

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

Applicability to primary care of national clinical guidelines on blood pressure lowering for people with stroke: cross sectional study

Mant, Jonathan; McManus, Richard; Iles, Rachel

DOI:

[10.1136/bmj.38758.600116.AE](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38758.600116.AE)

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Mant, J, McManus, R & Iles, R 2006, 'Applicability to primary care of national clinical guidelines on blood pressure lowering for people with stroke: cross sectional study', *British Medical Journal*, vol. 332, pp. 635-637. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38758.600116.AE>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Research

Applicability to primary care of national clinical guidelines on blood pressure lowering for people with stroke: cross sectional study

Jonathan Mant, Richard J McManus, Rachel Hare

Abstract

Objective To compare the characteristics of patients with cerebrovascular disease in primary care with those of the participants in the PROGRESS trial, on which national guidelines for blood pressure lowering are based.

Design Population based cross sectional survey of patients with confirmed stroke or transient ischaemic attack.

Setting Seven general practices in South Birmingham, England.

Participants All patients with a validated history of stroke (n = 413) or transient ischaemic attack (n = 107).

Main outcome measures Patient characteristics: age, sex, time since last cerebrovascular event, blood pressure, and whether receiving antihypertensive treatment.

Results Patients were 12 years older than the participants in PROGRESS and twice as likely to be women. The median time that had elapsed since their cerebrovascular event was two and a half years, compared with eight months in PROGRESS. The systolic blood pressure of 315 (61%) patients was over 140 mm Hg, and for 399 (77%) it was over 130 mm Hg. One hundred and forty seven (28%) patients were receiving a thiazide diuretic, and 136 (26%) were receiving an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor.

Conclusions Important differences exist between the PROGRESS trial participants and a typical primary care stroke population, which undermine the applicability of the trial's findings. Research in appropriate populations is urgently needed before the international guidelines are implemented in primary care.

Introduction

International guidelines stress the importance of lowering blood pressure in people who have had a stroke.¹⁻⁴ In the United Kingdom, for example, the national clinical guidelines for stroke recommend a target blood pressure of 140/85 mm Hg and that further lowering of blood pressure beyond this target should be aimed for by using a thiazide diuretic and an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor.¹ The British Hypertension Society guidelines are more aggressive, recommending a target blood pressure of 130/80 mm Hg.² The guidelines are heavily influenced by the PROGRESS trial, which recruited people with stroke from hospital settings.⁵ Despite some debate about the implementation of these research findings regarding which drugs should be used,⁶ a question that has been neglected is whether these guidelines are relevant to primary care, which is where most treatment of blood pressure occurs. We aimed to compare the characteristics of people who have had a stroke in primary care with those of the PROGRESS trial participants.

Methods

We recruited seven practices active in research from the South Birmingham Primary Care Trust. The practices had a population of 37 000 and were selected to represent both a range of practice sizes and areas of low and high deprivation. The study population comprised patients registered with these practices in 2002 who had had a confirmed stroke or transient ischaemic attack. We identified possible participants from three sources: general practice computer systems, a validated postal questionnaire to people aged 65 or over, and a search of a computer system covering all hospital trusts in the South Birmingham area.⁷ We accepted a diagnosis of transient ischaemic attack as confirmed if a specialist had made it. We accepted a diagnosis of stroke as confirmed if it had been identified from at least two sources or we found definite evidence of stroke in the patient's records. Data on last recorded blood pressure and prescribed drugs came from the general practice computer systems.

Results

In this primary care population, 413 patients had a history of confirmed stroke, and 107 patients had a history of confirmed transient ischaemic attack (overall prevalence of cerebrovascular disease 1.4%). The table shows the characteristics of these patients compared with the participants in the PROGRESS trial. In the primary care population, the proportion of women was higher, patients were on average 12 years older, and they had had their most recent cerebrovascular event about two years less recently than the PROGRESS participants. Similar proportions in the two populations had had a transient ischaemic attack but no stroke. Systolic blood pressure was similar in the two populations, but the PROGRESS population had higher diastolic blood pressure, a higher proportion with blood pressure \geq 160/90 mm Hg, and a lower proportion receiving antihypertensive drugs.

