

Proteomic Analysis of Human Osteoblastic Cells: Relevant Proteins and Functional Categories for Differentiation

Rodrigo D. A. M. Alves,[†] Marco Eijken,[†] Sigrid Swagemakers,[‡] H. Chiba,[§] Mark K. Titulaer,[⊥] Peter C. Burgers,[⊥] Theo M. Luider,[⊥] and Johannes P. T. M. van Leeuwen^{*,†}

Departments of Internal Medicine, Bioinformatics and Neurology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, and Department of Pathology, Medical College, Sapporo, Japan

Received May 4, 2010

Osteoblasts are the bone forming cells, capable of secreting an extracellular matrix with mineralization potential. The exact mechanism by which osteoblasts differentiate and form a mineralized extracellular matrix is presently not fully understood. To increase our knowledge about this process, we conducted proteomics analysis in human immortalized preosteoblasts (SV-HFO) able to differentiate and mineralize. We identified 381 proteins expressed during the time course of osteoblast differentiation. Gene ontology analysis revealed an overrepresentation of protein categories established as important players for osteoblast differentiation, bone formation, and mineralization such as pyrophosphatases. Proteins involved in antigen presentation, energy metabolism and cytoskeleton rearrangement constitute other overrepresented processes, whose function, albeit interesting, is not fully understood in the context of osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. Correlation analysis, based on quantitative data, revealed a biphasic osteoblast differentiation, encompassing a premineralization and a mineralization period. Identified differentially expressed proteins between mineralized and nonmineralized cells include cytoskeleton (e.g., CCT2, PLEC1, and FLNA) and extracellular matrix constituents (FN1, ANXA2, and LGALS1) among others. FT-ICR-MS data obtained for FN1, ANXA2, and LMNA shows a specific regulation of these proteins during the different phases of osteoblast differentiation. Taken together, this study increases our understanding of the proteomics changes that accompany osteoblast differentiation and may permit the discovery of novel modulators of bone formation.

Keywords: osteoblast • differentiation • proteomics • MALDI-FT-ICR-MS

Introduction

Bone is a highly specialized form of connective tissue. It is very dynamic, being continuously resorbed by osteoclasts and rebuild by osteoblasts. Osteoblasts are the bone-forming cells. They synthesize an extracellular matrix (ECM) and participate in the mineralization of this matrix. While the majority of osteoblasts enter apoptosis, the remainder enters the last stage of osteoblast differentiation becoming osteocytes. The process of osteoblast differentiation from the mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) lineage is tightly regulated and encompasses several steps. Expression of the osteoblasts-specific transcription factors Runx2 and Osterix is essential to drive MSCs toward the osteoblastic lineage.^{1–3} After lineage commitment, several differentiation steps take place until the formation of mature osteoblasts. These cells are characterized by the expression of the matrix proteins collagen type I, osteocalcin, osteopontin, bone sialoprotein, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP), an enzyme which is believed to be involved in bone matrix mineralization.⁴ In vitro, human osteoblast differentiation can be triggered by glucocorticoids,^{5–7} in a process not completely understood. An important step toward understanding osteoblast differentiation is to characterize the osteoblast proteome during differentiation. Over the last years, proteomics technology has made tremendous progress,^{8,9} and nowadays, mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics tools can be applied to generate not only qualitative, but also quantitative information, to gain a more holistic view of biological systems.⁸ Several proteomic studies have been conducted to unravel the mechanisms underlying osteogenesis.^{10–14} Yet only a portion of the osteoblast proteome has been unveiled and additional, quantitative proteomic analyses are needed to reach the goal of capturing the full osteoblast proteome.

In this study, we aimed to extend the knowledge about human osteogenesis by investigating the protein expression during the time-course of glucocorticoid-induced osteoblast differentiation and mineralization (differentiating osteoblasts). For this purpose, we used the well-characterized preosteoblast cell line SV-HFO¹⁵ that develops into mature osteoblasts in a 3-week time period in the presence of glucocorticoids.⁵ Two mass spectrometry platforms were used for purposes of

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed: Prof. Johannes PTM van Leeuwen, Department of Internal Medicine, room 585c, Erasmus Medical Centre, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam. Tel, +31-107033405; fax, +31-107032603; e-mail, j.vanleeuwen@erasmusmc.nl.

⁺ Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Centre.

[‡] Department of Bioinformatics, Erasmus Medical Centre.

[§] Department of Pathology, Medical College.

[⊥] Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Centre.

Proteomic Analysis of Human Osteoblastic Cells

identification (nano-LC-MS/MS using an LTQ-Orbitrap) and quantification (MALDI-FT-ICR-MS). Using nano-LC-MS/MS, we focused on the identification of proteins expressed by differentiating osteoblasts. Moreover, quantitative peptide data was obtained by MALDI-FT-ICR-MS and complemented with specific data-dependent peptide/protein identification by nano-LC-MS/MS.¹⁶

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. Human SV-HFO cells were seeded in a density of 5×10^3 vital cells/cm² and precultured for 1 week in $\alpha\text{-MEM}$ (GIBCO, Paisley, U.K.) supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), streptomycin/penicillin, 1.8 mM CaCl₂ (Sigma), and 10% heat-inactivated FCS (GIBCO) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere. During preculture, cells remained in an undifferentiated stage. At this point, cells were seeded in a density of 1 \times 10 $\!\!^4$ vital cells/cm $\!\!^2$ in the presence of 2% charcoal-treated FCS. For induction of osteoblast differentiation and mineralization, the basal medium was freshly supplemented with 10 mM β -glycerophosphate (Sigma) and 100 nM dexamethasone (Sigma). For the nondifferentiating osteoblasts, the culture condition was identical except for the presence of dexamethasone. Throughout the remainder of the study, DEX-treated and non-DEX-treated cultures are referred as differentiating and nondifferentiating osteoblasts, respectively. The media was replaced every 2-3 days in both nondifferentiating and differentiating osteoblasts.

Alkaline Phosphatase. ALP activity was assayed by determining the release of paranitrophenol from paranitrophenylphosphate (20 mM diethanolamine buffer supplemented with 1 mM MgCl₂ at pH 9.8) in the SV-HFO lysates for 10 min at 37 °C. Adding 0.06 M NaOH stopped the reaction. Adsorption was measured at 405 nm. Results were corrected for the DNA content of the cell lysates.

Mineralization. For quantification of the mineral content, cell lysates were incubated overnight in 0.24 M HCl at 4 °C. Calcium content was colorimetrically determined with a calcium assay kit (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Results were corrected for the DNA content of the cell lysates. For Alizarin Red staining, cell cultures were fixed for 60 min with 70% ethanol on ice. After fixation, cells were washed twice with PBS and stained for 10 min with Alizarin Red solution (saturated Alizarin Red in demineralized water was titrated to pH 4.2 using 0.5% ammonium hydroxide).

Protein Isolation. At day 5, 10, and 19 of culture, nondifferentiating and differentiating cells, in three biological replicates (a total of 18 samples), were washed in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS, GIBCO) and lysed directly in a culture dish by adding TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The protein phenol-ethanol phase was stored at -80 °C. Proteins were precipitated using methanol/chloroform.¹⁷ To facilitate protein solubilization and enzymatic cleavage of proteins, pellets were resuspended in 100 μ L of 0.1% (w/v) RapiGest SF (Waters, Milford, MA) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and dissolved by sonification at 70% amplitude and maximum temperature of 18 °C (Bransons Ultrasonics, Danbury, CT) until no aggregates were visible. Protein concentration was determined using a BCA kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). For digestion, 0.1 mg/mL of trypsin gold, MS grade (Promega, Madison, WI) reconstituted in 50 mM acetic acid was added to each sample, at a 1:50 (w/w) ratio. After overnight incubation at 37 °C, 10% trifluoracetic acid (TFA) was added to the digested protein samples in order to stop the enzymatic reaction and remove Rapigest hydrolytic byproduct (final TFA concentration 0.5%, pH < 2). Finally, samples were aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until use for LC-MS/MS and MALDI-FT-ICR-MS.

LC-MS/MS. One microliter of protein sample was injected onto a nanoLC system (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and trapped for 7.5 min on a C18 PepMap 100 column (5 mm \times 300 µm i.d., Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA). Fractionation was performed using a C18 PepMap 100 column (150 mm \times 75 μ m, $3 \mu m$, 100 Å, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) using a 80 min gradient running from 0-50% of buffer A (80% acetonitrile (ACN), 20% H₂O, 0.1% TFA) in buffer B (100% H₂O, 0.1% TFA), followed by a 23.5 min gradient to 100% B at 250 nL/min (Dionex). A UV detector (214 nm) was used to monitor the separation. The nanoLC was coupled to a LTQ-Orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Mass spectrometry data was acquired in both data-independent and dependent mode, the latter to include those peptide masses found to be differentially expressed by MALDI-FT-ICR-MS measurements (see below) for specific sequencing. This preselection of data results in an increased chance to identify the peptides of interest than just by default data independent measurements. The mass tolerance of the selected inclusion list was 10 ppm.

Fragmentation spectra were searched against the Human International Protein Index (IPI) database v3.18 (June 13, 2006) with SEQUEST using the Bioworks software (Version 3.3, Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA). The mass accuracy for the database was set to 5 ppm for the precursor ions and 1 Da for the fragment ions. Only peptides with a probability less than 0.001 and meeting the SEQUEST HUPO PPP high confidence parameters^{18,19} were considered for further analysis.

