CEFood Congress

Novi Sad, Serbia
23 - 26 May, 2012

PROCEEDINGS

of 6th Central European Congress on Food

IUFoST International Union of Food Science and Technology

EFFoST European Federation of Food Science and Technology

CEI Central European Initiative

ISBN 978-86-7994-027-8

6TH CENTRAL EUROPEAN CONGRESS ON FOOD, Novi Sad 2012, SERBIA

Publisher

University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology
Bulevar cara Lazara 1.
21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

Main editor
Dr. Jovanka Lević

Editors
Prof. Dr. Viktor Nedović
Dr. Nebojša Ilić
Dr. Vesna Tumbas
Ana Kalušević, dipl. ing.

Abstract/Paper Review
All abstracts and papers are reviewed by the International Board of Reviewers

Technical editors
Bojana Kokić
Miona Belović
Dubravka Jambrec
Nataša Nedeljković
Olivera Đuragić
Tanja Radusin
Ana Kalušević
Tamara Dapčević
Tatjana Tasić
Jovana Vučković
Tamara Sarafijanović

Cover
Boris Bartula, BIS, Novi Sad, Serbia

Printed by
“Futura” – Novi Sad, Serbia

Number of copies
600 copies

CONGRESS PRESIDENT

Prof. Dr. Viktor Nedović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Peter Raspor, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Prof. Dr. Roger Fenwick, Institute of Food Research, Norwich, United Kingdom
Prof. Dr. Dietrich Knorr, Berlin University of Technology, Germany
Prof. Dr. Brian Mckenna, University College Dublin, Ireland
Prof. Dr. Viktor Nedović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Dr. Jovanka Lević, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Gustavo V. Barbosa-Cánovas, Center For Nonthermal Processing of Food, Washington State University, Usa
Prof. Dr. José Aguilera, Catholic University of Chile, Chile
Prof. Dr. Eyal Shimoni, Department Of Biotechnology And Food Engineering, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Israel
Dr. Nebojša Ilić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Vesna Tumbas, Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Branko Bugarski, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Juan Valverde, Teagasc, Ireland
Prof. Dr. Laura Piazza, Department of Food Science and Technology, State University of Milan, Italy
Prof. Dr. Taoukis Petros, School of Chemical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Dr. Anamarija Mandić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Aleksandra Mišan, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Marijana Sakač, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Slađana Šobajić, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Francesco Capozzi, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Bologna, Italy
Dr. Huub Lelieveld, Ghi Association Netherlands and Effost Executive Committee, Netherlands
Prof. Dr. Dominique Bauchart, INRA, Clermont Ferrand, France
Prof. Dr. Bogdan Yegorov, Odessa National Academy of Food Technologies, Ukraine
Prof. Dr. Mark Shamtsyan, St. Petersburg State Institute of Technology, Technical University of Moscow, Russia
Prof. Dr. Jana Hajslova, Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague, Czech Republic
Prof. Dr. Giovanni Dinelli, Department of Agroenvironmental Sciences and Technologies, University of Bologna, Italy
Prof. Dr. Željko Knez, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Maribor, Slovenia
Dr. Diego Moreno-Fernández, Spanish National Research Council, Spain
Prof. Dr. Gerhard Schleining, Boku, Vienna, Austria
Prof. Dr. Živko Nikolov, Department of Biological & Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, USA
Prof. Dr. András Salgó, Faculty of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary
Dr. Nastasia Belc, Institute of Food Bioresources, Bucharest, Romania
Prof. Dr. Vladimir Mrša, Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, Croatia
Prof. Dr. Draženka Komes, Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, Croatia
Prof. Dr. Radoslav Grujić, Faculty of Technology Zvornik, University of East Sarajevo, BIH Republic of Srpska
Prof. Dr. Vladimir Kakurinov, Veterinary Faculty, St. Kliment Ohridski University, Macedonia
Prof. Dr. Vural Gökmen, Food Engineering Department, Hacettepe University, Turkey

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

Prof. Dr. Peter Raspor, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Prof. Dr. Diana Banati, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary
Prof. Dr. Kostadin Fikiin, Refrigeration Science and Technology Division, Technical University of Sofia, Bulgaria
Prof. Dr. Kata Galić, Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, Croatia
Prof. Dr. Peter Šimko, Food Research Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia

