

1	Climate change resilience of a globally important sea turtle nesting population
2	
3	Running head: Climate change resilience of sea turtles
4	
5	Ana R. Patrício ^{1,2} , Miguel R. Varela ¹ , Castro Barbosa ³ , Annette C. Broderick ¹ , Paulo Catry ² ,
6	Lucy A. Hawkes ¹ , Aissa Regalla ³ , Brendan J. Godley ¹
7	
8	¹ Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, UK
9	² MARE – Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, ISPA – Instituto Universitário,
10	Lisbon, Portugal
11	³ Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas of Guinea-Bissau
12	
13	Corresponding author: Rita Patrício. <u>R.Patricio@exeter.ac.uk</u> . 07747868144
14	
15	
16	Key words: adaptation, climate change, sex ratio, resilience, resistance to climate change,
17	sea level rise, sea turtle, TSD
18	
19	Type of paper: Research paper

20 Abstract

Few studies have looked into climate change resilience of populations of wild animals. We 21 use a model higher vertebrate, the green sea turtle, as its life history is fundamentally affected 22 23 by climatic conditions, including temperature-dependent sex determination and obligate use of beaches subject to sea level rise (SLR). We use empirical data from a globally important 24 population in West Africa to assess resistance to climate change within a quantitative 25 framework. We project 200 years of primary sex ratios (1900–2100), and create a digital 26 elevation model of the nesting beach to estimate impacts of projected SLR. Primary sex ratio 27 28 is currently almost balanced, with 52% of hatchlings produced being female. Under IPCC models we predict: 1. an increase in the proportion of females by 2100 to 76–93%, but cooler 29 30 temperatures, both at the end of the nesting season and in shaded areas, will guarantee male 31 hatchling production; 2. IPCC SLR scenarios will lead to 33.4-43.0% loss of the current nesting area; 3. Climate change will contribute to population growth through population 32 feminization, with 32–64% more nesting females expected by 2120; 4. As incubation 33 34 temperatures approach lethal levels, however, the population will cease growing and start to decline. Taken together with other factors (degree of foraging plasticity, rookery size and 35 trajectory, and prevailing threats), this nesting population should resist climate change until 36 2100, and the availability of spatial and temporal microrefugia indicate potential for 37 resilience to predicted impacts, through the evolution of nest site selection or changes in 38 39 nesting phenology. This represents the most comprehensive assessment to date of climate change resilience of a marine reptile using the most up-to-date IPCC models, appraising the 40 impacts of temperature and SLR, integrated with additional ecological and demographic 41 42 parameters. We suggest this as a framework for other populations, species and taxa.

43 INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenically-induced climate change is re-shaping the world's ecosystems at an 44 unprecedented rate, with major impacts on biodiversity (Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno 2010, 45 46 Diffenbaugh & Field 2013, Batllori et al. 2017). Many species are already responding by changing their phenology and distribution range (Root et al. 2003, Sunday et al. 2012, 47 Jenouvrier 2013), among other adaptations (Walther et al. 2002), while others seem unlikely 48 to be able to adapt sufficiently (Thomas et al. 2004, Maclean & Wilson 2011). To define 49 priority conservation targets it is thus critical to understand how organisms can resist change 50 51 (their capacity to withstand perturbation), and their potential for resilience (their ability to return to a pre-disturbance state, Connell & Sousa 1983, O'Leary et al. 2017). Few studies 52 have attempted to make quantitative estimates of the potential resistance of a population of 53 54 wild animals to climate change (Williams et al. 2008).

55

Species with temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) have been considered among 56 57 the most vulnerable to climate change, because increasing incubation temperatures may favour the production of one sex at the detriment of the other (Mitchell & Janzen 2010). This 58 fundamental life history trait can have deep demographic effects in extreme conditions, as 59 highly skewed sex ratios may lower fecundity and threaten population viability (Mitchell et 60 al. 2010, Santidrián Tomillo et al. 2015) or vice versa (Hays et al. 2017). Excessive 61 temperatures can further lead to embryo mortality (Godley et al. 2001a). Simultaneously, 62 ocean thermal expansion and the melting of ice are leading to global mean sea level rise 63 (SLR), causing saline intrusion into the water table, flooding of coastal areas, and heightened 64 coastal erosion, further enhanced by increasing storminess, affecting mostly species which 65 rely on coastal habitats (Fish et al. 2005, Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno 2010). Sea turtles are an 66 excellent example of a vertebrate with distinct sensitivity to climatic conditions throughout 67

incubation and development (Wibbels 2003, Girondot & Kaska 2014), and into adult life
stages (Hawkes et al. 2007, Anderson et al. 2013, Dudley et al. 2016). They have TSD, with
high incubation temperatures (above approximately 29 °C; Hulin et al. 2009) yielding more
females and low temperatures more males, and depend on low-lying sandy beaches for
reproduction. Together, these traits make sea turtles potentially highly susceptible to climate
change (Hawkes et al. 2007, 2009, Poloczanska et al. 2009, Hamann et al. 2010).

74

Relatively few studies have inferred the sex ratio of marine turtle populations, however, the 75 majority of these report female-biased primary sex ratios which are expected to skew further 76 with climate warming (Hawkes et al. 2007, Fuentes et al. 2009, Katselidis et al. 2012, 77 Reneker & Kamel 2016), and incubation temperatures above a certain threshold are expected 78 79 to reduce clutch survival (Santidrián Tomillo et al. 2014, Hays et al. 2017), and hatchling locomotor ability (Fuentes et al. 2010a, Booth & Evans 2011). Significant losses of 8-65% of 80 nesting habitat are predicted for several sea turtle rookeries, under climate change scenarios 81 82 of median severity (Fish et al. 2005, 2008, Baker et al. 2006, Fuentes et al. 2010b, Katselidis et al. 2014). Additionally, temporary inundation of beaches, associated with the increasing 83 prevalence and intensity of storms, is expected to lower hatching success (Van Houtan & 84 Bass 2007, Pike et al. 2015). It is yet uncertain if sea turtles will be able to adapt to the 85 current rapid changes, but they have certainly endured climate change in the past 86 87 (Poloczanska et al. 2009).

88

Both behavioural polymorphism acting on nest-site selection, and phenological changes of
nesting season have recently been observed in sea turtle populations (Weishampel et al. 2004,
Kamel & Mrosovsky 2006, Mazaris et al. 2013). Given that these processes can have an
impact on incubation temperatures and consequently on hatchling sex ratio and survival,

93 these observations suggest potential for adaptation to climate change. Colonization of more suitable beaches may be another mechanism for adaptation, which is known to have occurred 94 in the past (Poloczanska et al. 2009). Additionally, as higher temperatures enhance female 95 96 hatchling production, it has been argued that climate change may boost the numbers of reproductive females, and consequently nest numbers, promoting population growth (Boyle 97 et al. 2014, Hays et al. 2017). This is dependent, however, on the existence of both sufficient 98 males to fertilize clutches, and incubation temperatures within the thermal tolerance of 99 populations (Santidrián Tomillo et al. 2015, Hays et al. 2017). 100

101

Integrated assessments of climate change resilience, considering a broad range of impacts and 102 103 adaptive potential, will enable managers to prioritize conservation efforts, and use realistic measures to mitigate threats. More often, climate change-induced threats are considered 104 independently (but see Fuentes et al. 2013, Abella Perez et al. 2016, Butt et al. 2016). Here 105 we apply and extend a vulnerability framework originally posited by Abella Perez et al. 106 107 (2016), to make a comprehensive assessment of climate change resistance in a globally important green turtle population, to the end of this century, and make inference as to the 108 resilience capacity of this population. We make an empirically based assessment of resistance 109 to climate change in marine turtles, a key research priority (Rees et al 2016), which could 110 form an excellent blueprint for comparative studies within and among taxa. 111

112

113 MATERIALS AND METHODS

114 Vulnerability framework

115 For an overview of population resistance to climate change, and adapting the vulnerability

framework proposed in Abella-Perez et al. (2016) we scored nine criteria, on a five-point

scale from 0 (worst) to 100 (best), under three different climate models by the

118 Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC; RCP4.5, RCP6, RCP8; Collins et al.

119 2013): 1. primary sex ratio; 2. hatchling emergence success; 3. spatial microrefugia; 4.

temporal microrefugia; 5. sea level rise impact; 6. foraging plasticity; 7. other threats; 8.

rookery trend; and 9. rookery abundance. Criteria 8 and 9 are an addition to the original

122 framework. We calculated a mean score across categories, resulting in an overall score of 0 -

123 100, being 0 the most vulnerable to climate change and 100 the least vulnerable (i.e. more

resistant). For scoring system see Table 1.