Peptides masses within a mass window of 7 ppm to LC-MS/ MS and 2 ppm to the FT-ICR-MS (see section below) measurements were considered as identified. To verify isoform specificity and eliminate redundant protein identifications, the peptides were searched against the same IPI Human database using Standalone Blast (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) software version 2.2.17 with the PAM30 scoring matrix for short amino acid sequences. Sequence coverage determination was performed using the Protein Coverage Summarizer v1.2.3064 tool, freely available in the PNNL/OMICS.PNNL.GOV Web site (http://omics.pnl.gov/software/ProteinCoverageSummarizer. php).

Bioinformatic Gene Ontology Analysis. Proteins identified in the normal scan mode by LC-MS/MS were analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (version 7.60) and DAVID Bioinformatics Resources v6.7 (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp)^{20,21} to obtain a comprehensive description of the overrepresented biological processes and functional related groups of proteins within our data set. For DAVID analysis, only Bonferroni significant (*p*-value < 0.001) overrepresented terms, containing more than 9 proteins, were considered. As background, the default *Homo sapiens* genome was used.

MALDI-FT-ICR-MS. Half microliter of protein sample was mixed with a 2,5-dihydroxy benzoic acid (DHB, Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) matrix solution (10 mg/mL in 0.1% TFA/water) in a 1:1 (v/v) ratio, spotted onto a 600/384 Anchor-Chip target plate (Bruker Daltonics) in duplicate, and allowed to dry at room temperature. MALDI-FTICR MS measurements were performed in a Bruker Apex-Q equipped with a 9.4 T magnet (Bruker Daltonics). For each measurement, scans of 10 shots at 75% laser power were accumulated. Mass spectra were acquired in the mass range of 800–4000 Da and processed with a Gaussian filter and 2 zero fillings. To ensure good mass

accuracy, an external calibration was performed using a Peptide Calibration Standard II (Bruker Daltonics), a mixture that contains Bradykin 1–7, Angiotensin II, Angiotensin I, Substance P, Bombesin, Renin Substrate, ACTH clip 1–17, ACTH clip 18–39, and Somatostatin 28. The 18 samples were measured randomly in triplicate and a total of 54 individual spectra were acquired.

Internal Calibration of FT-ICR-MS Data. Raw files obtained from the FT-ICR-MS were used as input for homemade software described elsewhere.^{22–24} Spectra were internally calibrated using 5 omnipresent Actin peptide masses dispersed within the measurement range: 1198.7054, 1515.7491, 1790.8919, 1954.0643, and 2215.0699. After internal calibration, the accuracy of the measurements was assessed using 7 peptide masses derived from tubulin, GAPDH and HSPA5: 1143.6351, 1566.7795, 1613.9024, 1701.9072, 1701.9072, 1756.9660, 1824.9863, and 2007.8933. On the basis of these peptides, an average accuracy of less than 1 ppm was obtained. The final matrix contained all masses detected and their respective intensities, in at least 3 independent measurements and with a signal-tonoise (S/N) > 4 to avoid noise peaks.

Normalization of FT-ICR-MS Data. Normalization of the measured intensities was achieved multiplying them by a normalization factor. This factor was determined by the ratio of average intensity of all samples to average intensity of the sample to be normalized. After normalization, we inspected the reproducibility for both technical and biological replicates by calculating the coefficient of variance of the 63 peptide masses detected in all samples. For technical replicates, the average CV was 11% (range 7–15%), and, for biological replicates, the CV was 26% (range 19–36%).

Selection of Differentially Expressed Peptides. Comparison of normalized peptide intensities, including zero values, was performed using a Wilcoxon test.²⁴ In a first set of analysis, peptide intensities at a specific culture time point (day 5, day 10, or day 19) were compared between nondifferentiating and differentiating samples. In a second set of analysis, peptide intensities were compared as a function of time during culture for both nondifferentiating and differentiating conditions. Peptide masses with a *p*-value < 0.001 and a *p*-value < 0.01 showing an absolute difference (present and absent) between the compared conditions were identified as being differently expressed.

Data Visualization. The geometrical mean of the normalized intensities of all samples was calculated. Values of intensity equal to 0 were regarded as Not a Number (NaN). The level of expression of each peptide mass in every sample was determined relative to this geometric mean and logarithmically transformed (on a base 2 scale). Deviation from the geometrical mean was considered as differential expression, despite possible unaccountable bias introduced by the MALDI ionization process and the analyte interaction with the matrix used. To minimize the latter possibility, we have measured all samples in triplicate, observing acceptable CVs (7–15%) in contrast to other equipments, like the MALDI-TOF, where CVs can be as high as 30%. Similarity between samples, plotted by Pearson's correlation, was done using Omniviz (OmniViz, Maynard, MA, version 5.0).

Immunodetection. Cell culture and protein isolation for Western blotting experiments were identical to those described above. Equal amounts of protein per sample were loaded and separated by SDS-PAGE (10% Ready Gel Precast Gels, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-ECL, Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, U.K.). After blocking nonspecific signal with 4% fat free milk in Trisbuffered saline (TBS), the membrane was incubated with specific antibodies against fibronectin (mouse monoclonal to FN1; 1:5000, Ab-11, Clone FBN11, NeoMarkers, Cat. MS-1351), annexin A2 (rabbit polyclonal to ANXA2; 1 μ g/mL, Abcam, Cat. Ab41803), and GAPDH (loading control; mouse monoclonal; 1:20 000, Millipore, Cat. MAB374). Membranes were probed with secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit IgG, conjugated with Alexa Fluor 680 (1:5000, Invitrogen, Cat. A21057) or with IRDye 800CW (1:5000, LI-COR, Cat. 926-32211), respectively. Immunoreactive bands were visualized using the LI-COR Infrared Imaging System according to the manufacturer's instructions (Odyssey Lincoln, NE).

FN1 expression was visualized by immunocytochemistry. Nondifferentiating and differentiating cells were cultured in similar conditions used for the MS analysis. After fixation in 10% formalin and blocking in PBS/2% BSA, cells were incubated with mouse monoclonal FN1 antibody (1:100, Ab-11, Clone FBN11, NeoMarkers, Cat. MS-1351). Next, slides were incubated with secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 680 (1:300, Invitrogen, Cat. A21057). Slides were washed 3 times in PBS/0.2% BSA, one time in PBS, one time in 70% ethanol, and finally in 100% ethanol. After washing, they were mounted in VectaShield containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). As negative control, cells were not incubated with primary antibody.

Results

ALP Activity and Mineralization of Human Preosteoblasts. In this study, human preosteoblasts (SV-HFO) were used, which can be stimulated to differentiate into mature osteoblasts that produce a collagenous ECM that subsequently accumulates mineral. Measuring parameters such as ALP activity and calcium deposition over time can monitor this process. As shown in Figure 1A, differentiating osteoblasts exhibited an increase in ALP activity with a peak around day 10. The ALP increase was followed by a rapid deposition of calcium (Figure 1B). This *in vitro* bone formation model is an excellent model to study protein expression within the different stages of osteoblast differentiation.

Qualitative LC-MS/MS Proteome Analysis: Proteins Identified in Differentiating Human Osteoblast Cultures. To identify as many as possible proteins, we combined chromatographic techniques upstream to detailed MS measurements (nano-LC-MS/MS). We have used the capabilities of this technique to get qualitative insights into the proteins expressed by differentiating osteoblasts combining data from days 5, 10, and 19. This resulted in the successful identification of 381 proteins (Supplementary Table 1). To categorize the identified osteoblast proteins, we performed gene ontology (GO) annotation overrepresentation analyses. The proteins were categorized for their annotation related to Biological Process (BP), Molecular Function (MF), and Cellular Compartment (CC). Figure 2A depicts the significant top GO term categorization by overrepresentation. Several overrepresented terms were related to cytoskeleton such as structural constituent of the cytoskeleton (GO:0005200), actin cytoskeleton (GO:00015629), and actin filament-based process (GO:0030029). Pyrophosphatase activity (GO:0016462) proteins were overrepresented, as well as proteins involved in other distinct processes like energy metabolism (GO:0006096) or antigen processing and presentation (GO: 0042612; GO:0002474). In addition, the proteins were also



Figure 1. (A) Alkaline phosphatase activity and (B) calcium deposition in the matrix corrected for cell number (as determined by DNA measurement) in nondifferentiating and differentiating human osteoblast cultures. Insets with Alizarin Red staining at the final time point (day 19) are also shown.

categorized in protein families (Figure 2B). Enzymes were the second biggest group of proteins (85 proteins) followed by transporters (18). Phosphatases and ion channels (5 proteins each) were also detected and represent an interesting group of proteins for the process under study, where phosphate and calcium ions represent the foundations for ECM mineralization.

We further inspected for proteins identified and GO annotations that are established players in osteoblast differentiation (Table 1). We have identified 5 proteins linked to skeletal system development, several ECM components including collagens and collagen binding proteins, and proteins that bind to integrins, which are important for ECM-cell signal transduction and osteoblast function (reviewed by Damsky et al., 1999). Other osteoblast-relevant categories included proteins possessing pyrophosphatase activity and calcium ion binding proteins with 41 and 29 proteins, respectively.

