# Feasibility and Reproducibility of Left Ventricular Rotation by Speckle Tracking Echocardiography in Elderly Individuals and the Impact of Different Software

Chloe M. Park\*, Katherine March, Suzanne Williams, Suraj Kukadia, Arjun K. Ghosh, Siana Jones, Therese Tillin, Nish Chaturvedi, Alun D. Hughes

International Centre for Circulatory Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

## Abstract

**Background:** Changes in ventricular rotation measured by two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography (2DSTE) are early indicators of cardiac disease. Data on the clinical feasibility of this important measure are scarce and there is no information on the comparability of different software versions. We assessed the feasibility, reproducibility and within patient temporal variability of 2DSTE in a large community based sample of older adults. We additionally compared 2DSTE results to those generated by 3DSTE.

*Methods and results:* 1408 participants underwent transthoracic echocardiography. Using Philips Qlab 8.1 peak LV rotation at either the base or the apex was analysable in 432 (31%) participants. Peak twist measurements were achieved in 274 (20%) participants. 66 participants were randomly selected for the reproducibility study. 20 additional participants had scans 4–6 weeks apart for temporal variability and 3D echocardiography to assess the agreement between 2DSTE and 3DSTE. Reproducibility was evaluated using the intraclass coefficient of correlation (ICC). Better reproducibility for rotation and twist were obtained when measured at the endocardium, and when using more recent software versions, Peak twist and rotation were significantly different using two versions of the same software. Agreement with 3DSTE was better using newer software.

**Conclusion:** Feasibility of 2DSTE is low in this cohort of elderly individuals severely limiting its utility in clinical settings. However if high quality images can be acquired assessment of ventricular rotation by 2DSTE is reproducible. Caution should be taken when comparing measurements of ventricular rotation by software from different vendors or different versions of software from the same vendor.

Citation: Park CM, March K, Williams S, Kukadia S, Ghosh AK, et al. (2013) Feasibility and Reproducibility of Left Ventricular Rotation by Speckle Tracking Echocardiography in Elderly Individuals and the Impact of Different Software. PLoS ONE 8(9): e75098. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098

Editor: Partha Mukhopadhyay, National Institutes of Health, United States of America

Received June 12, 2013; Accepted August 8, 2013; Published September 13, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Park et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [082464/2/07/2] and the British Heart Foundation [SP/07001/23603, PG 08 103]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: chloe.park@imperial.ac.uk

# Introduction

With population ageing, dysfunction of the left ventricle (LV) is an increasingly common manifestation of cardiovascular disease, and a key contributor to morbidity and mortality [1]. Mechanisms underlying pathways to LV dysfunction are poorly understood and our understanding of the early stages of dysfunction may be enhanced by the assessment of LV rotation and twist using echocardiographic methods. However while research on other measures of LV deformation such as strain analysis are thriving, measurement of LV rotation is often neglected.

Myocardial fibres are arranged in layers of oppositely wound helices [2]. The subendocardial right-handed helix transforms into a left-handed helix in the subepicardium. When viewed from the apex, contraction of epicardial fibres produces anti-clockwise rotation at the apex and clockwise rotation at the base [3–5]. Contraction of the endocardial fibres results in rotations in the opposite direction, however the higher torque developed in the subepicardial fibres dominates the overall direction of rotation [5,6]. This alignment of fibre architecture of the LV serves to equalise transmural stress and strain, generating an energy efficient system [6]. In diastole, potential energy from systolic twist is discharged, contributing to LV suction and enhancing diastolic filling. Pathophysiological processes that alter LV fibre architecture will result in uneven stresses and a higher myocardial oxygen demand. Altered rotational mechanics of the LV have previously been described in aging [7], diastolic dysfunction [8], congestive heart failure [9], dilated cardiomyopathy [10], and many more disease states[11–13]. The precise changes in LV rotational patterns are specific to individual pathologies [13] but, abnormalities of rotation may be useful as early indicators of cardiac disease[14–17].

Two dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography (2DSTE) is becoming a popular modality for quantifying LV rotation and twist. It has been validated against cardiac magnetic resonance (MR) [18,19] and the reproducibility of 2DSTE is reported to be

Table 1. Characteristics of SABRE participants split by gender and feasibility of LV peak rotation measures.

