

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air using positive matrix factorization and spatial distribution analysis

Jang, Eun-Hwa; Alam, Mohammed; Harrison, Roy

DOI:

[10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.056)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jang, E, Alam, MS & Harrison, RM 2013, 'Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air using positive matrix factorization and spatial distribution analysis', *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 79, pp. 271-285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.056>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Eligibility for repository : checked 02/04/2014

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.


Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air using positive matrix factorization and spatial distribution analysis[☆]



Eunhwa Jang^{a,b}, Mohammed S. Alam^a, Roy M. Harrison^{a,*},¹


^a Division of Environmental Health & Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

^b Busan Metropolitan City Institute of Health and Environment, MandeokDong, BukGu, Busan 616-110, Republic of Korea

HIGHLIGHTS

- PMF has been applied to two large multi-component PAH datasets.
- A complementary spatial analysis of paired sites has been conducted.
- Major PAH sources are traffic (exhaust and unburned fuel), coal burning, wood burning and steel industry.
- Total PAH and benzo(a)pyrene concentrations are apportioned for individual sites.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 April 2013
Received in revised form
28 June 2013
Accepted 30 June 2013

Keywords:

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
Source apportionment
Receptor modelling
PMF

ABSTRACT

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are currently generating a great deal of interest because of their recognised toxicity, including carcinogenicity. In this study, source apportionment (SA) has been carried out using Positive Matrix Factorisation (PMF) with a dataset of 29 individual PAH (sum of vapour and particulate forms) collected by the UK National Network between 2002 and 2006. Analysis of data from 14 urban sites revealed four major source categories corresponding to unburned petroleum, diesel combustion, wood combustion and coal combustion. When a separate set of sites known to be influenced by local industrial sources was analysed, three source categories were identified corresponding to the unburned petroleum, diesel combustion and coal combustion seen in the full data analysis. When SA data were applied to the individual sites, the estimated apportionment could be explained in terms of local emission characteristics. Unburned petroleum showed the highest contribution to the sum of PAH, averaging 51.9% across the network, but benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) was more influenced by the coal combustion source which contributed 59.5% across the entire network. At the subset of sites with local industrial influence, industry was both the main contributor to the sum of PAH (accounting for 48.4% of PAH mass) and of BaP (67.9% of mass). A spatial analysis was also conducted in which the traffic source was evaluated by the difference between a roadside and a nearby urban background site, the urban source by difference between urban background and a rural site, and the industrial source by difference between a site close to a major steelworks subtracting data from a local urban background site. This showed considerable similarity between the net urban contribution and the road traffic factor, and between the net industrial contribution and the PMF coal factor profile. In both cases the congener

[☆] This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 121 414 3494; fax: +44 121 414 3709.

E-mail address: r.m.harrison@bham.ac.uk (R.M. Harrison).

¹ Also at: Department of Environmental Sciences/Center of Excellence in Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

profiles corresponded fairly well to UK national emissions inventory data. When PMF was applied separately to a more recent dataset for particle-bound PAH (2008–10) in three site groupings, it was able to distinguish the domestic coal burning source from the industry-related coal combustion source. For the urban sites, vehicle exhausts contributed the largest amount of particulate PAH and BaP across the whole year, with significant attribution to domestic coal combustion seen in the cold season.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past two decades the percentage of the population who live in urban areas has risen to over 50% and this proportion is expected to increase to over 70% by 2050 (WHO-UN-UNHABITAT, 2011). In highly populated areas, anthropogenic activities can cause very high air pollutant concentrations. This includes emissions both from stationary sources such as industry and domestic combustion and mobile sources, especially road traffic. Various organic and inorganic pollutants have been studied as tracers of urban atmospheric pollutants on a regional scale (Marr et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006; Duan et al., 2007; Sharma et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2010).

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are an air pollutant of particular concern because of the recognised mutagenic and carcinogenic properties of a number of the individual compounds (termed congeners). These compounds are ubiquitous as they are formed in all incomplete combustion processes. Unlike other persistent organic pollutants, they have multiple point and diffuse sources and cannot be controlled by the introduction of substitute chemicals. Road traffic is well recognised as a major source of PAH emissions (Harrison et al., 1996; Galarneau et al., 2007) and many recent studies have focussed upon traffic as a source of PAH in urban areas (Guo, 2003; Motelay-Massei et al., 2007; Sharma et al., 2007; Vardoulakis et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2010). However, far less is known about the contribution of other source categories to the pollution of urban air.

Because of their toxicity, the US Environmental Protection Agency has listed 16 PAHs as priority pollutants and categorised seven of them as carcinogenic chemicals (Wang et al., 2010). In 2005, the European Union set an annual target for benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) in ambient air of 1 ng m^{-3} . Some years earlier the government of the United Kingdom adopted an air quality objective for PAH expressed as a concentration of benzo(a)pyrene as an indicator of the PAH mixture of 0.25 ng m^{-3} (Delgado-Saborit et al., 2011).

There is consequently a strong imperative to devise efficient strategies to reduce pollution of the urban atmosphere by PAH. A key aspect of such a requirement is the need for source apportionment in order to identify those sources most responsible for measured concentrations of PAH in urban air. Diagnostic ratios have been widely used particularly to distinguish the petrogenic (originated from petroleum) and pyrogenic (derived from combustion) PAH over many years (Grimmer et al., 1983; Rogge et al., 1993; Khalili et al., 1995; Ravindra et al., 2008). This technique uses the ratios between two PAH congeners as a form of source marker, but in a situation where multiple sources contribute to airborne concentrations, diagnostic ratios are of very limited value (Galarneau, 2008). Additionally, vapour-particulate phase partitioning can influence measured ratios as these are typically measured in the particulate phase.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) has been very widely used as a statistical factor analysis method capable of separating

Table 1
Site information and details of datasets.

	Longitude	Latitude	Andersen sampler dataset			Digitel sampler dataset			
			Source apportionment			Source apportionment			Spatial analysis
			Total PAH urban	Total PAH industry	HMW PAH urban	Par-PAH urban	Par-PAH domestic	Par-PAH industry	Net concentration
Ballymena Ballykeel	−6.25829	54.85862					○		
Birmingham	−1.83058	52.51172	○		○	○			
Cardiff Lakeside	−3.16934	51.51241	○		○	○			
Derry Brandywell	−7.33213	54.99234					○		
Edinburgh St Leonards	−3.18219	55.94559	○		○	○			
Glasgow Centre	−4.25516	55.85773	○		○	○			
Harwell	−1.32528	51.57108						○	
Hove	−0.18298	50.83659	○		○	○			
Kinlochleven	−4.96418	56.71445	○		○	○			
Leeds	−1.57862	53.76611	○		○	○			
Lisburn Dunmurry High School	−6.01402	54.53793	○				○		
Liverpool Speke	−2.84433	53.34633	○		○	○			
London Ashdown House	−0.13840	51.49680	○		○				
London Brent	−0.27622	51.58977	○		○			○	
London Crystal Palace Parade	−0.07553	51.42468			○	○		○	
London Marylebone	−0.15461	51.52253			○				
Manchester Law Courts	−2.25198	53.48080	○		○				
Newcastle Centre	−1.61053	54.97825	○		○				
Newport	−2.97728	51.60120	○		○	○			
Swansea Cwm Level Park	−3.93945	51.64584				○		○	
Bolsover	−1.29708	53.25637		○			○		
Holyhead	−4.37590	58.18430		○					
Middlesbrough	−1.22087	54.56930		○			○		
Port Talbot Margam	−3.77082	51.58395		○			○	○	
Royston	−1.43945	53.60028		○			○		
Scunthorpe Low Santon	−0.59724	53.59583		○			○		
Scunthorpe Town	−0.63681	53.58634		○			○		
South Hiendley	−1.40084	53.61194					○		