125

126 Climate change models

We use projections from three of the four Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), in 127 the IPCC fifth report (Collins et al. 2013, Table 2), to provide estimates for each criterion by 128 129 2100. We use two intermediate (RCP4.5, RCP6) and the high emissions scenario (RCP8.5). For the trajectories of annual mean incubation temperatures and primary sex ratio, however, 130 we use the Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES, Nakicenovic et al. 2000), as annual 131 mean temperature anomalies for the region, enabling trajectory reconstruction, are only 132 available for SRES. Additionally, as several studies indicate that the IPCC process-based 133 projections of SLR are very conservative (Horton et al. 2014, Dutton et al. 2015), and semi-134 empirical approaches result in more extreme scenarios (Rahmstorf, 2006, Vermeer & 135 Rahmstorf 2009, Grinsted et al. 2010), for SLR impacts we consider the RCPs (Collins et al. 136 137 2013) plus the most recent estimate based on semi-empirical models (1.2m SLR by 2100; Horton et al. 2014). 138 139

140 Primary sex ratio

141 a. Historical and projected air temperature trajectory

142 This research was conducted at Poilão Island (10.8° N, 15.7° W), within the João Vieira and Poilão Marine National Park, in the Bijagós Archipelago, Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. The 143 green turtle population of the Bijagós is the largest in Africa, among the top six populations 144 worldwide (Catry et al. 2002, 2009, SWOT 2011), with most of the nesting concentrated at 145 Poilão (>90%, C. Barbosa pers. comm.). The nesting season extends from mid-June to mid-146 December, peaking in August and September (Catry et al. 2002). This work encompassed 147 four nesting seasons, from 2013-2016. We used mean monthly historical air temperature data 148 for Bissau (ca. 75km distant, nearest station with historical data), for the period of 1901 to 149 150 2016, obtained from the Climatic Research Unit of the University of East Anglia (https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/temperature/), to reconstruct historical mean air 151 temperatures during the nesting season. To project the trajectory of mean air temperatures to 152 153 2100 we added to a historical reference (1970-1999) the mean annual temperature anomalies for the region, obtained from the United Nations Development Program 154 (http://www.geog.ox.ac.uk/research/climate/projects/undp-cp/). We used the SRES A1B 155 scenario, which predicts a mean increase in air temperature of 3.1 °C by 2100 (most similar to 156 RCP8.5, Table 2). 157

158

- 159 **b. Sand and incubation temperatures**
- 160 Sand temperature was recorded at mean clutch depth (0.7m, Patrício et al. 2017a) with
- 161 Tinytag-TGP-4017 dataloggers (Gemini Data Loggers, Chichester, UK, ± 0.3 °C accuracy,
- 162 0.1°C resolution), in 2013 (n=16), and 2014 (n=14). All dataloggers were calibrated before
- and after each nesting season in a constant temperature room (24 hours at 28 °C) and used
- only if accuracy was ≤ 0.3 °C. The sand temperature at Poilão varies in relation to the amount
- of shading, and we defined three microhabitats: 'open sand', 'forest border', and 'forest', per
- 166 Patrício et al., (2017a). Thus, to account for spatial and temporal variability in sand

167 temperature, the dataloggers were distributed along the nesting beach, which extends for 1800m, throughout the nesting season, at the open sand (n=6/5 in 2013/2014), forest border 168 (n=5/4), and forest (n=5/5), with at least one datalogger every 500m at each microhabitat in 169 170 both years. Sand temperatures were highly correlated among habitats (open sand vs. forest border $r^2 = 0.96$, and forest border vs. forest $r^2 = 0.94$), with sand temperature at the forest 171 border on average 1.0 °C below that of the open sand, and 1.5 °C above that at the forest 172 (Patricio et al. 2017a). We estimated future sand temperatures using the equation: 173 $T_{sand}=0.94T_{air}+3.04$, $r^2=0.60$, P<0.0001, n=39, T_{sand} =mean bi-weekly sand temperature at 174 Poilão in the forest border habitat, T_{air}=mean bi-weekly air temperature at Bissau, sample 175 period=1 March 2013 to 15 October 2014 (see Patrício et al. 2017a). We added to estimated 176 sand temperatures the mean metabolic heating during the thermosensitive period (TSP; 177 178 period during middle third of development, when sex is irreversibly defined), to estimate annual mean incubation temperatures during the TSP until 2100 (Godley et al. 2002). 179 Metabolic heating during the TSP at Poilão is 0.5 ± 0.4 °C SD (Patrício et al. 2017a). 180

181

182 c. Primary sex ratio and emergence success

We applied a logistic function, which models the population-specific sex determination
response to TSP incubation temperatures (Patrício et al. 2017a), to estimate the proportion (P)
of female hatchlings within each microhabitat (i.e. open sand, forest border, and forest):

186

$$P_{(\text{females})} = 1 / (1 + e^{(-44.856 - 1.527 * \text{TSP temperature})})$$

We then accounted for the microhabitat-specific hatchling survival (hatchling emergence success in 2013/2014: open sand=66.1 \pm 30.8%, n=62; forest border=51.9 \pm 38.3 %, n=20; and forest=42.2 \pm 41.6%, n=16; Patrício et al., 2017a), and the temperature-induced hatchling mortality per microhabitat, using the logistic equation described in Laloë et al. (2017), which models the relationship between emergence success (E) and incubation temperature (T):

192
$$E_{(T)}=A / 1 + e^{-\beta(T-T0)}$$

where the upper asymptote is A=86%, the growth rate constant is β =-1.7°C, the inflection point is T₀=32.7 °C, and T=mean incubation temperature per microhabitat (Laloë et al. 2017). We could not use the population-specific hatchling mortality response to incubation temperature as currently natural nests in Poilão experience moderate temperatures (i.e. 27.5 – 32.2°C for mean incubation temperatures during middle third of incubation, in the centre of the clutch, n= 101, Patrício el at. 2017a), not sufficiently high to negatively affect embryo survival.

200

201 Spatial and temporal microrefugia

202 We refer here to microrefugia as the existence of conditions that would be more suitable for 203 population persistence under global warming scenarios, both in space (i.e. more suitable microhabitat), and in time (i.e. periods of the year with lower incubation temperatures). 204 We conducted daily surveys during the nesting season, from August to December, across four 205 206 years (2013-2016), and counted green turtle tracks to assess the temporal distribution of nesting, following methodology detailed in Patrício et al. (2017a), to reconstruct mean 207 nesting frequency distribution at the start and end of the season. Data available from the 208 National Climatic Data Centre (http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/cdo, Bolama, 50km distant), 209 210 were used to compare half-month mean air temperatures and total precipitation with mean 211 half-month nesting distribution, across the four years. Note that mean monthly air temperatures at Bissau (used for the historical reconstruction of annual air temperatures) are 212 compatible with those at Bolama, with a mean difference of 0.4 ± 0.3 °C during the study 213 period. To explore the availability of temporal microrefugia, we classified each half-month as 214 'cool' if mean incubation temperature fell below the estimated field-pivotal temperature for 215 this population (29.4°C, Patrício et al. 2017a), and 'warm' if it was the same or above, and 216

estimated the percentage of nesting occurring in hot months. To assess the presence of spatial
microrefugia we examined the current nesting distribution across 'thermal' habitats according
to Patrício et al. (2017a; warm: open sand in beaches 3 and 4 =31% of all nests laid; medium:
open sand in beaches 1 and 2 and forest border =47%; and cool: forest =22%), and calculated
the proportion laid in the warmest habitat.

222

223 Vulnerability to sea level rise (SLR)

We assessed the proportion of nests that would be flooded under SLR scenarios if no changes 224 225 occur in beach morphology (as no robust method to estimate shoreline retreat in small lowlying islands is yet available, Cooper et al. 2004), and used this as a proxy for nest area loss, 226 as it considers nest site preferences (Katselidis et al. 2014), as oppose to accounting for all the 227 228 beach area. The distribution of 1,559 nests, surveyed during the peak of the 2013 (n=407) and 2014 (n=1,152) nesting seasons were used to represent the overall nesting distribution (see 229 Patrício et al. 2017a), assuming no change in the spatial distribution of nesting over time. We 230 231 created a digital elevation model (DEM) of the beach in Agisoft Photoscan Professional v1.3.1 (© Agisoft), using aerial photos (80% overlap, 35 m altitude) taken from a drone 232 (Varela et al. in press). During the study period, high tide at Poilão ranged from 3.2 m (neap 233 tide) to 4.8 m (spring tide), with mean high tide (MHT)= $4.0 \text{ m} \pm 0.3 \text{ SD}$ (Bubaque Island tide 234 tables, 40 km distant, source: Hydrographic Institute of Lisbon). In the DEM we set the MHT 235 236 to 0m, to measure nest elevation above it, following previous studies (Fish et al. 2005, Fuentes et al. 2010b). We then exported the DEM to ArcGIS 10.3 (ESRI), together with the 237 GPS locations of the 1,559 nests surveyed, and used 3D Analyst Tools to attribute surface 238 elevation to each nest, with the DEM as the input surface. Because mean clutch depth is 0.7 239 m (Patrício et al. 2017a), a nest with a surface elevation >MHT may still be subjected to 240 varying degrees of flooding. Based on a previous study (Patrício et al. 2018) however, nests 241

with a surface elevation below the MHT have a hatching success (H%) \approx 0%, thereon increasing with elevation, indicating that this is a good reference for complete loss due to inundation.