	Men				Women			
	No rotational measures	Rotation at base or apex	Rotation at both base and apex	Anova p	No rotational measures	Rotation at base or apex	Rotation at both base and apex	Anova p
n	534(50)	326(31)	206(19)		168(49)	106(31)	68(20)	
Age, y	70.1±6.3	69.7±6.0	69.2±6.2	0.08	69.7±6.4	69.2±6.0	67.7±5.9*	0.03
Height, cm	171±8	171±7	171±3	0.4	158±7	158±6	159±7	0.3
Weight, kg	81.0±6	79.1±13	76.6±12**	0.0001	74.8±15	70.7±16*	69.4±14**	0.007
Body Mass Index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.7±5	27.0±4*	26.1±3**	<0.0001	29.9±6	28.4±6*	27.1±5**	0.0005
Waist to hip ratio	$1.00 {\pm} 0.07$	1.00±0.06	0.98±0.06**	0.0003	$0.93 \pm 0.08$	0.92±0.08	$0.91 \pm 0.08$	0.2
Heart Rate, beats/min	68±12	67±13	66±12*	0.02	70±12	70±11	69±11	0.9
Systolic BP, mmHg	140±16	143±20	141±17	0.3	139±20	137±18	137±16	0.6
Diastolic BP, mmHg	82±10	82±10	82±10	0.9	79±9	78±9	82±10	0.1
BP treatment, n (%)	365(68)	215 (66)	130 (63)	0.4	112(67)	69(65)	33(49)*	0.03
Diabetes, n (%)	173(32)	100(31)	59(29)	0.6	56(33)	31(29)	18(27)	0.5
Left Ventricle Mass (g)	190±54	190±50	179±46*	0.03	160±42	149±42*	150±40	0.04
Relative Wall Thickening	0.46±0.09	$0.46{\pm}0.08$	0.46±0.07	0.9	0.47±0.09	0.48±0.09	$0.50{\pm}0.09$	0.1
Probable CAD, n (%)	154 (29)	77(24)	53(26)	0.4	40(24)	14(13)*	6(9)**	0.009
Coronary intervention, n (%)	103(19)	53(16)	29(14)	0.2	16(10)	11(10)	1(2)*	0.08

Data are mean $\pm$ SD for numerical data and n (%) for categorical data.

\*=p<0.05.

\*\* = p<0.01 compared with individuals that had no rotation measurements by post hoc test following ANOVA. BP, blood pressure; CAD coronary artery disease. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t001

high [20,21]. Previous reproducibility studies have largely been performed in selected younger individuals; largely in the absence of subclinical disease, which itself might influence measurement reproducibility in older individuals. In addition, newer postprocessing packages may offer substantial gains in accuracy and reproducibility. We therefore aimed to primarily assess the feasibility of this technique in a large community-based cohort of older individuals. Secondly we tested the inter- and intraobserver reproducibility and repeatability over time, of rotation measured by 2DSTE, using an established and a recently developed version of QLab software. Furthermore, we investigated the precision of 2DSTE by comparing peak rotational and twist results to those from newly released 3DSTE software.

# Methods

## **Ethics Statement**

The study was approved by the Imperial College London and Imperial College healthcare NHS trust committee on human research. All clinical investigations were conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent to participate.

#### Study Population

A total of 1436 individuals attended the Southall and Brent Revisited (SABRE) clinic between June 2008 and March 2011. SABRE is a population-based tri-ethnic longitudinal cohort of several thousand individuals (average age  $69.6\pm6.2$  years) [22]. Participants were excluded only if they had severe co-morbidity sufficient to preclude a one day clinic visit, or were unable to provide written informed consent.

## **Clinic Measurements**

Participants attended the study clinic at St Mary's Hospital, London following an overnight fast. During their visit, resting blood pressure, ECG and anthropometric measurements were recorded and fasting blood samples were taken. Resting blood pressure was measured in the sitting position, 3 left brachial blood pressure measurements were recorded, at 2 minute intervals. The second and third recordings were averaged. Height was measured using a stadiometer and weight was measured using electronic bioimpedance scales. Cardiovascular and diabetes related events were identified from primary-care medical review and participant questionnaire. Diabetes was recorded if previously diagnosed by a doctor or newly diagnosed from the clinic oral glucose tolerance test. Probable CHD was defined as presence of or a history of doctor diagnosed angina or heart attack or presence of the following ECG findings (Minnesota coded) [23]: major Q wave(code 11-2 or borderline Q wave (code 13) ST depression or elevation  $(4_{1-3})$ , deep or moderate T wave inversion (code 5  $_{1-3})$ \_or left bundle branch block(code 71). Coronary interventions were defined as coronary angioplasty or stents or coronary artery bypass grafting identified from primary care medical record review or participant report.

# 2D Echocardiography

Echocardiography was performed on 1408 SABRE clinic participants (average age  $69.6\pm6.2$  years) by two experienced sonographers on a Philips iE33 ultrasound machine equipped with a 5.0–1.0 phased array transducer (S5-1). Two-dimensional greyscale images were acquired in the left lateral decubitus position. Parasternal short-axis views were obtained at the LV base at the level of the mitral valve and at the LV apex. The sonographers underwent specific training to acquire optimal images with the objective of performing rotational analysis. Great care was taken



Figure 1. Speckle tracking echocardiography examples. A. Qlab 7.0, this is an example of speckle tracking at the LV base level. A single layer of tracking points is placed on either the endocardium or epicardium  $\sim 60^{\circ}$  away from each other. B. Qlab 8.1, an example of the LV short axis at the apex level. A mesh tracks all layers of the myocardium simultaneously. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.g001

