

Mao, Y; Tournier, AL; Hoppe, A; Kester, L; Thompson, BJ; Tapon, N; (2013) Differential proliferation rates generate patterns of mechanical tension that orient tissue growth. The EMBO Journal, 32 (21) 2790 - 2803. 10.1038/emboj.2013.197. Downloaded from UCL Discovery: http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1413271/.

### **ARTICLE**

# Differential proliferation rates generate patterns of mechanical tension that orient tissue growth

Yanlan Mao<sup>1,5</sup>, Alexander L Tournier<sup>2,5,\*</sup>, Andreas Hoppe<sup>3</sup>, Lennart Kester<sup>1</sup>, Barry J Thompson⁴, Nicolas Tapon¹,\*

- (1) Apoptosis and Proliferation Control Laboratory, (2) Mathematical Modelling Unit, (4) Epithelial Biology Laboratory. Cancer Research UK, London Research Institute, 44 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London, WC2A 3LY, United Kingdom.
- (3) Digital Imaging Research Centre, Faculty of Science, Engineering and Computing, Kingston University, Kingston-upon-Thames, KT1 2EE, United Kingdom.
- (5) These authors contributed equally to the work.
- \* Corresponding Authors: nic.tapon@cancer.org.uk; alexander.tournier@cancer.org.uk.

### **Abstract**

Orientation of cell divisions is a key mechanism of tissue morphogenesis. In the growing Drosophila wing imaginal disc epithelium, most cell divisions in the central wing pouch are oriented along the proximal-distal axis by the Dachsous-Fat-Dachs planar polarity pathway. However, cells at the periphery of the wing pouch instead tend to orient their divisions perpendicular to the proximal-distal axis despite strong Dachs polarization. Here we show that these circumferential divisions are oriented by circumferential mechanical forces that influence cell shapes and thus orient the mitotic spindle. We propose that this circumferential pattern of force is not generated locally by polarized constriction of individual epithelial cells. Instead, these forces emerge as a global tension pattern that appears to originate from differential rates of cell proliferation within the wing pouch. Accordingly, we show that localised overgrowth is sufficient to induce neighbouring cell stretching and reorientation of cell division. Our results suggest that patterned rates of cell proliferation can influence tissue mechanics and thus determine the orientation of cell divisions and tissue shape.

Keywords: differential proliferation, tension, growth, division orientation, computational modelling

#### Introduction

Multi-cellular tissues must grow in a spatially coordinated manner to reach their correct size and shape. The final structure is a result of the combined patterns of cell divisions, cell death, cell shape changes, and cell rearrangements (Lecuit & Le Goff, 2007). During the growth phase of development, the orientation of cell divisions can have a major influence on the shape and function of the final adult tissue (Ciruna et al, 2006; Gong et al, 2004; Keller, 2006). Morphogenesis then further sculpts this post-growth tissue mass through cell shape changes and cell rearrangements (Aigouy et al. 2010; Bosveld et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2008; Lecuit & Le Goff, 2007) to produce a tissue of the correct final shape.

In Drosophila, the cells in the wing epithelium divide preferentially along the proximal – distal (P-D) axis to give rise to a wing that is more elongated in the P-D axis (Baena-López et al, 2005). Loss of the orientation of cell divisions during growth can lead to misshapen wings (Baena-López et al, 2005; Mao et al, 2011). The control of cell division orientation has been extensively studied, both in unicellular systems, and in multi-cellular epithelial tissues. Several molecular pathways, including the Wnt-Fz and Dachsous-Fat-Dachs planar cell polarity pathways, have been implicated in the control of the mitotic spindle orientation (Morin & Bellaïche, 2011; Quesada-Hernandez et al, 2010; Ségalen et al, 2010). The role of the Dachsous-Fat pathway in mitotic spindle orientation has been shown to be due to its ability to polarize the unconventional myosin Dachs along the P-D axis (Mao et al, 2006; Mao et al, 2011).

