through which the growing obesity crisis may be addressed , via encouraging the
8 adoption of a more physically active lifestyle (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,
9 2000; Commission of the European Communities, 2007; NSW Department of Health, 2009).
10 Certainly, research employing self-report methods to assess PA has demonstrated sport
11 participants engage in higher levels of PA, and are more likely to meet recommended levels
12 of MVPA than non-sport participants (Nelson et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2010). To date, only
13 two studies have employed objective PA measurement methods to determine habitual levels
14 of engagement in PA among youth sport participants. Machado-Rodrigues et al., (2012) and
15 Van Hoye et al., (2013) reported average daily MVPA assessed by accelerometers to be
16 above the recommended 60 minutes per day in male youth sport participants aged between 9
17 and 16 years, from Portugal, England, France and Greece (Machado-Rodrigues et al., 2012;
18 Van Hoye et al., 2013). In addition, studies have reported youth sport to be particularly
19 conducive towards accruing VPA. Certainly, research informs us participants average daily
20 VPA to be above levels identified as relevant to the prevention of excess overweight and
21 obesity among youth (Martinez-Gomez et al., 2010).

22 These recent findings serve to confirm previous reports regarding the benefits of
23 youth sport participation for accruing higher levels of health enhancing PA. However, it is
24 important to note that past studies have reported mean levels of PA engagement, calculated

1 by averaging the daily MVPA (average minutes/day) across the sample, to reflect the habitual
2 levels of PA participation among the population of interest. That is, inter-participant
3 variability (i.e., variability between individuals) in habitual levels of MVPA and VPA were
4 not examined in previous research. Indeed, it is likely that, for many children, participation in
5 youth sport and engagement in health enhancing levels of PA are not one and the same. As
6 such, there is a need for research which seeks to examine whether youth sport engagement is
7 conducive towards habitual participation in recommended and health enhancing levels of
8 objectively assessed MVPA and VPA for all youth. Moreover, where such variability is
9 associated with variability in health outcomes (i.e., low PA is associated with higher
10 adiposity), it would suggest that interventions targeting habitual PA behaviours of youth sport
11 participants may contribute towards improved health and disease prevention among this
12 cohort. Indeed, research has demonstrated participation in youth sport does not necessarily
13 mitigate the health risks associated with low PA participation (e.g., excess adiposity) (BeLue,
14 Francis, Rollins & Colaco, 2009).

15 A lack of research employing objective PA assessment methods among youth sport
16 participants has also limited our investigation of levels of sedentary time participation in this
17 population. The two extant studies examining sedentary time have revealed youth sport
18 participants to spend between 8 and 11 hours per day sedentary (Machado-Rodrigues et al.,
19 2012; Van Hoya et al., 2013). As such, whilst some youth sport participants may engage in
20 recommended levels of MVPA, they may also be highly sedentary. Indeed, research reports
21 a common correlate of male youth who present a high active/high sedentary behaviour profile
22 is participation in organised sports (Jago, Fox, Page, Brockman, & Thompson, 2010). Given
23 that some research has reported sedentary behaviour to be independently linked to health
24 outcomes after adjusting for levels of engagement in MVPA (e.g., Henderson et al., 2012;

1 Mitchell, Pate, Beets, & Nader, 2013), the health implications of this high active/high
2 sedentary behaviour typology which may be apparent for some youth sport participants
3 warrants further attention. Accordingly, research is necessary to determine whether the high
4 levels of sedentary time associated with engagement in youth sport may potentially negate
5 any of the positive health benefits which may arise from PA engagement among this group of
6 youth.

7 The overarching purpose of the present study was to more critically analyse the
8 habitual PA behaviours and sedentary time of children and adolescents active in the youth
9 sport setting and implications held for health. Accelerometers provide an advantage over self-
10 report methods of PA, allowing more true determination of inter-participant variability in
11 habitual PA engagement across the full spectrum of PA behaviours (i.e., light, moderate and
12 vigorous). To this end, the primary aims of the present study were two fold. First, to
13 determine inter-participant variability in average daily levels of PA (light, moderate, vigorous
14 and combined MVPA) and sedentary time among youth sport football participants and
15 associations with indicators of adiposity (i.e., body mass index (BMI), percent body fat
16 (BF%), waist circumference and cardiorespiratory fitness). Second, to investigate whether
17 associations between light, moderate, vigorous and MVPA with targeted health outcomes,
18 were independent of sedentary time, and likewise, whether sedentary time is related to health
19 outcomes independent of levels of MVPA and VPA engagement. In addition, given the
20 greater health benefits reported to result from VPA participation (relative to other dimensions
21 of PA), a second aim of the current study was to determine whether associations between
22 VPA and health outcomes were independent of LPA and MPA. Grassroots football was the
23 targeted youth sport in the present study given the popularity of this organised sport activity

1 across the globe (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2009; Kunz, 2007; National Council of
2 Youth Sports, 2008; Womens Sports Foundation, 2008).

3 **Method**

4 *Participants and recruitment*

5 Participants were a subsample of grassroots football players (N = 149), recruited from
6 the European PAPA project (Promoting Adolescent Physical Activity;
7 www.projectpapa.org). As part of the project, objective PA data (via accelerometer) were
8 collected in Greece, France and England (N = 417). The present study analysed data from the
9 English sample (N = 149, 35.73%), representing grassroots footballers recruited from 39
10 teams across 18 clubs. The full protocol for the PAPA Project and the core objective PA
11 measurement protocol are detailed elsewhere (Duda et al., 2013; Van Hoya et al., 2013). The
12 following sections outline the protocol followed in England where supplementary measures
13 were included (i.e., measurement of BF% and waist circumference).

14 Lead coaches at football clubs were contacted by a member of the research team.
15 Interested coaches (N = 39) were provided with information sheets detailing the study
16 protocol to be passed on to players and parents. Four willing participants per team were then
17 recruited to the study (i.e., a striker, midfielder, defender and goal keeper). All participants
18 were playing in recreational leagues (i.e., one training session and/or match per week). Only
19 male participants were recruited to the present study as few female clubs were interested in
20 taking part (N = 2). Informed consent and assent were obtained from all players and their
21 parents before participating in the study. The study was approved by the local National
22 Health Service Research Ethics Committee.

23 *Protocol*

1 A trained researcher visited football sessions to carry out the procedures.
2 Accelerometers and PA diaries were distributed at the start of training sessions. During the
3 session, participants' height, weight, BF% and waist circumference were measured.
4 Participant ethnicity was also recorded via a questionnaire administered as part of the larger
5 PAPA protocol. Participants were asked to wear the accelerometer for 7 days. Researchers
6 returned one week later to collect accelerometers and PA diaries.