**Table 2.** Baseline characteristics of participants in the reproducibility studies.

Characteristic	
n	57
Age, y	70.1±6.2
Male, n (%)	42 (73)
Height, cm	169±9
Weight, kg	74.7±12
Body Mass Index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.3±3.6
Heart Rate, beats/min	67±12
Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg	136±16
Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg	76±10
Myocardial Infarction, n (%)	3 (5)
Coronary Artery Bypass Graft, n (%)	4 (7)
Heart Failure, n (%)	1 (1.7)
Angioplasty, n (%)	5 (9)
Hypertension, n (%)	36 (63)
Diabetes, n (%)	15 (26)

Data are mean $\pm$ SD or n (%).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t002

to ensure that all images were as circular as possible and at the exact level of the 'true' apex (immediately proximal to luminal obliteration) and base (ensuring that the tips of the mitral valve are visible). This often required re-positioning of the transducer inbetween base and apex image acquisition. Single cardiac cycle images were acquired at each LV level at a frame-rate of 60–80 frames per second (FPS) and at an increased gain.

#### 2DSTE Feasibility

All short axis images were transferred to a PC containing Philips 2DSTE software Qlab 8.1. Adequacy of tracking was assessed using an innovative tracking quality tool based on a user defined threshold in Qlab 8.1.

#### 2DSTE Reproducibility

All echocardiograms were stored on DVDs ( $\sim$ 6/7 cases per DVD) and for the rotation reproducibility element of the study 10 DVDs were randomly selected. These DVDs contained a total of

Tal	ble	з.	Compa	rison	of	Qlab	7.0	and	8.1.
-----	-----	----	-------	-------	----	------	-----	-----	------

66 echocardiograms to be analysed, this sample size adhered to the statistical note on how to decide the sample size for a repeatability study [24]. Images from these 10 DVDS were transferred to 2 PCs for analysis, one using Philips Qlab 2DSTE software 7.0 and the second Qlab 8.1. A total of 40 of the 66 echocardiograms randomly selected had adequate images at either or both the apical and basal level of the LV to be analysed using this technique (60.6% success rate). Adequacy was decided as described above. LV rotation reproducibility was assessed in these 40 individuals. LV short axis images at the level of the base and/or apex were acquired and a total of 25 images at the apex and 25 images at the base were of a high enough standard to analyse using 2DSTE. The reproducibility of transmural torsion was also calculated and reported on these individuals. LV twist reproducibility was assessed in 15 of these participants. These individuals had adequate images at both apex and base, which enabled the calculation of twist.

Long-term reproducibility of both LV rotation and twist was performed on an additional 20 participants who were known to have adequate images at both the base and apex after their first visit (3 of these individuals were from the 10 randomly selected DVDs mentioned above). These 20 individuals had repeated measurements at both the apex and base made 4–6 weeks after their initial visit. Baseline characteristics of all participants for both the short term and long term reproducibility are presented in table 1 (total n = 57).

# 3D Echocardiography

3D echocardiography was performed on a subset of 20 participants. 3D full volume sets were acquired immediately after 2D echocardiography by the same sonographer on a Philips iE33 ultrasound machine. 3D full volume sets were acquired from the apical 4 chamber view with a matrix array transducer (X3). Data sets were acquired over 4 cardiac cycles during held respiration in the wide-angled acquisition mode ( $93^\circ \times 80^\circ$ ). Four sub volumes are obtained to form a full-volume set. The images were transferred to a PC for analysis using Tomtec 4D LV-Analysis (TomTec Imaging Systems, Munich, Germany).

#### Data Analysis

**2DSTE.** LV short-axis images were analysed using Philips Qlab Advanced Tissue Motion Quantification (TMQA) software version 7.0 and Cardiac Motion Analysis (CMA) software version 8.1.

		Qlab 7.0			Qlab 8.1			Difference between Qlab 7.0 and 8.1	
		Endocardium	Epicardium	Р	Endocardium	Epicardium	Р	Endocardium P	Epicardium P
Peak Twist (°)	(n = 26)	14.38 (12.6,16.1)	9.05 (7.86,10.3)	< 0.0001	11.22 (10.1,12.4)	6.31 (5.4,7.2)	< 0.0001	0.0005	0.0001
Peak rotation (°)	Apex (n = 42)	9.04 (7.8,10.3)	5.4 (4.5,6.3)	< 0.0001	7.29 (6.1,8.5)	4.15 (3.5,5.0)	< 0.0001	0.0001	0.0002
	Base (n=41)	-6.18 (-6.9, -5.5)	-4.06 (-4.7, -3.4)	<0.0001	-4.69 (-5.3, -4.0)	-2.88 (-3.4, -2.4)	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
t <sub>max</sub> (s)	Apex (n = 42)	0.38 (0.36,0.40)	0.38 (0.36,0.40)	0.74	0.38 (0.36,0.40)	0.37 (0.36,0.40)	0.8	0.72	0.78
	Base (n=41)	0.37 (0.35,0.39)	0.38 (0.38,0.39)	0.77	0.37 (0.35,0.39)	0.37 (0.35,0.40)	0.79	0.91	0.46

