Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Science of

the Total Environment

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: STOTEN-D-18-01862R1

Title: The use of biogeochemical models to evaluate mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from managed grasslands

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: GHG emission intensity, livestock density; nitrogen fertilization, process-based model, sensitivity analysis

Corresponding Author: Dr. Gianni Bellocchi, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: French National Institute for Agricultural Research

First Author: Renáta Sándor

Order of Authors: Renáta Sándor; Fiona Ehrhardt; Lorenzo Brilli; Marco Carozzi; Sylvie Recous; Pete Smith; Val Snow; Jean-François Soussana; Christopher D Dorich; Kathrin Fuchs; Nuala Fitton; Kate Gongadze; Katja Klumpp; Mark Liebig; Raphael Martin; Lutz Merbold; Paul C.D. Newton; Robert M Rees; Susanne Rolinski; Gianni Bellocchi, PhD

Abstract: Simulation models quantify the impacts on carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycling in grassland systems caused by changes in management practices. To support agricultural policies, it is however important to contrast the responses of alternative models, which can differ greatly in their treatment of key processes and in their response to management. We applied eight biogeochemical models at five grassland sites (in France, New Zealand, Switzerland, United Kingdom and United States) to compare the sensitivity of modelled C and N fluxes to changes in the density of grazing animals (from 100% to 50% of the original livestock densities), also in combination with decreasing N fertilisation levels (reduced to zero from the initial levels). Simulated multi-model median values indicated that input reduction would lead to an increase in the C sink strength (negative net ecosystem C exchange) in intensive grazing systems: -64±74 g C m-2 yr-1 (animal density reduction) and -81±74 g C m-2 yr-1 (N and animal density reduction), against the baseline of -30.5 \pm 69.5 g C m-2 yr-1 (LSU [livestock units] \geq 0.76 ha-1 yr-1). Simulations also indicated a strong effect of N fertilizer reduction on N fluxes, e.g. N2O-N emissions decreased from 0.34±0.22 (baseline) to 0.1±0.05 g \hbox{N} m-2 yr-1 (no N fertilization). Simulated decline in grazing intensity had only limited impact on the N balance. The simulated pattern of enteric methane emissions was dominated by high model-to-model variability. The reduction in simulated offtake (animal intake + cut biomass) led to a doubling in net primary production per animal (increased by 11.6±8.1 t C LSU-1 yr-1 across sites). The highest N2O-N intensities (N2O-N / offtake) were simulated at mown and extensively grazed arid sites. We show the possibility of using grassland models to determine sound mitigation practices while quantifying the uncertainties associated with the simulated outputs.

1 Abstract

Simulation models quantify the impacts on carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycling in grassland 2 systems caused by changes in management practices. To support agricultural policies, it is 3 however important to contrast the responses of alternative models, which can differ greatly in 4 their treatment of key processes and in their response to management. We applied eight 5 biogeochemical models at five grassland sites (in France, New Zealand, Switzerland, United 6 Kingdom and United States) to compare the sensitivity of modelled C and N fluxes to changes 7 in the density of grazing animals (from 100% to 50% of the original livestock densities), also 8 in combination with decreasing N fertilisation levels (reduced to zero from the initial levels). 9 Simulated multi-model median values indicated that input reduction would lead to an increase 10 in the C sink strength (negative net ecosystem C exchange) in intensive grazing systems: -11 64 ± 74 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (animal density reduction) and -81 ± 74 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (N and animal density 12 reduction), against the baseline of -30.5 ± 69.5 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (LSU [livestock units] ≥ 0.76 ha⁻¹ 13 yr⁻¹). Simulations also indicated a strong effect of N fertilizer reduction on N fluxes, e.g. N₂O-14 N emissions decreased from 0.34 ± 0.22 (baseline) to 0.1 ± 0.05 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ (no N fertilization). 15 16 Simulated decline in grazing intensity had only limited impact on the N balance. The simulated pattern of enteric methane emissions was dominated by high model-to-model 17 variability. The reduction in simulated offtake (animal intake + cut biomass) led to a doubling 18 in net primary production per animal (increased by 11.6±8.1 t C LSU⁻¹ yr⁻¹ across sites). The 19 highest N₂O-N intensities (N₂O-N / offtake) were simulated at mown and extensively grazed 20 arid sites. We show the possibility of using grassland models to determine sound mitigation 21 practices while quantifying the uncertainties associated with the simulated outputs. 22

23

Keywords: GHG emission intensity, livestock density; nitrogen fertilization, process-based
model, sensitivity analysis

26 **1. Introduction**

Finding solutions to emerging ecological and societal challenges (climate change, food 27 security, ecosystem sustainability) requires improved knowledge of the underlying processes 28 29 affecting carbon-nitrogen (C-N) pools and fluxes in agricultural systems (West et al., 2002; Giardina et al., 2014; Campbell and Paustian, 2015). Grassland ecosystems have a potentially 30 important role to play in meeting the challenge of climate change because they can act as a 31 source or sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Smith et al., 2008; Oates and Jackson, 32 2014) and are a source of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases (GHG) such as nitrous oxide (N₂O) and 33 methane (CH₄). Importantly, these GHG emissions can be manipulated by management such 34 35 as the method of grazing and the fertiliser regime (Soussana et al., 2004; Herrero et al., 2016). Several grassland experiments have addressed the role of management on the short-term GHG 36 balance and global warming potential (e.g. Allard et al., 2007; Soussana et al., 2007; Hörtnagl 37 38 et al., 2018). However, direct measurement of C-N balances should be supplemented by the use of simulation models, to support the implementation of effective practices and policies in 39 agriculture, e.g. to mitigate GHG emissions (Rosenzweig et al., 2014; Elliott et al., 2015; 40 Folberth et al., 2016). Biogeochemical process models address many of the complex 41 interactions of weather, soil, vegetation and management practices (Bondeau et al., 1999; 42 Churkina et al., 1999; Huntzinger et al., 2012; Warszawski et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2015) 43 and can do so over long time intervals that are not feasible with experimentation. Existing 44 modelling studies have focused on the determination of the C source and sink activity of 45 grasslands (Soussana et al., 2010). Grassland models have been shown to provide adequate 46 accuracy in representing observed yield and GHG emissions across a wide range of 47 environments and management intensities (e.g. White et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2013; Graux 48 et al., 2013; Ben Touhami and Bellocchi, 2015; Ma et al., 2015; Senapati et al., 2016; 49 Ehrhardt et al., 2018). 50

Models can thus be beneficial for decision makers and farmers because they can be 51 52 used to explore the productivity and environmental performances of specific systems as a consequence of changed management. However, the effect of management on C and N fluxes 53 in agriculturally managed permanent grasslands (not re-sown more frequently than every five 54 years, which is the focus of this study) is often uncertain (Schulze et al., 2009; Ciais et al., 55 2010), and such uncertainties are reflected in the outputs of the models used to simulate 56 57 responses to management (Sándor et al., 2017). Grasslands are highly complex ecosystems and their behaviour is affected by multifaceted interactions of management drivers with water 58 and nutrient availability, soil physics, and vegetation dynamics (Rees et al. 2013; Soussana et 59 60 al., 2013). The dynamic grassland simulation models developed since the 1990s (e.g. Challinor et al., 2013; Snow et al., 2014; Calanca et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2017a) differ 61 greatly in their treatment of key processes, and hence in their response to environmental and 62 63 management conditions (Brilli et al., 2017). A thorough assessment of the variation in the response, or sensitivity, of different grassland models to management factors can be critical in 64 65 determining to what extent simulated responses may vary depending on the model used. From a policy perspective, it is critically important to identify the extent to which management 66 interventions influence C-N fluxes (including productivity) prior to promoting policies that 67 68 alter farming practices. If the impact of a given practice is uncertain, a sensitivity analysis can give information on the reliability of the models when representing C-N fluxes-management 69 relationships under a variety of conditions. It is thus important to examine model behaviour 70 71 under changed management in order to characterise the types of responses estimated, contrast 72 the responses of different models and consider the reasons for these differences. In particular, hypotheses about the contribution of grassland management to GHG emissions can be tested 73 via simulation models, which allow understanding, diagnosing and forecasting complex 74

75 interactions (Chen et al., 2008; Seijan et al., 2011; Graux et al., 2012; Sándor et al., 2017,
76 2018).

Consequently, using five case studies, we tested the sensitivity of eight grassland 77 models to gradients of management intensity that were selected for their potential to mitigate 78 GHG emissions (e.g. Soussana et al., 2014; Abdalla et al., 2017). With the aim of increasing 79 the reliability and confidence in simulated results, a multi-model ensemble approach was 80 adopted to explore patterns of simulated C and N responses against imposed gradients of N 81 fertilisation and animal stocking rate (to which grassland models are generally sensitive, after 82 Brilli et al., 2017). For this study, we included a range of well-known grassland models, and 83 84 used them to simulate biogeochemical and related outputs (productivity and energy measures). The wider ensemble analysis presented in Ehrhardt et al. (2018) forms the baseline 85 for the work presented here, which analyses factors that may explain the major differences 86 87 observed in model responses. We further explored to what extent multi-model ensembles can be used to help identify farming practices that reduce GHG emissions. While restricting the 88 analysis to a limited set of management options, this study examines a wide range of output 89 90 variables and thus provides a framework for assessing grassland performance where direct casual links with farming practices are not obvious, and changes in performance are difficult 91 92 to measure. As a corollary outcome, viewing and interpreting a variety of model outputs lay ground for future model developments. 93

94

95 **2. Materials and Methods**

We refer to a sub-set of the grassland models described in Ehrhardt et al. (2018), in which models were initialized and calibrated using vegetation and soil variables, and surfaceto-atmosphere fluxes at four sites worldwide. We used an ensemble of grassland models (Table 1) and compared their sensitivity to changes in management by comparing simulated

outputs against gradients of management practices. Model anonymity was maintained
throughout the process and model results are presented without attributing them to specific
models or modelling teams.

104 Table 1. The biogeochemical models used for testing the impact of grassland management

105 options.

