Meten van Werkprestaties

Het Meten van Werkprestaties van Internationale Managers

Vraagstellingen en Proposities Rond de Ontwikkeling van Criteria voor Selectie en Training

Stefan T. Mol, Marise Ph. Born en Henk T. van der Molen

Instituut voor Psychologie

Faculteit der Sociale Wetenschappen

Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam

Stefan T. Mol, Instituut voor Psychologie, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam; Marise Ph. Born, Instituut voor Psychologie, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam; Henk T. van der Molen, Instituut voor Psychologie, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam.

Dit onderzoek werd mede mogelijk gemaakt door een subsidie van GITP International B.V., Nijmegen. De in dit artikel vertegenwoordigde standpunten zijn niet perse de standpunten van GITP International B.V.

Citeer a.u.b. als: Mol, S.T., Born, M. Ph., Van Der Molen, H.T. (2002, November).
Het Meten van werkprestaties van internationale managers: vraagstellingen en proposities
rond de ontwikkeling van criteria voor selectie en training [Assessment of job performance of
international managers: deliberations and propositions concerning the development of
criteria for selection and training]. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the Association
of Researchers in the Field of Work and Organizational Psychology (WAOP), Groningen,
The Netherlands.

Correspondentie over dit artikel kunt u richten aan Stefan T. Mol, Instituut voor Psychologie, Woudestein, J5-67, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam. E-Mail:

Mol@fsw.eur.nl Tel.: 010-408 8647. Fax: 010-408 9009.

Samenvatting

De doelstelling van dit theoretische artikel is om een innovatieve methode te beramen voor de ontwikkeling van valide en bruikbare criteria voor het vaststellen van de effectiviteit van internationale managers. Valide criteria zijn onontbeerlijk voor de ontwikkeling van valide

predictoren en de evaluatie van training. Op basis van een beknopte literatuurbeschouwing zal worden betoogd dat reeds uitgevoerd onderzoek naar de voorspelling en training van de effectiviteit van internationale managers, wordt geteisterd door criteria met een twijfelachtige utiliteit en/of dubieuze begripsgerelateerde validiteit. Vervolgens zal worden beargumenteerd dat de aannames en doelstellingen die ten grondslag hebben gelegen aan conventioneel onderzoek, de ontwikkeling van criteria en predictoren die daadwerkelijk door de HRM afdelingen van multinationals kunnen worden geïmplementeerd, in de weg hebben gestaan. De aannames die de revue zullen passeren hebben betrekking op culturele, inhoudelijke en methodologische aspecten van criteria. Een voorgestelde herziening en herdefinitie van de gebruikelijke aannames en hun integratie met recente ideeën vanuit de personeelspsychologie zal leiden tot verscheidene proposities voor toekomstig onderzoek naar de effectiviteit van expatriates.

Abstract

The objective of this theoretical paper is to derive an innovative methodology for the development of valid and practical criteria for the assessment of the expatriate effectiveness. Valid criteria are essential for the development of valid predictors and training evaluation. On the basis of a succinct literature review it will be argued that previous research efforts aimed at predicting and training expatriate effectiveness has been hindered by criteria with suspect utility an or dubious content related validity. Subsequently, it will be demonstrated that the assumptions and objectives that have stood at the heart of previous research efforts, have

stood in the way of the development of criteria and predictors that have been able to find their way out of academia and into the HRM departments of MNC's. The assumptions that will be discussed relate to cultural, content, and methodological aspects of criteria. A proposed overhaul and redefinition of these assumptions and their integration with recent perspectives from personnel psychology will result in various propositions for research concerned with expatriate effectiveness.

Are the Fruits of Four Centuries of Contemplation About Expatriate Effectiveness Sweet?