245

246 Foraging plasticity

Population-level foraging plasticity would be advantageous under climate change, if future 247 climatic conditions affect trophic chains and prey availability (Abella Perez et al. 2016). 248 Limited information is available on the foraging behaviour of green turtles from Poilão. We 249 sampled 187 nesting green turtles in 2013 (n=79), 2014 (n=70), and 2016 (n=38), and 250 inferred the dietary range of this nesting population using Nitrogen stable isotope ratios 251 $(\delta^{15}N={}^{15}N:{}^{14}N)$, and the foraging geographical range using Carbon stable isotope ratios 252 $(\delta^{13}C^{=13}C^{:12}C)$, see supplementary methods S1; Godley et al. 1998, Bearhop et al. 2004, 253 Lemons et al. 2011). Nesting females were sampled throughout the season in 2013 and 2014, 254 and in November 2016. Sampling followed recommended protocols (Stokes et al. 2008), and 255 guidelines approved by the research ethics committee of the University of Exeter (ref: 256 2014/710) and the Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas of the Government of the 257 Republic of Guinea-Bissau. 258

259

260 Other threats

Following Abella-Perez et al. (2016), we considered the presence of any known threats to the study population, such as directed harvest, intentional and incidental captures in fisheries, ship strikes, ocean and beach pollution, coastal development, invasive species, and ocean acidification, using the Cumulative Impact Score (CIS; a non-linear metric from Halpern et al. 2015), which quantifies 19 anthropogenic threats across the global oceans into one 'score'.

266

267 Rookery abundance and trend

268 a. Female recruitment

Higher temperatures are expected to increase the number of females in populations of sea 269 270 turtles (Hays et al. 2017). To model a 'recruitment index' trajectory for the study nesting population, under SRES A1B, we divided annual estimates of female hatchling production 271 from 2017 to 2100 (i.e. proportion of females emerged from nests) by the current estimates of 272 female hatchling production over the four study years (2013-2016). This gives us a relative 273 index of the number of female hatchlings being produced in relation to the present (Laloë et 274 275 al. 2014). We then considered 20 years as the minimum age at sexual maturity for Atlantic green turtles in tropical regions (Bell et al. 2005, Patrício et al. 2014), for a 'recruitment 276 277 index' of females to the effective population, assuming that other demographic patterns 278 remain unchanged (Laloë et al. 2014).

279

280 **b.** Nest numbers

281 Nesting density at Poilão is sufficiently large to preclude complete counting of nests laid (Catry et al. 2009, Patrício et al. 2017a). We therefore estimated the number of nests laid per 282 season from 2013-2016, by multiplying the number of nesting female emergences (each 283 corresponding to an ascending and a descending track) by 1.05, to account for the period of 284 the nesting season not monitored these years (corresponding to ca. 5% of all emergences), 285 and by 0.813, to adjust for nesting success in Poilão estimated by Catry et al. 2009. Then, for 286 a prediction of the number of nests in the future, under the different RCPs (Table 2), we 287 multiplied the mean nest number across the four seasons by the nesting female 'recruitment 288 index' (above), assuming no changes in other demographic patterns. 289

290

291 **RESULTS**

292 Primary sex ratio and emergence success

Historical mean annual air temperatures have increased since the mid-1970s to the present, 293 with a consequent average increase of ca. 1.0°C in modelled incubation temperatures (Fig. 294 295 1a), and an estimated average increase in the proportion of female hatchlings by 20% (Fig. 1b). Future increase in female production will be particularly marked in the open sand (ca. 296 40%, Fig. 1b), whereas incubation temperatures in the forest will promote high to moderate 297 male hatchling production throughout the 21st century. Considering both the effects of 298 microhabitat and increased temperatures on hatching success, mean emergence success could 299 drop as low as 32% by 2100 (RCP 8.5, Table 2), with 93% of the hatchlings expected to be 300 female (RCP 8.5, Table 2). The relatively wide range of mean incubation temperatures at 301 302 which both sexes are produced in this population (27.6 – 31.4 °C, Patrício et al. 2017a), 303 however, would allow for male production even under the most extreme RCP.

304

305 Spatial and temporal microrefugia

306 Currently the nesting season largely coincides with both the rainy season and relatively low air temperatures (Fig. 2a,b,c). We estimated that 46% of the clutches laid at present have the 307 TSP during cool periods (Table 2). Most male hatchlings are produced from clutches laid in 308 late November to early December, and in forest areas (Fig. 3). Estimated future primary sex 309 ratio here remained male-biased under RCP4.5 (42% female hatchlings by 2100), and almost 310 311 balanced under RCP6 (53%), only becoming female-biased under the most extreme projection, RCP8.5 (82%), but still producing males, particularly towards the end of the 312 season (Fig. 3). The percentage of female hatchlings being produced in the open sand by 313 2100 is expected to increase from current 61% to 99%, with RCP8.5 (Table 2). Under the 314 same climate scenario, at the forest border, primary sex ratio will increase from 39% to 97% 315 316 female (Table 2).

317

318 Vulnerability to SLR

At present, most clutches are laid 0.8 to 1.0m above MHT (range: -0.6 m to 2.3 m). Because

- the expected mean SLR according to RCP4.5 and RCP6 are very similar (0.47 vs. 0.48m;
- 321 Collins et al., 2013), and our DEM has a vertical accuracy ~ 10 cm, we considered these
- climate models together for projections of SLR impacts. We estimated that by 2100, 33.4%
- of the current nesting area will be lost under RCP4.5 and RCP6, while 43.0% will be lost
- under RCP8.5 (Fig. 4, Table 2). Considering semi-empirical models of SLR, however, as
- much as 86.2% of current nesting habitat could become completely flooded by 2100 (Fig. 4).
- 326

327 Foraging plasticity

Nitrogen isotope ratios (δ^{15} N) varied from 6‰ to 16‰ (mean = 11.6‰ ± 2.4 SD, mode = 328 12.5‰, Fig. 5a), while Carbon isotope ratios (δ^{13} C) ranged from -16‰ to -6‰ (mean = 329 $11.7\% \pm 2.7$ SD, mode = 12.2‰, Fig. 5b), suggesting that individual green turtles from 330 Poilão are foraging at multiple trophic levels (herbivory and/or carnivory), and at different 331 geographical locations. There were significant differences in both $\delta^{15}N$ (ANOVA, F_{2.184}= 332 6.45, P = 0.002) and δ^{13} C (ANOVA, $F_{2.184} = 7.63$, P < 0.001) between years. Mean δ^{15} N in 333 2016 was significantly higher than that of 2013 (P = 0.02), and in 2014 (P = 0.001), with no 334 difference between the years 2013 and 2014 (P = 0.56; Tukey HSD test), whereas mean δ^{13} C 335 was significantly higher in 2014, compared to 2013 (P < 0.001), and 2016 (P=0.04), with no 336 difference between the years 2013 and 2016 (P = 0.81; Tukey HSD test). Thus, foraging 337 plasticity seems to be present at least at the nesting population level, with turtles foraging at 338 different trophic levels, and different feeding grounds (Godley et al. 2010). 339

340

341 Other threats

342 In Guinea-Bissau, although marine turtles are fully protected by the national fisheries law, illegal take for local consumption continues to occur (Catry et al. 2009). Poilão and the 343 surrounding waters, however, are virtually free from illegal harvesting, as they benefit from 344 the Bijagós traditional law and modern park regulations, restricting access to the island to 345 very rare ceremonies (Catry et al. 2009). Considering other anthropogenic threats, the CIS for 346 Guinea-Bissau was 3.94, (119th of 238 Exclusive Economic Zones evaluated; Halpern et al. 347 2015) but we removed the impact score for SLR (0.38), which was already considered 348 separately above, and assumed the nesting beach threats equal to zero. Thus, the score for 349 'other threats' is 3.57 (Table 2). 350

351

352 Rookery abundance and trend

We predicted an increase in nesting female recruitment by 2100 of 58%, 64%, or 32% 353 relative to present, under RCP4.5, RCP6, and RCP8.5, respectively (Table 2). Due to 354 temperature-linked hatchling mortality, however, female recruitment reaches a plateau 355 around 2085, and starts to decrease after 2110 (Fig. 6). Neglecting this important factor 356 would leave scenarios forecasting indefinite increase in female recruitment (Fig. 6). The 357 mean number of clutches per year from 2013-2016 was 25,436 (95% CI: 22,088-27,970; 358 2013: 20,785 (95% CI: 18,049-22,855); 2014: 35,556 (95% CI: 30,877-39,099); 2015: 359 16,054 (95% CI: 13,941-16,653); 2016: 29,348 (95% CI: 25,486-32,272). Using this value as 360 361 reference, and accounting for nesting female recruitment, we predicted that an average of 40,170 clutches could be laid by 2120 under RCP4.5, 41,602 with RCP6, and 33,588 with 362

364

363

365 Vulnerability framework

RCP8.5.

The corresponding estimate for each criterion of the quantitative vulnerability framework, under each of the three RCPs considered in this study, can be seen in Table 2, together with the scoring for each criterion, and the overall score in climate change resistance for each RCP. The population of green turtles from the Bijagós, Guinea-Bissau, scored 72 (in a scale of 0-100, with 100 being most resistant) under RCP 4.5, 67 with RCP 6, and 61 with RCP8.5 (Table 2), showing overall high to medium resistance to climate change until the end of the 21st century.