Quantitative MALDI-FT-ICR-MS Proteome Analysis: Differentially Expressed Proteins in Mineralization Period. Following the qualitative analysis, we used MALDI-FT-ICR-MS to gather quantitative protein expression profiles. A total of 54 individual spectra were obtained corresponding to the day 5, 10, and 19 of differentiating and nondifferentiating conditions, analyzed in 3 biological and 3 technical replicates. Data was used as input for homemade software²⁴ in order to generate a data file containing all information regarding to peptide masses detected and their respective intensities. For each spectrum, we obtained 1688–2204 monoisotopic masses. The exception was one spectrum, a technical replicate of a sample from day 10 nondifferentiating condition, that showed only 814 masses and which was excluded from further analysis. Upon performing internal calibration, we obtained an average accuracy below 1 ppm in agreement with previous reports using similar equipment.^{26,27} We compared all samples according to the criteria mentioned in the Material and Methods (Selection of Differentially Expressed Peptides) and identified 422 peptide masses as significantly differentially expressed. Correlation analysis based on measured intensities of these 422 peptide masses is shown in Figure 3. It is interesting that this unbiased approach delivered a correlation plot with a divergence of the differentiating condition, there was also a clear discrepancy between the premineralization and mineralization periods, with a very strong correlation between the samples of the mineralization period (Figure 3). In addition, technical and biological replicates always clustered together demonstrating the robustness of the MALDI-FT-ICR-MS measurements.

These observations prompted us to examine in more detail the protein expression differences within the differentiating condition, comparing mineralization (day 19) versus the preceding premineralization period (days 5 and 10). Additionally, we included in these analyses the two extreme phenotypes, that is, day 19 in differentiating and nondifferentiating condition. In total, these comparisons led to an identification of 52 differently expressed proteins (Table 2), according to the criteria to combine MALDI-FT-ICR-MS and LC-MS/MS data mentioned in the Materials and Methods. Among other proteins, cytoskeleton (actins, tubulins, and vimentin), actin binding (CCT2, CSRP1, FLNA, MYH9, and VCL), ECM (FN1, LGALS1), and calcium binding proteins (ANXA2, ANXA1) were identified.

Validation of Protein Expression and MS-Based Quantification. We verified that most of the (FT-ICR-MS) quantified peptides, mapping to the same protein, have similar expression patterns (Figure 4A). To validate the MS data, we selected two differentially expressed proteins that have been reported to be relevant for bone biology, ANXA2 and FN1. The expression pattern of these proteins was similar for both FT-ICR-MS and Western blotting (Figure 4A,B). We have also performed immunocytochemistry for FN1. As expected, FN1 immunocytochemistry from mineralized day 19 osteoblasts disclosed a clear extracellular localization of this protein (Figure 4C).

Discussion

In vitro Human osteoblast differentiation models can be an effective model to detect proteins that have pivotal roles in bone formation and potential targets to shift bone remodeling toward the anabolic process. The introduction of mass spectrometry into the proteomics field has made this type of analysis feasible, revealing large set of proteins that can be analyzed using bioinformatics tools to discover protein associations or overrepresented biological processes.

In the present work, we aimed to identify and quantify proteins in an effort to gain knowledge about the osteoblast differentiation process and identify novel proteins that may modulate osteoblast mineralization. To this end, we exploited our very well characterized human preosteoblast cell model^{5,15} and mass spectrometric analyses to identify proteins against the background of osteoblast differentiation and *in vitro* bone formation. Furthermore, we aimed to assess quantitative differences both between differentiated mineralized cultures and their nondifferentiated counterparts, as well as between the premineralization and mineralization periods of the differentiated osteoblast culture.

Alves et al.

research articles



Figure 2. (A) Gene Ontology of the significantly overrepresented terms in differentiating osteoblasts (Bonferroni *p*-value < 0.001). Only the 10 highest overrepresented terms for biological process, molecular function and cellular compartment categories are shown. Numbers next to the bars indicate overrepresentation level and numbers embedded in the bars indicate the proteins identified by MS/MS for each category. (B) Pie chart representing the functional group distribution of the 381 identified proteins in differentiating osteoblast cultures.

With regard to the protein profile of differentiating osteoblasts, we have identified 381 proteins. GO analysis revealed that cytoskeleton and cytoskeletal related processes were among the highest overrepresented terms. This shows the importance of specific cytoskeleton assembly for osteoblast differentiation, also verified by Higuchi and co-workers.²⁸ It is known that actin filaments (stress fibers) are physically linked to the ECM by integrins.^{28–30} These transmembrane glycoproteins can interact with ECM proteins bridging the extracellular with the intracellular compartment. This interaction affects the organization of the cytoskeleton,³¹ signal transduction, and the expression of transcription factors and osteoblast-specific genes in osteoblasts.^{32,33} Other identified processes include distinct processes such as glucose metabolism and antigen presentation. New insights linking bone remodeling to energy metabolism control are described in the review by Rosen et al.³⁴ The

Table 1.	Gene Ontology	Terms Reporting	Processes/Functions/Locations	Connoted with	Osteoblast Function

GO category term	protein	no. ^a	gene ^b	IPI^{c}
Skeletal System Development (GO:0001501)		5		
	Annexin A2		ANXA2	IPI00418169
	Collagen, type I, alpha 1		COL1A1	IPI00297646
	Glycoprotein NMB		GPNMB	IPI00001592
	Neurofibromin 1		NF1	IPI00220513
	Peroxiredoxin 1		PRDX1	IPI0000874
Extracellular matrix (GO:0031012)		12		
	Collagen, type I, alpha 1		COL1A1	IPI00297646
	Collagen, type VI, alpha 3		COL6A3	IPI00022200
	Fibronectin 1		FN1	IPI00022418
	Galectin 1		LGALS1	IPI00219219
	Superoxide dismutase 1		SOD1	IPI00218733
Calcium ion binding (GO:0005509)		29		
	Annexin A1		ANXA1	IPI00218918
	Calnexin		CANX	IPI00020984
	Myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1		MCL1	IPI00030356
	Protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 4		PDIA4	IPI00009904
	Signal sequence receptor, delta		SSR4	IPI00019385
Pyrophosphatase activity (GO:0016462)		41		
	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial f1 complex, alpha subunit 1		ATP5A1	IPI00440493
	Dynein, axonemal, heavy chain 7		DNAH7	IPI00180384
	Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1		EEF1A1	IPI00025447
	MDN1, midasin homologue (yeast)		MDN1	IPI00167941
	X-ray repair complementing defective repair in chinese hamster cells 5		XRCC5	IPI00220834
Collagen binding (GO:0005518)	0	4		
	CD44 molecule		CD44	IPI00297160
	Fibronectin 1		FN1	IPI00022418
	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade H, member 1		SERPINH1	IPI00032140
	Thrombospondin 1		THBS1	IPI00296099
Integrin binding (GO:0005178)		5		
	Actinin, alpha 1		ACTN1	IPI00013508
	Actinin, alpha 4		ACTN4	IPI00013808
	Calreticulin		CALR	IPI00020599
	Glycoprotein NMB		GPNMB	IPI00001592
	Thrombospondin 1		THBS1	IPI00296099
Ion channel activity (GO:0005216)		5		
	Voltage-dependent anion channel 1		VDAC1	IPI00216308
	Voltage-dependent anion channel 2		VDAC2	IPI00024145
	Voltage-dependent anion channel 3		VDAC3	IPI00031804
	Transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 1		TRPM1	IPI00385124
	Chloride intracellular channel 1		CLIC1	IPI00010896

^a Number of total proteins in the group. ^b Official gene symbol. ^c IPI accession number.

fact that these processes were overrepresented can be related to the fact that ECM synthesis, maturation, and mineralization are highly demanding processes,³⁵ leading to mitochondrial and antioxidant enzyme changes.³⁶

Interestingly, our data show a high overrepresentation of proteins belonging to the MHC class I protein complex involved in antigen presentation. Already back in 1989, Skjødt and co-workers³⁷ reported that osteoblast-like cells function as antigen presenting cells, being able to stimulate peripheral bone marrow cells (PBMCs). Since osteoclasts are derived from PBMCs, it is tempting to speculate that overrepresentation of this type of proteins may be related to the osteoblast effective-ness to stimulate osteoclast differentiation from their precursors or to interact with hematopoietic stem cells in the stem cell niche.³⁸

Phosphatases and ion channels constitute another group of proteins identified. Some of these proteins have been identified already as important players during osteoblast differentiation. This is the case for the nuclear transmembrane ion channel protein, chloride intracellular channel 1 (CLIC1). Knockdown of CLIC1 suppresses osteoblast differentiation from MSCs, whereas protein overexpression increases osteogenic markers such as ALP activity.³⁹ Other interesting proteins include the voltage-dependent anion channel 1, 2, and 3 (VDAC1, VDAC2, and VDAC3). These membrane proteins play a role in the efflux of metabolites including ATP and phosphate in the mitochondria.⁴⁰ Interestingly, these proteins are recurrently identified in matrix vesicles (MV),^{14,41} the organelles implicated in initiation of mineralization.^{41,43} Start of mineral deposition occurs by accommodating the proper environment for crystal growth in the MV. This implicates mobilization of calcium and phosphate to form hydroxyapatite. Phosphate mobilization can be achieved by degradation of pyrophosphate (PPi), a mineralization inhibitor, into free phosphate (Pi). Our data show that enzymes involved in this process were overrepresented by more than 2-fold (GO:0016462 pyrophosphatase activity, Figure 2A) supporting its importance for osteoblast-mediated ECM mineralization.