HONORARY BOARD

Prof. Dr. Radivoje Mitrović, Ministry of Education And Science, Government of The Republic Of Serbia
Prof. Dr. Nada Dragović, Ministry Of Education and Science, Government of The Republic of Serbia
Prof. Dr. Tibor Sabo, Ministry of Education and Science, Government of The Republic of Serbia
Prof. Dr. Dragoslav Petrović, Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development, Autonomous Province of Vojvodina, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Miroslav Vesković, Rector of the University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Nebojša Ralević, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Jovanka Lević, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Zoltan Zavargo, Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Ivanka Popović, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Radoslav Cerović, Maize Research Institute Zemun Polje, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Miodrag Janković, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Dr. Jovanka Lević, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Prof. Dr. Viktor Nedović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Vesna Tumbas, Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Nebojša Ilić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Ana Kalušević, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Dr. Olivera Đuragić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Bojana Kokić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Predrag Ikonić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Tanja Petrović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Olivera Šimurina, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Saša Despotović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Mirjana Pešić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Aleksandra Novaković, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Tatjana Tasić, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Slavica Sredanović, Msc, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Miona Belović, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dubravka Jambrec, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Nataša Nedeljković, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Tamara Dapčević, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Tanja Radusin, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Slađana Žilić, Maize Research Institute Zemun Polje, Serbia
Jovana Vučković, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Zorica Radulović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Dr. Verica Đorđević, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Zdenka Marković, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia
Steva Lević, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Željka Dukić, Ministry of Education and Science, Serbia
Dr. Jelena Pejin, Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Dr. Ivana Sedej, Institute of Food Technology in Novi Sad, Serbia

CONGRESS ORGANIZERS:

Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade

Institute of Food Technology, University of Novi Sad

Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad

SAFT, Serbian Association of Food Technologists

Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade

CONGRESS SUPPORTED BY:

Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia

Vojvodina Province, Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development - Novi Sad

Sojaprotein A.D., Bečej, Serbia

Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia

Cimbria HEID GMBH, Austria

LECO, Novi Sad, Serbia

Delta Agrar, Belgrade, Serbia

Institute of Food Research, Norwich-UK

Maize Research Institute „Zemun Polje“, Zemun polje, Serbia

CEI, Central European Initiative

Center for the Promotion of Science, Belgrade, Serbia
(Enjoy Food Science event)

IUFOST, International Union of Food Science and Technology

BioMérieux, Belgrade, Serbia

Cluster d.o.o, Belgrade, Serbia

NOACK&Co South East d.o.o, Novi Sad, Serbia

Superlab, Belgrade, Serbia

Promedia, Kikinda, Serbia

Buhler AG, Belgrade, Serbia

Shimadzu branch, Belgrade, Serbia

V.I.A., Belgrade, Serbia

SOME PARAMETERS OF DRIED PORK PRODUCED WITH LOWER SALT CONTENT

Slobodan Lilić* (1), Nikola Stanišić (2), Dragica Karan (1), Mladen Rašeta (1), Ivana Branković (1), Jelena Jovanović (1), Mirjana Lukić (1)

(1) Institute of meat hygiene and technology, Belgrade, Kacanskog 13, Serbia
(2) Institute for animal husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Autoput 16, Serbia

*Corresponding author
Phone: +381 11 2650-722
Fax: +381 11 2651-825
E-mail address: slobo@inmesbgd.com

ABSTRACT; Production of meat products with lower salt/sodium content is the goal of today's meat industry because of bad influence of exceed sodium intake by food. In this paper are presented some physico-chemical parameters during processing of dried pork produced with lower salt content. Pork (*m. longissimus dorsi*) was cured with nitrite curing salt in amount of 3 kg/100 kg of meat. In meat were measured the weight loss during curing and drying; moisture content by standard method SRPS ISO 1442:1998, water activity using aw-meter (Wert-Messer, Durotherm) at temperature of 25℃; and pH value by pH-meter (MA-5730; PAT N° 35398, Iskra) according to SRPS ISO 29 17:2004. Average moisture content in dried meat at the end of production was 40.10%. Average weight loss was 2.39% after 7 days of production (after curing) and it is increased up to the end of production, average 34.57%. Acidity of meat during curing, smoking and drying was similar; pH value was around 6.00. Water activity was gradually decreased from average 0.985 after curing (7^{th} day) up to 0.899 at the end of production. During the storage of dried meat under vacuum conditions, pH value decreased from 5.43 in the final product up to 5.11 at the end of storage (120^{th} day). These values are characteristic for curing, drying and fermentation of meat. Dried meat was shelf stable for 120 day under vacuum conditions, without signs of rancidity and without changes in other sensory attributes.
Key words: *dried pork, low salt content, weight loss, water activity, pH value*

INTRODUCTION

Sodium chloride (common salt) is essential ingredient in meat processing which contributes the saltiness (taste), water holding capacity of meat and consequently to textural characteristics (Ruusunen i Poullane, 2005). In modern meat processing, sodium chloride is added mostly through nitrite curing salt. Curing salts with sodium and potassium nitrate are mostly disappeared from industrial meat production, but sometimes they are used in the house-hold manufacturing of dried meat. Salt diffusion in meat and meat dehydration (drying) are common processes but sometimes it is difficult to control them (Arnau et al., 1995).