Data are average values (95% confidence intervals) for endocardial and epicardial peak twist, rotation and time to peak rotation (t<sub>max</sub>) measured at the left ventricle apex and base.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t003



**Figure 2. Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 Intra-observer agreement.** Bland & Altman plots a) Qlab 7.0 apex peak rotation at the endocardium b) Qlab 7.0 base peak rotation at the endocardium c) Qlab 7.0 apex peak rotation at the epicardium d) Qlab 7.0 base peak rotation at the epicardium. e) Qlab 8.0 apex peak rotation at the endocardium f) Qlab 8.1 base peak rotation at the endocardium g) Qlab 8.1 apex peak rotation at the epicardium h) Qlab 8.1 base peak rotation at the epicardium g) Qlab 8.1 apex peak rotation at the epicardium h) Qlab 8.1 base peak rotation at the epicardium d) Qlab 8.1 apex peak rotation at the epicardium h) Qlab 8.1 base peak rotation at the epicardium g) Qlab 8.1 apex peak rotation at the epicardium h) Qlab 8.1 base peak rotation at the epicardium h) Ql

Table 4. Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 Intra-observer reproducibility.

		Qlab 7.0		Qlab 8.1	
		Endocardium	Epicardium	Endocardium	Epicardium
Repeat results					
Peak Twist (°)	(n = 15)	1.3±3.4 (0.46)	1.5±2.6 (0.33)	0.9±0.07 (0.87)	-0.2±1.1 (0.90)
Peak Rotation (°)	Apex (n = 25)	0.0±1.3 (0.96)	0.3±1.7 (0.82)	-0.1±1.1 (0.97)	0.1±0.8 (0.96)
	Base (n = 25)	-0.2±1.2 (0.82)	0.0±4.8 (0.68)	0.1±0.9 (0.93)	0.0±0.9 (0.87)
t <sub>max</sub> (s)	Apex (n = 25)	0.00±0.02 (0.97)	0.00±0.02 (0.96)	-0.0±0.04 (0.78)	0.00±0.02 (0.92)
	Base (n = 25)	0.01±0.03 (0.86)	-0.01±0.05 (0.66)	-0.01±0.05 (0.70)	0.01±0.06 (0.70)
Long-term test-retest	results				
Peak Twist (°)	(n = 20)	3.67±3.96 (0.44)	2.96±2.59 (0.38)	0.48±1.66 (0.80)	-0.10±2.1 (0.54)
Peak Rotation (°)	Apex (n = 20)	-1.3±2.6 (0.32)	-1.6±2.1 (0.45)	0.36±1.75 (0.63)	0.03±1.9 (0.29)
	Base (n = 20)	2.4±3.2 (0.42)	1.3±2.0 (0.23)	0.11±1.45 (0.61)	0.13±1.2 (0.55)
t <sub>max</sub> (s)	Apex (n = 20)	-0.01±0.06 (0.61)	-0.01±0.06 (0.53)	-0.02±0.07 (0.25)	-0.02±0.08 (0.22)
	Base (n = 20)	0.01±0.06 (0.55)	0.02±0.07 (0.35)	-0.00±0.07 (0.51)	-0.01±0.06 (0.42)
Peak transmural Torsi	on(°) Apex (n = 25)	NA		022±0.9 (0.89)	
	Base (n = 25)			-0.35±1.4 (0.50)	
t <sub>max</sub> (s)	Apex (n = 25)			0.01±0.03 (0.89)	
	Base (n = 25)			0.01±0.05(0.81)	

Reproducibility of endocardial and epicardial peak twist, rotation and time to peak rotation measured at the left ventricle apex and the base. Data are average difference ±SDdiff (ICC, concordance correlation coefficient).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t004

One feature of TMQA is the option to track the endocardium and epicardium simultaneously. However following preliminary studies and the observations of Van Dalen et al. [20] who demonstrated that concentrating on one layer of tracking points at a time is a more robust method than tracking two layers simultaneously, we limited our analysis using Qlab 7.0 to one myocardial layer at a time. Six tracking points were placed manually in the endocardium on the end-diastolic frame of each short-axis image  $\sim 60^{\circ}$  away from each other. Qlab tracks the chosen points on a frame-by-frame basis and generates rotational profiles. If any points showed poor tracking by visual assessment they were changed manually on the end-diastolic frame. Once, and only if, all points showed good tracking were the data exported to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet for further analysis. If good tracking of all points was not achieved the image was graded as unsatisfactory and not included in the analysis. The same method was used to calculate epicardial rotation Figure 1A. Intra-observer reproducibility was assessed by the same reader repeating all analyses one month later. Inter-observer reproducibility was assessed by comparison with a second reader who had

Table 5. Qlab 7.0 Inter-observer reproducibility.

