Payne R & Blackford J (2008) Distal volcanic impacts on peatlands: palaeoecological evidence from Alaska, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27 (21-22), pp. 2012-2030.

This is the peer reviewed version of this article

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Quaternary Science Reviews. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Quaternary Science Reviews, [VOL 27, ISS 21-22 (2008)] DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.08.002

- 1 JQSR-D-08-00097
- Distal volcanic impacts on peatlands: Palaeoecological evidence from Alaska.
 3
- 4 Richard Payne^{1,2*} & Jeffrey Blackford²
- 5
- ⁶ ¹ Department of Geography, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road,
- 7 London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom.
- ⁸ ² Geography, School of Environment and Development, The University of
- 9 Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom.
- 10
- 11 *Corresponding author. E-mail <u>r.j.payne@manchester.ac.uk</u>

2 ABSTRACT

3

4 Despite the fact that volcanic ash (tephra) layers are found preserved in peat 5 deposits around the world, comparatively little research has investigated the 6 impacts of distal volcanic emissions on peatlands. This study investigates the 7 impacts of several late-Holocene volcanic eruptions on five peatlands in 8 southern Alaska using a palaeoecological approach. Testate amoebae analysis, 9 peat humification analysis and a basic analysis of plant macrofossil components 10 were applied across 11 tephra layers. Changes in macrofossil and testate 11 amoebae assemblages occur across several of the tephra layers. The 12 humification results were unreliable because of a methodological problem, a 13 finding which may have implications for other studies using this technique. 14 Redundancy analyses on testate amoebae data show statistically significant 15 changes associated with two tephras. The most likely causes of the impacts are 16 volcanic gases, acidic precipitation or tephra-derived leachates. The finding 17 that some tephras are associated with impacts whereas others are not may 18 relate to the season of the eruption or meteorological conditions at the time of 19 ash fall. These results suggest the sensitivity of peatlands and peatland 20 microbial communities to distal volcanic products and imply that changes in 21 key palaeoclimatic proxies may be caused by a mechanism independent of 22 climate change. Implications of the results for peat-based palaeoclimatic 23 studies are discussed, as are possible directions for future research.

- **KEYWORDS**: peatlands, bogs, mires, volcanoes, volcanic impacts, tephra,
- 3 crytotephras, palaeoecology, testate amoebae, humification, macrofossils.
- 5 Running title: Volcanic impacts on peatlands

2 INTRODUCTION

3

4 Ombrotrophic peatlands receive all their nutrients and moisture from 5 the atmosphere. As such they are extremely sensitive to deposition of 6 atmospheric pollutants. While there has been a large amount of work on the 7 impact of anthropogenic pollutants on peatlands (e.g. Gorham et al. 1984, 8 Proctor & Maltby 1998) there has been little investigation of the impact of 9 natural pollutants. Peatlands are found in many mid- and high-latitude volcanic 10 regions such as New Zealand, South America, the Asian North Pacific, and 11 northwestern North America. Visible and microscopic tephra layers have been 12 found preserved in peatlands around the world and illustrate the potential 13 extent and frequency of volcanic impacts on peatlands (Zoltai 1988, Dugmore et al. 1995, St Seymour & Christanis 1995, Holmes et al. 1999, van den Bogaard 14 & Schmincke 2002, Kilian et al. 2003, Hang et al. 2006, Gehrels et al. 2006). 15 16 Volcanoes may be an important control on peatland functioning and 17 development. 18

19 Volcanic impacts on peatlands: previous research

- 21 <u>'Neo'-ecological studies</u>
- 22

1 Little neo-ecological research has addressed the potential impacts of 2 volcanic products on peatlands. Griggs (1919) briefly noted the return of 3 several species to an upland bog in southwest Alaska buried by tephra from the 4 1912 Katmai eruption, which covered a large number of peatlands (Rigg 1914). 5 Perhaps significantly, Griggs' (1919) list did not include any Sphagnum species. 6 Given this effective absence of direct ecological studies some authors have 7 attempted experimental studies. Hotes et al. (2004) applied varying quantities 8 of tephra and ground glass simulating tephra to plots on a mire in Hokkaido, 9 Japan. Results showed substantial changes in pore water chemistry, with increases in pH, electrical conductivity, SiO_2 , SO_4^{2-} and Na^+ . Several changes in 10 11 species composition were noticed with some species being lost, although many 12 were later re-established. Sphagnum species were particularly affected with 13 vascular plants increasing in relative abundance. Payne and Blackford (2005) 14 applied small quantities of tephra and acids, both alone and in combination, to 15 experimental plots on a bog in Scotland, with the aim of simulating products of 16 the mid-Holocene Hekla-4 eruption. Impacts on plants were drastic at higher 17 acid applications, but no impacts on peat humification or testate amoebae 18 communities were apparent. Impacts on plant communities were still apparent 19 in April 2008, almost six years after application. Impacts were only associated 20 with acid application, plots with tephra applied alone showed no recorded 21 changes. Gauci et al. (2005) applied Na₂SO₄ to a Scottish peatland over a period 22 of 18 months at levels equivalent to the 1783 Laki eruption. Results showed a

significant suppression of methane, although this effect was variable due to
 climate-driven changes in water table depth.

3 All these studies have limitations. The Hotes et al. (2004) experiment was limited by the use of non-volcanic glass in many of the plots and by the 4 5 lack of consideration of chemicals adhering to tephra. The Payne & Blackford 6 (2005) experiment was limited by uncertainty over the realism of the 7 experimental scenario (Grattan & Gilbertson 1994). The Gauci et al. (2005) 8 study did not apply tephra. All the studies monitored only a limited range of 9 variables, but have shown enough to suggest the possibility of significant 10 impacts on plant species composition, microbial communities and peat 11 geochemistry.

12

13 Palaeoecological studies

14

15 Due to the inherent difficulties in direct ecological investigations of real 16 volcanic impacts on peatlands and the uncertainty in experimental scenarios, 17 an alternative approach is to use palaeoecological records. Due to the acidic 18 and anoxic environment below the surface layers of peat, organic material does 19 not fully decay and long sedimentary sequences are accumulated. 20 Palaeoecological studies exploit this archive by analysis of the macrofossil plant 21 remains, preserved organisms within the peat, or preserved particles such as 22 pollen. Tephra layers are preserved within the peat and analysis of changes in 23 the palaeoecological record across these layers provides an opportunity to

investigate volcanic impacts (Blackford, 1997). The term 'tephropalynology'
 has recently been coined to refer to pollen studies across tephra layers
 (Newnham et al. 1998, Lowe and Hunt 2001, Edwards et al. 2004). A broader
 term 'tephropalaeoecology' may be appropriate to encompass studies based on
 other palaeoecological proxies.

6 Previous studies have shown impacts from proximal volcanic activity 7 (Kuhry 1988) and large volcanic eruptions in the geological record (Crowley et 8 al. 1994, Kovar-Eder et al. 2001). However, studies of distal volcanic impacts 9 from Holocene eruptions have often shown variable evidence for impacts 10 (Mehringer et al. 1977, Hotes et al. 2001, 2006). In New Zealand Giles et al. 11 (1999) showed increases in degraded pollen and a particularly notable increase 12 in Leptospermum pollen across the Holocene Kaharoa tephra. The most 13 concerted study of volcanic impacts on peatlands has been in Britain and 14 Ireland following the discovery of Icelandic cryptotephras (Dugmore 1989, 15 Pilcher & Hall 1992, Dugmore *et al.* 1995) and suggestions of volcanic impacts 16 from the Irish dendroclimatic record (Baillie & Munro 1988). Blackford et al. 17 (1992) showed coincidence between a decline in *Pinus sylvestris* (scots pine) 18 pollen and the mid-Holocene Hekla-4 cryptotephra in northern Scotland and 19 suggested a causal relationship. Several other studies have found limited or no 20 distinct changes associated with this and other Icelandic tephras (Bennett et al. 1992, Edwards et al. 1994, Hall et al. 1994, Charman et al. 1995, Caseldine 21 22 et al. 1998, Hall 2003). In western Ireland Dwyer & Mitchell (1997) found that 23 tephra layers were not associated with a regional vegetation change, but did

1	find changes in several mire pollen types and the testate amoeba Amphitrema
2	flavum, which they interpreted as a shift to wetter peat surface conditions.
3	Where impacts have been noted some studies have suggested a climatic origin,
4	but a direct impact on the mire system appears a more probable explanation
5	(Birks 1994, Grattan <i>et al</i> . 1999).
6	
7	Possible modes of volcanic impacts on peatlands
8	
9	While previous research on volcanic impacts on peatlands has been limited,
10	there is sufficient evidence to suggest that changes may occur. Several
11	possible mechanisms can be suggested, based on studies of anthropogenic
12	pollutants on peatlands and volcanic impacts on other ecosystems; these are
13	outlined below.
14	
15	1. Indirect, climatic impact. Volcanic eruptions produce large quantities of
16	sulphurous gases which, following large explosive eruptions, combine
17	with water to form sulphuric acid aerosols in the upper atmosphere.
18	These aerosol particles are generally efficient scatterers but only weak
19	absorbers of radiation at solar wavelengths leading to an increase in
20	atmospheric albedo and tropospheric cooling. Large, sulphur-rich
21	volcanic eruptions may cool the climate by a few tenths of a degree up
22	to several degrees over a period of years (Self et al. 1981, Rampino &
23	Self 1982, 1984, Scuderi 1990, McCormick et al. 1995, Zielinski 2000,

1 Gervais & MacDonald 2001). Changes in atmospheric circulation may also lead to changes in precipitation patterns. The surface wetness of 2 3 ombrotrophic peatlands is directly linked to hydroclimate. Volcanic cooling may lead to reduced evapotranspiration and a wetter mire 4 5 surface. 6 7 **2.** Physical impact of tephra. Distal tephra is primarily composed of sharp, 8 angular shards of volcanic glass. Deposition of tephra may lead to 9 abrasion of plant surfaces, inhibition of photosynthesis, blocking of 10 stomata, increased reflectivity, inhibition of gas exchange between soil 11 and atmosphere and crushing of plant tissues (Griggs 1922, Eggler 1948, 12 Wilcox 1959, Cook et al. 1980, Bjarnason 1991, Clarkson & Clarkson 13 1994). Peatland plant communities may be particularly sensitive as 14 plants are low-growing and include many bryophytes lacking a protective cuticle. Impacts on plants will have knock-on impacts on other aspects of 15 16 the ecosystem. 17 3. Impact on peatland hydrology. A layer of tephra across the surface of a 18 19 peatland might impact on the hydrology of the mire. Crowley et al. 20 (1994) have suggested that deposition of thick tephra layers could lead 21 to surface ponding while Hotes et al. (2004) have suggested that

inclusion of tephra could lead to enhanced aeration of the upper layersof peat.