Model / Version	Description / References
APSIM-SWIM v7.7	APSIM (The Agricultural Production Systems sIMulator; Holzworth et al., 2014) simulates several systems through the interaction among plants, animals, soil, climate and management. The model allows the analysis of the whole-farm system, including livestock, crop and pasture sequences and rotations.
APSIM-SoilWater v7.7	Users can select between two soil water models: the capacitance- based SoilWater (Probert et al., 1998) and SWIM, which is based on Richards' equation (Huth et al., 2012). The pasture model was that of Li et al. (2011).
DayCent v4.5 2006	DayCent is the daily time-step adaptation of the biogeochemical model CENTURY (Parton et al., 1998). It simulates biomass growth, soil C dynamics, N leaching, gaseous emissions (e.g. N_2O , NO, N_2 , NH ₃ , CH ₄ and CO ₂) and C fluxes (e.g. NPP, NEE) in croplands, grasslands, forests and savannahs, as affected by management practices (such as fertilization, tillage, pruning,
DayCent v4.5 2010	cutting and grazing) and specific external disturbances (e.g. fires). Different versions of the model result in different parameter settings and a few variations in the model structure. DayCent v4.5 2006 applies grazing on a daily basis as linear impact on aboveground biomass and root/shoot ratio, with aboveground biomass removed as a percentage of total aboveground biomass
DayCent v4.5 2013	DayCent v4.5 2010 and 2013 apply grazing on a daily basis with aboveground biomass removed as a percentage of total aboveground biomass rather than as continuous grazing. In DayCent models after 2013, water stress effect on biomass production differs from the previous versions.
LPJmL v.3.5.003	LPJmL (Lund-Potsdam-Jena managed Land) explicitly simulates key ecosystem processes such as photosynthesis, plant and soil respiration, C allocation, evapotranspiration and phenology of nine plant functional types representing natural vegetation at the level of biomes (Sitch et al., 2003), and of 12 plant functional types (Bondeau et al., 2007; Rolinski et al., 2018).
PaSim	PaSim (Pasture Simulation model; Riedo et al., 1998; Calanca et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2015) is a process-based, grassland-specific ecosystem model that simulates grassland productivity and GHG emissions to the atmosphere. The model consists of sub-models for vegetation, grazing animals, microclimate, soil biology, soil physics and management.
SPACSYS v5.0	SPACSYS (Wu et al., 2007, 2015) is a multi-layer, field scale, weather-driven and daily-time-step dynamic simulation model. The current version includes a generic plant growth and development, C and N cycling, plus simulation of soil water that includes representation of water flow to field drains as well as downwards through the soil layers, together with a heat transfer component. The model simulates root architecture.

We present multi-model medians and box-plots, and focus on long-term averages for 107 the same four grassland sites (G1 to G4) described in Ehrhardt et al. (2018) plus an additional 108 109 site (G5) for which full calibration was only completed after the initial publication (Table 2). Overall, there is a lack of case studies in Asia, Africa and South America (which would have 110 111 extended the comprehensiveness of the research), but sites from G1 to G5 are intended to represent situations commonly encountered in temperate grasslands. While the choices made 112 are described in Ehrhardt et al. (2018), in summary it was thanks to international 113 114 collaborations that we could pool and share experimental data for five grassland sites (one more than in Ehrhardt et al., 2018). These sites provided high- quality, previously published 115 data encompassing climate, soil, agricultural practices, and C and N fluxes. 116

118	Table 2. S	elected gra	ssland sites	for the r	nodelling	exercise.
	10010 10 00					

General description		(Grassland site	s	
Site code	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5
Country	United States	New Zealand	France	United Kingdom	Switzerland
Location	Mandan	Flockhouse	Laqueuille	Easter Bush	Chamau
Climate ¹	Dfb (humid continental)	Cfb (oceanic)	Cfb (oceanic)	Cfb (oceanic)	Cfb (oceanic)
Latitude	46.77	-40.20	45.64	55.52	47.20
Longitude	-100.89	175.30	2.74	-3.33	8.40
Elevation a.s.l. (m)	591	30	1040	190	393
Simulation period	2003-2006	1997-2008	2003-2012	2002-2010	2010-2013
Mean annual minimal air temperature $(^{\circ}C)^{2}$	0.0±1.0	9.1±0.5	4.0±0.6	4.6±0.9	4.4±0.6
Mean annual maximal air temperature $(^{\circ}C)^{2}$	11.9±1.3	17.6±0.6	11.0±0.8	11.4±0.8	14.7±0.8
Mean annual cumulated precipitation $(mm)^2$	411±128	896±107	1047±144	961±142	1084±143
Management					
Туре	Grazed	Grazed	Grazed	Grazed / mown	Grazed / mown
Animal type	Yearling steers	Non- lactating sheep	Heifers	Ewes, lambs, heifers and calves	Sheep
Mean annual number of grazing days ³	107	22	163	162	14
Stocking rate (LSU ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) ³	0.08	1.29	1.34	0.76	0.10
Vegetation type	C3 grasses	C3 grasses,	C3 grasses,	C3 grasses	C3 grasses,

		legumes, forbs, C4 grasses	legumes, forbs		legumes
Mean annual number of cutting events ³	0	0	0	0.9	6.3
Total annual N fertilisation (kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) ³	0	0	210	220	230
Soil properties					
Soil type ⁴	Calcic Siltic Chernozem	Mollic Umbrisol	Loamic Andosol	Eutric Cambisol	Gleysol
Maximum depth of the soil profile (m)	4	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0
Number of documented layers	6	4	5	5	4
Soil texture: \sim sand (%) ⁵	29.7	93.1	24.6	22.9	57.2
\sim silt (%) ⁵	51.0	3.8	55.5	19.0	28.9
\sim clay (%) ⁵	19.5	3.1	21.8	58.1	14.0
Bulk density (gcm ⁻³) ⁵	1.17	1.20	0.67	1.45	1.34
References	Liebig et al. (2006, 2010, 2013)	Newton et al. (2010, 2014)	Allard et al. (2007); Klumpp et al. (2011)	Skiba et al. (2013); Jones et al. (2017b)	Imer et al. (2013); Merbold et al. (2014)

119 ¹Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Kottek et al., 2006).

²Mean minimum and maximum air temperatures, and precipitation totals calculated over 30 years (1980-2009)

using AgMERRA (<u>https://data.giss.nasa.gov/impacts/agmipcf/agmerra</u>) meteorological datasets (Ruane et al., 2015).

³Mean values over the simulation period. Grazing at G2 site was on a rotational basis, i.e. animals were brought
 in at intervals for short periods at a high stocking rate, while at all other sites grazing was by set-stocking, i.e.
 animals were maintained continuously on the pasture at a low stocking rate.

⁴World Reference Base for Soil Resources (FAO, 2014).

⁵Mean values across multiple layers.

128

To analyse the sensitivity of models with respect to changes in grassland management practices, viz. animal stocking density and N fertilisation, management scenarios were obtained by adjusting the observed baseline management (business-as-usual) for each site with systematic decrements over a range of values (Table 3). Sensitivity is defined as the proportional change in models outputs that results from a change in a given factor (here management practices).

In our study-sites, two major practices are responsible for C and N fluxes from grasslands: (1) vegetation removal and (2) fertilizer inputs. The harvesting of vegetation was predominantly controlled by grazing animals for the majority of sites. The exception was G5 where the grazing was light and vegetation was predominantly removed by cutting. Accordingly, a reduction in grassland use was assessed by a limitation of livestock density,

140	either alone	or together	with re	eduction	(down	to	cessation)	of	fertilizer	N	in	N-fertili	ised	sites
-----	--------------	-------------	---------	----------	-------	----	------------	----	------------	---	----	-----------	------	-------

141 (G3, G4 and G5) (Table 3). The livestock unit (LSU) based on the grazing equivalent of one

adult cow was used to compare different animal types (yearling steers, non-lactating sheep,

143 ewes, lambs, heifers and calves).

144

145 Table 3. Design of management options (where 100% indicates the baseline - business-as-

146 usual - management scenario).

Action ¹	Sites	Description
Reduction of livestock density (LD)	G1, G2, G3, G4, G5	The livestock density in the pasture was decreased in five steps of 10% (from 100% down to 50% of the livestock density indicated by the default standard management)
		Abbreviations ² : LD ₉₀ , LD ₈₀ , LD ₇₀ , LD ₆₀ , LD ₅₀
Reduction of livestock density (LD) and nitrogen (N) fertilizer	G3, G4, G5	The amount of mineral or slurry N added to the pasture was decreased in five steps of 20% (from 100% to 0% of the N amount indicated by the default standard management), whilst the livestock density in the pasture is decreased in five steps of 10% (from 100% down to 50% of the livestock density indicated by the default standard management) Abbreviations ² : $LD_{90}N_{80}$, $LD_{80}N_{60}$, $LD_{70}N_{40}$, $LD_{60}N_{20}$, $LD_{50}N_{0}$

¹When animal density was decreased, cutting events (if present) were left unaltered. When present,
supplementary feeding was proportionally reduced along with the animal density.

²Percent livestock density (90, 80, 70, 60, 50) or N fertilizer (80, 60, 40, 20, 0) against baseline (business-asusual). Without assessing all possible LD x N combinations, we focussed on reducing overall levels of management intensity through reductions in N inputs and grazing levels (according to most agri-environment schemes for grassland; Atkinson et al., 2005).

153

Impacts of the defined changes in management were calculated on the changes in a set of output variables related to biomass production and C-N fluxes (Table 4). Fluxes of CO_2 included emissions from ecosystem respiration (R_{ECO}), respiration from plants (R_{PLANT}), soil (R_{SOIL}) and grazing animals (R_{ANIMAL}) as well as estimates of the plant production of organic compounds from atmospheric CO_2 (GPP) and other system variables: Net Ecosystem Exchange, NEE= R_{ECO} -GPP; Net Primary Production, NPP=GPP- R_{PLANT} ; Net Biome Production, NBP=-NEE+C losses through enteric CH₄ emissions at pasture, forage harvests
and milk production at pasture.

Methane released from soil and enteric fermentation in animals was included in the list 162 of non-CO₂ fluxes, along with the gaseous N compounds emitted to the atmosphere: N₂ (N 163 gas), NO_x (N oxides: the sum of N monoxide, NO, and N dioxide, NO₂), N₂O (nitrous oxide) 164 and NH₃ (ammonia). Nitrogen lost by nitrate (NO₃) leaching was also examined. The 165 166 biological information included productivity measures such as the plant biomass produced above - and below-ground (AB and BB), two outputs of agronomic interest - the plant 167 biomass consumed by grazing animals (Intake) or otherwise harvested (HAB), and their sum 168 (Offtake) – and the energy that ultimately is utilised by grazing animals (ME_{Offtake}: offtake 169 metabolisable energy). 170

To estimate the amount of plant biomass available for feeding animals, the annual 171 172 NPP values were normalized by animal stocking rates. We also expressed C and N fluxes relative to the overall productivity of the system, so that we could express the intensity of 173 174 GHG emissions on the basis of productivity (i.e. g of emitted C or N per g C of harvested or per g C ingested dry matter, DM). This approach is similar to the concept of 'yield-scaled 175 emission' or emissions intensity as defined by Van Groenigen et al. (2010) and has important 176 177 policy significance and delivers results that are relevant to stakeholders (Venterea et al, 2011; Valin et al., 2013). For this purpose, three additional variables were analysed, representing the 178 ratios of CO₂-C, N₂O-N and CH₄-C emissions to the total amount of C biomass (Offtake) 179 consumed by animals (Intake) and harvested as fodder (HAB): Int_{CO2-C}=-NEE/Offtake, Int_{N2O-} 180 _N=N₂O-N/Offtake, Int_{CH4-C}=CH₄-C/Offtake. 181

183 Table 4. Model outputs (annual cumulative) generated by each model (\checkmark : available; NA: not

available) and assessed in the study. The identities of models were kept anonymous by using

the same model codes as in Ehrhardt et al. (2018).