		Endocardium	Epicardium
Peak Twist (°)	(n = 8)	-1.9±2.9 (0.36)	2.5±5.1 (0.13)
Peak rotation (°)	Apex (n = 25)	-0.6±2.1 (0.89)	-0.3±1.4 (0.79)
	Base (n = 25)	-0.2±2.5 (0.75)	-0.01±3.2 (0.34)
t <sub>max</sub> (s)	Apex (n = 25)	$-0.00 \pm 0.07$ (0.66)	0.01±0.06 (0.59)
	Base (n = 25)	0.01±0.04 (0.84)	0.01±0.05 (0.66)

Reproducibility data are average difference $\pm$ SDdiff (ICC);  $t_{max}$ , time to left ventricular peak systolic rotation; ICC, concordance correlation coefficient. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t005

no knowledge of the first set of results. Long-term test-retest variability was assessed by a single reader masked to participant identity.

Philips has more recently developed an updated version of the Qlab software (Qlab 8.1). The speckle tracking algorithm has been altered and the TMQA software has been replaced with the CMA software. Instead of tracking 6 points placed  $\sim 60^{\circ}$  away from each other CMQ uses a mesh of tracking points across the entire wall as demonstrated in **Figure 1B**. The CMQ tracking mesh was positioned on the frame when delineation of the myocardium was optimal. All LV short-axis analyses for intra-observer reproducibility and long-term variation were repeated using Qlab 8.1 CMQ to determine if the new software was a) compatible with Qlab 7.0 and b) more capable of successfully tracking the endocardium and epicardium simultaneously.

**3DSTE.** Tomtec 4D LV-Analysis is vendor independent software that can analyse real time 3D full volume sets to generate global parameters of rotation and twist. The software achieves this by applying an advanced automatic contour finding algorithm combined with 3D speckle tracking to the endocardial border in 3 planes (apical 2-, 4-, and "3-" chamber views). The results acquired using 3D STE were compared to those from Qlab 7.0 and 8.1.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA (version 12). Data are presented as mean (95% CI). Method comparison between QLab 7.0 and 8.1 and Tomtec 4D-LV analysis were made as described by Bland and Altman [25]. Statistical comparisons between epicardial and endocardial layers and between QLab 7.0 and 8.1 were made using linear mixed model analyses. Reproducibility was assessed using the following measures: mean difference±SD<sub>diff</sub> intra-class coefficient of correlation

	Qlab 7.0 V Tomtec 4D	D (n = 20)	Qlab 8.1 V Tomtec 4D	(n = 20)	
	Difference±SD	95% LOA	Difference±SD	95% LOA	
Peak apical Rotation (°)	2.3±3.8	-5.1,9.6	0.2±2.4	-4.5,5.0	
Peak basal Rotation (°)	$-3.03\pm2.8$	-8.5,2.4	-1.4±2.1	-5.4,2.7	
Peak twist (°)	5.3±5.1	-4.7,15.3	1.6±2.8	-3.8,7.0	

Table 6. Comparison of 2DSTE and 3DSTE.

Data are mean difference ±SD and 95% limit of agreement (LOA). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.t006

(ICC), [26]. An ICC  $\geq 0.75$  was deemed excellent, >0.40 to < 0.75 fair and  $\leq 0.40$  poor agreement [27].

# Results

## Feasibility

50% of male participants and 49% of female participants did not have adequate LV short axis images to analyse at either the base or the apex. 31% of both men and women had adequate images at one site, either the base or the apex and 19% of men and 20% of women had adequate images at both the apex and the base, allowing the calculation of LV twist.

**Table 1** presents the gender specific characteristics of these sets of participants.

Blood pressure, height, diabetes status and LV shape (relative wall thickness) did not differ between groups, however individuals in whom the calculation of rotation was not possible were significantly heavier compared to those with measurements at either the base or the apex. Men with rotational measures at both the base and apex were significantly lighter with lower heart rates and smaller LV mass. Women with rotational measures at both the base and apex were significantly younger and lighter on less blood pressure treatment, with smaller LV mass and less probable coronary artery disease and coronary interventions.

#### Reproducibility

**Characteristics.** The participants involved in the reproducibility study were on average  $70.1\pm6.2$  yrs with 73% being male. Resting blood pressures were within the normal range; however 63% were on hypertensive medications. There was little clinical evidence of coronary disease (Table 2).

**Comparison of Qlab 7.0 and 8.1.** All comparisons of Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 are presented in Table 3. Measures of peak LV twist and rotation were significantly higher when measured using Qlab 7.0 compared to Qlab 8.1. Endocardial measures were consistently greater than epicardial measures using either software version. Agreement between Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 was excellent for peak apical endocardial rotation (ICC = 0.79) but fair for all other measurements (apical epicardium ICC = 0.45, base endocardium ICC = 0.50 and base epicardium ICC = 0.44).

**Intra-observer reproducibility of both Qlab 7.0 and 8.1.** Intra-observer reproducibility was high for peak systolic twist using 8.1, yet poor using Qlab 7.0 (Figure 2, Table 4). Long term reproducibility for peak twist was greater at the endocardium (ICC = 0.80) than the epicardium (ICC = 0.54) using Qlab 8.1.