chemical constituents of the atmosphere according to their source (Hopke et al., 2005; Viana et al., 2008). We have previously analysed UK PAH data using PCA and have shown that the method is able to separate a number of contributory sources (Mari et al., 2010). However, the drawbacks of PCA including the common issue of negative solutions are well known and Positive Matrix Factorisation (PMF) has been widely adopted as a preferable technique for source apportionment of atmospheric aerosol constituents. This is an advanced factor analysis tool which has no negative constraints and is able to quantify the factor contribution directly without a subsequent use of multiple regression analysis. The method has been very widely applied to multi-element datasets

(e.g. Viana et al., 2008) and to particle number datasets (Harrison et al., 2011). It has also been applied to PAH datasets (Dvorská et al., 2012), although most PAH apportionment studies have focussed on the 16 USEPA priority PAHs and few have examined temporal and spatial patterns in PAH.

PAH are known to be chemically reactive in the atmosphere and since the congeners react at different rates, the profiles of compounds emitted do not remain unchanged in the atmosphere. Consequently, Katsoyiannis et al. (2011) concluded that it was not feasible to obtain meaningful source apportionment information by receptor modelling techniques as congener profiles had changed between source and receptor. However, our earlier




Fig. 1. PMF factor profiles and temporal variation at urban sites (Andersen dataset).

work with PCA (Mari et al., 2010) had reassured us that meaningful information remained within the congener profiles and consequently this study has used PMF to study the source apportionment of PAH as measured at urban sites across the UK. The UK network sampled particulate and vapour forms using Andersen “pesticide” samplers until 2006. Subsequently, from 2008, Digitec samplers were employed collecting only the particulate fraction.

2. Methodology

2.1. Data selection

(a) All details of datasets are given in Table 1. The Andersen sampler quarterly PAH datasets between 2002 and 2006 were downloaded from the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) website for PMF analysis. Total PAH concentration (vapour + particulate) was used to minimise the influence from ageing, photochemical degradation and partitioning (Harrison et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2009; Manoli et al., 2004). Datasets of urban (14) and urban industry (5) sites with 29 variables were separately prepared with the assumption that they have different source profiles. The Andersen sampler datasets were collected over 14-day periods, during

which substantial decomposition of the particle-associated PAH can occur (Brown and Brown, 2013).

(b) For spatial analysis, three sampling sites with similar longitude and latitude were considered. Digitec sampler monthly PAHs concentrations from 2008 to 2009 were compared. The difference between the urban background (London Brent) and rural background (Harwell) was used to characterise local emissions in London. Additionally, the concentration difference between an urban traffic site (London Crystal Palace Parade) and an urban background site (London Brent) was taken to represent road vehicle emissions. The Digitec samples are collected over 24-h periods, during which only modest losses of particulate components occur – about 5% for benzo(a)pyrene (Brown and Brown, 2013).

A comparison between an urban industrial site with a local steelworks (Port Talbot Margam) and an urban background site (Swansea Cwm Level Park) was used to evaluate the steel industry signature of PAH.

(c) PAH atmospheric emission estimates between 2002 and 2006 were collected from the National Atmospheric Emission Inventory (NAEI) website and used to construct congener emission profiles for specific source types.




Fig. 2. PMF factor profiles and temporal variation at industrial urban sites (Andersen dataset).




Fig. 3. Total PAH source attribution at urban and urban industry sites (Andersen dataset).




Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of calculated Σ_{total} PAHs and BaP at urban and urban industry sites (Andersen dataset) (Diesel emissions (D.E.); Unburned petroleum (U.P.); Coal combustion (C.C.); Wood combustion (W.C.)).

(d) Three Digital sampler monthly datasets (domestic/industry/urban) between Dec 2008 and Nov 2010 were prepared. The known domestic combustion dataset included three Northern Ireland sites (Ballymena Ballykeel, Derry Brandywell and Lisburn Dunmurry). These parts of Northern Ireland lacked natural gas fuel, and hence coal and heating oil are widely used for domestic heating. Seven industry sites were combined to prepare a representative dataset. Thirdly, fourteen urban background sites including two strongly traffic influenced sites in London (Marylebone Road, Crystal Palace Parade) were prepared. Additionally, an Andersen sampler urban data subset from 2002 to 2006 of high molecular weight PAH was extracted to compare with results from the Digital sampler dataset based on the assumption that high molecular weight PAH of the Andersen sampler are mostly distributed to the particle phase. Concurrently, spatial and seasonal distributions of four datasets were analysed with common variables.

2.2. Data pretreatment and PMF analysis

The input data file consisted of receptor concentration (C) and uncertainty (U) matrices. U for each variable was calculated using C and the method detection limit (MDL). If C was above the MDL, U was assumed to be $0.1C + MDL/3$, and if vice versa C and U were replaced by $MDL/2$ and $0.2C + MDL/3$, respectively (Tauler et al., 2009). For missing values, geometric mean concentration (G_{mean}) and $4 \times G_{mean}$ are often used for the C and U, respectively (Kim and Hopke, 2007); however, there was no missing value in this study. Overall, through the pretreatment process of input datasets, PMF

allowed each sample and variable to be weighted individually, which is markedly different from PCA in which all are equally weighted (Park et al., 2011).

PMF decomposes receptors by three matrices- factor contribution (G), factor profile (F) and residual (E).

$$X_{ij} = \sum G_{ik}F_{kj} + E_{ij} \tag{1}$$

where X_{ij} is the j_{th} measured species concentration in the i_{th} sample, G_{ik} is the factor contribution of k source to i_{th} sample, F_{kj} is the fraction of j species in the k source, and E_{ij} is the residuals matrix.

The solution in PMF is calculated through the weighted least square fit to search for proper e_{ij} by minimizing the sum of the normalised Q (Hopke, 2000; Larsen and Baker, 2003).

$$Q(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{e_{ij}}{\partial_{ij}} \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

Table 2 PAHs mass contribution (Σ_{total} PAH) change between 2002–2004 and 2005–2006 in urban sites.