	Variable/Models	M05	M06	M07	M08	M16	M22	M24	M28
	GPP (gross primary production): g C m ⁻²	\checkmark							
	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \text{NPP} & (\text{net} & \text{primary} \\ \text{production}): \\ \text{g C m}^{-2} \end{array}$	\checkmark							
	NEE (net ecosystem exchange): g C m^{-2}	\checkmark							
)2 filuxes	NBP(netbiomeproduction):g C m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	NA	NA	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark
CC	$\begin{array}{c} R_{ECO} & (ecosystem \\ respiration): \\ g \ C \ m^{-2} \end{array}$	\checkmark							
	R_{PLANT} (plant respiration): g C m ⁻²	\checkmark							
	R_{SOIL} (soil respiration): g C m ⁻²	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	$\begin{array}{l} R_{ANIMAL} \qquad (animal respiration): \\ g C m^{-2} \end{array}$	NA	\checkmark	NA	NA	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	NA
	CH ₄ emissions (methane) ² : g C m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	NA
es ¹	N_2O (nitrous oxide) emissions: g N m ⁻²	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark
2 flux	NH_3 (ammonia) emissions: g N m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	NA
Non CO	NO_x (nitrogen oxides) emissions: $g N m^{-2}$	NA	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	NA	NA	NA
	N_2 (nitrogen gas) emissions: g N m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark
	N (nitrogen) leaching: g N m^{-2}	NA	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark
stivity tergy	Aboveground biomass (AB): g DM m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark						
Produc and en	Belowground biomass (BB): g DM m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark

Harvested biomass (HAB): g DM m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark
Animal intake (Intake): g DM m ⁻²	NA	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Metabolisable energy of Offtake (grazing plus harvesting) (ME _{Offtake}): MJ kg ⁻¹ DM	NA	\checkmark	NA	NA	NA	NA	\checkmark	NA

186 ¹Fluxes are expressed in units of C (CH₄-C) and N (N₂O-N, etc.).

187 2 CH₄ emissions include emissions from both animals (enteric) and their manure. The latter were estimated for M16, and the 188 former were estimated for M06, M08 and M24; all estimations were based on Clark et al. (2003).

189

Following Sándor et al. (2016), we report the proportional change, named effect size of mean annual output variables from a change in each factor relative to the baseline management at each site.

The N₂O-N emission factor (EF) for fertiliser was calculated as percent ratio of the total yearly N₂O-N emissions over the amount of the annual N fertiliser. This simplified version of the N₂O-N emission factor calculation does not take into account of background emissions because not all the models allowed for a consistent estimation of this component. For this reason, following the IPCC (2006) guidelines, 1 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ background emission was subtracted from the simulated values.

The present study was based on yearly aggregated model outputs. R software (R Core 199 200 Team, 2016) was used for statistical computing and visualization. Accounting for the different 201 global warming potential (GWP) of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, total GHG balances were achieved by converting CH₄ and N₂O emissions rates to CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e) using the 100-year 202 Global Warming Potential (GWP₁₀₀) as established in national GHG inventories, i.e.: 1 kg 203 (IPCC, 204 $N_2O=298$ kg CO₂e, 1 kg $CH_4 = 25$ kg CO₂e 2006; https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/understanding-global-warming-potentials). Mass factors 205 were also applied to model outputs, the latter being expressed in C and N units: 1 kg CH₄-206 C=1.33 kg CH₄, 1 kg N₂O-N=1.57 kg N₂O, 1 kg CO₂-C=3.67 kg CO₂. 207

209 3. Results and Discussion

Simulated results are presented and discussed separately, with selected graphs, for the following groups of variables: CO₂ fluxes, non-CO₂ fluxes, productivity and energy, and emission intensities. Additional results are provided in the supplementary material (Figs. A to S).

214

215 $3.1. CO_2$ fluxes

In the baseline scenario, GPP showed a wide range of variations in multi-model 216 medians (137.2-1732.4 g C m⁻²), while animal respiration (R_{ANIMAL}) was the output with the 217 least divergent results amongst the models (0.0-211.6 g C m^{-2} ; Fig. 1). For the R_{ANIMAL}, model 218 differences tended to be smaller at lower input levels, especially when animal density was 219 reduced without reductions in N fertilisation (0.0-143.9 g C m⁻²). For plant respiration 220 (R_{PLANT}), an increase of model variability was associated with the reduced influence of the 221 livestock. It is also interesting to note that net ecosystem exchange (NEE) values showed 222 large variability among models with intermediate intensification levels, e.g. 70% reduction of 223 LD and 40% reduction of N. The greatest variability was simulated under the mowing-224 225 dominated G5 site (Figs. A and B in supplementary material), but the model variability was 226 also high under mowing and grazing combined management at G4 and under intensively 227 grazed (by heifers) management at the G3 site. Reduction in N fertilization tended to decrease NEE variablity at the G3 and G4 sites. In G5, the analysis of proportional changes indicated -228 229 with the combined reduction of N fertilisation and animal density - a clear linear decrease in NEE compared to the baseline (Fig. C in supplementary material). Since NEE is defined as 230 the difference between ecosystem respiration (R_{ECO}) and gross primary production (GPP), the 231 variablity of its basic components have an effect on the spread of NEE values. The ensemble 232 uncertainty of GPP and R_{ECO} were highest at G2, G3 and G4 sites, associated with the highest 233

animal densities (Table 2). This suggests that the intensification of grazing management tends to increase the variation of GPP and R_{ECO} estimates between models, with a smaller uncertainty envelope at the G1 and G5 sites, where altered animal density variation is very low (0.1-0.04 LSU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).

The five grassland sites showed different dynamics in C fluxes with respect to the 238 simulated management options, with NEE varying between -231.3 and +189.2 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹, 239 considering all sites and simulation years. These results suggest higher NEE (-19.0±75.9 g 240 and -47.6±89.8 C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for baseline and LD₅₀, respectively), or lower C uptake, than 241 Sousanna et al. (2007) concluded from nine European grassland sites equipped with eddy-242 covariance flux measurements, which showed an average net sink of atmospheric CO₂ with 243 NEE of -240 ± 70 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (which is in the range -486.3 to 24.8 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, or -1783 to -91244 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, provided by Hörtnagl et al., 2018 for managed grasslands in Central Europe). 245 246 The site-by-site analysis (Fig. C in supplementary material) indicated, exept at the G5 site, that C uptake was the dominant process. At the G5 site, an NEE of <0 only occurred with 247 248 LD₇₀N₄₀ management options. At this site, the greatest model uncertainty in NEE values 249 occurred with the LD₇₀N₄₀ management option (Fig. 1), related to differently simulated CO₂ relase and uptake processes. According to the median values, the G5 site reached the highest 250 amount of C sequestration (~113.7 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) at LD₅₀N₀. The general observation from the 251 modelled sites of increasingly negative NEE in response to increasing N inputs is consistent 252 with experimental observations that report increases in the flow of C to the soil in response to 253 long-term fertiliser N use (Ammann et al. 2007; Skiba et al. 2013). For the period during 254 which the C is sequestered, it is removed from the atmosphere and does not contribute to 255 global warming. This effect is simulated at both grazed (G1, G2 and G3) and grazing 256 257 dominated (G4) sites, for all scenarios. Owing to the large quantity of harvested aboveground biomass (332.1 \pm 62.3 g DM m⁻² yr⁻¹ on average for the baseline scenario), the G5 site seems to 258

release C from the soil. However, the grazing removal $(395.8\pm38 \text{ g DM m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ on average})$ 259 260 for the baseline scenario of G3 site) may drive less radical changes in the C balance. Overall, these simulation results are consistent with eddy-covariance measurement data (Senapati et 261 al., 2014; Koncz et al., 2017), in which mown treatments were observed to release C, whilst 262 grazed treatments acted as net C sinks. For instance, in Senapati et al. (2014) mown 263 treatments had lower annual net C storage (22.7 \pm 32.3 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, net sink), related to hay 264 removal, than grazed plots (140.9 \pm 69.9 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, net sink) - though the observed site 265 (Lusignan, France) was recently converted from cropland to grassland, so would be expected 266 to be increasing in soil C (Senapati et al., 2014). 267

Considering all the sites, the estimated average C exchange (net biome productivity, 268 NBP, Fig. 1) ranged between -176.9 (sink) and +140.4 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹ (source), with its extremes 269 at $LD_{50}N_0$ and LD_{60} management options, respectively. This high variability was caused by 270 271 different management systems at G4 (grazed and mown) site (Figs. A and B in supplementary material), while the extensification combined with N reduction tended to increase C storage in 272 273 some cases, e.g. at G5 site. Owing to the high organic C exports (from haycut and/or intensive cattle grazing: 1.34 and 1.21 LSU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), which could be greater than C imports from 274 manure and slurry, the soil processes would be dominated by C emissions at the G2 site 275 (intensive scenarios). The N mitigation reduced the net biome production at G3 site even 276 277 further.



279

Fig. 1. Changes in CO₂ fluxes (g C m⁻²) calculated over multiple years at five sites, for ten altered management scenarios and the baseline (as in Table 3; LD: livestock density; N: nitrogen). For each management level, triangles show the multi-model (as in Table 4) mean, black lines show multi-model median. Boxes delimit the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers are 10th and 90th percentiles. Points indicate outliers.