Apical peak rotation showed excellent intra- observer reproducibility at both the endocardium and epicardium (Table 4). Long-term reproducibility was less good, and was best for endocardial peak rotation measures using Qlab 8.1 as shown in Table 4. Basal peak rotation was more reproducible at the endocardium; with Qlab 8.1 performing better than Qlab 7.0, specifically for long-term reproducibility. Figure 2 shows all Bland & Altman plots for Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 Intra-observer agreements.

Intra- observer agreement for  $t_{max}$  was excellent in both layers when measured at the apex and the base. No significant time difference was observed for time to peak rotation ( $t_{max}$ ) measured



Figure 3. Vendor comparison Bland-Altman plots. Comparison of peak twist a) Qlab 7.0 and Tomtec LV analysis b) Qlab 8.1 and Tomtec LV analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075098.g003

uoi. 10. 137 1/journal.pone.007 5098.900.

at the endocardium compared to the epicardium at either the apex or base (Table 3).

Cardiac cycle duration variability was satisfactory in between the two long-term visits  $(33\pm98 \text{ ms}, \text{ ICC}=0.67)$ . Long-term variability for  $t_{max}$  was poorer at both layers of the base and apex (Table 4) especially for time to peak apical rotation when using Qlab 8.1.

Qlab8.1Transmuraltorsionreproducibility.Transmural torsion could not be calculatedusing Qlab 7.0 as endocardial and epicardial rotation could not bereliably assessed simultaneously.Using Qlab 8.1 intra-observeragreement for peak transmural torsion was excellent at the apexand satisfactory at the base.Intra-observer  $t_{max}$  was also excellentat both the apex and base.(Table 4).

**Intra-observer reproducibility of Qlab 7.0.** Apical rotation and  $t_{max}$  showed excellent inter-observer reproducibility at both the endocardium and epicardium. Inter-observer reproducibility for basal rotation was higher at the endocardium than the epicardium (Table 5).

**Comparison of 2DSTE (Qlab) and 3DSTE (Tomtec).** Comparison of 2DSTE using both Qlab 7.0 and 8.1 with 3DSTE using TomTec 4D-LV analysis was also performed. Table 6 presents the calculated average differences and 95% limits of agreement for peak apical and basal rotation and twist at the endocardium. All 3DSTE measurements were in better agreement with Qlab 8.1 measurements than with Qlab 7.0. The comparisons of peak LV twist are shown in Figure 3.

## Discussion

The results presented in this study demonstrate that feasibility of 2DSTE is low in this cohort of elderly individuals probably making this an unacceptable tool for regular cardiac assessment in clinical settings. However when adequate images are acquired 2DSTE is generally highly reproducible for assessing left ventricular rotation, even in an older population. Age, weight, heart rate, LV mass and presence of probable coronary artery disease have significant effects on the success rate of this technique.

Furthermore we show that different versions of the same analysis software (QLab) resulted in significantly different estimates of apical and basal rotation. This is an important consideration when comparing results, even if they have been analysed using software from the same manufacturer. Serial STE studies must be performed using the same instrument and same version of the analytical software. Better standardisation of software between manufacturers and between software releases is desirable.

When using Philips Qlab version 7.0 the most reproducible method for quantifying rotation is by tracking the endocardium only. This is true for both apical and basal short-axis slices. Epicardial tracking was significantly more reproducible at the apex compared to the base. This can be explained by the apex being relatively fixed during the cardiac cycle whereas the base moves toward the apex generating out-of plane motion. The improved reproducibly at the endocardium compared to the epicardium has been attributed to signal saturation and problems related to tracking of non-moving speckles outside the heart [20].

The new algorithm and mesh developed for Qlab 8.1 tracks the entire myocardium and allowed successful, reproducible tracking of the endocardium and epicardium simultaneously. The reproducibility of all endocardial measures of rotation were similar to those using Qlab 7.0, however epicardial measures were considerably more reproducible using this method and there was little difference in reproducibility between layers. By accurately and reproducibly tracking two layers simultaneously Qlab 8.1 CMQ can potentially be utilised to differentiate between diseases which affect the myocardial layers in distinct ways [28–30] and permits more reliable assessment of torsion [31].

Agreement between measures derived from repeat echocardiography at an interval of several weeks was high when analysed with Qlab 8.1. Using both Qlab 7.0 and Qlab 8.1 long-term variability tended to be better at the apex compared to the base and at the endocardium compared to the epicardium. The longterm test-retest results agree with the observations of intraobserver reproducibility; Qlab 8.1 has less variability than Qlab 7.0.

#### Comparison with Previous 2DSTE Work

The feasibility of 2DSTE has previously been reported at 66.6%; however the average age of individuals in this earlier study was at least 20 years younger than that of the SABRE participants [20]. Peak rotation and twist values in the literature differ substantially depending on the characteristics of the group studied and the technique used [7,32,33] but the Qlab 8.1 values presented in the current study are similar to those reported by Goffinet et al. [21] who used Qlab 6.0 to look at endocardial and epicardial rotation in older individuals with a range of cardiac complications.