	2002 to 2004	2005 to 2006
Diesel emissions	32.1 %	11.8 %
Unburned petroleum	43.3%	46.0%
Coal combustion	8.8%	23.4%
Wood combustion	15.8%	18.8%
Total	100%	100%

where e_{ij} is the scaled residual, and $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is the estimated uncertainty.

The robust mode is the default mode in PMF 3.0, which down-weights the expected outliers with the value of outlier distance greater than four ($(e_{ij}/\hat{\sigma}_{ij})^2 > 4$ in eq (2)), in order to prevent outliers affecting the results (Reff et al., 2007; Sofowote et al., 2011). Unusual extreme events in the time series and samples with

extremely high residuals were excluded with caution to minimize distortion in comparison between site-to-site quantified concentration levels (Norris et al., 2008).

Poorly categorised variables were excluded from among 29 PAHs congeners- acenaphthylene (Acy), acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene (Flu), phenanthrene (Phe), anthracene (Ant), 2-methyl




Fig. 5. Comparison of profiles between PMF urban factors and UK emission inventory estimates (2002–2006).

phenanthrene (2 MPhe), 2-methyl anthracene (2 MA), 1-methyl anthracene (1 MA), 1-methyl phenanthrene (1 MPhe), 9-methyl anthracene (9 MA), 4,5-methylene phenanthrene (4,5 MPhe), fluoranthene (FluA), pyrene (Pyr), retene (Ret), benzo(c)phenanthrene (BcPhe), benz(a)anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), cyclopenta(c,d) pyrene (CcdP), benzo(b)naph(2,1-d)thiophene (BN21T), 5-methyl chrysene (5MChr), benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), benzo(e)pyrene (BeP), indeno(123,cd)pyrene (IcdP), benzo(ghi)perylene (BghiPe), anthanthrene (Anth), dibenzo(al)pyrene (DalP), dibenzo(ae)pyrene (DaeP), dibenzo(ai)pyrene (DaiP) and dibenzo(ah)pyrene (DahP) remained. For the particle-bound PAH sampled by the Digital sampler, fifteen variables- BaA, Chr, CcdP, BN21T, Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene (BbjF), Benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF), BaP, BeP, Perylene (Per), IcdP, Dibenzo(ah,ac)anthracene (Dah,acA), BghiPe, Anth, DaiP and Coronene (Cor) from the domestic (73), industry (167) and urban (334) datasets were considered. Extra uncertainty (0–25%) and the number of factors (3–9) were explored to find the optimal PMF solutions.

The least squares approach can produce multiple solutions depending on the initial starting point because multiple F and G matrices with the same minimum Q value can exist (Sofowote et al., 2011). So rotation by changing Fpeak values between –1.4 and 0.3 was conducted to find an ultimate possible solution, and a bootstrapping technique based on arbitrary selection of n samples from datasets was tested to estimate a statistically stable solution.

2.3. Calculation of contribution

PMF consists of two factor functional matrices (G_{ik} and F_{kj}) and one residual matrix (E_{ij}). In our study, to quantify the distribution of each sample varying factors, we assumed that every factor has the same proportion of residuals, and calculated the factor contribution for each sample.

$$X_{ij} = (G_{i1}F_{1j} + E_{ij}/n) + (G_{i2}F_{2j} + E_{ij}/n) + \dots + (G_{in}F_{nj} + E_{ij}/n) \tag{3}$$




Fig. 6. Calculated net concentration of traffic and urban emissions (2008–2009).

Our input dataset for PMF analysis was a combination of several sites. However, the number of samples for each site was not exactly the same, because some outliers were excluded. Spatial patterns in PAH were also examined by calculation of an average concentration for each site.

2.4. Sensitivity test

The PMF method depends upon the congener profile of PAH from a given source type remaining unchanged during atmospheric transport. In practice, PAH are quite reactive in the atmosphere, leading to changes in congener profiles. This was investigated through a sensitivity study in which additional uncertainty was added to concentrations of each PAH. These added uncertainties were scaled from 5% for the least reactive compounds (dibenzopyrenes and anthanthrene) to 300% for the most reactive (anthracene), based upon rate coefficients for reaction with hydroxyl, where available. The effect upon source profiles extracted by PMF was very small.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. PMF factor profiles: Andersen sampler dataset, 2002–2006

3.1.1. Urban sites

A four factor model gave the best fit in the PMF analysis. Mass contributions ($\sum_{\text{total}} \text{PAH}$) were 21.4, 50.5, 15.0 and 13.1% for factors 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. Factor 1 (shown in Fig. 1a) showed two PAH congeners (2 MA and BN21T) to stand out with 87.7 and 51.6% contributions respectively from this factor. Additionally, over 20% of Phe, FluA, Pyr and Ret were explained by this factor. Westerholm and Li (1994) have reported that 2 MA is one of the most abundant PAH compounds in the emissions from diesel fuel vehicles. Other workers have suggested that thia-arenes including BN21T are strong diesel markers (McCarthy et al., 1996). Other studies have

used BN21T and Pyr as diesel indicators to differentiate between diesel and gasoline sources (Alsberg et al., 1989; Larsen and Baker, 2003). This factor showed a slightly elevated contribution in the cold season (see Fig. 1a) and a sudden decline from 2005. This decline is consistent with the trend in the sulphur content of diesel fuels as reported also by Lim et al. (2005). We therefore assign this factor to emissions from diesel vehicles but note that its disappearance is a reflection of the changing composition of diesel and not of a sharp reduction in PAH emissions from diesel engines.

Factor 2 (Fig. 1b) showed a significant contribution of Phe and its methyl derivatives (MPhes). It reflects a substantial influence of lower molecular weight PAH. The factor accounts for 93.3% of 1 MA along with high loadings of 2 MPhe, 1 MPhe and 4,5 MPhe, which showed over a 70% contribution. Also, 59.1% of Phe was explained by this factor. Several studies have attributed high loadings of Phe and MPhes to either coal combustion or unburned petroleum from vehicles (Rogge et al., 1993; Gogou et al., 1996; Kavouras et al., 2001; Zuo et al., 2007). The fact that the contribution is higher in the warm season (Fig. 1b) and the association with low molecular weight PAH leads us to the conclusion that this factor arises from unburned petroleum-based fuels mainly by evaporation (Tuominen et al., 1988; Coleman et al., 1997; Meijer et al., 2008; Park et al., 2011). Earlier work has attributed such compounds both to gasoline emissions (Meijer et al., 2008; Park et al., 2011) or to emissions from diesel fuels (Marr et al., 2004).