2	4. Chemical impact of tephra and tephra leachates. Although volcanic
3	glass is generally preserved intact for thousands of years (Dugmore et al.
4	1992), elements may be released both on initial contact with water (cf.
5	Wissmar et al. 1981) and through longer term leaching. Elements
6	released by tephra leaching most commonly include Cl, S, Na, Ca, K, and
7	Mg (Smith et al. 1983). Hodder et al. (1991) discussed evidence for
8	enhanced Si, Fe, Mg and Ca in pore-waters adjacent to tephra layers
9	preserved in peat and Hotes et al. (2004) noted detectable impacts on
10	pore water chemistry from simulated tephra deposition. It is possible
11	that tephra leachates might supply nutrients which are limiting in an
12	oligotrophic ecosystem (such as K) or that tephra leachates may release
13	elements that may be toxic to some organisms (such as Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb
14	and Ba). Anthropogenic metal pollutants have been suggested to have
15	caused damage to peatland bryophytes in northern England (Gorham <i>et</i>
16	al. 1987).

5. Volcanic gas and acids. Volcanic eruptions release large quantities of
gases into the atmosphere including CO₂, SO₂, HCl and HF (Wilcox 1959,
Le Guern *et al.* 1988, Symonds *et al.* 1988, Delmelle *et al.* 2002).
Volcanic compounds may be deposited as a gas, acidic precipitation, dry
deposition, acidic aerosols and adhering to tephra particles (Rose 1977,
Oskarsson 1980, Delmelle *et al.* 2001). Plants exposed to volcanic gases

1	may show signs varying from lesions and burnt spots to total defoliation
2	and death (Parnell & Burke 1990, Clarkson & Clarkson 1994, Delmelle <i>et</i>
3	al. 2002). Historical records following the 1783-4 Laki eruption in Iceland
4	show the potential of volcanic gases to damage plants across a vast area
5	(Thorarinsson 1981, Sigurdsson 1982, Camuffo & Enzi 1995, Grattan &
6	Charman 1994, Grattan & Gilbertson 1994, Grattan & Pyatt 1994,
7	Grattan et al. 1998, Thordarson & Self 2001). The sensitivity of
8	bryophytes, and particularly Sphagnum, to acid deposition has been
9	demonstrated in contemporary studies (Ferguson et al. 1978, 1980).
10	Volcanic acid deposition could modify pH as the peat exchange complex
11	is already strongly saturated by hydrogen ions so peatlands have little
12	capacity for buffering inputs of acidity (Gorham et al. 1984).
13	Acidification by anthropogenic acid rain has been widely noted (Proctor
14	& Maltby 1998, Skiba <i>et al</i> . 1989, Sanger <i>et al</i> . 1994, Smith <i>et al</i> . 1993)
15	but it is unclear whether a short-lived acid pulse would produce any
16	lasting impacts. An increase in pH following deposition of volcanic
17	products is also conceivable (Payne & Blackford 2005).
18	
19	Aims of this study

This study adopts a palaeoecological approach to investigating potential volcanic impacts on peatlands, applying a broader range of palaeoecological techniques to a greater number of tephra layers than in previous studies.

1	Results are tested statistically to evaluate a volcanic impact explanation for
2	observed changes. A multiple working hypothesis approach is used to
3	investigate the potential cause of the impacts, based on the mechanisms
4	described above.
5	
6	SITES and METHODS
7	
8	Sites and Fieldwork
9	
10	Of the many areas of the world in which peatlands and volcanoes co-
11	exist, the Pacific Northwest of North America, and specifically Alaska, was
12	selected for this study because of the occurrence of numerous volcanoes (8% of
13	the Earth's total) and the great abundance of undisturbed Sphagnum peatlands
14	(45% of the state is classified as wetland). Peatlands were sampled in two
15	general regions: the Kenai Peninsula of southcentral Alaska and the southeast
16	Alaskan 'panhandle' around Juneau and Haines (Fig. 1). The Kenai Peninsula is
17	comparatively close to a number of volcanoes, and several visible millimetre-
18	scale tephra layers are present. Southeast Alaska is more remote from most
19	Alaskan volcanoes and only cryptotephras, not visible in the field, are present
20	(Payne and Blackford 2004, Payne et al. 2008). Peatlands were selected on the
21	basis of maximum peat depth, lack of obvious anthropogenic disturbance and
22	for ombrotrophy where possible. Two sites were cored in the Kenai Peninsula.
23	Moose Pass is a small mire (c.100x100 m) lying in the base of a steep, glaciated

1	valley in the northeastern Kenai Peninsula (60°30'N, 149° 26'W)(Fig. 1).
2	Sterling is a large (c.200x300 m) kettle-hole peatland lying in the Kenai
3	Lowlands at $60^{\circ}31'N$, 150° $31'W$. Both mires are oligotrophic but may not be
4	ombrotrophic. Three sites were also sampled in southeast Alaska. Point Lena is
5	an ombrotrophic raised bog (c.300x200 m) lying between the Coast Mountains
6	and the sea (Favourite Channel) near Juneau (58°23'N 134°44'W)(Dachnowski-
7	Stokes 1941). Eaglecrest is a large (c.700x300 m) blanket mire in the valley of
8	the Fish Creek, towards the northern end of Douglas Island (58°20'N 134°33'W).
9	Chilkoot Pond is a small peatland on the isthmus of land dividing Lutak Inlet
10	from Chilkoot Lake near Haines (59°19'N 135°33'W). The peat deposits at
11	Chilkoot are adjacent to a large pond, and the lower peat deposits represent
12	detrital material in-filling the pond. The vegetation of all five sites is broadly
13	similar, including Empetrum nigrum, Eriophorum spp., Oxycoccus microcarpus,
14	Cornus canadensis, Cladonia portentosa and extensive Sphagnum carpets.
15	Cores were extracted from the deepest areas of peat using a 50-mm bore
16	Russian-pattern peat corer (Aaby & Digerfeldt 1986). Monolith blocks were cut
17	out where the surface peat was too loose to allow coring.
18	

Tephrostratigraphy

In the Kenai Peninsula sites tephras are visible to the naked eye, but in
the cores from southeast Alaska only cryptotephras are present. Cryptotephra
layers were identified by ashing and microscopy (Pilcher & Hall 1992). The glass

1	geochemistry of all tephras was studied using electron probe microanalysis
2	(EPMA); the findings of this work have been described previously (Payne 2005,
3	Payne & Blackford 2004, 2008, Payne et al. 2008). The probable tephra sources
4	are shown in Table 1. Concentration profiles for cryptotephras were
5	constructed by adding a Lycopodium innoculum to allow a quantitative
6	estimate of glass shard concentrations (Caseldine et al. 1998). For the visible
7	tephra layers, shards were highly numerous and concentration profiles were
8	approximated by calculating loss-on-ignition values across the tephras. Tephras
9	of unknown age were either dated directly by radiocarbon or using previously
10	derived age-depth models (Payne 2005).
11	
12	The palaeoecological record
13	
14	The palaeoecological record across the tephras was investigated at high
15	resolution using either 5 mm or 10 mm-depth contiguous samples. Age-depth
16	models for the sites suggest these samples represent between approximately 8
17	and 50 years of peat accumulation (Table 1). Cores were frozen to allow
18	precise sub-sampling at high resolution. Three methods were applied: testate
19	amoebae analysis, humification analysis and a basic plant macrofossil analysis.
20	All three methods are standard techniques widely used in peatland
21	palagoslimate studios but may also be consitive to different aspects of velcanic
	palaeoclimate studies but may also be sensitive to different aspects of volcanic

1 Peat humification was measured across tephras using the standard alkali-2 extraction and colorimetry method (Overbeck 1947, Aaby & Tauber 1975, Blackford & Chambers 1993). Peat humification is a measure of the degree of 3 decomposition of peat, with more humified peats being more amorphous and 4 5 darker in colour (Clymo 1983). In terms of volcanic impact, humification 6 measurement may reflect any impacts on peatland hydrology and plant 7 decomposition processes. The colorimetry method attempts to extract humic 8 and other acids, which are products of the decomposition process. The darker 9 the colour of the alkali extract, the higher the concentration of humic acids 10 and the more humified the peat is considered to be. Recent studies have shown 11 that the organic acids extracted are actually mostly lower weight fulvic acids, 12 amino acids and polysaccharides rather than the humic acids conventionally 13 assumed and a further concern is the extent to which these substances may be 14 extracted from differing plant species (Caseldine et al. 2000, Yeloff & Mauguoy 15 2006). Humification was analysed using a method based on Blackford & 16 Chambers (1993). Sub-samples (0.1g) of dried, ground peat were heated with 17 8% NaOH for an hour. Samples were diluted, filtered through Whatman 18 'Qualitative 1' filter paper and allowed to stand. Transmission was measured at 19 540 nm after four hours from initial mixing. The remaining dried peat was 20 incinerated at 550° C to calculate loss-on-ignition (LOI). Transmission readings 21 were corrected for LOI using the formula Hc=Hr/(1/LOI) where Hc is the 22 corrected humification value, Hr is the measured transmission value and LOI is 23 loss on ignition expressed as a proportion (Blackford & Chambers 1993).

1 Testate amoebae analysis was applied across all the tephra layers. 2 Testate amoebae are unicellular micro-organisms (protists) which are abundant in peatlands and often found in palaeoecological studies (Charman, 2001). 3 4 Testate amoebae communities are primarily controlled by hydrology with pH 5 and nutrient state as secondary controls (Mitchell et al. 1999, Charman et al. 6 2001, Payne et al. 2006). In terms of volcanic impacts, testate amoebae were 7 selected to show any impacts on the peatland microbial community, changing 8 pH, and changes in water table depth. Samples were prepared using the standard water-based method of Hendon & Charman (1997). 1 cm³ peat sub-9 10 samples were boiled in water for approximately 10 minutes, sieved at 300 µm 11 to remove the coarse fraction and then back-filtered at 15 µm to remove fine, 12 highly degraded organic particles. The resulting material was centrifuged at 13 3000 RPM for five minutes to concentrate the tests and slides prepared by 14 mixing the remaining material with glycerol. Slides were examined at 400 X15 magnification and tests identified using a range of taxonomic literature 16 (Deflandre 1929, 1936, Grospietsch 1964, Ogden & Hedley 1980, Ogden 1983, Luftenegger et al. 1988, Charman et al. 2000, Clarke 2003). The test-forming 17 18 bdelloid rotifer Habrotrocha angusticollis was also counted and included in 19 percentage calculations. The taxonomic scheme closely followed that 20 employed by Payne et al. (2006).

21 Quantitative reconstruction of pH and depth to water table (DWT) 22 changes was carried out using a transfer function derived from surface samples 23 of southern Alaskan peatlands (Payne *et al.* 2006). The optimum two-

component WA-PLS transfer function was used with boot-strapped error
 estimates calculated for each sample using 1000 cycles. The reconstructed
 values are termed testate amoebae-inferred pH (TI-pH) and testate amoebae inferred depth to water table (TI-DWT).