The systolic blood pressure of 63% (n = 315) of stroke patients was above the 140 mm Hg target, and for 80% (399) of patients it was over the British Hypertension Society 130 mm Hg target. Diastolic blood pressure was better controlled, with 58% (290) of patients on or below the 80 mm Hg target. The blood pressure of 81 (16%) patients was at or below the 130/80 mm Hg target. Sixty eight per cent (352) of patients were receiving at least one antihypertensive drug, and 35% (181) were receiving at least two drugs. Twenty eight per cent (147) of patients were receiving a thiazide diuretic, but only 1% (5) were receiving indapamide. Similarly, 26% (136) of patients were receiving an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, but only 2% (9) were on perindopril. Forty four (8%) patients were receiving both an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor and a thiazide diuretic.

Characteristics of patients in primary care with history of cerebrovascular disease and participants of PROGRESS trial

Characteristics	Primary care (n=520)		PROGRESS trial (n=6105)
	Value	95% CI	
Mean (SD) age (years)	76 (10)	75 to 77	64 (10)
Median (IQR) time since last event (years)	2.5 (1-8)*		0.7 (0.2-1.75)
% (No) women	54 (282)	50 to 58	30
% (No) with history of TIA only	21 (107)	17 to 24	22
Mean (SD) systolic BP (mm Hg)	148† (21)	147 to 150	147 (19)
Mean (SD) diastolic BP (mm Hg)	80† (12)	79 to 81	86 (11)
% (No) with hypertension (BP≥160/90 mm Hg)	38 (188)†	34 to 42	48
% (No) receiving antihypertensive treatment	68 (352)	64 to 72	50

BP=blood pressure; IQR=interquartile range; TIA=transient ischaemic attack.

*Data available for 419 (81%) patients.

†No blood pressure reading for 23 patients.

Discussion

The population with prevalent cerebrovascular disease in primary care is very different from the participants in the PROGRESS trial. Current practice is at variance with the guidelines. Patients taking part in clinical trials are well recognised to be different from those seen in clinical practice. In many cases this does not matter, but in the case of blood pressure lowering after stroke it does, as the differences are so great as to undermine the applicability of the research to primary care. The high mean age of patients with a history of cerebrovascular disease in primary care is a cause for concern: over the age of 80, reduction in risk of stroke through lowering blood pressure may be offset by an increase in mortality.⁸ The longer time lapse since the most recent cerebrovascular event in patients in primary care is also important, as risk of recurrence declines over time,⁹ and the harm to benefit ratio from aggressive treatment becomes less favourable.

A particular strength of this study is that, although drawn from primary care, only patients in whom the diagnosis of cerebrovascular disease had been validated were included. This side steps the problem that recording of cerebrovascular disease on computer in primary care is prone to error with respect to both false positive and false negative diagnoses.⁷

The population is also representative: South Birmingham has similar demographics and mortality from stroke to England and Wales as a whole. Sixteen per cent of the study population were over the age of 65, which is the same proportion as the national average¹⁰; the stroke mortality for this area (2.36/10 000 aged under 75) is similar to the national rate (2.56/10 000).^{10 11} Other studies confirm that the "typical" stroke patient in general practice is very different from the PROGRESS study population. The health survey for England reported that only 17% of people with stroke had had the event within the previous year,¹² and analysis of the general practice research database showed that 52% of prevalent stroke cases are in women.¹³ No previous studies of prevalent stroke have reported mean age, but in the general practice research database analysis 48% of people with stroke were over the age of 75,¹³ and in the OXVASC study 52% of first strokes were in people over the age of 75.¹⁴

As the practices selected were active in research, blood pressure control in these practices may be better than in the UK as a whole. Our analysis was based on the latest blood pressure

What is already known on this topic

National and international guidance emphasises the importance of lowering blood pressure in people with a history of cerebrovascular disease, largely on the basis of the results of the PROGRESS trial

The applicability of the findings of PROGRESS to primary care is uncertain

What this study adds

Important differences exist between the participants in PROGRESS and a typical primary care population of people with cerebrovascular disease, undermining the applicability of the research findings

recorded in the general practice records. This will have reflected several recordings of blood pressure in some cases and a single reading in other instances. This may have resulted in an overestimation of "true" blood pressure in this stroke population compared with measurements in the controlled setting of a study, but these are the readings on which management will be decided.