Factor 3 (Fig. 1c) was more influenced by higher molecular weight PAH with it accounting for over 80% of DaIP, DaeP and Anth. Over 50% of other medium and high molecular weight PAH were accounted for including four-ring compounds (BcPhe, BaA, 5MChr, Chr), five-ring PAHs (BaP, BeP, CcdP) and six-ring PAHs (BghiPe, IcdP). Compounds of this kind have previously been associated with emissions from industry (Lin et al., 2011) and from coke oven emissions (Daisey et al., 1986; Yang et al., 2002). Yang et al. (2002) has reported that emissions of PAHs from the steel and iron industry can be produced through coke manufacturing, sintering,




Fig. 7. Comparison of net industry spatial profile and PMF industry profile.

iron and steel making, casting and cooling processes and Ciaparra et al. (2009) used PCA to separate two atmospheric profiles from steel making processes, one with low molecular weight PAHs (Flu, Phe, Ant, FluA and Pyr) explained by coke making and the other with high molecular weight PAHs (BaP, IcdP, DahP, DacP and BghiP) arising from the sintering process. Slightly overlapped clusters between urban and industry sites in PCA across the UK may be partially explained by the influence of industrial activities upon the urban PAH (Brown and Brown, 2012). Consequently, we attribute factor 3 to coal utilisation deriving in part from metallurgical industries.

Factor 4 seen in Fig. 1d was characterised by a 84.6% contribution to Acy with substantial contributions to BghiPe (25.6%), Ant (23.5%) and Ret (23.1%). This factor showed markedly higher

emissions in the colder months and no obvious long-term temporal trend. McDonald et al. (2000) reported a range of PAHs including Acy, Ant, BaP and BeP as being emitted from wood combustion, and retene is widely suggested as a wood combustion indicator (Khalili et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2009; Dvorska et al., 2012). Since wood combustion is mainly a winter phenomenon during the cold season for residential heating, we feel that this factor well fits the behaviour expected from wood burning.

3.1.2. Industrial urban sites

Five of the urban sites were identified as having a specific local industrial contribution. Port Talbot, Scunthorpe and Middlesbrough have local integrated steelworks. Holyhead was until recently a location used for aluminium smelting and Bolsover had a works for




Fig. 8. PMF factor profiles of particle-associated PAH from industrial sites (left panel) and domestic combustion sites (right panel).

converting coal into processed solid fuels akin to the coking process. When PMF was applied to the pooled data from these five sites, it identified three factors accounting for 34.1, 48.4 and 17.5% respectively of PAH mass ($\Sigma_{\text{total}}\text{PAH}$). Factor 1 seen in Fig. 2a had a similar source profile to factor 2 from the urban sites. The concentration profile was similar and also the factor accounted for 86.6% of 1 MA as well as substantial contributions to 1 MPhe (71.7%) and 2 MPhe (66.0%). The factor also accounted for a substantial proportion (53.6%) of retene but some studies have shown this to arise from sources other than wood burning (Khalili et al., 1995; Shen et al., 2012). For the reasons outlined above, and its similarity to Factor 2 in the urban data results, this factor was attributed to unburned petroleum.

Factor 2 accounted for 48.4% of PAH mass as well as a substantial proportion of most of the PAH congeners except the methylated PAHs. Anth had the highest factor contribution of 83.8% followed by significant amounts of BaA (73.0%) and derivatives of pyrene (BaP, IcdP and DaEP, DaIP) (about 60%). This factor showed similarity to factor 3 in the urban dataset and reflected the emissions concluded as arising in part from the steel industry, reflecting the profiles reported by Ciaparra et al. (2009). Interestingly, the low molecular weight PAH congeners were more important in factor 2 from this dataset than in factor 3 from the urban dataset, perhaps reflecting a greater degree of atmospheric ageing of the PAH in the former-urban dataset rather than in these data which were collected much closer to source.

Factor 3 (Fig. 2c) showed a similar profile to the diesel emission factor (factor 1) from the urban sites. It accounted for high proportions of 2 MA (92.8%) and BN21T (82.8%). As noted above, Alsberg et al. (1989), Westerholm and Li (1994) and McCarry et al. (1996) attributed a factor of this composition to diesel as opposed to gasoline combustion. It showed no strong seasonal variation and a substantial decrease from 2005 onwards as with the urban diesel factor.

3.2. Analysis of Digitel (particulate-only) dataset: Dec 2008–Nov2010

3.2.1. Source profiles by subtraction

Fig. 3 shows pie charts of the mass distribution of the four source types in the two parts of the study (three source types in the industrial site sub-set). When these sources are estimated for each individual sampling site (shown in Fig. 4), the importance of the coal combustion contribution both to $\Sigma_{\text{total}}\text{PAH}$ (Fig. 4a) and to benzo(a)pyrene (Fig. 4b) is clearly seen. The most notable feature is the large contribution of coal burning to benzo(a)pyrene concentrations right across the range of sites. However, it seemed that the coal combustion profile could not be separated into a domestic combustion and an industrial emission profile, because one site known to be influenced by domestic solid fossil fuel combustion (Lisburn Dunmurry) had no tendency to produce another factor in PMF. In terms of total PAH mass concentration, the two traffic-related factors of diesel emissions and unburned petroleum typically dominate at all sites in the main urban dataset which is consistent with the conclusions of Mari et al. (2010). It is notable that the urban site with the highest BaP concentration except Lisburn Dunmurry is Kinlochleven which was until recently influenced by a local aluminium smelting plant.

On average, 13.1% of $\Sigma_{\text{total}}\text{PAH}$ was attributed to the wood combustion source in the main urban dataset. It was also the second most important contributor to BaP concentrations (24.2%). The change in prevalence of urban factor 1 attributed to diesel emissions is temporally consistent with the mandatory introduction of ultra low sulphur fuels in Europe. Under EU Directive 98/70/EC the introduction of ultra low sulphur fuels (less than 50 ppm) was required by 2005 (Brannigan et al., 2009). It is unclear whether this change in fuel quality was accompanied by a reduction in emissions of all PAH or whether the diesel combustion factor became combined with one of the other factors identified. Table 2 shows the




Fig. 9. Spatial distribution of source contributions to $\Sigma_{\text{par}}\text{PAH}$ and BaP from the Digitel dataset (domestic oil combustion (D.O.); traffic emissions (T.E.); domestic coal combustion (D.C.); metallurgical industry (M.I.)).

apparent change in PAH mass contribution between the years 2002–2004 and 2005 to 2006 when the datasets for these years were analysed separately. The reduction in the diesel emission profile is not reflected in any change in the unburned petroleum factor but there are apparent increases in the coal and wood combustion source contributions. Factor 1 shows some similarity to both of these and possibly more so than to factor 2 and there may be some artifactual reflection of diesel emissions in these two factors, but there is no straightforward way of resolving this issue.

3.2.2. Comparison with UK emissions inventory

The UK National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory includes the 16 USEPA priority PAHs. Eleven of these are common with the compounds included the PMF analysis and Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the UK emissions estimates for diesel vehicles, the iron

and steel industry and domestic wood combustion with factors 1 to 4 from the urban dataset analysis. Perhaps the greatest similarity is between factor 3 and the iron and steel works profile seen in Fig. 5, but the emissions inventory data for domestic wood combustion compares very closely to that for factor 4 if Acy is excluded from the comparison. This could be a measurement issue as Acy is very volatile and potentially lost in the sampling and also highly reactive. Also, if Acy is excluded from consideration, then a composite of factor 1 and factor 2 bears considerable similarity to the diesel profile from the inventory in Fig. 5.