In our quantitative MALDI-FT-ICR-MS approach, we identified 52 differentially expressed proteins between day 19 mineralized and day 19 nonmineralized osteoblasts and

Nondifferentiating



Low

Figure 3. Pearson correlation plot of the 422 significant differentially expressed peptide masses as determined by FT-ICR-MS measurements. All samples, from both differentiating and nondifferentiating osteoblasts, are plotted against each other to determine their degree of similarity based on the determined quantitative peptide profile. Lines and geometric shapes: black, nondifferentiating condition; yellow and orange, differentiating condition, premineralization and mineralization period, respectively. Geometric shapes: circles, day 19; squares, day 10; pentagons, day 5; filled, empty and striped shapes represent the 3 biological replicates, measured each in 3 technical replicates (with exception to a technical replicate from day 10 nondifferentiating condition that was excluded from the analysis); Red, high similarity; blue, low similarity.

between day 19 mineralized and the osteoblast premineralization time points, day 5 and day 10. Some of these proteins will be discussed in more detail. We found that cytoskeleton components (several actins, tubulins, and vimentin) and actin binding proteins (CCT2, CSRP1, FLNA, MYH9, and VCL) were differentially expressed in differentiating osteoblasts. This follows the data discussed above and further substantiates a prominent role for cytoskeletal reorganization in osteoblast differentiation. ECM (FN1, LGALS1) and calcium binding (ANXA2, ANXA1) proteins were also identified among differentially expressed proteins. FN1 is an abundant ECM glycoprotein with significantly higher

					2000						
protein	gene symbol ^a	peptide sequence	$q_0^{\prime p}$	IPI^{c}	<i>p</i> -value ^{<i>d</i>}	$\mathrm{MH+}_{\mathrm{Calc.}}^{e}$	$MH+ FT-ICR^{f}$	$\Delta \mathrm{ppm}^g$	$\operatorname{MH+}_{\operatorname{Orbitrap}}^{h}$	$\Delta_{\rm ppm^{\it i}}$	$\overset{\Delta}{\operatorname{ppm}^{j}}$
Up-Regulated at Day 19 Mineralized versus Day 19	Nonmineralize	ed Osteoblasts	ŗ		010000 0		0100 0001			Ĩ	r L
Tubulli Deta-20 Chall Annevin A7	ANXA2	R AFDGSVIDVFI IDODAR D	1.0	IPI0000//32.153	0.00000	100000201	1908 8051	02.0-	1908 88066	-0.81	10.0-
Annexin A2	ANXA2	R.RAEDGSVIDYELIDQDAR.D		IPI00455315.3	0.000412	2064.98315	2064.9822	0.46	2064.9727	-5.06	4.60
Annexin A2	ANXA2	K.TDLEKDIISDTSGDFR.K	10.1	IPI00455315.3	0.000574	1811.86572	1811.8646	0.62	1811.86467	-0.58	-0.04
Fibronectin precursor	FNI	K.IAWESPQGQVSR.Y		IPI00022418.1	0.000574	1357.68591	1357.6855	0.30	1357.68474	-0.86	0.56
Ethonoctin precursor	FNI	K.HYQINQQWEK.T D M/SDDAADITCVD I		IP100022418.1	0.000161	1401.66577	1401.666 1421.7501	91.0-	1401.66667 1421 75079	1.14	-0.48
Fibronectin precusor	FNI	R.VDVIPVNLPGEHGOR.L	2.1	IF100022418.1	0.000401	1629.87073	1629.8729	-1.33	1629.87273	1.14	0.10
Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha 2	HSP90AA1	R.RAPFDLFENR.K	i	IPI00604607.2	0.000385	1264.64331	1264.6429	0.32	1264.64275	-0.44	0.12
Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha 2	HSP90AA1	K.SLTNDWEDHLAVK.H	4.3	IPI00604607.2	0.000409	1527.74377	1527.7433	0.31	1527.74272	-0.69	0.38
Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta	HSPA90AB1	A LEDI EDSI AB E	1.8	1P100414676.5	0.000670	110763700	1107 6366	1 03	1107 63677	00 U	111
Lamin-A/C	LMNA	R NSNI VGAAHFFI OOSR I	3 0	IPIDD021405.3	191000 0	1752 8623	1752 8633	-0.57 -0	1752 86271	0.23	0.34
Myosin-9	6HYM	R.LQQELDDILVDLDHQR.Q	0.8	IPI00019502.2	0.000274	1949.99268	1949.9953	-1.34	1949.99468	1.03	0.32
Peroxiredoxin-6	PRDX6	K.LPFPIIDDR.N	4.0	IPI00220301.4	0.005316	1085.599	1085.5995	-0.46	1085.59868	-0.29	0.76
Polymerase I and transcript release factor Splicing factor, proline- and glutamine-rich	PTRF SFPQ	K.IIGAVDQIQLTQAQLEER.Q K.YGEPGEVFINK.G	4.6 1.6	IPI00176903.2 IPI00010740.1	0.000412 0.000321	2025.09753 1252.62085	2025.0996 1252.6204	-1.02 0.36	2025.09269 1252.62078	-2.39 -0.06	3.41 - 0.30
Down-Regulated at Day 19 Mineralized versus Day	19 Nonminera	lized Osteoblasts									
Actin, cytoplasmic 1	ACTB	K.AGFAGDDAPR.A		IPI00021439.1	0.000559	976.4483	976.449	-0.72	976.44432	-4.08	4.79
Actin, cytoplasmic 2	ACTGI			IP100021440.1							
Acun, gamma-entenc smootn muscle Actin corric emosth muscle	ACT62			IP100023410.3							
Actin. abute suroout musele Actin. alnha skeletal musele	ACTA1			IF1000021428.1							
Actin. alpha cardiac	ACTC1			IPI00023006.1							
Actin, cytoplasmic 1	ACTB	R.HQGVMVGMGQK.D		IPI00021439.1	0.000792	1171.57104	1171.5708	0.20	1171.57274	1.45	-1.66
Actin, cytoplasmic 2	ACTG1			IPI00021440.1							
Actin, gamma-enteric smooth muscle	ACTG2		5.6	IPI00025416.3							
Actin, aortic smooth muscle	ACTA2		5.6	IP100008603.1							
Acun, alpna skeletai muscie Actin alpha cardiac	ACTCI		0.0	IP100021428.1 IP100023006 1							
Actin, cytoplasmic 1	ACTB	R.VAPEEHPVLLTEAPLNPK.A	10.4	IPI00023000.1 IPI00021439.1	0.000792	1954.06445	1954.0653	-0.43	1954.06474	0.15	0.29
Actin, cytoplasmic 2	ACTG1		10.4	IPI00021440.1							
Tubulin alpha-1 chain	TUBA4A	K.VGINYQPPTVVPGGDLAK.V	4.3	IPI00007750.1	0.000412	1824.98547	1824.9863	-0.45	1824.98674	0.70	-0.24
T-complex protein 1 subunit beta	CCT2	R.GATQQILDEAER.S	2.2	IPI00297779.6	0.001849	1330.65979	1330.6604	-0.46	1330.66069	0.68	-0.22
DNA-binding protein A	CSDA	K.GAEAANVTGPDGVPVEGSR.Y	5.1	IPI00031801.4	0.005316	1782.86169	1782.8652	-1.97	1782.86467	1.67	0.30
Usterne and gycine-rich protein 1	LSKPI	K.GFGFGQGAGALVHSE	8.7	IP1004420/3.4 ID100012074.2	0.000358	1453.68079	1453.6808	10.0-	1433.68072	c0.0-	0.06
Heat shock 70 kDa motein 4	HSPA4	RAPIDEVEAFY S	1.2	C.4/021000141	10100000	1239 58923	1239 5878	21 I	1239 58867	-0.45	-0.70
Hypothetical protein	HSPA5	K.NOLTSNPENTVFDAK.R	2.3	IPI00003362.2	0.000574	1677.80786	1677.8083	-0.26	1677.80876	0.54	-0.27
Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein	HSPA8	R.RFDDAVVQSDMK.H		IPI0003865.1	0.000314	1410.66821	1410.6688	-0.42	1410.66875	0.38	0.04
Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein	HSPA8	K.NQVAMNPTNTVFDAK.R	5.5	IPI00003865.1	0.000412	1649.79517	1649.7936	0.95	1649.79278	-1.45	0.50
Galectin-1	LGALSI	K.LPDGYEFK.F	0.9	IP100219219.2	0.005316	968.47235	968.4737	-1.39	968.47472	2.45	-1.05
Myosin-9 Myosin remiletony light nolymentide 0	MYH9 MV7 9	K.IAEFIINLIEEEEK.S B ETDERVDEMVB E	0.7 6.4	2.20661000141	0.000385	1653./854 1433 58800	1/122 500/	0.06 0 98	1053./84/2 1/33 50075	-0.41	0.35
5'-nucleotidase precursor	NT5E	K.YPFIVTSDDGR.K	1.9	IPI00009456.1	0.000161	1269.61096	1269.6095	1.15	1269.60612	-3.81	2.66
Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2	PKM2	R.VNFAMNVGK.A		IPI00479186.4	0.000385	979.50299	979.5034	-0.42	979.50673	3.82	-3.40
Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2	PKM2	R.TATESFASDPILYR.P		IPI00479186.4	0.000412	1570.77478	1570.7742	0.37	1570.77471	-0.04	-0.32
Pyruvate kinase isozymes R/L	PKM2	R.GDLGIEIPAEK.V	6.4	IPI00479186.4	0.000574	1141.60999	1141.6103	-0.27	1141.61077	0.68	-0.41
Pyruvate kinase isozymes K/L Procollagen-lvsine.2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2	PLOD2	K.IVGPEENI SOAFAB.N	61	IP100027165.3	0.005316	1512.76526	1512.7649	0.24	1512.7647	-0.37	0.13
precursor											
40S ribosomal protein S3	RPS3 PTM	R.ELAEDGYSGVEVK.V	5.4	IP100011253.3	0.000385	1423.66992	1423.6697	0.15	1423.66875	-0.82	0.67
Vimentin	VIM	R.ETNLDSLPLVDTHSK.R	3.2	IP100418471.5	0.000385	1668.84387	1668.8458	01.10 -1.16	1668.84673	1.71	-0.56
Up-Regulated at Day 19 Mineralized versus Day 5 au	and 10 Premine	eralization Period									
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit 1	EIF3J	K.ETFGVNNAVYGIDAMNPSSR.D	7.8	IPI00290461.3	0.001849	2141.99194	2141.9882	1.75	2141.99272	0.36	-2.11
Heat shock protein H5r 90-alpha 2 Lamin-A/C	HSF90AA1 LMNA	K.LRDLEDSLAR.E K.LRDLEDSLAR.E	1.9 1.5	IP100604607.2 IP100021405.3	0.000579	1264.64331 1187.63782	1264.6429 1187.6366	0.32 1.03	1264.6427 1187.63677	-0.44 -0.88	-0.12