Ecosystem respiration (R_{ECO}), together with R_{PLANT} and R_{SOIL} , showed a linear decrease as LD and N levels simultaneously decreased, but tended to increase with a reduction in animal density only (Fig. 1). Animal respiration (R_{ANIMAL}) tended to decrease as animal density decreased, though the multi-model median line (Fig. 1) was associated with some uncertainty at the baseline and $LD_{70}N_{40}$ options (i.e. 30% less animals and 60% less N fertiliser). Site-by-site analyses showed (Figs. A and B in supplementary material) that the

greatest simulated R_{ECO} occured with G3 and G4 grazing systems. Reduction in N fertilizer 292 tended to decrease R_{ECO}, however the variablility of soil respiration (R_{SOIL}) increased the 293 uncertainty at G4, particularly under sheep-heifer grazing. Based on model simulations, the 294 main losses of CO₂ at the G4 site were caused by R_{SOIL} and plant respiration (R_{PLANT}). 295 Simulated yearly R_{ANIMAL} values, and their proportional changes (Fig. D in supplementary 296 material) with respect to the baseline management showed a distinct emission decrease with 297 298 extensification (which is not the case with R_{PLANT} and R_{SOIL}, Figs. A and B in supplementary material), at a quasilinear rate (by y=-0.56+0.05x, $R^2 \sim 1$ for LD changes and y=-0.62+0.05x, 299 R^2 =0.99 for N and LD combined options at G3 site). The data also suggest that there were 300 301 substantial differences among models in the estimated effects of altered management. These differences were amplified when N fertilization was decreased jointly with animal density 302 (e.g. option LD₇₀N₄₀ at G4 and G5; Fig. D in supplementary material), which suggests that 303 304 interactions between the two factors may make a sizeable contribution to this variability in the response of different models. 305

Some relationships between model outputs and management inputs were apparent 306 307 from an analysis of data at each site. GPP decreased strongly with stocking rates while R_{ANIMAL} increased, with the exception of G2 site (Fig. E in supplementary material). The 308 309 simulated outputs at this site may reflect different and non-linear responses of alternative models (Fig. F in supplementary material). Often, the offtake increased and NPP decreased 310 with management intensification, albeit with large differences between models. With M06, 311 the highest Offtake was simulated when animal density decreased by 30%, while this 312 happened at 10% lower animal density with M24. M08 simulated the highest NPP value at 313 30% less livestock density, while with M28 the highest NPP values were simulated at the 314 most intensive management condition. 315

The influence of N fertilization was investigated at G3, G4 and G5 sites (Fig. 2) by 316 comparing the combined effect of livestock density reduction and N fertilisation reduction. In 317 terms of GPP (Fig. 2) and NPP (Fig. L in supplementary material), the simutations showed a 318 considerable decrease in GPP with >60% less applied N fertilizer at site G4. The R_{ECO} (Fig. 3, 319 middle panel) values also decreased with extensification, where the N reduction had a greater 320 effect at the G3 and G4 sites. Animal respiration was driven by livestock density (Fig. G in 321 322 supplementary material), while soil and plant respiration were mainly influenced by N inputs (lower R_{PLANT} and R_{SOIL} with lower N inputs). In terms of NEE (Fig. 2, lower panel) and NBP 323 (Fig. G in supplementary material) the trend was less obvious, owing to differences in 324 management and site conditions. 325



Fig. 2. Comparison of the combined effect of livestock density reduction (LD from 90% down to 50% of initial density) and the N fertilisation reduction (N from 80% down to 0% of initial amount) at G3, G4 and G5 sites for gross primary production (GPP), ecosystem respiration (R_{ECO}) and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) using the multi-model median.

333

The effectiveness of the different management strategies such as fertilizer amounts, 334 335 different animal stocking rates, grazing alone or combined with mowing, was also influenced by site-specific soil (type and depth) and weather conditions (ie. Precipitation). If we are to 336 distinguish between environmental from management effects, then precipitation patterns must 337 be taken into account as it can also have an influence on the results of the CO₂ fluxes (e.g. 338 Polley et al., 2010). In our simuation study, the amount of precipitation showed a positive 339 correlation with R_{SOIL} and all the other investigated CO₂ fluxes (Fig. H in supplementary 340 341 material) apart from NEE and NBP. The respiration outputs demonstrated higher sensitivity to N fertilization, than to percent livestock density changes. NEE values suggested greater 342 343 respiration in very arid years (such as some years at G1 site, where annual mean precipitation was 271 ± 141 mm), where the animal density reduction did not reduce the amount of CO₂ 344 emissions. A recent review highlighted the particular sensitivity of warm and dry climates to 345 346 change in stocking density where increased livestock density was associated with significantly lower rates of C sequestration (Abdalla et al., 2018). Gilmanov et al. (2007) pointed out that 347 organic and semi-arid grassland sites have the potential to become C sources. With decreasing 348 349 stocking rate, NEE tended to increase above 800 mm annual precipitation. In humid and very humid years, the soil may be saturated and anaerobic, and organic C decomposition can be 350 slowed or stopped under these conditions (yet anaerobic decomposition of partly decomposed 351 organic matter may emit methane, e.g. Bannert et al., 2012). The variability of NEE decreased 352

with N reduction, e.g. the most extensive treatment showed the smallest difference from zero(Fig. H in supplementary material).

355

356 *3.2. Non-CO*₂ *fluxes*

A recent IPCC report (2014) and other analyses (Schulze et al., 2009; Tubiello et al., 357 2014; Gerber et al., 2015; Hörtnagl et al., 2018) highlight the importance of the reduction of 358 non-CO₂ GHGs, as an important element of agricultural mitigation strategies. Particularly 359 methane and nitrous oxide cause longer-term warming effects than CO₂. There were clear 360 trends in model responses, indicating decreases in N2O-N, NH3-N, NOx-N, N2 and NO3-N 361 leaching with reductions in N fertilizer, while there were no clear trends in the response to 362 animal livestock reduction (Fig. 3, all models and sites confounded). Only NO₃-N leaching 363 showed a distinctly linear decrease with simultaneous decreases of N fertiliser and livestock 364 365 density, suggesting a close dependance of this output on N fertilization input. Methane (CH₄-C) emissions tended to decrease with decreasing livestock density and also with reductions in 366 367 N fertilisation.



Fig. 3. Changes in non-CO₂ fluxes (g C m⁻², g N m⁻², \log_{10} g N m⁻²) calculated over multiple years at five sites, for ten altered management scenarios and the baseline (as in Table 3). For each management level, triangles show the multi-model (as in Table 4) mean, black lines show multi-model median. Boxes delimit the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers are 10th and 90th percentiles. Hollow circles indicate outliers (LD from 90% down to 50% of initial density, N fertilisation from 80% to 0% of initial amount).

369

For N₂O emissions, relative effect size analysis (Fig. I in supplementary material) and 377 simulated emissions (Fig. 4 and Fig. J in supplementary material, respectively) revealed linear 378 decreasing trends as both livestock density and N fertiliser were reduced (sites G3, G4 and 379 G5, Fig. 4, bottom). The greatest mitigation of N₂O-N emission was obtained by reductions in 380 N fertilizer at the G4 and G5 sites, where the initial 0.51 and 0.63 g N_2 O-N m⁻² were reduced 381 to 0.11 and 0.16 g N₂O-N m⁻², respectively. In terms of total GHG emissions, using the 100-382 year Global Warming Potential (GWP₁₀₀), the N mitigation from baseline to zero would 383 reduce the multi-model median simulated N₂O emissions by 135.7, 187.1 and 219.9 g CO₂e 384

 m^{-2} yr⁻¹ at G3, G4 and G5 sites, respectively. This corresponds to ~16–25% of C sink potential, reported by Soussana et al. (2007) across nine European grassland sites, but a larger percentage (~40–65%) of the sink potentials determined in this study.

The reduction of N fertilizer logically decreases the N₂O-N emissions, as reported here 388 (Fig. J in supplementary material) and by experimental studies (Cardenas et al., 2010; Bell et 389 al., 2016; Hörtnagl et al., 2018). Our results at the G3 site showed the same trend (Table 5), 390 when the N₂O-N emissions are compared to the applied N fertilizer amounts, the estimated 391 (simplified) N₂O-N emission factors (percent ratios of the total yearly N₂O-N emissions over 392 the amount of annually applied N fertiliser, both in kg N ha⁻¹). Our simulated results (varying 393 394 between 1.0 and 3.5% across sites and treatments) are not far from the IPCC (2006) default EF for fertilizer N value, which is 1%. At G4 and G5 sites, the EF values tended to increase as 395 grassland management received less N fertilizer (Table 5), which suggest some non-linear 396 397 reduction of N₂O under reduced fertiliser supply, which can be explained by a decrease of plant N uptake with decreasing N fertilizer rate (e.g. Lü et al., 2014). Negative relationships 398 399 between N use efficiency and soil N availability were observed in a variety of ecosystems, 400 including grasslands (e.g. Yuan et al., 2006). Decreased N uptake from the soil and less efficient use of the N assimilated by plants leave more N available for microbes in the soil 401 402 (which is the most important factor for N_2 O-N emissions). Thus, the most intensive systems (G3, G4 and G5) had the highest CO₂e emission rates while the N₂O-N emission factors 403 varied between the managements options. There was no trend in median values of simulated 404 N₂O emissions and LD levels, with the exception of G1 and G4 (Fig. I in supplementary 405 406 material). At the G1 site, a slight decreasing trend was noticeable with decreasing grazing intensity, with increasingly diverging results among models as more extensive management 407 408 was introduced.



Fig. 4. Relationship between nitrous oxide emissions (multi-year averages of seven models)
given in N₂O-N and CO₂e forms, and increasing animal stocking rate at all sites comparing
livestock density reductions (top graph) and livestock density and N fertilization reduction
(bottom graph), as in Table 3.

417 Table 5. N_2O -N emission factors: multi-model median at the three N fertilised sites (as in 418 Table 2). Grey cells indicate the lowest values.

Management options	G3	G4	G5
Baseline	1.3%	1.8%	2.3%
$LD_{90}N_{80}$	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%
$LD_{80}N_{60}$	1.6%	2.0%	1.9%
LD ₇₀ N ₄₀	1.4%	2.2%	2.5%
$LD_{60}N_{20}$	1.0%	1.7%	3.5%
$LD_{50}N_0$	-	-	-

419

420 Overall, the different N fluxes (Fig. 4 and Fig. K in supplementary material) tended to
421 decrease with reduced N fertilization, mainly after a 60% reduction in the amount of N
422 applied in both grazed and combined (mown and grazing) systems.

423 Regarding CH₄-C emissions (Fig. 5), the G3 site had much higher model uncertainty
424 than other sites, mostly due to high estimates from M06 and M24. The simulated multi-model

median values were the highest, with lower livestock density. For example, the multi model multi-year average baseline simulation was 4.6 g C m⁻², which was reduced to 3.6 g C m⁻² with stocking rate reduction alone, and to 3.4 g C m⁻² in combination with N reduction at the G3 site (Fig. 5). The main agricultural source of CH₄ at the G3 site was the intensive heifer grazing system. Other sites were less affected because either they had grazing sheep (G2 and G5) or were less intensively grazed (low cattle density in G1, combined sheep and cattle grazing in G4).



434

Fig. 5. Relationship between methane emissions (multi-year averages of five models), expressed as CH_4 -C and CO_2e , and increasing animal stocking rate at all sites, comparing livestock density reduction (top graph) and livestock and N fertilization reduction (bottom graph) as given in Table 3.