3.2.3. Net concentration method

The difference in concentration data from paired sites was analysed. Firstly, an urban traffic profile was derived by subtracting data from the urban background site at London Brent from the




Fig. 10. PMF factor profiles from the Andersen high molecular weight (left panel) and Digital datasets (right panel).

urban traffic site at London Crystal Palace Parade. The results appear in Fig. 6a as the net traffic profile. Fig. 6b shows the net urban profile derived by subtracting the rural Harwell site from the urban background site at London Brent. These are shown in Fig. 6c as percentages, i.e. (traffic-urban)/traffic \times 100% and (urban-rural)/urban \times 100% respectively. Some compounds show small negative concentrations but these are barely significant. Data are plotted separately for summer and winter to make some allowance for chemical reactivity with considerably greater differences seen in the net urban profile than the net traffic profile which is consistent with the longer atmospheric residence times of compounds measured at the rural Harwell site. Alternatively, this apparent high seasonality seen in the net urban concentration may reflect the seasonal variation of local emissions at urban background sites. It should also be noted that these data are derived from Digitel samplers which sample only the particulate phase component and that the change of phase from particulate to vapour between winter and summer will be very significant for some of the compounds. A comparison of the net traffic and net urban profiles with chemicals mostly consisting of above MDL values in Fig. 6c shows a considerable similarity between the two. The largest difference is for D(ah,ac)A, lower in net traffic than net urban, reflecting the very low traffic emissions of these compounds. Relatively large differences of CcdP and BaP between the net urban and the net traffic may imply local emissions such as domestic heating at urban background sites. Fig. 7 exemplifies the difference between airborne concentrations measured with the Digitel samplers at Port Talbot (influenced by a major steelworks) and Swansea, a nearby urban background site. In this case many of the differences are

larger in summer than winter which may reflect operational activity at the steelworks because the concentration in summer is more representative of local emission than long range transport (Sofowote et al., 2010). Despite the fact that the net industry profile includes only the particle-associated component of the PAH, when compared to the PMF coal/industry source profile in Fig. 7b, there is a remarkable degree of similarity except for BN21T. An apparently different trend of BN21T between the net industry from Port Talbot and the PMF industry profile extracted from the combination of five industrial sites, may reflect the local emissions such as shipping activities in Port Talbot. A high sulphur content of ship traffic heavy oil and its contribution to atmospheric aerosol have been reported (Isakson et al., 2001; Endresen et al., 2005; Kim and Hopke, 2008).

3.2.4. PMF factor profiles: Digitel/particulate-only dataset

PMF was applied to fifteen congeners of higher molecular weight expected to be present predominantly in the condensed phase.

3.2.4.1. Sites with known source influences. Fig. 8 compares PMF factors derived from the industry sites (left panel) with those from the Northern Ireland (domestic fuel sources) sites (right panel).

Factor 4 of the industrial site dataset (Fig. 8a) and factor 3 of the Northern Ireland site dataset (Fig. 8e) are characterised by BN21T, recognised as a diesel emission marker in the previous total PAH dataset. The fact that all UK highway vehicle fuel was required to be “sulphur free” (<10 ppm) by 1 January 2009 (Jones et al., 2012) requires an alternative explanation. Sulphur levels of domestic fuel oil (kerosene) were not required to meet sulphur-free standards,




Fig. 11. Seasonal and spatial distribution of Andersen sampler HMW PAH in the urban dataset (diesel emissions (D.E.); traffic exhaust (T.E.); domestic coal combustion (D.C.); metallurgical industry (M.I.)).

and the seasonal variation in the domestic dataset led us to attribute this factor to domestic oil combustion (Ward et al., 2012).

Factor 2 of both the industrial dataset (Fig. 8b) and Northern Ireland (Fig. 8f) dataset showed a high contribution to the known vehicle exhaust markers. Many studies have reported that BghiPe, IcdP and Cor are strong identifiers of gasoline engine exhaust aerosols (Marr et al., 2006; Andreou and Rapsomanikis, 2009; Amador-Munoz et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011). A tunnel study in which degradation effects were negligible showed particle-associated BghiPe and Cor to be markers of gasoline engine exhaust, and Chr is related more to diesel engine exhaust (Rajput and Lakhani, 2010). BkF, which is considered a more stable substance than BeP (Park et al., 2002) was seen significantly in the traffic source profile (Rajput and Lakhani, 2010; Li et al., 2011). Riddle et al. (2007) have noted that Cor originally suggested as a gasoline marker can also be emitted from heavy duty diesel vehicles at low speed (Riddle et al., 2007). Consequently, this source was assigned to traffic exhaust.

Factor 3 of the industry dataset (Fig. 8c) and factor 1 of the domestic dataset (Fig. 8g) are strongly associated with CcdP and Anth recognised as coal combustion identifiers. A high contribution to BaA, CcdP and BaP from bituminous coal combustion was reported in Greece (Andreou and Rapsomanikis, 2009). A large seasonal variation between summer and winter in both datasets is consistent with this source as due to coal combustion for domestic heating. A significant contribution of domestic coal burning to particle-bound PAH including BaP was seen at the three sites in Northern Ireland known to be heavily influenced by domestic combustion (Figure 9c and d), and a lesser contribution was seen at the industrial sites (Fig. 9a and b).

One profile (Factor 1) in the industrial dataset (Fig. 8d) was similar to the domestic coal burning factor except for Per and DaiP. The source of Per has been controversial because of its different trend from other PAH isomers generally produced through combustion processes (Reddy et al., 2002; Lima et al., 2002). However, factor analysis (PCA) with aerosol samples by Kavouras et al. (2001) extracted one single component factor (Per), and the fact that PAH in fine particles are mostly generated through high temperature processes may support an attribution to pyrolysis sources. A small seasonal variation is consistent with emissions from industry. A relatively higher contribution to BaP was apparent at the two sites in Scunthorpe (Fig. 9b), known to be influenced by steel industry emissions.

3.3. Comparison of Andersen high molecular weight with Digital data

Fig. 10 shows the results of comparison of the application of PMF to the two datasets, using only high molecular weight species predominantly in the particle phase. A comparison of Factor 1 of the Andersen sampler dataset (Fig. 10a) and factor 4 of the Digital sampler dataset (Fig. 10e) showed both factors to be significantly characterised by BN21T understood as diesel marker. However, the profile of other congeners differs substantially between the Andersen dataset (2002–2006) and the Digital dataset (Dec 2008–Nov 2010). Our interpretation is that this reflects a change in the predominant source of BN21T from diesel fuel to domestic oil combustion following the transition to sulphur-free diesel.