Proteomic Analysis of Human Osteoblastic Cells

research articles

Non-Weighneit List List <thlist< th=""> List List</thlist<>	protein S protease regulatory subunit 6A S protease regulatory subunit 6A grothetical protein ypothetical protein motein disulfide-isomerase precursor anslocon-associated protein delta subunit ecursor ecursor ecursor	gene symbol ^a PSMC3 SFPQ HSPA5 HSPA5 HSPA5 HSPA5 P4HB SSR4 TRAP1 TRAP1	peptide sequence%bRQTYFLPVIGLVDAEKL3.4R.QTYFLPVIGLVDAEKL3.4K.YGEPGEVFINK.G1.6R.IINEPTAAAAIAYGLDKR.F7.5K.DNHLIGTFDLTGIPARR.G7.5K.VDATEESDLAQQYGVR.G3.2R.FFDESYSLIR.K6.4R.GVUDSEDIPLNLSR.F2.0	IP1 ^c IP100018398.4 IP100018398.2 IP100003362.2 IP100003362.2 IP100003362.2 IP100003365.1 IP100019385.1 IP100030275.5	<i>p</i> -value ^{<i>d</i>} 0.000161 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412	MH+calc. ^e 1692.92065 1566.77991 1566.77991 1815.99634 1934.01306 1780.83484 1405.66345 1513.78564	MH+ FT-ICR/ 1692.9233 1252.6204 1566.7795 18156.7795 1813.0996 1934.014 1780.8346 1405.6612 1513.7857	Δppm [#] -1.57 0.36 0.26 -1.80 -0.49 0.13 1.60 -0.04	MH+ 0hbitrap 1692.92277 1566.77874 15166.77874 15166.0078 1934.01469 1780.83477 1405.66069 1513.78471	
N. WorksendM. CLUM. CLU <th< td=""><td>wn-Regulated at Day 19 Mineralized versus Day in, cytoplasmic 1</td><td>ay 5 and 10 Pren ACTB</td><td>nineralization Period R.AVFPSIVGRPR.H</td><td>IPI00021439.1</td><td>0.000412</td><td>1198.70544</td><td>1198.7054</td><td>0.03</td><td></td><td>1198.70476</td></th<>	wn-Regulated at Day 19 Mineralized versus Day in, cytoplasmic 1	ay 5 and 10 Pren ACTB	nineralization Period R.AVFPSIVGRPR.H	IPI00021439.1	0.000412	1198.70544	1198.7054	0.03		1198.70476
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$:tin, cytoplasmic 2 tin, gamma-enteric smooth muscle tin, aortic smooth muscle ritin, alpha skeletal muscle ritin, albha cardiac	ACTG1 ACTG2 ACTA2 ACTA1 ACTC1		IP100021440.1 IP100025416.3 IP10008603.1 IP100021428.1 IP100023006.1						
	titi, cytoplasmic 1	ACTB	R.VAPEEHPVLLTEAPLNPK.A	IPI00021439.1	0.000412	1954.06445	1954.0653	-0.43		1954.06474
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{the transfer smooth muscle} & \mbox{CTC2} \\ \mbox{th or physismet:} & \mbox{creat} & \mbox{th or physismet:} & \mbox{creat} & \$	ctur, cytoplasmic 2 ctin, cytoplasmic 1 ctin, cytoplasmic 2	ACTB	R.HQGVMVGMGQK.D	IPI00021440.1 IPI0021440.1 IPI0021440.1	0.000574	1171.57104	1171.5708	0.20		1171.57274
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	cith, gamma-enteric smooth muscle cith, aortic smooth muscle in, abha skeletal muscle cith, albha cardiaa	ACTG2 ACTA2 ACTA1 ACTC1		IPI00025416.3 IPI0008603.1 IPI00021428.1 IPI00023006.1						
citi, reprisenti CIT_{11} N.TVLGGTTMYPGIADR,M $IP000214391$ 0005316 $I638.8155$ $I638.8173$ -1.07 cut, cytoplasmic 2 $CTC1$ YVALDFEQIMATASSSLEKS $IP000214301$ 0005316 $I13.39955$ $I13.39925$ 0.73 cut, cytoplasmic 1 $CTC1$ YVALDFEQIMATASSSLEKS $IP000214401$ 0005316 $I13.39555$ $I13.39952$ 0.73 cut, cytoplasmic 1 $ACTG1$ $KTVALPEQIMATASSSLEKS$ $IP000214401$ 0005316 $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.39555$ $I13.395565$ $I13.395565$ $I13.395565$ $I13.3956340$ $I13.3956341$ $I13.3956341$ $I13.3956340$ $I13.3956340$ $I13.3956340$	tin, cytoplasmic 1 tin, cytoplasmic 1 tin, cytoplasmic 2 tin, gamma-enteric smooth muscle tin, apha skeletal muscle tin alpha cartiac	ACTB ACTG1 ACTG2 ACTA2 ACTA1	R.SYELPDGQVITIGNER.F 7.2 7.2 7.2	[P[00021439.1] [P[00021440.1] [P[00025416.3] [P[00008603.1] [P[00021428.1] [P[00021428.1]	0.000574	1790.89197	1790.8909	0.60		1790.89067
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{cm} 0.05316 & 2113.9958 & 2113.9942 & 0.78 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.005316 & 2113.9958 & 2113.9942 & 0.78 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00912 & 2.15.0707 & 2.15.098 & 0.12 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00912 & 1.13.00012 & 1.00012 & 1.00012 & 2.15.098 & 0.12 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00912 & 1.00012 & 1.00012 & 1.00012 & 2.15.098 & 0.12 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00912 & 1.00012 & 1.00012 & 2.15.098 & 0.12 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00012 & 1.000316 & 0.00012 & 2.15.098 & 0.12 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.000316 & 0.00012 & 1.000314 & 1.39.7347 & 1.39.7347 & 1.39.7347 & 1.39.649 & 0.05 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.000316 & 1.000314 & 1.39.7347 & 1.39.649 & 0.05 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.000316 & 1.000314 & 1.39.743 & 1.39.649 & 0.05 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.000316 & 1.000314 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.000314 & 1.58.7423 & 1.39.649 & 0.13 \\ \mbox{cm} 0.00032 & 1.10 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.11 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.11 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.11 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.11 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.15 \\ \mbox{bm} 0.00032 & 1.11 & 0.000324 & 1.39.649 & 0.0003 & 1.13 & 0.00032 & 0.00041 & 1.12 & 0.00041 & 1.12 & 0.00041 & 1.12 & 0.00041 & 1.12 & 0.00041 & 1.126.94 & 0.39 & 0.00041 & 0.00041 & 1.126.94 & 0.39 & 0.00041 & 0.00041 & 1.126.94 & 0.39 & 0.00041 & 0.000$	out, apra caracce etti, cytoplasmic 1 retrovinasmic 2	ACTB	N.TVLSGGTTMYPGIADR.M	IPI00021439.1 IPI00021439.1	0.005316	1638.81555	1638.8173	-1.07		1638.8167
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{control} \mbox{contro} \mbox{control} \mbox{control} \mbox{control}$	ettin, eytoplasmic 1 ritin, evtonlasmic 1	ACTB	Y.VALDFEQEMATAASSSSLEK.S	IPI00021439.1 IPI00021440 1	0.005316	2113.99585	2113.9942	0.78		2113.99272
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \label{eq:constraint} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ctur, cytoplasmic 2 ctin, cytoplasmic 1 ctin, cytoplasmic 2	ACTB	K.DLYANTVLSGGTTMYPGIADR.M 22.9 22.9	IPI00021439.1 IPI00021440.1	0.000412	2215.07007	2215.0698	0.12		2215.0728
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{int} in$	tuesto y separation of Learnoris translation initiation factor 4H	ANXA1 FIF4H	R.SEDFGVNEDLADSDAR.A 4.6 R.TVATPLNOVANPNSAIFGGAR.P 8.5	IPI00218918.4 IPI00014263.1	0.000744 0.005316	1739.73547 2098.104	1739.7338 2098.106	0.96 -0.95		1739.73271 2098.11284
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lamin A, alpha	FLNA	R.YAPSEAGLHEMDIR.Y 0.5	IPI0033541.4	0.000314	1588.74243	1588.7406	1.15		1588.74077
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constructed} \mbox{A} \mbox{A}$	bronectin precursor bronectin precursor bronectin precursor	FINI FNI FNI HAUDADDI	R.WSRPQAPTIGYR. R.WSRPQAPTIGYR. R.VDVIPVLPGEHGQR. D.NMCCDVCCDNVCDCGCCCCV7.7.1	IP100022410.1 IP100022418.1 IP100022418.1 IP10002270 2	0.000574 0.000574 0.000792	1343.00401 1431.74915 1629.87073 2190.00527	1343.0043 1431.7501 1629.8729 2100.0024	-0.07 -0.66 -1.33		13430.00474 1431.75078 1629.87273 2100.00262
Interstere NNL1 KMDATANDVPSPYERG 3.0 IP100012040.1 664,7563 1.15 Interstere Reliable-sommerse A3 precursor PDIA3 K.MDATANDVPSPYERG 3.0 IP10002529 1664,7563 1.15 Interstere Reliable-sommerse A3 precursor PGAM1 R.HGESAWNLENR.F 4.3 IP1002525.5 0.000412 1312.6024 0.39 Interstere PKM2 R.TATESFASIPIL/R.F 4.3 IP100479186.4 0.000412 1312.6024 0.39 Interstere Rinase 3 PKM2 R.TATESFASIPIL/R.F 2.6 IP100479186.4 0.000412 1312.6024 0.39 Interstere Rinase 3 TKT R.LGQSDPAPLQHQMDIYKR 2.6 IP100459126.5 0.000412 1312.6024 0.37 Tarsketolase TKT R.LGQSDPAPLQHQMDIYKR 2.9 IP100043920.2 0.000412 1819.9377 1.15 TART R.LGQSDPAPLQHQMDIYKR 2.7 IP10000643920.2 0.000412 1819.9377 -0.42 A33 Rickolase RAXA2 KAYTNFDAR.D	ucteoside diphosphate kinase B ttative nucleoside diphosphate kinase	NME2 NME2P1 NME1	GGR.S R.VMLGETNPADSKPGTIR.G 11.2 12.4	IPI00026260.1 IPI00029091.1	0.000412	1785.91638	1785.9185	-1.19		1785.91875
4-3-3 protein epsilon YWHAE K.ANSDIAMTELPPTHPIR.L 6.7 IP100000816.1 0.000412 1819.33713 1819.9379 -0.42 nnexin A2 N.XA2 K.AYTNEDAER.D 2.7 IP100455315.3 0.000792 1086.48511 1086.485 0.10 mosin-9 K.MQQNIQELEEQLEEEQLEEESAR.Q 1.0 IP10001950.22 0.006316 2.333.0549 0.54 novin-9 V.X.2 N.AGNISDPCLOKE 1.0 IP100030750.2 0.001346 7393.0549 0.54 novin-	uccessue upprospirate staase A otein disulfide-isomerase A3 precursor tosphogiycerate mutase 1 ansketolase	PDIA3 PGAM1 PKM2 TKT	K.MDATANDVPSPYEVR.G 11.2 R.HGESAWYLENR.F 4.3 R.TATESFASDPILYR.P 2.6 R.LGQSDPAPLQHQMDIYQK.R 2.9	IP100025252.1 IP10025252.1 IP100549725.5 IP100479186.4 IP100643920.2	0.000559 0.000412 0.000412 0.000412	1664.75842 1312.60291 1570.77478 2069.01196	1664.7565 1312.6024 1570.7742 2069.0152	$\begin{array}{c} 1.15 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.37 \\ -1.57 \end{array}$		1664.75676 1312.60003 1570.77471 2069.01274
	-3-3 protein epsilon nexin A2 yosin-9	YWHAE ANXA2 MYH9 V/CI	K.ANSDIAMIELPPIHPIK.L 6.7 K.AYTNFDAER.D 2.7 K.MQQNIQELEEQLEEESAR.Q 1.0 V AAAAGNISDECFOK S	IP10000816.1 IP100455315.3 IP100019502.2 IP1000307162-2	0.000412 0.000792 0.005316	1819.93713 1086.48511 2333.05615 1360.67969	1819.9379 1086.485 2333.0549 1269.6821	-0.42 0.10 -1 90	0 -	819.93876 086.48467 333.054