The first stage in the dry meat processing is dry curing at low temperature, mostly up to 5℃. Curing lasts different time, depending on s hape and size of meat. Curing lasts shortly if meat size is small and at higher temperature; it is also depended on relative humidity (Fantazzinia i dr., 2005). Salt diffusion is key process in dry meat production and solubility of salt on the meat surface is the first factor which regulates salt penetration in meat (Sörheim i Gumpen, 1986; Gil i dr., 1999).

Meat is cured traditionally with 6% of common salt or nitrite curing salt. Present trend in the nutrition is reducing the salt content in meat products, as reported by Ruusunen and Puolanne (2005). According to mentioned the goal of this paper was to investigate some physico-chemical characteristics of dried pork produced with lower salt content, during the curing, drying and storage under vacuum conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The material in this paper was pork (*m. longissimus dorsi pars thoracis*) originated from white pigs, six months old, with live average weight of 100 kg. After chilling the meat was treated with following mixture: 950 g of nitrite curing salt and 50 g of sucrose, in the amount of 300 g per 10 kg of meat. Curing lasted for 7 days and after that meat was smoked for next 7 days. Process of drying and ripening lasted for 14 days. Final products were packed in PA/PE bags under vacuum conditions and stored at room temperature for 120 days.
Samples for examination were taken on the first day of production (fresh meat), after curing and after 14^{th}, 21^{st} and 28^{th} day of production (final product). In these samples were determined weight loss calculated from differences in the mass of meat during curing, smoking and drying on the scale with sensitivity of 10^{-3}. Moisture content was determined by standard method SRPS ISO 1442:1998; water activity using a_w-meter (Wert-Messer, Durotherm) at temperature of 25℃; and pH value by pH-meter (MA-5730; PAT N°35398, Iskra) according to
SRPS ISO 2917:2004.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of weight loss and moisture content of meat are presented in Figure 1. Average moisture content in meat was 77.85%. After curing, moisture content in the superficial layer was 71.59% and in inner part of meat 70.17%. After smoking (14^{th} day of production), moisture content is decreased up to 58.16% in the superficial layer and up to 49.71% in the inner part of meat. During drying of meat (21^{st} day of production), moisture content is also decreased up to 57.20% in the superficial layer of meat and up to 37.72% in the inner part of meat. At the end of production, moisture content was 40.10% in whole dried meat. Consequently to decreasing of moisture content in meat, it was increased weight loss, from 2.39% ± 0.58 in meat after curing up to 34.57% ± 1.48 at the end of production. There are common processes during the dried meat production and according to Incze (1992), the weight loss at meat drying could be very various, from 40 up to 50% and moisture content can be very low (18-22%).



Figure 1. Moisture content and weight loss of meat during production, %

Results of pH value in meat in the different stages of the production are presented in Figure 2.
Value of pH in fresh meat was average 5.83 ± 0.06 and was similar on 7th, 14th and 21st day of production, 5.90 ± 0.09, 5.91 ± 0.07 and 5.86 ± 0.05, respectively. Obtained results for fresh meat are in the accordance with results of Dzierzynska and Pospiech (1989) which cited that pH value of fresh pork is 5.67-5.84 and with the results of Severini et al. (1989) which cited that pH value is 5.48-5.84 in fresh meat after 24 hours of slaughtering.
At the end of production, pH value was decreased up to 5.43 ± 0.01, mostly due to smoking and the influence of organic acid from the smoke. This result is in the accordance with results of Liepe and Porobic (1985) which stated that pH value is 5.30-6.25 in dry hams and with the results of Leon Crespo et al. (1982) that cited pH value of 5.30-5.95 in dry hams. Bellati et al. (1983) cited higher pH value in traditionally produced hams (6.00).
Average pH value in meat after 60 days of storage under vacuum conditions was 5.22 ± 0.05 and after 120 day of storage 5.11 ± 0.05. Lower pH values during the storage under vacuum conditions are the result of activity of lactic acid bacteria that are presented in dried meat in vacuum packaging due to their possibility to survive under microaerophylic and anaerobic conditions. Furthermore, in the curing mixture was added sucrose that was presented source for the growth of these bacteria.