7 *Measures*

8 *Physical activity and sedentary time.* The GT3X accelerometer (Actigraph;
9 Pensacola, FL) was used to measure daily PA and sedentary time. Actigraph accelerometers
10 have been shown to be valid and reliable measures of PA and sedentary time in youth (de
11 Vries, Bakker, Hopman-Rock, Hirasing, & van Mechelen, 2006). The GT3X detects
12 movements over pre-specified time periods called epochs. Movement counts within each
13 epoch are summed and converted to activity counts and interpreted to determine frequency,
14 intensity and duration of physical activity engagement. Accelerometers were initialised to
15 measure PA in 15 second epochs. Participants were asked to wear the accelerometer for 7
16 days during all waking hours, removing only for water-based activities (e.g., swimming and
17 bathing). Trained researchers gave verbal and written instructions to players and their parents
18 demonstrating how accelerometers should be worn. Participants were instructed to record
19 daily participation in sport and physical activity (e.g., football, swimming, cycling) during the
20 study week, and times when the accelerometer was removed/replaced (indicating reasons
21 why) in PA diaries.

22 *Anthropometrics.* Height, weight, BF% and waist circumference were measured with
23 participants being bare footed, and wearing light clothing (e.g., shorts and t-shirt). Height was
24 measured using a portable stadiometer (SECA, Leicester height measure). Weight and BF%

1 were measured using bioelectrical impedance scales (Tanita, SC330). Bioelectrical
2 impedance analysis has been validated against dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry estimates of
3 adiposity in youth (Hosking, Metcalf, Jeffery, Voss, & Wilkin, 2006). BF% was measured
4 following entry of the participant's height and weight into the bioelectrical impedance scales.
5 For measurement of waist circumference, children were asked to stand with feet shoulder
6 width apart and expose their waistline to enable measurement against bare skin. The
7 uppermost lateral border of the iliac crest was identified on both sides of the waist as the
8 measurement point. A non- elastic tape was wrapped snugly around the waist in a horizontal
9 plane in line with these points. Measurement was taken at the end of expiration.
10 Measurements were recorded in duplicate to the nearest 0.1cm or 0.1kg. Where the first two
11 measures differed by more than 0.4 cm or 0.5 kg, a third measure was recorded. Body mass
12 index (BMI, $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$) was calculated using the equation: $\text{weight (kg)} \div \text{height (m}^2\text{)}$. BMI
13 adjusted for age sex (BMI-standard-deviation-score) was computed for use in subsequent
14 analysis (Cole, Freeman, & Preece, 1998).

15 *Cardiorespiratory fitness.* Cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed using the 20 meter
16 multi-stage shuttle run test (Léger, Mercier, Gadoury, & Lambert, 1988). This test is easy to
17 administer in field based research, is frequently used to indicate cardiorespiratory fitness in
18 children and adolescents worldwide (Olds, Tomkinson, Leger & Cazorla, 2006) and has been
19 demonstrated to be a valid and reliable assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness in youth (Liu,
20 Plowman & Looney, 1992). A shuttle was marked on the ground by two lines of cones 20
21 meters apart. Participants were asked to run back and forth between the 20 meter shuttle at a
22 workload regulated by audio-signals emitted from a pre-recorded audio-CD. Players were
23 asked to start at the first sound signal and follow the pre-scribed pace set by the audio-CD.
24 Frequency of the sound signals was increased by 0.5 km/hour h-1 each minute from a starting

1 speed of 8.5 km/hour. Participants were instructed to run in a straight line, pace themselves in
 2 accordance with the time intervals emitted from the audio-CD, and to pivot upon completing
 3 each shuttle. Testing was terminated when the player could no longer maintain the prescribed
 4 pace for 2 consecutive signals or withdrew due to exhaustion. The last stage number
 5 announced was used to predict maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max} (cardiorespiratory fitness) =
 6 Y , $ml\ kg^{-1}\ min^{-1}$) from the speed (X , $km\ hour^{-1}$) corresponding to that stage [speed = $8 + (0.5 \times$
 7 stage no.)] and age (A , year):

$$8 \quad Y = 31.025 + 3.238X - 3.248A + 0.1536AX$$

9 *Data processing*

10 Physical activity data were downloaded from the GT3X and analysed using the
 11 Actilife software (Actilife version 6.2, Actigraph; Pensacola, FL). Data were cleaned and
 12 checked for spurious values and periods of non-wear. Non-wear time was determined by
 13 identifying strings of consecutive zeros recorded by the accelerometer for >30 minutes,
 14 allowing for 1 minute of counts <100 (Cain, Sallis, Conway, Van Dyck, & Calhoun, 2013).
 15 A day was considered valid where ≥ 8 hours of valid wear time data had been recorded on \geq
 16 4 days, including a weekend day (Cain et al., 2013). Cut points were <100, 100 – 2295, 2296
 17 – 4011 and ≥ 4012 counts per minute for sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous PA,
 18 respectively (Evenson, Catellier, Gill, Ondrak, & McMurray, 2006). MPA and VPA were
 19 also combined to represent MVPA (≥ 2296 counts per minute). Compliance to the protocol
 20 was 79.20%. Participants were excluded from subsequent analyses for failing to wear the
 21 accelerometer for ≥ 4 days ($N = 21$), failing to wear the accelerometer on a weekend day ($N =$
 22 7) and for lack of anthropometric or cardiorespiratory fitness data ($N = 3$). To determine the
 23 extent to which accelerometers may have underestimated PA in this cohort, completed PA

1 diaries (response rate = 70%, N = 83) were also examined to quantify time spent in activities
2 for which the accelerometer is unable to record PA. Only nine and eight participants reported
3 engaging in swimming (1 hour total duration) and cycling (maximum 30 minute total
4 duration) during the study week, respectively. Participation in these activities was therefore
5 unlikely to affect the present results reported.

6 *Statistical analysis*

7 Descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) were calculated for all measured variables. Non-
8 normally distributed data were log transformed using an LG10 transformation. One way
9 analysis of variance was conducted to determine if excluded participants were significantly
10 different from those included in terms of age, height, weight, anthropometrics and
11 cardiorespiratory fitness. Multiple linear regressions (i.e., sequentially built models) were
12 employed to investigate relationships between daily PA variables (LPA, MPA, MVPA,
13 VPA), and sedentary time (min/day) with health outcomes [BMI-standard-deviation-score,
14 BF %, waist circumference (cm) and cardiorespiratory fitness ($\text{ml.kg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$)]. All regressions
15 were initially adjusted for age, ethnicity, valid wear time and season to account for seasonal
16 variation in levels of PA participation (Rich, Griffiths, & DeZateux, 2012). The first step
17 involved entering PA variables as single predictors into adjusted models (i.e., one model each
18 for LPA, MPA, VPA and MVPA) (Table 2, model 1). To determine independent associations
19 between PA variables and sedentary time to the targeted health outcomes, a series of second
20 models were tested. Models 2^a examined whether associations between LPA, MPA, VPA and
21 combined MVPA were independent of sedentary time (i.e., sedentary time was entered
22 separately into each model). Beta coefficients for sedentary time were also examined to
23 determine whether sedentary time engagement was significantly related to health outcomes
24 independent of LPA, MPA, VPA and MVPA.

1 Additional analyses were conducted to investigate whether levels of VPA engagement
2 were related to health outcomes independent of MPA and LPA (models 2^b). Specifically,
3 VPA was entered into separate models with LPA and MPA. Analyses were also interpreted to
4 determine whether LPA and MPA were significantly related to health outcomes independent
5 of VPA.