5 An outline macrofossil analysis of the major peat components was 6 undertaken to show any major impact of volcanic activity on the peat forming 7 plant communities. Methods followed the first stage of the quadrat and leaf 8 count (QLC) methodology of Barber et al. (1994). The >300 µm component of 9 peat remaining from testate amoebae analysis was further cleaned using a jet 10 of water, placed in a petri dish and examined at 50 X magnification. Peat 11 components were separated into five categories: Sphagnum (including all 12 Sphagnum and other bryophyte material), monocotyledons, Ericales (also 13 including all shrub and woody remains), unidentifiable organic matter (UOM) 14 and other material which cannot be easily assigned to other classes such as 15 seeds and insect remains. A 10X10 mm grid was placed under the sample and 16 the number of squares in which each of these components occurred was 17 counted. This process was repeated four times for each sample and the mean calculated. 18

19

20 Data analysis

21

22 Most studies of tephra-impacts in the palaeoecological record have 23 assessed impacts solely by qualitative inspection of the data. Here, we employ

1 a quantitative hypothesis-testing approach using constrained ordination with an 2 explanatory variable designed to simulate a volcanic impact (Lotter & Birks 3 1993, Eastwood et al. 2002). Previous studies have adopted two distinct 4 approaches to modelling volcanic impacts. Initially Lotter & Birks (1993) 5 modelled the volcanic impact as an exponential decay process from an 6 arbitrarily defined value at the tephra layer. This approach has the 7 disadvantage that the volcanic impact model is purely a conceptual construct 8 with no empirical basis. More recently Barker (2000) and Eastwood et al. 9 (2002) used the tephra concentration profile as the explanatory variable. 10 Although this may seem the superior approach because it is based on real data, 11 it is not applicable here. Tephra concentration profiles in peatlands represent 12 not only the initial deposition phase, but also the post-depositional movement 13 of tephra with downwards gravitational movement of tephra particles through 14 the peat and upward translocation, most likely due to movement of tephra 15 with plant growth or a rising water table (Payne et al. 2005). The tephra 16 concentration profile has no direct relationship with the pattern and timing of 17 volcanic impacts on the mire. Therefore in this study the approach employed is 18 based on a conceptual model of volcanic impact, similar to that used by Lotter 19 & Birks (1993). The model used here assumes that a volcanic event will be 20 represented by a large impact at the time of tephra deposition, decreasing 21 with time thereafter. In addition, it assumes that there will be some overall 22 difference between conditions before and after the event. The explanatory 23 variable (termed 'Volcanic') therefore consists of two components: an

1 exponential decay model and a simple before (0) and after (1) nominal 2 variable. The exponential decay function is identical to that used by Lotter & Birks (1993) and is defined as exp $x^{-\alpha t}$ where α is the decay constant, here 3 given a value of 0.5 and t is sample time (in this case substituted by depth) 4 5 after the tephra peak. The variable is assigned a value of 0 prior to the tephra 6 peak and then a value of 100 at the tephra peak sample. Varying the initial 7 value and the rate of decay makes little difference to the results obtained. For 8 the data across layer ST 24, the tephra peak was taken to be the lower of the 9 two LOI troughs. For the MP 27 data, the missing sample containing the tephra 10 peak was excluded from the analysis and the tephra peak taken to be the 11 sample directly above.

12 Ordination analyses were undertaken using redundancy analysis (RDA), 13 the constrained form of principal components analysis (PCA), the most 14 appropriate approach given the short compositional gradients (<1.4 standard 15 deviations). Monte Carlo permutation tests (999 permutations) were used to 16 provide a test of the null hypothesis that the species and explanatory data are 17 unrelated and to provide an estimate of the significance level of any 18 relationships. Humification and macrofossil results were partialled out to 19 eliminate possible complications from testate amoebae vegetation preferences 20 and test decomposition processes. Depth was also partialled out as a surrogate 21 for time, to separate the affect of pre-existing environmental change, 22 primarily longer-term climatic change.

1	In addition to the ordination analyses two other, more basic approaches
2	were also tested. The sequences were zoned using several techniques
3	(CONSLINK, CONISS, SPLITLSQ and SPLITINF) in ZONE v.1.2 (Juggins 1992). If the
4	first division of assemblage data coincides with the position of the tephra then
5	a significant change at the time of deposition may be suggested.
6	Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to test for a significant
7	difference between testate amoebae communities above and below the tephra.
8	The analysis was carried out using PAST ver 1.71 (Hammer et al. 2001) with a
9	Bray-Curtis distance measure and 10,000 permutations. The sample including
10	the tephra peak was excluded from the analysis. High values of the test
11	statistic (R_{ANOSIM}) (approaching 1) indicate the samples within a group are more
12	similar to each other than to any samples from another group.
13	
14	
15	
16	RESULTS
17	
18	Palaeoenvironmental analyses are discussed below for 11 tephra horizons from
19	Moose Pass (MP 10, MP 27, MP 39), Sterling (ST 12, ST 24 and ST 36), Eaglecrest
20	(ECR 100 and ECR 162), Point Lena (LNA 39 and LNA 100) and Chilkoot Pond
21	(CHP 33) peatlands.
22	
23	Macrofossil analysis

2	The evidence for impacts on the macrofossil record is variable between
3	sites and proxies. Although some changes are subtle, others are relatively
4	distinct (Fig. 2). Across tephras LNA 39 and MP 27 there is an increase in
5	Sphagnum. This is the beginning of a sustained increase in LNA 39 and a short
6	phase in MP 27. Across tephras ECR 162 and ST 12 there is a peak in UOM
7	coincident with the tephra peak and in ST 12 this is accompanied by a
8	distinctive peak of monocotyledons. Peaks in UOM were also seen noted
9	coincident with three other southeast Alaskan tephra layers (Payne, 2005).
10	While it is possible that increases in both UOM and Sphagnum could be related
11	to tephra deposition, the evidence for an increase in UOM is more convincing.
12	Profiles show peaks in UOM which are exactly coincident with tephra
13	deposition, and which are of high magnitude. A further six sites show little
14	evidence of any macrofossil changes coincident with the tephra (CHP 33, LNA
15	100, ECR 100, MP 10, MP 39 and ST 36). Across tephra ST 24 there is an increase
16	in Sphagnum and decrease in monocotyledons, but these changes occur below
17	the tephra peak.

18

19 Humification

20

The plots of transmission values across cryptotephras show that the glass concentration peak generally coincides with a trough in transmission values and is followed by increasing values (Fig. 2). In three of the plots this post-tephra

increase in values is either minor or short-lived (CHP 33, ECR 162 LNA 100), but
in two (ECR 100 and LNA 39) the increase marks the start of a prolonged trend.
Correcting for loss on ignition makes little difference to the trends.

4 All the plots of transmission data across visible tephra layers (MP 10, MP 5 27, MP 39, ST 12, ST 24 and ST 36) show notable increases in raw transmission 6 data but significant decreases in corrected transmission (Fig. 2). Increased raw 7 transmission values are expected because of the relative reduction in quantity 8 of organic material in the sample. Corrected values show transmission values 9 decreased by over 20% in some sites; these are very substantial changes and 10 should be easily visible with the naked eye. However, no such visible change 11 can be seen in these samples, indicating that this pattern is an artefact of the 12 methodology used rather than a genuine result. The correction factor applied 13 to the raw data is derived from experiments conducted by Blackford & 14 Chambers (1993) and usually makes minimal difference to the results when LOI 15 values are high and mineral content therefore low. The large disparity between 16 measured and corrected transmission values here suggests some aspect of humification measurement or correction is not working as it should where LOI 17 values are low. The humification results are thus considered unreliable. This 18 issue is discussed further below. 19

20

21 Testate amoebae

22

1 Impacts on testate amoebae are variable. Although some profiles show 2 convincing evidence for major change coincident with tephra deposition, other 3 profiles show little or no evidence (Fig. 3). Qualitatively there appear to be changes coincident with the tephra in several of the profiles- most distinctly in 4 ECR 162, ECR 100, LNA 100 and ST 12. The ANOSIM results show significant pre-5 6 and post-tephra differences in all but two of the profiles (Table 2). RANOSIM 7 values are moderately high, and highly significant for some profiles. However 8 these findings do not provide unequivocal evidence for volcanic impact because 9 the method does not account for antecedent trends. The zonation analysis 10 results (Table 3) show that all techniques indicate a zone boundary adjacent to 11 the tephra layer in four of the profiles: ECR 162, ECR 100, LNA 100 and ST 12. 12 Using the RDA methodology and the strictest test-partialling out macrofossil 13 data, humification and depth, the 'volcanic' variable explains a significant 14 proportion of variance in two profiles: ST 12 and LNA 100 (Table 4). 15 In the data from ST 12 the most notable changes are a significant drop in 16 abundance of Amphitrema flavum and a loss of Hyalosphenia papilio at the 17 tephra peak. In LNA 100, Amphitrema flavum abundance declines below the 18 tephra layer but is actually increasing at the position of the tephra peak. The 19 most distinct changes at this site are a loss of *Difflugia pulex* and increases in 20 Amphitrema stenostoma and Amphitrema wrightianum. Qualitative data 21 inspection and zonation analysis also suggest changes in the ECR 162 and ECR 22 100 profiles. In ECR 100 this change is characterised by a loss of A. flavum and 23 H. papilio and increases in Difflugia tuberculata and Phryganella acropodia. In

1 ECR 162 the data show a loss of A. flavum and increases in Bullinularia indica 2 and *Heleopera sphagni*. Results from these four profiles show little similarity in response. In ST 12 and ECR 100 there is a distinct loss of *H. papilio*, but in LNA 3 4 100 there is an apparent increase. In three of the profiles there is a loss of A. 5 *flavum*, however in LNA 100 percentages are actually increasing by the point of 6 the tephra peak. ECR 162 shows a large increase in *B. indica*, however, in LNA 7 100 there is a slight decline in this taxon. Overall, none of the major taxa show 8 a consistent response to tephra deposition in these four profiles. Table 5 shows 9 changes in several major taxa across the tephra layer in all the analysed peat 10 profiles. Changes are qualitatively assessed as an increase, decrease, no 11 change or that the taxon is not sufficiently abundant to make a judgment. 12 There is little consistency in these changes. For instance, A. flavum is judged to 13 increase in abundance across three tephras and decrease in abundance across 14 three others. On the strength of the evidence presented in this table, the most 15 consistent impacts are on A. catinus, which increases in abundance across all 16 four tephras in which a distinct change is noted. Equally, *P. acropodia* also 17 increases across all tephras where a change is noted; however, some of these 18 changes are indistinct.

19 There is no convincing evidence that certain testate amoebae species 20 are particularly affected by volcanic impacts. Although several species show 21 major changes coincident with tephra deposition these are generally not 22 replicated between profiles. This lack of replication suggests that changes are 23 not due to direct toxicity of volcanic products on some species. Instead it

seems probable that changes are due to a volcanically-forced environmental
 change affecting profiles differently, depending on their pre-existing state.

3 To investigate the possible mechanism of these changes, the species data have been quantitatively interpreted using transfer functions for two 4 5 environmental variables: DWT and pH. Initially, considering the four profiles in 6 which impacts seem most distinct (LNA 100, ST 12, ECR 100 and ECR 162), 7 there is little consistency in response. In terms of TI-DWT, the tephra peak 8 coincides with minor peaks in ECR 162 and ST 12, a minor trough in ECR 100, 9 and a declining trend in LNA 100. In terms of TI-pH, the tephra peak coincides 10 with a peak in LNA 100, a minor peak in ECR 100, an increasing trend in ST 12, 11 and little change or a minor trough in ECR 162. These results demonstrate an 12 inconsistent response. Many of the apparent changes do not exceed the 13 bootstrapped error estimates for the reconstructed values. One of the few 14 consistent changes to exceed the error estimates is a general increase in TI-pH 15 in ST 12. However, this trend starts from the base of the profile and cannot be attributed solely to a volcanic impact. A similar inconsistent TI-DWT and TI-pH 16 17 response is also seen in the other profiles.