Qlab 7.0 consistently gave higher values for peak rotation than Qlab 8.1. There are no other studies currently published using Qlab 8.1, however data reported by several other groups using other systems such as Echopac [34] agree well with Qlab 8.1, especially for peak rotation at the base  $(-4.7\pm2.6^{\circ} \text{ compared to} -4.7 (-5.3, -4.0)^{\circ}$  in the current study), the results of which are also similar to MRI-estimates [19,21].

# 2DSTE and 3DSTE Comparison

Due to a lack of compatibility between file formats and/or a lack of rotational measures generated by certain software the comparison of 2DSTE rotational results generated from different manufacturers was not possible. However Tomtec's 4D LV analysis software is vendor independent and can be used to calculate endocardial LV rotation and twist using 3DSTE. The values generated by 3DSTE were consistently closer to those generated by Qlab 8.1 than those from Qlab 7.0.

## Limitations

The greatest limitation to STE is the difficulty of obtaining satisfactory images in all individuals. Less than 20% of individuals had images of a high enough quality to calculate all parameters including LV twist. A limitation specific to this study is the focus on the feasibility and reproducibility of LV rotation during systole. Future work should involve investigating LV untwisting during diastole and other aspects of LV mechanics that can be assessed using STE including strain analysis. 2DSTE and 3DSTE comparisons should also be approached with caution as the 3DSTE independent software analysed DICOM images rather than the proprietary raw data that the 2DSTE Philips software uses. It also remains unclear whether current 3DSTE techniques for twist analysis are superior to 2DSTE.

# **Clinical Implications**

As mentioned in the introduction, LV rotation is a neglected aspect of LV deformation analyses. The only previous feasibility and reproducibility studies have been performed in selected younger individuals; largely in the absence of subclinical disease. The importance of this study is that it shows that 2DSTE is not yet ready be used to regularly assess LV rotation in older individuals. This is because older individuals are often overweight and do not have optimal echogenic windows. Hopefully in the future the quality of both imaging and analysis software will increase as this age group could greatly benefit from the detection of small changes in LV performance that go undetected by conventional echocardiography measures.

#### Conclusions

Assessment of ventricular rotation by 2DSTE is reproducible. However adequate images can only be achieved in 50% of older individuals. In addition, different vendor software and different versions from the same manufacturer give significantly different estimates of rotation and twist. 2DSTE may prove to be a valuable

#### References

- Murdoch DR, Love MP, Robb SD, McDonagh TA, Davie AP et al. (1998) Importance of heart failure as a cause of death. Changing contribution to overall mortality and coronary heart disease mortality in Scotland 1979–1992. Eur Heart J 19: 1829–1835.
- Torrent-Guasp F, Kocica MJ, Corno AF, Komeda M, Carreras-Costa F et al. (2005) Towards new understanding of the heart structure and function. Eur.J Cardiothorac Surg 27: 191–201.
- Ingels NB Jr, Hansen DE, Daughters GT, Stinson EB, Alderman EL et al. (1989) Relation between longitudinal, circumferential, and oblique shortening and torsional deformation in the left ventricle of the transplanted human heart. Circ Res 64: 915–927.
- Narula J, Vannan MA, DeMaria AN (2007) Of that Waltz in my heart. J Am Coll Cardiol 49: 917–920.
- Sengupta PP, Tajik AJ, Chandrasekaran K, Khandheria BK (2008) Twist Mechanics of the Left Ventricle Principles and Application. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging 1: 366–376.
- Taber LA, Yang M, Podszus WW (1996) Mechanics of ventricular torsion. J Biomech 29: 745–752.
- Kim HK, Sohn DW, Lee SE, Choi SY, Park JS et al. (2007) Assessment of left ventricular rotation and torsion with two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 20: 45–53.
- Park SJ, Miyazaki C, Bruce CJ, Ommen S, Miller FA et al. (2008) Left ventricular torsion by two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography in patients with diastolic dysfunction and normal ejection fraction. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 21: 1129–1137.
- Wang J, Naguch SF, Mathuria NS, Shih HT, Panescu D et al. (2009) Left ventricular twist mechanics in a canine model of reversible congestive heart failure: a pilot study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 22: 95–98.
- Saito M, Okayama H, Nishimura K, Ogimoto A, Ohtsuka T et al. (2009) Determinants of left ventricular untwisting behaviour in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: analysis by two-dimensional speckle tracking. Heart 95: 290– 296.
- Han W, Xie MX, Wang XF, Lu Q, Wang J et al. (2008) Assessment of left ventricular torsion in patients with anterior wall myocardial infarction before and after revascularization using speckle tracking imaging. Chin Med J (Engl ) 121: 1543–1548.
- Chang SA, Kim HK, Kim DH, Kim JC, Kim YJ et al. (2010) Left ventricular twist mechanics in patients with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: assessment with 2D speckle tracking echocardiography. Heart 96: 49–55.
- Blessberger H, Binder T (2010) NON-invasive imaging: Two dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: basic principles. Heart 96: 716–722.
- Prinzen FW, Arts T, Van d, V, Coumans WA, Reneman RS (1986) Gradients in fiber shortening and metabolism across ischemic left ventricular wall. Am J Physiol 250: H255–H264.
- Fonseca CG, Dissanayake AM, Doughty RN, Whalley GA, Gamble GD et al. (2004) Three-dimensional assessment of left ventricular systolic strain in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, diastolic dysfunction, and normal ejection fraction. Am J Cardiol 94: 1391–1395.
- Chung J, Abraszewski P, Yu X, Liu W, Krainik AJ et al. (2006) Paradoxical increase in ventricular torsion and systolic torsion rate in type I diabetic patients under tight glycemic control. J Am Coll Cardiol 47: 384–390.
- Gotte MJ, Germans T, Russel IK, Zwanenburg JJ, Marcus JT et al. (2006) Myocardial strain and torsion quantified by cardiovascular magnetic resonance