Previous to these insights on the molecular control of cell division orientation, classical observations made over 150 years ago have suggested that cell geometry strongly dictates the mitotic cleavage plane (Hertwig, 1893; Hofmeister, 1863). Several studies have shown that cells align their mitotic spindle to their longest axis – a principle known as Hertwig's rule (Gibson et al, 2011; Hertwig, 1893; Minc et al, 2011; Strauss et al, 2006; Théry et al, 2007) – and to their external force field (Fink et al, 2011). In a multi-cellular tissue such as a growing epithelium, each cell's shape and division orientation is strongly influenced by the forces from neighbouring cells (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2010; Gibson et al, 2011). The cellular packing geometries of the wing epithelium, like many other tissue types, consist mainly of hexagons with other polygons occurring less frequently (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2010; Farhadifar et al, 2007; Gibson et al, 2006; Patel et al, 2009). In the wing disc, this geometry is further complicated by a gradient of cell shapes along the proximal distal axis (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2012; Jaiswal et al, 2006).

The unconventional myosin Dachs can affect cell geometry by generating local force anisotropies in the cell, leading either to polarized cell divisions in the third instar wing disc, or directing neighbour exchanges in the pupal notum (Bosveld et al, 2012; Mao et al, 2011). In the wing disc, Dachs biases cell elongation along the P-D axis, directing division proximodistally. This is particularly evident in the shape of clones in the distal (centre) portions of the wing pouch, which are strongly elongated along the P-D axis (Fig. 1A, B and Mao et al), a bias which disappears in the absence of Dachs polarization (Baena-López et al, 2005; Mao et al, 2011). Towards the proximal region (edge) of the wing pouch, this force of Dachs is less evident, despite a strongly polarized expression pattern (Brittle et al, 2012; Mao et al, 2006). Hence, other forces in the tissue must be more prominent in controlling cell geometry in the proximal edge regions of the wing disc. How these forces are generated and integrated to produce the patterned distribution of cell shapes in the wing disc is still unclear.

Here, we use experimental observations, quantitative image analysis and computational modelling to show that differential proliferation rates can generate global patterns of mechanical tension. In combination with local control of cell geometry, these global tension patterns can generate global cell shape patterns, which then orient cell divisions and growth in a developing epithelium. Our results suggest a mechanism that links the cell division rates with the cell division orientations, such that a tissue can simultaneously control its size and shape during proliferative growth, building a pre-shaped tissue mass for morphogenesis movements to sculpt and amplify.

### Results

Cell divisions are differentially oriented along the proximal-distal axis

Although distal (central) clones predominantly grow along the P-D axis in the wing disc, clones in the proximal part of the pouch, and in the hinge regions of the wing disc, grow perpendicular to the P-D axis (Fig. 1A-D), despite clear polarization of Dachs in this region (Brittle et al, 2012; Mao et al, 2006). This suggests that another mechanism overcomes Dachs-mediated orientation of cell divisions in the proximal wing. To investigate how the cell division orientations change along the P-D axis of the wing, we carried out live imaging of the proliferating wing imaginal disc (Fig. 1E, Movie S1). Since the hinge region is highly folded, we restricted our analysis of cell shapes and divisions to regions of the wing pouch

up to the first fold of the wing, which becomes the future wing blade (see Fig. 2B for example zones of interest, Fig. S1 for pupal and adult wings). As previously observed, cells in the distal region of the pouch divide with a strong bias along the P-D axis, but further from the distal-most point, this alignment becomes lost. Eventually, at the most proximal regions of the pouch, divisions are orientated with a bias perpendicular to the P-D axis, as observed using time-lapse videomicroscopy of wing discs culture *ex vivo* (Fig. 1E, F). These results are consistent with the changes in clone orientations along the P-D axis (Fig. 1D). Since cells divide along their longest axis, we checked the elongation orientation of the dividing cells just prior to mitosis, and observed the same trend. Cells are elongated with a P-D axis bias in the distal region (centre) of the wing pouch, but become more imperfectly aligned with increasing distance away from the distal-most point, eventually becoming almost perpendicular to the P-D axis in the proximal-most outer rim of the wing pouch (Fig. 1G).

