Citation for published version:
Cherednichenko, K, Ershova, Y & Kiselev, A 2019, 'Time-dispersive behaviour as a feature of critical contrast media', SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics.

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

TIME-DISPERSIVE BEHAVIOUR AS A FEATURE OF CRITICAL-CONTRAST MEDIA*

KIRILL CHEREDNICHENKO†, YULIA ERSHOVA‡, AND ALEXANDER V. KISELEV§

Abstract. Motivated by the urgent need to attribute a rigorous mathematical meaning to the term "metamaterial", we propose a novel approach to the homogenisation of critical-contrast composites. This is based on the asymptotic analysis of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the interface between different components ("stiff" and "soft") of the medium, which leads to an asymptotic approximation of eigenmodes. This allows us to see that the presence of the soft component makes the stiff one behave as a class of time-dispersive media. By an inversion of this argument, we also offer a recipe for the construction of such media with prescribed dispersive properties from periodic composites.

Key words. Homogenisation, Effective properties, Operators, Time-dispersive media, Asymptotics

AMS subject classifications. 34E13, 34E05, 35P20, 47A20, 81Q35

1. Introduction.

1.1. Physics context and motivation for quantitative analysis. Understanding the dependence of material properties of continuous media on frequency is a natural and practically relevant task, stemming from the theoretical and experimental studies of "metamaterials", *e.g.* materials that exhibit negative refraction of propagating wave packets. Indeed, it was noted as early as in the pioneering work [37], that negative refraction is only possible under the assumption of frequency dispersion, *i.e.* when the material parameters (permittivity and permeability in electromagnetism, elastic moduli and mass density in acoustics) are not only frequency-dependent, but also become negative in certain frequency bands.

Independently of the search for metamaterials, in the course of the development of the theory of electromagnetism, it has transpired in modern physics that the Maxwell equations need to be considered with time-nonlocal "memory" terms, see *e.g.* [24, Section 7.10] and also [7], [34]. The related generalised system (in the absence of charges and currents in the domain of interest) has the form

$$\rho\partial_t u + \int_{-\infty}^{t} a(t-\tau)u(\tau)d\tau + \mathrm{i}Au = 0, \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{curl} \\ -\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{curl} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

where u represents the (time-dependent) electromagnetic field $(H,E)^\top$, the matrix ρ

*Submitted to the editors 13 May 2018.

Funding: KDC and YE is grateful for the financial support of the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council: Grant EP/L018802/2 "Mathematical foundations of metamaterials: homogenisation, dissipation and operator theory". AVK has been partially supported by the RFBR grant 16-01-00443-a.

†Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom (K.Cherednichenko@bath.ac.uk)

‡Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom AND Department of Mathematics, St. Petersburg State University of Architecture and Civil Engineering, 2-ya Krasnoarmeiskaya St. 4, 190005 St. Petersburg, Russia (julija.ershova@gmail.com)

§Department of Higher Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, St. Petersburg State University, Ulianovskaya 1, St.Peterhoff, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russia (alexander.v.kiselev@gmail.com)

depends on the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability, and a is a matrix-valued "susceptibility" operator, set to zero in the more basic form of the system.[1]

Applying the Fourier transform in time t to (1.1), an equation in the frequency domain is obtained:

$$(1.2) \qquad \big(\mathrm{i}\omega\rho + \widehat{a}(\omega)\big)\widehat{u}(\cdot,\omega) + \mathrm{i}A\widehat{u}(\cdot,\omega) = 0,$$

where $\widehat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of u, and ω is the frequency. Equation (1.2) is often interpreted as a "non-classical" version of Maxwell's system of equations, where the permittivity and/or permeability are frequency-dependent. The existence of such media (commonly known as Lorentz materials) and the analysis of their properties go back a few decades in time and has also attracted considerable interest quite recently, *e.g.* in the study of plasma in tokamaks, see [15] and references therein.

Simultaneously with the above developments in the physics literature, recent mathematical evidence, see [38], [6], suggests that such novel material behaviour, which is incompatible (see [5, 10, 11]) with the mathematical assumption of uniform ellipticity of the corresponding differential operators (such as A in (1.1)), may be explained by means of the asymptotic analysis ("homogenisation") of operator families with rapidly oscillating, and non-uniformly elliptic, coefficients.

It is therefore reasonable to ask the question of whether frequency dispersion laws such as pertaining to (1.2), which in turn may provide one with metamaterial behaviour in appropriate frequency intervals [37], can be derived by some process of homogenisation of composite media with contrast (or, as we shall suggest below, any other miscroscopic degeneracies resonating with the macroscopic wavefields).

1.2. Basis for the mathematical framework. If one were to look for an asymptotic expansion of eigenmodes of a high-contrast composite, *restricted* to the soft component of the medium, one would notice (see, *e.g.*, [9]) that their leading-order terms can be understood as the eigenmodes of boundary-value problems with impedance (*i.e.*, frequency-dependent) boundary conditions. Such problems have been considered in the past (see, *e.g.*, [32]), motivated by the analysis of the wave equation. On the other hand, by the celebrated analysis [29, 30] of the so-called generalised resolvents, one knows that a problem of this type admits a conservative dilation, which is constructed by adding the hidden degrees of freedom. In fact, this latter observation has been used in [19, 20] in devising a conservative "extension" of a time-dispersive system of the type (1.1). In the present paper we argue that the aforementioned conservative dilation is precisely the asymptotic model of the original high-contrast composite. Furthermore, the leading-order terms of its eigenmodes restricted to the *stiff* component are solutions to a problem of the type (1.2) with frequency dispersion. They can be easily expressed in terms of the above impedance boundary value problems, thus yielding an explicit description of the link between the resonant soft inclusions and the macroscopic time-dispersive properties. Therefore, models of continuous media with frequency-dependent effective boundary conditions can be seen as natural building blocks for media with frequency dispersion.

It is of a considerable value to relate these ideas to the earlier works [26, 27, 18], where similar limiting impedance-type problems are obtained in the spectral analysis of "thin" periodic structures, converging to metric graphs. Here, one obtains the

[1] From the rigorous operator-theoretic point of view, A in (1.1) is treated as a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ of functions of $x \in \Omega$, for example $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^6)$, where Ω is the part of the space occupied by the medium.

aforementioned impedance setup (see Fig. 1) on the limiting graph as the asymptotics of the eigenmodes of a Neumann Laplacian, when the "thickness" of the structure vanishes for one particular (resonant) scaling between the "edge" and "vertex" volumes of the structure.



Fig. 1. An example of a resonant thin network. *Edge volumes are asymptotically of the same order as vertex volumes. The stiffness of the material of the structure is of the order period-squared.*

It is instructive to point out that the results of [9] establish a thrilling relationship between the analysis of thin structures and the homogenisation theory of high-contrast composites. Namely, the paper [9] deals with the case of the so-called superlattices



Fig. 2. High-contrast superlattice. *The problem for a superlattice is reduced to a one-dimensional high-contrast problem. This is asymptotically equivalent to an impedance-type problem on the soft component.*

[36] with high contrast, see Fig. 2. While simple to set up, the related system of ordinary differential equations (subject to the appropriate conditions of continuity

of fields and fluxes) is nontrivial from the point of view of quantitative analysis, see also [8]. It is shown that the asymptotic model for this system is precisely the one derived in [26, 27, 18] in the case of a resonant thin structure converging to a chain-graph, see Fig. 1. As we shall argue in the present article, such superlattices (and the corresponding chain-graphs) offer a simple prototype for a metamaterial, via the mathematical approach outlined above.

The described result suggests that thin networks might acquire the same asymptotic properties as those of the corresponding high-contrast composites. It is therefore a viable conjecture, that the metamaterial properties of a medium can be attained via a version of geometric contrast instead of relying upon the contrast between material components. This is especially promising when the required material contrast cannot be guaranteed, as is commonly the case in elasticity and electromagnetism. The corresponding thin networks on the other hand have been made available in the study of graphenes and related areas. This subject will be further pursued in a forthcoming publication.

The above exposition vindicates the value of quantum graph models in the analysis of high-contrast composites, where we follow the well-established convention, see [3], to use the term *quantum graph* for an ordinary differential operator of second order defined on a metric graph. These graph-based models are seen as natural limits of composite thin networks consisting of a large number of channels (for, say, acoustic or electromagnetic waves), where a combination of high-contrast and rapid oscillations becomes increasingly taxing at small scales and often leads to impractical numerical costs. For channels with low cross-section-to-length ratios, the material response of such a system, see Fig. 3, is closely approximated by a quantum graph as described above. Systems of this type are a particular example of high-contrast composites and



Fig. 3. Thin network. *An example of a high-contrast periodic network. Stiff channels are in grey, soft channels are in blue.*

thus, as explained above, they possess resonant properties at the miscroscale, which, in turn, leads to macroscopic dispersion. At a very crude level, this is similar to the way in which particle motion on the atomic scale leads to Lorentz-type electromagnetism, see *e.g.* [31, Chapter 1] for the analysis of a related model of the damped harmonic oscillator.

Furthermore, periodic quantum graphs with a vanishing period can serve as realistic explicitly solvable ODE models for multidimensional continuous media, as demonstrated[2], *e.g.*, in [28], where an h-periodic cubic lattice, for small positive h, is shown to be close (including the scattering properties) to the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^d$. More involved

[2]We remark, that it was Professor Pavlov who had pioneered the mathematical study of quantum graphs, see [21].

periodic graphs can be used to model non-trivial media, including anisotropic ones.

As a particular realistic example of a thin network with high contrast, consider the problem of modelling acoustic wave propagation in a system of channels $\Omega^{\varepsilon,\delta}$, ε-periodic in one direction, of thickness $\delta \ll \varepsilon$, and with contrasting material properties (cf. Fig. 3). To simplify the presentation, we assume the antiplane shear wave polarisation (the so called S-waves), which leads to a scalar wave equation for the only non-vanishing component W, of the form

$$W_{tt} - \nabla_x \cdot (a^\varepsilon(x)\nabla_x W) = 0, \qquad u = W(x,t), \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the coefficient a^ε takes values one and ε^2 in different channels of the ε-periodic structure. Looking for time-harmonic solutions $W(x,t) = U(x)\exp(\mathrm{i}\omega t)$, $\omega > 0$, one arrives at the spectral problem

$$-\nabla \cdot (a^\varepsilon \nabla U) = \omega^2 U. \tag{1.3}$$

As we argue below, the behaviour of (1.3) is close, in a quantitatively controlled way as $\varepsilon \to 0$, to that of an "effective medium" on $\mathbb{R}$ described by an equation of the form

$$-U'' = \beta(\omega)U, \tag{1.4}$$

for an appropriate function $\beta = \beta(\omega)$, explicitly given in terms of the material parameters a^ε and the topology of the original system of channels.