^{*a*} Official gene symbol. ^{*b*} Protein sequence coverage. ^{*c*} IPI accession number. ^{*d*} Differentially expressed peptide level of significance as determined by Wilcoxon test. ^{*c*} Calculated peptide mass in the protonated form (MH+). ^{*f*} FT-ICR measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} FT-ICR measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and stated peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between real and FT-ICR measured peptide mass. ^{*h*} Orbitrap measured peptide mass (MH+). ^{*f*} The ppm difference between FT-ICR and Orbitrap measured peptide mass.



Figure 4. (A) Quantitative FT-ICR-MS profile obtained for FN1 and ANXA2. Two peptides belonging to each of these proteins are shown. (B) Immunodetection of FN1, ANXA2, and GAPDH (loading control). Fluorescence detection was done using the LI-COR system. Numbers were calculated using the band intensities and indicate protein expression relative to GAPDH. (C) Immunocytochemistry for FN1 in SV-HFO cells from day 19 mineralizing condition. Negative control shown as inset.

expression, at all time points analyzed, in differentiating osteoblasts relative to their nondifferentiated counterparts (Figure 4A,B). FN1 is required for osteoblast differentiation and mineralization through interaction with the integrin $\alpha 5\beta 1$ FN1 receptor.⁴⁴ Besides determining osteoblast cellular fate, FN1 is also required for their survival once osteoblasts are mature.⁴⁵ We identified ANXA2 as a protein that was enhanced during stages of premineralization. Other studies showed that osteoblasts overexpressing ANXA2 show enhanced mineralization.⁴⁶ ANXA2 is a calcium-dependent phospholipid binding protein located in the ECM and in MV^{14,43} where they are thought to be important for Ca²⁺ uptake.47 The fact that we observed the highest expression of ANXA2 in the premineralization phase might be associated with increasing ALP activity verified at this stage and the start of Ca²⁺ uptake into the MV. Interestingly, ANXA2 has been shown to be an autocrine factor for osteoclasts. increasing osteoclastogenesis and resorption.48,49 Here we show that ANXA2 was also expressed and regulated during osteoblast differentiation making it tempting to speculate about implications in the osteoblast–osteoclast crosstalk.

LMNA belongs to the nuclear inner membrane class of proteins. Mutations in this gene display a phenotype compatible with progeria syndrome exhibiting loss of subcutaneous fat, muscular dystrophy, and an osteoporotic phenotype.²² The bone phenotype is thought to be due to a deficit in osteoblast and matrix formation.^{50,51} Our data supports the importance of this protein for osteoblast differentiation, with higher expression in differentiating cells. However, while ANXA2 and FN1 levels were higher prior to mineralization, LMNA expression pattern is probably related to the physiological changes of the osteoblast in its progression toward osteocyte. Thus, these proteins seem to represent hallmarks of the period of osteoblast development, ANXA2 and FN1 of the premineralization, and LMNA of the mineralization period.

Also proteins were specifically suppressed in late staged differentiating osteoblasts, including PLOD2, NTE5, and

LGALS1. PLOD2 forms hydroxylysine residues in -Xaa-Lys-Gly- sequences in collagens that serve as sites of attachment for carbohydrate units being essential for the stability of the intermolecular collagen cross-links. Mutations in PLOD2 cause Bruck Syndrome in which the bone collagen lacks pyridinolines and the other cross-links based on hydroxylvsine aldehydes.⁵² NTE5, more often referred to as CD73, is a glycosyl phosphatidylinositol (GPI) plasma membrane anchored enzyme,⁵³ regarded as a MSC marker.^{54,55} LGALS1 is a β -galactoside-binding protein that has been implicated in several processes from cell adhesion and migration⁵⁶ to proliferation⁵⁷ and apoptosis.⁵⁸ Human fetal MSCs upon exposure to LGALS1 enter myogenic differentiation.⁵⁹ Downregulation of PLOD2 upon mineralization is perhaps an indication that collagen cross-linking regulation is mostly needed in the premineralization stage, when ECM is actively synthesized. NTE5 and LGALS1 decreased expression might be a sign of cellular maturity toward fully differentiated osteoblasts.

Like any other approach, the use of the MALDI-FT-ICR-MS for label-free quantitation has its own advantages and disadvantages. Among the latter is the need to use an independent platform to identify the peptides/proteins profiled, due to the inability to generate good fragmentation data on single charged MALDI ions in FTMS by collisioninduced dissociation.⁶⁰ On the other hand, MALDI-FT-ICR-MS data does not require extensive data processing and analysis. Other advantages include the high sensitivity and high mass resolution described elsewhere,60-62 and the superior reproducibility of these MS measurements, crucial in quantitative proteomics. Variation of peptide intensity measurements was as low as 15% in technical replicates and 36% considering biological replicates. For the majority of the different peptides detected that belong to the same protein, the FT-ICR-MS determined expression patterns were similar. Moreover, immunodetection of FN1 and ANXA2 confirmed their up-regulation in differentiated osteoblasts compared to their nondifferentiating counterparts.