Factor 2 (Fig. 10b) of the Andersen HMW PAH was characterised by high contributions (>40%) of Chr, BeP, IcdP and BghiPe. Factor 1




Fig. 12. Seasonal and spatial distribution of Digital sampler PAH in the urban dataset (domestic oil combustion (D.O.); traffic exhaust (T.E.); domestic coal combustion (D.C.); metallurgical industry (M.I.)).

(Fig. 10f) of the Digital PAH dataset also showed a similar profile and BbJf, BkF, Dah, aCA and Cor additionally contributed to this factor. These congeners have been reported as traffic emission markers in many studies. The importance of BghiPe as a traffic marker is confirmed by its strong presence in the traffic profile in Fig. 6. In the seasonal and spatial distribution, this source contributed significantly to the particle-associated PAH in the cities, especially in London (Crystal Palace and Marylebone Road) throughout the entire year (Fig. 11, Fig. 12), and a predominant contribution in the warm season was apparent across the UK, especially in the post-sulphur reduction dataset (Fig. 12).

Factor 3 of the Andersen sampler dataset (Fig. 10c) and Digital sampler dataset (Fig. 10g) was strongly associated with CcdP and Anth with over 70% contributions. Noticeable seasonality and a high similarity to the domestic combustion dataset (Fig. 8g) lead us to assign this factor to domestic coal combustion in the Digital sampler dataset (Fig. 10g). A lesser seasonality in the Andersen sampler dataset (Fig. 10c) seems to be related to the relatively higher influence from coal combustion in industry. The change in Kinlochleven from little seasonality in the Andersen dataset (Fig. 11) to stronger seasonality in the later (Digital) dataset (Fig. 12) supports the concept of two coal combustion sources.

Factor 4 in the Andersen sampler dataset (Fig. 10d) and Factor 2 from the Digital sampler (Fig. 10h) dataset were characterised by limited variables such as DaiP and Anth. Significant DaiP was explained by industrial activities in the previous industry dataset.

Different photochemical degradation rates and vapour/particle partitioning between individual PAHs may cause changes in source profiles, when expressed as concentrations or abundances. However, it has been reported that specific PAH factor patterns were preserved between two datasets having different oxidants levels (Dvorská et al., 2012). In addition, a high similarity of source profiles between an Andersen high molecular weight dataset and a Digital particulate dataset using different sampling durations, showed the possibility of extracting meaningful source profiles despite variable PAH reactivity.

4. Conclusions

Despite initial doubts over the application of PMF to PAH data arising from the atmospheric reactivity of PAH, this study has shown a remarkable ability of PMF to separate out the contributions of four different major sources to total PAH concentrations within UK urban areas. These sources in the general urban data are seen to be a diesel emission source, unburned petroleum, coal combustion and wood combustion. For the urban sites, the largest contribution to the sum of PAH mass ($\sum_{\text{total}} \text{PAH}$ and $\sum_{\text{par}} \text{PAH}$) is from the traffic-related sources as was concluded previously from a PCA analysis (Mari et al., 2010). However, perhaps most importantly, the work shows that the most significant contribution to the highly carcinogenic benzo(a)pyrene is from the coal combustion source at the urban and industrial sites. Only small differences are detected between the PAH profile emissions from domestic coal combustion, and those from use of coal in the steel industry, but these sources have been separated in the Digital dataset.

Brown and Brown (2012), using a novel combined diagnostic ratio-PCA approach, were able to group UK sites in terms of solid fuel use, industry and traffic, and those of an urban and rural nature. The results of our study are broadly consistent with those of Brown and Brown (2012), but go one step further in providing quantitative source apportionment of both total PAH and of benzo(a)pyrene.

Substantial progress has been made in reducing airborne concentrations of PAH in the United Kingdom (Smith and Harrison, 1996) but clearly if exposures to carcinogenic PAH are to be

reduced further, detailed attention needs to be given to the coal burning emissions particularly as abatement measures applied to road traffic are having a major beneficial impact upon emissions of both hydrocarbon vapour (i.e. catalytic converters) and particulate matter (diesel particle traps).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the support from UK Natural Environment Research Council (grant number NE/F016581/1) and a Chevening Scholarship (KR/D/3/7/002/001/133, SEO/2267/1110) to Eunhwa Jang. The underlying research materials for this study, i.e. data used, can be accessed via email requesting information to EXJ101@bham.ac.uk

References

- Alsberg, T., Håkansson, S., Strandell, M., Westerholm, R., 1989. Profile analysis of urban air pollution. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems* 7, 143–152.
- Amador-Munoz, O., Villalobos-Pietrini, R., Agapito-Nadales, M.C., Munive-Colin, Z., Hernandez-Mena, L., Sanchez-Sandoval, M., Gomez-Arroyo, S., Bravo-Cabrera, J.L., Guzman-Rincon, J., 2010. Solvent extracted organic matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons distributed in size-segregated airborne particles in a zone of Mexico City: seasonal behavior and human exposure. *Atmospheric Environment* 44, 122–130.
- Andreou, G., Rapsomanikis, S., 2009. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their oxygenated derivatives in the urban atmosphere of Athens. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 172, 363–373.
- Brannigan, C., Glenn, E., Twisse, F., Griffin, A., Hill, N., 2009. EU Fuel Quality Monitoring – 2007 Summary Report.
- Brown, R.J.C., Brown, A.S., 2013. Assessment of the effect of degradation by atmospheric gaseous oxidants on measured annual average benzo(a)pyrene mass concentrations. *Chemosphere* 90, 417–422.
- Brown, A.S., Brown, R.J.C., 2012. Correlations in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentrations in UK ambient air and implications for source apportionment. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 14, 2072–2082.
- Ciappara, D., Aries, E., Booth, M.J., Andersen, D.R., Almeida, S.M., Harrad, S., 2009. Characterisation of volatile organic compounds and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the ambient air of steelworks. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 2070–2079.
- Coleman, P.J., Lee, R.G.M., Alcock, R.E., Jones, K.C., 1997. Observations on PAH, PCB, and PCDD/F trends in U.K. Urban air, 1991–1995. *Environmental Science & Technology* 31, 2120–2124.
- Daisey, J.M., Cheney, J.L., Lioy, P.J., 1986. Profiles of organic particulate emissions from air pollution sources: status and needs for receptor source apportionment modeling. *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association, (United States)* 36, 1. Medium: X; Size: Pages: vp.
- Delgado-Saborit, J.M., Stark, C., Harrison, R.M., 2011. Carcinogenic potential, levels and sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon mixtures in indoor and outdoor environments and their implications for air quality standards. *Environment International* 37, 383–392.
- Duan, J., Bi, X., Tan, J., Sheng, G., Fu, J., 2007. Seasonal variation on size distribution and concentration of PAHs in Guangzhou city, China. *Chemosphere* 67, 614–622.
- Dvorská, A., Komprdová, K., Lammel, G., Klánová, J., Plachá, H., 2012. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in background air in central Europe – seasonal levels and limitations for source apportionment. *Atmospheric Environment* 46, 147–154.
- Endresen, Ø., Bakke, J., Sörgård, E., Flatlandsmo, B.T., Holmvang, P., 2005. Improved modelling of ship SO₂ emissions—a fuel-based approach. *Atmospheric Environment* 39, 3621–3628.
- Galarneau, E., Makar, P.A., Sassi, M., Diamond, M.L., 2007. Estimation of atmospheric emissions of six semivolatile polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in southern Canada and the United States by use of an emissions processing system. *Environmental Science & Technology* 41, 4205–4213.
- Galarneau, E., 2008. Source specificity and atmospheric processing of airborne PAHs: implications for source apportionment. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 8139–8149.
- Gogou, A., Stratigakidis, N., Kanakidou, M., Stephanou, E.G., 1996. Organic aerosols in Eastern Mediterranean: components source reconciliation by using molecular markers and atmospheric back trajectories. *Organic Geochemistry* 25, 79–96.
- Grimmer, G., Jacob, J., Naujack, K.W., Dettbarn, G., 1983. Determination of polycyclic aromatic compounds emitted from brown-coal-fired residential stoves by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 55, 892–900.
- Guo, H., 2003. Particle-associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air of Hong Kong. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 5307–5317.
- Harrison, R.M., Beddows, D.C.S., Dall'Osto, M., 2011. PMF analysis of wide-range particle size spectra collected on a major highway. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45, 5522–5528.
- Harrison, R.M., Smith, D.J.T., Luhana, L., 1996. Source apportionment of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons collected from an urban location in Birmingham, U.K. *Environmental Science & Technology* 30, 825–832.