373

374 **DISCUSSION**

Ongoing climate change is simultaneously driving the adaptation and the extinction of 375 populations, species and entire ecosystems (Maclean & Wilson 2011, Xu et al. 2016). Using 376 empirical data and a quantitative framework we conducted a holistic assessment of climate 377 change resistance of a globally significant green turtle nesting population, until the end of the 378 century. We estimate that this population appears to have medium to high resistance under 379 380 future expected climate change. We highlight the importance of integrated assessments of climate change impacts, instead of considering threats individually, the use of population-381 specific parameters, and the applicability of this approach to make comparisons with other 382 populations. 383

384

385 Sex ratio

386 The primary sex ratio at Poilão is among the most balanced reported for green turtle

populations, comparable to estimates found in Suriname (54% females; Mrosovsky 1994),

388 Turkey (55.7% females; Candan & Kolankaya 2016), and in one beach of Ascension Island

389 (53.4% females; Broderick et al. 2001), with, to our knowledge, only one study reporting

male-biased primary sex ratios (63% males; Esteban et al. 2016). These estimates should be

391 taken with caution however, as different proxies can lead to disparate sex ratios (Fuentes et al. 2017). Although the proportion of male hatchlings produced at Poilão may decrease in the 392 future, our results suggest that the complete feminisation of the hatchlings is unlikely (Jensen 393 394 et al. 2017). However, the threshold proportion of male hatchlings at which population viability can be jeopardized is yet unknown for marine turtles (Bell et al. 2009, Hawkes et al. 395 2009). Interestingly, recent studies have found that several populations with female-skewed 396 primary sex ratios have approximate numbers of females and males breeding annually (i.e. 397 'operational sex ratio'; Wright et al. 2012a, Rees et al. 2013, Stewart & Dutton 2014). These 398 399 discrepancies between primary and operational sex ratios can result from one or a combination of mechanisms, such as differential survival between female and male post-400 401 hatchlings (Wright et al. 2012b), different breeding periodicities (Hays et al. 2014), and 402 males mating with several females from different populations (Roberts et al. 2004, Wright et al. 2012a). Given that the population at Poilão is the largest in Africa, and the sixth largest in 403 the world (Catry et al. 2009, SWOT 2011), more males are likely produced there than in all 404 405 green turtle rookeries in Africa combined, given that a significant number of nests are laid in the forest and forest border habitats. It is therefore possible that these males contribute 406 significantly to the wider Eastern Atlantic metapopulation, supported by evidence of male-407 mediated gene flow across populations and tracking data in other regions (Roberts et al. 2004, 408 Wright et al. 2012a), and may become more important in the future, when sex ratios 409 410 elsewhere become increasingly female biased, providing that the native forest at Poilão is maintained. 411

412

413 Spatial and temporal microrefugia

In this study, we assessed climate change impacts under the assumption that the spatial and
temporal distribution of nests remained unchanged. However, this may not be the case. Poilão

is covered by undisturbed tropical forest (Catry et al. 2002), which provides cool incubation
conditions, yet currently, under a quarter of the clutches are laid here. There is thus potential
for nesting females to use the forest as refuge, mitigating the temperature-linked impacts on
the sex ratio and the hatching success, while simultaneously preventing clutch flooding due to
SLR and storm events, as the forest sets at slightly higher elevations.

Adjusting the timing of the nesting season could further reduce feminisation of the 421 population. Beginning to nest two months later, would synchronize the peak of the TSP with 422 the colder period of the year. Such displacement could potentially have other associated 423 424 impacts, as it would move nesting to the dry season, and moisture provided by rainfall may be important for nest construction (Mortimer & Carr 1987), and male hatchling production 425 426 (Godfrey et al. 1996; Wyneken & Lolavar 2015). Yet, there is already nesting occurring 427 during this period at Poilão (>100 clutches/year, C. Barbosa pers. obs.), and successful populations nest under dry conditions elsewhere (Godley et al. 2001b, Marco et al. 2012). If 428 females started to nest slightly earlier instead, it would also decrease TSP incubation 429 430 temperatures, compared to the present. Predictions on phenological responses to climate change among sea turtles remain elusive, as it is not clear if the onset of nesting is triggered 431 by sea surface temperatures at breeding (Weishampel et al. 2004) or foraging areas (Mazaris 432 et al. 2009), and whether the response to higher temperatures is anticipation (Weishampel et 433 al. 2004, Mazaris et al. 2009), or delaying of nesting (Neeman et al. 2015), in any case, there 434 435 is scope for adaptation.

436

437 Vulnerability to SLR and storminess

Under the most extreme IPCC projection of future SLR, over half of the current nesting
habitat will remain suitable by 2100. Recent studies, however, indicate that IPCC projections
are underestimated, and predict higher SLR (Grinsted et al. 2010, Horton et al. 2014, Dutton

441 et al. 2015), under which the proportion of nesting habitat loss at Poilão would increase significantly. In addition to SLR, future increases in the prevalence and intensity of storms, 442 with heavier precipitation and higher swells, may lead to more frequent temporary inundation 443 444 of the nesting area (Pike et al. 2015). Large uncertainty of current models precluded us from quantifying these impacts, however, as there is no physical barrier (e.g. cliff, human 445 construction) restricting the nesting beach at Poilão, a likely response to SLR and increased 446 storminess will be some coastal realignment. Thus the beach at Poilão may itself be resilient 447 to some degree of climate change. There will be, nonetheless, a limitation to coastal retreat, 448 449 because Poilão has a very small area (43ha; Catry et al. 2002) and is relatively low-lying in its interior. Thus, SLR will likely reduce the available nesting area, potentially leading to 450 451 density-dependent processes reducing nesting numbers (caused by failure nest due to increase 452 disturbance by other turtles), or increasing clutch mortality (females digging out each other's 453 nests). Alternatively, turtles can adapt by starting to nest more often at the nearby islands of Cavalos, Meio or João Vieira, also within the National Park, as green turtles tagged at Poilão 454 455 have been recaptured there (n=3, unpublished data, IBAP-Guinea-Bissau).

456

457 Foraging plasticity and external threats

Although we do not have samples of prey items to fully understand the diet of the green 458 turtles nesting at Poilão, the values reported here fall well within an omnivorous diet, 459 460 typically observed among the more generalists loggerhead turtles (Wallace et al., 2009, McClellan et al. 2010), but also seen among green turtles (Lemons et al. 2011). Additionally, 461 individual turtles seem to be foraging in a wide range of locations, likely further contributing 462 to variation in their trophic niche. Having a wide variety of both food items and foraging 463 grounds is preferable for population persistence, thus, the foraging plasticity evident in this 464 population should be advantageous in the future. A proportion of the nesting females from 465

466 Poilão migrate northward after the breeding season, to forage at the Banc d'Arguin, in Mauritania (>1000km; Godlev et al. 2010), potentially encountering a range of threats along 467 the way. The juvenile turtles originating at Poilão recruit mainly to foraging grounds along 468 469 the west coast of Africa, in Cape Verde, Liberia, Benin, Equatorial Guinea, and Sao Tome and Principe, with a smaller proportion recruiting to Southwest Atlantic aggregations, in 470 Brazil, and Argentina (Patrício et al. 2017b). Aside from the Equatorial Guinea and 471 Argentina, all other countries have a higher (i.e. worse) CIS, than Guinea-Bissau, with Cape 472 Verde and Mauritania scoring the worst, being 60th and 44th, respectively, in a list of 238 473 474 Exclusive Economic Zones, mostly due to the presence of extensive artisanal and industrial fisheries, with high rates of bycatch (Zeeberg et al. 2006, Wallace et al. 2010, Halpern et al. 475 476 2015). This highlights that population resistance may be compromised by external threats, 477 justifying the ongoing collaborations for the conservation of these species across-boarders. Future work should include satellite tracking of more individuals, in tandem with stable 478 isotope analysis of both turtles and potential food sources, to further unveil their foraging 479 480 behaviour.

481

482 **Population growth**

Female production appears to have been rising since the mid-1970s, potentially contributing 483 to current population expansion, as the number of nests in Poilão has increased by 258% in 484 the past ten years (unpublished data, IBAP-Guinea-Bissau). We predicted that this tendency 485 will continue throughout the century, thus climate change will contribute to population 486 growth, assuming that there will be sufficient food supply at the feeding grounds of this 487 population . As incubation temperatures approach lethal levels, towards the end of the 488 century, growth is expected to reach a plateau, and eventually start to decline. This is in 489 agreement with previous studies, indicating that resilience of TSD species to climate change 490

will eventually be overcome, due to unviable high temperatures (Santidrián Tomillo et al.
2015, Laloë et al. 2017). However, the existence of thermal microrefugia can potentially
allow for continued population growth.