The goal of the present paper is to derive an explicit general formula for the function β in (1.4), in terms of the topology of the graph representing the original domain of wave propagation, which is no longer restricted to the example shown in Fig. 3. As noted above, the presence of both rapid oscillations and high contrast make the task mathematically nontrivial. In our approach, which is new, we call upon some recently developed machinery in the operator-theoretic analysis of abstract boundary-value problems (which in our case take the form of boundary-value problems for differential operators of interest). In the subsequent work [10] we develop the corresponding analysis for the multidimensional case, which is neither included nor an extension of the analysis for graphs presented in this article. However, it is based on the same set of mathematical ideas, which makes us hope that the foundations for (1.4) in the case of PDEs is clear from what follows.

Unlike the approach aimed at derivation of norm-resolvent convergence, which we adopt in [11, 10], in the present paper, having the convenience of the more physically inclined reader in mind, we systematically treat the subject from the point of view of spectral problems and, in particular, of the asymptotic analysis of eigenmodes. We refer the interested reader to the aforementioned papers, where further mathematical details, which we think are out of scope here, are contained.

The present paper can be viewed as following in the footsteps of [9] in that it relies upon the analysis of the fibre representations (obtained via the Floquet-Gelfand transform) of the original periodic operator. This is carried out using the boundary triples theory (see, *e.g.*, [22, 14]), which generalises the classical methods based on the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient, applied to self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators. This allows us to develop a novel approach to the homogenisation of a class of periodic high-contrast problems on "weighted quantum graphs", *i.e.* one-dimensional versions of thin composite media where the material parameters on one of the components are much lower than on the others and scaled in a "critical" way with respect to the period of the composite. We reiterate that the idea that such media can be viewed as idealised models of thin periodic critical-contrast networks has been explored in the mathematics literature, see [27], [18], [39] and elsewhere. The backbone of our approach is the study of eigenfunctions of the problem restricted to one ("soft") component of the composite. After the asymptotics for these is obtained, it proves possible to reconstruct the "complete" eigenfunctions, where we implicitly rely upon the classical results of operator theory, in particular dealing with out-of-space self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators and associated generalised resolvents.

1.3. Physics interpretation and relevance to metamaterials. Our argument leads to the understanding of the phenomenon of critical-contrast homogenisation limit as a manifestation of a frequency-converting device: if one restricts the eigenfunctions to the "stiff" component, they prove to be close to those of the medium where the soft component has been replaced with voids *but* correspond to non-trivially shifted eigenfrequencies. This is precisely what one would expect in the setting of time-dispersive media after the passage to the frequency domain, *cf.* (1.2).

From the physics perspective, this link between homogenisation and frequency conversion can be viewed as a justification of an "asymptotic equivalence" between eigenvalue problems for periodic composites with high contrast and wave propagation problems with nonlinear dependence on the spectral parameter, which in the frequency domain characterise "time-dispersive media", as in (1.1), see also [34, 35, 19, 20].

As we mention above, the phenomenon of frequency dispersion emerging as a result of homogenisation has been observed in the two-scale formulation applied to critical-contrast PDEs in, *e.g.*, [38, 6]. Our approach goes beyond the results of [38, 6] in several ways. First, being based on an explicit asymptotic analysis of operators, using the recent developments in the theory of abstract boundary-value problems (see *e.g.* [33]), it provides an explicit procedure for recovering the dispersion relation and does not draw upon the well-known two-scale asymptotic techniques. Second, the convergence statements are obtained in the much stronger operator-norm topology. Finally, our approach is not restricted to topologies where the stiff component forms a connected set, see [11] for explicit dispersion formulae derived in such setups.

The approach we develop in the present paper offers a new perspective on frequency-dispersive (time non-local) continuous media, in the sense that it provides a recipe for the construction of such media with prescribed dispersive properties from periodic composites whose individual components are non-dispersive. It has been known that time-dispersive media [19] in the frequency domain can be realised as a "restriction" of a conservative Hamiltonian defined on a space which adds the "hidden" degrees of freedom.[3]

In summary, the existing belief in the engineering and physics literature that time-dispersive properties often arise as the result of complex microstructure of composites suggests to look for a rather concrete class of such conservative Hamiltonian dilations, namely, those pertaining to differential operators on composites with critical contrast. Our results can be viewed as laying foundations for rigorously solving this problem.

2. Infinite-graph setup. Consider a graph $\mathbb{G}_\infty$, periodic in one direction, so that $\mathbb{G}_\infty + \ell = \mathbb{G}_\infty$, where ℓ is a fixed vector, which defines the graph axis. Let the periodicity cell $\mathbb{G}$ be a finite compact graph of total length $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and denote by

[3] This is based on the observation that the equation (1.2) can be written in the form of an eigenvalue problem $\mathcal{A}U = \omega U$, $U \in \mathcal{H}$, for a suitable self-adjoint "dilation" $\mathcal{A}$ of the operator A, so that $\mathcal{A}$ acts in a space $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathbb{H}$. The vector field U has a natural physical interpretation in terms of additional electromagnetic field variables, the so-called polarisation P and magnetisation M, so that the full (12-dimensional) field vector is $(H, E, P, M)^\top$.

e_j, $j = 1, 2, \dots n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, its edges. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we identify e_j with the interval $[0, \varepsilon l_j]$, where εl_j is the length of e_j. We associate with the graph $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ the Hilbert space

$$L_2(\mathbb{G}_\infty) := \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} L_2(0, \varepsilon l_j).$$

Consider a sequence of operators A^ε, $\varepsilon > 0$, in $L_2(\mathbb{G}_\infty)$, generated by second-order differential expressions

$$-\frac{d}{dx}\left(\left(a^\varepsilon\right)^2 \frac{d}{dx}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

with positive $\mathbb{G}$-periodic coefficients $(a^\varepsilon)^2$ defined on $\mathbb{G}_\infty$, with the domain $\mathrm{dom}(A^\varepsilon)$ that describes the coupling conditions at the vertices of $\mathbb{G}_\infty$:

$$\mathrm{dom}(A^\varepsilon) = \left\{ u \in \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{G}_\infty} W^{2,2}(e) \middle| \, u \text{ continuous}, \sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e (a^\varepsilon)^2 u'(V) = 0 \ \forall \ V \in \mathbb{G}_\infty \right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

In the formula (2.2) the summation is carried out over the edges e sharing the vertex V, the coefficient $(a^\varepsilon)^2$ in the vertex condition is calculated on the edge e, and $\sigma_e = -1$ or $\sigma_e = 1$ for e incoming or outgoing for V, respectively. The matching conditions (2.2) represent the combined conditions of continuity of the function and of vanishing sums of its co-normal derivatives at all vertices (*i.e.* the so-called Kirchhoff conditions).

3. Gelfand transform. We seek to apply the one-dimensional Gelfand transform

$$v(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x + \varepsilon n) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t(x+\varepsilon n)}. \tag{3.1}$$

to the operator A^ε defined on $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ in order to obtain the direct fibre integral for the operator A^ε :

$$A^\varepsilon = \int_\oplus A_t^\varepsilon dt. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to do achieve this goal, we first note that the geometry of $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ is encoded in the matching conditions (2.2) *only*. This opens up a possibility to embed the graph $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ into $\mathbb{R}^1$ by rearranging it edges as consecutive segments of the real line (leading to a one-dimensional ε-periodic chain graph). In doing so we drop the customary practice of drawing graphs in a way reflecting matching conditions (*i.e.*, so that these are local relative to graph vertices). The above embedding leads to rather complex non-local matching conditions, but, on the positive side, allows us to use the Gelfand transform (3.1).

The Gelfand transform leads to periodic conditions on the boundary of the cell $\mathbb{G}$ and thus in our case identifies the "left" boundary vertices of the graph $\mathbb{G}$ with their translations by ℓ, which results in a modified graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Apart from this, the matching conditions for the internal vertices of $\mathbb{G}$ admit the same form as for A^ε, except for the fact that the Kirchhoff matching is replaced by a Datta-Das Sarma one (the latter can be viewed as a weighted Kirchhoff), see below in (3.4). Unimodular weights appearing in Datta-Das Sarma conditions are precisely due to the non-locality of matching conditions mentioned above for the embedding of $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ into $\mathbb{R}^1$.

The image of the Gelfand transform is described as follows. There exists a unimodular list $\{w_V(e)\}_{e\ni V}$, *cf.* [11], defined at each vertex V of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ as a finite collection of values corresponding to the edges adjacent to V. For each $t\in[-\pi/\varepsilon,\pi/\varepsilon)$, the fibre operator A_t^ε is generated by the differential expression

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{d}{dx}+t\right)(a^\varepsilon)^2\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{d}{dx}+t\right) \tag{3.3}$$

on the domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_t^\varepsilon)=\Biggl\{v\in\bigoplus_{e\in\mathbb{G}}W^{2,2}(e)\ \Bigg|\ w_V(e)v|_e(V)=w_V(e')v|_{e'}(V)\text{ for all }e,e'\text{ adjacent to }V,\quad \sum_{e\ni V}\partial^{(t)}v(V)=0\quad\text{for each vertex }V\Biggr\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\partial^{(t)}v(V)$ is the weighted "co-derivative" $\sigma_e w_V(e)(a^\varepsilon)^2(v'+\mathrm{i}tv)$ of the function v on the edge e, calculated at V.

4. Boundary triples for extensions of symmetric operators. In the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of the fibres A_t^ε of the original operator A^ε representing the quantum graph, we employ the framework of boundary triples for a symmetric operator with equal deficiency indices for the description of a class of its extensions. Part of the toolbox of the theory of boundary triples is the generalisation of the classical Weyl-Titchmarsh m-function to the case of a matrix (finite deficiency indices) and operators (infinite deficiency indices).