The epithelial geometry of the wing disc changes during growth

Since the epithelial geometry of the wing disc strongly dictates the cell division orientations, and thus the future growth patterns of the wing, we decided to investigate how the geometry of the epithelium changes during development. Previous work had suggested a gradient of cell area distributions along the P-D axis (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2012; Jaiswal et al, 2006). We focused on six developmental stages of the wing disc, from 48 hours after egg laying (AEL) to 120 hours AEL, when the larvae is about to pupate and the wing disc is ready to undergo pupal morphogenesis (Fig. 2, and Sup. Fig. S4 for 60h wing disc). We concentrated on how the apical area, elongation, and orientation of cells in the wing pouch (yellow highlighted areas) evolve, both in time and spatially, along the P-D axis. We used custommade image segmentation software to extract these features from these different stages of wing disc development (Fig. 3, Materials and Methods). The most striking emergence of non-uniformity in the apical epithelial pattern occurs from 48 hours to 72 hours AEL. At 48 hours, the cells in the wing pouch show no measurable P-D bias in cell area and elongation (Fig. 3D, E-48h). Their orientations are also mostly random at this stage (Fig 3C-48h). However, by 72 hours, clear trends along the P-D axis are already visible. Overall, cells have a smaller apical area, but they are markedly larger in the proximal regions than in the distal regions, and become more elongated towards the proximal region (Fig. 3D, E-72h). The elongation orientation is also more defined at this stage, with more cells orienting perpendicular to the P-D axis as they become more proximal (Fig. 3C-48h, Fig S3). This pattern is sustained throughout the next 48 hours of growth, with little changes developing along the P-D axis, although at 96 and 120 hours, the cells do become slightly less elongated (see Discussion).

Global non cell-autonomous forces account for higher tension in the peripheral cells. We wondered how this pattern of cell geometries along the P-D axis could arise, and whether it could be generated on a purely mechanical basis, without the cells having different genetic identities. From a physical perspective, in order for the proximal cells to elongate circumferentially more than the distal cells, they must be experiencing different force anisotropies. This could result from four distinct mechanisms (Fig. 4A). The proximal cells could either "autonomously" (1) extend their proximal/distal edges or (2) constrict their lateral edges (Fig. 4A, B). On the other hand, they could either (3) be compressed or (4) stretched by tissue-wide forces (Fig. 4A).

To explore the first two possibilities, we examined the adherens junction component E-cadherin and Myosin-II, which are two key determinants of adhesion and cortical tension in this system (Lecuit, 2008). E-cadherin and Myosin-II light chain (Sqh) show no obvious anisotropies in their expression levels throughout the wing disc (Fig. S5A,B). However, phospho-Myo-II (p-Myo-II) stainings revealed higher myosin contractile activity on the proximal/distal edges of proximal cell, similar to Dachs polarisation (Fig S5C). This anisotropy in Myo-II activity would be expected to constrict the proximal/distal edges. However, since these are more elongated, and cell areas are larger, it is probable that p-

Myo-II accumulation is in fact counterbalancing a stronger external force, suggesting a non cell-autonomous global force is stretching the cells perpendicular to the P-D axis. Using laser ablation to reveal junctional tension (Cavey et al, 2008; Farhadifar et al, 2007), we could show that cells in the proximal region of the wing disc are under higher tension in their P/D junctions than their lateral junctions compared to cells in the distal centre of the wing (Fig. 4B-G, Movie S2). This result suggests that in the periphery of the wing disc, global forces are dominating the cell shape changes.