Figure 2. Changes of pH value during production and storage of dried pork

During the curing, smoking and drying, water activity in meat is decreased accordingly with physico-chemical processes (dehydration of meat). Results of the changes of water activity in meat during production are presented in the Figure 3. Average a_w value in fresh meat was 0.992 that decreased after curing (7th day of production) up to 0.985 ± 0.002. After smoking and drying (14th and 21st day of production), a_w value was decreased up to 0.950 ± 0.008 and 0.936 ± 0.009, respectively. At the end of production, a_w value was 0.899 ± 0.004. Obtained results are higher than the results of Leon Crespo et al. (1982) which cited that average a_w value in Jabugo ham of 0.83 (0.75-0.88), but in the accordance with results of Ventanas et al. (1989) which stated a_w value 0.90-0.96 and Molina et al. (1989) which cited that a_w value was 0.83-0.95 in the slow process and 0.87-0.93 in the fast process of the production of dry Iberian ham.



Figure 3. Water activity in dried pork during production

CONCLUSIONS

Moisture content of meat is decreased during the production, while weight loss is increased at the end drying, these values were similar (40.10% and 34.57%, respectively)
Water activity is decreased during drying up to 0.899 at the end of production.
Values of pH were similar during the production (approximately 6.00), but they are lower during the storage of products under vacuum conditions due to the activity of lactic acid bacteria that are presented in the first period of storage.
Lower salt content did not influence mentioned parameters in the comparison with the results of investigation of similar products produced with higher salt content.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is a result of the research within the project TR 31083 “Reducing of sodium content in meat products - technological posibilities, quality parameters and health aspects (Smanjivanje sadržaja natrijuma u proizvodima od mesa - tehnološke mogućnosti, karakteristike kvaliteta i zdravstveni aspekti)“ financed by the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia.

REFERENCES

1. Arnau, J., Guerrero, L., Casademont, G., Gou, P. (1995). Physical and chemical changes in different zones of normal and PSE dry cured ham during processing. *Food Chemistry, 52*, 63–69.
2. Bellatti, M., Dazzi, G., Chizzolini, R., Paimia, F. and Parolari, G. (1983). Physical and chemical changes in proteins during the maturation of Parma ham. I Biochemical and functional changes, Proc., 29th European congress of meat researcher workers, Salsomaggiore, Parma, 29.8-2.9, 125-134.
3. Dzierzynska-Cybulko, B., Pospiech, E. (1989). Effect of the preslaughter rest on the changes in some parameters of blood and in m. longissimus dorsi of pigs. *In Proceedings of 35th International congress of meat science and technology, vol. III*, 993-997. August 20-25, Copenhagen, Denmark.
4. Fantazzinia, P. T., Bortolottib, V., Garavagliaa, C., Gombiab, M., Riccardia, S., Schembrib, P., Virgilic, R., Soresi, B. C., (2005). Magnetic resonance imaging and relaxation analysis to predict noninvasively and nondestructively salt-to-moisture ratios in dry-cured meat. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging 23*, 359–361.

5. Gil, M., Guerrero, L., Sárraga, C. (1999). The effect of meat quality, salt and ageing time on biochemical parameters of dry-cured Longissimus dorsi muscle, *Meat Science 51*, 329–337.
6. Incze, K. (1992). Raw fermented and dried meat products, *Fleischwirtschaft international, 2*, 3-12.
7. Leon Crespo, F., Beltran de Heredia, F., Fernandez-Salguero, J., Alcala, M. (1982). Caracteristical del jamon serrano de Jabugo. *28th European meeting of meat research workers, Madrid, Spain, september 5-10*, 238-240.
8. Liepe, H-U., Porobic, R. (1985). Nitrat-/Nitrit-Reste in Rohschinken, *Fleischerei, 1*, 9-10.
9. Molina, I., Silla, H., Flores, J. (1989). Study of the microbial flora in dry cured ham: 3. Lactic acid bacteria. *Fleischwirtschaft, 69 (11)*, 1708-1710.
10. Russunen, M., Puolanne, E. (2005). Reducing sodium intake from meat products. *Meat Science, 70*, 531-541.
11. Severini, M., Cenci, G., Vizzani, A. (1989). Post mortem glycogenolysis and pigmeat quality. *In Proceedings of 35th International congress of meat science and technology, vol. III*, 1137-1140. August 20-25, Copenhagen, Denmark.
12. Sörheim, O., Gumpen, S. A. (1986). Effects of freezing and thawing of pork on salt diffusion in wet and dry curing systems. *In 32nd Eur. meet. meat res. wrks., Vol. 2*, pp. 295–297.
13. SRPS ISO 1442:1998. Meso i proizvodi od mesa – Određivanje sadržaja vlage.
14. SRPS ISO 2917:2004. Meso i proizvodi od mesa – Merenje pH.
15. Ventanas, J., Cordoba, J.J., Antequera, T., Garcia, C., Asensio, M.A and Lopez Bote, C. (1989). Physicochemical changes during the postsalting period of iberian hams. *In Proceedings of 35th International congress of meat science and technology, vol. III*, 707-709. August 20-25, Copenhagen, Denmark.