Overall, the testate amoebae results show evidence for a volcanic impact in some profiles but not in others. The apparent testate amoebae response to a volcanic impact appears to vary between tephras and does not produce a characteristic response in terms of species changes or TI-DWT and TI-pH. The lack of a consistent increase or decrease in inferred pH or DWT

coincident with the tephra layer suggests that if the changes are volcanic in
 origin they are not due to the changing pH or surface moisture.

3

4 **Consistency between proxies**

5

6 Both macrofossils and testate amoebae (although most probably not 7 humification) show evidence for changes coincident with tephra layers in some 8 sites. Testate amoebae analysis is the most guantitative method used here. 9 Our results suggest that impacts are most distinct for profiles ST 12 and LNA 10 100, and may also be present for ECR 100 and ECR 162 although the evidence is 11 weaker. Macrofossil results show a distinct change across the ST 12 tephra with 12 a peak in monocotyledons and UOM. Macrofossil data across ECR 162 also show 13 a peak in UOM at the time of deposition of the tephra. No distinct macrofossil 14 change is noted in LNA 100 and in ECR 100 a major macrofossil change is offset from the tephra peak by 2 cm. The macrofossil results show the best evidence 15 16 for changes coincident with tephra deposition in profiles ECR 162, ST 12 and MP 17 27. In two of these, testate amoebae changes were also noted (ECR 162 and ST 18 12). In profile MP 27 there is only limited evidence for testate amoebae change 19 coincident with the tephra peak. In many cases impacts shown with one proxy-20 record are not necessarily shown by the other.

21

22 **DISCUSSION**

2 Is there evidence for a volcanic impact?

3

The palaeoecological results provide some evidence for changes 4 5 coincident with the deposition of the tephra layers, but these changes are not 6 present in all profiles. Evidence is most convincing for the two profiles in 7 which the volcanic impact model described a significant proportion of the 8 variance in the testate amoebae data. These results show that not only is 9 change occurring but also that this change is consistent with what would be 10 expected as a response to a volcanic impact. A study of this nature can never 11 exclude the possibility that changes may occur coincident with a volcanic 12 eruption but have an independent cause. However, the possibility of a 13 sufficiently large non-volcanic 'event' coinciding so exactly with an eruption 14 must be very small. The results show that in some profiles and with some 15 proxies there are changes coincident with tephra deposition that appear likely 16 to have a volcanic cause. However, these changes were not found across all 17 tephra layers and where they are found with one proxy-record they are not 18 always found in the other.

19

20 Causes of the impact

21

Several working hypotheses to explain any apparent impacts were outlined in
 the introduction. The changes noted in the palaeoecological record can now be
 evaluated against each of these hypotheses.

4

Indirect, climatic impact. Volcanic gases and aerosols might lead to
 climatic cooling and a consequent increase in mire surface wetness
 which might be detectable here as a decrease in TI-DWT, increase in
 transmission, and increase in *Sphagnum* if sufficiently long-lived. The
 evidence here does not support this hypothesis. There is no replicated
 change in TI-DWT or the macrofossil record consistent with an increase
 in surface wetness.

12

2. Physical impact of tephra deposition. Tephra deposition might affect
plant functioning, perhaps leading to a shift from bryophytes to
monocotyledons. Possible impacts on testate amoebae or humification
are unclear. The palaeoecological record does show an increase in
monocotyledons in some profiles (most noticeable in ST 12), but not in
others. Physical impacts seem unlikely given the low concentrations of
tephra in the southeast Alaskan sites.

- 20
- Impact on peatland hydrology. It is possible that tephra deposition
 might lead to a shift to either wetter or drier mire surface conditions.
 Although there is some evidence of a shift to drier-indicating macrofossil

- components there is no replicated change in TI-DWT coincident with
 tephra deposition.
- 3

4. Chemical impact of tephra and tephra-derived leachates. The 4 5 deposition of tephra might supply elements that could be either toxic or 6 limiting nutrients. Supply of nutrients might increase the abundance of 7 more nutrient-demanding species of plant and amoebae; increasing the 8 abundance of monocotyledons relative to Sphagnum and perhaps 9 increasing UOM abundance if decomposition was enhanced. The 10 macrofossil data could conceivably be explained by changes in the 11 nutrient supply although there is no obvious shift to testate amoebae 12 taxa typical of more nutrient-rich conditions.

13

14 5. Volcanic gas and acids. Volcanic gas and acids might damage peatland 15 plants perhaps leading to an increase in monocotyledons and UOM 16 relative to bryophytes. The macrofossil data do provide some support for this suggestion. The impacts on testate amoebae are harder to predict. 17 18 A change in microbial community due to sulphate deposition (Gauci et 19 al. 2005) might lead to changes in the food source of some amoebae 20 species. It is conceivable that these changes in the microbial food web 21 could explain the testate amoebae response. Volcanic acid deposition 22 could also lead to a change in peatland pH but the results here do not 23 show a consistent change in TI-pH.

Taken overall, the palaeoecological evidence allows us to rule out the
climatic and hydrological impact hypotheses, and the physical impact of tephra
also appears unlikely. The chemical impact of tephra and the impact of
volcanic gases and acids cannot be as easily ruled out and provide the most
likely explanation for the apparent impacts observed in some profiles.

8

9 These results show a variable response to tephra deposition. In some 10 sites and with some tephra layers impacts appear quite distinct; however, in 11 other locations no impacts are evident by these methods. There are several 12 possible factors which could have contributed to these differing results.

13 One important factor might be the volume of an eruption. Larger-volume 14 eruptions will produce more tephra which may travel further and which may 15 have more adsorbed acids and other compounds. The results provide some 16 indication that this may be an important factor. Of the four greatest apparent 17 impacts (ECR 162, ECR 100, LNA 100 and ST 12), several tephras are from notably large-volume eruptions. The White River eruption, which formed the 18 19 LNA 100 tephra, probably the Aniakchak eruption which formed the ECR 162 20 tephra, and perhaps the eruption of Augustine which is the most likely source 21 of the ECR 100 tephra, may all be large-volume eruptions. However there is no 22 evidence to suggest that the eruption that formed the ST 12 tephra was of

particularly large magnitude, and apparent impacts of this tephra are
 significant. Therefore the role of eruption size is uncertain.

3 A second potentially important factor is the magnitude of the tephra layer as represented by the thickness of the visible layer or glass shard 4 5 concentration (Table 1). Thicker tephra layers are likely to have greater 6 impacts on peatlands in terms of tephra leachates and greater physical 7 impacts. Of the tephras associated with greatest impacts here, however, there 8 is no clear affect of tephra layer magnitude. The thicker visible tephras in 9 south-central Alaska are not associated with greater apparent impacts than the 10 cryptotephras in southeast Alaska. It therefore seems unlikely that this factor is 11 critical.

A further important factor is the distance of the site from the source eruption. At greater distances, tephra may have more adsorbed volatiles (Rose 14 1977, Oskarsson 1980, Smith et al. 1993). Therefore, distal tephras could be associated with greater impacts than proximal layers regardless of the size of the layer. While some of the greatest apparent impacts are associated with tephras at great distance (e.g. ECR 162), impacts of the proximal ST 12 tephra also appear considerable.

19 It is also possible that impacts may be dependent on the season of an 20 eruption. Modern studies of distal volcanic impacts have shown that plants are 21 generally less sensitive to eruptions outside the growing season (Zobel & Antos 22 1997, Hotes et al. 2004). In winter, plants will be senescent and higher rainfall 23 may serve to rapidly remove volcanic pollutants. Impacts may be particularly

1 dependent on snow cover. Snow will stop volcanic products coming into direct 2 contact with the peat surface. In spring snowmelt, volcanic products contained in the snow will be heavily diluted and may be removed by surface water flow. 3 The sites in this study are snow-covered for much of the year; meteorological 4 5 data show an average of around five months annual snow cover at Juneau and 6 Haines and six months at Kenai. It is therefore possible that snow cover is a 7 significant cause of differential impacts. This factor is difficult to quantify 8 because there is very limited evidence for the season of ancient eruptions. An 9 exception to this is the White River Ash (Eastern Lobe), which is correlated 10 with the LNA 100 layer in this study. West & Donaldson (2002) presented 11 geomorphological evidence that this tephra was produced in late autumn or 12 very early winter. If the impacts of winter eruptions are reduced, impacts 13 across LNA 100 should be minimal but this is actually one of the two tephras 14 associated with the most conclusive impacts. However, West & Donaldson 15 (2002) worked on sites that are both further north and at higher elevation than Point Lena and it is therefore conceivable that Point Lena could still have been 16 unfrozen and snow-free at the time of the White River Ash eruption. 17

18 Tephra impacts may also be related to the nature of the site. Any one or 19 more of site vegetation, hydrology and nutrient status could affect impacts. 20 However, there is no clear evidence that the nature of the site is a significant 21 factor. The most distinct apparent impacts were on the Point Lena and Sterling 22 sites. These peatlands are quite different: Point Lena is an ombrotrophic raised 23 bog whereas Sterling is a kettle-hole peatland. Different tephras deposited on

the same peatland can have a quite different scale of impacts. Although ST 12 is associated with impacts, two other tephras of similar thickness (ST 24 and ST 36) in the Sterling profile are not. This result suggests that the variability of impacts is more likely to be due to some other factor and is not closely related to the nature of the site.

6 A further important factor may be the atmospheric conditions at the 7 time of eruption. Analogues with anthropogenic air pollutants show the 8 potential for prevailing meteorological conditions to lead to highly localised 9 deposition of acidic pollutants (Davies et al. 1984). The interaction of 10 meteorological conditions and topography could have led to localized heavy 11 deposition of volcanic acids at some sites. The impacts of the same eruption at 12 a similar distance could therefore have differed greatly through a region. This 13 hypothesis could provide an explanation for the different apparent impacts but 14 is difficult to evaluate directly, especially as for most tephras we do not have 15 duplicate data from different sites.

16 In addition to these reasons why different tephras in different sites may or may not be associated with detectable impacts there are several 17 18 methodological issues which may have led to impacts not being identified in 19 this study. The most significant of these is sampling resolution. The sites 20 studied here represent a range of peatland types and experience different 21 climatic conditions. The peatlands therefore have different peat accumulation 22 rates and these accumulation rates have changed through the Holocene as the 23 mires have developed. The period of time represented by the sub-samples

1 varies from approximately 8 to 50 years. It is therefore possible that the lack of 2 detectable volcanic impacts in some of these sites is simply because the sampling resolution is insufficiently high. However, the two tephras which are 3 4 associated with the most distinct impacts (ST 12 and LNA 100) are not the sites 5 with the highest sampling resolution. Low resolution may account for the 6 failure to detect more distinct impacts in the Eaglecrest site which has a 7 relatively low accumulation rate but cannot explain the differences within the 8 other sites. An additional complication is the possibility that accumulation 9 rates may have varied in response to volcanic impacts (Caseldine et al. 1998, 10 Blackford and Payne, unpublished data).