Computational modelling predicts that differential proliferation rate is a key mechanism in generating global mechanical tension patterns

Using a computational vertex model, we explored different hypotheses for how this global force around the periphery of the wing disc could be generated (Farhadifar et al, 2007; Mao et al, 2011). We simulated each mechanism to investigate whether the *in vivo* epithelial pattern could emerge from the simple rules in the *in silico* model. As a baseline, we used conditions where the whole tissue had uniform properties – uniform proliferation rates, uniform (low) friction against the substrate. Even when this simulated tissue reached 10,000 cells, the cell geometries across the tissue remained uniform, and there were no changes in cell area or elongation along the (*in silico*) P-D axis (Fig. 5A-C-1). We also 'induced' clones *in silico* during the simulations and tracked cell division orientations, and neither showed orientation changes along the P-D axis (Fig. 5D,E-1, Movie S3). Thus, there must be a difference in epithelial properties along the P-D axis for the epithelial geometry and the cell division orientations that we observe *in vivo* to emerge.

We reasoned that, as the tissue grows, cells need to slide outwards (Sup. Movie S3), so if there is a physical constriction belt or barrier on the periphery preventing this outward growth, pressure would build up from the centre, potentially building up peripheral tension on the outer cells. This belt could for example correspond to the pouch/hinge boundary, which is a deep, actin rich indentation in the tissue and could therefore form a physical barrier. We simulated this outer constriction belt by setting the friction on the outermost ring of cells 200x higher than the rest of the tissue, such that they would resist the outward growth motion of the tissue (black cells in Fig 5A-2, Movie S4). The cell areas did show a graded decrease across the epithelium (compare Fig. 5B-1 with Fig. 5B-2), suggesting that the constriction belt is slowing the growth of the epithelium, more in the centre than at the edge. However, there were no significant differences in cell elongation across the epithelium (Fig. 5B,C-2), and any slight elongations near the edge were all parallel to the P-D axis, rather than perpendicular to it (Fig. S4B). Clones and cell divisions also do not show a gradual circumferentially bias (90° to P-D) towards the edges of the in silico wing disc (Fig. 5D,E-2). Hence, in this simulation, the constriction ring is providing a physical force that does affect cell size, but not the cell orientations and divisions, as observed in vivo. This is in agreement with the fact that folds develop around 80hr AEL, at which point we already observe cell shape changes in the wing pouch (Fig. 2).

Another possibility to explain the stretching of proximal cells is if the whole tissue experiences substantial friction against the substratum (i.e, the extra-cellular matrix). Indeed, depletion of Collagen IV had a profound effect on wing disc shape (Pastor-Pareja & Xu, 2011). Since the outer cells have to 'slide' more than the inner cells to accommodate tissue growth, this creates an effective friction gradient (higher on the outer cells and lower at the centre). When we introduced uniform higher friction (1000x) into our model, which should mimic an *in vivo* friction gradient, we noticed a gradient of cell areas emerged along the P-D axis, similar to that observed *in vivo* (Fig. 5B-3, Movie S5). However, the slight elongation of cells towards the edge (Fig. 5C-3) was oriented along the P-D axis, rather than perpendicular to it (Fig. S6C). This is also reflected in the clone and cell division orientations, which became even more biased along the P-D axis towards the edge of the disc (Fig. 5D,E-3). Thus, altering the friction levels also did not reproduce *in vivo* cell geometry and growth patterns.

Alternatively, we considered the idea that faster growth in the centre than in the periphery might also lead to a pressure buildup in the centre and generate a circumferential force on the peripheral tissue. Indeed, a previous modelling study had suggested that mechanical distortions can occur at the interface between quiescent and proliferating cell populations (Li et al, 2012). Simulations with differential proliferation rates along the P-D axis generated an epithelial geometry that closely resembles that of the real wing imaginal disc, with larger cells that are elongated perpendicular to the P-D axis towards the edge (Fig. 5A,B,C-4, Fig. S6D). Clones and cell division orientations also show a gradual transition, showing a bias along the P-D axis in the centre, and a bias perpendicular to the P-D axis towards the edge of the disc (Fig. 5D,E-4, Movie S6). Therefore, a higher proliferation rate in the distal (centre) of the tissue is able to generate mechanical force anisotropies along the P-D axis such that the proximal cells are stretched more along the circumference of the disc, which orients cell divisions and clonal growth.

