Aerospace Medicine & Human Performance

Distortion of prospective time perception underwater

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Distortion of prospective time perception underwater
Article Type:	Short Communication
Keywords:	narcosis, time perception, diving, underwater performance
Corresponding Author:	malcolm hobbs, PhD napa, California UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	malcolm hobbs, PhD
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	malcolm hobbs, PhD
	Wendy Kneller, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Background: The few prior studies of time perception underwater have reached contradictory conclusions as to how, and if, time perception becomes distorted when submerged. The current paper expands upon this limited data by describing two studies of prospective time production in scuba divers. Methods: Study 1 (n=32) compared performance, on a 30 second (s) interval time production task, in deep water (35m-42m) with a shallow water control (3-12m). Using the same task, study 2 (n=31) tested performance at the surface and at a range of depths underwater (1m; 11m; 20m; 30m; 40m). Results: Study 1 revealed time production to be significantly longer in deep water compared to shallow water. In study 2 time production at the surface was not significantly different from that at 1m but productions at 11m-40m were significantly longer than at both 1m and on the surface. Time productions between 11m-40m did not differ significantly. Discussion: It was concluded that divers judge less time to have passed underwater than is objectively the case from a depth of 11m but that this effect does not deteriorate significantly once past 11m. This distortion of time perception underwater was attributed to the action of narcosis.

"This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Aerospace Medical Association in, Aerospace Medicine and Human Performance, Volume 88, Number 7, July 2017, pp. 677-681(5) available online at https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.4858.2017 It is not the copy of record.

DISTORION OF PROSPECTIVE TIME PRODUCTION UNDERWATER

Malcolm Hobbs* (PhD) and Wendy Kneller (PhD)

University of California, USA & University of Winchester, U.K

*Correspondence author. University of California, ANR Cooperative Extension, 1710 Soscol Ave., Napa, California. 94559; Tel: 707 819 0010; email: mbhobbs@ucdavis.edu

Manuscript metrics: Abstract = 195 words; Main text = 2872 words; 1 x figure; 1 x tables; 19 references.

Abstract

Background: The few prior studies of time perception underwater have reached contradictory conclusions as to how, and if, time perception becomes distorted when submerged. The current paper expands upon this limited data by describing two studies of prospective time production in scuba divers. *Methods:* Study 1 (*n*=32) compared performance, on a 30 second (s) interval time production task, in deep water (35m-42m) with a shallow water control (3-12m). Using the same task, study 2 (*n*=31) tested performance at the surface and at a range of depths underwater (1m; 11m; 20m; 30m; 40m). *Results:* Study 1 revealed time production to be significantly longer in deep water compared to shallow water. In study 2 time production at the surface was not significantly different from that at 1m but productions at 11m-40m were significantly longer than at both 1m and on the surface. Time productions between 11m-40m did not differ significantly. *Discussion:* It was concluded that divers judge less time to have passed underwater than is objectively the case from a depth of 11m but that this effect does not deteriorate significantly once past 11m. This distortion of time perception underwater was attributed to the action of narcosis.

Keywords: narcosis, time perception, diving, underwater performance.

Introduction

Timing is considered an essential component for most actions, behaviours, and cognitive abilities (10) and, therefore, distortion of time perception can have important safety implications for some activities. One setting in which it is essential to keep track of time is in an underwater environment. Undersea divers are constrained in the amount of time they can remain submerged due to limited air supplies and the need to follow strict schedules to avoid potentially fatal decompression illness (17). Much of the effort of tracking time is taken care of by personal computers and air gauges but mistakes in time related behaviour remain possible: individuals can forget to check instruments, turn off 'annoying' safety alarms, underestimate how long air will last, and experience equipment failures. Divers also face an insidious and progressive form of intoxication known as gas narcosis which becomes apparent from around depths of 30m (4). The neural mechanisms of narcosis are poorly understood but are primarily caused by the absorption of inert gases from breathing mixtures which interfere with neurotransmission (15). Narcotic symptoms include a spectrum of cognitive impairments which may include time perception, although the evidence is inconclusive at present. If narcosis does distort time perception this may cause or compound dangerous lapses in timing behaviour underwater.