The boundary triples theory is a very convenient toolbox for dealing with extensions of linear operators, originating in the works of M. G. Kreĭn. In essence, it is an operator-theoretic interpretation of the second Green's identity, see (4.1) below. As such, it allows one to pass over from the consideration of functions in Hilbert spaces to a formulation in which one deals with objects in the boundary spaces (such as traces of functions and their normal derivatives), which in the context of quantum graphs are finite-dimensional. Furthermore, it allows one to use explicit concise formulae for the resolvents of operators under scrutiny and other related objects. Thus it facilitates the analysis by expressing the familiar, commonly used in this area, objects in a concise way.

Definition 4.1 ([22, 25, 14]). *Suppose that* $A_{\max}$ *is the adjoint to a densely defined symmetric operator on a separable Hilbert space* H *and let* Γ_0, Γ_1 *be linear mappings of* $\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max})\subset H$ *to a separable Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$.

A. The triple $(\mathcal{H},\Gamma_0,\Gamma_1)$ *is called* a boundary triple *for the operator* $A_{\max}$ *if the following two conditions hold:*

1. *For all* $u,v\in\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max})$ *one has the second Green's identity*

$$\langle A_{\max}u,v\rangle_H-\langle u,A_{\max}v\rangle_H=\langle\Gamma_1u,\Gamma_0v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}-\langle\Gamma_0u,\Gamma_1v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{4.1}$$

2. *The mapping* $\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max})\ni u\longmapsto(\Gamma_0u,\Gamma_1u)\in\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}$ *is onto.*

B. A restriction A_B *of the operator* $A_{\max}$ *such that* $A_{\max}^*=:A_{\min}\subset A_B\subset A_{\max}$ *is called almost solvable if there exists a boundary triple* $(\mathcal{H},\Gamma_0,\Gamma_1)$ *for* $A_{\max}$ *and a bounded linear operator* B *defined on* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_B)=\bigl\{u\in\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max}):\ \Gamma_1u=B\Gamma_0u\bigr\}.$$

C. The operator-valued Herglotz[4] *function $M = M(z)$, defined by*

$$M(z)\Gamma_0 u_z = \Gamma_1 u_z, \quad u_z \in \ker(A_{\max} - z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{C}_-, \tag{4.2}$$

is called the Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function of the operator $A_{\max}$ with respect to the corresponding boundary triple.

Suppose A_B be a self-adjoint almost solvable restriction of $A_{\max}$ with compact resolvent. Then $M(z)$ is analytic on the real line away from the eigenvalues of A_∞, where A_∞ is the restriction of $A_{\max}$ to domain $\operatorname{dom}(A_\infty) = \operatorname{dom}(A_{\max}) \cap \ker(\Gamma_0)$. It is a key observation for what follows that $u \in \operatorname{dom}(A_B)$ is an eigenvector of A_B with eigenvalue $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{spec}(A_\infty)$ if and only if

$$\big(M(z_0) - B\big)\Gamma_0 u = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

In the next section we utilise a particular operator $A_{\max}$ and a boundary triple $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, which we use to analyse the resolvents of the operators on quantum graphs introduced in Sections 2, 3.

5. Graph with high contrast: prototype for time-dispersive media. In what follows we develop a general approach to the analysis of weighted quantum graphs with critical contrast. We demonstrate it on one particular example, which, as we show in Appendix A, exhibits all the properties of the generic case. We have thus chosen to present the analysis in the terms that are immediately applicable to the general case and, whenever advisable, we provide statements that carry over without modifications. Speaking of a "general" case, we imply an operator of the class introduced in Section 2, where some of the edges e_{soft} ("soft" edges) of the cell graph $\mathbb{G}$ carry the weight $a^\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, with the remaining edges carrying weights of order 1 uniformly in ε.

The rationale of the present section is in fact extendable to an even more general setup (including the one of periodic high-contrast PDEs), which we treat in the paper [10]. However, in the present work we consider a rather simplified model, in view of keeping technicalities to a bare minimum and thus hopefully making the matter transparent to the reader.

Consider the graph $\mathbb{G}_\infty$ with the periodicity cell $\mathbb{G}$ shown in Figure 4. The



FIG. 4. PERIODICITY CELL $\mathbb{G}$. *The intervals of lengths εl_1 and εl_3 are "stiff", i.e. they carry the weights a_1^2 and a_3^2, respectively, whereas the interval of length εl_2 is "soft", with weight ε^2.*

Gelfand transform, see Section 3, applied to this graph, yields the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ of Figure 5. In the present section we show that there exists a boundary triple such that A_t^ε is an almost solvable extension of the corresponding $A_{\min}$, and the M-function (which is in our case a matrix-valued function; for convenience, it is written as a function of $k := \sqrt{z}$, with the branch chosen so that $\Im k > 0$) of $A_{\max}$ is given by

$$M(k, \varepsilon, t) = k\widetilde{M}^{\text{stiff}}(\varkappa, \tau) + \varepsilon \widetilde{M}^{\text{soft}}(k, \tau), \quad \varkappa := \varepsilon k, \quad \tau := \varepsilon t, \tag{5.1}$$

[4] For a definition and properties of Herglotz functions, see *e.g.* [31].



FIG. 5. THE GRAPH $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. *The left and right boundary vertices have been identified.*

where

$$\widetilde{M}^{\rm stiff}(\varkappa,\tau) := \begin{pmatrix} -a_1\cot\dfrac{\varkappa l_1}{a_1} - a_3\cot\dfrac{\varkappa l_3}{a_3} & a_1\dfrac{{\rm e}^{-{\rm i}(l_1+l_3)\tau}}{\sin\dfrac{\varkappa l_1}{a_1}} + a_3\dfrac{{\rm e}^{{\rm i}l_2\tau}}{\sin\dfrac{\varkappa l_3}{a_3}} \\ a_1\dfrac{{\rm e}^{{\rm i}(l_1+l_3)\tau}}{\sin\dfrac{\varkappa l_1}{a_1}} + a_3\dfrac{{\rm e}^{-{\rm i}l_2\tau}}{\sin\dfrac{\varkappa l_3}{a_3}} & -a_1\cot\dfrac{\varkappa l_1}{a_1} - a_3\cot\dfrac{\varkappa l_3}{a_3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\widetilde{M}^{\rm soft}(k,\tau) := k\begin{pmatrix} -\cot kl_2 & \dfrac{{\rm e}^{{\rm i}l_2\tau}}{\sin kl_2} \\ \dfrac{{\rm e}^{-{\rm i}l_2\tau}}{\sin kl_2} & -\cot kl_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

(Note that for all $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi)$ the function $\widetilde{M}^{\rm soft}(\cdot,\tau)$ is meromorphic and regular at zero.)

Essentially, the claim made is a straightforward consequence of the double integration by parts, followed by a simple rearrangement of terms. In the rest of this section we sketch the construction applicable in the general case, which in particular yields the result for the model graph considered. Under the definitions of Section 4, the maximal operator $A_{\max}=A_{\min}^*$ is defined by the same differential expression (3.3) on the domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max}) = \biggl\{ v\in\bigoplus_{e\in\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} W^{2,2}(e)\ \bigg|\ w_V(e)v|_e(V) = w_V(e')v|_{e'}(V) \\ \text{for all } e,e' \text{ adjacent to } V,\quad \forall V\in\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\biggr\}. \tag{5.4}$$

In what follows we use the triple $(\mathbb{C}^m,\Gamma_0,\Gamma_1)$, where m is the number of vertices in the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and

$$\Gamma_0 v = \bigl\{v(V)\bigr\}_V,\qquad \Gamma_1 v = \Bigl\{\sum_{e\ni V}\partial^{(t)}v(V)\Bigr\}_V,\qquad v\in\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max}), \tag{5.5}$$

where $v(V)$ is the common value of $w_V(e)v|_e(V)$ for all edges e adjacent to V, and $\partial^{(t)}v(V)$ is defined at the end of Section 3, see also (5.6) below.

By definition of the M-matrix one has $\Gamma_1 v = M\Gamma_0 v$, for functions $v \in \ker(A_{\max} - z)$, which have the form

$$v(x) = \exp(-\mathrm{i}xt)\left\{A_e \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}kx}{a^\varepsilon}\right) + B_e \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}kx}{a^\varepsilon}\right)\right\}, \quad x \in e, \quad A_e, B_e \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $k := \sqrt{z}$, and the co-derivative is given by

$$(a^\varepsilon)^2(v'(x) + itv(x)) = \mathrm{i}ka^\varepsilon \exp(-\mathrm{i}xt)\left\{-A_e \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}kx}{a^\varepsilon}\right) + B_e \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}kx}{a^\varepsilon}\right)\right\}, \quad x \in e, \tag{5.6}$$

For the vertex V and for every "Dirichlet data" vector $\Gamma_0 v$ one of whose entries is unity and the other entries vanish, the "Neumann data" vector $\Gamma_1 v$ gives the column of the M-matrix corresponding to V. The elements of $\Gamma_1 v$ corresponding to diagonal and off-diagonal entries of $M(z)$ are, respectively,

$$-\sum_{e\in V} ka^\varepsilon \cot\left(\frac{k\varepsilon l_e}{a^\varepsilon}\right), \qquad \sum_{e\in V} ka^\varepsilon \widetilde{w}_V(e)\left(\sin\frac{k\varepsilon l_e}{a^\varepsilon}\right)^{-1},$$

where $\{\widetilde{w}_V(e)\}_{e\ni V}$ is a unimodular list uniquely determined by the list $\{w_V(e)\}_{e\ni V}$. The resulting M-matrix is constructed from these columns over all vertices V.

In particular, for the example of Fig. 4–5, we have the following: the boundary space $\mathcal{H}$ pertaining to the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$. The unimodular list functions w_{V_1} and w_{V_2} are as follows, denoting by $e^{(1)}$, $e^{(3)}$ the stiff edges and by $e^{(2)}$ the soft edge:

$$\left\{w_{V_1}(e^{(j)})\right\}_{j=1}^3 = \left\{1, 1, e^{\mathrm{i}\tau(l_2+l_3)}\right\}, \quad \left\{w_{V_2}(e^{(j)})\right\}_{j=1}^3 = \left\{e^{\mathrm{i}\tau l_3}, 1, 1\right\},$$

and similarly

$$\left\{\widetilde{w}_{V_1}(e^{(j)})\right\}_{j=1}^3 = \left\{e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau(l_1+l_3)}, e^{\mathrm{i}\tau l_2}, e^{\mathrm{i}\tau l_2}\right\},$$
$$\left\{\widetilde{w}_{V_2}(e^{(j)})\right\}_{j=1}^3 = \left\{e^{\mathrm{i}\tau(l_1+l_3)}, e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau l_2}, e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau l_2}\right\},$$

yielding the formulae (5.2), (5.3).