Another complication is the complexity of some shard concentration profiles. In two of the sites (LNA 39 and ST 24) the tephra profile was multimodal and it was difficult to determine where the isochron should be located. In another site (MP 27) the tephra layer itself precluded counting testate amoebae from a critical sample. These problems may hinder the ability to accurately identify any relationship between the tephra peak and palaeoecological change.

The above discussions have assumed that a volcanic impact will be represented starting coincident with or directly above the tephra layer, but this may not be the case. Firstly, location of the isochron may be complicated by post-depositional movement of tephra through the peat. Experimental work suggests that it is unlikely for the tephra *peak* to move (Payne et al. 2005) but the possibility cannot be totally excluded. Secondly, it is possible that a

1 response to volcanic impacts may be lagged behind the event, although there is 2 no obvious mechanism to support this. A final possibility is for a change in the palaeoecological record to start below the position of the tephra layer because 3 4 amoebae may be alive some distance below the surface (Heal 1962, Corbett 5 1973) and can respond rapidly to environmental change (Lousier 1974, Clarke 6 2003). Although these mechanisms are possible, there is no convincing evidence 7 that they have occurred in this study. In the sites in which distinct impacts 8 were noted such impacts take place directly coincident with the tephra layer. 9 In other profiles there are rarely any major changes either just below or just 10 above the tephra layer.

Although methodological issues may have led to some problems in identifying impacts in some sites it does seem clear that there are real differences in the magnitude of impacts between sites and tephras. The most likely mechanisms determining why some tephras produce detectable impacts and others do not would seem to be the meteorological conditions at the time of eruption and the season of eruption.

17

18 **Problems with the humification technique**

19

The humification results discussed above appear to be unreliable across visible tephra layers. Readings that would normally represent significant, visible colour shifts in the peat stratigraphy were obtained across almost homogeneous core sections where tephras were present. A possible explanation
1 is that some tephra particles were small enough to pass through the filter 2 paper used in preparing the alkaline extract, and thereby reduced light transmission values. The filter paper used retains particles greater than 11 µm 3 4 diameter, but particle-size analysis showed a significant proportion of tephra 5 particles were smaller than this size (Payne & Blackford 2008). To test this 6 hypothesis, humification was re-measured across one of the tephra layers using 7 a higher standard of filtration. The ST36 tephra was selected, humification was 8 measured as described previously but using double quantities (100 ml) of NaOH, 9 so results are not directly comparable to those presented previously. Samples 10 were filtered using the standard method (Whatman qualitative 1 filter paper), 11 using a finer filter paper that retains particles greater than 8 µm diameter 12 (Whatman 40) and using centrifugation (15 minutes at 4000 RPM). The pattern 13 of transmission with the standard method shows the same trends as measured 14 previously (Fig. 4). With centrifugation values were increased by 1-2%, 15 particularly towards the centre of the sequence and with the finer filter paper 16 values were elevated by up to 5%, although this was variable over the sequence (Fig. 4). The elevated values in the centrifuged and finer-filtered samples 17 18 strongly suggest that tephra particles penetrated the filter paper used in the 19 standard method. When the transmission values with the finer filter paper are 20 corrected for LOI, the large drop in corrected values present with the normal 21 filter was eliminated (Fig. 4).

The tephra layers in southeast Alaska are much smaller and correction for LOI makes very little difference to the values. However, in common with

1 the humification profiles across the visible tephra layers, most of the profiles 2 across the cryptotephra layers also show reduced transmission values 3 coincident with the tephra peaks, consistent with an affect of tephra 4 penetrating the filter paper. Although tephra shards are less abundant in these 5 cryptotephra layers, the particle size is smaller, and so a greater proportion of 6 particles may pass through the filter paper. In some profiles there are 7 humification changes which are not consistent with tephra penetrating the 8 filter paper. However, these changes are the exception- in the majority of 9 profiles the most distinct change is reduced transmission coincident with the 10 tephra peak. Several previous studies have measured humification across 11 tephra layers using this methodology (e.g. Caseldine et al. 1998, Langdon & 12 Barber 2004, Ellershaw 2004). The results shown here suggest that reduced 13 transmission values coincident with tephra layers might be due to this 14 methodological problem rather than a palaeoenvironmental change.

15

16 Volcanic impacts on peatlands: wider implications

17 This study provides evidence that volcanoes have the potential to affect 18 distant peatlands. Apparent impacts can be detected in records of past 19 microbial communities and, probably, past plant communities. The mechanism 20 of these impacts is not certain but may relate to deposition of volcanic acids 21 and other compounds adhering to tephra particles. The scale of impacts is 22 variable between tephras; such variability may be due to the season of the 23 eruption and the meteorological conditions prevalent at that time. While

ecological experimentation can indicate the *potential* for volcanic eruptions to
affect peatlands (Hotes *et al.* 2004, Payne et al. 2005), direct observations or
palaeoecological studies are required to show that this actually takes place.
This study provides the most intensive palaeoecological investigation of distal
volcanic impacts on peatlands and the results have several implications for
peatlands and the wider environment.

7 Perhaps the most significant possible implications relate to the volcano-8 climate system. Sulphate additions to peatlands have been shown to suppress 9 methanogenesis (Gauci and Dise 2002). It is possible that deposition of 10 volcanogenic sulphate on peatlands could suppress methane emissions, 11 potentially reducing the atmospheric concentrations of an important 12 greenhouse gas and reinforcing volcanic induced cooling (Stevenson et al. 2003, Gauci *et al.* 2005). This study provides the first direct evidence for the ability 13 14 of distal volcanic eruptions to affect peatland microbial communities at a 15 higher trophic level. Although it is not clear how testate amoebae changes 16 might relate to changes in sulphate reducing bacteria and methanogenic 17 archea, the results show microbial community changes associated with tephra 18 deposition even at very distal locations. This process could have significant 19 implications for the volcano-climate system.

20 Peatlands constitute a large resource of biodiversity including many 21 species that are specially adapted to the unique physical environment of 22 peatlands. Volcanic impacts could potentially have significant implications for 23 many rare species. If impacts are as severe as implied by some ecological work

(Payne et al. 2005) then large impacts on sensitive plant populations could
result. Knock-on impacts on insects and vertebrates are also possible which
may have implications for populations of these species. Volcanic impacts might
also have implications for human exploitation of peatlands such as peatland
arboriculture, cranberry, or *Sphagnum* cultivation.

- 6
- 7 Implications for peatland palaeoclimatology
- 8

9 The results detailed here have important implications for peatland 10 palaeoecology. Changes across tephra layers suggest changes in key proxy-11 climate records potentially can be caused by a mechanism independent of 12 climatic change. It is possible that such changes could be mistaken for a short-13 term climatic change. Studies are increasingly using tephra layers to correlate 14 sequences in different sites (e.g. Landon & Barber 2004, van den Bogaard et al. 15 2002) and therefore possibly risk mistaking volcanic impacts for simultaneous 16 environmental changes between sites. While there is no particular indication 17 that this is the case in the existing studies it is essential that the possibility be evaluated. Tephra cannot be automatically assumed to be an inert marker in a 18 19 palaeoecological sequence. A search for visible tephra layers and cryptotephas 20 should be undertaken routinely in palaeoecological studies from areas within a 21 zone of potential tephra deposition.

22

23 Future research directions

2 Further research is required in a number of areas. As well as replicating this work in other field areas and with other tephras, studies could also take a 3 variety of different approaches. Palaeoecological studies could employ a wider 4 5 variety of proxies (chironomids, oribatid mites, diatoms, pollen and spores), 6 geochemical analyses of peat, dendrological records from peatlands. A high as 7 possible sampling resolution is likely to be essential to identify short-lived 8 impacts. Modern ecological studies could attempt to monitor the after-effects 9 of a real volcanic eruption. In regions such as Alaska and Kamchatka small- to 10 medium-scale volcanic events are relatively frequent and peatlands are 11 numerous so opportunities for studies of this type arrive with comparative 12 regularity. The difficulty with this approach is the lack of baseline, pre-13 eruption data. Because of this problem, and the requirement to study 14 responses to a broad range of scenarios, experimental studies are also 15 valuable. Previous studies of this type are limited by their experimental 16 scenarios; future studies should liase with volcanologists and 17 tephrochronologists to establish the most likely scenarios for past and future 18 volcanic impacts. Future studies should also attempt to investigate a wider 19 range of variables including changes to gas flux, microbial communities, 20 porewater chemistry and measures of plant health and abundance. 21 22 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was primarily funded by a QMUL Westfield Studentship to RJP and 1 2 written up during a University of Manchester Humanities Research Fellowship. 3 Fieldwork funding was provided by grants from The Royal Society, University of London Central Research Fund, Dudley Stamp Memorial Fund (2), Bill Bishop 4 5 Memorial Fund, Knowle Hill School Fund and Quaternary Research Association. 6 Thanks to Cathy Connor (University of Alaska Southeast) for discussion of field-7 sites in southeast Alaska and to Kristi Wallace, Tina Neal (USGS) and Richard VanderHoek (Alaska Department of Natural Resources) for discussion of Alaskan 8 9 tephras.

1	
2	REFERENCES
3	
4	Aaby B., Digerfeldt G. 1986. Sampling techniques for lakes and bogs. In:
5	Berglund B. (Ed.), Handbook of Holocene palaeoecology and palaeohydrology.
6	Wiley, Chichester, UK.
7	
8	Aaby B., Tauber H. 1975. Rates of peat formation in relation to degree of
9	humification and local environment, as shown by studies of a raised bog in
10	Denmark. Boreas. 4, 1-15.
11	
12	Baillie M., Munro M. 1988. Irish tree rings, Santorini and volcanic dust veils.
13	Nature. 332, 344-346.
14	
15	Barber K. E., Chambers F. M., Maddy D., Stoneman R., Brew J.S. 1994. A
16	sensitive high-resolution record of late Holocene climatic change from a raised
17	bog in northern England. The Holocene. 4, 198-205.
18	
19	Barker P., Telford R., Merdaci O., Williamson D., Taieb M., Vincens A., Gibert
20	E. 2000. The sensitivity of a Tanzanian crater lake to catastrophic tephra input
21	and four Millennia of climate change. The Holocene. 10, 303-10.