6 **Results**

7 *Participant characteristics*

8 Descriptive statistics for all measured variables are displayed in Table 1. Means and
9 standard deviations are presented for the total sample and the subsample of participants with
10 valid daily PA data. Ranges (min-max) are also reported to demonstrate inter-participant
11 variability in levels of PA engagement (LPA, MPA, VPA and combined MVPA, min/day)
12 and health indicators (BMI, BMI-standard-deviation-score, BF%, waist circumference and
13 cardiorespiratory fitness) within the sample. One way analysis of variance demonstrated age,
14 height, weight and anthropometric measurements (i.e., BMI, BMI-standard-deviation-score,
15 BF% and waist circumference) of included and excluded participants were not significantly
16 different. Significant differences between included and excluded participants were observed
17 only for cardiorespiratory fitness ($F(1, 143) = 4.55, p = .04$).

18 *Variability in habitual daily PA and sedentary time engagement*

19 The average daily MVPA for the sample was above the recommended guideline of \geq
20 60 min/day. However, ranges of 119.27 min/day (lower bound = 26.94) and 57.08 min/day
21 (lower bound = 6.31) min/day were recorded for average daily MVPA and VPA,
22 respectively. Examination of individual estimates for average daily MVPA (min/day)
23 indicated 29.1% of participants did not meet guidelines for MVPA. In addition, where

1 minutes of MVPA participation for every monitored day were examined separately for each
2 participant (e.g., minutes of MVPA on day 1, 2 and 3 were observed individually), only
3 14.5% of participants (N = 17) met guidelines for MVPA on each day for which valid PA
4 data (i.e., ≥ 8 hours) were recorded.

5 Results revealed participants spent between 7 and 8 hours per day sedentary on
6 average. Average daily participation in sedentary time ranged between 5.10 and 9.81 hours
7 per day (range = 4.7 hours [282.21 minutes]). Of the total 118 participants, 99.15%, 83.90%,
8 44.07%, and 14.42%, engaged in $\geq 6, 7, 8$ and 9 hours of sedentary time per day.

9 ****Table 1 inserted here****

10 *Relationships between PA and sedentary time with targeted health outcomes*

11 Results from linear regressions examining relationships between habitual daily PA
12 and sedentary time with health outcomes are displayed in Table 2. Covariates (age, ethnicity,
13 valid wear time and season) accounted for 24.9%, 27.3%, 19.0% and 38.0% of the variance
14 in BF%, waist circumference, BMI-standard-deviation-score and cardiorespiratory fitness,
15 respectively.

16 *Model 1*

17 LPA (min/day) was significantly negatively associated with waist circumference.
18 However LPA was not related to BF%, BMI-standard-deviation -score or cardiorespiratory
19 fitness. Both MVPA and VPA (min/day) were significantly and negatively associated with
20 BF% and BMI-standard-deviation-score. In addition, MPA, VPA and combined MVPA
21 (min/day) all demonstrated significant positive relationships with cardiorespiratory fitness.
22 However, neither MVPA nor VPA (min/day) were associated with waist circumference
23 (model 1), and MPA (min/day) was unrelated to all other health indicators. Sedentary time

1 (min/day) was significantly positively associated with BF% and waist circumference and
2 significantly negatively associated with cardiorespiratory fitness. However no significant
3 association was observed between sedentary time and BMI-standard deviation score (model
4 1).

5 *Independent associations*

6 *Model 2^a*

7 Following adjustment for sedentary time (min/day), the previously significant
8 association between LPA (min/day) with waist circumference was attenuated and no longer
9 significant. In addition, MPA, VPA and MVPA (min/day) were no longer significantly
10 related to cardiorespiratory fitness, and MVPA and VPA were no longer significantly
11 associated with BMI-standard-deviation-score after adjusting for sedentary time.

12 Examination of the beta coefficients revealed the positive relationship between
13 sedentary time (min/day) and waist circumference to remain stable following adjustment for
14 VPA (min/day), and approach significance ($p = .05$). However, sedentary time was not
15 significantly associated with BMI-standard deviation score, BF% or cardiorespiratory fitness
16 independent of VPA, or any of the measured health outcomes independent of LPA, MPA or
17 MVPA (min/day).

18 *Model 2^b*

19 Results demonstrated VPA (min/day) was no longer significantly associated with
20 BF%, BMI-standard-deviation-score or cardiorespiratory fitness after adjusting for MPA
21 (min/day) (models 2b). However, VPA remained significantly and positively associated with
22 cardiorespiratory fitness, and significantly negatively related to BF% and BMI-standard-
23 deviation-score following adjustment for LPA, The relationship between MPA and

1 cardiorespiratory fitness observed in model 1 was not independent of VPA. However, the
2 significant negative relationship between LPA and waist circumference remained following
3 adjustment for VPA.

4 ****Table 2 inserted here****

5 **Discussion**

6 This is the first study to examine inter-participant variability in objectively assessed
7 habitual PA and sedentary time among youth sport participants and their associations with
8 health outcomes. Findings demonstrated variability in average daily PA and sedentary time
9 were related to adiposity indices and cardiorespiratory fitness in this cohort. Specifically,
10 results revealed higher levels of daily PA (LPA, VPA and MVPA) to be linked to lower
11 levels of adiposity, and PA above a moderate intensity (MPA, VPA and MVPA) to be
12 positively associated with cardiorespiratory fitness among male youth sport footballers.
13 However these associations were not independent of daily sedentary time. In addition, whilst
14 daily sedentary time was also not independently associated with most health outcomes,
15 relationships were stronger than reported for all PA behaviours. Moreover, daily sedentary
16 time demonstrated a positive relationship with waist circumference independent of VPA, that
17 approached significance ($p = .05$). Results suggest that promoting PA and in particular,
18 reducing sedentary time might hold implications for the health of children and adolescents
19 whom participate in youth sport. Accordingly, PA and sedentary time interventions focused
20 within the youth sport context may be relevant towards preventing excess adiposity and
21 improving cardiorespiratory fitness for these young people.

22 *Variability in daily PA and sedentary time engagement*

1 Aligned with previous studies, current findings indicated that average daily levels of
2 MVPA to be above the recommended 60 minutes per day in male youth sport footballers
3 (Machado-Rodrigues et al., 2012; Van Hoye et al., 2013; Wickel & Eisenmann, 2007).
4 However, a more critical examination of individual estimates for daily MVPA indicated 29%
5 of participants did not accrue an average of ≥ 60 minutes of MVPA per day, and only 14.5%
6 achieved this guideline on every valid PA measurement day. Moreover, average daily MVPA
7 and VPA were reported to be as low as 27 and 6 minutes per day respectively for some
8 participants. Findings therefore denote a certain level of variability to exist with respect to
9 habitual levels of engagement in MVPA and VPA among males active in the youth sport
10 football setting. Thus, participation in youth sport may not necessarily encourage regular
11 engagement in health enhancing levels of MVPA and VPA across the broad spectrum of
12 children who participate in youth sport at the grassroots level.