6. Asymptotic diagonalisation of the M-matrix and the eigenvector asymptotics. The present section is the centrepiece of our approach. The major difficulty to overcome is he fact that the operator A_t^ε entangles in a non-trivial way the stiff and soft components of the medium. On the level of the analysis of the operator itself this problem admits no obvious solution, unless one is prepared to introduce a two-scale asymptotic ansatz. On the other hand, the M-matrix calculated above will be shown to be additive with respect to the decomposition of the medium (hence the notation $M^{\rm soft}$ and $M^{\rm stiff}$). Thus, via the representation (5.1), it proves possible to use the asymptotic expansion of $M^{\rm stiff}$, which is readily available, to recover the asymptotics of eigenmodes, restricted to the soft component. This way, the homogenisation task at hand can be viewed as a version of the perturbation analysis in the boundary space pertaining to the problem.

In the example considered (and in the general case in view of Appendix A) it follows from (4.3), (5.1) that u_ε is an eigenfunction of the operator A_t^ε, see (3.3)–(3.4), if and only if

$$M^{\rm soft}\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon = -M^{\rm stiff}\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon, \qquad M^{\rm soft} := \varepsilon\widetilde{M}^{\rm soft}, \quad M^{\rm stiff} := k\widetilde{M}^{\rm stiff}. \tag{6.1}$$

In writing (6.1), we assume, without loss of generality, that the eigenvalue $z_\varepsilon = k^2$ corresponding to the eigenfunction u_ε does not belong to the spectrum of the Dirichlet decoupling A^t_∞, defined according to the general theory of Section 4 for the operators we introduce in Section 3. It follows from (5.2)–(5.3) that in any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, for small enough ε, this spectrum coincides with the ε-independent set of poles of the matrix $\widetilde{M}^{\rm soft}$ For this reason we can safely work under the assumption that the eigenvalues z_ε do not belong to the spectrum of the Dirichlet operator on the soft inclusion. This assumption ensures that the condition $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \operatorname{spec}(A_\infty)$ for the validity of (4.3) is satisfied in both cases: for the M-matrix of the operator A^ε_t, where $B = 0$, and for the M-matrix of the operator on the soft component represented by (6.1), where the role of B is played by the matrix $-M^{\rm stiff}$.

We proceed by observing that the matrices $M^{\rm soft}$ and $M^{\rm stiff}$ in (6.1) can be treated as M-matrices of certain triples on their own. In particular, it will be instrumental in what follows to attribute this meaning to $M^{\rm soft}$. To this end, consider the decomposition of the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ into its "soft" $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$ and "stiff" $\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}$ components (each of these is treated as a graph, so that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{G}^{\rm soft} \cup \mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}$) and the operator $A^{\rm soft}_{\max}$ defined by (3.3), (5.4), with $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ replaced by $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$. The boundary space for $A^{\rm soft}_{\max}$ can be defined as $\mathcal{H}$, the same as the boundary space for the operator $A_{\max}$ (again by Appendix A in the general case). The boundary operators $\Gamma^{\rm soft}_j$, $j = 0, 1$, are defined as in (5.5) for the graph $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$. Then, by inspection, the M-matrix for the operator $A^{\rm soft}_{\max}$ coincides with $M^{\rm soft}$ (see [12] for further details).

For each $v \in \operatorname{dom}(A_{\max})$, define $\widetilde{v}$ to be the restriction of v to the soft component $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$, so that clearly $\widetilde{v} \in \operatorname{dom}(A^{\rm soft}_{\max})$. We notice that (6.1) implies, in particular, that

$$M^{\rm soft}\Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon = B^\varepsilon \Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon, \qquad B^\varepsilon := -M^{\rm stiff}. \tag{6.2}$$

Furthermore, since $M^{\rm soft}$ is the M-matrix for the pair $(\Gamma^{\rm soft}_0, \Gamma^{\rm soft}_1)$, one has

$$M^{\rm soft}\Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon = \Gamma^{\rm soft}_1 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon,$$

so the condition (6.2) takes a form similar to (4.2):

$$\Gamma^{\rm soft}_1 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon = B^\varepsilon \Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon. \tag{6.3}$$

This condition involves the Dirichlet data of the solution to the spectral equation for $A^{\rm soft}_{\max}$ which is an ODE on the graph $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$ with a constant coefficient. The Dirichlet data $\Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$ determine the vector $\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$ uniquely. The named vector is interpreted as a solution to the spectral equation on the soft component of the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ subject to z-dependent boundary conditions, encoded in (6.3). On the other hand, this vector can also be used to reconstruct the vector u_ε: indeed, from $\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon = \Gamma^{\rm soft}_0 \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$ it follows, that u_ε, which is by assumption an eigenvector to A^ε_t at the point z, is simply a continuation of $\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$ to the rest of the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ based on its Dirichlet data at the boundary of the soft component. It follows, *cf.* (6.3), that the asymptotic analysis can be reduced to the soft component, with the information about the stiff component fed into the related asymptotic procedure by means of the stiff-soft interface.

Before we proceed further, let us take another look at the equation $M\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon = 0$, *cf.* (6.1), which is equivalent to u_ε being an eigenvector of A^ε_t at the value of spectral parameter z. Using the fact that $M = M^{\rm soft} + M^{\rm stiff}$ as well as the explicit expressions for the matrices $M^{\rm soft}$, $M^{\rm stiff}$, *cf.* (5.1), it is easily seen that the leading-order term of $\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon$, and thus of u_ε, does not depend on the soft component of the medium, since the elements of $M^{\rm soft}$ are ε-small. On the other hand, the situation is drastically different from the viewpoint of the associated dispersion relation, which must be guaranteed for the *solvability* of $M\Gamma_0 u_\varepsilon = 0$. The dispersion relation follows from the condition $\det M = 0$, and it is *here, and here only*, that the soft component of the medium makes its presence felt in the problem. Due to the fact that $M^{\rm stiff}$ is rank one at $\tau = 0$, it transpires that the leading-order term of the equation $\det M = 0$ *in the case of critical contrast only* blends together in a non-trivial way the stiff and soft components of the medium. Bearing this in mind, the phenomenon of critical-contrast homogenisation can be seen as a manifestation of a frequency-converting device: if one restricts the eigenfunctions to the stiff component, they are ε-close to those of the medium where the soft component has been replaced with voids, *but* correspond to non-trivially shifted eigenfrequencies. This is precisely what one would expect in the setting of time-dispersive media after the passage to the frequency domain, *cf.* (1.1), (1.2). We will come back to this discussion in Section 8.

Let us return to the analysis of (6.3), which, as explained above, contains all the information on the asymptotic behaviour of A_t^ε. We notice that the named equation corresponds to a homogeneous ODE; the non-trivial dependence on ε is concealed in the right-hand side, which describes ε- *and* frequency-dependent boundary conditions. The problem of asymptotic analysis of eigenfunctions of A_t^ε is thus effectively reduced to the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of these boundary conditions. This analysis, however, is simplified by the fact that $B^\varepsilon = -M^{\rm stiff}$, see (6.2), where $M^{\rm stiff}$ is shown to be the M-matrix of $A_{\max}^{\rm stiff}$ (see Appendix A) by a similar argument to that applied above to $M^{\rm soft}$. Hence, the asymptotics sought for $M^{\rm stiff}$ is simply the asymptotics of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of a uniformly elliptic problem at zero frequency, which allows to use well-known elliptic techniques.

Firstly, we notice that the results of Section 5 combined with the asymptotic formulae

$$a_e \cot\frac{\varkappa l_e}{a_e} = \frac{a_e^2}{\varkappa l_e} - \frac{1}{3}\varkappa l_e + O(\varkappa^3), \qquad a_e\left(\sin\frac{\varkappa l_e}{a_e}\right)^{-1} = \frac{a_e^2}{\varkappa l_e} + \frac{1}{6}\varkappa l_e + O(\varkappa^3),$$

yield the following statement.

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose that $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact. One has*

$$\widetilde{M}^{\rm stiff}(\varkappa,\tau) = \varkappa^{-1}M_0(\tau) + \varkappa M_1(\tau) + O(\varkappa^3), \quad \tau\in[-\pi,\pi),\ \varkappa = \varepsilon k,\ \varepsilon\in(0,1),\ k\in K,$$

where M_0 and M_1 are analytic matrix functions of τ.

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that, for all $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi)$,

$$B^\varepsilon(z) = \varepsilon^{-1}B_0 + \varepsilon z B_1 + O(\varepsilon^3 z^2), \qquad \varepsilon\in(0,1),\ \sqrt{z}\in K, \tag{6.4}$$

where B_0, B_1 are Hermitian matrices that depend on τ only. The following two lemmata, proved in Appendices B and C, carry over to the general case with only minor modifications, since they pertain to the stiff component of the medium and therefore rely upon the general uniformly elliptic properties of the latter.

LEMMA 6.2. *There exist $\gamma \geq 0$ (where $\gamma = 0$ if and only if the graph $\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}$ is a tree*[5]*) and an eigenvalue branch $\mu^{(\tau)}$ for the matrix B_0, such that* $\dim\operatorname{Ker}\big(B_0 - \mu^{(\tau)}\big) = 1$, $\tau\in[-\pi,\pi)$, *and*

$$\mu^{(\tau)} = \gamma\tau^2 + O(\tau^4). \tag{6.5}$$

[5]Recall that a tree is a connected forest [13].

We denote by $\psi^{(\tau)}$ the normalised eigenvector for the eigenvalue $\mu^{(\tau)}$, so that $\psi^{(0)} = (1/\sqrt{2})(1,1)^\top$, *i.e.* the trace of the first eigenvector of the Neumann problem on the stiff component at zero quiasimomentum, which is clearly constant. Let $\mathcal{P} := \langle \cdot, \psi^{(\tau)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \psi^{(\tau)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\perp$ be the orthogonal projections in the boundary space onto $\psi^{(\tau)}$ and its orthogonal complement, respectively.

LEMMA 6.3. *There exists $C_\perp > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P}_\perp B_0 \mathcal{P}_\perp \geq C_\perp \mathcal{P}_\perp, \tag{6.6}$$

in the sense that the operator $\mathcal{P}_\perp(B_0 - C_\perp)\mathcal{P}_\perp$ is non-negative.