Altering proliferation rates in vivo affects cell shape, junctional tension and cell division orientation

Although our in silico explorations suggested that differential proliferation rates are a major driving force for generating patterns of global mechanical anisotropies, we wanted to examine whether ectopically altering proliferation rates in vivo could induce the same effects on epithelial cells. We generated clones of fast-proliferating cells and measured the consequences on neighbouring cells, thus testing whether a local increase in growth rate is sufficient to induce tension in neighbouring slower-proliferating cells. We performed these experiments in the hinge region of the wing, where the results are not complicated by the "endogenous" tension gradient we observe in the pouch. When we induced mutant clones for the Hippo pathway component warts (wts), which has been shown to result in tissue overgrowth (Justice et al, 1995; Xu et al, 1995) we observed considerable changes in neighbouring cells. Image segmentation shows that cells around the overgrowing clone become elongated perpendicular (circumferential) to the clone radius (Fig.6A-E). This alteration in cell elongation is correlated with increased tension in the circumferential junctions of wild type cells surrounding the clone, but a decrease in tension of the radial junctions, as revealed by laser ablation (Fig. 6F-H, Movie S7). Accordingly, cell divisions around the clones are also reoriented perpendicular to the clone radius (Fig. 6I-K). Together, these data show that in vivo, a local overgrowth can induce an increased tension in neighbouring cell junctions, which stretches cells and reorients divisions. This phenomenon is also reproducible in our in silico model where an acute local overgrowth can induce surrounding cells to become elongated perpendicular to the overgrowing clone (Fig. 6L-O), see Materials and Methods for details.

Differential proliferation rates occur in the early wing disc during normal development

To determine whether differential proliferation does indeed occur in the wing disc, we measured in detail the spatial and temporal patterns of proliferation rates in the wing disc, with particular emphasis on how the pattern changes along the P-D axis. Although many previous reports have found that proliferation rates are largely uniform in the wing disc (Schwank et al, 2011; Wartlick et al, 2011), these were mainly measured towards the end of wing disc development. We were particularly interested in the earlier stages, during the 48 hours to 72 hours of development AEL, since it is between these stages that we observe the largest change in epithelial geometry in the wing disc (Fig. 3). We focused our analysis on four 24-hour developmental periods (Fig. 7A-D) and measured how clones grew in different regions of the wing pouch during each of these 24-hour periods. GFP-labelled clones were induced at 48h, 60h, 72h or 96h AEL, and wing discs were dissected precisely 24 hours later (see Materials and methods for details). The number of cells in each clone was then counted in 3D to ensure that even the nuclei that were tightly packed on top of each other were counted, a particular problem in the distal centre region of the densely packed pseudo-stratified epithelium (see Fig. 7A'-D'). Simple 2D projections would result in an

underestimation of cell numbers. Only clones up to the first fold of the wing pouch were included in the analysis.

In early (second instar) discs (48-72 hours AEL), there is clearly a range of clone sizes, which is consistent with previous findings (González-Gaitán et al. 1994; Milán et al. 1996). When we plotted the cell proliferation rates (number of divisions per day) as a function of the clone's distance from the distal centre of the pouch, we found that proliferation was significantly faster in distal centre region of the pouch than in the proximal edge region (Fig. 7A). In the distal centre, an average of 3.5 divisions per day were measured, and at the proximal edge, 2.5 divisions per day. This range is consistent with reports of the average cell doubling times at this early stage being around 6 hours (Martín et al. 2009) to 10 hours (Johnston & Sanders, 2003). For the period 60-84h, the proliferation differential is still detectable, but has dropped to only 3 divisions per day in the centre. During 72-96h. proliferation rates drop throughout the pouch to a uniform 2 divisions per day and decreases further during 96-120h to about 1.2 divisions per day, which are both in agreement with previous measurements (Aliee et al, 2012; Martín et al, 2009; Neufeld et al, 1998). Statistical analysis of these two later periods shows that the data is consistent with a uniform proliferation profile (apart from the known zones of non proliferation at the dorsal-ventral boundary of the disc (Johnston & Edgar, 1998; O'Brochta & Bryant, 1985), as also measured in previous studies (Schwank et al, 2011; Wartlick et al, 2011). This is also consistent with the lack of significant changes in the epithelial geometry during 72-120h of wing disc growth. Note that from 60h to 84h, there is a significant progression in cell profile changes, reflecting the proliferation differential that is still occurring during this 24 hour developmental window (compare Fig. S4C,D with Fig. 3D,E-84h). Hence, there is a pattern of differential proliferation along the P-D axis in the wing disc that is maximal during 48-72h of development (which then slowly equilibrates), consistent with the emergence of nonuniformity in the epithelium during this period, and with the in silico simulations.