494

495 Climate change resilience and conservation implications

Overall, we estimate that this population has medium to high resistance to climate change 496 impacts, until the end of this century. In a previous study we found that the green turtles at 497 Poilão currently nest at a preferred elevation, above the high spring tide, enhancing hatching 498 499 success (Patrício et al. 2018), suggesting that nest site choice is an adaptive behaviour that has been under selection. Additionally, nesting turtles displayed high fidelity to nesting 500 501 microhabitat characteristics (i.e. habitat type, distance to the vegetation, location along the 502 beach and elevation; Patrício et al. 2018), a phenomenon also seen in hawksbill turtles (Kamel & Mrosovsky, 2006, 2005), suggesting a possible genetic basis for nest site selection. 503 This provides opportunity for natural selection to act, as females deciding to lay their clutches 504 505 at higher elevations (safer from flooding) and under cooler conditions (in the forest, but also later in the season) may have enhanced fitness under climate change scenarios. Thus, the 506 availability of spatial and temporal microrefugia, together with fidelity to nesting site, suggest 507 potential for mitigation of climate change impacts, through the evolution of nest site selection 508 509 behaviour. This could lead to the maintenance, or return to pre-disturbance conditions, of the 510 primary sex ratio and of unflooded nests, hence resilience to climate change. Additionally, TSD species could, theoretically, mitigate the expected temperature-linked impacts on the 511 primary sex ratio, by experiencing microevolutionary shifts in threshold temperatures, i.e. 512 513 transitional range of temperatures (TRT: incubation temperatures at which both male and female hatchlings are produced), and pivotal temperature (the incubation temperature 514

resulting in a 1:1 primary sex ratio). This is more likely in populations with more mixed
clutches (and wider TRTs, Hulin et al. 2009), as is the case in Poilão (Patrício et al. 2017a).
This is the single most comprehensive assessment to date of climate change resistance of a
marine reptile, using the most updated IPCC models, including the impacts of temperature
and SLR, and the population size and trajectory. The approach used here is highly
transferable to other marine turtle rookeries, enabling comparisons among populations and
species, potentially contributing to regional assessments.

522

523 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research was conducted under the license and supervision of the Institute for the Biodiversity 524 and Protected Areas of Guinea-Bissau (IBAP). No animal experiments were conducted for 525 526 the purpose of this study. Research was funded by the MAVA Foundation under the projects 'Participatory research in the service of the biodiversity conservation of the National Marine 527 Park of João Vieira and Poilão' and 'Consolidation of sea turtle conservation at Guinea-528 Bissau', the Rufford Foundation (RSG12317-1, RSG16357-2), and the Portuguese 529 Foundation for Science and Technology through the strategic project UID/MAR/04292/2013 530 granted to MARE, project IF/00502/2013/CP1186/CT0003, and the grant awarded to ARP 531 (fellowship SFRH/BD/85017/2012). Fieldwork was achieved with the collaboration of the 532 local communities and the national institutes IBAP, CIPA, GPC and INEP, represented by 533 534 Quintino Tchantchalam, Mohamed Henriques, Emanuel Dias, António Pires, Amadeu Mendes de Almeida, Bucar Indjai, and Hamilton Monteiro, among others. 535

536

537 **REFERENCES**

- Abella Perez, E., Marco, A., Martins, S., & Hawkes, L.A. (2016). Is this what a climate
- change-resilient population of marine turtles looks like? *Biological Conservation*, 193,
- 540 124–132. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.11.023
- 541 Anderson, J.J., Gurarie, E., Bracis, C., Burke, B.J. & Laidre, K.L. (2013). Modeling climate
- change impacts on phenology and population dynamics of migratory marine species.

543 *Ecological Modelling*, 264, 83–97. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.03.009

- 544 Baker, J.D., Littnan, C.L. & Johnston, D.W. (2006). Potential effects of sea level rise on the
- 545 terrestrial habitats of endangered and endemic megafauna in the Northwestern Hawaiian

546 Islands. *Endangered Species Research*, 2, 21–30.

- 547 Batllori, E., Parisien, M.A., Parks, S.A., Moritz, M.A. & Miller, C. (2017). Potential
- relocation of climatic environments suggests high rates of climate displacement within the
 North American protection network. *Global Change Biology*, 3219–3230.

550 doi:10.1111/gcb.13663

- Bearhop, S., Adams, C.E., Waldron, S., Fuller, R.A. & MacLeod, H. (2004). Determining
 trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 73, 1007–1012.
- Bell, C.D.L., Parsons, J., Austin, T.J., Broderick, A.C., Ebanks-Petrie, G. & Godley, B.J.
- 555 (2005). Some of them came home: the Cayman Turtle Farm headstarting project for the
- 556 green turtle *Chelonia mydas*. *Oryx*, 39, 137–148. doi:10.1017/S0030605305000372
- 557 Bell CD, Blumenthal JM, Broderick AC, Godley BJ (2009). How low can you go?
- 558 Investigating potential for depensation in marine turtle populations. *Conservation Biology*,
- 559 24, 226-235. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01313.x

560	Booth, D.T. & Evans, A. (2011). Warm water and cool nests are best. How global warming
561	might influence hatchling green turtle swimming performance. PLoS One, 6, p.e23162
562	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023162

- 563 Boyle, M., Hone, J., Schwanz, L.E. & Georges, A. (2014). Under what conditions do climate-
- driven sex ratios enhance versus diminish population persistence? *Ecology and Evolution*,
- 565 4, 4522–4533. doi:10.1002/ece3.1316
- 566 Broderick, A.C., Godley, B.J. & Hays, G.C. (2001). Metabolic heating and the prediction of
- sex ratios for green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*). *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 74,
- 568 161–170 doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00107-5
- Butt, N., Whiting, S. & Dethmers, K. (2016). Identifying future sea turtle conservation areas
 under climate change. *Biological Conservation*, 204, 189–196.
- 571 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.012
- 572 Candan, O. & Kolankaya, D. (2016). Sex Ratio of Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) Hatchlings
- 573 at Sugözü, Turkey: Higher Accuracy with Pivotal Incubation Duration. *Chelonian*
- 574 *Conservation Biology*, 15, 102–108. doi:10.2744/CCB-1132.1
- 575 Catry, P., Barbosa, C., Indjai, B., Almeida, A., Godley, B.J. & Vié, J.C. (2002). First census
- of the green turtle at Poilão, Bijagós Archipelago, Guinea-Bissau: the most important
- nesting colony on the Atlantic coast of Africa. *Oryx*, 36, 400–403.
- 578 doi:10.1017/S0030605302000765
- 579 Catry, P., Barbosa, C., Paris, B., Indjai, B. & Almeida, A. (2009). Status, Ecology, and
- 580 Conservation of Sea Turtles in Guinea-Bissau *Chelonian Conservation and Biology*, 8,
- 581 150–160. doi:10.2744/CCB-0772.1

- 582 Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X.,
- 583 Gutowski, W.J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., Shongwe, M., Tebaldi, C., Weaver, A.J. &
- 584 Wehner, M. (2013). Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and
- 585 Irreversibility. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
- 586 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 587 Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen S.K., Boschung,
- J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. & Midgley, P.M. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
- 589 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 590 Cooper, J. A. G., & Pilkey, O. H. (2004). Sea-level rise and shoreline retreat: time to abandon
- the Bruun Rule. Global and planetary change, 43(3-4), 157-171.
- 592 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.07.001
- 593 Diffenbaugh, N.S. & Field, C.B. (2013). Changes in ecologically critical terrestrial climate
 594 conditions. *Science*, 341, 486–492. doi: 10.1126/science.1237123
- 595 Dudley, P.N., Bonazza, R. & Porter, W.P. (2016). Climate change impacts on nesting and
- internesting leatherback sea turtles using 3D animated computational fluid dynamics and

597 finite volume heat transfer. *Ecological Modelling*, 320, 231–240.

- 598 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.10.012
- 599 Dutton, A., Carlson, A.E., Long, A.J., Milne, G.A., Clark, P.U., DeConto, R., Horton, B.P.,
- Rahmstorf, S. & Raymo, M.E. (2015). Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss
- during past warm periods. *Science*, 349, aaa4019-aaa4019. doi:10.1126/science.aaa4019
- Esteban, N., Laloë, J.-O., Mortimer, J.A., Guzman, A.N. & Hays, G.C. (2016). Male
- hatchling production in sea turtles from one of the world's largest marine protected areas,
- the Chagos Archipelago. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 20339. doi:10.1038/srep20339

605	Fish, M.R., Côté, I.M., Gill, J.A., Jones, A.P., Renshoff, S. & Watkinson, A.R. (2005).
606	Predicting the Impact of Sea-Level Rise on Caribbean Sea Turtle Nesting Habitat.
607	<i>Conservation Biology</i> , 19, 482–491. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00146.x
608	Fish, M.R., Côté, I.M., Horrocks, J.A., Mulligan, B., Watkinson, A.R. & Jones, A.P. (2008).
609	Construction setback regulations and sea-level rise: Mitigating sea turtle nesting beach
610	loss. Ocean & Coastal Management, 51, 330-341. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2007.09.002
611	Fuentes, M.P.B., Maynard, J.A., Guinea, M., Bell, I.P., Werdell, P.J. & Hamann, M. (2009).
612	Proxy indicators of sand temperature help project impacts of global warming on sea turtles
613	in northern Australia. Endangered Species Research, 9, 33-40.
614	Fuentes, M.P.B., Hamann, M. & Limpus, C.J. (2010a). Past, current and future thermal
615	profiles of green turtle nesting grounds: Implications from climate change. Journal of
616	Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 383, 56–64. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2009.11.003
617	Fuentes, M.P.B., Limpus, C.J., Hamann, M. & Dawson, J. (2010b). Potential impacts of
618	projected sea-level rise on sea turtle rookeries. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
619	Freshwater Ecosystems, 20, 132-139. doi:10.1002/aqc.1088
620	Fuentes, M.M.P.B., Pike, D.A., Dimatteo, A. & Wallace, B.P. (2013). Resilience of marine
621	turtle regional management units to climate change. Global Change Biology, 19, 1399-
622	1406. doi:10.1111/gcb.12138
623	Fuentes, M.M.P.B., Monsinjon, J., Lopez, M., Lara, P., Santos, A., dei Marcovaldi, M.A.G.,
624	Girondot, M. (2017). Sex ratio estimates for species with temperature-dependent sex
625	determination differ according to the proxy used. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 365, 55-67.
626	doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.09.022

627	Girondot, M. & Kaska, Y. (2014). A model to predict the thermal reaction norm for the
628	embryo growth rate from field data. Journal of Thermal Biology, 45, 96–102.