Site-specific circumstances, mainly soil properties (particularly soil N availability), 440 and precipitation patterns, have considerable effect on the N balance of the grassland sites. 441 442 Our modelled outputs show that soils tended to release more N₂O-N and CH₄-C (Fig. L in supplementary material) in humid and very humid years, while the net N emissions were 443 lower during drier years. The highest N₂O-N emissions and also N₂ and NO_x emissions (Fig. 444 445 L in supplementary material) were simulated when annual precipitation was around 1000 mm, owing to the more available N, because high rainfall rates increase the rate of N transport to 446 deeper soil layers and increase nitrate leaching (Fig. L in supplementary material). Also, there 447 448 would be more anaerobic microsites leading to greater rates of denitrification in waterlogged soils (Smith et al., 1998). Besides, higher NPP values (Fig. H in supplementary material) were 449 associated with elevated precipitation, thus higher organic N inputs to soil may contribute to 450 the larger N₂O-N emissions. This indicates that the intensity of N losses tends to be associated 451

with annual precipitation levels, and N losses can be effectively mitigated by reducing livestock density and/or N fertilisation rates. Our simulations indicate reductions in N fertilization as the most effective option for mitigating non-CO₂ fluxes, mainly in humid areas, an observation that is consistent with a number of previous studies (Bouwman et al., 2002; Rees et al., 2013) but further studies are required considering the high variability of model responses.

- 458
- 459

3.3. Productivity and energy outputs

Some decreasing trends with management extensification can be observed in the boxplots of Fig. 6, e.g. for simulated Offtake and Intake, whilst aboveground and belowground biomass increased with lower stocking rates, combining simulation results from five sites. In terms of harvested aboveground biomass, a drop was simulated with no N fertilization (e.g. baseline simulation: 421.7 ± 118.6 g DM m⁻², $LD_{50}N_0$ management: 200.9 ± 78.8 g DM m⁻² across the multi-year site averages).

466



Fig. 6. Changes in productivity and energy outputs (g DM m⁻², MJ kg⁻¹ DM) calculated over
multiple years at five sites, for ten altered management scenarios and the baseline (as in Table
3). For each management level, triangles show the multi-model (as in Table 4) mean, black
lines show multi-model median. Boxes delimit the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers are 10th
and 90th percentiles. Points indicate outliers.

473

474 In fertilised sites, where LD levels have been assessed alone and in combination with N ferilisation levels, Fig. 6 and Fig. M in the supplementary material indicate that, overall, 475 reducing N fertiliser rate will have more effect on aboveground biomass and biomass offtake 476 477 than reducing livestock density, as data points relative to sites G3, G4 and G5 tend to stay below the 1:1 line. The effect of N fertiliser reduction starts becoming visible at G4 with 60% 478 N reduction, while only with no N fertilisation is this effect visible at G5. However, livestock 479 480 density reduction has a greater effect on animal intake, belowground biomass and ME_{Offrake} (Fig. M in supplementary material). Animal intake decreases considerably with 481 extensification (Fig. 6 and Fig. N in supplementary material). 482

The annual NPP values, normalized by animal stocking rates, are shown in Fig. 7 for 483 each management option (Fig. 7). The G5 site (mowing dominated) was excluded from this 484 analysis owing to the very low stocking rate practised at this site, thus a relationship was 485 established of animal intake (not offtake) with NPP over stocking rate ratio. There was a 486 decreasing trend in animal intake with extensification when animals have access to more 487 biomass per head. This trend is also supported by the relationship between the minimum 488 required amount of biomass per animal and the productivity of the sites under different 489 management options. Using 1.5 LSU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ as an overall reference estimate of potential 490 491 ecological carrying capacity (e.g. UK Rural Payments Agency, 2003), which is equal to 1.5 adult cattle on 1 ha pasture field, we see that around ~46% of the total biomass produced each 492

493 year is consumed by animals in the most intensive grazing systems (baseline) of G2 and G3 494 sites (Figure 7). With extensification, this ratio is reduced to $\sim 23\%$ at LD₅₀ (average of G2 495 and G3 sites).

496

497

Fig. 7. Relationship between animal intake (Intake) (multi-year averages of eight models,
expressed with two units) and the ratio of net primary production (NPP) (multi-year averages
of eight models) over animal stocking rate for different livestock densities (as in Table 3).

501

502 Overall, grassland productivity increases with annual precipitation levels, though 503 uncertainties can be large (e.g. seasonal waterlogging spells and heat waves may have 504 negative effects on grassland productivity also with rainfall >1000 mm yr⁻¹), indicating higher 505 sensitivity to animal density reduction (which has some positive effects) than to N fertilization 506 reduction (with even greater negative effects) of $ME_{Offtake}$, Intake, Offtake, AB and BB (Fig. 507 O in supplementary material).

- 508
- 509

3.4. CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O intensities

Extensification, mostly through N input reduction, increased the variability of results in the case of methane intensity. Overall, N₂O-N intensity reduces with greater extensification (Fig. P in supplementary material), mainly driven by N fertilizer reduction, while CO_2 -C intensity shows different patterns at each site. In G4, in particular, CO_2 -C intensity is >1 when animal density was reduced by 60%. Multi-model median simulations suggest that reducing N
fertilization does not affect methane emissions. However, at the G3 site (grazed by heifers),
which shows the greatest intensities (Fig. Q in supplementary material), CH₄-C emissions
increase with reducing animal density (with cattle having a larger area to graze, and thus more
biomass available for feeding).

For N₂O-N intensity (Fig. R in supplementary material), model variability increased 519 with reductions in animal density at the G1 and G3 sites (cattle grazing systems). Under sheep 520 grazing (G2, G4, G5 sites), different models did not differ much in their output when reducing 521 animal density. The intensity of C (in the form of CO₂-C and CH₄-C) and N (in the form of 522 N₂O-N) emissions with respect to biomass offtake did not change with extensification (Fig. P 523 in supplementary material). The plot of CH₄-C intensity values against NPP-stocking rate 524 ratios (Fig. 8) show the extensification at G3 site increased the simulation uncertainty. For 525 526 sheep grazing systems, methane emissions did not vary greatly with management options. However, CO₂-C and N₂O-N intensities, and their simulation uncertainties, increased with 527 528 extensification, when animals had more available biomass.

Fig. 8. Relationship between CO₂-C, N₂O-N and CH₄-C intensity outputs (multi-year
averages of eight, seven and five models, respectively) and the ratio of net primary production
(NPP) ouput and animal stocking rate for different livestock densities (as in Table 3).

In relation to annual precipitation levels, CO₂-C, CH₄-C and N₂O-N intensities (Fig. R in supplementary material) showed different patterns for arid and humid conditions. In the case of CO₂-C intensity, C sequestration was moving around its equilibrium at humid conditions, whilst for drier years it showed different patterns. N fertilization reduction may increase C fixation, with its maximum at 30% less animal density and 60% less N fertilization.

Fig. 9. Ensemble modelling of carbon sink (C sink), nitrous oxide emissions (N₂O) and methane emissions (CH₄) in CO₂eq form using multi-site averages of the muli-model median of eight models under grassland mitigation options (as in Table 3).

547

548 Overall, the carbon sink increased with extensification (baseline: ~-70, LD₅₀: ~-175 and 549 LD₅₀N₀: ~-329 CO₂eq) (Fig.9), but N₂O and CH₄ emissions decreased. Livestock density 550 reduction showed greater effect on CH₄-CO₂eq reduction (baseline: ~108, LD₅₀: ~84 and 551 LD₅₀N₀: ~75 CO₂eq), while N fertilization reduction considerably reduced the N₂O emission 552 from ~160 and ~152 CO₂eq (baseline and LD50, respectively) to ~49 CO₂eq with no N 553 fertilisation.

554

555 4. Summary and conclusions

This is the first multi-model study to simulate the effect on C and N fluxes of reduced grazing intensity and N fertiliser inputs in multiple grassland systems across the globe. By mobilizing a multi-model approach, it has provided an improved understanding of GHG flux dynamics in pastures. This study confirms that grasslands (which have the advantage of potentially acting as a C and N sink compared to many croplands) can be exploited for GHG 561 mitigation in beef and dairy production, because C and N sequestrations can, under some 562 circumstances, offset GHG emissions.

Simulated C fluxes indicated that there may be some shift towards a C sink (NEE<0) 563 with decreasing inputs, though it depends on complex, multifaceted processes of C fixation 564 (GPP) and release (R_{ECO}) occurring in the ecosystem. This is especially true for G3 and G4 565 sites, while grasslands managed with low animal densities may not support C sequestration 566 under arid conditions or in the presence of high organic C exports from mowing. Simulated N 567 outputs showed the considerable effect of N fertilizer reduction on C and N emissions, while 568 changes in animal density only slightly affected the N balance. Both simulated CH₄-C and N 569 570 emissions (including leaching) were, as expected, highly sensitive to precipitation levels, with higher values being seen under humid conditions (annual precipitation >1000 mm). This 571 indicates the importance of considering climate patterns when determining budgets of C and 572 573 N under varying management options. With the most intensively grazed systems, ~35-40% of the simulated net primary production was grazed by animals, with this ratio decreasing to 574 575 ~13% with decreasing stocking rates. The greatest enteric CH₄-C intensities were estimated 576 for intensive grazing systems, whilst the highest estimates of N₂O-N intensities were found for mown and extensively grazed arid systems. Considering the dynamic behaviour of 577 578 grassland systems, the amounts of C and N sequestered or released are not the same each 579 year. However, uncertainties in the year-to-year variations are not critical in this context as our focus was on capturing major trends and levels rather than modelling exact annual or 580 581 seasonal fluxes.

While suggesting the possibility of using models to determine sound mitigation practices, the present study also showed limitations. Our findings are based purely on simulated data and lack evaluation against measured outputs (experimental trials that have appeared in the published literature give us, at a minimum, a hint at what comprehensive

assessment of multi-model ensemble would look like). Although the models used in this study 586 587 are only a subset of the available grassland models, we think that the various model types and variants (and related parameterizations) evaluated here are reasonably representative of 588 589 current approaches. Another study limitation is that grassland practices other than grazing density and N fertilisation were not assessed. For instance, an option that has not been 590 591 accounted for in this study is increasing the proportion of legume species in the sward which 592 can allow for reduced use of N fertiliser, and has the potential to mitigate GHG emissions 593 (e.g. Lanigan et al., 2013; Fuchs et al., 2018). For an analysis of the mitigation potential of legumes, we refer readers to a parallel study underway as part of the Model4Pastures project 594

595 (https://www.faccejpi.com/Research-Themes-and-Achievements/GHG-Mitigation/multi-

partners-call/Models4Pastures). Other options, such as organic N fertilisation, nitrification 596 inhibitors or supplemental feeding, which are common practices in grassland management, 597 598 have been left out given that state-of-the-art models are not unambiguously sensitive to such 599 management interventions (Brilli et al., 2017). These difficulties, and those associated with 600 model-to-model variability, suggest that some development work would be sensible given the 601 importance of grasslands in supporting the broader GHG emissions reduction agenda. Despite their limitations, biogeochemical models (which evolve with the progress of research) are 602 603 today a valuable tool for evaluating alternative options for mitigation of GHG emissions through grassland management. It is still rare for results in support to management decisions 604 to be reported by an assessment of uncertainty. Our results show the potential for associating 605 606 quantification of uncertainties with the results of grassland modelling under alternative 607 management.