13 With regards to daily sedentary time, current findings indicated average daily
14 participation to range between 5 and 10 hours in the current sample of male youth sport
15 footballers, with 44% of participants engaging in more than 8 hours sedentary time per day.
16 Thus, whilst daily sedentary time also appears to be variable among this group of children
17 and adolescents, results suggest children who participate in youth sport are likely to spend
18 large proportions of their day sedentary. Indeed, findings support recent observations
19 indicating participation in youth sport may be associated with engagement in high amounts of
20 sedentary time (Machado-Rodrigues et al., 2012; Van Hoye et al., 2013). The high levels of
21 sedentary time observed among this cohort may partly be attributable to compensatory
22 behaviours. That is, youth may compensate for the energy expended during PA (e.g., sport
23 participation) by reducing their energy expenditure (via increased sedentary time) in other
24 periods of the day or on consecutive days. Certainly, studies in adults have documented

1 increases in sedentary time following structured exercise interventions (Kozey-Keadle et al.,
2 2013; Rosenkilde et al., 2012) and a recent study demonstrated that for every additional 10
3 minutes in MVPA per day, children reduced their PA engagement (MVPA and LPA) by 30
4 minutes the following day (Ridgers, Timperio, Cerin, & Salmon, 2014).

5 The levels of PA and sedentary time engagement observed herein are comparable to
6 findings from recent population based studies (Griffiths et al., 2013; Pate, Mitchell, Byun, &
7 Dowda, 2011; Woods, Tannehill, Quinlan, Moyna, & Walsh, 2010). For example, a recent
8 study indicated only 19% of Irish youth aged 10 to 18 years met guidelines for MVPA
9 (assessed via accelerometers) on every day of the week (Woods et al., 2010). In addition,
10 average daily sedentary time reported for over 40% of youth in the present sample was higher
11 than average estimates observed in studies of English and American youth (e.g., 6 to 8 hours)
12 (Pate et al., 2011). Importantly, the levels of adiposity observed in the current study are also
13 comparable to those reported in epidemiological studies of youth across Europe (Collings et
14 al., 2014; Ekelund et al., 2012). As such, present results indicate that, in terms of their
15 patterns of engagement in PA and sedentary time, and adiposity status, youth sport
16 participants may be more representative of the general population of children and adolescents
17 that initially thought.

18 The present associations reported between inter-participant variabilities in habitual
19 PA and sedentary time, with adiposity indices and cardiorespiratory fitness, add further
20 support to this contention. Indeed, where population based studies have demonstrated
21 associations between daily PA engagement, and more recently, daily sedentary time with
22 adiposity indices and cardiorespiratory fitness (Basterfield et al., 2012; Dencker & Andersen,
23 2008; Ekelund et al., 2012; Fisher et al., 2011; Gutin & Owen, 2011), present results
24 indicated average daily LPA, MVPA and VPA to be significantly and negatively associated

1 with indicators of adiposity, with the reverse true for sedentary time. In addition, PA above a
2 moderate intensity was positively linked, and sedentary time negatively related to
3 cardiorespiratory fitness. Thus, the health implications arising from promoting higher levels of
4 overall PA engagement and reducing sedentary time among children active in the youth sport
5 context are likely similar to those reported for the general population of school aged youth.
6 Evidence for the associations between PA, sedentary time and health among youth have
7 resulted in a considerable number of PA and sedentary time interventions aimed at preventing
8 obesity and related diseases in young people. To date, such interventions have largely
9 targeted the school setting due to their ability to impact upon the PA and sedentary time
10 behaviours of almost all school-aged children and adolescents (i.e., including those whom
11 may engage in low levels of PA and high sedentary time) (Jago & Baranowski, 2004;
12 Lonsdale et al., 2013). However, the variability in daily PA and sedentary time and
13 associations with health outcomes reported herein, suggest PA advancement and sedentary
14 time reduction strategies focused within the youth sport context also hold promise for health
15 promotion (i.e., preventing excess adiposity and improving cardiorespiratory fitness) among
16 school-aged youth.

17 Recently, research has begun to investigate the independent influences of MVPA and
18 sedentary time on health outcomes among youth. Increasingly, studies are reporting daily
19 MVPA participation to be related to indicators of adiposity and cardiorespiratory fitness after
20 adjusting for levels of sedentary time engagement (Chaput et al., 2012; Ekelund et al., 2012;
21 Steele, van Sluijs, Cassidy, Griffin, & Ekelund, 2009). Consequently, the health benefits of
22 promoting at least moderate intensity PA, rather than reducing sedentary time, have been
23 emphasised (Ekelund et al., 2012; Steele et al., 2009). Whilst some studies have also reported
24 sedentary time to exert independent effects on obesity and disease related health outcomes

1 after adjusting for levels of engagement in MVPA (Henderson et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2011;
2 Mitchell et al., 2013), these findings have been inconsistent.

3 Present findings indicated MVPA was not related to any of the targeted health
4 outcomes independent of sedentary time, and vice versa. As such, results suggest that the
5 observed relationships between MVPA and sedentary time with adiposity indices and
6 cardiorespiratory fitness are somewhat co-dependent in youth sport football participants. That
7 is, increasing MVPA and reducing sedentary time may hold comparable health benefits for
8 young male sport participants in terms of obesity linked disease prevention. Still, it is
9 important to consider that whilst not significantly related to health outcomes independent of
10 MVPA, sedentary time was more strongly associated with waist circumference, BF% and
11 cardiorespiratory fitness than MVPA. Thus, whilst results indeed highlight the importance of
12 increasing habitual MVPA participation among youth sport football participants, our findings
13 imply that reducing sedentary time may hold greater implications for obesity and related
14 disease prevention in this group of children. A recent study has demonstrated that the social
15 environment created by the interpersonal behaviours of the youth sport coach is associated
16 with daily levels of sedentary time engagement among youth sport participants (Fenton,
17 Duda, Quested, & Barrett, 2014a). Specifically, Fenton et al., (2014a) reported more adaptive
18 social environments that foster autonomous motivation towards sport and PA related to lower
19 levels of habitual sedentary time among male youth sport footballers. Thus, the social
20 environment may offer an attractive target for interventions seeking to reduce sedentary time
21 among youth active in the youth sport context.

22 Further underlining the importance of reducing sedentary time engagement among the
23 present population, current analysis also revealed the a positive association between
24 sedentary time and waist circumference to remain stable (approaching significance ($p = .05$),

1 after adjusting for VPA, Moreover, the previously significant associations between VPA with
2 BF%, BMI-standard-deviation-score and cardiorespiratory fitness (see Table 2, model 1)
3 were reduced and no longer significant. Studies have underlined the value of participation in
4 youth sport for encouraging higher levels of engagement in VPA, and consequently, the
5 positive health implications which may arise from sport involvement (e.g., reduced risk of
6 excess adiposity) (Leek et al., 2014, Fenton et al., 2014b). However, for male youth sport
7 footballers, current results suggest that some of the health benefits which may result from
8 participation in youth sport and associated higher levels of VPA engagement may be negated
9 by the high levels of sedentary time which also appear characteristic of these young people.
10 In particular, the high levels of sedentary time associated with sport participation may have
11 negative implications for levels of central adiposity among youth active in this context,
12 regardless of levels of VPA engagement. Interestingly, LPA was also negatively associated
13 with waist circumference after adjusting for VPA. Results therefore point to the value of
14 concurrently promoting engagement in LPA and reducing sedentary time (e.g., encouraging
15 sedentary breaks) in this cohort. Indeed, waist circumference is evidenced to be a marker of
16 cardiometabolic health, on which interruptions in sedentary time have been demonstrated to
17 have a positive effect (Saunders et al., 2013).