629 doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.08.005

630 Grinsted, A., Moore, J.C. & Jevrejeva, S. (2010). Reconstructing sea level from paleo and

projected temperatures 200 to 2100 AD. *Climate Dynamics*, 34, 461–472.

632 doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0507-2

Godfrey, M.H., Barreto, R. & Mrosovsky, N. (1996). Estimating past and present sex ratios
of sea turtles in Suriname. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 74, 267–277. doi:10.1139/z96-

635 033

- Godley, B.J., Thompson, D.R., Waldron, S. & Furness, R.W. (1998). The trophic status of
 marine turtles as determined by stable isotope analysis. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
 166, 277–284.
- 639 Godley, B.J., Broderick, A.C., Downie, J.R., Glen, F., Houghton, J.D., Kirkwood, I., Reece,

640 S. & Hays, G.C. (2001a). Thermal conditions in nests of loggerhead turtles: Further

- evidence suggesting female skewed sex ratios of hatchling production in the
- 642 Mediterranean. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 263, 45–63.
- 643 doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(01)00269-6
- 644 Godley, B.J., Broderick, A.C. & Hays, G.C. (2001b). Nesting of green turtles (Chelonia
- 645 *mydas*) at Ascension Island, South Atlantic. *Biological Conservation*, 97, 151–158.
- 646 doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00107-5

- Godley, B.J., Broderick, A.C., Glen, F. & Hays, G.C. (2002). Temperature-dependent sex
 determination of Ascension Island green turtles. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 226,
 pp.115–124.
- 650 Godley, B.J., Barbosa, C., Bruford, M., Broderick, A.C., Catry, P., Coyne, M.S., Formia, A.,
- Hays, G.C. & Witt, M.J. (2010). Unravelling migratory connectivity in marine turtles
 using multiple methods. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 47, 769–778. doi:10.1111/j.13652664.2010.01817.x
- Halpern, B.S., Frazier, M., Potapenko, J., Casey, K.S., Koenig, K., Longo, C., Lowndes, J.S.,
- Rockwood, R.C., Selig, E.R., Selkoe, K.A. & Walbridge, S. (2015). Spatial and temporal
- 656 changes in cumulative human impacts on the world's ocean. *Nature Communications*, 6,
- 657 7615. doi:10.1038/ncomms8615
- Hamann, M., Godfrey, M.H., Seminoff, J. a., Arthur, K., Barata, P.C.R., Bjorndal, K. a.,
- Bolten, a. B., Broderick, a. C., Campbell, L.M., Carreras, C., Casale, P., Chaloupka, M.,
- 660 Chan, S.K.F., Coyne, M.S., Crowder, L.B., Diez, C.E., Dutton, P.H., Epperly, S.P., Fitz
- 661 Simmons, N.N., Formia, a., Girondot, M., Hays, G.C., Cheng, I.J., Kaska, Y., Lewison,
- 662 R., Mortimer, J. a., Nichols, W.J., Reina, R.D., Shanker, K., Spotila, J.R., Tomás, J.,
- 663 Wallace, B.P., Work, T.M., Zbinden, J. & Godley, B.J. (2010). Global research priorities
- for sea turtles: Informing management and conservation in the 21st century. *Endangered*
- 665 *Species Research*, 11, 245–269. doi:10.3354/esr00279
- Hawkes, L.A., Broderick, A.C., Godfrey, M.H. & Godley, B.J. (2007). Investigating the
- 667 potential impacts of climate change on a marine turtle population. *Global Change Biology*,
- 668 13, 923–932. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01320.x

669	Hawkes, L.A., Broderick, A.C., Godfrey, M.H. & Godley, B.J. (2009). Climate change and
670	marine turtles. Endangered Species Research, 7, 137–154. doi:10.3354/esr00198

- Hays, G.C., Mazaris, A.D. & Schofield, G. (2014). Different male vs. female breeding
- 672 periodicity helps mitigate offspring sex ratio skews in sea turtles. Frontiers in Marine
- 673 Science, 1, 43. doi:10.3389/fmars.2014.00043
- Hays, G.C., Mazaris, A.D., Schofield, G. & Laloë, J.O. (2017). Population viability at
- extreme sex-ratio skews produced by temperature-dependent sex determination.
- Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 284, 20162576.
- 677 doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2576
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bruno, J.F. (2010). The Impact of Climate Change on the World's
- 679 Marine Ecosystems. *Science*, 328, 1523–1528. doi:10.1126/science.1189930
- Horton, B.P., Rahmstorf, S., Engelhart, S.E. & Kemp, A.C. (2014). Expert assessment of sea-
- level rise by AD 2100 and AD 2300. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 84, 1–6.
- 682 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.11.002
- Hulin, V., Delmas, V., Girondot, M., Godfrey, M.H. & Guillon, J.M. (2009). Temperature-
- dependent sex determination and global change: are some species at greater risk?

685 *Oecologia*, 160, 493–506. doi:10.1007/s00442-009-1313-1

- Jenouvrier, S. (2013). Impacts of climate change on avian populations. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 2036–2057. doi:10.1111/gcb.12195
- Jensen, M. P., Allen, C. D., Eguchi, T., Bell, I. P., LaCasella, E. L., Hilton, W. A., ... &
- Dutton, P. H. (2018). Environmental warming and feminization of one of the largest sea

- turtle populations in the world. Current Biology, 28(1), 154-159. doi:
- 691 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.057
- 692 Kamel, S.J. & Mrosovsky, N. (2005). Repeatability of nesting preferences in the hawksbill
- sea turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, and their fitness consequences. *Animal Behaviour*, 70,
- 694 819–828. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.01.006
- Kamel, S.J. & Mrosovsky, N. (2006). Inter-seasonal maintenance of individual nest site
 preferences in hawksbill sea turtles. *Ecology*, 87, 2947–2952. doi:10.1890/0012-
- 697 9658(2006)87[2947:IMOINS]2.0.CO;2
- 698 Katselidis, K.A., Schofield, G., Stamou, G., Dimopoulos, P. & Pantis, J.D. (2012). Females
- 699 first? Past, present and future variability in offspring sex ratio at a temperate sea turtle
- breeding area. *Animal Conservation*, 15, 508–518. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00543.x
- 701 Katselidis, K.A., Schofield, G., Stamou, G., Dimopoulos, P. & Pantis, J.D. (2014).
- Employing sea-level rise scenarios to strategically select sea turtle nesting habitat
- important for long-term management at a temperate breeding area. *Journal of*
- *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 450, 47–54. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2013.10.017
- Laloë, J.O., Cozens, J., Renom, B., Taxonera, A. & Hays, G.C. (2014). Effects of rising
- temperature on the viability of an important sea turtle rookery. *Nature Climate Change*, 4,
- 707 513–518. doi:10.1038/nclimate2236
- Laloë, J.O., Esteban, N., Berkel, J. & Hays, G.C. (2016). Sand temperatures for nesting sea
- turtles in the Caribbean: Implications for hatchling sex ratios in the face of climate change.
- Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 474, 92–99.
- 711 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2015.09.015

712	Laloë, J.O., Cozens, J., Renom, B., Taxonera, A. & Hays, G.C. (2017). Climate change and
713	temperature-linked hatchling mortality at a globally important sea turtle nesting site.
714	Global Change Biology, 23: 4922-2931. doi:10.1111/gcb.13765
715	Lemons, G., Lewison, R., Komoroske, L., Gaos, A., Lai, C.T., Dutton, P., Eguchi, T.,
716	LeRoux, R. & Seminoff, J.A. (2011). Trophic ecology of green sea turtles in a highly
717	urbanized bay: Insights from stable isotopes and mixing models. Journal of Experimental
718	Marine Biology and Ecology, 405, 25–32. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2011.05.012
719	Maclean, I.M.D. & Wilson, R.J. (2011). Recent ecological responses to climate change
720	support predictions of high extinction risk. Proceedings of the National Academy of
721	Sciences, 108, 12337–12342. doi:10.1073/pnas.1017352108
722	Marco, A., Abella, E., Liria-Loza, A., Martins, S., López, O., Jiménez-Bordón, S., Medina,
723	M., Oujo, C., Gaona, P., Godley, B.J. & López-Jurado, L.F. (2012). Abundance and
724	explotiation of loggerhead turtles nesting in Boa Vista islands, Cape Verde: the only
725	substantial rookery in the Eastern Atlantic. Animal Conservation, 15, 351-360.
726	doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00547.x
727	Mazaris, A.D., Kallimanis, A.S., Tzanopoulos, J., Sgardelis, S.P. & Pantis, J.D. (2009). Sea
728	surface temperature variations in core foraging grounds drive nesting trends and
729	phenology of loggerhead turtles in the Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Experimental
730	Marine Biology and Ecology, 379, 23–27. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.026
731	Mazaris, A.D., Kallimanis, A.S., Pantis, J.D. & Hays, G.C. (2013). Phenological response of

sea turtles to environmental variation across a species' northern range. *Proceedings of the*

