Radboud University Nijmegen

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/118015

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.



Association of Pro12Ala polymorphism in peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma with proliferative diabetic retinopathy

Khadija Tariq,¹ Saira Bano Malik,¹ Syeda Hafiza Benish Ali,^{1,2} Sundas Ejaz Maqsood,³ Aisha Azam,⁴ Irfan Muslim,⁴ Muhammad Shakil Khan,⁵ Maleeha Azam,¹ Nadia Khalida Waheed,^{3,6} Raheel Qamar^{1,5}

(The first two authors contributed equally to this work.)

¹Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Science, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan; ²Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan; ³Department of Ophthalmology, Shifa International Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan; ⁴Institute of Ophthalmology, Mayo Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan; ⁵Al-Nafees Medical College & Hospital, Isra University, Islamabad, Pakistan; ⁶Tufts University Medical School, Boston, MA

Purpose: The association of non-synonymous substitution polymorphism rs1801282 (c.34C>G, p.Pro12Ala) in exon 4 of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma gene with diabetic retinopathy (DR) has been reported inconsistently. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to understand the population-specific role of the Pro12Ala polymorphism in DR susceptibility in Pakistani subjects.

Methods: A total of 180 subjects with DR, 193 subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) with no diabetic retinopathy, and 200 healthy normoglycemic non-retinopathic Pakistani individuals were genotyped for the rs1801282 (c.34C>G) polymorphism using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism.

Results: We found the individuals with T2DM carrying 12Ala were at a reduced risk of developing DR (odds ratio [OR]=0.53; 95% confidence interval [CI]=0.33–0.87). Upon stratified analysis regarding disease severity, we observed this protective effect was confined to proliferative DR (OR=0.4; 95% CI=0.2–0.8) with non-significant effects on the susceptibility of non-proliferative DR (OR=0.67; 95% CI=0.37–1.19).

Conclusions: We report a protective role of the 12Ala polymorphism against proliferative DR in individuals with T2DM in Pakistan.

Diabetic retinopathy (DR), a microangiopathy resulting from chronic hyperglycemia [1], affects almost 100% of type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients and around 77% of T2DM-affected individuals who have had the disease for at least 20 years [2]. The earlier stage of the disease is termed non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) and is characterized by increased vascular permeability and vascular closure. In the later stage, the disease progresses to proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), a severe condition characterized by proliferation of new blood vessels in the retina and severe visual loss leading to diabetes-induced blindness [3]. The retina is one of the prime insulin targets where insulin mediates a prosurvival pathway in the retinal neurons. In addition, the normal retina also expresses a highly active basal insulin receptor/Akt signaling pathway that is stable in feeding and fasting [4]. Thus, disturbances in these physiologic processes due to insulin resistance have previously been implicated in DR pathology specifically in individuals with

T2DM [5]. Insulin resistance has been significantly influenced by genetic factors; therefore, it is biologically plausible to study the association of DR with polymorphisms in genes affecting insulin resistance. One such gene is peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (*PPAR* γ), encoding the PPAR γ protein, a member of the PPAR subfamily belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor super-family with two other members PPAR α and PPAR β/δ . Only the role of PPAR γ in DR pathogenesis has been elucidated mainly because of the protein's role in vascular permeability, inflammation, angiogenesis, neovascularization, and insulin resistance, all of which contribute to the onset and severity of DR [5-8].

The members of the nuclear hormone receptor sub-family have three domains: a ligand-independent activation domain, a DNA-binding domain, and a ligand-activated domain [9]. The two functional isoforms of PPAR γ , namely, γ 1 and γ 2, have similar DNA-binding and ligand-activated domains but differ by an additional 30 amino acids at the N-terminal of γ 2 [10]. Unlike γ 1, which is expressed in various tissues, γ 2 expression is restricted to adipose tissue [11] where expression is stimulated by insulin action [12]. A non-synonymous genetic polymorphism Pro12Ala (rs1801282) in the ligandindependent domain of PPAR γ 2 is the most extensively

Correspondence to: Raheel Qamar, Dean of Research, Innovation & Commercialization, Professor of Biosciences, Faculty of Science, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad-45600, Pakistan. Phone: +92-51-90405036; FAX: +92-51-9247008; email: raheelqamar@hotmail.com