We use Lemma 6.3 to solve (6.3) asymptotically. The overall idea is to diagonalise the leading order term $\varepsilon^{-1}B_0$ of the asymptotic expansion of B^ε in (6.3). From Lemma 6.2 we infer that B_0 has precisely one eigenvalue quadratic in τ (which thus gets close to zero), while Lemma 6.3 provides us with a bound below on the remaining eigenvalue. The fact that the eigenvalue $\mu^{(\tau)}$ degenerates requires that the next term in the asymptotics of B^ε be taken into account in the related eigenspace. This additional term is easily seen to be z-dependent (in fact, linear in z).

We start with an auxiliary rescaling of the soft component. Namely, we introduce the unitary operator Φ_ε mapping $v \mapsto \widehat{v}$ according to the formula $\widehat{v}(\cdot) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} v(\varepsilon \cdot)$. Under this mapping, the length of the soft component loses its dependence on ε. The operator $\widehat{A}^{\text{soft}}_{\max}$ is defined as the unitary image of $A^{\text{soft}}_{\max}$ under the mapping Φ_ε, and $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0$, $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1$ are the boundary operators for the rescaled soft component:

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{v} := \bigl\{\widehat{v}(V)\bigr\}_V, \qquad \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1 \widehat{v} := \Biggl\{\sum_{e \ni V} \widehat{\partial}^{(\tau)} \widehat{v}(V)\Biggr\}_V, \qquad \widehat{v} \in \operatorname{dom}\bigl(\widehat{A}^{\text{soft}}_{\max}\bigr),$$

where we set $\widehat{v}(V)$ as the common value of $w_V(e)\widehat{v}|_e(V)$ for all e adjacent to V, and $\widehat{\partial}^{(\tau)}\widehat{v}(V)$ is the expression $\sigma_e w_V(e)(\widehat{v}' + \mathrm{i}\tau\widehat{v})$ on the edge e, calculated at V. Note that $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1$ does not depend on ε.

Under the rescaling Φ_ε the equation (6.3) becomes

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1} B^\varepsilon \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon, \tag{6.7}$$

where in accordance with the above convention $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$.

We start our diagonalisation procedure by considering the non-degenerate eigenspace of B^ε. Applying $\mathcal{P}_\perp$ to both sides of (6.7), replacing B^ε by its asymptotics (6.4) and using (6.6) yields

$$\mathcal{P}_\perp \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{P}_\perp B_0 \mathcal{P}_\perp \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + O(1) \geq \varepsilon^{-2} C_\perp \mathcal{P}_\perp \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + O(1), \tag{6.8}$$

where we assume that u_ε is L^2-normalised. Multiplying by ε^2 both sides of (6.8) and applying the Sobolev embedding theorem to the left-hand side of (6.8), we infer

$$\mathcal{P}_\perp \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^2). \tag{6.9}$$

Plugging this partial solution back into (6.7), to which $\mathcal{P}$ is applied on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_1 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon &= \varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{P} B_0 \mathcal{P} \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + z \mathcal{P} B_1 \mathcal{P} \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
&= \varepsilon^{-2} \mu^{(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + z \mathcal{P} B_1 \mathcal{P} \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{soft}}_0 \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have proved that up to an error term admitting a uniform estimate $O(\varepsilon^2)$ one has the following asymptotically equivalent problem for the eigenvector $\widehat{v}_\varepsilon$:

$$\mathcal{P}_\perp\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon=0,\quad \mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{-2}\mu^{(\tau)}\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon+z\mathcal{P}B_1\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon. \tag{6.10}$$

We use Lemma 6.2 and expand $\mathcal{P}B_1\mathcal{P}$ in powers of $\tau=\varepsilon t$ as follows[6]: $\mathcal{P}B_1\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}B_1^{(0)}\mathcal{P}+O(\tau)$. The second equation in (6.10) admits the form

$$\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon=\gamma t^2\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon+z\mathcal{P}B_1^{(0)}\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon+(O(\tau)+O(\tau^4/\varepsilon^2))\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon. \tag{6.11}$$

Expressing $\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon$ from the latter equation, it is easily seen based on embedding theorems that (6.11) is asymptotically equivalent, up to an error uniformly estimated as $O(\varepsilon)$, to the following equation:

$$\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon=\gamma t^2\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon+z\mathcal{P}B_1^{(0)}\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon. \tag{6.12}$$

We formulate the above result as the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.4. *Let $\widehat{u}$ solve the following equation on the re-scaled soft component:*

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{A}_{\max}^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}&=z\widehat{u},\\ \mathcal{P}_\perp\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}&=0,\\ \mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}&=\gamma t^2\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}+z\mathcal{P}B_1^{(0)}\mathcal{P}\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\rm soft}\widehat{u}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Then the eigenvalues z_ε and their corresponding eigenfunctions u_ε of the operators A_t^ε, see (3.3), (3.4), are $O(\varepsilon)$-close uniformly in $t\in[-\pi/\varepsilon,\pi/\varepsilon)$, in the sense of $\mathbb{C}$ and in the sense of the L^2 norm, respectively, to the values z as above and functions $u_{\rm eff}$ defined as follows. On the soft component $\mathbb{G}^{\rm soft}$ we set $u_{\rm eff}(\cdot):=(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})\widehat{u}(\varepsilon^{-1}\cdot)$, where $\widehat{u}$ solves (6.13). On the stiff component $\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}$ the function $u_{\rm eff}$ is obtained as the extension by $(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})v$, where v is the solution of the operator equation

$$A_{\max}^{\rm stiff}v=0,$$

determined by the Dirichlet data of $\widehat{u}(\varepsilon^{-1}\cdot)$, where $A_{\max}^{\rm stiff}$ is defined by (8.14), Appendix A.

Remark 6.5. It is straightforward to see that the eigenvalue $\mu^{(\tau)}$ in Lemma 6.2 is the least, by absolute value, Steklov eigenvalue of $A_{\max}^{\rm stiff}$, *i.e.* the least κ such that the problem

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\max}^{\rm stiff}\breve{v}&=0,\quad \breve{v}\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}),\\ \Gamma_1^{\rm stiff}\breve{v}&=\kappa\Gamma_0^{\rm stiff}\breve{v}.\end{aligned}$$

admits a non-trivial solution $\breve{v}$. Note that for this solution $\breve{v}$ one has $\Gamma_0^{\rm stiff}\breve{v}=\psi^{(\tau)}$, where $\psi^{(\tau)}$ is defined in the text following Lemma 6.2. It follows that for the function v of Theorem 6.4 one has $v=c\breve{v}$, where c is a constant determined by $\widehat{u}$.

[6] In the example considered in the present paper, as opposed to the general case, one can prove that $\mathcal{P}B_1\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}B_1^{(0)}\mathcal{P}+O(\tau^2)$, see the calculation in [11, Appendix B] for details. This yields the error bound $O(\varepsilon^2)$ in the statement of Theorem 6.4.

7. Eigenvalue and eigenvector asymptotics in the example of Section 5. Here we provide the result of an explicit calculation applying the general procedure described in the previous section to the specific example of Section 5 (see [11] for details). We start by expanding the matrix B^ε as a series in powers of ε:

$$\widehat{B} := \varepsilon^{-1}B^\varepsilon = \widehat{B}_0 + z\widehat{B}_1 + O(\varepsilon^2 z^2),\ \widehat{B}_0 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\begin{pmatrix} D & \overline{\xi} \\ \xi & D \end{pmatrix},\ \widehat{B}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} E & \overline{\eta} \\ \eta & E \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\xi := -\frac{a_1^2}{l_1}\exp\bigl(\mathrm{i}\tau(l_1+l_3)\bigr) - \frac{a_3^2}{l_3}\exp(-\mathrm{i}\tau l_2), \qquad D := \frac{a_1^2}{l_1} + \frac{a_3^2}{l_3}, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\eta := \frac{1}{6}\Bigl(l_1\exp\bigl(\mathrm{i}\tau(l_1+l_3)\bigr) + l_3\exp(-\mathrm{i}\tau l_2)\Bigr), \qquad E := \frac{1}{3}(l_1+l_3).$$

The matrix $\varepsilon^2\widehat{B}_0$ is Hermitian and has two distinct eigenvalues, $\mu = D - |\xi|$ and $\mu_\perp = D + |\xi|$. The eigenvalue branch μ is singled out by the condition $\mu|_{\tau=0} = 0$. In order to diagonalise the matrix $\widehat{B}_0$, consider the normalised eigenvectors $\psi^{(\tau)} = (1/\sqrt{2})(1, -\xi/|\xi|)^\top$ and $\psi_\perp^{(\tau)} = (1/\sqrt{2})(1, \xi/|\xi|)^\top$ corresponding to the eigenvalues μ and $\mu_\perp$, respectively, as well as the matrix $X := \bigl(\psi^{(\tau)}, \psi_\perp^{(\tau)}\bigr)$. The projections $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}_\perp$, introduced in the previous section, are as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{\overline{\xi}}{|\xi|} \\ -\dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_\perp = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{\overline{\xi}}{|\xi|} \\ \dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows by a straightforward calculation that the effective spectral problem is given by

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx} + \mathrm{i}\tau\right)^2 u = zu, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &u(0) = -\frac{\overline{\xi}}{|\xi|}u(l_2), \\ &(u' + \mathrm{i}\tau u)(0) + \frac{\overline{\xi}}{|\xi|}(u' + \mathrm{i}\tau u)(l_2) = \left(\left(\frac{l_1}{a_1^2} + \frac{l_3}{a_3^2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 - (l_1+l_3)z\right)u(0), \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

By invoking Theorem 6.4, the problem (7.2)–(7.3) on the scaled soft component provides the asymptotics, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, of the eigenvalue problems for the family A_t^ε, $t = \tau/\varepsilon \in [-\pi/\varepsilon, \pi/\varepsilon)$. Its spectrum, *i.e.* the set of values z for which (7.2)–(7.3) has a non-trivial solution, as well as the corresponding eigenfunctions approximate, up to terms of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$, the corresponding spectral information for the family A_t^ε, and consequently, A^ε. Notice that the stiff component of the original graph (where the eigenfunctions converge to a constant, in a suitable scaled sense), appears in this limit problem through the boundary datum $u(0)$. In the next section we show that an appropriate extension of the function space for (7.2)–(7.3) by the (one-dimensional) complementary space of constants leads to an eigenvalue problem for a self-adjoint operator, describing a conservative system. Solving this latter eigenvalue problem for the element in the complementary space yields a frequency-dispersive formulation we announced in the introduction.