On March 24, 1602, the worlds' first multinational company (MNC)("A fine place to be," 2002) was established in the Netherlands in the form of the *United East India Company* (Verenigde Oost-Indische Compagnie; V.O.C.). As such, the V.O.C. was one of the first commercial organizations to send 'expatriates' abroad: it was responsible for expatriating nearly 1 million mostly Dutch and German employees to Asia between 1602 and 1795 (Van Gelder, 1997). Intriguingly, Trevenot, the pseudonym of an unknown German author of an obscure 18th century text, sketched a profile of the ideal candidate:

"Those who have in their home countries, endured hardship and ill fortune"... "those who have suffered hunger and thirst, who can work sedulously, who are patient and consider the harsh boat life to be more endurable than the adversity on the shore,

those are the kind of people needed by the Company". The author continues with the assertion that the V.O.C. employees enjoy what tastes bitter and sour to others, as if it were the greatest delicacy. "They are content when they can perform their duties and thank God when they obtain a piece of bread" (Trevenot, as cited in Van Gelder, 1997, p.11).

Judging from the atrocious company-sanctioned hostility and brutality that these expatriates inflicted on local communities, it is hardly surprising that criteria that have come into vogue more recently, such as intercultural interaction (Clarke & Hammer, 1995; Hawes & Kealey, 1981; Kealey, 1989), did not exactly top the list. In contrast to V.O.C. times, many of today's MNC's seem genuinely concerned about the fruitfulness of the interaction between expatriates and members of local communities. This is corroborated by a recent worldwide survey, which showed that on average MNC's spend about USD 4,200 per expatriate on cross-cultural preparation (Windham-International, 2001). With a growing demand for effective expatriates came the arduous task to define what expatriate effectiveness is, and more importantly, to find ways of predicting and training it. Unfortunately, more than five decades of research on the topic (see Bhawuk & Brislin, 2000; Paige & Martin, 1996, for overviews) has failed to yield a clear and explicit knowledge structure of what it is we should be training and selecting for (Arthur & Bennett, 1995). In his literature review Kealey (1996) too, identifies this problem when he states that "there remains a dearth of solid empirical research that defines and describes concretely and comprehensively the outcome behavior demonstrated by successful intercultural personnel" (p. 92). A more recent review of international management research, published between 1996 and 2000 inclusive, concluded that few studies have looked at expatriate performance or other behavioral consequences (Werner, 2002). Ones and Viswesvaran (1997) state that "the problem of the criterion has been almost more retarding an issue in the expatriate literature...than it has been in most domestic (within culture) studies in industrial/organizational psychology" (p. 75). Empirical evidence for the paucity of studies that address expatriate performance, which in our opinion

should be considered the focal operationalization of expatriate effectiveness, is provided by a recent meta-analysis of antecedents and consequences of employees' adjustment to overseas assignments (Alampay, Beehr, & Christiansen, 2002), which included only two studies that actually assessed performance. From an organizational perspective, an exploratory study among U.S. multinational firms, which examined expatriate performance appraisal (EPA) practices and their perceived accuracy, found that the use of multiple types of criteria (i.e., soft, hard and contextual), increased numbers of raters, balance of within host- and outside host-country raters, and frequency of appraisal were all positively related to perceived EPA accuracy, but seldom implemented (Gregersen, Hite, & Black, 1996). With so many authors acknowledging the issue and so few researchers addressing it, perhaps the time has come to critically reassess our criterion development strategy.

The purpose of this theoretical paper is to delineate an innovative approach to defining and constructing criteria for the optimal assessment of expatriate effectiveness. It will be contended that the realm of expatriate effectiveness has become saturated with a plethora of criteria of questionable utility and/or suspect methodological rigor. Subsequently, it will be argued that a number of conventions and assumptions that have stood at the heart of previous research efforts have impeded the development of criteria that have been able to find their way out of academia and into the HRM departments of MNC's. An overhaul of these core issues and their integration with recent perspectives from the personnel selection literature will culminate in the presentation of a novel methodology for the study of expatriate effectiveness. Future research based on this methodology propositions should serve to redress the current state of affairs.

What is Amiss With Currently Available Criteria of Expatriate Effectiveness?