Molecular Vision 2013; 19:710-717 http://www.molvis.org/molvis/v19/710

studied polymorphism because it renders the receptor more sensitive to insulin action [13]. Several studies have shown decreased insulin resistance and increased insulin sensitivity in 12Ala carriers [14-17]. Through an unknown mechanism, this polymorphism has been linked to T2DM [18] and its complications including diabetic nephropathy [19,20], but some studies have reported contradictory results [13,21]. Another study reported the Pro12Ala polymorphism was protective against diabetes in Caucasians but not in South Asians [22]. Therefore, the role of this polymorphism in DR etiology is still obscure.

To date, no genetic association studies have been conducted on individuals with DR in Pakistan. The aim of the present study was to determine the association of Pro12Ala in *PPARy2* with the onset and severity of DR in individuals with T2DM.

METHODS

Sample collection and DNA isolation: The present study was approved by the department ethics committee of the collaborating institutes and conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects who had T2DM for at least 10 years were recruited from collaborating hospitals. The participants underwent an ophthalmological examination, including visual acuity, slit lamp examination, and funduscopy for the absence or presence of retinopathy. Inclusion criteria, based on the American Diabetes Association criteria on diagnosis of T2DM, were age 18-75 years, fasting plasma glucose level ≥126 mg/dl, random plasma glucose concentration \geq 200 mg/dl, serum creatinine concentration \leq 2.0 mg/dl, and glycosylated hemoglobin below 11% [10]. Those who showed clinical symptoms of retinopathy were classified as the DR group, and the rest were designated as DNR. The DR group was further classified into NPDR based on the presence of retinal microaneurysms, dot and blot or flame-shaped hemorrhages, hard exudates, cotton wool spots, and intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, and into PDR based on retinal neovascularization with or without preretinal hemorrhage, or fibrous proliferation (representing regressed neovascularization). In addition to the individuals with T2DM, healthy normoglycemic non-retinopathic (NGNR/control) individuals were also recruited for the study. The inclusion criteria for the healthy controls were individuals who were negative for diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarction, cancer, and retinopathy independent of diabetes.

After informed written consent was obtained, venous blood was collected from 180 individuals with DR, 193 individuals with DNR, and 200 controls (NGNR). Genomic DNA was extracted by a standard phenol/chloroform method of DNA isolation [23]. Briefly, the protocol consisted of cell lysis and protein digestion followed by phenol-chloroform extraction of the peptides, and precipitation of DNA with ethanol. The precipitated DNA was resuspended in Tris-EDTA buffer and stored at 4 °C before use.

Pro12Ala genotyping: Genotyping was done with the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method using Yen et al.'s primers [24]. Briefly, the 267 bp amplified fragment was subjected to restriction digestion by *Bst*UI, which resulted in digestion of the PCR product into two fragments of 224 and 43 bp length when the polymorphic site had the G allele (Ala), while the PCR amplicon remained uncut in the presence of the C allele (Pro).

Genotyping was further validated by replicating 10% of the samples at random with *Bst*UI digestion and another 10% of the samples with HpaII digestion. The latter also has a restriction site at this sequence, but the cleavage pattern is opposite to that of *Bst*UI, i.e., it cuts the DNA fragment in the presence of the C allele while the G allele remains uncut. The results of the replicated samples were 100% concordant to the original results.

Statistical analysis: The Student t test was applied using EpiCalc software to compare the average age of the three study groups. Deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium of genotype frequencies in the control individuals was tested with the goodness-of-fit χ^2 test, while the χ^2 test of independence was computed using StatCalc software to compare the genotype and allele frequencies between study groups. Logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the association of the Pro12Ala variant with disease onset and severity by using EpiCalc software.