- Hopke, P.K., 2000. A Guide to Positive Matrix Factorization. Workshop on UNMIX and PMF as Applied to PM_{2.5}, 2000. 600.
- Hopke, P.K., Ito, K., Mar, T., Christensen, W.F., Eatough, D.J., Henry, R.C., Kim, E., Laden, F., Lall, R., Larson, T.V., Liu, H., Neas, L., Pinto, J., Stolzel, M., Suh, H., Paatero, P., Thurston, G.D., 2005. PM source apportionment and health effects: 1. Intercomparison of source apportionment results. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* 16, 275–286.
- Isakson, J., Persson, T., Selin, L.E., 2001. Identification and assessment of ship emissions and their effects in the harbour of Göteborg, Sweden. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 3659–3666.
- Jones, A.M., Harrison, R.M., Barratt, B., Fuller, G., 2012. A large reduction in airborne particle number concentrations at the time of the introduction of “sulphur free” diesel and the London Low Emission Zone. *Atmospheric Environment* 50, 129–138.
- Katsoyiannis, A., Sweetman, A.J., Jones, K.C., 2011. PAH molecular diagnostic ratios applied to atmospheric sources: a critical evaluation using two decades of source inventory and air concentration data from the UK. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 8897–8906.
- Kavouras, I.G., Koutrakis, P., Tzapakis, M., Lagoudaki, E., Stephanou, E.G., Von Baer, D., Oyola, P., 2001. Source apportionment of urban particulate Aliphatic and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) using multivariate methods. *Environmental Science & Technology* 35, 2288–2294.
- Khalili, N.R., Scheff, P.A., Holsen, T.M., 1995. PAH source fingerprints for coke ovens, diesel and, gasoline engines, highway tunnels, and wood combustion emissions. *Atmospheric Environment* 29, 533–542.
- Kim, D., Kumfer, B.M., Anastasio, C., Kennedy, I.M., Young, T.M., 2009. Environmental aging of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on soot and its effect on source identification. *Chemosphere* 76 (8), 1075–1081.
- Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., 2007. Comparison between sample-species specific uncertainties and estimated uncertainties for the source apportionment of the speciation trends network data. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 567–575.
- Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., 2008. Source characterization of ambient fine particles at multiple sites in the Seattle area. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 6047–6056.
- Larsen, R.K., Baker, J.E., 2003. Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the urban atmosphere: a comparison of three methods. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37, 1873–1881.
- Li, J., Zhang, G., Li, X.D., Qi, S.H., Liu, G.Q., Peng, X.Z., 2006. Source seasonality of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a subtropical city, Guangzhou, South China. *Science of The Total Environment* 355, 145–155.
- Li, W., Peng, Y., Shi, J., Qiu, W., Wang, J., Bai, Z., 2011. Particulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the urban Northeast Region of China: profiles, distributions and sources. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 7664–7671.
- Lim, M.C.H., Ayoko, G.A., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z.D., Rohan Jayaratne, E., 2005. Effect of fuel composition and engine operating conditions on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emissions from a fleet of heavy-duty diesel buses. *Atmospheric Environment* 39, 7836–7848.
- Lima, A.L.C., Eglinton, T.I., Reddy, C.M., 2002. High-resolution record of pyrogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon deposition during the 20th century. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37, 53–61.
- Lin, L., Fan, Z.H., Zhu, X., Huang, L.H., Bonanno, L.J., 2011. Characterization of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a mixed-use urban community in Paterson, NJ: concentrations and sources. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 61, 631–639.
- Ma, W.L., Li, Y.F., Qi, H., Sun, D.Z., Liu, L.Y., Wang, D.G., 2010. Seasonal variations of sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) to a northeastern urban city, China. *Chemosphere* 79, 441–447.
- Manoli, E., Kouras, A., Samara, C., 2004. Profile analysis of ambient and source emitted particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from three sites in northern Greece. *Chemosphere* 56 (9), 867–878.
- Mari, M., Harrison, R.M., Schuhmacher, M., Domingo, J.L., Pongpiachan, S., 2010. Inferences over the sources and processes affecting polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the atmosphere derived from measured data. *Science of The Total Environment* 408, 2387–2393.
- Marr, L.C., Dzepina, K., Jimenez, J.L., Reisen, F., Bethel, H.L., Arey, J., Gaffney, J.S., Marley, N.A., Molina, L.T., Molina, M.J., 2006. Sources and transformations of particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico City. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 6, 1733–1745.
- Marr, L.C., Grogan, L.A., Wöhrnschimmel, H., Molina, L.T., Molina, M.J., Smith, T.J., Garshick, E., 2004. Vehicle traffic as a source of particulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposure in the Mexico City metropolitan area. *Environmental Science & Technology* 38, 2584–2592.
- McCarthy, B.E., Allan, L.M., Legzdins, A.E., Lundrigan, J.A., Marvin, C.H., Bryant, D.W., 1996. Thia-arenes as pollution source tracers in urban air particulate. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds* 11, 75–82.
- McDonald, J.D., Zielinska, B., Fujita, E.M., Sagebiel, J.C., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., 2000. Fine particle and gaseous emission rates from residential wood combustion. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34, 2080–2091.
- Meijer, S.N., Sweetman, A.J., Halsall, C.J., Jones, K.C., 2008. Temporal trends of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the U.K. Atmosphere: 1991–2005. *Environmental Science & Technology* 42, 3213–3218.
- Miller, L., Lemke, L.D., Xu, X., Molaroni, S.M., You, H., Wheeler, A.J., Booza, J., Grgicak-Mannion, A., Krajenta, R., Graniero, P., 2010. Intra-urban correlation and spatial variability of air toxics across an international airshed in Detroit, Michigan (USA) and Windsor, Ontario (Canada). *Atmospheric Environment* 44, 1162–1174.