One reason to suspect narcosis may affect time perception is that it has been shown to be distorted by both alcohol (7) and anaesthetics (1). The effects of these pharmacological agents may be pertinent because they are posited to share commonalities with narcosis, both in their effects on underlying neurobiological mechanisms and on cognitive functions (6). Direct evidence for the effect of narcosis itself on time perception is limited to three studies (8, 9, 11) using a common measure of temporal cognition known as prospective time production. In production tasks subjects are required to delimit specific time intervals (such as by pressing a button), which is compared with objective time. Employing intervals of 18-60 seconds (s) Mears and Cleary (9) failed to find significant impairment of time production at depths of 6m and 30m underwater. In contrast, Lipperman-Kreda and Glicksohn (8) reported that, when compared with surface performance, time production intervals of 4 to 32s were significantly longer at 10m underwater and that this effect became significantly worse at 30m. A third study (11) using intervals from 4 to 24s also found time production was significantly longer underwater at multiple depths between 32m and 61m, when compared to surface performance. However, no significant change in performance between underwater depths was found.

The current paper describes two brief studies of prospective time production in scuba divers while underwater. Study 1 compared performance in deep water (35m-42m), where narcotic symptoms were expected, with a shallow water control (3-12m). Study 2 tracked performance from the surface at regular depth intervals down to 40m. These studies expand the limited data available on time perception underwater in two ways. Firstly, they add a new assessment to the existing studies

that are contradictory as to whether or not time perception becomes distorted underwater. Secondly, they test performance at a range of depths not used in prior research, and in a way that allows some determination of how time production is affected as a function of depth from mere immersion down to 40m.

METHODS

Subjects

Thirty-two divers (22 male), aged 20 to 63 years (*M* = 34.7; *SD* = 11.9), volunteered for study 1. These divers reported having completed 10 to 6000 dives (*M*=1187.7; *SD*=1636.7) over 0.1 to 48 years (*M*=9.4; *SD*=10.1). Thirty-one divers (13 female), aged 19 to 53 years (*M* = 35.9; *SD* = 10.5), volunteered for study 2 and reported 10 to 6500 dives (*M*=680.8; *SD*=1433.8) over 0.1 to 42 years (*M*=8.72; *SD*=10.4). Recruitment took place through three dive operators on Roatan Island, Honduras: Ocean Connections and West End Divers (study 1); West Bay Divers (study 2). Each dive operator carried out screening procedures to ensure that all divers were suitably qualified, medically fit, and provided safety divers when deemed appropriate. Ethical permission for the protocol was granted by the University of Winchester.

Design

Study 1 utilised a 2-way repeated measures design testing the effect of depth (shallow vs. deep) on time production. Shallow conditions represented depths of 3m-12m (*M*=7.5m; *SD*=2.1) and deep conditions 35m-42m (*M*=38.2; *SD*=1.9). The order in which the depth conditions were completed was counterbalanced to control for practice effects. Divers either completed the shallow condition followed by the deep condition, or vice versa. Study 2 utilised a 6-way repeated measures design testing the effect of depth (surface vs. 1m vs. 11m vs. 20m vs. 30m vs. 40m) on time production. Order of depth conditions was again counterbalanced to control for practice effects. Fifteen divers were randomly assigned to begin with the shallowest condition followed by each consecutively deeper depth to 40m, while 16 divers completed the trials in the reverse order.

Measure

Time perception was measured in both studies using a typical method of prospective time production (5). Divers were asked to delimit an interval of 30s which was compared by the researcher, to the nearest second, with objective time on a stopwatch. To initiate the task the researcher gave the divers a countdown followed by a signal marking the start of the interval. When the divers judged 30s to have passed they provided their own signal to mark the end of the interval. An interval of 30s was chosen partly to conform to time limits at depth and because intervals under 30s have been claimed to be less sensitive in capturing the effects of other pharmacological agents (16). There was a concern

the researcher's own accuracy in recording responses might be affected by narcosis and so, as an added precaution, each trial was recorded with a head-mounted camera and responses checked for errors on the surface.

Protocol & environmental conditions

In both studies divers were briefed on the surface before completing a single dive led by the researcher. Divers were tested individually or as pairs. All divers breathed air (21% O_2; 79% N_2) and wore an extra 1kg of weight to ensure they sat comfortably on the ocean floor for testing. Depth measurements were taken by holding a dive computer at chest height.