RESULTS

Ethnic background and average age were matched between the study groups consisting of 180 individuals with DR, 193 individuals with DNR, and 200 controls (NGNR; p>0.05, data not shown). Out of the 180 subjects with DR, half (n=90) had NPDR, and the other half were diagnosed with PDR. The genotype frequency of the control (NGNR) group was consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

In the present study, the frequency of the 12Ala homozygotes was highest in the DNR group (overall: n=8 [4%], male: n=6 [6.4%], female: n=2 [2%]) followed by controls (n=2 [1%]; both of whom were male), while no 12Ala homozygote was found in the DR group (Table 1). Thus, logistic regression analysis was performed assuming a dominant model for 12Ala for genotype association, and allele frequencies were

	Controls	DR	DNR	DF	A versus Controls	1	DR versus DNR	DN	R versus Controls
eran	n=200	n=180	n=193	χ^{2} (p)	OR(95%CI)	χ^{2} (p)	OR(95%CI)	χ ² (p)	OR(95%CI)
/Pro	155(77.5%)	149(82.8%)	143(74.1%)	3.02	DM=0.72(0.42-1.23)	9.34	DM=0.6(0.35-1.01)	3.97	DM=1.2(0.76-1.91)
/Ala	43(21.5%)	31(17.2%)	42(21.8%)	(0.22)		(0.01)		(0.14)	
ı/Ala	2(1%)	0	8(4.2%)						
ro	353(88%)	329(91.4%)	328(85%)	2.03	0.71(0.43-1.17)	7.3	0.53(0.33 - 0.87)	1.82	1.33(0.86 - 2.05)
۸la	47(12%)	31(8.6%)	58(15%)	(0.15)		(0.01)		(0.18)	
ales	n=100	n=87	n=94						
/Pro	81(81%)	69(79.3%)	68(72.3%)	2.09	DM=1.11(0.51-2.42)	5.85	DM=0.68(0.32-1.43)	3.19	DM=1.63(0.79-3.38
/Ala	17(17%)	18(20.7%)	20(21.3%)	(0.35)		(0.05)		(0.2)	
ı/Ala	2(2%)	0	6(6.4%)						
ro	179(89.5%)	156(89.7%)	156(83%)	0.00	0.98(0.48 - 2.01)	3.38	0.56(0.29–1.09)	3.49	1.75(0.93–3.29)
۱a	21(10.5%)	18(10.3%)	32(17%)	(0.96)		(0.07)		(0.06)	
nales	n=100	n=93	n=99						
/Pro	74(74%)	80(86%)	75(75.8%)	4.32	DM=0.48(0.23-1.05)	4.29	DM=0.51(0.23-1.13)	2.34	DM=0.91(0.46-1.8)
/Ala	26(26%)	13(14%)	22(22.2%)	(0.04)		(0.12)		(0.31)	
/Ala	0	0	2(2%)						
ro	174(87%)	173(93%)	172(87%)	3.83	0.52(0.24 - 1.06)	3.97	0.5(0.23 - 1.05)	0.00	1.01(0.54 - 1.88)
۸la	26(13%)	13(7%)	26(13%)	(0.05)		(0.05)		(0.97)	

Molecular Vision 2013; 19:710-717 http://www.molvis.org/molvis/v19/710

TABLE 2. ASSOCIATION OF PRO12ALA POLYMORPHISM OF PPART WITH THE RISK OF T2DM.				
T2DM		T2DM versus Controls		
n=373	$\chi^{2}(\mathbf{p})$	OR(95%CI)		
292(78.3%)	1.23	DM=0.96(0.62-1.48)		
73(19.6%)	(0.54)			
8(2.1%)				
657(88%)	0.01	1.02(0.69–1.51)		
89(12%)	(0.93)			
n=181				
137(75.7%)	2.48	DM=1.37(0.72-2.62)		
38(21%)	(0.29)			
6(3.3%)				
312(86%)	1.28	1.37(0.77–2.44)		
50(14%)	(0.26)			
n=192				
155(80.7%)	3.32	DM=0.68(0.37-1.25)		
35(18.2%)	(0.19)			
2(1.04%)				
345(90%)	1.08	0.76(0.43-1.33)		
39(10%)	(0.3)			
	T2DM n=373 292(78.3%) 73(19.6%) 8(2.1%) 657(88%) 89(12%) n=181 137(75.7%) 38(21%) 6(3.3%) 312(86%) 50(14%) n=192 155(80.7%) 35(18.2%) 2(1.04%) 39(10%)	T2DM χ^2 (p) 292(78.3%) χ^2 (p) 292(78.3%) 1.23 73(19.6%) (0.54) 8(2.1%) (0.54) 8(2.1%) (0.93) n=181 2.48 38(21%) (0.29) 6(3.3%) 3.12(86%) 1.28 50(14%) (0.26) n=192 155(80.7%) 3.32 35(18.2%) (0.19) 2(1.04%) 345(90%) 1.08 39(10%) (0.3) 1.08 39(10%) 1.03 30 1.04 30 1.05 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 30 1.08 1.08 <	T2DM T2DM versus Controls $n=373$ χ^2 (p) OR(95%CI) 292(78.3%) 1.23 DM=0.96(0.62-1.48) 73(19.6%) (0.54) 8(2.1%) 657(88%) 0.01 1.02(0.69-1.51) 89(12%) (0.93) n=181 137(75.7%) 2.48 DM=1.37(0.72-2.62) 38(21%) (0.29) 6(3.3%) 312(86%) 1.28 1.37(0.77-2.44) 50(14%) (0.26) n=192 155(80.7%) 3.32 DM=0.68(0.37-1.25) 35(18.2%) (0.19) 2(1.04%) 345(90%) 1.08 0.76(0.43-1.33) 39(10%) (0.3) 1.08	