From the list of proteins generated, it is evident that classical osteoblast markers such as RUNX2, ALPL, SPP1, BGLAP, and SPARC were not observed. We believe that this was due to the fact that their concentrations were low and beyond the range we could detect. In human cells, the range of protein copy numbers is 7–8 orders of magnitude,⁶³ while MS analysis can only cover up to 4–6.⁶⁴ To tackle this problem, we believe that the approach here described should be combined with the isolation of subcellular and ECM proteomes.

This study aimed to contribute to the knowledge of the osteoblast differentiation program by an unbiased mass spectrometry-based proteomics approach. Qualitative analysis revealed not only proteins expressed by differentiating osteoblasts, but also biological processes and molecular functions that drive cells toward bone formation and mineralization. At this level, cytoskeleton, energy metabolism, and antigen presentation processes were among the most overrepresented categories. Complementation of the analysis with quantitative differences revealed both bone related proteins, with characteristic expression patterns in the course of differentiation (ANXA2, FN1, and LMNA), and other proteins (e.g., LGALS1) not extensively studied in the bone field. The identification of proteins having a proven role in bone function in addition to others with yet unknown bone function strongly supports that the latter are also important for osteoblast differentiation and mineralization. Altogether, our data provides more information in the pursuit of targets for bone formation modulation, which is of utmost importance to develop new therapies for bone related diseases such as osteoporosis.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by ZonMW TOP grant (contract grant number: 91206069) and the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Supporting Information Available: Full list of identified proteins: Supplementary Table 1, proteins identified by MS/MS in the distinct samples analyzed from differentiating osteoblast cultures at day 5, 10 and 19. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Ducy, P.; Zhang, R.; Geoffroy, V.; Ridall, A. L.; Karsenty, G. Osf2/ Cbfa1: A transcriptional activator of osteoblast differentiation. *Cell* 1997, 89, 747–754.
- (2) Komori, T.; Yagi, H.; Nomura, S.; Yamaguchi, A.; Sasaki, K.; Deguchi, K.; Shimizu, Y.; Bronson, R. T.; Gao, Y. H.; Inada, M.; Sato, M.; Okamoto, R.; Kitamura, Y.; Yoshiki, S.; Kishimoto, T. Targeted disruption of Cbfa1 results in a complete lack of bone formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell* **1997**, *89*, 755–764.
- (3) Otto, F.; Thornell, A. P.; Crompton, T.; Denzel, A.; Gilmour, K. C.; Rosewell, I. R.; Stamp, G. W. H.; Beddington, R. S. P.; Mundlos, S.; Olsen, B. R.; Selby, P. B.; Owen, M. J. Cbfa1, a candidate gene for cleidocranial dysplasia syndrome, is essential for osteoblast differentiation and bone development. *Cell* 1997, *89*, 765–771.
- (4) Aubin, J. E. Regulation of osteoblast formation and function. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* 2001, 2, 81–94.
- (5) Eijken, M.; Koedam, M.; van Driel, M.; Buurman, C. J.; Pols, H. A.; van Leeuwen, J. P. The essential role of glucocorticoids for proper human osteoblast differentiation and matrix mineralization. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2006, *248*, 87–93.
- (6) Herbertson, A.; Aubin, J. E. Dexamethasone alters the subpopulation make-up of rat bone marrow stromal cell cultures. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 1995, *10*, 285–294.
- (7) Iba, K.; Chiba, H.; Sawada, N.; Hirota, S.; Ishii, S.; Mori, M. Glucocorticoids induce mineralization coupled with bone protein expression without influence on growth of a human osteoblastic cell line. *Cell Struct. Funct.* **1995**, *20*, 319–330.
- (8) Patterson, S. D.; Aebersold, R. H. Proteomics: the first decade and beyond. *Nat. Genet.* 2003, *33*, 311–323.
- (9) Tyers, M.; Mann, M. From genomics to proteomics. *Nature* 2003, 422, 193–197.
- (10) Foster, L. J.; Zeemann, P. A.; Li, C.; Mann, M.; Jensen, O. N.; Kassem, M. Differential expression profiling of membrane proteins by quantitative proteomics in a human mesenchymal stem cell line undergoing osteoblast differentiation. *Stem Cells* **2005**, *23*, 1367–1377.
- (11) Kratchmarova, I.; Blagoev, B.; Haack-Sorensen, M.; Kassem, M.; Mann, M. Mechanism of divergent growth factor effects in mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. *Science* **2005**, *308*, 1472–1477.
- (12) Salasznyk, R. M.; Westcott, A. M.; Klees, R. F.; Ward, D. F.; Xiang, Z.; Vandenberg, S.; Bennett, K.; Plopper, G. E. Comparing the protein expression profiles of human mesenchymal stem cells and human osteoblasts using gene ontologies. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2005, 14, 354–366.
- (13) Spreafico, A.; Frediani, B.; Capperucci, C.; Chellini, F.; Paffetti, A.; D'Ambrosio, C.; Bernardini, G.; Mini, R.; Collodel, G.; Scaloni, A.; Marcolongo, R.; Santucci, A. A proteomic study on human osteoblastic cells proliferation and differentiation. *Proteomics* 2006, *6*, 3520–3532.
- (14) Xiao, Z.; Camalier, C. E.; Nagashima, K.; Chan, K. C.; Lucas, D. A.; de la Cruz, M. J.; Gignac, M.; Lockett, S.; Issaq, H. J.; Veenstra, T. D.; Conrads, T. P.; Beck, G. R. Analysis of the extracellular matrix vesicle proteome in mineralizing osteoblasts. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 2007, *210*, 325–335.
- (15) Chiba, H.; Sawada, N.; Ono, T.; Ishii, S.; Mori, M. Establishment and characterization of a simian virus 40-immortalized osteoblastic cell line from normal human bone. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.* **1993**, *84*, 290–297.

Proteomic Analysis of Human Osteoblastic Cells

- (16) Stoop, M. P.; Lamers, R. J.; Burgers, P. C.; Sillevis Smitt, P. A.; Hintzen, R. Q.; Luider, T. M. The rate of false positive sequence matches of peptides profiled by MALDI MS and identified by MS/ MS. J. Proteome Res. 2008, 7, 4841–4847.
- (17) Wessel, D.; Flugge, U. I. A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.* **1984**, *138*, 141–143.
- (18) Balgley, B. M.; Laudeman, T.; Yang, L.; Song, T.; Lee, C. S. Comparative evaluation of tandem MS search algorithms using a target-decoy search strategy. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2007**, *6*, 1599–1608.
- (19) Omenn, G. S.; States, D. J.; Adamski, M.; Blackwell, T. W.; Menon, R.; Hermjakob, H.; Apweiler, R.; Haab, B. B.; Simpson, R. J.; Eddes, J. S.; Kapp, E. A.; Moritz, R. L.; Chan, D. W.; Rai, A. J.; Admon, A.; Aebersold, R.; Eng, J.; Hancock, W. S.; Hefta, S. A.; Meyer, H.; Paik, Y. K.; Yoo, J. S.; Ping, P.; Pounds, J.; Adkins, J.; Qian, X.; Wang, R.; Wasinger, V.; Wu, C. Y.; Zhao, X.; Zeng, R.; Archakov, A.; Tsugita, A.; Beer, I.; Pandey, A.; Pisano, M.; Andrews, P.; Tammen, H.; Speicher, D. W.; Hanash, S. M. Overview of the HUPO Plasma Proteome Project: results from the pilot phase with 35 collaborating laboratories and multiple analytical groups, generating a core dataset of 3020 proteins and a publicly-available database. *Proteomics* **2005**, 5, 3226–3245.
- (20) Dennis, G.; Sherman, B. T.; Hosack, D. A.; Yang, J.; Gao, W.; Lane, H. C.; Lempicki, R. A. DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery. *Genome Biol.* **2003**, *4*, P3.
- (21) Huang, D. W.; Sherman, B. T.; Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* **2009**, *4*, 44–57.
- (22) Mounkes, L. C.; Kozlov, S.; Hernandez, L.; Sullivan, T.; Stewart, C. L. A progeroid syndrome in mice is caused by defects in A-type lamins. *Nature* **2003**, *423*, 298–301.
- (23) Titulaer, M. K.; Mustafa, D. A. N.; Siccama, I.; Konijnenburg, M.; Burgers, P. C.; Andeweg, A. C.; Smitt, P. A. E. S.; Kros, J. M.; Luider, T. M. A software application for comparing large numbers of high resolution MALDI-FTICR MS spectra demonstrated by searching candidate biomarkers for glioma blood vessel formation. *BMC Bioinf.* 2008, 9.
- (24) Titulaer, M. K.; Siccama, I.; Dekker, L. J.; van Rijswijk, A. L.; Heeren, R. M.; Sillevis Smitt, P. A.; Luider, T. M. A database application for pre-processing, storage and comparison of mass spectra derived from patients and controls. *BMC Bioinf.* 2006, 7, 403.
- (25) Damsky, C. H. Extracellular matrix-integrin interactions in osteoblast function and tissue remodeling. *Bone* **1999**, *25*, 95–96.
- (26) Brown, S. C.; Kruppa, G.; Dasseux, J. L. Metabolomics applications of FT-ICR mass spectrometry. *Mass Spectrom.Rev.* 2005, 24, 223– 231.
- (27) Rompp, A.; Dekker, L.; Taban, I.; Jenster, G.; Boogerd, W.; Bonfrer, H.; Spengler, B.; Heeren, R.; Smitt, P. S.; Luider, T. M. Identification of leptomeningeal metastasis-related proteins in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with breast cancer by a combination of MALDI-TOF, MALDI-FTICR and nanoLC-FTICR MS. *Proteomics* 2007, 7, 474–481.
- (28) Higuchi, C.; Nakamura, N.; Yoshikawa, H.; Itoh, K. Transient dynamic actin cytoskeletal change stimulates the osteoblastic differentiation. J. Bone Miner. Metab. 2009, 27, 158–167.
- (29) Burridge, K.; Nuckolls, G.; Otey, C.; Pavalko, F.; Simon, K.; Turner, C. Actin Membrane Interaction in Focal Adhesions. *Cell Differ. Dev.* **1990**, *32*, 337–342.
- (30) Hynes, R. O. Integrins-Versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell-adhesion. *Cell* **1992**, *69*, 11–25.
- (31) Siebers, M. C.; ter Brugge, P. J.; Walboomers, X. F.; Jansen, J. A. Integrins as linker proteins between osteoblasts and bone replacing materials. A critical review. *Biomaterials* 2005, *26*, 137–146.
- (32) Carvalho, R. S.; Kostenuik, P. J.; Salih, E.; Bumann, A.; Gerstenfeld, L. C. Selective adhesion of osteoblastic cells to different integrin ligands induces osteopontin gene expression. *Matrix Biol.* 2003, 22, 241–249.
- (33) Cowles, E. A.; Brailey, L. L.; Gronowicz, G. A. Integrin-mediated signaling regulates AP-1 transcription factors and proliferation in osteoblasts. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **2000**, *52*, 725–737.
- (34) Rosen, C. J. Bone remodeling, energy metabolism, and the molecular clock. *Cell Metab.* **2008**, *7*, 7–10.
- (35) Komarova, S. V.; Ataullakhanov, F. I.; Globus, R. K. Bioenergetics and mitochondrial transmembrane potential during differentiation of cultured osteoblasts. *Am. J. Physiol.: Cell Physiol.* 2000, 279, C1220–1229.
- (36) Chen, C. T.; Shih, Y. R.; Kuo, T. K.; Lee, O. K.; Wei, Y. H. Coordinated changes of mitochondrial biogenesis and antioxidant enzymes during osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* **2008**, *26*, 960–968.