Current national and international guidelines may give appropriate advice for the management of blood pressure of younger patients who have had a recent cerebrovascular event. Unfortunately, these guidelines may act as an impediment to further research. We would urge that further evidence should be collected on the efficacy and adverse effects of intensive blood pressure lowering in representative populations before we implement this guidance in primary care.

Contributors: JM conceived and designed the study and analysed the data. RMCM assisted in the design and analysis and supervised the data collection from practices. RH was responsible for data collection. All authors contributed to the drafting of the paper. JM is the guarantor.

Funding: The research was supported by the Stroke Association. RMCM was funded by a Department of Health national primary care researcher development award.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: South Birmingham Local Research Ethics Committee approved the study.

- 1 Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. *National clinical guidelines for stroke*. 2nd ed. London: Royal College of Physicians of London, 2004.
- 2 Williams B, Poulter NR, Brown MJ, Davis M, McNines GT, Potter JF, et al. Guidelines for management of hypertension: report of the fourth working party of the British Hypertension Society, 2004—BHS IV. *J Hum Hypertens* 2004;18:139-85.
- 3 Chalmers J, Todd A, Chapman N, Beilin L, Davis S, Donnan G, et al. International Society of Hypertension (ISH): statement on blood pressure lowering and stroke prevention. *J Hypertens* 2003;21:651-63.
- 4 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003;42:1206-52.
- 5 PROGRESS Collaborative Group. Randomised trial of a perindopril-based blood pressure lowering regimen among 6,105 individuals with a previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack. *Lancet* 2001;358:1033-41.
- 6 Wennberg R, Zimmermann C. The PROGRESS trial three years later: time for a balanced report of effectiveness. *BMJ* 2004;329:968-71.
- 7 Mant J, McManus RJ, Hare R, Mayer P. Identification of stroke in the community: a comparison of three methods. *Br J Gen Pract* 2003;53:520-4.
- 8 Gueyffier F, Bulpitt C, Boissel JP, Schron E, Ekblom T, Fagard R, et al. Antihypertensive drugs in very old people: a subgroup meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 1999;353:793-6.
- 9 Lovett JK, Dennis MS, Sandercock PAG, Bamford J, Warlow CP, Rothwell PM. Very early risk of stroke after a first transient ischaemic attack. *Stroke* 2003;34:e138-42.
- 10 National Statistics. *Mortality statistics: cause*. London: Stationery Office, 2000. (Series DH2 No 26.)
- 11 West Midlands Public Health Observatory. *Key health data for the West Midlands, 2001*. Birmingham: Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, 2002. (Report No 31.)
- 12 Sproston K, Primatesta P, eds. *Health survey for England 2003. Volume 1: cardiovascular disease*. London: Stationery Office, 2004.
- 13 Office for National Statistics. *Key health statistics from general practice 1998*. London: Stationery Office, 2000.

14 Rothwell PM, Coull AJ, Giles MF, Howard SC, Silver LE, Bull LM, et al. Change in stroke incidence, mortality, case-fatality, severity, and risk factors in Oxfordshire UK from 1981 to 2004 (Oxford vascular study). *Lancet* 2004;363:1925-33.

(Accepted 10 January 2006)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38758.600116.AE

Department of Primary Care and General Practice, Primary Care, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT

Jonathan Mant *clinical senior lecturer*

Richard J McManus *clinical senior lecturer*

Rachel Hare *research fellow*

Correspondence to: J Mant j.w.mant@bham.ac.uk