Pro: C allele; Ala: G allele; χ^2 : Chi-square test of independence; OR(95%CI): Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval); DM: Dominant Model (Pro/Ala+Ala/Ala versus Pro/Pro)

compared. Analysis of the DR and control subjects showed no significant association (p>0.05), although genotype frequency distribution was significantly different (p<0.05) among women. Similarly, there was no genotype or allele association in a comparison between the DNR group and the controls. A significant protective effect (p<0.05) of 12Ala was observed in a DR versus DNR comparison after adjusting for age and gender in an overall analysis, which diminished in the gender-based data analysis (p>0.05; Table 1). To determine the association of the variant with T2DM irrespective of the presence or absence of microvascular complication, a comparison of subjects with T2DM (DR+DNR) was performed against the control group, which revealed a nonsignificant association (Table 2).

In further analysis, the DR group was divided into two subsets, NPDR and PDR, and each was independently analyzed against the DNR group and the control group (Table 3). The 12Ala allele was not associated with NPDR in both comparisons. The analysis of the PDR subgroup also showed no association with 12Ala compared with the control NGNR group, while a significant protective effect (p<0.05) of the variant was seen compared to the DNR group. A case-only comparison between the PDR and NPDR groups was also performed that showed a non-significant genotype difference between the two sub-groups (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

PPAR γ , a nuclear receptor, has a major role in transcriptional regulation of genes involved in various physiologic processes of clinical significance such as adipogenesis, inflammation, and angiogenesis. The non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1801282 (c.34C>G, p.Pro12Ala) in exon 4 of the PPAR γ 2 isoform has been associated with the etiology of T2DM and its complications. However, the association with DR has been inconsistent; therefore, we studied this SNP in Pakistani subjects with T2DM. The frequency of the 12Ala allele in the current study was low (12%) in accordance with the frequency in other populations, e.g., highest (about 12%) in Caucasians followed by 10% in Mexican Americans, 8% in Samoans, 3% in African Americans, 2% in Nauruans, and 1% in Chinese (the lowest) [24].

The 12Ala isoform of PPAR γ had been found to have a protective role against diabetic nephropathy, another common T2DM complication [25]. In the present analysis, we also found a protective role of the 12Ala variant in the onset of PDR among individuals with T2DM. This finding is consistent with Malecki et al. [26] and contradicts others who

DDD

	TABLE 5. ANAL	LYSIS OF ASSOCIAT	ION OF F ROIZALA POLYMORPHISM	1 OF FFARI WITH	INFOR AND FOR.
	NPDR	NP	DR versus Controls	I	NPDR versus DNR
-	n=90	χ ² (p)	OR(95%CI)	χ^2 (p)	OR(95%CI)
Pro/Pro	71(79%)	0.92	DM=0.92(0.5-1.69)	3.93	DM=0.77(0.40-1.45)
Pro/Ala	19(21%)	(0.63)		(0.14)	
Ala/Ala	0				
Pro	161(89%)	0.18	0.89(0.48-1.61)	2.09	0.67(0.37-1.19)
Ala	19(11%)	(0.68)		(0.15)	
	PDR	PI	DR versus Controls		PDR versus DNR
	n=90				
Pro/Pro	78(86.7%)	3.73	DM=0.53(0.23-1.1)	7.26	DM=0.44(0.21-0.91)
Pro/Ala	12(13.3%)	(0.15)		(0.03)	
Ala/Ala	0				
Pro	168(93%)	3.51	0.54(0.26-1.08)	7.91	0.4(0.2-0.8)
Ala	12(7%)	(0.06)		(0.005)	
			PDR versus NPDR		
Pro/Pro	-	1.91	DM=0.57(0.24-1.4)		
Pro/Ala	-	(0.17)			
Ala/Ala	-				
Pro	-	1.73	0.61(0.27-1.36)		
Ala	-	(0.19)			