18 A secondary aim of the present study was to investigate whether associations between
19 VPA with adiposity indices and cardiorespiratory fitness were independent of LPA and MPA.
20 Indeed, evidence that points to the importance of examining the independent relationships
21 between VPA engagement (relative to sedentary time and other PA dimensions) with health
22 outcomes among different populations is compelling (e.g., Steele et al., 2009). Present
23 results reporting daily VPA engagement to be independently related to markers of general
24 adiposity (i.e., BF%, BMI-standard-deviation-score) and cardiorespiratory fitness, following

1 adjustment for LPA are therefore of interest. In particular, such findings may suggest that, for
2 male youth sport footballers, whilst VPA is not related to health outcomes independent of
3 sedentary time, encouraging engagement in this behaviour (relative to advancing engagement
4 in LPA and MPA), may hold value when considering the prevention of excess adiposity and
5 improving cardiorespiratory fitness. Indeed, results also revealed LPA was not significantly
6 associated with cardiorespiratory fitness, and MPA to be unrelated to both BMI-standard-
7 deviation-score and BF% following baseline adjustment for potential confounders.

8 Strengths of the present study involve the use of accelerometers to assess engagement
9 in health-related PA behaviours and sedentary time in the targeted population of children.
10 Further, measures of both central and general adiposity were assessed. Central adiposity is
11 more closely associated with cardiometabolic risk factors than general adiposity (Klein et al.,
12 2007). Thus, results from this study may help contribute towards determining the potential
13 value of PA promotion and sedentary time reduction strategies towards improving
14 cardiometabolic health among youth sport participants. Moreover, this is the first study to
15 employ a combination of objective assessments to measure PA, cardiorespiratory fitness and
16 adiposity via bioelectrical impedance analysis in youth sport participants. Previous studies
17 have assessed adiposity largely via measurements of BMI and waist circumference. Thus, the
18 present study adds a novel contribution to literature, characterising levels of PA engagement,
19 adiposity and cardiorespiratory fitness in youth sport participants as well as examining
20 associations between these variables.

21 Important to note is that the cross-sectional nature of the present study limits the
22 extent to which we can make inferences regarding the direction of causality. For example, a
23 recent longitudinal study reported that BF% predicted daily levels of PA engagement (Kwon,
24 Janz, Burns, & Levy, 2011). Nevertheless, longitudinal studies demonstrate PA engagement

1 and sedentary time to predict health outcomes related to obesity and cardiovascular disease
2 (Mitchell et al., 2013; Must & Tybor, 2005), and exercise interventions targeting increases in
3 moderate intensity PA engagement have demonstrated decreases in adiposity among youth
4 (Lemura & Maziekas, 2002). Longitudinal studies examining the associations between daily
5 PA and sedentary time with indicators of adiposity and cardiovascular risk in groups of youth
6 sport participants are necessary in order to first determine the fuller potential of PA and
7 sedentary time interventions targeting the behaviours of youth sport participants for obesity
8 and disease prevention. Also interesting to determine would be the extent to which youth
9 sport contributes towards levels of LPA, MPA and VPA across the week. Such research
10 would serve to answer interesting questions related to the direct benefits of sport participation
11 for health among this cohort. In line with this suggestion, it would also be interesting to
12 determine the extent to which popular screen-based sedentary behaviours identified as being
13 relevant to health (e.g., TV viewing), might contribute towards total sedentary time and
14 related health among this cohort.

15 A further limitation to the present study is the possibility of sample bias. Participants
16 were male footballers recruited from England. As such, results presented may not be
17 generalisable to young people representing the wider ethnic distribution of the English
18 population, females and children and adolescents who participate in other youth sports.
19 However, the global popularity of youth sport football means findings may be applicable to
20 large numbers of children worldwide (Kunz, 2007). In addition, associations between PA,
21 sedentary time and health have been reported to occur in both males and females, and in
22 different cultures and ethnic groups (Janssen & Leblanc, 2010; Tremblay et al., 2011).
23 Nevertheless, further research that includes samples comprising girls, different ethnic groups
24 and different youth sports, are required before the conclusions drawn can be generalised to

1 the wider population of youth sport participants. Finally, we were unable to adjust for
2 maturity status in the present research. Consequently, we are unable to determine the extent
3 to inter-participant variability in pubertal development may have influenced variability in the
4 presently assessed health outcomes. However, pubertal status is reported to be highly
5 correlated with age (Booth, Johnson, Granger, Crouter, & McHale, 2003; Patia-Spear, 2007).
6 As such, adjustment for age in the present analysis is likely to have somewhat accounted for
7 the variance in health outcomes attributable to participants' maturity status. Nevertheless,
8 future studies are required in order to discern the extent to which pubertal status is associated
9 with the presently assessed health outcomes among youth sport participants.

10 **Conclusion**

11 Present results indicate a certain level of variability to exist with respect to habitual
12 levels of engagement in PA and sedentary time among those males active in grassroots
13 football setting. Moreover, this inter-participant variability is associated with obesity linked
14 health outcomes and cardiorespiratory fitness. Whilst longitudinal studies are necessary,
15 current findings highlight there is likely a need to increase levels of engagement in PA, and
16 reduce engagement in sedentary time even in these groups of children traditionally
17 considered to be among the most active (Nelson et al., 2011). Further, whilst not
18 independently related, sedentary time appears to be more strongly associated with markers of
19 adiposity than MVPA, and is positively related to central adiposity after adjusting for VPA
20 engagement. Consequently, high levels of sedentary time associated with youth sport
21 participation may negate some of the benefits associated with engaging in MVPA and VPA
22 among this cohort. Findings therefore suggest the way in which we appraise the role of youth
23 sport as a vehicle for PA promotion and related obesity prevention should perhaps be

1 readdressed. That is, simply encouraging participation in youth sport alone may not be
2 enough to promote PA engagement towards level required to accrue positive benefits to
3 health (i.e., the prevention of overweight and obesity). Rather, youth sport may offer a setting
4 through which to target the PA and sedentary behaviours of school-age youth who may be at
5 risk of engaging in levels of PA and sedentary time that are adversely associated with
6 markers of obesity and related diseases.