608

609 Acknowledgement

This work was undertaken by the CN-MIP project of the Joint Programming Initiative 610 'FACCE' (https://www.faccejpi.com) under the auspices of the Global Research Alliance for 611 Greenhouse Gases Integrative Research 612 Agricultural Group 613 (http://globalresearchalliance.org/research/integrative). The project, coordinated by the French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA) (ANR-13-JFAC-0001), received funding 614 by the 'FACCE' Multi-partner Call on Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research through its 615 national financing bodies. The input of PS and NF contributes to projects with support from 616 617 UK-NERC (U-GRASS: NE/M016900/1) and FACCE-JPI via Defra. VS and PN were funded by the New Zealand Government to support the objectives of the Livestock Research Group 618 619 of the Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases. FE acknowledges support through a grant from the French environment and energy management agency (ADEME, n° 620 12-60-C0023). KF and LM acknowledge funds received from the Swiss National Science 621 622 Foundation (40FA40_154245/1 grant agreement) and from the Doc Mobility grant. Exchanges between French and Italian authors were supported by the Galileo programme 623 624 (CLIMSOC: 39625XE for France, G18-631 for Italy).

We acknowledge Stephanie K. Jones, Kairsty Topp (Scotland's Rural College, EH9 3JG Edinburgh, United Kingdom) and Ute Skiba (Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Edinburgh, United Kingdom), who contributed to collecting the data used in this study for the G4 site. Lianhai Wu (Rothamsted Research, Sustainable Soil and Grassland Systems Department, United Kingdom) and Rich Conant (NREL, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA) are also acknowledged for their contribution to the modelling work performed with SPACSYS and DayCent v4.5 2013, respectively.

632

633 **References**

- Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Chadwick, D.R., Jones, D.L., Evans, C.D., Jones, M.B., Rees,
 R.M., Smith, P., 2018. Critical review of the impacts of grazing intensity on soil organic
 carbon storage and other soil quality indicators in extensively managed grasslands.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 253, 62-81.
- Abdalla, M., Richards, M., Pogson, M., Smith, J.U., Smith, P., 2017. Estimating the effect of
 nitrogen fertilizer on the greenhouse gas balance of soils in Wales under current and future
 climate. Regional Environmental Change 16, 2357-2368.
- 641 Allard, V., Soussana, J.-F., Falcimagne, R., Berbigier, P., Bonnefond, J.M., Ceschia, E.,
- 642 D'hour, P., Hénault, C., Laville, P., Martin, C., Pinarès-Patino, C., 2007. The role of
- grazing management for the net biome productivity and greenhouse gas budget (CO₂, N₂O
- and CH₄) of semi-natural grassland. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 12, 47-58.
- Ammann, C., Flechard, C.R., Leifeld, J., Neftel, A., Fuhrer, J., 2007. The carbon budget of
 newly established temperate grassland depends on management intensity. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems and Environment 121, 5-20.
- 648 Atkinson, P.W., Fuller, R.J., Vickery, J.A., Conway, G.J., Tallowin, J.R.B., Smith, R.E.N.,
- Haysom, K.A., Ings, T.C., Asteraki, E.J., Brown, V.K., 2005. Influence of agricultural
 management, sward structure and food resources on grassland field use by birds in lowland
 England. Journal of Applied Ecology 42, 932-942.
- Bell, M.J., Cloy, J.M., Topp, C.F.E., Ball, B.C., Bagnall, A., Rees, R.M., Chadwick, D.R.,
 2016. Quantifying N₂O emissions from intensive grassland production: the role of
 synthetic fertiliser type, application rate, timing, and nitrification inhibitors. Journal of
 Agricultural Science 154, 812-827.
- Ben Touhami, H., Bellocchi, G., 2015. Bayesian calibration of the Pasture Simulation model
 (PaSim) to simulate European grasslands under water stress. Ecological Informatics 30,
 356-364.

- Bannert, A., Bogen, C., Esperschuetz, J., 2012. Anaerobic oxidation of methane in grassland
 soils used for cattle husbandry. Biogeosciences 9, 3891-3899.
- Bondeau, A., Kicklighter, D.W., Kaduk, J. and the participants of the Potsdam NdP Model
 Intercomparison, 1999. Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity
 (NPP): importance of vegetation structure on seasonal NPP estimates. Global Change
 Biology 5, 35-45.
- Bondeau, A., Smith, P.C., Zaehle, S., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Cramer, W., Gerten, D.,
 Lotze-campen, H., Müller, C., Reichstein, M., Smith, B., 2007. Modelling the role of
 agriculture for the 20th century global terrestrial carbon balance. Global Change Biology
 13, 679-706.
- Bouwman, A.F., Boumans, L.J.M., Batjes, N.H., 2002. Emissions of N₂O and NO from
 fertilized fields: Summary of available measurement data. Global Biogeochemical Cycles
 16, art-1058.
- Brilli, L., Bechini, L., Bindi, M., Carozzi, M., Cavalli, D., Conant, R., Dorich, C.D., Doro, L.,
- Ehrhardt, F., Farina, R., Ferrise, R., Fitton, N., Francaviglia, R., Grace, P., Iocola, I.,
- 674 Klumpp, K., Léonard, J., Martin, R., Massad, R.S., Recous, S., Seddaiu, G., Sharp, J.,
- Smith, P., Smith, W.,N., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., 2017. Review and analysis of
 strengths and weaknesses of agro-ecosystem models for simulating C and N fluxes.
- 677 Science of the Total Environment 598, 445-470.
- Calanca, P., Vuichard, N., Campbell, C., Viovy, N., Cozic, A., Fuhrer, J., Soussana, J.-F.,
 2007. Simulating the fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in European grasslands with the Pasture
 Simulation Model (PaSim). Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 121, 164-174.
- 681 Calanca, P., Deléglise, C., Martin, R., Carrère, P., Mosimann, E., 2016. Testing the ability of
- a simple grassland model to simulate the seasonal effects of drought on herbage growth.
- Field Crops Research 187, 12-23.

- Campbell, E.E., Paustian, K., 2015. Current developments in soil organic matter modeling
 and the expansion of model applications: a review. Environmental Research Letters 10,
 123004.
- Cardenas, L.M., Thorman, R., Ashlee, N., Butler, M., Chadwick, D., Chambers, B., Cuttle, S.,
 Donovan, N., Kingston, H., Lane, S., Dhanoa, M.S., Scholefield, D., 2010. Quantifying
 annual N₂O emission fluxes from grazed grassland under a range of inorganic fertiliser
 nitrogen inputs. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 136, 218-226.
- Challinor, A.J, Smith, M.S., Thornton, P., 2013. Use of agro-climate ensembles for
 quantifying uncertainty and informing adaptation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
 170, 2-7.
- Chang, J., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Herrero, M., Havlik, P., Wang, X., Sultan, B.,
 Soussana, J.-F. (2015) Effect of climate change, CO₂ trends, nitrogen addition, and landcover and management intensity changes on the carbon balance of European grasslands.
- Global Change Biology 22, 338-350.
- Chang, J., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Ciais, P., Wang, T., Cozic, A., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I.,
 Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Soussana, J.-F., 2013. Incorporating grassland management in
 ORCHIDEE: model description and evaluation at eddy-covariance sites in Europe.
 Geoscientific Model Development 6, 2165-2181.
- Chen, D.L., Li, Y., Grace, P., Mosier, A.R., 2008. N₂O emissions from agricultural lands: a
 synthesis of simulation approaches. Plant and Soil 309, 169-189.
- Churkina, G., Running, S.W., Schloss, A.L. and the participants of the Potsdam NdP Model
 Intercomparison, 1999. Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity
 (NPP): the importance of water availability. Global Change Biology 5, 46-55.
- 707 Ciais, P., Soussana, J.-F., Vuichard, N., Luyssaert, S., Don, A., Janssens, I.A., Piao, S.L.,
- Dechow, R., Lathière, J., Maignan, F., Wattenbach, M., Smith, P., Ammann, C., Freibauer,

- A., Schulze, E.D. and the CARBOEUROPE Synthesis Team, 2010. The greenhouse gas
 balance of European grasslands. Biogeosciences Discussions 7, 5997-6050.
- Clark, H, Brookes, I, Walcroft, A., 2003. Enteric methane emissions from New Zealand
 ruminants 1990–2001 calculated using an IPCC Tier 2 approach. Report prepared for the
 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by AgResearch and Massey University, Ministry of
 Agriculture and Forestry, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Elliott, J., Müller, C., Deryng, D., Chryssanthacopoulos, J., Boote, K.J., Büchner, M., Foster,
- I., Glotter, M., Heinke, J., Iizumi, T., Izaurralde, R.C., Mueller, N.D., Ray, D.K.,
 Rosenzweig, C., Ruane, A.C., Sheffield, J., 2015. The Global Gridded Crop Model
 Intercomparison: data and modeling protocols for Phase 1 (v1.0). Geoscientific Model
 Development 8, 261-277.
- Ehrhardt, F., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., Grace, P., Mcauliffe, R., Recous, S., Sandor, R.,
- Smith, P., Snow, V., Migliorati, M.D., Basso, B., Bhatia, A., Brilli, L., Doltra, J., Dorich,
- 722 C.D., Doro, L., Fitton, N., Giacomini, S.J., Grant, B., Harrison, M.T., Jones, S.K.,
- 723 Kirschbaum, M.U., Klumpp, K., Laville, P., Leonard, J., Liebig, M.A., Lieffering, M.,
- Martin, R., Massad, R., Meier, E., Merbold, L., Moore, A.D., Myrgiotis, V., Newton, P.,
- Pattey, E., Rolinski, S., Sharp, J., Smith, W.N., Wu, L., Zhang, Q., 2018. Assessing
 uncertainties in crop and pasture ensemble model simulations of productivity and N₂O
 emissions. Global Change Biology 24, e603-e616.
- FAO, 2014. World reference base for soil resources 2014. World Soil Resources Report 106
 Rome. 120 pp.
- 730 Folberth, C., Skalský, R., Moltchanova, E., Balkovič, J., Azevedo, L.B., Obersteiner, M., van
- der Velde, M., 2016. Uncertainty in soil data can outweigh climate impact signals in global
- crop yield simulations. Nature Communications 7, 11872.

733	Fuchs, K., Hörtnagl, L., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Snow, V., Merbold, L., 2018.
734	Management matters: Testing a mitigation strategy for nitrous oxide emissions on
735	intensively managed grassland. Biogeosciences Discussions, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-
736	2018-192.