THE 2 AND THE OTHER ADDRESS OF DECLARATION OF DECLARATION OF DEALER AND DECLARATION ADDRESS OF DECLARATION ADDRESS

Pro: C allele; Ala: G allele; χ^2 : Chi-square test of independence; OR(95%CI): Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval); DM: Dominant Model (Pro/Ala+Ala/Ala versus Pro/Pro); Statistically significant values (p≤0.05) are written in bold.

found no association of this variant with DR [13,21,27]. In a meta-analysis, the Pro12 isoform conferred a risk for T2DM by 1.25-fold compared to 12Ala [18]. However, in the present study, we did not find a higher prevalence of either isoform in the patients with T2DM compared to the control NGNR group. The 12Ala variant has also been shown to reduce the risk of atherosclerosis in subjects susceptible to diabetes [28] and against myocardial infarction in non-diabetic individuals [29]. Although the existing evidence points to a possible protective role of the 12Ala variant in the development of proliferative diabetic retinopathy, the underlying mechanism of this effect is still unknown. One possible explanation is the relation of this isoform with a reduction in insulin resistance [16], a known predisposing factor for diabetic complications including DR [5]. In a clinical study, Parvanova et al. [30] reported the level of insulin sensitivity was an independent risk factor for PDR and not NPDR, which also corroborate our results of a significant risk reduction, in 12Ala-carrying subjects with T2DM, confined to PDR only, and no association with NPDR.

However, the protective role of PPARy manifested by its reduced transactivation activity due to the 12Ala variation [14,31] contradicts the known role of PPAR γ in disease pathology. For instance, Muranaka et al. [7] showed that upon ligand activation, PPARy reduces leukostasis and leakage in retinal vasculature. In a streptozotocin-induced diabetic mouse model, the authors observed 2.1 times raised levels of retinal leukostasis and 1.9 times greater retinal leakage in PPAR $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice compared to wild-type, thus demonstrating possible evidence of some intrinsic role being played by PPAR γ in DR. The researchers also observed a 0.6-fold reduction each in retinal leukostasis and retinal leakage upon treatment of diabetic mice with PPARy ligand rosiglitazone. To define the underlying mechanism, the researchers investigated the effect on inflammatory markers and found a reduction in raised intercellular adhesion molecule 1 levels in rosiglitazone-treated mice. This finding highlights the antiinflammatory actions of PPARy, which could perhaps provide protection against DR. However, streptozotocin-induced diabetes is a T1DM model [32], while insulin resistance characterizes T2DM. Thus, PPARy might have different roles in

T1DM and T2DM. In addition, since 12Ala is found in the ligand-independent domain, the effects are independent of the presence or absence of PPAR γ ligands [31,33], e.g., rosiglitazone. Therefore, improvement in insulin sensitivity might be the defining factor, implicating the protective effect of the 12Ala variant against disease proliferation in individuals with T2DM who have retinopathy.

Since PPAR $\gamma 2$ is exclusively expressed in the adipose tissue, any effects of this variant on insulin resistance are secondary, pointing to the involvement of some intermediate link such as reduction in the release of free fatty acids from adipose tissue by suppressing lipolysis upon insulin stimulation. These reduced free fatty acid levels then result in more efficient glucose oxidation by muscle cells and decreased glucose production by liver cells in response to insulin [34-36], thus improving insulin sensitivity [9], which may subsequently ameliorate the pathophysiological basis of DR progression.