Over the last five decades or so, a myriad of variables relating to expatriate effectiveness have appeared within the extant literature (see Black & Mendenhall, 1990; Dinges & Baldwin, 1996; Kealey, 1996; Mendenhall & Oddou, 1985, for reviews), examples of which are adaptation and social isolation (Hullett & Witte, 2001). Causal relationships between such criteria and job performance are more often assumed than empirically

investigated. And when performance measures are included as criteria in an empirical study of expatriate effectiveness, they often appear to be lacking in methodological rigor. For example, although performance was assessed in Spreitzer, McCall, and Mahoney's (1997) study, they themselves were careful to point out that their one item performance measure was subject to common method variance because it was assessed by the same person and at the same time as the predictor measure. Because of this, a second performance measure (in the form of external performance appraisal data that were only available for a holdout sample) was included, but the small sample size (n=56) limited the ability to detect significant relationships (although some were found). In another study among expatriates of a U.S. MNC (Caligiuri, 2000), the supervisor was asked to make an overall assessment of the expatriate's job performance. Although the Big Five as a group significantly predicted this performance measure, only 9% of the variance in performance was accounted for, which appears to be a replication of the domestic meta-analytic finding that personality correlates only moderately with overall job performance (see for example Barrick & Mount, 1991). It is very likely that the domestic finding that personality relates higher to the contextual domain of job performance than to overall job performance (Borman & Motowidlo, 1997) may generalize to an expatriate context. In essence this finding is an empirical illustration of the notion that increased specificity in the job performance domain is needed for meeting key research challenges (Tett, Guterman, Bleier, & Murphy, 2000), an issue that will be discussed in greater detail below.

In summary, although many studies have been conducted within the field of expatriate effectiveness, few have addressed the unequivocal candidate criterion, namely performance, and those that have, appear to be lacking in methodological rigor. What the field needs is consensus on an operational definition of expatriate effectiveness, and the methodology to be employed in predicting and/or training it. In order to reach a compelling operational definition, the core issues inherent to research pertaining to expatriate performance will now be critically examined.

Core Issues in the Development of Criteria for Expatriate Effectiveness

On being versus not being a criterion measure of expatriate effectiveness

As was discussed earlier, the often-implicit assumption that existing operational definitions of expatriate effectiveness criteria will affect performance is widespread and seldom empirically investigated. Austin and Villanova (1992) defined the term criterion as "a sample of performance (including behavior and outcomes), measured directly or indirectly, perceived to be of value to organizational constituencies for facilitating decisions about predictors or programs" (p. 838).

Based on the above definition, one could argue that many of the dependent variables that have been employed within the field of expatriate effectiveness to date are at best intermediate variables (Ones & Viswesvaran, 1997; Sinangil & Ones, 2001), because evidently they do not sample performance (Arthur & Bennett, 1995; Kealey, 1996; Werner, 2002) nor are they perceived to be valuable by organizational constituencies (Arthur & Bennett, 1995; Mendenhall & Oddou, 1985). Ultimately, variables such as spousal adjustment (Black & Gregersen, 1991), ability to establish intercultural relationships (Hammer, 1987; Hammer, Gudykunst, & Wiseman, 1978), or the number of languages spoken (Spreitzer et al., 1997), become relevant and useful to MNC's only upon having been shown to actually relate to performance. In legal terms, an organization would be hard-pressed justifying the rejection of an applicant purely based on the prediction that his or her spouse will not be able to adjust. Although to our knowledge no data exist about the relationship between spousal adjustment and expatriate performance, no conformation was found for the hypothesis that spousal support would relate to expatriate work and general adjustment in a recent study of expatriate sources of support, and correlations with expatriate task and contextual performance were negligible (Kraimer, Wayne, & Jaworski, 2001). Unfortunately, task and contextual performance were only assessed by six items within this study, which casts doubt on the adequacy with which the performance domain was sampled (Binning & Barrett, 1989).

In conclusion, in the prediction and training of expatriate effectiveness, the ultimate criterion is job performance. Campbell (1990) defines performance as a set of behaviors that are relevant for the goals of the organization, and effectiveness as the outcomes that stem from these behaviors. Translating this definition to the realm of expatriates, a definition for expatriate effectiveness is the extent to which the expatriate's job performance reflects behaviors that are relevant to the organization's goals. Having discussed the necessity of developing proper performance criteria for expatriate selection and training practices, let us now examine strategies that researchers may employ in assessing them.