8. Frequency dispersion in a "complementary" medium.

8.1. Self-adjoint out-of-space extension. Following the strategy outlined at the end of the last section, we treat $u(0)$ in (7.3) as an additional field variable, and reformulate (7.2)–(7.3) as an eigenvalue problem in a space of pairs $(u, u(0))$, see (8.4).

More precisely, for all values $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi)$, consider an operator $A_\tau^{\rm hom}$ in the space $L^2(0, l_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ defined as follows. The domain $\operatorname{dom}\bigl(A_\tau^{\rm hom}\bigr)$ consist of all pairs (u, β) such that $u \in W^{2,2}(0, l_2)$ and the quasiperiodicity condition

$$u(0) = \overline{w_\tau} u(l_2) =: \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}}, \qquad w_\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{8.1}$$

is satisfied. On $\operatorname{dom}\bigl(A_\tau^{\rm hom}\bigr)$ the action of the operator is set by

$$A_\tau^{\rm hom} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(\dfrac{d}{dx} + {\rm i}\tau\right)^2 u \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}} \Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.2}$$

where $\Gamma_\tau : W^{2,2}(0, l_2) \oplus \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is bounded. We set

$$\Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = -(u' + {\rm i}\tau u)(0) + \overline{w_\tau}(u' + {\rm i}\tau u)(l_2) + \frac{(\sigma t)^2}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}}\beta, \quad \sigma^2 := \left(\frac{l_1}{a_1^2} + \frac{l_3}{a_3^2}\right)^{-1}, \tag{8.3}$$

where $w_\tau = -\xi/|\xi|$ (see (7.1) for the definition of ξ), in which case $A_\tau^{\rm hom}$ is a self-adjoint operator on the domain described by (8.1). Moreover, (7.2)–(7.3) is the problem on the first component of spectral problem for the operator $A_\tau^{\rm hom}$:

$$A_\tau^{\rm hom} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = z \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.4}$$

We now re-write this spectral problem in terms of the complementary component $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to do this, we represent the function u in (8.4) as a sum of two: one of them is a solution to the related inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem, while the other takes care of the boundary condition. More precisely, consider the solution v to the problem

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx} + {\rm i}\tau\right)^2 v = 0, \qquad v(0) = 1, \qquad v(l_2) = w_\tau,$$

i.e.

$$v(x) = \Bigl\{1 + l_2^{-1}\Bigl(w_\tau \exp({\rm i}\tau l_2) - 1\Bigr)x\Bigr\}\exp(-{\rm i}\tau x), \qquad x \in (0, l_2). \tag{8.5}$$

The function

$$\widetilde{u} := u - \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}} v$$

satisfies

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx} + {\rm i}\tau\right)^2 \widetilde{u} - z\widetilde{u} = \frac{z\beta}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}} v, \qquad \widetilde{u}(0) = \widetilde{u}(l_2) = 0.$$

In other words, one has

$$\widetilde{u} = \frac{z\beta}{\sqrt{l_1 + l_3}} \big(A_{\rm D}^{(\tau)} - zI\big)^{-1} v,$$

where $A_{\rm D}^{(\tau)}$ is the Dirichlet operator in $L^2(0, l_2)$ associated with the differential expression

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx} + {\rm i}\tau\right)^2.$$

We now write the "boundary" part of the spectral equation (8.4) as

$$K(\tau, z)\beta = z\beta, \quad K(\tau, z) := \frac{1}{l_1 + l_3}\left\{ z\Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \big(A_{\rm D}^{(\tau)} - zI\big)^{-1} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \sqrt{l_1 + l_3} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{8.6}$$

In accordance with the rationale for introducing the component β, the effective dispersion relation for the operator $A^\varepsilon_{\tau/\varepsilon}$, $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi)$, is given by

$$K(\tau, z) = z.$$

The explicit expression for this relation that we have obtained, see (8.6), is new, and it quantifies explicitly the rôle of the soft component of the composite in the macroscopic frequency-dispersive properties. In particular, the expression (8.6) shows that the soft inclusions enter the macroscopic equations via a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the boundary of the inclusions.

8.2. Explicit formula for the time-dispersion kernel. Here we compute explicitly the kernel $K(\tau, z)$ entering the effective dispersion relation for A^ε_τ. In view of possible generalisations, and recalling the pioneering formula in [38, Section 8] for effective dispersion in double-porosity media, we represent the action of the resolvent $\big(A_{\rm D}^{(\tau)} - zI\big)^{-1}$ as a series in terms of the normalised eigenfunctions

$$\phi_j(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l_2}} \exp(-{\rm i}\tau x) \sin\frac{\pi j x}{l_2}, \qquad x \in (0, l_2), \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \tag{8.7}$$

of the operator $A_{\rm D}^{(\tau)}$. This yields

$$K(\tau, z) := \frac{1}{l_1 + l_3}\left\{ z \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle v, \phi_j\rangle_{L^2(0, l_2)}}{\mu_j - z} \Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \sqrt{l_1 + l_3} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{8.8}$$

where $\mu_j = (\pi j / l_2)^2$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are the eigenvalues corresponding to (8.7). For the choice (8.3) of Γ_τ we obtain (see (8.5), (8.7))

$$\Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \sqrt{l_1 + l_3} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{l_2}\big(1 - \Re\theta(\tau)\big) + \left(\frac{\sigma\tau}{\varepsilon}\right)^2, \qquad \theta(\tau) := \frac{\dfrac{a_1^2}{l_1}{\rm e}^{-{\rm i}\tau} + \dfrac{a_3^2}{l_3}}{\left|\dfrac{a_1^2}{l_1}{\rm e}^{-{\rm i}\tau} + \dfrac{a_3^2}{l_3}\right|},$$

$$\Gamma_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{l_2}} \frac{\pi j}{l_2} \big((-1)^{j+1}\overline{\theta(\tau)} + 1\big),$$

$$\langle v, \phi_j\rangle_{L^2(0, l_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{2 l_2}}{\pi j} \big((-1)^{j+1}\theta(\tau) + 1\big), \ j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Substituting the above expressions into (8.8) and making use of the formulae, see *e.g.* [23, p. 48],

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\pi j)^2-x^2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x^2}-\frac{\cos x}{x\sin x}\right),\quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^j}{(\pi j)^2-x^2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x^2}-\frac{1}{x\sin x}\right),\quad x\notin\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

we obtain

$$K(\tau,z)=\frac{1}{l_1+l_3}\left\{\frac{2\sqrt{z}\cos(l_2\sqrt{z})}{\sin(l_2\sqrt{z})}-\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sin(l_2\sqrt{z})}\Re\theta(\tau)+\left(\frac{\sigma\tau}{\varepsilon}\right)^2\right\}. \tag{8.9}$$

8.3. Asymptotically equivalent model on the real line. In this section we are going to treat (8.6), (8.9) as a nonlinear eigenvalue problem in the space of second components of pairs $(u,\beta)\in L^2(0,l_2)\oplus\mathbb{C}$. As is evident from above, this problem is closely related to (7.2)–(7.3), via the construction presented in Section 8.1. We show next that the aforementioned macroscopic field is governed by a certain frequency-dispersive formulation. In order to obtain the latter, we will use a suitable inverse Gelfand transform.

Our strategy can be seen as motivated by the following elementary observation, closely linked with the Birman-Suslina study [5] of homogenisation in the moderate contrast case, albeit understood in terms of spectral equations. Starting with the spectral problem

$$-\frac{d^2u}{dx^2}=zu\quad\text{on}\quad L_2(\mathbb{R}), \tag{8.10}$$

one applies the Gelfand transform[7] (well defined on generalised eigenvectors due to the rigging procedure, see, *e.g.*, [2, 4]) to obtain for $\widetilde{u}:=\mathcal{G}u$

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx}+\mathrm{i}t\right)^2\widetilde{u}(x,t)=z\widetilde{u}(x,t),\quad x\in(0,\varepsilon),\quad t\in[-\pi/\varepsilon,\pi/\varepsilon).$$

We compute the inner products of both sides in $L_2(0,\varepsilon)$ with the normalised constant function $(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})\mathbb{1}$, which yields the dispersion relation of the original problem via the equation

$$t^2\widehat{u}(t)=z\widehat{u}(t),$$

where $\widehat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of the function $u\in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. The latter equation is then solved in the distributional sense,

$$\beta(t)=\sum_m c_m\delta(t-t_m), \tag{8.11}$$

where $\beta(t):=\widehat{u}(t)$ and the sum in (8.11) is taken over $m=1,2$, so that t_1,t_2 are the solutions of the equation $t^2=z$, and c_m are arbitrary constants. Ultimately, one

[7] Recall, *cf.* Section 3, that the Gelfand transform is a map $L^2(\mathbb{R})\to L^2\big((0,\varepsilon)\times(-\pi/\varepsilon,\pi/\varepsilon)\big)$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}u(y,t)=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}u(x+\varepsilon n)\exp\big(-\mathrm{i}t(x+\varepsilon n)\big),\qquad t\in\big[-\pi/\varepsilon,\pi/\varepsilon\big),\qquad x\in(0,\varepsilon).$$

applies the inverse Gelfand transform

$$(\mathcal{G}^*f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} f(t)\exp(itx)dt, \quad f \in L^2\Bigl(-\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\Bigr), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

to the function $\mathfrak{B}(x,t) := (1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})\beta(t)\mathbb{1}(x)$, *i.e.*

$$v(x) := \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} \mathfrak{B}(x,t)\exp(itx)dt, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easily seen that this function is precisely the solution to (8.10).

We emulate the above argument for the case of interest to us, starting from the eigenvalue problem $K(\tau, z)\beta = z\beta$, which we now treat as an equation in the distributional sense with K given by (8.9). It admits the form

$$(8.12) \qquad (\sigma t)^2\beta = \Bigl\{(l_1 + l_3)z - \frac{2\sqrt{z}\cos(l_2\sqrt{z})}{\sin(l_2\sqrt{z})} + \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sin(l_2\sqrt{z})}\Re\theta(\varepsilon t)\Bigr\}\beta, \qquad t = \frac{\tau}{\varepsilon},$$

The solution is defined by (8.11), where $\{t_m\}$ is the set of zeroes of the equation $K(\varepsilon t, z) = z$.