In addition, a link between the dietary habits and T2DM risk in relation to the Pro12Ala polymorphism was shown by Scacchi et al. [37], who found T2DM to be less prevalent among 12Ala carriers of populations who obtain more than 30% of their energy from dietary lipid intake, while those with less than 30% exhibited no clear pattern. The Pakistani population lies in the latter category where energy obtained from dietary lipids constitutes less than 30% of the total (FAO. Statistical Yearbook); therefore, in the Pakistani population, the possibility of such a link cannot be excluded in describing the relationship between dietary habits and the prevalence of T2DM and PDR among 12Ala carriers. In addition, in diabetics the protective effect of the 12Ala allele has been shown to be altered by the presence of another genetic variation, the T1431 synonymous SNP (rs3856806), in exon 6 of the gene [38]. The T1431 is in strong linkage disequilibrium with 12Ala, where the protective effects of the 12Ala allele are absent in individuals with the 1431T allele [39]. Therefore, such findings suggest a variable role of 12Ala in diabetes and its related complications, which requires further genetic elucidation in the Pakistani population.

In conclusion, we report a protective effect of 12Ala against PDR among individuals with T2DM. These effects were non-significant when compared to the subjects with NGNR, suggesting the putative relation of the phenotypic effects of this polymorphism with hyperglycemic conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the subjects for their active participation in the study. This study was funded by the HEC NRPU grant no 2754 to RQ as well as by a core grant from the COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan. Dr. Raheel Qamar (raheelqamar@hotmail.com) and Dr. Nadia Khalida Waheed, (nadiakwaheed@gmail.com) are co-corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

- Chatziralli IP, Sergentanis TN, Keryttopoulos P, Vatkalis N, Agorastos A, Papazisis L. Risk factors associated with diabetic retinopathy in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. BMC Res Notes 2010; 3:153-[PMID: 20515457].
- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004; 27:1047-53. [PMID: 15111519].
- Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, Ferris FL, Klein R. Retinopathy in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004; 27:suppl 1S84-7. [PMID: 14693935].
- Reiter CE, Wu X, Sandirasegarane L, Nakamura M, Gilbert KA, Singh RS, Fort PE, Antonetti DA, Gardner TW. Diabetes reduces basal retinal insulin receptor signalling. Reversal with systemic and local insulin. Diabetes 2006; 55:1148-56. [PMID: 16567541].
- Singleton JR, Smith AG, Russell JW, Feldman EL. Microvascular complications of impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes 2003; 52:2867-73. [PMID: 14633845].
- Brucklacher RM, Patel KM, VanGuilder HD, Bixler GV, Barber AJ, Antonetti DA, Lin CM, LaNoue KF, Gardner TW, Bronson SK, Freeman WM. Whole genome assessment of the retinal response to diabetes reveals a progressive neurovascular inflammatory response. BMC Med Genomics 2008; 1:26-[PMID: 18554398].
- Muranaka K, Yanagi Y, Tamaki Y, Usui T, Kubota N, Iriyama A, Terauchi Y, Kadowaki T, Araie M. Effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y and its ligand on blood retinal barrier in a streptozotocin-induced diabetic model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2006; 47:4547-52. [PMID: 17003451].
- Joussen AM, Poulaki V, Le ML, Koizumi K, Esser C, Janicki H, Schraermeyer U, Kociok N, Fauser S, Kirchhof B, Kern TS, Adamis AP. A central role for inflammation in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy. FASEB J 2004; 18:1450-2. [PMID: 15231732].
- Stumvoll M, Haring H. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-2 Pro12Ala polymorphism. Diabetes 2002; 51:2341-7. [PMID: 12145143].
- Tontonoz P, Hu E, Graves RA, Budavari AI, Spiegelman BM. mPPAR gamma 2: tissue- specific regulator of an adipocyte enhancer. Genes Dev 1994; 8:1224-34. [PMID: 7926726].
- 11. Auwerx J. PPARgamma, the ultimate thrifty gene. Diabetologia 1999; 42:1033-49. [PMID: 10447513].
- 12. Rieusset J, Andreelli F, Auboeuf D, Roques M, Vallier P, Riou JP, Auwerx J, Laville M, Vidal H. Insulin acutely regulates the expression of the peroxisome proliferator activated

© 2013 Molecular Vision

receptor-gamma in human adipocytes. Diabetes 1999; 48:699-705. [PMID: 10102684].