In study 1 divers completed the task twice underwater, once at a shallow depth and once at a deep depth. The researcher led divers to suitable locations at each depth and instructed them to kneel on the sand and complete the time production task. When divers were tested as a pair they faced away from each other so that they were blind to each other's responses. Once testing in both depth conditions was completed all divers returned to the surface and exited the water. Study 1 was initially conducted as an investigation into anxiety effects. For this reason divers also completed a state anxiety measure after each time production task, the data of which is not reported as we failed to find significant effects on this dimension. All dives were conducted from a boat at multiple sites along the southwestern reef of Roatan because data collection had to conform to the logistics of the dive operators. Nevertheless, taking place on the same section of reef, each site was topographically and environmentally similar with flat, sandy ocean bottoms in the shallow and deep water. Water temperatures ranged from 27-29ºC, there was no discernible current, and visibility was 20m+ with little change in ambient light between depths.

In study 2, divers first completed the task at the surface before completing it another five times underwater. The protocol for carrying out the task in each case was the same as in study 1. Testing in study 2 took place at a single site (Mandy's Eel Garden) on the same section of reef as in study 1, and in the same ocean conditions. The site was accessed via the beach into a sandy lagoon which, approximately 300ft out to sea, dropped to a gently sloping sandy bank. Five suitable positions on the sand were identified where the ocean floor gradient was minimal and divers could kneel easily. The 1m condition took place at the entrance to the lagoon and the other depth conditions on the sandy bank at 11m, 20m, 30m, and 40m.

RESULTS

Each dataset yielded mean scores for time production at each depth tested, and in study 2, also on the surface. In both studies exploratory analyses were done for age, gender, and dive experience (years of diving & number of dives to date) but no significant effects of these factors were

found and they are excluded from the analysis below. At initial analyses depth order condition was included as a factor but in both studies no significant effect was found (*p*s>.05), indicating no practice effects. The data was therefore collapsed across depth order conditions. The lack of practice effects also justified including the study 2 surface data in the main analysis, which had not been included in the depth order counterbalancing strategy, being completed before the other trials. Time production was analysed using a paired *t*-test in study 1, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) in study 2. A *p* value of .05 was taken as the criterion of significance. In study 2, sphericity was violated which was addressed by using Greenhouse-Geisser values, and post hoc comparisons were explored with a series of paired *t*-tests with Holm's Sequential Bonferroni adjusted *p*-values.

Study 1

Mean time produced was 3.3s longer in the deep water (*M*=39.2 seconds; *SD*=7.1) compared with the shallow water (*M*=35.9 seconds; *SD*=6.2), a difference that was confirmed as significant ($t(31)=3.53$, $p<.01$). This indicated that divers judged time as moving slower than objective time in the deep water, compared to the shallow water.

Study 2

Figure 1 displays mean time produced at each depth, and on the surface. In every case time produced was longer than 30s, indicating more time passed than was judged to be the case. Numerically, this tendency to underestimate actual time steadily worsened from the surface (*M*=31.1; *SD*=4.2) through 1m (*M* = 32.5; *SD* = 5.7), 11m (*M*=35.2; *SD*=6.1), and 20m (*M*=37.4; *SD*=7.1), before it levelled off at 30m (*M*=38.2; *SD*=8.9) and 40m (*M*=38.3; *SD*=9.4). The ANOVA revealed a significant effect of depth [$F(2.4, 71.1) = 10.68$, $p<.01$] and so post hoc comparisons were carried out, which are displayed in Table 1. The post hoc comparisons revealed that surface performance was no different from submersion at 1m but both surface and 1m performance was significantly more accurate (closer to 30s objective time) than at any other depth underwater. Between 11m and 40m performance did not significantly differ, although it should be noted that the 11m vs. 20m was borderline significant.

[INSERT FIGURE I AND TABLE I HERE]

DISCUSSION

The two studies described above demonstrated prospective time production is significantly altered underwater. In study 1, time production was longer in deep water, compared with shallow water. In study 2, time production was longer at 11m-40m compared to the surface, or at 1m. Thus, from a depth of 11m the divers judged significantly less time to have passed than was objectively the case. These results support previous findings that time production is longer underwater (8, 11), and

the magnitude of change was approximate to that observed in prior studies when they used similar time intervals and depths to the current investigation. The results are, however, not in agreement with the report (8) that time production accuracy further declines from 10m to 30m. In the current investigation time production did not alter significantly between 11m and 40m.