- (37) Skjodt, H.; Moller, T.; Freiesleben, S. F. Human osteoblast-like cells expressing Mhc Class-II determinants stimulate allogeneic and autologous peripheral-blood mononuclear-cells and function as antigen-presenting cells. *Immunology* **1989**, *68*, 416–420.
- (38) Calvi, L. M.; Adams, G. B.; Weibrecht, K. W.; Weber, J. M.; Olson, D. P.; Knight, M. C.; Martin, R. P.; Schipani, E.; Divieti, P.; Bringhurst, F. R.; Milner, L. A.; Kronenberg, H. M.; Scadden, D. T. Osteoblastic cells regulate the haematopoietic stem cell niche. *Nature* **2003**, *425*, 841–846.
- (39) Yang, J. Y.; Jung, J. Y.; Cho, S. W.; Choi, H. J.; Kim, S. W.; Kim, S. Y.; Kim, H. J.; Jang, C. H.; Lee, M. G.; Han, J.; Shin, C. S. Chloride intracellular channel 1 regulates osteoblast differentiation. *Bone* 2009, 45, 1175–1185.
- (40) Rostovtseva, T.; Colombini, M. VDAC channels mediate and gate the flow of ATP: Implications for the regulation of mitochondrial function. *Biophys. J.* **1997**, *72*, 1954–1962.
- (41) Balcerzak, M.; Malinowska, A.; Thouverey, C.; Sekrecka, A.; Dadlez, M.; Buchet, R.; Pikula, S. Proteome analysis of matrix vesicles isolated from femurs of chicken embryo. *Proteomics* 2008, *8*, 192– 205.
- (42) Anderson, H. C. Molecular-Biology of Matrix Vesicles. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 1995, 266–280.
- (43) Anderson, H. C.; Garimella, R.; Tague, S. E. The role of matrix vesicles in growth plate development and biomineralization. *Front. Biosci.* 2005, *10*, 822–837.
- (44) Moursi, A. M.; Najafi, M.; Globus, R. K.; Damsky, C. H. Integrinfibronectin interactions are critical for osteogenesis and osteoblast differentiation. J. Dent. Res. 1997, 76, 2261–2261.
- (45) Globus, R. K.; Doty, S. B.; Lull, J. C.; Holmuhamedov, E.; Humphries, M. J.; Damsky, C. H. Fibronectin is a survival factor for differentiated osteoblasts. *J. Cell Sci.* **1998**, *111*, 1385–1393.
- (46) Gillette, J. M.; Nielsen-Preiss, S. M. The role of annexin 2 in osteoblastic mineralization. J. Cell Sci. 2004, 117, 441–449.
- (47) Kirsch, T.; Harrison, G.; Golub, E. E.; Nah, H. D. The roles of annexins and types II and X collagen in matrix vesicle-mediated mineralization of growth plate cartilage. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 35577–35583.
- (48) Menaa, C.; Devlin, R. D.; Reddy, S. V.; Gazitt, Y.; Choi, S. J.; Roodman, G. D. Annexin II increases osteoclast formation by stimulating the proliferation of osteoclast precursors in human marrow cultures. *J. Clin. Invest.* **1999**, *103*, 1605–1613.
- (49) Takahashi, S.; Reddy, S. V.; Chirgwin, J. M.; Devlin, R.; Haipek, C.; Anderson, J.; Roodman, G. D. Cloning and identification of annexin II as an autocrine/paracrine factor that increases osteoclast formation and bone resorption. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 28696–28701.
- (50) Pendas, A. M.; Zhou, Z. J.; Cadinanos, J.; Freije, J. M. P.; Wang, J. M.; Hultenby, K.; Astudillo, A.; Wernerson, A.; Rodriguez, F.; Tryggvason, K.; Lopez-Otin, C. Defective prelamin A processing and muscular and adipocyte alterations in Zmpste24 metalloproteinase-deficient mice. *Nat. Genet.* **2002**, *31*, 94–99.
- (51) Rodrigues, G. H. D.; Tamega, I. D.; Duque, G.; Neto, V. S. D. Severe bone changes in a case of Hutchinson-Gilford syndrome. *Ann. Genet.* 2002, 45, 151–155.
- (52) Bank, R. A.; Robins, S. P.; Wijmenga, C.; Breslau-Siderius, L. J.; Bardoel, A. F. J.; Van der Sluijs, H. A.; Pruijs, H. E. H.; TeKoppele, J. M. Defective collagen crosslinking in bone, but not in ligament or cartilage, in Bruck syndrome: Indications for a bone-specific telopeptide lysyl hydroxylase on chromosome 17. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1999, 96, 1054–1058.
- (53) Naito, Y.; Lowenstein, J. M. 5'-Nucleotidase from rat heart. *Biochemistry* 1981, 20, 5188–5194.
- (54) Buhring, H. J.; Battula, V. L.; Treml, S.; Schewe, B.; Kanz, L.; Vogel, W. Novel markers for the prospective isolation of human MSC. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, *1106*, 262–271.
- (55) Dominici, M.; Le Blanc, K.; Mueller, I.; Slaper-Cortenbach, I.; Marini, F. C.; Krause, D. S.; Deans, R. J.; Keating, A.; Prockop, D. J.; Horwitz, E. M. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* **2006**, *8*, 315–317.
- (56) Hughes, R. C. Galectins as modulators of cell adhesion. *Biochimie* 2001, 83, 667–676.
- (57) Scott, K.; Weinberg, C. Galectin-1: A bifunctional regulator of cellular proliferation. *Glycoconjugate J.* **2002**, *19*, 467–477.
- (58) Perillo, N. L.; Pace, K. E.; Seilhamer, J. J.; Baum, L. G. Apoptosis of T-cells mediated by galectin-1. *Nature* **1995**, *378*, 736–739.
- (59) Chan, J.; O'Donoghue, K.; Gavina, M.; Torrente, Y.; Kennea, N.; Mehmet, H.; Stewart, H.; Watt, D. J.; Morgan, J. E.; Fisk, N. M. Galectin-1 induces skeletal muscle differentiation in human fetal mesenchymal stem cells and increases muscle regeneration. *Stem Cells* **2006**, *24*, 1879–1891.

- (60) Dekker, L. J.; Burgers, P. C.; Guzel, C.; Luider, T. M. FTMS and TOF/ TOF mass spectrometry in concert: Identifying peptides with high reliability using matrix prespotted MALDI target plates. J. Chromatogr., B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 2007, 847, 62–64.
- (61) Schmid, A. K.; Lipton, M. S.; Mottaz, H.; Monroe, M. E.; Smith, R. D.; Lidstrom, M. E. Global whole-cell FTICR mass spectrometric proteomics analysis of the heat shock response in the radioresistant bacterium Deinococcus radiodurans. *J. Proteome Res.* 2005, *4*, 709–718.

- (62) Page, J. S.; Masselon, C. D.; Smith, R. D. FTICR mass spectrometry for qualitative and quantitative bioanalyses. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2004, *15*, 3–11.
- (63) Anderson, N. L.; Anderson, N. G. Proteome and proteomics: New technologies, new concepts, and new words. *Electrophoresis* 1998, 19, 1853–1861.
- (64) Rappsilber, J.; Mann, M. What does it mean to identify a protein in proteomics. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2002**, *27*, 74–78.

PR100400D