1	Begét J. E., Stihler S. D., Stone D. B. 1994. A 500-year-long record of tephra
2	falls from Redoubt volcano and other volcanoes in upper Cook Inlet, Alaska.
3	Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research. 62, 55-67.
4	
5	Bennett K., Boreham S., Sharp M., Switsur V. 1992. Holocene history of
6	environment, vegetation and human settlement on Catta Ness, Lunnasting,
7	Shetland. Journal of Ecology. 80, 241-273.
8	
9	Birks H. J. B. 1994. Did Icelandic volcanic eruptions influence the post-glacial
10	vegetational history of the British Isles? Trends in Evolution and Ecology. 9,
11	312-314.
12	
13	Bjarnason Á. H. 1991. Vegetation on lava fields in the Hekla area, Iceland. Acta
14	Phytogeographica Suecica 77. University of Uppsala. Sweden.
15	
16	Blackford J., Chambers F. 1993. Determining the degree of peat decomposition
17	for peat-based palaeoclimatic studies. International Peat Journal. 5, 7-24.
18	
19	Blackford J., Edwards K., Dugmore A., Cook G., Buckland, P. 1992. Icelandic
20	volcanic ash and mid-Holocene Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) pollen decline in
21	northern Scotland. The Holocene. 2, 260-265.

1	Blackford, J., 1997. Volcanic Ash in Peat. In: Parkyn, L., Stoneman, R.,
2	Ingram, H.A.P. (Eds.) .Conserving Peatlands: Proceedings of the International
3	Peatlands Conference. CAB International, Oxford, UK pp. 74-81.
4	
5	Caseldine C., Hatton J., Huber U., Chiverrell R., Woolley N. 1998. Assessing the
6	impact of volcanic activity on mid-Holocene climate in Ireland: the need for
7	replicate data. The Holocene. 8, 105-111.
8	Caseldine C. J., Baker A., Charman D. J., Hendon D. 2000. A comparative study
9	of optical properties of NaOH peat extracts: implications for humication
10	studies. The Holocene. 10, 649-658.
11	Charman D.J., West S., Kelly A., Grattan J. 1995. Environmental change and
12	tephra deposition: the Strath of Kildonan, Northern Scotland. Journal of
13	Archaeological Science. 22, 799-809.
14	
15	Charman D., Hendon D., Woodland W. 2000. The Identification of testate
16	amoebae (protozoa: rhizopoda) from British oligotrophic peats. Quaternary
17	Research Association Technical Guide Series. Cambridge, UK.
18	
19	Charman, D. 2001. Biostratigraphic and palaeoenvironmental applications of
20	testate amoebae. Quaternary Science Reviews. 20, 1753-1764.

1	Clague J. J., Evans S. G., Rampton V. N., Woodsworth G.J. 1995. Improved age
2	estimates for the White River and Bridge River tephras, western Canada.
3	Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences. 32, 1172-1179.
4	
5	Clarke K. J. 2003. Guide to the Identification of Soil Protozoa - Testate
6	Amoebae. Special publication 12. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside,
7	UK.
8	
9	Clarkson B. D., Clarkson B. R. 1994. Vegetation decline following recent
10	eruptions on White Island (Whakaari), Bay of Plenty, New Zealand. New
11	Zealand Journal of Botany. 32, 21-36.
12	
13	Clymo R. 1983. Peat. In: Gore, A. (Ed.) Ecosystems of the World. 5A.
14	Mires:Swamp, Bog, Fen and Moor. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
15	
16	Cook R., Barron J., Papendick R., Williams G. 1980. Impact on agriculture of
17	the Mount St. Helens eruptions. Science. 211, 16-22.
18	
19	Corbett S.A. 1973. An illustrated introduction to the Testate Rhizopods in
20	Sphagnum, with special reference to the area around Malham Tarn, Yorkshire.
21	Field Studies. 3, 801-838.
22	

1	Crowley S., Dufek D., Stanton R., Ryer T. 1994. The effects of volcanic ash
2	deposition on a peat-forming environment: Environmental disruption and
3	taphonomic consequences. Palaios. 9, 158-174.
4	
5	Dachnowski-Stokes A. 1941. Peat resources in Alaska. US. Department of
6	Agriculture Technical Bulletin. 769, 1-84.
7	
8	Davies T. D., Abrahams P. W., Trander M., Blackwood, I., Bimlecombe P.,
9	Vincent C.E. 1984. Black acidic snow in the remote Scottish Highlands. Nature.
10	312, 58-61.
11	
12	Deflandres G. 1929. Le genre Centropyxis STEIN. Archiv fur Protistenkunde. 67,
13	322-375
14	
15	Deflandre G. 1936. Etude monographique sur le genre Nebela Leidy (Rhizopoda-
16	Testaceae). Annales de Protistologie. 5, 201-285.
17	
18	Delmelle P., Stix J., Bourque C., Baxter P., Garcia-Alvarez J., Barquero J.
19	2001. Dry deposition and heavy acid loading in the vicinity of Masaya volcano, a
20	major sulfur and chlorine source in Nicaragua. Environmental Science ${f a}$
21	Technology. 35, 1289-1293.

1	Delmelle P., Stix J., Baxter P., Garcia-Alvarez J., Barguero J. 2002.
2	Atmospheric dispersion, environmental effects and potential health hazard
3	associated with the low-altitude gas plume of Masaya volcano, Nicaragua.
4	Bulletin of Volcanology. 64, 423-434.
5	
6	Dugmore A. 1989. Icelandic volcanic ash in Scotland. Scottish Geographical
7	Magazine. 105, 168-172.
8	
9	Dugmore A., Larsen G., Newton A., Sugden D. 1992. Geochemical stability of
10	fine-grained silicic Holocene tephra in Iceland and Scotland. Journal of
11	Quaternary Science. 7, 173-183.
12	
13	Dugmore A., Larsen G. & Newton A. 1995. Seven tephra isochrones in Scotland.
14	The Holocene. 5, 257-266.
15	
16	Dwyer R. B., Mitchell F. J. G. 1997. Investigation of the environmental impact
17	of remote volcanic activity on north Mayo, Ireland, during the mid-Holocene.
18	The Holocene. 7, 113-118.
19	
20	Eastwood W., Tibby J., Roberts N., Birks H., Lamb H. 2002. The environmental
21	impact of the Minoan eruption of Santorini (Thera): statistical analysis of
22	palaeoecological data from Gölhisar, southwest Turkey. The Holocene. 12, 431-
23	44.

1	
2	Edwards K. J., Buckland P. C., Blackford J. J., Dugmore A. J., Sadler J. P.
3	1994. The impact of tephra: Proximal and distal studies of Icelandic eruptions,
4	In: Stötter, J., Wilhelm, F. (Eds.), Environmental change in Iceland. Műnchener
5	Geographische Abhandlungen Reihe B. Band. B12, 79-99.
6	
7	Edwards K. J., Dugmore A. J., Blackford, J. J. 2004. Vegetational response to
8	tephra deposition and land-use change in Iceland: a modern analogue and
9	multiple working hypothesis approach to tephropalynology. Polar Record. 40,
10	113-120.
11	
12	Eggler W. A. 1948 Plant communities in the vicinity of the volcano El Paricutin,
13	Mexico, after two and a half years of eruption. Ecology. 29, 415-436.
14	
15	Ellershaw M. 2004. Holocene climate change in the North Atlantic region:
16	evidence from peat deposits. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of London.
17	London. UK.
18	
19	Ferguson P., Lee J., Bell J. 1978. Effects of sulphur pollutants on the growth of
20	Sphagnum species. Environmental Pollution. 16, 151-162.
21	
22	Ferguson P., Lee J. A. 1980. Some effects of bisulphate and sulphate on the
23	growth of Sphagnum species in the field. Environmental Pollution. 21, 59-71.

2 Gauci V., Dise N. 2002. Controls on suppression of methane flux from a peat 3 bog subjected to simulated acid rain sulfate deposition. Global Biogeochemical 4 Cycles. 16, 1-11. 5 6 Gauci V., Dise N., Blake S. 2005. Long-term suppression of wetland methane 7 flux following a pulse of simulated acid rain. Geophysical Research Letters. 32, 8 L12804. 9 10 Gehrels M. J., Lowe D. J., Hazell Z., Newnham R. M. 2006. A continuous 5300-11 yr Holocene cryptotephrostratigraphic record from northern New Zealand and 12 implications for tephrochronology and volcanic hazard assessment. 13 The Holocene. 16, 173-187. 14 Gervais B., MacDonald G. 2001. Tree-ring and summer-temperature response to 15 16 volcanic aerosol forcing at the northern tree-line, Kola Peninsula, Russia. The 17 Holocene. 11, 499-505. 18 19 Giles T., Newnham R., Lowe D., Munro A. 1999. Impact of tephra fall and 20 environmental change: a 1000 year record from Matakana Island, Bay of Plenty, 21 North Island, New Zealand. In Firth, C., McGuire, W. (Eds.) Volcanoes in the 22 Quaternary. Geological Society, London, UK. 23

1	Gorham E., Bayley S., Schindler D. 1984. Ecological effects of acid deposition
2	upon peatlands: A neglected field in acid rain research. Canadian Journal of
3	Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 41, 1256-1268.
4	
5	Gorham E., Lee J. A., Anderson J., Bayley S. E., Clymo R. S., Havas M., Jeglum,
6	J., Norton S. A., Schindler D. W., Urban N.R. 1987. Group summary report:
7	Wetlands. In: Hutchinson, T. C., Meema, K. M. (Eds.), Effects of atmospheric
8	pollutants on forests, wetlands and agricultural ecosystems. NATO ASI Series
9	Vol.G16. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
10	
11	Grattan J., Charman D. 1994. Non-climatic factors and the environmental
12	impact of volcanic volatiles: implications of the Laki fissure eruption of
13	AD1783. The Holocene. 4, 101-106.
14	
15	Grattan J., Gilbertson D. 1994. Acid-loading from Icelandic tephra falling on
16	acidified ecosystems as a key to understanding archaeological and
17	environmental stress in northern and western Britain. Journal of Archaeological
18	Science. 21, 851-859.
19	
20	Grattan J., Pyatt F. 1994. Acid damage to vegetation following the Laki fissure
21	eruption in 1783- an historical review. The Science of the Total Environment.
22	151, 241-247.
23	

1	Grattan J., Brayshay M., Sadler J. 1998. Modelling the distal impacts of past
2	volcanic gas emissions. Quaternaire. 9, 25-35.
3	
4	Grattan J., Gilbertson D., Charman D. 1999. Modelling the impact of Icelandic
5	volcanic eruptions upon prehistoric societies and environment of northern and
6	western Britain. In: Firth, C., McGuire, W. (Eds.), Volcanoes in the Quaternary.
7	Geological Society, London, UK.
8	
9	Griggs R. 1919. The character of the eruption as indicated by its effects on
10	nearby vegetation. Ohio Journal of Science. 19, 173-209.
11	
12	Griggs R. 1922. The Valley of Ten Thousand Smokes. National Geographic
13	Society, Washington D.C., USA.
14	
15	Grospietsch T. 1964. Monographische studie der gattung Hyalosphenia stein.
16	Eingegangen. 26, 211-241.
17	
18	Hall VA. 2003. Assessing the impact of Icelandic volcanism on vegetation
19	systems in the north of Ireland in the fifth and sixth millennia BC. The
20	Holocene. 13, 131-138.