How may the intercultural performance domain be modeled?

It has been argued elsewhere (Sinangil & Ones, 2001) that the existing literature in the realm of domestic job performance, such as the well known taxonomies developed by Campbell and colleagues (Campbell, 1990; Campbell, Gasser, & Oswald, 1996; Campbell, McCloy, Oppler, & Sager, 1993), Viswesvaran and colleagues (Viswesvaran, 1993; Viswesvaran & Ones, 2000; Viswesvaran, Schmidt, & Ones, 1994; Viswesvaran, Schmidt, & Ones, 1996) and Borman and Motowidlo (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993, 1997) are applicable to defining and measuring expatriate job performance. On the basis of their review of these models Sinangil and Ones (2001) depict a working model of expatriate job performance that consists of nine dimensions. Ones and Viswesvaran (1997) too, suggested ways in which their domestically developed taxonomy might be relevant specifically to expatriates. For researchers interested in applying these models to expatriate effectiveness, it might be prudent to take notice of the fact that within a domestic context there is an ongoing discussion concerning the premises on which they were built. The recent summary of this discussion (see Tett et al., 2000) as it relates to expatriate effectiveness will be portrayed in the next few paragraphs. Subsequently, the 'hyperdimensional' taxonomy of managerial competence (Tett et al., 2000) will be reviewed and its implications for capturing expatriate performance variability will be discussed. Afterward, the domestic concept of adaptive performance (Allworth & Hesketh, 1998; Hesketh, Allworth, & Considine, 1996; Hesketh & Neal, 1999) will be mentioned briefly. Because none of these deliberations have been empirically validated in an expatriate context, the final section of this paper will be devoted to presenting a methodology with which the expatriate performance domain may be elucidated.

As was briefly touched upon earlier, Tett et al. (2000) have evocatively argued for more specificity in the job performance domain. In particular, they contend that increased specificity will result in a more refined person-situation fit, a more complete understanding of causes, effects and measurement, and greater construct specificity than what the generalist performance models have to offer. Central to this argument is the so-called fidelity-bandwidth tradeoff, in which, given the practical restrictions on test length, fidelity (i.e., construct measurement precision) is negatively related to bandwidth (i.e., the number of separate constructs assessed by a measure). On the basis of their summary of the recent discussion relating to this tradeoff and its implications for the specificity-generality distinction in matching people to jobs, Tett et al. infer the following: a) predictive accuracy may be improved with the use of more specific and articulate performance measures; b) complexity with respect to content must be matched between the criterion and predictor spaces; c) specific measures, even if they are relatively short are not by definition less reliable; and finally, d) distinct measures of specific relevant constructs are likely to be more efficient because less time is spent measuring superfluous content.

The current state of affairs in modeling domestic managerial job performance is reflected in the 53 competencies that were subsequently delineated by Tett et al.. This comprehensive 'hyperdimensional' taxonomy was developed on the basis of earlier approaches and content validated by SME's. Disregarding for the moment the fact that not all expatriates are managers and not nearly all managers are expatriates, their model seems to have potential in the elucidation of the expatriate performance domain. However, some other reservations need to be explicated

A 10% rule, which stated that a performance dimension could be a distinct competency only if that dimension was expected to be at least moderately important in at least 10% of managerial jobs, was employed by Tett et al. in distilling the competencies from the earlier models. Although Tett et al. state that this approach will disregard only the most obscure dimensions and jobs, the application of this rule by definition implies that a distinctive competency that is extremely important in even slightly less than 10% of