- Stefanski A, Majkowska L, Ciechanowicz A, Frankow M, Safranow K, Parczewski M, Pilarska K. Lack of association between the Pro12Ala polymorphism in PPAR-gamma2 gene and body weight changes, insulin resistance and chronic diabetic complications in obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Arch Med Res 2006; 37:736-43. [PMID: 16824933].
- Deeb SS, Fajas L, Nemoto M, Laakso M, Fujimoto W, Auwerx JA. Pro12 Ala substitution in the human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma2 is associated with decreased receptor activity, improved insulin sensitivity, and lowered body mass index. Nat Genet 1998; 20:284-7. [PMID: 9806549].
- Koch M, Rett K, Maerker E, Volk A, Haist K, Deninger M, Renn W, Haring HU. The PPARg2 amino acid polymorphism Pro 12 Ala is prevalent in offspring of type 2 diabetic patients and is associated to increased insulin sensitivity in a subgroup of obese subjects. Diabetologia 1999; 42:758-62. [PMID: 10382597].
- Hara K, Okada T, Tobe K, Yasuda K, Mori Y, Kadowaki H, Hagura R, Akanuma Y, Kimura S, Ito C, Kadowaki T. The Pro12Ala polymorphism in PPAR-gamma2 may confer resistance to type 2 diabetes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 271:212-6. [PMID: 10777704].
- Ek J, Andersen G, Urhammer SA, Hansen LA, Carstensen B, Borch-Johnsen K, Drivsholm T, Berglund L, Hansen T, Lithell H, Pedersen O. Studies of the Pro12Ala polymorphism of the perioxisome proliferator-activated receptor-g2 (PPARg2) gene in relation to insulin sensitivity among glucose tolerant Caucasians. Diabetologia 2001; 44:1170-6. [PMID: 11596673].
- Altshuler D, Hirschhorn JN, Klannemark M, Lindgren CM, Vohl MC, Nemesh J, Lane CR, Schaffner SF, Bolk S, Brewer C, Tuomi T, Gaudet D, Hudson TJ, Daly M, Groop L, Lander ES. The common PPARg Pro12Ala polymorphism is associated with decreased risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 2000; 26:76-80. [PMID: 10973253].
- Caramori ML, Canani LH, Costa LA, Gross JL. The human peroxisome proliferator- activated receptor gamma2 (PPARgamma2) Pro12Ala polymorphism is associated with decreased risk of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2003; 52:3010-3. [PMID: 14633865].
- Herrmann SM, Ringel J, Wang JG, Staessen JA, Brand E. Berlin Diabetes Mellitus (BeDiaM) Study. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma2 polymorphism Prol2Ala is associated with nephropathy in type 2 diabetes: The Berlin Diabetes Mellitus (BeDiaM) Study. Diabetes 2002; 51:2653-7. [PMID: 12145184].
- Petrovic MG, Kunej T, Peterlin B, Dovc P, Petrovic D. Gly482Ser polymorphism of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-y coactivator-1 gene might be a risk factor for diabetic retinopathy in Slovene population (Caucasians) with type 2 diabetes and the Pro12Ala polymorphism of the PPARy gene is not. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2005; 21:470-4. [PMID: 15782399].