1	Hall V., Pilcher J., McCormac F. 1994. Icelandic volcanic ash and the mid-
2	Holocene Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) decline in the north of Ireland: no
3	correlation. The Holocene. 4, 79-83.
4	
5	Hammer Ø., Harper D.A.T., Ryan P.D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics
6	Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. Palaeontologia Electronica.
7	4. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm .
8	
9	Hang T., Wastegård S., Veski S., Heinsalu A. 2006. First discovery of
10	cryptotephra in Holocene peat deposits of Estonia, eastern Baltic. Boreas. 35,
11	644-649.
12	
13	Heal O. 1962. The abundance and micro-distribution of testate amoebae
14	(Rhizopoda:Testacea) in Sphagnum. Oikos. 13, 35-47.
15	
16	Hendon D., Charman D. 1997. The preparation of testate amoebae (Protozoa:
17	Rhizopoda) samples from peat. The Holocene. 7, 199-205.
18	
19	Hodder A. P. W., De Lange P.J., Lowe D.J. 1991. Dissolution and depletion of
20	ferromagnesian minerals from Holocene tephra layers in an acid bog, New
21	Zealand, and implications for tephra correlation. Journal of Quaternary
22	Science. 6, 195-208.
23	

1	Holmes J., Hall V., Wilson P. 1999. Volcanoes and peat bogs. Geology Today.
2	15, 60-63.
3	
4	Hotes S., Poschlod P., Sakai H., Inoue T. 2001. Vegetation, hydrology, and
5	development of a coastal mire in Hokkaido, Japan, affected by flooding and
6	tephra deposition. Canadian Journal of Botany. 79, 341-361.
7	
8	Hotes S., Poschlod P., Takahashi H., Grootjans A.P., Adema E. 2004. Effects of
9	tephra deposition on mire vegetation: a field experiment in Hokkaido, Japan.
10	Journal of Ecology. 92, 624-634.
11	
12	Hotes S., Poshcold P., Takahashi H. 2006. Effects of volcanic activity on mire
13	development: case studies from Hokkaido, northern Japan. The Holocene. 16,
14	561-573.
15	
16	Juggins S. 1992. The ZONE program, version 1.2. University of Newcastle.
17	Newcastle Upon Tyne. UK.
18	
19	Juggins S. 2003. C2 user guide. Software for ecological and palaeoecological
20	data analysis and visualisation. University of Newcastle, Newcastle Upon Tyne.
21	UK.
22	

1	Kilian R., Hohner M., Biester H., Wallrabe-Adams H. J., Stern C. R. 2003.
2	Holocene peat and lake sediment tephra record from the southernmost Chilean
3	Andes (53-55 deg S). Revista Geologica de Chile. 30, 23-37.
4	
5	Kovar-Eder J., Haas M., Hofmann C-C., Meller B. 2001. An early Miocene plant
6	assemblage severely influenced by a volcanic eruption, Styria, Austria.
7	Palaeontology. 44, 575-600.
8	
9	Kuhry P. 1988. A palaeobotanical and palynological study of Holocene peat
10	from the El Bosque mire, located in a volcanic area of the Cordillera Central of
11	Colombia. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology. 55, 19-72.
12	
13	Langdon P. G., Barber K. E. 2004. Snapshots in time: precise correlations of
14	peat based proxy climate records in Scotland using mid-Holocene tephras. The
15	Holocene. 14, 21-33.
16	
17	Lerbekmo J. F., Campbell F. A. 1969. Distribution, composition and source of
18	the White River Ash, Yukon Territory. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences. 6,
19	109-116.
20	
21	LeGuern F., Faivre-Pierret R. X., Garrec J. P. 1988. Atmospheric contribution
22	of volcanic sulphur vapor and its influence on the surrounding vegetation.
23	Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research. 35, 173-178.

1	
2	Lotter A., Birks H. 1993. The impact of the Laacher See tephra on terrestrial
3	and aquatic ecosystems in the Black Forest, southern Germany. Journal of
4	Quaternary Science. 8, 263-276.
5	
6	Lousier J.D. 1974. Response of soil testacea to soil moisture fluctuations. Soil
7	Biology and Biochemistry. 6, 235-239.
8	
9	Lowe D. J., Hunt J. B. 2001. A summary of terminology used in tephra-related
10	studies. In: Juvigné, E. T., Raynal, J-P. (Eds.), Tephras: Chronology,
11	Archaeology. CDERAD éditeur, Goudet. Les Dossiers de l'Archéo-Logis. 1, 17-22.
12	
13	Luftenegger G., Petz W., Berger H., Foissner W., Adams H. 1988. Morphological
14	and biometric characterization of twenty-four soil testate amoebae (Protozoa,
15	Rhizopoda). Archiv fur Protistenkunde. 136, 153-189.
16	
17	McCormick M. P., Thomason L. W., Trepte C. R. 1995. Atmospheric effects of
18	the Mt Pinatubo eruption. Nature. 373, 399-404.
19	
20	Mehringer P. J.Jr., Arno S. F., Petersen K. L. 1977. Postglacial history of Lost
21	Trail Pass Bog, Bitterroot Mountains, Montana. Arctic and Alpine Research. 9,
22	345-368.

1	Newnham R.M., Lowe D.J., Matthews B. 1998. A late-Holocene and prehistoric
2	record of environmental change from Lake Waikaremoana, New Zealand. The
3	Holocene. 8, 443-454.
4	
5	Ogden C.G. 1983. Observations on the systematics of the genus Difflugia in
6	Britain (Rhizopoda, Protozoa). Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History
7	(Zoology). 44, 1-73.
8	
9	Ogden C. G., Hedley R. H. 1980. An atlas of freshwater testate amoebae.
10	British Museum (Natural History) and Oxford University Press, London and
11	Oxford, UK.
12	
13	Oskarsson N. 1980. The interaction between volcanic gases and tephra: flourine
14	adhering to tephra of the 1970 Hekla eruption. Journal of Volcanology and
15	Geothermal Research. 8, 251-266.
16	
17	Overbeck F. 1947. Studien zur hochmoorentwicklung in Nierdersachen und die
18	Bestimmung der humifizierung bei stratigaphisch-pollenanalytischen
19	mooruntersuchunsen. Planta. 35, 1-56.
20	
21	Parnell R., Burke K. 1990. Impacts of acid emissions from Nevado del Ruiz
22	volcano, Colombia, on selected terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Journal of
23	Volcanology and Geothermal Research. 42, 69-88.

2	Payne R. 2005. Peatlands, volcanoes and climate: Ecological and
3	palaeoecological studies in Alaska and Scotland. Unpublished PhD thesis.
4	University of London. London. UK.
5	
6	Payne R. J., Blackford J. J. 2004. Distal tephra deposits in southeast Alaskan
7	peatlands. In: Emond D., Lewis L. (Eds.), Yukon Exploration & Geology 2003.
8	Yukon Geological Survey, Whitehorse, Canada.
9	
10	Payne R. J., Blackford J. J. 2005. Simulating the impacts of distal volcanic
11	products upon peatlands in northern Britain: an experimental study on the Moss
12	of Achnacree, Scotland. Journal of Archaeological Science. 32, 989-1001.
13	
14	Payne R., Blackford J. 2008. Extending the late Holocene tephrochronology of
15	the Kenai Peninsula, Alaska. Arctic.
16	
17	Payne R., Kilfeather A., van der Meer J., Blackford J. 2005. Experiments on the
18	taphonomy of tephra in peatlands. Suo. 56, 147-156.
19	
20	Payne R., Kishaba K., Blackford J., Mitchell E. 2006. The ecology of testate
21	amoebae in southcentral Alaskan peatlands: Building transfer function models
22	for palaeoenvironmental inference. The Holocene. 16, 403-414.
23	

1	Payne R., Blackford J., van der Plicht J. 2008. Using microtephras to extend					
2	regional tephrochronologies: An example from southeast Alaska and					
3	implications for hazard assessment. Quaternary Research.					
4						
5	Pilcher J., Hall V. 1992. Towards a tephrochronology for the Holocene of the					
6	north of Ireland. The Holocene. 2, 255-259.					
7						
8	Proctor M., Maltby E. 1998. Relations between acid atmospheric deposition and					
9	the surface pH of some ombrotrophic bogs in Britain. Journal of Ecology. 86,					
10	329-340.					
11						
12	Rampino M., Self S. 1982. Historic eruptions of Tambora (1815), Krakatau					
13	(1883) and Agung (1963), their stratospheric aerosols and climatic impact.					
14	Quaternary Research. 18, 127-143.					
15						
16	Rampino M., Self S. 1984. Sulphur-rich volcanic eruptions and stratospheric					
17	aerosols. Nature. 310, 677-679.					
18						
19	Rigg G. 1914. Notes on the flora of some Alaskan Sphagnum bogs. The Plant					
20	World. 17, 167-182.					
21						
22	Rose W. I. 1977. Scavenging of volcanic aerosol by ash: atmospheric and					
23	volcanological implications. Geology. 5, 621-624.					

1	
2	Scuderi L. 1990. Tree-ring evidence for climatically effective volcanic
3	eruptions. Quaternary Research. 34, 67-85.
4	
5	Self S., Rampino M., Barbera J. 1981. The possible effects of large 19th and
6	20th century volcanic eruptions on zonal and hemispheric surface
7	temperatures. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research. 11, 41-60.
8	
9	Sigurdsson H. 1982. Volcanic pollution and climate: The 1783 Laki eruption.
10	Eos, Transactions of the American Geophysical Union. 63, 601-603.
11	
12	Skiba U., Cresser M., Derwent R., Futty D. 1989. Peat acidification in Scotland.
13	Nature. 337, 68-69.
14	
15	Smith D. B., Zielinski R. A., Taylor H. E., Sawyer M. B. 1983. Leaching
16	characteristics of ash from the May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens
17	volcano, Washington. Bulletin of Volcanology. 46, 103-124.
18	
19	Smith C., Cresser M., Mitchell D. 1993. Sensitivity to acid deposition of
20	dystrophic peat in Great Britain. Ambio. 22, 22-26.
21	
22	Stevenson D. S., Johnson C. E., Highwood E. J., Gauci V., Collins W.J., Derwent
23	R. G. 2003. Atmospheric impact of the 1783-1784 Laki Eruption: Part 1

1	Chemistry modelling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions. 3, 551-
2	596.
3	
4	St. Seymour K., Christanis K. 1995. Correlation of a Tephra Layer in Western
5	Greece with a Late Pleistocene Eruption in the Campanian Province of Italy.
6	Quaternary Research. 43, 46-54.
7	
8	Symonds R., Rose W., Reed M. 1988. Contribution of Cl^{-} and F^{-} bearing gases to
9	the atmosphere by volcanoes. Nature. 334, 415-418.
10	
11	Thorarinsson S. 1981. Greetings from Iceland: Ash-falls and volcanic aerosols in
12	Scandinavia. Geografiska Annaler. 63A, 109-118.
13	
14	Thordarson T., Self S. 2001. Real-time observations of the Laki sulfuric aerosol
15	cloud in Europe during 1783 as documented by Professor S. P. van Swinden at
16	Franeker, Holland. Jökull. 50, 65-72.
17	
18	Van den Bogaard C., Dörfler W., Glos R., Nadeau MJ., Grootes P.M.,
19	Erlenkeuser H. 2002. Two tephra layers bracketing Late Holocene
20	paleoecological changes in northern Germany. Quaternary Research. 57, 314-
21	324.
22	

1	Van den Bogaard C., Schmincke H-U. 2002. Linking the North Atlantic to central
2	Europe: a high-resolution tephrochronological record from northern Germany.
3	Journal of Quaternary Science. 17, 13-20.
4	
5	West K. D., Donaldson J. A. 2002. Resedimentation of the late Holocene White
6	River tephra, Yukon Territory and Alaska. In: Emond D. S., Weston L. H., Lewis
7	L.L. (Eds.), Yukon Exploration and Geology 2001. Yukon Geological Survey.
8	Whitehorse. Canada.
9	
10	Wilcox R. 1959. Some effects of recent ash falls with especial reference to
11	Alaska. Ohio Journal of Science. 49, 409-475.
12	
13	Wissmar R. C., Devol A. H., Nevissi A. E., Sedell J. R. 1981. Chemical changes
14	of lakes within the Mount St Helens blast zone. Science. 19, 175-178.
15	
16	Yeloff D., Mauquoy D. 2006. The influence of vegetation composition on peat
17	humification: implications for palaeoclimatic studies. Boreas. 35, 662-673.
18	
19	Zielinski G. 2000. Use of paleo-records in determining variability within the
20	volcanism-climate system. Quaternary Science Reviews. 19, 417-438.
21	
22	Zobel D., Antos J. 1997. A decade of recovery of understorey vegetation buried
23	by volcanic tephra from Mount St Helens. Ecological Monographs. 67, 317-344.