In vivo spatial and temporal patterns of proliferation rates can generate wild type growth patterns in silico

Since the *in vivo* proliferation differential is shallower than the steep differential used in the initial exploration (Fig. 5, Fig. S6), we wanted to investigate whether a gentle differential similar to that observed *in vivo* could also generate sufficient global tension anisotropies to produce the correct cell geometries and growth patterns in the wing disc. When we simulated the growth with a gentle proliferation differential over the whole '60h real time' growth period (Fig. S6E top), the correct trends in cell area and cell elongation ratio still occurred (Fig. 7E,F), with the cells getting larger and more elongated towards the edge. The orientation of the elongation also becomes more perpendicular to the P-D axis towards the proximal edge (Fig. S6E bottom two panels). The correct trends for clone orientations and cell divisions also occurred, with orientations becoming gradually less P-D axis oriented away from the distal centre (Fig. 7G,H).

To address whether just an initial period of differential proliferation can be sufficient to generate the growth patterns in the wing disc, we simulated the 48h-120h of wing growth by having differential proliferation rates as *in vivo* during 48-84 hours of growth, and then uniform proliferation for 84-120 hours (see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). This still gave us the same cell area and elongation trends (Fig. 7I, J). The clones also closely resembled that of wild type wing disc – elongated along the P-D axis in the distal centre, and perpendicular to P-D in the proximal edge (Fig. 7K, M, Movie S8). To confirm that this is indeed due to the orientation of cell divisions, we also tracked all cell divisions during this 72 hours of growth, and the same gradual trend was observed (Fig. 7L). Hence, the *in vivo* spatial and temporal patterns of proliferation rates can generate sufficient global patterns of tension to drive the correct orientation of cell divisions and tissue growth.

#### **Discussion**

Our findings indicate that cell geometries in the wing disc result from a balance between local cell autonomous forces and global non cell-autonomous forces. We show that the global force anisotropy in the wing disc is primarily due to differential proliferation, with the distal centre growing faster than the proximal edge of the wing disc. Indeed, ectopic localised overgrowth results in stretching of neighbouring cells and reorientation of cell divisions perpendicular to the overgrowing clone. This finding supports our model that the proliferation gradient observed in early wing imaginal discs acts as a driving force for increased peripheral tension. Myo-II recruitment to actin filaments can be promoted by tension, possibly though stretch-induced increase in Myo-II/actin association (Fernandez-Gonzalez et al, 2009; Kovacs et al, 2007; Uyeda et al, 2011); reviewed in (Fernandez-Gonzalez & Zallen, 2009). It is therefore possible that Myo-II is stabilised at the P-D junctions to counter-balance global tissue forces. Increased p-Myo-II (Fig. S5), along with increased Dachs through Dachsous/Fat signaling could combine at the P-D junctions to allow the distal cells to continue to elongate and divide along the P-D axis whilst preventing the proximal cells from becoming excessively stretched, thus maintaining tissue integrity.