- Radha V, Vimaleswaran KS, Babu HN, Abate N, Chandalia M, Satija P, Grundy SM, Ghosh S, Majumder PP, Deepa R, Rao SM, Mohan V. Role of genetic polymorphism peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma2 Pro12Ala on ethnic susceptibility to diabetes in South-Asian and Caucasian subjects: Evidence for heterogeneity. Diabetes Care 2006; 29:1046-51. [PMID: 16644635].
- Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor (NY): Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
- 24. Yen CJ, Beamer BA, Negri C, Silver K, Brown KA, Yarnall DP, Burns DK, Roth J, Shuldiner AR. Molecular scanning of the human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor g (PPARg) gene in diabetic Caucasians: identification of a Prol12Ala PPAR g2 missense mutation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1997; 240:270-4. [PMID: 9425261].
- Zhang H, Zhu S, Chen J, Tang Y, Hu H, Mohan V, Venkatesan R, Wang J, Chen H. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ polymorphism Pro12Ala Is associated with nephropathy in type 2 diabetes: evidence from meta-analysis of 18 studies. Diabetes Care 2012; 35:1388-93. [PMID: 22619290].
- Malecki MT, Cyganek K, Mirkiewicz-Sieradzka B, Wolkow PP, Wanic K, Skupien J, Solnica B, Sieradzki J. Alanine variant of the Pro12Ala polymorphism of the PPARgamma gene might be associated with decreased risk of diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008; 80:139-45. [PMID: 18077048].
- Costa V, Casamassimi A, Esposito K, Villani A, Capone M, Iannella R, Schisano B, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Corrado FC, Santangelo F, Giugliano D, Ciccodicola A. Characterization of a novel polymorphism in PPARG regulatory region associated with type 2 diabetes and diabetic retinopathy in Italy. J Biomed Biotechnol 2009; 2009:126917-[PMID: 19125195].
- Temelkova-Kurktschiev T, Hanefeld M, Chinetti G, Zawadzki C, Haulon S, Kubaszek A, Koehler C, Leonhardt W, Staels B, Laakso M. Ala12Ala genotype of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-g 2 protects against atherosclerosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89:4238-42. [PMID: 15356014].
- Ridker PM, Cook NR, Cheng S, Erlich HA, Lindpaintner K, Plutzky J, Zee RY. Alanine for proline substitution in the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma-2 (PPARG2) gene and the risk of incident myocardial infarction. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003; 23:859-63. [PMID: 12663371].
- Parvanova A, Iliev I, Filipponi M, Dimitrov BD, Vedovato M, Tiengo A, Trevisan R, Remuzzi G, Ruggenenti P. Insulin Resistance and proliferative retinopathy: a cross- sectional, case-control study in 115 patients with diabetes type 2. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89:4371-6. [PMID: 15356034].
- Masugi J, Tamori Y, Mori H, Koike T, Kasuga M. Inhibitory effect of a proline-to- alanine substitution at codon 12 of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-gamma 2 on thiazolidinedione-induced adipogenesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 268:178-82. [PMID: 10652233].

- Abdel Aziz MT, El-Asmar MF, El-Ibrashy IN, Rezq AM, Al-Malki AL, Wassef MA, Fouad HH, Ahmed HH, Taha FM, Hassouna AA, Morsi HM. Effect of novel water soluble curcumin derivative on experimental type- 1 diabetes mellitus (short term study). Diabetol Metab Syndr 2012; 4:30-[PMID: 22762693].
- Semple RK, Chatterjee VKK, O'Rahilly S. PPARγ and human metabolic disease. J Clin Invest 2006; 116:581-9. [PMID: 16511590].
- Stumvoll M, Wahl HG, Loblein K, Becker R, Machicao F, Jacob S, Haring H. The Pro12Ala polymorphism in the peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor-2 gene is associated with increased antilipolytic insulin sensitivity. Diabetes 2001; 50:876-81. [PMID: 11289055].
- Stumvoll M, Haring H. Reduced lipolysis as possible cause for greater weight gain in subjects with the Pro12Ala polymorphism in PPAR2. Diabetologia 2002; 45:152-3. [PMID: 11845236].

- Boden G. Role of fatty acids in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and NIDDM. Diabetes 1997; 46:3-10. [PMID: 8971073].
- Scacchi R, Pinto A, Rickards O, Pacella A, De Stefano GF, Cannella C, Corbo RM. An analysis of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-g2) Pro12Ala polymorphism distribution and prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in world populations in relation to dietary habits. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2007; 17:632-41. [PMID: 17434720].
- Doney AS, Fischer B, Cecil JE, Boylan K, McGuigan FE, Ralston SH, Morris AD, Palmer CN. Association of the Pro12Ala and C1431T variants of PPARG and their haplotypes with susceptibility to Type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2004; 47:555-8. [PMID: 14730381].
- Ruiz-Narváez E. Is the Ala12 variant of the PPARG gene an "unthrifty allele"? J Med Genet 2005; 42:547-50. [PMID: 15994875].

Articles are provided courtesy of Emory University and the Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, P.R. China. The print version of this article was created on 21 March 2013. This reflects all typographical corrections and errata to the article through that date. Details of any changes may be found in the online version of the article.