7

8 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank all of the coaches and players who
9 gave their time to participate in this study.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Reference List

- 1
2 Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2009). *Children's Participation in Cultural and Leisure*
3 *Activities*. Retrieved from:
4 [http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Products/4901.0~Apr+2009~Main+Features](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Products/4901.0~Apr+2009~Main+Features~Organised+sport)
5 [~Organised+sport](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Products/4901.0~Apr+2009~Main+Features~Organised+sport)
- 6 Australian Government: Department for Health and Ageing. (2004). *Physical Activity*
7 *Guidelines for Children (5-12 yrs)*. Retrieved from
8 <http://www.beactive.wa.gov.au/index.php?id=474>
- 9 Basterfield, L., Pearce, M. S., Adamson, A. J., Frary, J. K., Parkinson, K. N., Wright, C. M.
10 et al. (2012). Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, and Adiposity in English
11 Children. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 42(5), 445-451.
- 12 Booth, A., Johnson, D. R., Granger, D. A., Crouter, A. C., & McHale, S. (2003). Testosterone
13 and child and adolescent adjustment : the moderating role of parent and child
14 relationships. *Developmental Psychology*, 39(1), 85-98.
- 15 Cain, K., Sallis, J. F., Conway, T. L., Van Dyck, D., & Calhoun, L. (2013). Using
16 Accelerometers in Youth Physical Activity Studies: A Review of Methods. *Journal of*
17 *Physical Activity and Health*, 10(3), 437-450.
- 18 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2000). *Promoting Better Health for Young*
19 *People through Physical Activity and Sports*. Retrieved from:
20 http://usa.usembassy.de/etexts/sport/Promoting_better_health
- 21 Chaput, J. P., Lambert, M., Mathieu, M. E., Tremblay, M. S., O' Loughlin, J., & Tremblay,
22 A. (2012). Physical activity vs. sedentary time: independent associations with
23 adiposity in children. *Pediatric Obesity*, 7(3), 251-258.
- 24 Cole, T. J., Freeman, J. V., & Preece, M. A. (1998). British 1990 growth reference centiles
25 for weight, height, body mass index and head circumference fitted by maximum
26 penalized likelihood. *Statistics in Medicine*, 17(4), 407-429.
- 27 Collings, P. J., Wijndaele, K., Corder, K., Westgate, K., Ridgway, C. L., Dunn, V. et al.
28 (2014). Levels and patterns of objectively-measured physical activity volume and
29 intensity distribution in UK adolescents: the ROOTS study. *International Journal of*
30 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(23).
- 31 Commission of the European Communities. (2007). *White Paper on Sport*. Retrieved from:
32 http://europa.eu/documentation/official-docs/white-papers/index_en.htm

- 1 de Vries, S. I., Bakker, I., Hopman-Rock, M., Hirasing, R. A., & van Mechelen, W. (2006).
2 Clinimetric review of motion sensors in children and adolescents. *Journal of Clinical*
3 *Epidemiology*, 59(7), 670-680.
- 4 Dencker, M., & Andersen, L. B. (2008). Health-related aspects of objectively measured daily
5 physical activity in children. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*, 28(3),
6 133-144.
- 7 Department of Health. (2011). UK physical activity guidelines. *Department of Health*.
8 Retrieved from <http://www.dh.gov.uk>
- 9 Duda, J. L., Quested, E., Haug, E., Samdal, O., Wold, B., Balaguer, I. et al. (2013).
10 Promoting Adolescent health through an intervention aimed at improving the quality
11 of their participation in Physical Activity (PAPA): Background to the project and
12 main trial protocol. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 11(4),
13 319-327.
- 14 Ekelund, U., Luan, J., Sherar, L. B., Esliger, D. W., Griew, P., & Cooper, A. (2012).
15 Moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary time and cardiometabolic risk
16 factors in children and adolescents. *JAMA*, 307(7), 704-712.
- 17 Evenson, K. R., Catellier, D. J., Gill, K., Ondrak, K. S., & McMurray, R. G. (2006).
18 Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. *Journal of*
19 *Sports Sciences*, 24(14), 1557-1565.
- 20 Fenton, S. A. M., Duda, J. L., Quested, E., & Barrett, T. (2014). Coach autonomy support
21 predicts autonomous motivation and daily moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and
22 sedentary time in youth sport participants. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 15(5),
23 453-463.
- 24 Fisher, A., Hill, C., Webber, L., Purslow, L., & Wardle, J. (2011). MVPA Is Associated with
25 Lower Weight Gain in 8-10 Year Old Children: A Prospective Study with 1 Year
26 Follow-Up. *PLoS ONE*, 6(4), e18576.
- 27 Griffiths, L. J., Cortina-Borja, M., Sera, F., Pouliou, T., Geraci, M., Rich, C. et al. (2013).
28 How active are our children? Findings from the Millennium Cohort Study. *BMJ*
29 *Open*, 3(8).
- 30 Gutin, B., & Owen, S. (2011). The influence of physical activity on cardiometabolic
31 biomarkers in youths: a review. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 23(2), 169-185.

- 1 Henderson, M., Gray-Donald, K., Mathhieu, M., Barnett, T. A., Hanley, J. A., O'Loughlin, J.
2 et al. (2012). How Are Physical Activity, Fitness, and Sedentary Behavior Associated
3 With Insulin Sensitivity in Children? *Diabetes Care*, 35(6), 1272-1278.
- 4 Hosking, J., Metcalf, B., Jeffery, A. N., Voss, L. D., & Wilkin, T. J. (2006). Validation of
5 foot-to-foot bioelectrical impedance analysis with dual-energy X-ray absorbtometry
6 in the assessment of body composition in young children: the EarlyBird cohort. *The*
7 *British Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 96(6), 1163-1168.
- 8 Hsu, Y., Belcher, B. R., Ventura, E. E., Byrd-Williams, C. E., Weigensberg, M. J., Davis, J.
9 N. et al. (2011). Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, and the Metabolic Syndrome
10 in Minority Youth. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 43(12), 2307-2313.
- 11 International Association for the Study of Obesity. (2014). *Prevalence % Overweight and*
12 *Obesity by WHO Region by Gender and Age*. Retrieved from
13 <http://www.iaso.org/resources/obesity-data-portal/resources/tables/19/>
- 14 Jago, R., Fox, K. R., Page, A. S., Brockman, R., & Thompson, J. L. (2010). Physical activity
15 and sedentary behaviour typologies of 10-11 year olds. *The International Journal of*
16 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7, 59.
- 17 Jago, R., & Baranowski, T. (2004). Non-curricular approaches for increasing physical
18 activity in youth: a review. *Preventive Medicine*, 39(1), 157-163.
- 19 Janssen, I., & Leblanc, A. G. (2010). Systematic review of the health benefits of physical
20 activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *The International Journal of*
21 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7, 40.
- 22 Klein, S., Allison, D. B., Heymsfield, S. B., Kelley, D. E., Leibel, R. L., Nonas, C. et al.
23 (2007). Waist circumference and cardiometabolic risk: a consensus statement from
24 Shaping America's Health: Association for Weight Management and Obesity
25 Prevention; NAASO, The Obesity Society; the American Society for Nutrition; and
26 the American Diabetes Association. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*,
27 85(5), 1197-1202.
- 28 Kunz, M. (2007). *265 million playing football*. In *FIFA Magazine, July issue* Retrieved from
29 http://www.fifa.com/mm/document/fifafacts/bcoffsurv/emaga_9384_10704.pdf
- 30 Kwon, S., Janz, K. F., Burns, T. L., & Levy, S. M. (2011). Effects of Adiposity on Physical
31 Activity in Childhood: Iowa Bone Development Study. *Medicine & Science in Sports*
32 *& Exercise*, 43(3), 1169-1174.