The cause of the observed distortion in time perception is most likely due to the action of narcosis. In study 1 narcotic symptoms would certainly be expected in the deep water condition at 35m-42m (*M*=38.2m) but unlikely in the shallow water at 3m-12m (*M*=7.5m). Furthermore, in study 2, whilst time perception at mere immersion (1m) did not differ significantly from that measured at the surface, both differed significantly to time perception at deeper depths (11m-40m). Other causes for the distortion cannot of course be fully discounted, but two obvious candidates that have been shown to affect time perception can be considered unlikely: anxiety (2) and body temperature (18). A study of the impact of anxiety on time production underwater was the initial objective of study 1 but no evidence was found and the data was discarded. Body temperature can affect time perception but if this had been a significant factor in the current investigation this would have been apparent from the counterbalancing strategy. Performance would have differed when divers were tested at the beginning of the dive and at the end, something for which there was no evidence.

We therefore contend that the current investigation provides evidence of narcotic impairment at 11m. Although such a shallow depth for narcosis may initially seem surprising (symptoms are usually considered to manifest at 30m+) it should be noted that other studies have also reported evidence of narcosis much shallower than 30m (3). The results of study 1 may appear to contradict the claim that time perception is distorted by narcosis at 11m because the shallow water condition included depths down to 12m, precluding a significant difference between the shallow and deep conditions. However, this discrepancy might be reconciled by noting the mean depth in the shallow condition was only 7.5m which may have been shallow enough to produce performance comparable to the 1m condition in study 2.

Several hypotheses can be suggested as to why narcosis lengthens time production by considering theories of temporal cognition that posit the existence of a neurally based 'internal clock' (5). According to these models, the clock consists of a pacemaker which sends pulses, via an attentional gate, to an accumulator which counts the pulses to produce raw information on time. This information is then manipulated by memory processes and outputted (e.g. verbalised) as temporal judgements. Accuracy on the 30s interval production task used in the current investigation relies on internal clock speed (i.e. rate of pulses), processing speed, working memory, and comparison with temporal representations in long-term memory. Thus, interference with any of these components may distort time perception and explain the longer time productions observed underwater.

One hypothesis is that, because narcosis acts as depressant on the central nervous system, the pacemaker of the internal clock is slowed, resulting in fewer pulses accumulating for a set interval. This would lead to longer time productions and be consistent with findings that time perception is affected by arousal (19), and explanations of similar effects by alcohol and anaesthetics (1, 5, 16). Secondly, narcosis may affect time production by disrupting other systems that have a role in processing temporal information, most notably memory (6) but also by reducing attentional resources (13). Thirdly, a reduction in arousal by narcosis could cause a more general reduction of the processing speed of the entire temporal cognition system, in line with the slowed processing theory of narcosis (4). Finally, it should be noted that these hypotheses are not necessarily exclusive from one another and that narcosis may affect time perception through more than one of these mechanisms.

A key limitation of this investigation was the reliance on one time interval, narrowly focussed because the original study objectives changed, and because of time limits when collecting data at deeper depths. Future studies would benefit from expanding the range of production intervals tested, in line with prior studies that have shown distortions of time perception can be specific to certain interval ranges (5). Expanding the range of intervals is certainly possible given that time production was shown to be affected at shallower depths than expected, where decompression limits and air supplies last longer. Other intervals may also be appropriate for testing specific hypotheses. For example, very short intervals may be useful for determining the effect on the pacemaker because those judgements are more perceptual in nature and reduce the role of memory systems (14).

Alternative measures to time production may also be desirable, especially when considering the safety implications of distorted time perception underwater. The losses in time accuracy at 11m and deeper (5-9s on average) might initially be considered minor, although their contribution to lapses in timing behaviours would be more serious if they were shown to accumulate over the course of a dive. However, in prospective time production tasks, subjects are told in advance that they will be making a temporal judgement. The divers would therefore have focussed as many attentional resources as possible on the task. Arguably, a more realistic scenario underwater is for divers to focus their attention elsewhere while underwater, or be required to make a temporal judgement without prior awareness that one would be needed (e.g. after discovering a dive computer has failed). Retrospective timing measures may therefore provide a more realistic view of time perception underwater and act to compliment prospective measures (12). Also, as it is known that reducing attention to time reduces accuracy (13), the small distortions in time perception observed in the current investigation may indicate larger distortions in a typical everyday situation.

In conclusion, the current investigation expands the limited evidence demonstrating that time perception is distorted underwater by narcosis at the surprisingly shallow depth of 11m, causing divers to judge less time to be have passed than is objectively the case.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This investigation was partly funded by the PADI Foundation. Data collection was only made possible with the dive operations involved: West End Divers, Ocean Connections, & West Bay Divers. The researchers are indebted to several staff who aided the project: Luke George, Trevor Brown, Kieran Reeves, Debora Kanesky, Nick Lakoff, Norlan Lopez, Naomi Bergau, Estelle Ricart, Ken Spence, Capucine Paquot, Joe Stone, Judita Berndorff, Jim Burns.