managerial jobs will be excluded. The prospective work behaviors that are associated with the intercultural environment in which expatriates perform are likely to be prime examples of such competencies, because there are only a few jobs in which these behaviors play such a pivotal role. In this regard, Sinangil and Ones (2001) have noted that "perhaps the most important element that distinguish expatriate jobs from other high complexity and high responsibility jobs is an added element of complexity by the intercultural environment in which these jobs are performed." Succinctly, the hyperdimensional taxonomy of managerial competence, or other domestic models of job performance for that matter, may not sample the totality of managerial job performance when applied to an expatriate population. This issue may be addressed in empirical research through the study of expatriate performance as it relates to the organizational effectiveness of MNC's by conducting organizational needs analyses. A recent exploratory investigation among human resource specialists who managed expatriate performance appraisal, for example, established that a balanced combination of raters from both within and outside the host country is *perceived* to result in the most accurate expatriate performance appraisals (Gregersen et al., 1996). We will return to the topic of organizational needs analyses within the final section of this paper.

Another reservation about applying the hyperdimensional taxonomy of managerial competence or other domestically developed models of job performance to expatriates concerns the intercultural environment in which expatriates perform. For expatriates, the desirability of demonstrating certain competencies may depend on whom they are dealing with. For example, in communicating with German clients and Japanese supervisors, organizational goals may be served best by demonstrating high and low initiative, respectively. Such, fluctuating desirability of competencies for expatriates may pose a serious threat to adequate performance appraisal. In assessing expatriate job performance, it may thus be imperative to provide the cultural context, within which the prospective work behavior is to be exhibited.

Finally, research aimed at modeling expatriate job performance may stand to gain from applying the concept of adaptive performance, defined by Allworth Hesketh (1998) as

those job behaviors that represent an individual's capacity to cope with change. This definition appears similar to traditional definitions of expatriate adjustment. However, because adaptive performance is anchored in job behaviors it is likely to be more adequate for assessing expatriate effectiveness. Results from several studies have shown that adaptive performance was predicted by constructs different from those relevant to task and contextual performance (Allworth & Hesketh, 1998; Hesketh et al., 1996). To the authors' knowledge, no studies have been conducted to examine whether this finding generalized to other taxonomies of job performance.

Within the above, some of the expected hurdles in generalizing domestically developed taxonomies of job performance to an expatriate context were discussed. Within the following a research methodology will be presented that is aimed at surpassing these hurdles and ultimately at explicating the expatriate job performance domain.

Blueprint methodology for the elucidation of the expatriate performance domain

Because none of our deliberations that were presented in the above have been confirmed empirically, this final section will be devoted to presenting a methodology with which they may be investigated.

Job performance is often defined in terms of the degree to which the job behaviors of the incumbent contribute to organizational goals or objectives. Tett et al. (2000) for example, define a competency as "an identifiable aspect of prospective work behavior attributable to the individual that is expected to contribute positively and/or negatively to organizational effectiveness" (p. 215). For expatriates, the best judges of how the competencies and dimensions that have been delineated within the domestic models may contribute to the MNC's organizational goals are likely to be their human resource managers. After all, they are responsible for and closely involved in all aspects of expatriate management, such as for example training, selection and performance appraisal. By asking them to rate the importance, frequency, and relevance of the competencies and dimensions, a clear picture should emerge about their applicability within an expatriate context. The previously discussed fluctuating desirability of competencies may also be assessed by asking raters to indicate a behavioral range within which expatriates should be able to operate. However, as was argued before, the intercultural environment in which expatriates have to perform may necessitate the development of additional competencies and dimensions that take this into account. This may be achieved in research by requesting the international human resource managers to describe additional competencies or dimensions that they deem particularly important to intercultural performance.

The World Wide Web is probably the best medium for conducting research of this type, not only because it facilitates the accumulation of an internationally representative sample, but also because it should enable the addition of items in real time. By having subject matter experts sort, group, and evaluate the intercultural competencies and dimensions that are being supplied by the respondents on the basis of a number of preset criteria, they may be fed back into the questionnaire so that subsequent respondents have a chance to rate their relevance, importance and other characteristics. The authors are currently in the process of elaborating on this idea.