- 1 Léger, L. A., Mercier, D., Gadoury, C., & Lambert, J. (1988). The multistage 20 metre shuttle
2 run test for aerobic fitness. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 6(2), 93-101.
- 3 Lemura, L. M., & Maziakas, M. T. (2002). Factors that alter body fat, body mass, and fat-free
4 mass in pediatric obesity. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 34(3), 487-496.
- 5 Lonsdale, C., Rosenkranz, R. R., Peralta, L. R., Bennie, A., Fahey, P., & Lubans, D. R.
6 (2013). A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions designed to increase
7 moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in school physical education lessons.
8 *Preventive Medicine*, 56(2), 152-161.
- 9 Machado-Rodrigues, Am. M., Coelho e Silva, M. J., Mota, J., Santos, R. M., Cummin, S. P.,
10 & Malina, R. M. (2012). Physical Activity and Energy Expenditure in Adolescent
11 Male Sport Participants and Nonparticipants Aged 13 to 16 Years. *Journal of*
12 *Physical Activity and Health*, 9(5), 626-633.
- 13 Mark, A. E., & Janssen, I. (2011). Influence of movement intensity and physical activity on
14 adiposity in youth. *J Phys Act.Health*, 8(2), 164-173.
- 15 Martinez-Gomez, D., Ruiz, J. R., Ortega, F. B., Veiga, O. L., Moliner-Urdiales, D., Mauro,
16 B. et al. (2010). Recommended Levels of Physical Activity to Avoid an Excess of
17 Body Fat in European Adolescents: The HELENA Study. *American Journal of*
18 *Preventive Medicine*, 39(3), 203-211.
- 19 Mitchell, J. A., Pate, R. R., Beets, M. W., & Nader, P. R. (2013, print). Time spent in
20 sedentary behavior and changes in childhood BMI: a longitudinal study from ages 9
21 to 15 years. *International Journal of Obesity*, 37(1), 54-60.
- 22 Must, A., & Tybor, D. J. (2005). Physical activity and sedentary behavior: a review of
23 longitudinal studies of weight and adiposity in youth. *International Journal of Obesity*
24 *(London)*, 29 Suppl 2, S84-S96.
- 25 National Council of Youth Sports. (2008). *Report on Trends and Participation in Organized*
26 *Youth Sports*. Retrieved from: [http://www.ncys.org/publications/2008-sports-](http://www.ncys.org/publications/2008-sports-participation-study.php)
27 [participation-study.php](http://www.ncys.org/publications/2008-sports-participation-study.php)
- 28 Nelson, T. F., Stovitz, S. D., Thomas, M., LaVoi, N. M., Bauer, K. W., & Neumark-Sztainer,
29 D. (2011). Do youth sports prevent pediatric obesity? A systematic review and
30 commentary. *Curr Sports Med Rep.*, 10(6), 360-370.
- 31 NSW Department of Health. (2009). *NSW Government Plan for Preventing Overweight and*
32 *Obesity in Children, Young People & their Families 2009 - 2011*. Retrieved from
33 http://www0.health.nsw.gov.au/pubs/2009/pdf/obesity_action_plan.pdf

- 1 Pate, R. R., Mitchell, J. A., Byun, W., & Dowda, M. (2011). Sedentary behaviour in youth.
2 *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(11), 906-913.
- 3 Patia-Spear, L. (2007). Brain development and adolescent behavior. In D. Coch, K. W.
4 Fischer, & G. Dawson (Eds.), *Human Behavior, Learning and the Developing Brain*.
5 New York: The Guildford Press.
- 6 Reilly, J. J., & Kelly, J. (2011). Long-term impact of overweight and obesity in childhood
7 and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality in adulthood: systematic
8 review. *International Journal of Obesity (London)*, 35(7), 891-898.
- 9 Rich, C., Griffiths, L. J., & Dezaux, C. (2012). Seasonal variation in accelerometer-
10 determined sedentary behaviour and physical activity in children: a review. *The*
11 *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9(1), 49.
- 12 Saunders, T. J., Tremblay, M. S., Mathieu, M. E., Henderson, M., O'Loughlin, J., Tremblay,
13 A. et al. (2013). Associations of sedentary behavior, sedentary bouts and breaks in
14 sedentary time with cardiometabolic risk in children with a family history of obesity.
15 *PLoS ONE*, 8(11), e79143.
- 16 Silva, P., Sousa, M., Aires, L., Seabra, A., Ribeiro, J., Welk, G. et al. (2010). Physical
17 activity patterns in Portuguese adolescents: The contribution of extracurricular sports.
18 *European Physical Education Review*, 16(2), 171-181.
- 19 Steele, R. M., van Sluijs, E. M., Cassidy, A., Griffin, S. J., & Ekelund, U. (2009). Targeting
20 sedentary time or moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity: independent relations
21 with adiposity in a population-based sample of 10-y-old British children. *American*
22 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 90(5), 1185-1192.
- 23 Tremblay, M. S., Leblanc, A. G., Kho, M. E., Saunders, T. J., Larouche, R., Colley, R. C. et
24 al. (2011). Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-
25 aged children and youth. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and*
26 *Physical Activity*, 21(8), 98.
- 27 US Department of Health and Human Services. (2013). Physical activity guidelines for
28 Americans. Retrieved from
29 <http://www.health.gov/PAGuidelines/guidelines/chapter3.aspx>.
- 30 Van Hoye, A. I., Fenton, S., Krommidas, C., Heuzé, J.-P., Quested, E., Papaioannou, A. et al.
31 (2013). Physical activity and sedentary behaviours among grassroots football players:
32 A comparison across three European countries. *International Journal of Sport and*
33 *Exercise Psychology*, 11(4), 341-350.

- 1 Wickel, E. E., & Eisenmann, J. C. (2007). Contribution of youth sport to total daily physical
2 activity among 6- to 12-yr-old boys. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*, 39(9), 1493-1500.
- 3 Womens Sports Foundation. (2008). *Go Out and Play: Youth Sports in America*. Retrieved
4 from: [http://www.womenssportsfoundation.org/home/research/articles-and-](http://www.womenssportsfoundation.org/home/research/articles-and-reports/mental-and-physical-health/go-out-and-play)
5 [reports/mental-and-physical-health/go-out-and-play](http://www.womenssportsfoundation.org/home/research/articles-and-reports/mental-and-physical-health/go-out-and-play)
- 6 Woods, C. B., Tannehill, D., Quinlan, A., Moyna, N., & Walsh, J. (2010). *The Children's*
7 *Sport Participation and Physical Activity Study (CSPPA). Research report No. 1.*
8 Retrieved from School of Health and Human Performance, Dublin City University
9 and the Irish Sports Council
- 10 World Health Organisation. (2013). *Obesity and overweight: Fact sheet N°311*. In
11 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/> Retrieved from
12 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the total sample and participants with valid PA data