Second, we argue that the function $\mathfrak{B}(x,t)$ as defined above is the ε-periodic Gelfand transform of the solution to a spectral equation on $\mathbb{R}$ for a differential operator with constant coefficients, where the conventional spectral parameter z is replaced by a nonlinear in z expression, as on the right-hand side of (8.12).

Indeed, expand the function $\Re\theta(\tau)$ into Fourier series

$$\Re\theta(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \exp(\mathrm{i}n\tau), \qquad c_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Re\theta(\tau)\exp(-\mathrm{i}n\tau)d\tau, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

and apply to $\mathfrak{B}(x,t)$ the inverse Gelfand transform $\mathcal{G}^*$:

$$(\mathcal{G}^*f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} f(t)\exp(\mathrm{i}tx)dt, \quad f \in L^2\Bigl(-\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\Bigr), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote $U := \mathcal{G}^*\mathfrak{B}$ and notice that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} t^2\mathfrak{B}(x,t)\exp(\mathrm{i}tx)dt = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\Biggl(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} \mathfrak{B}(x,t)\exp(\mathrm{i}tx)dt\Biggr) = -U''(x)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} \Re\theta(\varepsilon t)\mathfrak{B}(x,t)\exp(\mathrm{i}tx)dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\pi/\varepsilon}^{\pi/\varepsilon} \mathfrak{B}(x,t)\exp\bigl(\mathrm{i}t(x+\varepsilon n)\bigr)dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n U(x+\varepsilon n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n U(x) = \Re\theta(0)U(x) = U(x), \qquad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

The above asymptotics as $\varepsilon \to 0$ is understood in the sense of $W^{-2,2}(\mathbb{R})$. It can be demonstrated, see [11], that the order of convergence is $O(\varepsilon^2)$ (and $O(\varepsilon)$ in the general case), however we do not dwell on the complete proof here. The idea of the proof, which is standard, can be, for example, the following. Instead of the function β, define β^0 by the expression (8.11), where the sequence $\{t_m\}$ is replaced by the sequence $\{t_m^0\}$ of zeros of the equation $K^0(\tau, z) = z$. Here K^0 is defined by (8.9) with $\Re\theta(\tau)$ replaced by $\Re\theta(0) = 1$. It is then shown that β is $O(\varepsilon^2)$-close, in the sense of distributions, to β^0, and one obtains the claim by taking the inverse Gelfand transform of the function $\mathfrak{B}^0(x,t) = (1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})\beta^0(t)\mathbb{1}(x)$.

It follows that the limit equation on the function U takes the form

$$-\sigma^2 U''(x) = \left\{(l_1 + l_3)z + 2\sqrt{z}\tan\left(\frac{l_2\sqrt{z}}{2}\right)\right\}U(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8.13}$$

In particular, the limit spectrum is given by the set of $z \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the expression in brackets on the right-hand side of (8.13) is non-negative, see Fig. 6.



FIG. 6. DISPERSION FUNCTION. *The plot of the dispersion function on the right-hand side of (8.13), for $l_1 + l_3 = 1 - l_2 = 0.2$. The spectral gaps are highlighted in bold.*

Appendix A: The reduction of the general case to the one treated in Section 6. We proceed as follows. First, we decompose the graph $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ into the union of its stiff and soft components, $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{G}^{\text{soft}} \cup \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$, each of these being a graph on its own. The common boundary of them is $\partial\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{G}^{\text{soft}} \cap \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$, and it is treated as a set of vertices. Second, we consider two maximal operators $\breve{A}^{\text{soft}}_{\max}$ and $\breve{A}^{\text{stiff}}_{\max}$, which are densely defined in $L_2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{soft}})$ and $L_2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})$, respectively, by (3.3), (5.4) applied to $\mathbb{G}^{\text{soft}}$ and $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$. Furthermore, we introduce the orthogonal projections $P^{\text{soft}}, P^{\text{stiff}}$ in the boundary space $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspaces pertaining to vertices of $\mathbb{G}^{\text{soft}}$ and $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$, respectively. Finally, we construct boundary triples for $\breve{A}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}_{\max}$ with boundary spaces $P^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\mathcal{H}$ and boundary operators $\breve{\Gamma}_j^{\text{soft (stiff)}}$, $j = 0, 1$ (*cf.* (5.5)), respectively.

Now consider the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}} = \breve{A}_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\big|_{\operatorname{dom}(A_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}})},\\ &\operatorname{dom}\bigl(A_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\bigr) := \Bigl\{u \in \operatorname{dom}\bigl(\breve{A}_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\bigr)\Bigm|(1-P_{\partial\mathbb{G}})\breve{\Gamma}_1^{\text{soft (stiff)}}u = 0\Bigr\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

where $P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}$ is defined as an orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace pertaining to the vertices belonging to $\partial\mathbb{G}$. For these two maximal operators, one has the common boundary space $P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{H}$ and boundary operators defined by

$$\Gamma_j^{\text{soft (stiff)}} := P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}\breve{\Gamma}_j^{\text{soft (stiff)}}, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

The corresponding M-matrices $M^{\text{soft (stiff)}}$ are computed as inverses of the matrices $P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}\bigl(\breve{M}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\bigr)^{-1}P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}$, where the latter are considered in the reduced space $P_{\partial\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{H}$ and $\breve{M}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}$ are M-matrices of $\breve{A}_{\max}^{\text{soft (stiff)}}$ relative to the boundary triples $\bigl(P^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\mathcal{H}, \breve{\Gamma}_0^{\text{soft (stiff)}}, \breve{\Gamma}_1^{\text{soft (stiff)}}\bigr)$.

It is easily shown that the operator A_t^ε is expressed as an almost solvable extension parameterised by the matrix $B = 0$ relative to a triple which has the M-matrix $M = M^{\text{soft}} + M^{\text{stiff}}$. It follows that all the prerequisites of the analysis carried out in Section 6 are met.

Appendix B: Proof of Lemma 6.2. The proof could be carried out on the basis of [16], [17] and is rather elementary. Nevertheless, in the present paper we have elected to follow an alternative approach to this proof, which has an advantage of carrying over to the PDE case with minor modifications.

For simplicity we set $w_V(e) = 1$ for all e, V in (3.4), as the argument below is unaffected by the concrete choice of the list $\{w_V(e)\}_{e\ni V}$, $V \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, in the construction of Section 3. For convenience, we also imply that the unitary rescaling to a graph of length one has been applied to the operator family A_t^ε. For brevity, we keep the same notation for the unitary images of graphs $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$ and $\partial\mathbb{G}$ under this transform.

For each $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi)$, the eigenvalues of $B_0(\tau)$ are those $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ for which there exists $u \neq 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{d}{dx} + \mathrm{i}\tau\right)^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}},\\ -\sum_{e\ni V}\sigma_e\bigl(u_e'(V) + \mathrm{i}\tau u(V)\bigr) = \mu u(V), \quad V \in \partial\mathbb{G},\\ u \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \end{cases} \tag{8.15}$$

where $u_e'(V)$ is the derivative of u along the edge e of $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$ evaluated at $V \in \partial\mathbb{G}$, and, as before, $\sigma_e = -1$ or $\sigma_e = 1$, depending on whether e is incoming or outgoing for V, respectively. It is known that the spectrum of (8.15) is discrete and the least eigenvalue, which clearly coincides with $\mu^{(\tau)}$, is simple.

Formal series. In order to show (6.5), we first consider series in powers of $\mathrm{i}\tau$:

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_j(\mathrm{i}\tau)^{2k}, \qquad u = \sum_{j=0}^\infty u_j(\mathrm{i}\tau)^j, \tag{8.16}$$

where u_j, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ are continuous on $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$.

Note that the expansion for μ contains only even powers of the parameter τ, as it is an even function of τ. Indeed, the function obtained from the eigenfunction u in (8.15) by changing the directions of all edges of the graph is clearly an eigenfunction for (8.15) with τ replaced by $-\tau$. (On such a change of edge direction, the weights $w_e(V)$, $e \ni V$, $V \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, are replaced by their complex conjugates.) In view of the fact that for all $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi]$ the eigenvalue $\mu^{(\tau)}$ is simple, we obtain $\mu^{(-\tau)} = \mu^{(\tau)}$.

Substituting the expansion (8.16) into (8.15) and equating the coefficients on different powers of τ, we obtain a sequence of recurrence relations for u_j, $j = 0, 1, \dots$ In particular, the problem for u_0 is obtained by comparing the coefficients on τ^0 :

$$\begin{cases} u_0'' = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ \sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e (u_0)_e'(V) = 0, \quad V \in \partial\mathbb{G}, \\ u_0 \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}. \end{cases}$$

Assuming that $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$ contains a loop, it follows that u_0 is a constant, which we set to be unity. In the case opposite, i.e., when $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$ is a tree, $\mu^{(\tau)} \equiv 0$ for all τ, and the claim of Lemma follows trivially.

We impose the condition of vanishing mean of u_j, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ over $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$. This is justified by the convergence estimates below as well as the fact that the eigenvalue μ is simple. The choice $u_0 = 1$ thus corresponds to the "normalisation" condition that the mean over $\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}$ of the eigenfunction u for (8.15) is close to unity[8] for small values of τ.

Proceeding with the asymptotic procedure, the problem for u_1 is obtained by comparing the coefficients on τ^1 :

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ \sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e \big((u_1)_e'(V) + 1 \big) = 0, \quad V \in \partial\mathbb{G}, \\ u_1 \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} u_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Further, the equation for u_2 is obtained by comparing the coefficients on τ^2 :

$$\begin{cases} u_2'' = -2u_1' - 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ -\sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e \big((u_2)_e'(V) + u_1(V) \big) = \alpha_2, \quad V \in \partial\mathbb{G}, \\ u_2 \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} u_2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8.17}$$

The condition for solvability of the problem (8.17) yields the expression for α_2, as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} (-2u_1' - 1) = \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} u_2'' = -\sum_{V \in \partial\mathbb{G}} \sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e (u_2)_e'(V) = \sum_{V \in \partial\mathbb{G}} \Big(\sum_{e \ni V} \sigma_e u_1(V) + \alpha_2 \Big).$$

Re-arranging the terms in the last equation, we obtain

$$\alpha_2 = -\big|\partial\mathbb{G}\big|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} (u_1' + 1).$$

[8] The eigenfunction u clearly does not vanish identically, at least for small values of τ.