1	
2	Zoltai S. 1988. Late Quaternary volcanic ash in the peatlands of central
3	Alberta. Canadian Journal of Earth Science. 26, 207-214.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

1 FIGURES and TABLES

Table 1. Details of tephra layers included in this study.

Teph	Probable	Approxima	Dating	Visible or	Size of layer	Palaeoecologic	Further
ra	source	te age	method	cryptotephra	(Maximum	al sampling	Details
					shard	resolution	
					concentration		
					or thickness)		
СНР	Mt.	280-320	Radiocarbon	Cryptotephra	Maximum	10 mm (c. 8	Payne &
33	Churchill	cal. BP			concentration c.	years)	Blackford
	(Lena				6.6 x10 ⁵ shards		(2004), Payne
	Tephra)				g⁻¹ dm		et al. (in
							press)
ECR	Possibly	c. 2840	Age-depth	Cryptotephra	Maximum	10 mm (c. 28	Payne &
100	Augustine	cal.BP	model		concentration c.	years)	Blackford
	Volcano				5.1 x10 ⁴ shards		(2004), Payne
					g⁻¹ dm		et al. (in
							press)
ECR	Aniakchak	5300-5030	Radiocarbon	Cryptotephra	Maximum	10 mm (c. 50	Payne &
162		cal. BP			concentration c.	years)	Blackford
					2.2 x10 ⁴ shards		(2004), Payne
					g⁻¹ dm		et al. (in
							press)
LNA	Mt.	280-320	Radiocarbon,	Cryptotephra	Maximum	10 mm (c. 8	Payne &
39	Churchill	cal. BP	correlation		concentration c.	years)	Blackford
	(Lena		with CHP 33		2.9 x10 ⁵ shards		(2004), Payne
	Tephra)				g⁻¹ dm		et al. (in
							press)
LNA	Mt.	1375-	Radiocarbon	Cryptotephra	Maximum	10 mm (c. 17	Payne et al.
100	Churchill	1290BP			concentration c.	years)	(in press),
	(White				6.7 x10 ⁶ shards		Clague et al.
	River Ash)				g⁻¹ dm		(1995),
							Lerbekmo &
L			1	1	1		

							Campbell
							(1969)
ST 12	Possible	c. 250 cal.	Age-depth	Visible tephra	2 mm thick layer	5 mm (c. 12	Payne &
	Augustine	BP	model			years)	Blackford (in
	Volcano						press)
ST 24	Uncertain	c.500 cal.	Age-depth	Visible tephra	5 mm thick layer	5 mm (c. 12	Payne &
		BP	model			years)	Blackford (in
							press)
ST 36	Uncertain	c. 760 cal.	Age-depth	Visible tephra	4 mm thick layer	5 mm (c. 12	Payne &
		BP	model			years)	Blackford (in
							press)
MP	Augustine	AD 1883	Historical	Visible tephra	10 mm thick	10 mm (c. 12	Payne &
10	Volcano		records		layer	years)	Blackford (in
							press), Begét
							et al. (1994)
MP	Crater	c.300 cal.	Age-depth	Visible tephra	7 mm thick layer	10 mm (c. 12	Payne &
27	Peak- Mt.	BP	model and			years)	Blackford (in
	Spurr		correlation to				press), Begét
			Begét et al.				et al. (1994)
			(1994)				
MP	Crater	c.430 cal.	Age-depth	Visible tephra	4 mm thick layer	10 mm (c. 12	Payne &
39	Peak- Mt.	BP	model			years)	Blackford (in
	Spurr						press)

1
-

- Table 2. Results of ANOSIM comparing testate amoebae communities below and
 above the tephra-peak. Showing R_{ANOSIM} and P-values (ns= not significant at
- **P<0.05).**

Tephra	
CHP 33	0.43 (P<0.05)
ECR 100	0.54 (P<0.05)
ECR 162	0.67 (P<0.0005)
LNA 39	ns
LNA 100	0.68 (P<0.01)
ST 12	0.50 (P<0.0001)
ST 24	0.63 (P<0.005)
ST 36	0.52 (P<0.005)
MP 10	0.33 (P<0.05)
MP 27	ns
MP 39	0.51 (P<0.01)

1
1

Table 3. Zonation analysis of testate amoebae data across tephra layers using
four methods (see text and Gordon & Birks 1972 for details). Zone boundaries
highlighted in bold lie immediately adjacent to the tephra peak. Samples are
labelled as depth of upper surface therefore a division of 30-31 lies between
the samples from 30-31cm and 31-32cm.

	CHP33	ECR100	ECR162	LNA39	LNA100	ST12	ST24	ST36	MP10	MP27 ¹	MP39
CONSLINK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.5-	12-13	-	41-42
2								33			
CONISS	30-31	99-100	162-163	43-44	100-	12-	23-	32.5-	11-12	23-24	41-42
					101	12.5	23.5	33			
SPLITLSQ	35-36	99-100	162-163	34-35	100-	12-	24.5-	32.5-	11-12	26-28	40-41
					101	12.5	25	33			
SPLITINF	32-33	99-100	162-163	35-36	100-	12-	24.5-	32.5-	11-12	23-24	40-41
					101	12.5	25	33			

10 ¹ For the MP27 tephra no testate amoebae data were obtained from the 27-28 cm sample. This sample was therefore

11 excluded from analysis.

12 ² For many of the sequences CONSLINK did not give a single, most significant zone boundary.

Table 4. Results of RDA and variance partitioning exercise for testate amoebae
data. Results are shown as percentage variance explained by each combination
of explanatory variables and co-variables. Only significant correlations (P<0.05)
are shown.

Source of	Explanatory	Covariables	CHP 33	ECR	ECR	LNA	LNA 100	ST 12	ST 24	ST	MP	MP	MP
variance	variables			100	162	39				36	10	27	39
Volcanic	'Volcanic'	-	47				64	33	34				
impacts			(P<0.05)				(P<0.05)	(P<0.05)	(P<0.05)				
Volcanic	'Volcanic'	Humification,			30		27	26					
impacts		macrofossils			(P<0.05)		(P<0.01)	(P<0.05)					
independent													
of plant and													
humification													
change													
Volcanic	'Volcanic'	Humification,					16	15					
impacts		macrofossils,					(P<0.005)	(P<0.05)					
independent		Depth											
of plant,													
humification													
change and													
time													

Table 5. Changes in selected testate amoebae taxa across tephra layers. '↑'
denotes an increase in abundance, '↓ ' denotes a decrease in abundance, 'o'
denotes no change in abundance, and '-' shows that the taxon is absent or only
present in small quantities. '~' indicates that there is some uncertainty

6 involved in the judgment.

1

	Amphitrem	Amphitrema	Arcella	Difflugia spp.	Hyalosphenia	Phrygnalla	Trigonop
	a flavum	stenostoma	Catinus		papilio	Acropodia	yxis
			type			type	arcula
CHP 33	1	-	0	-	0	~ ↑	0
ECR 100	\downarrow	Ť	-	1	\downarrow	1	1
ECR 162	~ ↓	0	-	-	0	~ ↑	-
LNA 39 ^s	1	0	-	-	-	0	0
LNA 100	↑ (↑	-	\downarrow	Ť	-	\downarrow
ST 12 ⁸	\downarrow	-	-	-	\downarrow	~ ↑	~ ↑
ST 24	~ ↑	-	-	-	0	0	0
ST 36	-	~ ↓	1	-	0	-	~↑
MP 10	-	-	1	Ļ	-	-	0
MP 27*	-	0	1	\downarrow	-	-	1
MP 39	-	-	↑	-	-	0	\downarrow

* For the MP 27 tephra it was not possible to count testate amoebae for the sample containing the tephra peak. ^{\$} For the LNA 39 and

8 ST 24 tephras there is some uncertainty over the precise location of the tephra peak.

9

10

2 Figure and Table Captions

- 3
- 4 Fig. 1. Location map of the five peatland sites in southern Alaska, also showing
- 5 major roads and the settlements of Haines, Skagway, Juneau and Anchorage.



Fig 2. Summary plots of palaeoecological record across tephra layers showing
glass shard concentration profile produced by the *Lycopodium* method (shards g
dm⁻¹); colorimetric humification showing raw transmission (dotted line) and
corrected values (solid line); testate amoebae inferred depth to water table
(TI-DWT) and testate amoebae inferred pH (TI-pH) with bootstrapped error
estimates and plant macrofossils in five classes: Sphagnum (S), Monocotyledons
(M), Unidentified organic matter (U) and Other (O).








3 Fig 3. Testate amoebae diagrams across the eleven tephra layers showing

percentage of major taxa and position of tephra peak (dotted line). 4







- 1
- 2 Fig 4. Transmission values across a tephra layer using methods of filtration
- 3 (plot a) and transmission values using Whatman 40 filter paper corrected for
- 4 loss on ignition (plot b).



- 6 Table 1. Details of tephra layers included in this study.
- 7

8 Table 2. Results of ANOSIM comparing testate amoebae communities below and

9 above the tephra-peak. Showing R_{ANOSIM} and P-values (ns= not significant at

10 P<0.05).

11

12 Table 3. Zonation analysis of testate amoebae data across tephra layers using

13 four methods (see text and Gordon & Birks 1972 for details). Zone boundaries

1	highlighted in bold lie immediately adjacent to the tephra peak. Samples are
2	labelled as depth of upper surface therefore a division of 30-31 lies between
3	the samples from 30-31cm and 31-32cm.
4	
5	Table 4. Results of RDA and variance partitioning exercise for testate amoebae
6	data. Results are shown as percentage variance explained by each combination
7	of explanatory variables and co-variables. Only significant correlations (P<0.05)
8	are shown.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	