tool in the echocardiographic assessment of ventricular function in the future but at the moment the low feasibility of this technique would appear to limit its widespread use in clinical settings.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the SABRE participants and the SABRE team

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: CP KM TT NC AH. Performed the experiments: CP KM SW SK AG SJ. Analyzed the data: CP KM SW SK AG SJ TT NC AH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CP KM TT NC AH. Wrote the paper: CP KM TT SW SK AG SJ NC AH.

tissue tagging: studies in normal and impaired left ventricular function. J Am Coll Cardiol 48: 2002–2011.

- Notomi Y, Lysyansky P, Setser RM, Shiota T, PopoviÄ ZB et al. (2009) Measurement of ventricular torsion by two-dimensional ultrasound speckle tracking imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 45: 2034–2041.
- Helle-Valle T, Crosby J, Edvardsen T, Lyseggen E, Amundsen BH et al. (2005) New noninvasive method for assessment of left ventricular rotation: speckle tracking echocardiography. Circulation 112: 3149–3156.
- 20. van Dalen BM, Soliman OII, Vletter WB, Kauer F, van der Zwaan HB et al. (2009) Feasibility and reproducibility of left ventricular rotation parameters measured by speckle tracking echocardiography. European journal of echocardiography : the journal of the Working Group on Echocardiography of the European Society of Cardiology 10: 669–676.
- Goffinet CA, Chenot F, Robert A, Pouleur AC, le Polain DW et al. (2009) Assessment of subendocardial vs. subepicardial left ventricular rotation and twist using two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: comparison with tagged cardiac magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J 30: 608–617.
- Tillin T, Forouhi NG, McKeigue PM, Chaturvedi N (2010) Southall And Brent REvisited: Cohort profile of SABRE, a UK population-based comparison of cardiovascular disease and diabetes in people of European, Indian Asian and African Caribbean origins. Int J Epidemiol.
- Blackburn H (1969) Classification of the electrocardiogram for population studies: Minnesota code. J Electrocardiol 2(3): 305–310.
- Bland JM, Altman DG (1996) Measurement error [corrected and republished article originally printed in BMJ 1996 Jun 29;312(7047): 1654] [see comments]. BMJ 313: 744.
- Bland JM, Altman DG (1986) Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet 1: 307–310.
- Lin LI (1989) A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility. Biometrics 45: 255–268.
- 27. Rosner B (2006) Fundamentals of Biostatistics. Belmont, CA:Duxbury.
- 28. Adamu U, Schmitz F, Becker M, Kelm M, Hoffmann R (2009) Advanced speckle tracking echocardiography allowing a three-myocardial layer-specific analysis of deformation parameters. European journal of echocardiography : the journal of the Working Group on Echocardiography of the European Society of Cardiology 10: 303–308.
- Picano E, Pelosi G, Marzilli M, Lattanzi F, Benassi A et al. (1990) In vivo quantitative ultrasonic evaluation of myocardial fibrosis in humans. Circulation 81: 58–64.
- Flameng W, Wouters L, Sergeant P, Lewi P, Borgers M et al. (1984) Multivariate analysis of angiographic, histologic, and electrocardiographic data in patients with coronary heart disease. Circulation 70: 7–17.
- Russel IK, Gotte MJ, Kuijer JP, Marcus JT (2008) Regional assessment of left ventricular torsion by CMR tagging. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 10: 26.
- van Dalen BM, Soliman OI, Vletter WB, Ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse ML (2008) Age-related changes in the biomechanics of left ventricular twist measured by speckle tracking echocardiography. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 295: H1705–H1711.
- Oxenham HC, Young AA, Cowan BR, Gentles TL, Occleshaw CJ et al. (2003) Age-related changes in myocardial relaxation using three-dimensional tagged magnetic resonance imaging. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 5: 421–430.
- Takeuchi M, Nakai H, Kokumai M, Nishikage T, Otani S et al. (2006) Agerelated changes in left ventricular twist assessed by two-dimensional speckletracking imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 19: 1077–1084.