The above asymptotic procedure is continued, to obtain the terms of all orders in (8.16). In particular, for the term u_3 in the expansion for u we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u_3'' = -2u_2' - u_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ -\sum_{e\ni V}\sigma_e\big((u_3)_e'(V) + u_2(V)\big) = \alpha_2 u_1, \quad V\in\partial\mathbb{G}, \\ u_3 \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} u_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Error estimates. We write

$$u = 1 + \mathrm{i}\tau u_1 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 u_2 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^3 u_3 + R, \qquad \mu^{(\tau)} = \alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 + r,$$

so that R, r satisfy

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{d}{dx}+\mathrm{i}\tau\right)^2 R = -(\mathrm{i}\tau)^4(2u_3'+u_2) - (\mathrm{i}\tau)^5 u_3 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}, && (8.18)\\ &-\sum_{e\ni V}\sigma_e(R_e'(V) + \mathrm{i}\tau R(V)) = && (8.19)\\ &= \big(r+\alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2\big)\big(1+\mathrm{i}\tau u_1 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^2u_2 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^3 u_3 + R\big)\\ &-\alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2(1+\mathrm{i}\tau u_1), \quad V\in\partial\mathbb{G}\\ &R \text{ continuous on } \mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}},\\ &\int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}} R = 0. \end{aligned}\right\}$$

Notice first that

$$r + \alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 = \mu^{(\tau)} = \min_{u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})}\left(\sum_{\partial\mathbb{G}}|u|^2\right)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}}\left|\left(\frac{d}{dx}+\mathrm{i}\tau\right)u\right|^2 \le \big|\partial\mathbb{G}\big|^{-1}\big|\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}}\big|\tau^2. \tag{8.20}$$

Multiplying (8.18) by R, integrating by parts, and using (8.19), we obtain the estimate

$$\|R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})} \le C\big(|\tau||r|\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})} + |\tau|^4\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})} + |r|^2\big), \qquad C>0, \tag{8.21}$$

and hence, by virtue of (8.20), we obtain

$$\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})} \le C\tau^2. \tag{8.22}$$

Next, we re-arrange the right-hand side of (8.19):

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(r+\alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2\big)\big(1+\mathrm{i}\tau u_1 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 u_2 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^3 u_3 + R\big) - \alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2(1+\mathrm{i}\tau u_1)\\ &\qquad = r\big(1+\mathrm{i}\tau u_1 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 u_2 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^3 u_3 + R\big) + \alpha_2(\mathrm{i}\tau)^2\big((\mathrm{i}\tau)^2 u_2 + (\mathrm{i}\tau)^3 u_3 + R\big).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (8.18) by 1, integrating by parts, and using (8.19) once again yields the existence of $C>0$ such that

$$|r| \le C\big(|\tau|\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\text{stiff}})} + |\tau|^4\big). \tag{8.23}$$

Combining this with (8.22) yields $|r| \le C\tau^3$, which, by virtue of (8.21) again, implies

$$\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff})} \le C|\tau|^3. \tag{8.24}$$

Finally, the inequalities (8.23) and (8.24) together yield

$$|r| \le C|\tau|^4, \tag{8.25}$$

as claimed.[9]

Appendix C: Proof of Lemma 6.3. For all $\tau \in [-\pi, \pi)$, using the formula for the second eigenvalue $\mu_2^{(\tau)}$ of the problem (8.15) via the Rayleigh quotient, we obtain

$$\mu_2^{(\tau)} = \min\left\{ \left(\sum_{\partial\mathbb{G}} |u|^2 \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}} \left| \left(\frac{d}{dx} + {\rm i}\tau \right) u \right|^2 : u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}), \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}} u = 0 \right\}$$

$$\ge \min\left\{ \left(\sum_{\partial\mathbb{G}} |u|^2 \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}} |u'|^2 : u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}), \int_{\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff}} u = 0 \right\} = \mu_2^{(0)} > 0,$$

from which the claim follows by setting $C_\perp = \mu_2^{(0)}$.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Professor S. Naboko for suggesting a calculation in Section 8.

REFERENCES

[1] Arendt, W., Mazzeo, R., 2012. Friedlander's eigenvalue inequalities and the Dirichlet-to- Neumann semigroup. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **11**(6), 2201–2212

[2] Berezansky, Yu., 1968. *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators.* Translated from the Russian by R. Bolstein, J. M. Danskin, J. Rovnyak and L. Shulman. AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 17.

[3] Berkolaiko, G., Kuchment, P., 2012. *Introduction to Quantum Graphs*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **186**, American Mathematical Society.

[4] Birman, M. Sh., Solomyak, M. Z., 1987. *Spectral theory of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space.* Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht.

[5] Birman, M. Sh., Suslina, T. A., 2004. Second order periodic differential operators. Threshold properties and homogenisation. *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* **15**(5), 639–714.

[6] Bouchitté, G., Felbacq, D., 2004. Homogenisation near resonances and artificial magnetism from dielectrics. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339**(5), 377–382.

[7] Cessenat, M., 1996. *Mathematical Methods in Electromagnetism: Linear Theory and Applications*, World Scientific.

[8] Cherednichenko, K., Cooper, S., Guenneau, S., 2015. Spectral analysis of one-dimensional high-contrast elliptic problems with periodic coefficients. *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation (SIAM)* 13(1), 72–98 (2015)

[9] Cherednichenko, K. D., Kiselev, A. V., 2017. Norm-resolvent convergence of one-dimensional high-contrast periodic problems to a Kronig-Penney dipole-type model. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **349**(2), 441–480.

[10] Cherednichenko, K. D., Ershova, Yu. Yu., Kiselev, A. V., 2018. Unified approach to critical-contrast homogenisation of PDEs with explicit links to time-dispersive media. I. *arXiv: 1808.03961.*

[11] Cherednichenko, K. D., Ershova, Yu. Yu., Kiselev, A. V., Naboko, S. N., 2018. Unified approach to critical-contrast homogenisation with explicit links to time-dispersive media. *arXiv: 1805.00884.*

[9] Combining (8.25) with (8.20), we also obtain the estimate $\|R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}^{\rm stiff})} \le C\tau^4$.

[12] Cherednichenko, K. D., Kiselev, A. V., Silva, L. O., 2018. Functional model for extensions of symmetric operators and applications to scattering theory. *Netw. and Heterog. Media* **13**(2).

[13] Cvetković D. M., Doob M., Sachs H. 1995. *Spectra of graphs. Theory and applications.* Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg.

[14] Derkach, V. A., Malamud M. M., 1991. Generalised resolvents and the boundary value problems for Hermitian operators with gaps, *J. Funct. Anal.* **95**, 1–95.

[15] Després B., Imbert-Gérard L.-M., Weder R., 2014. Hybrid resonance of Maxwell's equations in slab geometry. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Apliquées* **101**, no. 5, 623–659

[16] Ershova, Yu., Karpenko, I. I., Kiselev, A.V., 2016. Isospectrality for graph Laplacians under the change of coupling at graph vertices, *J. Spectral Th.* **6** (2016), no. 1, 43–66.

[17] Ershova, Yu., Karpenko, I. I., Kiselev, A.V., 2016. Isospectrality for graph Laplacians under the change of coupling at graph vertices: necessary and sufficient conditions. *Mathematika (UCL)* **62** (2016), no. 1, 210–242.

[18] Exner, P., Post, O., 2005. Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **54**(1), 77–115.

[19] Figotin, A., Schenker, J. H., 2005. Spectral analysis of time dispersive and dissipative systems, *Journal of Statistical Physics,* **118**(1–2), 199–263.

[20] Figotin, A., Schenker, J. H., 2007. Hamiltonian structure for dispersive and dissipative dynamical systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **128** (4), 969–1056.

[21] Gerasimenko, N.I., Pavlov, B.S., 1988. A scattering problem on non-compact graphs. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **74** (3), 230–240.

[22] Gorbachuk, V. I., Gorbachuk, M. L., 1991. *Boundary Value Problems for Operator Differential Equations,* Springer.

[23] Gradshteyn, I. S., Ryzhik, I. M., 2007. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products,* Academic Press.

[24] Jackson, J. D., 1998. *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons.

[25] Kočubeĭ, A. N., 1975. On extension of symmetric operators and symmetric binary relations, *Math. Notes* **17**, 41–48.

[26] Kuchment, P., Zeng, H., 2001. Convergence of spectra of mesoscopic systems collapsing onto a graph. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **258**(2), 671–700.

[27] Kuchment, P., Zeng, H., 2004. Asymptotics of spectra of Neumann Laplacians in thin domains. *Contemporary Mathematics* **327**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 199–213.

[28] Melnikov, Yu., Pavlov, B., 2001. Scattering on graphs and one-dimensional approximations to N-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **42**, 1202.

[29] Neumark, M. A., 1940. Spectral functions of a symmetric operator. *Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Mathematics* **4:3**, 277–318.

[30] Neumark, M., 1943. Positive definite operator functions on a commutative group. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Ser. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR]* **7**, 237–244.

[31] Nussenzveig, H. M., 1972. *Causality and Dispersion Relations.* Mathematics in Science and Engineering **95**, Elsevier.

[32] Pavlov, B. S.; Faddeev, M. D., 1978. Construction of selfadjoint dilation for a problem with an impedance boundary condition. (Russian) *Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, VIII. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **73**, 217-223, 236.

[33] Ryzhov, V., 2018. Spectral boundary value problems and their linear operators, 38 pp., *arXiv:0904.0276; To appear in Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl.*

[34] Tip, A., 1998. Linear absorptive dielectrics. *Phys. Rev. A* **57**: 4818–4841.

[35] Tip, A., 2006. Some mathematical properties of Maxwell's equations for macroscopic dielectrics. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 012902–012902-22.

[36] Tsu, R., 2010. *Superlattice to Nanoelectronics*, Elsevier.

[37] Veselago, V. G., 1964. The electrodynamics of substances with simultaneously negative values of ε and μ. *Soviet Phys. Uspekhi* **10**(4), 509–514.

[38] Zhikov, V. V., 2000. On an extension of the method of two-scale convergence and its applications. *Sbornik: Mathematics* **191**(7), 973–1014.

[39] Zhikov, V. V., 2002. Averaging of problems in the theory of elasticity on singular structures. *Izv. Math.* **66** (2), 299–365.