733 *Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 280, 20122397.

doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2397

735	McClellan, C.M., Braun-McNeill, J., Avens, L., Wallace, B.P., Read, A.J., 2010. Stable
736	isotopes confirm a foraging dichotomy in juvenile loggerhead sea turtles. Journal of
737	Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 387, 44-51. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.02.020
738	Mitchell, N.J., Allendorf, F.W., Keall, S.N., Daugherty, C.H., Nelson, N.J., 2010.
739	Demographic effects of temperature-dependent sex determination: Will tuatara survive
740	global warming? Glob. Chang. Biol. 16, 60-72. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01964.x
741	Mitchell, N.J., Janzen, F.J., 2010. Temperature-Dependent sex determination and
742	contemporary climate change. Sex. Dev. 4, 129-140. doi:10.1159/000282494
7/3	Moore LE Cox TM Lewison RL Read AJ Biorkland R McDonald SL Crowder
745	110010, 0.1., Con, 1.1., 2011, 1.2., 1.0., 1.0., 2.Jonnana, 1., 1102 onata, 5.2., Cionata,
744	L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S.,
744 745	L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and
743 744 745 746	L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805.
744 745 746 747	L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.023
744 745 746 747	L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.023
744 745 746 747 748	 L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.023 Mortimer, J.A., Carr, A., 1987. Reproduction and Migrations of the Ascension Island Green
743 744 745 746 747 748 749	 L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.023 Mortimer, J.A., Carr, A., 1987. Reproduction and Migrations of the Ascension Island Green Turtle (Chelonia mydas). Copeia 103–113.
743 745 746 747 748 749	 L.B., Aruna, E., Ayissi, I., Espeut, P., Joynson-Hicks, C., Pilcher, N., Poonian, C.N.S., Solarin, B., Kiszka, J., 2010. An interview-based approach to assess marine mammal and sea turtle captures in artisanal fisheries. Biol. Conserv. 143, 795–805. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.023 Mortimer, J.A., Carr, A., 1987. Reproduction and Migrations of the Ascension Island Green Turtle (Chelonia mydas). Copeia 103–113.

- 750 Mrosovsky, N., 1994. Sex ratios of sea turtles. J. Exp. Zool. 270, 16–27.
- 751 doi:10.1002/jez.1402700104
- 752 Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J., Grubler, A., Riahi, K., Roehrl, R.A., Rogner, H.H. and Victor,
- N., 2000. Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES), A Special Report of Working
- Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University
- 755 Press.

756	Neeman, N., Robinson, N.J., Paladino, F. V, Spotila, J.R., O'Connor, M.P., 2015. Phenology
757	shifts in leatherback turtles (Dermochelys coriacea) due to changes in sea surface
758	temperature. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 462, 113–120.
759	doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2014.10.019
760	O'Leary, J.K., Micheli, F., Airoldi, L., Boch, C., De Leo, G., Elahi, R., Ferretti, F., Graham,
761	N.A.J., Litvin, S.Y., Low, N.H., Lummis, S., Nickols, K.J. & Wong, J. (2017). The
762	Resilience of Marine Ecosystems to Climatic Disturbances. Bioscience, 67, 208–220.
763	doi:10.1093/biosci/biw161
764	Patino-Martinez, J., Marco, A., Quiñones, L. & Hawkes, L. (2012). A potential tool to
765	mitigate the impacts of climate change to the Caribbean leatherback sea turtle. Global
766	<i>Change Biology</i> , 18, 401–411. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02532.x
767	Patrício, R., Diez, C. & van Dam, R. (2014). Spatial and temporal variability of immature
768	green turtle abundance and somatic growth in Puerto Rico. Endanger. Species Res. 23, 51-
769	62. doi:10.3354/esr00554
770	Patrício A., Marques A., Barbosa C., Broderick A., Godley B., Hawkes L., Rebelo R., Regala
771	A. & Catry P (2017a). Balanced primary sex ratios and resilience to climate change in
772	major marine turtle population. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 577: 189-203
773	Patrício, A.R., Formia, A., Barbosa, C., Broderick, A.C., Bruford, M., Carreras, C., Catry, P.,
774	Ciofi, C., Regalla, A. & Godley, B.J. (2017b). Dispersal of green turtles from Africa's
775	largest rookery assessed through genetic markers. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 569,
776	215–225.

777	Patrício, A.R., Varela, M.R., Barbosa, C., Broderick, A.C., Airaud, M.B.F., Godley, B.J.,
778	Regalla, A., Tilley, D. & Catry P (2018) Nest site selection repeatability of green turtles,
779	Chelonia mydas, and consequences for offspring. Animal Behaviour, 139: 91-102.
780	doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.03.006
781	Pike, D.A., Roznik, E.A. & Bell, I. (2015). Nest inundation from sea-level rise threatens sea
782	turtle population viability. Royal Society Open Science, 2: 150127.

783 doi:10.1098/rsos.150127

784 Poloczanska, E.S., Limpus, C.J. & Hays, G.C. (2009). Vulnerability of Marine Turtles to

Climate Change, in: David, W.S. (Ed.), Advances in Marine Biology. Academic Press, pp.
151–211.

- Rahmstorf, S. (2006). A Semi-empirical Approach to Projecting Future Sea Level Rise. *Science*, 315, 368–370. doi:10.1126/science.1135456
- Rees, A.F., Alfaro-Shigueto, J., Barata, P.C.R., Bjorndal, K.A., Bolten, A.B., Bourjea, J.,
- 790 Broderick, A.C., Campbell, L.M., Cardona, L., Carreras, C. & Casale, P. (2016). Are we
- 791 working towards global research priorities for management and conservation of sea

turtles? *Endangered Species Research*, 31: 337–382 doi:10.3354/esr00801

- Reneker, J.L. & Kamel, S.J. (2016). Climate Change Increases the Production of Female
 Hatchlings at a Northern Sea Turtle Rookery. *Ecology*, 97, 257–3264.
 doi:10.1002/ecy.1603
- Roberts, M.A., Schwartz, T.S., Karl, S.A. & August, S. (2004). Global Population Genetic
 Structure and Male-Mediated Gene Flow in the Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*):

- Analysis of Microsatellite Loci. *Genetics*, 166, 1857-1870.
- doi:10.1534/genetics.166.4.1857
- Root, T., Price, J., Hall, K. & Schneider, S. (2003). Fingerprints of global warming on wild
 animals and plants. *Nature*, 421, 57–60. doi:10.1038/nature01309.1.
- Santidrián Tomillo, P., Oro, D., Paladino, F. V., Piedra, R., Sieg, A.E. & Spotila, J.R. (2014).
- 803 High beach temperatures increased female-biased primary sex ratios but reduced output of
- female hatchlings in the leatherback turtle. *Biological Conservation*, 176, 71–79.
- doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.011
- 806 Santidrián Tomillo, P., Genovart, M., Paladino, F. V., Spotila, J.R. & Oro, D. (2015). Climate
- change overruns resilience conferred by temperature-dependent sex determination in sea
 turtles and threatens their survival. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 2980–2988.

doi:10.1111/gcb.12918

- Stokes, L., Epperly, S.P., Avens, L.I., Belskis, L.C., Benson, S.R., Braun-McNeill, J., Dutton,
 P.H., Flanagan, J., Harms, C.A., Higgins, B.M. & Kelly, T. (2008). Sea turtle research
 techniques manual.
- 813 Sunday, J.M., Bates, A.E. & Dulvy, N.K. (2012). Thermal tolerance and the global
- redistribution of animals. *Nature Climate Change*, 2, 686–690. doi:10.1038/nclimate1539
- 815 SWOT, State of the World's Sea Turtles, Report vol. VI. 2011. The most valuable reptile in
- the world, The green turtle. http://seaturtlestatus.org/. Assessed 12 March 2018.
- 817 Thomas, C.D., Thomas, C.D., Cameron, A., Cameron, A., Green, R.E., Green, R.E.,
- Bakkenes, M., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L.J., Beaumont, L.J., Collingham, Y.C.,
- 819 Collingham, Y.C., Erasmus, B.F.N., Erasmus, B.F.N., De Siqueira, M.F., De Siqueira,

820	M.F., Grainger, A., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hannah, L., Hughes, L., Hughes, L.,
821	Huntley, B., Huntley, B., Van Jaarsveld, A.S., Van Jaarsveld, A.S., Midgley, G.F.,
822	Midgley, G.F., Miles, L., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M. a, Ortega-Huerta, M. a, Peterson, a
823	T., Peterson, a T., Phillips, O.L., Phillips, O.L., Williams, S.E. & Williams, S.E. (2004).
824	Extinction risk from climate change. Nature, 427, 145-8. doi:10.1038/nature02121
825	Van Houtan, K.S. & Bass, O.L. (2007). Stormy oceans are associated with declines in sea
826	turtle hatching. Current Biology, 17, 590–591. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.06.021
827	Varela, M.R., Patrício, A.R., Anderson, K., Broderick, A.C., DeBell, L., Hawkes, L.A.,
828	Tilley, D., Snape, R.T.E., Westoby, M.J. & Godley, B.J. Assessing climate change
829	associated sea level rise impacts on sea turtle nesting beaches using drones,
830	photogrammetry and a novel GPS system. Global Change Biology (in Press)
831	Vermeer, M. & Rahmstorf, S. (2009). Global sea level linked to global temperature.
832	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106, 21527–21532.
833	doi:10.1073/pnas.0907765106
834	Wallace, B.P., Avens, L., Braun-McNeill, J. & McClellan, C.M. (2009). The diet
835	composition of immature loggerheads: Insights on trophic niche, growth rates, and
836	fisheries interactions. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 373, 50-57.
837	doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2009.03.006
838	Wallace, B.P., Lewison, R.L., Mcdonald, S.L., Mcdonald, R.K., Kot, C.Y., Kelez, S.,
839	Bjorkland, R.K., Finkbeiner, E.M., Helmbrecht, S. & Crowder, L.B. (2010). Global
840	patterns of marine turtle bycatch. Conservation Letters, 3, 131-142. doi:10.1111/j.1755-
841	263X.2010.00105.x