289 REFERENCES:

290 1. Adam N, Rosnek BS, Hosick EC, Clark DL. Effects of anesthetic drugs on time perception
291 and alpha rhythm. Percept Psychophys 1971; 10:133-136.
292 2. Bar-Haim Y, Kerem A, Lamy D, Zakay, D. When time slows down: The influence of threat
293 on time perception in anxiety. Cognition Emotion 2010; 24:255-263.
294 3. Dalecki M, Bock O, Schulze B. Cognitive impairment during 5 m water immersion. J Appl
295 Physiol. 2012; 113:1075-81.
296 4. Fowler B, Ackles KN, Porlier G. Effects of inert gas narcosis on behaviour – a critical review.
297 Undersea Biomed Res 1985; 2: 369-402.
298 5. Grondin S. Timing and time perception: a review of recent behavioural and neuroscience
299 findings and theoretical directions. Atten Percept Psychophys 2010; 72:561-582.
300 6. Hobbs M, Kneller W. Inert gas narcosis disrupts encoding but not retrieval of long term
301 memory. Physiol Behav. 2015; 144:46-51.
302 7. Kunchulia M, Thomaschke R. Effects of alcohol on time-based event expectations. Exp Brain
303 Res 2016; 234:937-944.
304 8. Lipperman-Kreda S, Glicksohn J. Time perception in the deep blue sea. Proceedings of
305 Fechner Day 2006; 22:205-210.
306 9. Mears JD, Cleary PJ. Anxiety as a factor in underwater performance. Ergonomics. 1980; 1;
307 23:549-57.
308 10. Meck W. Neuropharmacology of timing and time perception. Cognitive Brain Research 1996;
309 3:227-242.
310 11. Miller JW, Bachrach AJ, Walsh JM. Assessment of vertical excursions and open-sea
311 psychological performance at depths to 250 fsw. Undersea Biomed Res 1976; 3:339-349.
312 12. Ogden RS, Wearden JH, Gallagher DT, Montgomery C. The effect of alcohol administration
313 on human timing: A comparison of prospective timing, retrospective timing and passage of
314 time judgements. Acta Psychologica 2011; 138:254-262.
315 13. Pouthas V, Perbal S. Time perception depends on accurate clock mechanisms as well as
316 unimpaired attention and memory process. Acta Neurobiol Exp 2004; 64:367-385.
317 14. Ramshayer TH, Vogel WH. Pharmacologic properties of the internal clock underlying time
318 perception in humans. Biol Psychol 1992; 26:71-80.
319 15. Rostain JC, Lavoute C, Risso JJ, Vallee N, Weiss M. A review of recent neurochemical data
320 on inert gas narcosis. Undersea Hyperbar M 2011; 38:49-59.
321 16. Tinklenberg JR, Roth WT, Kopell BS. Marijuana and ethanol: differential effects on time
322 perception, heart rate, and subjective response. Psychopharmacology 1976; 49:275-279.
323 17. Vann R, Butler FK, Mitchell SJ, Moon RE. Decompression illness. Lancet 2010; 377:153-
324 164.

18. Wearden JH, Penton-Voak IS. Feeling the heat: Body temperature and the rate of subjective time, revisited. Q J Exp Psychol 1995; 48:129-141.
19. Wittmann M, Paulus MP. Decision making, impulsivity and time perception. Trends Cogn Sci 2008; 12:7-12.

Table I.

Results of post hoc tests (*p* values) for time estimation.

Depth	Sig.	Depth	Sig,
Surface vs. 1m	n.s	1m vs. 40m	<.01*
Surface vs. 11m	<.01*	11m vs. 20m	n.s
Surface vs. 20m	<.01*	11m vs. 30m	n.s
Surface vs. 30m	<.01*	11m vs. 40m	n.s
Surface vs. 40m	<.01*	20m vs. 30m	n.s
1m vs. 11m	<.01*	20m vs. 40m	n.s
1m vs. 20m	<.01*	30m vs. 40m	n.s
1m vs. 30m	<.01*		

Note: * indicates significant effect after Bonferroni adjustment; n.s = not significant.

372 Figure I. Mean (+SE) time produced (judged) as a 30s interval at each depth.

373

374