- Motelay-Massei, A., Ollivon, D., Garban, B., Tiphagne-Larcher, K., Zimmerlin, I., Chevreuil, M., 2007. PAHs in the bulk atmospheric deposition of the Seine river basin: source identification and apportionment by ratios, multivariate statistical techniques and scanning electron microscopy. *Chemosphere* 67, 312–321.
- Norris, G., Vedantham, R., Wade, K., Brown, S., Prouty, J., Foley, C., 2008. EPA Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) 3.0 Fundamentals & User Guide. Prepared for the US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, by the National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park.
- Park, S.S., Kim, Y.J., Kang, C.H., 2002. Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Seoul, Korea. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 2917–2924.
- Park, S.U., Kim, J.G., Jeong, M.J., Song, B.J., 2011. Source identification of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in industrial complex using diagnostic ratios and multivariate factor analysis. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 60, 576–589.
- Rajput, N., Lakhani, A., 2010. Measurements of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in an urban atmosphere of Agra, India. *Atmosfera* 23, 165–183.
- Ravindra, K., Sokhi, R., Vangrieken, R., 2008. Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: source attribution, emission factors and regulation. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 2895–2921.
- Reddy, C.M., Pearson, A., Xu, L., McNichol, A.P., Benner, B.A., Wise, S.A., Klouda, G.A., Currie, L.A., Eglinton, T.I., 2002. Radiocarbon as a tool to apportion the sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and black carbon in environmental samples. *Environmental Science & Technology* 36, 1774–1782.
- Reff, A., Eberly, S.I., Bhawe, P.V., 2007. Receptor modeling of ambient particulate matter data using positive matrix factorization: review of existing methods. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 57, 146–154.
- Riddle, S.G., Jakober, C.A., Robert, M.A., Cahill, T.M., Charles, M.J., Kleeman, M.J., 2007. Large PAHs detected in fine particulate matter emitted from light-duty gasoline vehicles. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 8658–8668.
- Rogge, W.F., Hildemann, L.M., Mazurek, M.A., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 1993. Sources of fine organic aerosol. 2. Noncatalyst and catalyst-equipped automobiles and heavy-duty diesel trucks. *Environmental Science & Technology* 27, 636–651.
- Sharma, H., Jain, V.K., Khan, Z.H., 2007. Characterization and source identification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the urban environment of Delhi. *Chemosphere* 66, 302–310.
- Shen, G., Tao, S., Wei, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Wang, B., Li, W., Shen, H., Huang, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, W., Wang, X., Simonich, S.L.M., 2012. Retene emission from residential solid fuels in China and evaluation of retene as a unique marker for soft wood combustion. *Environmental Science & Technology* 46, 4666–4672.
- Smith, D.J.T., Harrison, R.M., 1996. Concentrations, trends and vehicle source profile of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in the U.K. atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 30, 2513–2525.
- Sofowote, U.M., Hung, H., Rastogi, A.K., Westgate, J.N., Deluca, P.F., Su, Y., McCarry, B.E., 2011. Assessing the long-range transport of PAH to a sub-Arctic site using positive matrix factorization and potential source contribution function. *Atmospheric Environment* 45, 967–976.
- Sofowote, U.M., Hung, H., Rastogi, A.K., Westgate, J.N., Su, Y., Sverko, E., D’Sa, I., Roach, P., Fellin, P., McCarry, B.E., 2010. The gas/particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons collected at a sub-Arctic site in Canada. *Atmospheric Environment* 44, 4919–4926.
- Tauler, R., Viana, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Flight, R.M., Wentzell, P.D., Hopke, P.K., 2009. Comparison of the results obtained by four receptor modelling methods in aerosol source apportionment studies. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 3989–3997.
- Tuominen, J., Salomaa, S., Pyysalo, H., Skytta, E., Tikkanen, L., Nurmela, T., Sorsa, M., Pohjola, V., Sauri, M., Himberg, K., 1988. Polynuclear aromatic compounds and genotoxicity in particulate and vapor phases of ambient air: effect of traffic, season, and meteorological conditions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 22, 1228–1234.
- Vardoulakis, S., Chalabi, Z., Fletcher, T., Grundy, C., Leonardi, G.S., 2008. Impact and uncertainty of a traffic management intervention: population exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Science of The Total Environment* 394, 244–251.
- Viana, M., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R.M., Hopke, P.K., Winiwarer, W., Vallius, M., Szidat, S., Prévôt, A.S.H., Hueglin, C., Bloemen, H., Wählin, P., Vecchi, R., Miranda, A.I., Kasper-Giebl, A., Maenhaut, W., Hitenberger, R., 2008. Source apportionment of particulate matter in Europe: a review of methods and results. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 39, 827–849.
- Wang, D., Tian, F., Yang, M., Liu, C., Li, Y.F., 2009. Application of positive matrix factorization to identify potential sources of PAHs in soil of Dalian, China. *Environmental Pollution* 157, 1559–1564.
- Wang, W., Massey Simonich, S.L., Xue, M., Zhao, J., Zhang, N., Wang, R., Cao, J., Tao, S., 2010. Concentrations, sources and spatial distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soils from Beijing, Tianjin and surrounding areas, North China. *Environmental Pollution* 158, 1245–1251.
- Ward, T., Trost, B., Conner, J., Flanagan, J., Jayanty, R., 2012. Source apportionment of PM_{2.5} in a subarctic airshed-fairbanks, Alaska. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research* 12, 536–543.
- Westerholm, R., Li, H., 1994. A multivariate statistical analysis of fuel-related polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emissions from heavy-duty diesel vehicles. *Environmental Science & Technology* 28, 965–972.
- WHO-UN-UHABITAT, 2011. Hidden Cities: Unmasking and Overcoming Health Inequities in Urban Settings. WHO Library Cataloguing.
- Yang, H.H., Lai, S.O., Hsieh, L.T., Hsueh, H.J., Chi, T.W., 2002. Profiles of PAH emission from steel and iron industries. *Chemosphere* 48, 1061–1074.
- Zuo, Q., Duan, Y.H., Yang, Y., Wang, X.J., Tao, S., 2007. Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface soil in Tianjin, China. *Environmental Pollution* 147, 303–310.