- 842 Walther, G., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T.J.C., Fromentin, J., I.
- 843 O.H. & Bairlein, F. (2002). Ecological responses to recent change. *Nature*, 416, 389–395.
- 844 Weishampel, J.F., Bagley, D.A. & Ehrhart, L.M. (2004). Earlier nesting by loggerhead sea
- turtles following sea surface warming. *Global Change Biology*, 10, 1424–1427.
- doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00817.x
- Wibbels, T. (2003). Critical approaches to sex determination in sea turtles, in: The Biology of
 Sea Turtles 2, 103–134.
- 849 Williams, S.E., Shoo, L., Isaac, J., Hoffmann, A. & Langham, G. (2008). Towards an
- 850 integrated framework for assessing the vulnerability of species to climate change. *PLoS*
- *Biology*, 6, e325. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060325
- Wright, L.I., Fuller, W.J., Godley, B.J., McGowan, A., Tregenza, T. & Broderick, A.C.
- 853 (2012a). Reconstruction of paternal genotypes over multiple breeding seasons reveals
- male green turtles do not breed annually. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 3625-3635.
- doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05616.x
- Wright, L.I., Stokes, K.L., Fuller, W.J., Godley, B.J., McGowan, A., Snape, R., Tregenza, T.
- 857 & Broderick, A.C. (2012b). Turtle mating patterns buffer against disruptive effects of
- climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 279,
- 859 2122-2127. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.2285
- 860 Wyneken, J. & Lolavar, A. (2015). Loggerhead sea turtle environmental sex determination:
- 861 Implications of moisture and temperature for climate change based predictions for species
- survival. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental*
- *Evolution*, 324, 295–314. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22620

- 864 Xu, C., Liu, H., Anenkhonov, O.A., Korolyuk, A.Y., Sandanov, D. V., Balsanova, L.D.,
- 865 Naidanov, B.B. & Wu, X. (2016). Long-term forest resilience to climate change indicated
- by mortality, regeneration, and growth in semiarid southern Siberia. *Global Change*
- *Biology*, 2370–2382. doi:10.1111/gcb.13582
- 868 Zeeberg, J., Corten, A. & de Graaf, E. (2006). Bycatch and release of pelagic megafauna in
- 869 industrial trawler fisheries off Northwest Africa. *Fisheries Research*, 78, 186–195.
- doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2006.01.012

871 Table 1. Climate change resistance scoring for sea turtles, adapted from Abella-Perez et al. (2016). SL: sea level. Cumulative impact score from

- Halpern et al. (2015). An option per row is selected and corresponding scores (0, 25, 50, 75, 100) for each column added and averaged, for a
- final resistance score between 0 and 100.

Criterion		Unit	Worst		Average		Best
			0	25	50	75	100
1. Primary sex ratio		% female hatchlings	≥ 99	91 - 98	81 - 90	61 - 80	≤ 60
2. Emergence succe	ess	% emerged hatchlings	≤ 10	11 - 30	31 - 50	51 - 75	> 75
3. Spatial microrefugia		% nests in warmest habitat	≤ 20	20 - 39	40 - 59	60 - 79	> 80
4. Temporal microrefugia		% nests warmest periods	≤ 20	20 - 39	40 - 59	60 - 79	> 80
5. Sea level rise		% nesting area below SL	> 80	60 - 79	40 - 59	20 - 39	≤ 20
6. Foraging plasticity		putative no. prey species	1-2	2 - 5	5 - 10	10 - 20	> 20
7 Other threate:	direct take	% take nesting population	≥ 70	> 50	> 30	> 10	0
7. Other threats.	others	cumulative impact score	6.32 - 8.23	4.16 - 6.31	3.76 - 4.16	2.58 - 3.75	0 - 2.57
8. Rookery trend		% female recruitment	< 0	0 - 5	5 - 10	10	> 10
9. Rookery size		no. nests	≤ 100	101 - 500	501 - 1000	1001 - 5000	> 5000

875	Table 2. Representative concentration pathways (RCPs) from the IPCC fifth assessment
876	report (Collins et al., 2013), and estimated values for each of nine criterion used to assess the
877	resistance to climate change of the major green turtle population nesting at the Bijagós
878	Archipelago, Guinea Bissau, and respective score in parenthesis, following the framework
879	proposed in Abella-Perez et al. (2016). CIS: cumulative impact score (Halpern et al. 2015).
880	SL: sea level.

Critorion	Unit	Climate change scenario					
Criterion	onit	RCP 4.5		RCP 6		RCP 8.5	
Peak greenhouse gas emissions	Year	2040		2080		continue to rise	
Mean AT anomaly	2081-2100 (ΔT °C)*	1.6 ± 0.4		2.0 ± 0.4		3.3 ± 0.6	
Mean SLR	2081-2100 (m)	0.47		0.48		0.63	
 Primary sex ratio Emergence success Spatial microsofusio 	% female hatchlings % emerged hatchlings	76.3% 51.6%	(75) (75)	82.0% 49.4%	(50) (50)	93.3% 32.4%	(25) (50)
4. Temporal microrefugia	% nests warmest period	50.0% 54.0%	(50)	50.0% 54.0%	(50) (50)	50.0% 54.0%	(50) (50)
5. Sea level rise	% nesting area below SL	33.4%	(75)	33.4%	(75)	43.0%	(50)
6. Foraging plasticity	putative no. prey species	5-10	(50)	5-10	(50)	5-10	(50)
7. Other threats	CIS and take nesting females	3.57	(75)	3.57	(75)	3.57	(75)
8. Rookery trend	% female recruitment	58.0%	(100)	64.0%	(100)	32.0%	(100)
9. Rookery size	no. nests**	40,170	(100)	41,602	(100)	33,588	(100)
Resistance score (Σ criteria/ n criteria)		72		67		61	

*Tropical regions

** Nests in 2120, considering 20 years as minimum age at maturity (Bell et al., 2005; Patrício et al., 2014)

881 Figure captions

882

883	Figure 1. Historical and projected a. incubation temperatures, and b. proportion of green
884	turtle hatchlings expected to be female, in three nesting microhabitats, at Poilão Island,
885	Guinea-Bissau. OS – 'open sand', FB – 'forest border', F – 'forest'. Orange curve (overall)
886	shows projection of primary sex ratio accounting for the current nesting distribution across
887	microhabitats, and for the emergence success at each microhabitat. Solid horizontal line
888	indicates a. field-derived 'pivotal' temperature for this population (29.4 °C, Patrício et al.
889	2014), and b. 1:1 sex ratio.
890	
891	Figure 2. a. Mean bi-weekly air temperature, b. precipitation and c. green turtle nesting
892	distribution with density curve of thermosensitive period distribution (dashed line), at Poilão
893	Island, Guinea-Bissau, averaged across four years: 2013-2016. Climate data obtained from
894	the National Climatic Data Centre (http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/cdo, closest
895	meteorological station Bolama Island, 50km distant).
896	
897	Figure 3. Proportions of male (black) and female (grey) green turtle hatchlings (x-axes), in
898	three nesting microhabitats, across the nesting season, at Poilão Island, Guinea-Bissau:
899	current estimates and projections for 2100, under three climate models, RCP4.5, RCP6 and
900	RCP8.5 (Collins et al., 2013). See Table 1 for climate model details, see methods for habitat
901	definitions.
902	
903	Figure 4. Proportion of green turtle nesting area at Poilão Island, Guinea-Bissau, expected to

become flooded due to sea level rise (SLR). Dashed lines indicate future scenarios of SLR: a.

905	RCP4.5-0.47m, and RCP6-0.48m; b. RCP8.5-0.63m (from IPCC AR5; Collins et al. 2013),
906	and c. projection derived from semi-empirical models: 1.2m (Horton et al. 2014).
907	

Figure 5. Frequency distributions of nitrogen (δ15N) and carbon (δ13C) stable isotopic
signatures for nesting green turtles from Poilão Island, Guinea-Bissau, in 2013 (n=79, black),
2014 (n=70, grey), and 2016 (n=38, white).

911

Figure 6. Nesting female recruitment to the green turtle rookery in Poilão Island, GuineaBissau, in relation to the present (i.e. 2013-2016), considering a minimum age at maturity of
20 years (Bell et al. 2005, Patrício et al. 2014). In the y-axis, a 0 (dashed line) indicates no
change in the number of nesting females, and a recruitment of 100% indicates a doubling.
The black curve accounts for the temperature-linked hatchling mortality effect, absent in the
grey curve.