- Gerber, P.J., Mottet, A., Opio, C.I., Falcucci, A., Teillard, F., 2015. Environmental impacts of
 beef production: Review of challenges and perspectives for durability. Meat Science 109,
 2-12.
- Giardina, C.P., Litton, C.M., Crow, S.E., Asner, G.P., 2014. Warming-related increases in soil
 CO₂ efflux are explained by increased below-ground carbon flux. Nature Climate Change
 4, 822-827.
- Gilmanov, T., Soussana, J.-F., Aires, L., Allard, V., Ammann, C., Balzarolo, M., Barcza, Z.,
- Bemhofer, C., Campbell, C.L., Cernusca A., Cescatti, A., Clifton-Brown, J., Dirks,
- B.O.M., Dore, S., Eugster, W., Fuhrer, J., Gimeno, C., Gruenwald, T., Haszpra, L.,
- Hensen, A., Ibrom, A., Jacobs, A.F.G., Jones, M.B., Lanigan, G., Laurila, T., Lohila, A.,
- 747 Manca, G., Marcolla, B., Nagy, Z., Pilegaard, K., Pinter, K., Pio, C., Raschi, A., Rogiers,
- 748 N., Sanz, M.J., Stefani, P., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., Valentini, R., Williams, M.L., Wohlfahrt,
- G., 2007. Partitioning of the tower-based net CO₂ exchange in European grasslands into
 gross primary productivity and ecosystem respiration components using light response
 functions analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 121, 93-120.
- Graux, A.-I., Bellocchi, G., Lardy, R., Soussana, J.-F., 2013. Ensemble modelling of climate
 change risks and opportunities for managed grasslands in France. Agricultural and Forest
 Meteorology 170, 114-131.
- Graux, A.I., Lardy, R., Bellocchi, G., Soussana, J.-F., 2012. Global warming potential of
 French grassland-based dairy livestock systems under climate change. Regional
 Environmental Change 12, 751-763.

- Herrero, M., Henderson, B. Havlík, P., Thornton, P.K., Conant, R.T., Smith, P., Wirsenius, S.,
 Hristov, A.N., Gerber, P., Gill, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Valin, H., Garnett, T., Stehfest,
 E., 2016. Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector. Nature Climate
 Change 6, 452-461.
- 762 Hörtnagl, L., Barthel, M., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Díaz-Pinés, E.,
- Zeeman, M., Klumpp, K., Kiese, R., Bahn, M., Hammerle, A., Lu, H., Ladreiter-Knauss,
- T., Burri, S., Merbold, L., 2018. Greenhouse gas fluxes over managed grasslands in
 Central Europe. Global Change Biology, doi:10.1111/gcb.14079
- Holzworth, D.P., Huth, N.I., DeVoil, P.G., Zurcher, E.J., Herrmann, N.I., McLean, G., Chenu,
- K., van Oosterom, E.J., Snow, V., Murphy, C., Moore, A.D., Brown, H., Whish, J.P.M.,
- Verrall, S., Fainges, J., Bell, L.W., Peake, A.S., Poulton, P.L., Hochman, Z., Thorburn,
- P.J., Gaydon, D.S., Dalgliesh, N.P., Rodriguez, D., Cox, H., Chapman, S., Doherty, A.,
- Teixeira, E., Sharp, J., Cichota, R., Vogeler, I., Li, F.Y., Wang, E., Hammer, G.L.,
- Robertson, M.J., Dimes, J.P., Whitbread, A.M., Hunt, J., van Rees, H., McClelland, T.,
- 772 Carberry, P.S., Hargreaves, J.N.G., MacLeod, N., McDonald, C., Harsdorf, J., Wedgwood,
- S., Keating, B.A., 2014. APSIM Evolution towards a new generation of agricultural
 systems simulation. Environmental Modelling & Software 62, 327-350.
- systems simulation. Environmental Wodening & Software 02, 527-550.
- Huntzinger, D.N., Post, W.M., Wei, Y., Michalak, A.M., West, T.O., Jacobson, A.R., Baker,
- I.T., Chen, J.M., Davis, K.J., Hayes, D.J., Hoffman, F.M., Jain, A.K., Liu, S., McGuire,
- A.D., Neilson, R.P., Potter, C., Poulter, B., Price, D., Raczka, B.M., Tian, H.Q., Thornton,
- P., Tomelleri, E., Viovy, N., Xiao, J., Yuan, W., Zeng, N., Zhao, M., Cook, R. (2012)
- North American Carbon Program (NACP) regional interim synthesis: terrestrial biospheric
- model intercomparison. Ecological Modelling 232, 144-157.
- Huth, N.I., Bristow, K.L., Verburg, K., 2012. SWIM3: Model use, calibration, and validation.
- Transactions of the ASABE 55, 1303-1313.

- Imer, D., Merbold, L., Eugster, W., Buchmann, N., 2013. Temporal and spatial variations of
 soil CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at three differently managed grasslands. Biogeosciences 10,
 5931-5945.
- IPCC, 2006. N₂O emissions from managed soils, and CO₂ emissions from lime and urea application. In: De Klein, C., Novoa, R.S.A., Ogle, S., Smith, K.A., Rochette, P., Wirth,
 T.C., McConkey, B.G., Mosier, A., Rypdal, K. (eds.) Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. IGES, Japan, vol. 4, pp. 1-54. Available at https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/4_Volume4/V4_11_Ch11_N2O&CO2.pdf (access on 18 May 2018)
- 792 IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working
- Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Doschung, J., Nauels, A.,
 Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 1535 pp.
- IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II
 and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland,
 151 pp.
- Jones, J.W., Antle, J.M, Basso, B.O. et al., 2017a. Brief history of agricultural systems
 modelling. Agricultural Systems 155, 240-254.
- Jones, S.K., Helfter, C., Anderson, M., Coyle, M., Campbell, C., Famulari, D., Di Marco, C.,
- van Dijk, N., Tang, Y.S., Topp, C.F.E., Kiese, R., Kindler, R., Siemens, J., Schrumpf, M.,
- Kaiser, K., Nemitz, E., Levy, P.E., Rees, R.M., Sutton, M.A., Skiba., U.M., 2017b. The
- nitrogen, carbon and greenhouse gas budget of a grazed, cut and fertilised temperate
- grassland. Biogeosciences 14, 2069-2088.

- Klumpp, K., Tallec, T., Guix, N., Soussana, J.-F., 2011. Long-term impacts of agricultural
 practices and climatic variability on carbon storage in a permanent pasture. Global Change
 Biology 17, 3534–3545.
- 810 Koncz, P., Pintér, K., Balogh, J., Papp, M., Hidy, D., Csintalan, Zs., Molnár, E., Szaniszló,
- A., Kampfi, Gy., Horváth, L., Nagy, Z., 2017. Extensive grazing in contrast to mowing is
- 812 climate-friendly based on the farm-scale greenhouse gas balance. Agriculture, Ecosystems
- and Environment 240, 121-134.
- Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., Rubel, F., 2006. World Map of the Köppen-
- 815 Geiger climate classification updated. Meteorologische Zeitschrift 15, 259-263.
- Lanigan, G., Finn, J., Lüscher, A., 2013. Mitigation & adaptation options: grass-legume
 mixtures. AnimalChange E-Newsletter,
 http://www.animalchange.eu/pdf/newsletter/nov2013.pdf.
- olo <u>http://www.annnatchange.eu/pui/newsieuei/nov2013.pui</u>.
- Li, F.Y., Snow, V.O., Holzworth, D.P., 2011. Modelling the seasonal and geographical
 pattern of pasture production in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural
 Research 54, 331-352.
- Liebig, M.A., Gross, J.R., Kronberg, S.L., Hanson, J.D., Frank, A.B., Phillips, R.L., 2006.
- Soil response to long-term grazing in the northern Great Plains of North America.
 Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment 115, 270-276.
- Liebig, M.A., Gross, J.R., Kronberg, S.L., Phillips, R.L., Hanson, J.D., 2010. Grazing
- 826 management contributions to net global warming potential: a long-term evaluation in the
- 827 Northern Great Plains. Journal of Environmental Quality 39, 799-809.
- 828 Liebig, M.A., Kronberg, S.L., Hendrickson, J.R., Dong, X., Gross, J R., 2013. Carbon dioxide
- 829 efflux from long-term grazing management systems in a semiarid region. Agriculture,
- Ecosystems and Environment, 164, 137–144.

- Lü, X.-T., Dijkstra, F.A., Kong, D.-L., Wang, Z.-W., Han, X.-G., 2014. Plant nitrogen uptake
 drives responses of productivity to nitrogen and water addition in a grassland. Scientific
 Report 4:4817, doi:10.1038/srep04817.
- Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G.,
- 835 2015. Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems.
- Environment Modelling & Software 72, 356-371.
- Merbold, L., Eugster, W., Stieger, J., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D., Buchmann, N., 2014.
 Greenhouse gas budget (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) of intensively managed grassland following
 restoration. Global Change Biology 20, 1913-1928.
- 840 Newton, P.C.D., Lieffering, M., Bowatte, W.M.S.D., Brock, S.C., Hunt, C.L., Theobald,
- P.W., Ross, D.J., 2010. The rate of progression and stability of progressive nitrogen
 limitation at elevated atmospheric CO₂ in a grazed grassland over 11 years of Free Air CO₂
 enrichment. Plant and Soil 336, 433-441.
- Newton, P.C.D., Lieffering, M., Parsons, A.J., Brock, S.C., Theobald, P.W., Hunt, C.L.,
 Theobald, P.W., Ross, D.J., 2014. Selective grazing modifies previously anticipated
 responses of plant community composition to elevated CO₂ in a temperate grassland.
 Global Change Biology 20, 158-169.
- Oates, L.G., Jackson, R.D., 2014. Livestock management strategy affects net ecosystem
 carbon balance of subhumid pasture. Rangeland Ecology & Management 67, 19-29.
- Parton, W.J., Hartman, M., Ojima, D., Schimel, D., 1998. DAYCENT and its land surface
 submodel: description and testing. Global and Planetary Change 19, 35-48.
- Polley, H.W., Emmerich, W., Bradford, J.A., Sims, P.L., Johnson, D.A., Saliendra, N.Z.,
- 853 Svejcar, T., Angell, R., Frank, A.B., Phillips, R.L., Snyder, K.A., Morgan, J.A., Sanabria,
- J., Mielnick, P.C., Dugas, W.A., 2010. Precipitation regulates the response of net