	Total Sample (N = 149)		Valid PA data (N = 118)	
	Mean \pm SD	Range (min – max)	Mean \pm SD	Range (min – max)
<i>Physical Characteristics</i>				
Age (years)	11.72 \pm 1.60	9 – 15	11.70 \pm 1.62	9 – 15
Height (m)	1.53 \pm 0.13	1.29 – 1.90	1.53 \pm 0.14	1.29 – 1.90
Weight (kg)	45.15 \pm 13.44	24.90 – 92.30	44.76 \pm 13.94	24.90 – 92.30
BMI (kg.m ²)	18.81 \pm 3.14	13.81 – 29.90	18.66 \pm 3.21	13.81 – 29.90
BMI-SDS	0.32 \pm 1.10	-2.46 – 2.81	0.24 \pm 1.13	-2.46 – 2.81
[†] BF%	15.22 \pm 5.54	5.30 – 41.70	14.88 \pm 5.73	5.30 – 41.70
[†] WC (cm)	68.32 \pm 8.10	55.50 – 91.80	67.99 \pm 8.12	55.50 – 91.80
CRF (ml.kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	43.64 \pm 8.23	29.95 – 71.15	44.33 \pm 8.32	29.95 – 71.15
<i>Physical activity</i>				
ST (min/day)	465.07 \pm 62.33	260.33 – 588.39	472.43 \pm 56.64	306.18 – 588.39
LPA (min/day)	220.26 \pm 38.00	132.00 – 323.64	220.59 \pm 37.20	132.00 – 323.64
MPA (min/day)	46.11 \pm 11.98	20.63 – 82.82	46.35 \pm 11.93	20.63 – 82.82
VPA (min/day)	26.55 \pm 11.57	6.31 – 63.39	27.29 \pm 11.92	6.31 – 63.39
MVPA (min/day)	72.67 \pm 21.22	26.94 – 146.21	73.64 \pm 21.67	26.94 – 146.21
Valid hours (hours/day)	12.63 \pm 0.96	9.23 – 14.91	12.78 \pm 0.79	11.01 – 14.91

Note: Time restrictions placed on researchers at training sessions resulted in missing BF% and WC data for N = 11 and N = 26 participants, respectively (final sample sizes; valid accelerometer data and (1) BMI = 118 (2) BF, N = 107, (3) WC, N = 92 and (4) CRF, N = 118).

[†] = Non-normally distributed variables. These variables were log transformed and used in subsequent regression analysis. Average daily PA/ST were calculated by summing total minutes of PA/ST accrued across all valid days (i.e., ≥ 8 wear time/day), divided by the total number of valid days (e.g., total MVPA \div number of valid days = average daily MVPA).

BF% = percent body fat, WC = waist circumference, CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness
 BMI-(SDS) = body mass index (standard deviation score), ST = sedentary time, LPA = light physical activity,
 MPA = moderate physical activity, VPA = vigorous physical activity, MVPA = moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.

Table 2. Results of regression analysis investigating the associations between objectively measured daily LPA, MPA, VPA, MVPA and ST with indicators of adiposity and CRF

	BF (%)					WC (cm)					BMI-SDS					CRF (ml.kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)					
	β	p	R ²	R ^{2Δ}	95% CI [lower, upper]	β	p	R ²	R ^{2Δ}	95% CI [lower, upper]	β	p	R ²	R ^{2Δ}	95% CI [lower, upper]	β	P	R ²	R ^{2Δ}	95% CI [lower, upper]	
Model 1																					
ST	.32	.01**	.30	.05	.00, .00	.29	.02**	.41	.04	.00, .00	.16	.22	.20	.01	.00, .01	-.28	.01**	.42	.04	-.08, -.01	
LPA	-.20	.07	.27	.03	.00, .00	-.23	.04*	.41	.03	.00, .00	-.02	.84	.19	.00	-.01, .01	.12	.22	.39	.01	-.02, .07	
MPA	-.14	.11	.27	.02	.00, .00	-.12	.20	.39	.01	.00, .00	-.14	.12	.21	.02	-.03, .00	.21	.01**	.42	.04	.05, .25	
VPA	-.19	.04*	.28	.03	-.01, .00	-.11	.24	.38	.01	.00, .00	-.18	.04*	.22	.03	-.03, .00	.21	.01**	.42	.04	.05, .25	
MVPA	-.18	.04*	.28	.03	.00, .00	-.12	.18	.39	.01	.00, .00	-.17	<.05*	.22	.03	-.02, .00	.23	.01**	.43	.05	.03, .15	
Model 2 ^a																					
LPA	.11	.57	.30	.03	.00, .00	-.08	.70	.41	.00	.00, .00	.30	.12	.22	.03	.00, .02	-.30	.07	.44	.05	-.14, .01	
ST	.43	.06			.00, .00	.21	.36			.00, .00	.45	.05 [†]			.00, .02	-.58	.00**			-.14, -.03	
MPA	.03	.82	.30	.03	.00, .00	.05	.69	.41	.03	.00, .00	-.12	.34	.21	.00	-.04, .01	.14	.17	.43	.01	-.05, .25	
ST	.35	.05 [†]			.00, .00	.33	.06			.00, .00	.04	.83			-.01, .01	-.14	.35			-.06, .02	
VPA	-.10	.31	.30	.02	.00, .00	-.01	.92	.41	.03	.00, .00	.17	.10	.22	.00	-.04, .00	.15	.08	.43	.01	-.01, .22	
ST	.25	.08			.00, .00	.28	.05 [†]			.00, .00	.04	.78			-.01, .01	-.18	.14			-.06, .01	
MVPA	-.06	.59	.30	.02	.00, .00	.02	.88	.41	.03	.00, .00	-.18	.12	.22	.00	-.02, .00	.18	.07	.44	.00	-.01, .14	
ST	.26	.11			.00, .00	.30	.07			.00, .00	-.01	.96			-.01, .01	-.12	.39			-.06, .02	
Model 2 ^b																					
LPA	-.20	.06	.31	.04	.00, .00	-.23	.03*	.42	.01	.00, .00	-.03	.80	.22	.03	-.01, .01	.12	.19	.43	.04	-.01, .07	
VPA	-.19	.03*			-.01, .00	-.11	.21			.00, .00	-.18	.04*			-.03, .00	.21	.01**			.05, .25	
MPA	-.03	.79	.28	.01	.00, .00	-.08	.50	.39	.00	.00, .00	-.03	.82	.22	.01	-.02, .02	.13	.20	.43	.01	-.05, .23	
VPA	-.17	.17			-.01, .00	-.05	.67			.00, .00	-.16	.17			-.04, .01	.13	.21			-.05, .23	

Note: * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, [†] $p = .05$.

Models 2^a and 2^b: PA variables entered into separate regression models. Model 2^a: adjustment for ST, Model 2^b: adjustment for VPA. R^{2 Δ} = Change in R²; Model 1 R^{2 Δ} indicates change (i.e., variance explained) following inclusion of PA variables after baseline adjustment for age, ethnicity, season and valid wear time, Models 2a and 2b R^{2 Δ} indicates change following adjustment for ST and VPA, respectively. 95% confidence intervals are reported in their unstandardised form.

BF% = percent body fat, WC = waist circumference, CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness, BMI-(SDS) = body mass index (standard deviation score), ST = sedentary time, LPA = light physical activity, MPA = moderate physical activity, VPA = vigorous physical activity, MVPA = moderate-to-vigorous physical activity