Due to the fact that there is considerable overlap within both the specifist and generalist approaches to assessing job performance (Campbell et al., 1996; Tett et al., 2000) it should be possible to construct meta-models (which also include the mutually exclusive parts of its constituent models) for each approach. In this way, the constituent models may be reassembled from the meta-model during the analyses phase. The best fitting model may then be complemented with the additional intercultural performance competencies and dimensions that were supplied by the human resource managers.

Discussion

Expatriate job performance may be considered the ultimate criterion in the prediction and training of expatriate effectiveness. The recently developed domestic models of job performance that were discussed within this paper may prove to be an extremely valuable guide to the study of expatriate effectiveness. Although there are methodological concerns associated with applying these models in an intercultural environment, it is our opinion that these are not unsurpassable. This paper was aimed at highlighting some major concerns that are intrinsically related to the prediction and training of expatriate effectiveness. Although expatriate failure rates, frequently defined as premature re-entry, are probably not much higher than domestic turnover rates (Harzing, 1995), the consequences of failure for expatriates, family members and MNC's are much greater. If delineating performance criteria for the selection of domestic employees is important, it surely is crucial for expatriates.

References

- Alampay, R. H., Beehr, T. A., & Christiansen, N. D. (2002). Antecedents and consequences of employees' adjustment to overseas assignment: A meta analytic review. Unpublished manuscript.
- Allworth, E., & Hesketh, B. (1998). Generalizability of construct oriented biodata scales in predicting adaptive performance. Paper presented at the Annual conference of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Dallas, TX.
- Arthur, W., & Bennett, W. (1995). The international assignee: The relative importance of factors perceived to contribute to success. Personnel Psychology, 48, 99-114.
- Austin, J. T., & Villanova, P. (1992). The criterion problem: 1917-1992. Journal of Applied Psychology, 77(6), 836-874.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (1991). The Big Five Personality Dimensions and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Personnel Psychology, 44(1), 1-26.

- Bhawuk, D. P. S., & Brislin, R. W. (2000). Cross-cultural training: A review. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 49(1), 162-191.
- Binning, J. F., & Barrett, G. V. (1989). Validity of personnel decisions: A conceptual analysis of the inferential and evidential bases. Journal of Applied Psychology, 74(3), 478-494.
- Black, J. S., & Gregersen, H. B. (1991). The other half of the picture: Antecedents of spouse cross-cultural adjustment. Journal of international business studies, 22(3), 461-477.
- Black, J. S., & Mendenhall, M. (1990). Cross-cultural training effectiveness: A review and a theoretical framework for future research. Academy of Management Review, 15(1), 113-136.
- Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt & W. C. Borman (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1997). Task performance and contextual performance: The meaning of personnel selection research. *Human performance*, 10(2), 99-109.
- Caligiuri, P. M. (2000). The big five personality characteristics as predictors of expatriate's desire to terminate the assignment and supervisor- rated performance. Personnel Psychology, 53(1), 67-88.
- Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (2 ed., Vol. 1, pp. 687-732). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Campbell, J. P., Gasser, M. B., & Oswald, F. L. (1996). The substantive nature of job performance variability. In K. R. Murphy (Ed.), Individual differences and behavior in organizations (pp. 258-299). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Campbell, J. P., McCloy, R. A., Oppler, S. H., & Sager, C. E. (1993). A theory of performance. In N. Schmitt & W. C. Borman (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Clarke, C., & Hammer, M. R. (1995). Predictors of Japanese and American managers job success, personal adjustment, and intercultural interaction effectiveness. Management international review, 35(1995/2), 153-170.
- Dinges, N. G., & Baldwin, K. D. (1996). Intercultural competence: A research perspective. In D. Landis & R. S. Bhagat (Eds.), Handbook of intercultural training (2 ed., pp. 106-147). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- A fine place to be. (2002, May 4). *The Economist*, pp. 8.
- Gregersen, H. B., Hite, J. M., & Black, J. S. (1996). Expatriate performance appraisal in U.S. multinational firms. Journal of international business studies, 27(4), 711-738.
- Hammer, M. R. (1987). Behavioral dimensions of intercultural effectiveness: A replication and extension. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 11, 65-88.
- Hammer, M. R., Gudykunst, W. B., & Wiseman, R. L. (1978). Dimensions of intercultural effectiveness: An exploratory study. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 2, 382-393.
- Harzing, A.-W. K. (1995). The persistent myth of high expatriate failure rates. *The* international journal of human resource management, 6(2), 457-474.
- Hawes, F., & Kealey, D. J. (1981). An empirical study of Canadian technical assistance personnel. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 5, 239-258.
- Hesketh, B., Allworth, E., & Considine, G. (1996). Preliminary report on phase one of the selection project for the Hilton Hotel. Unpublished manuscript.
- Hesketh, B., & Neal, A. (1999). Technology and performance. In D. R. Ilgen & E. D. Pulakos (Eds.), The changing nature of performance: Implications for staffing, motivation, and development. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hullett, C., & Witte, K. (2001). Predicting intercultural adaptation and isolation: using the extended parallel process model to test anxiety / uncertainty management theory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 25, 125-139.
- Kealey, D. J. (1989). A study of cross-cultural effectiveness: Theoretical issues, practical applications. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 13(3), 387-428.