- 855 ecosystem CO₂ exchange to environmental variation on United States rangelands.
 856 Rangeland Ecology and Management 63, 176-186.
- Probert, M.E., Dimes, J.P., Keating, B.A., Dalal, R.C., Strong, W.M., 1998. APSIM's water
 and nitrogen modules and simulation of the dynamics of water and nitrogen in fallow
 systems. Agricultural Systems 56, 1-28.
- R Core Team, 2016. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org
- 862 Rees, R.M., Augustin, J., Alberti, G., Ball, B.C., Boeckx, P., Cantarel, A., Castaldi, S.,
- 863 Chirinda, N., Chojnicki, B., Giebels, M., Gordon, H., Grosz, B., Horvath, L., Juszczak, R.,
- 864 Klemedtsson, A.K., Klemedtsson, L., Medinets, S., Machon, A., Mapanda, F.,
- 865 Nyamangara, J., Olesen, J.E., Reay, D.S., Sanchez, L., Cobena, A.S., Smith, K.A.,
- Sowerby, A., Sommer, M., Soussana, J.-F., Stenberg, M., Topp, C.F.E., Van Cleemput, O.,
- Vallejo, A., Watson, C.A., Wuta, M., 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from European
 agriculture an analysis of variability and drivers of emissions from field experiments.
 Biogeosciences 10, 2671-2682.
- Riedo, M., Grub, A, Rosset, M., Fuhrer, J., 1998. A Pasture Simulation Model for dry matter
 production, and fluxes of carbon, nitrogen, water and energy. Ecological Modelling 105,
 141-183.
- 873 Rolinski, S., Müller, C., Heinke, J., Weindl, I., Biewald, A., Bodirsky, B.L., Bondeau, A.,
- 874 Boons-Prins, E.R., Bouwman, A.F., Leffelaar, P.A., te Roller, J.A., Schaphoff, S.,
- Thonicke, K., 2018. Modeling vegetation and carbon dynamics of managed grasslands at
 the global scale with LPJmL 3.6. Geoscientific Model Development 11, 429-451.
- 877 Rosenzweig, C., Elliott, J., Deryng, D., Ruane, A.C., Müller, C., Arneth, A., Boote, K.J.,
- Folberth, C., Glotter, M., Khabarov, N., Neumann, K., Piontek, F., Pugh, T.A., Schmid, E.,
- 879 Stehfest, E., Yang, H., Jones, J.W., 2014. Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in

- the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 111, 3268-3273.
- Ruane, A.C., Goldberg, R., Chryssanthacopoulos, J., 2015. Climate forcing datasets for
 agricultural modeling: Merged products for gap-filling and historical climate series
 estimation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 200, 233-248.
- 885 Sándor, R., Barcza, Z., Acutis, M., Doro, L., Hidy, D., Köchy, M., Minet, J., Lellei-Kovács,
- E., Ma, S., Perego, A., Rolinski, S., Ruget, F., Sanna, M., Seddaiu, G., Wu, L. Bellocchi,

G., 2017. Multi-model simulation of soil temperature, soil water content and biomass in

- Euro-Mediterranean grasslands: Uncertainties and ensemble performance. European
 Journal of Agronomy 88, 22-40.
- 890 Sándor, R., Picon-Cochard, C., Martin, R., Louault, F., Klumpp, K., Borras, D., Bellocchi, G.,
- 2018. Plant acclimation to temperature: Developments in the Pasture Simulation model.
 Field Crops Research, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2017.05.030.
- Sándor, R., Barcza, Z., Hidy, D., Lellei-Kovács, E., Ma, S., Bellocchi, G., 2016. Modelling of
 grassland fluxes in Europe: Evaluation of two biogeochemical models. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems and Environment 215, 1-19.
- 896 Schulze, E.D., Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., Freibauer, A., Janssens, I.A., Soussana, J.-F., Smith,
- 897 P., Grace, J., Levin, I., Thiruchittampalam, B., Heimann, M., Dolman, A.J., Valentini, R.,
- Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Peters, W., Roedenbeck, C., Etiope, G., Vuichard, N.,
- 899 Wattenbach, M., Nabuurs, GJ., Poussi, Z., Nieschulze, J., Gash, J.H. and CarboEurope
- 900 Team, 2009. Importance of methane and nitrous oxide for Europe's terrestrial greenhouse-
- gas balance. Nature Geoscience 2, 842-850.

887

Seijan, V., Lal, R., Lakritz, J., Ezeji, T., 2011. Measurement and prediction of enteric methane
emission. International Journal of Biometeorology 55, 1-16.

- Senapati, N., Jansson, P.E., Smith, P., Chabbi, A., 2016. Modelling heat, water and carbon
 fluxes in mown grassland under multi-objective and multi-criteria constraints.
 Environmental Modelling & Software 80, 201-224.
- Senapati, N., Chabbi, A., Gastal, F., Smith, P., Mascher, N., Loubet, B., 2014. Net carbon
 storage measured in a mowed and grazed temperate sown grassland shows potential for
 carbon sequestration under grazed systems. Carbon Management 5, 131-144.
- 910 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I.C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J.O., Levis,
- S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M.T., Thonicke, K., Venevsky, S., 2003. Evaluation of ecosystem
 dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global
 vegetation model. Global Change Biology 9, 161-185.
- Skiba, U., Jones, S. K., Drewer, J., Helfter, C., Anderson, M., Dinsmore, K., McKenzie, R.,
 Nemitz, E., Sutton, M.A., 2013. Comparison of soil greenhouse gas fluxes from extensive
 and intensive grazing in a temperate maritime climate. Biogeosciences 10, 1231-1241.
- 917 Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H.H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S.,
- 918 O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, R.J., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G.,
- 919 Romanenkov, V., Schneider, U., Towprayoon, S., Wattenbach, M., Smith, J.U. (2008)
- 920 Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
- 921 B: Biological Sciences 363, 789-813.
- Smith, K.A., Thomson, P.E., Clayton, H., McTaggart, I.P., Conen, F., 1998. Effects of
 temperature, water content and nitrogen fertilisation on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils.
 Atmospheric Environment 32, 3301-3309.
- 925 Snow, V., Rotz, C.A., Moore, A.D., Martin-Clouaire, R., Johnson, I.R., Hutchings, N.J.,
- 926 Eckard, R.J., 2014. The challenges and some solutions to process-based modelling of
- 927 grazed agricultural systems. Environmental Modelling & Software 62, 420-436.

- 928 Soussana, J.-F., Allard, V., Pilegaard, K., Ambus, P., Amman, C., Campbell, C., Ceschia, E.,
- 929 Clifton-Brown, J., Czobel, S., Domingues, R., Flechard, C., Fuhrer, J., Hensen, A.,
- 930 Horvath, L., Jones, M., Kasper, G., Martin, C., Nagy, Z., Neftel, A., Raschi, A., Baronti,
- 931 S., Rees, R., Skiba, U., Stefani, P., Manca, G., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., Valentini, R., 2007.
- Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of nine European grassland
- sites. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 121, 121-134.
- Soussana, J.-F., Barioni, L.G., Ben-Ari, T., Conant, R., Gerber, P., Havlik, P., Ickowicz, A.,
 Howden, M., 2013. Managing grassland systems in a changing climate: the search for
 practical solutions. In: 22nd International Grasslands Congress, 15-19 September, Sydney,
 Australia, 18 p.
- Soussana, J.-F., Klumpp, K., Ehrhardt, F., 2014. The role of grassland in mitigating climate
 change. In: Proceedings of EGF at 50: The future of European grasslands, Grassland
 Science in Europe 19, 75-87.
- 941 Soussana, J.-F., Pilegaard, K., Ambus, P., Berbigier, P., Ceschia, E., Clifton-Brown, J.,
- 942 Czobel, S., de Groot, T., Fuhrer, J., Horvath, L., Hensen, A., Jones, M., Kasper, G., Martin,
- 943 C., Milford, C., Nagy, Z., Neftel, A., Raschi, A., Rees, R.M., Skiba, U., Stefani, P., Saletes,
- 944 S., Sutton, M.A., Tuba, Z., Weidinger, T., 2004. Annual greenhouse gas balance of
- European grasslands first results from the GreenGrass project. In: International
 conference greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture-mitigation options and strategies,
 10-12 February, Leipzig, Germany, pp. 25-30.
- Soussana, J.-F., Tallec, T., Blanfort, V., 2010. Mitigating the greenhouse gas balance of
 ruminant production systems through carbon sequestration in grasslands. Animal 4, 334350.
- 951 Tubiello, F., Salvatore, M., Cóndor Golec, R., Ferrara, A., Rossi, S., Biancalani, R., Federici,
- 952 S., Jacobs, H., Flammini, A., 2014. Agriculture, forestry and other land use emissions by

- sources and removals by sinks 1999-2011 analysis, Working Paper Series ESS/14-02, FAO
- 954StatisticalDivision,Rome,Italy.Availableat:955http://www.fao.org/docrep/019/i3671e/i3671e.pdf (access on 15 May 2018)
- Valin, H., Havlik, P., Mosnier, A., Herrero, M., Schmid, E., Obersteiner, M., 2013.
 Agricultural productivity and greenhouse gas emissions: trade-offs or synergies between
 mitigation and food security? Environmental Research Letters, 8, 035019.
- Van Groenigen, J.W., Velthof, G.L., Oenema, O., Van Groenigen, K.J., Van Kessel, C., 2010.
 Towards an agronomic assessment of N₂O emissions: a case study for arable crops.
 European Journal of Soil Science 61, 903-913.
- Venterea, R.T., Bijesh, M., Dolan, M.S., 2011. Fertilizer source and tillage effects on yieldscaled nitrous oxide emissions in a corn cropping system. Journal of Environmental
 Quality 40, 1521-1531.
- 965 UK Rural Payments Agency, 2003. Cattle scheme literature: Notes for guidance 2003. The
 966 Rural Payments Agency of the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
- 967 (through <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/rural-payments-agency</u>).
- Warszawski, L., Frieler, K., Huber, V., Piontek, F., Serdeczny, O., Schewe, J., 2014. The
 Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISI-MIP): project framework.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111,
 3228-3232.
- West, T.O., Marland, G., 2002. A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net
 carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems and Environment 91, 217-232.
- White, T.A., Johnson, I.R., Snow, V.O., 2008. Comparison of outputs of a biophysical
 simulation model for pasture growth and composition with measured data under dryland
 and irrigated conditions in New Zealand. Grass and Forage Science 63, 339-349.

- Wu, L., McGechan, M.B., McRoberts, N., Baddeley, J.A., Watson, C.A., 2007. SPACSYS:
 Integration of a 3D root architecture component to carbon, nitrogen and water cyclingModel description. Ecological Modelling 200, 343-359.
- 981 Wu, L., Rees, R.M., Tarsitano, D., Zhang, X., Jones, S.K., Whitmore, A.P., 2015. Simulation
- 982 of nitrous oxide emissions at field scale using the SPACSYS model. Science of the Total
 983 Environment 530-531, 76-86.
- 984 Yuan Z.Y., Li, L.H., Han, X.G., Chen, S.P., Wang, Z.W., Chen, Q.S., Bai, W.M., 2006.
- 985 Nitrogen response efficiency increased monotonically with decreasing soil resource
- availability: a case study from a semiarid grassland in northern China. Oecologia 148, 564-
- 987 572.

Supplementary material for on-line publication only Click here to download Supplementary material for on-line publication only: Sandor_et_al_Supplement_rev_2018_05_15.docx