- Kealey, D. J. (1996). The challenge of international personnel selection. In D. Landis & R. S. Bhagat (Eds.), Handbook of intercultural training (2 ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kraimer, M. L., Wayne, S. J., & Jaworski, R. A. (2001). Sources of support and expatriate performance: The mediating role of expatriate adjustment. Personnel Psychology, *54*(1), 71-99.
- Mendenhall, M., & Oddou, G. (1985). The Dimensions of Expatriate Acculturation: A Review. The academy of management review, 10(1), 39-47.
- Ones, D. S., & Viswesvaran, C. (1997). Personality determinants in the prediction of aspects of expatriate job success. In Z. Aycan (Ed.), New approaches to employee management: Expatriate management: Theory and Research (Vol. 4). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Paige, M. R., & Martin, J. N. (1996). Ethics in intercultural training. In D. Landis & R. S. Bhagat (Eds.), *Handbook of intercultural training* (2 ed., pp. 460). Thousands Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Sinangil, H. K., & Ones, D. S. (2001). Expatriate management. In N. Anderson & D. S. Ones & H. K. Sinangil & C. Viswesvaran (Eds.), Handbook of industrial, work & organizational psychology (Vol. 1, pp. 425-443). London: Sage.
- Spreitzer, G. M., McCall Jr., M. W., & Mahoney, J. D. (1997). Early identification of international executive potential. Journal of Applied Psychology, 82(1), 6-29.
- Tett, R. P., Guterman, H. A., Bleier, A., & Murphy, P. J. (2000). Development and content validation of a 'hyperdimensional' taxonomy of managerial competence. Human performance, 13(3), 205-251.
- Van Gelder, R. (1997). Het Oost-Indisch avontuur: Duitsers in dienst van de VOC (1600-1800) [The East Indian adventure: Germans employed by the United East-India Companyl. SUN, Nijmegen.
- Viswesvaran, C. (1993). Modeling job performance: Is there a general factor? Unpublished Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA.

- Viswesvaran, C., & Ones, D. S. (2000). Perspectives on models of job performance. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 8*(4), 216-226.
- Viswesvaran, C., Schmidt, F. L., & Ones, D. S. (1994). Examining the validity of supervisory ratings of job performance using linear composites. Paper presented at the The conbstruct of job performance. Symposium conducted at the ninth annual meeting of the Society of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Nashville, Tennessee.
- Viswesvaran, C., Schmidt, F. L., & Ones, D. S. (1996). Modeling job performance: Is there a general factor? Unpublished manuscript.
- Werner, S. (2002). Recent Developments in International Management Research: A Review of 20 Top Management Journals. Journal of Management, 28(3), 277-305.
- Windham-International. (2001). Global relocation trends 2001 survey report [Internet]. Jointly prepared by the National Foreign Trade Council, SHRM Global Forum, and GMAC Global Relocation Services. Retrieved August 15, 2002, from the World Wide Web: http://www.windhamint.com/Surveys.asp