Our mathematical model suggests that a central (distal) to edge (proximal) proliferation gradient such as that observed in vivo is sufficient to drive cell elongation and orient cell division in the periphery of a tissue. Interestingly, although the in vivo mimicking model (Fig. 7) accurately reproduces the in vivo trends in cell elongation and oriented cell division, the quantitative extent of elongation and division orientation bias is weaker in the model. We were interested in understanding the basis for this difference, leading us to compare the patterns of cell-cell neighbour exchanges (Lecuit & Lenne, 2007) in the model and in vivo using cell tracking of cultured wing discs (Fig. S7 & S8, Supplementary Materials and Methods). We observed that T1 transitions in the model are very rapid and stable, while in the developing wing disc this process is very slow. The situation in the larval wing disc is in contrast to the "decisive" manner in which cell-cell rearrangements occur in situations such as germ-band extension in the embryo, which involves active shrinkage of certain junctions (Bertet et al, 2004; Zallen & Wieschaus, 2004). This suggests that cells in the model can rapidly disperse excess tension by exchanging neighbours, which wing disc cells cannot do. This explains why the extent of proximal cell stretching, and therefore the bias in cell division orientation is less pronounced in silico than in vivo. It is impossible to prevent this rapid remodelling within the current implementation of the Vertex model. Future models should therefore be developed where the cell-cell plasticity of the tissue can be modulated to match that observed in vivo, perhaps by adding apposed membranes and representing the activity of adhesion molecules such as E-cadherin.

Recent theoretical work has suggested that mechanical feedback control of proliferation is a likely mediator of terminal proliferation arrest during wing disc development (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2007; Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2012; Hufnagel et al, 2007; Shraiman, 2005). In particular, the pattern of compression in the centre and stretching in the periphery has been proposed to account for equilibrating the differences in pro-growth signals between the centre of the pouch (distal), where cells are exposed to high levels of the Dpp and Wg morphogens versus the periphery (proximal) where cells are exposed to lower morphogen levels (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2012). The pro-growth transcriptional co-activator Yorkie (YAP in mammals), which has been reported to respond to a cell's mechanical environment (Dupont et al, 2011; Fernandez et al, 2011; Sansores-Garcia et al, 2011; Wada et al, 2011), has been suggested as a growth-regulatory sensor of these physical inputs (Aegerter-Wilmsen et al, 2012). While this is an attractive hypothesis, it was unclear how the patterns of stretch and compression observed in late discs arise in the first place. Our data suggest that early differences in proliferation rates in the centre versus the periphery likely account for these patterns, which might feed back to increase proliferation in stretched outer cells, leading to proliferation rate equilibration (as we and others observe in later stages – see Fig. 7C,D). The gradual, albeit slight, decrease in cell elongations observed towards the later stages of wing disc development (Fig. 3E) may reflect a possible outcome of this feedback

mechanism. The combined chemical and physical feedback control of proliferation rates should result in a more robust output of both growth rates and growth orientations. Further experiments are needed to show that there is indeed a physical feedback control of growth operating in the wing disc.

Our work suggests that small spatial differences in proliferation rates can lead to anisotropies in global tissue mechanics, that will drive epithelial patterning, and control future cell division orientations and hence tissue shape. In addition to cell shape and arrangement changes, this provides an elegant mechanism to sculpt tissue shape during growth and morphogenesis.

### **Materials and Methods**

Drosophila strains and genetics

Drosophila stocks were obtained from Bloomington stock centre, unless otherwise stated. Genotypes used: *yw hs.flp/+;;actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/*+ (Fig.1A); *w; Armadillo::GFP* (Fig. 1E); *w; E-cadherin::GFP* (Fig. 2, 4, gift from Yang Hong, (Huang et al, 2009); *yw;; FRT82B wts*<sup>x1</sup>/TM6b (Fig. 6, gift from Tian Xu (Xu et al, 1995)); *yw hs.flp;; FRT82B Ubi-GFP-nls* (Fig. 6); *yw hs.flp; E-cadherin::GFP; FRT82B Ubi-mRFP-nls* (Fig. 6, self generated); *yw hs.flp/+;;actin.FRT.CD2.FRT UAS.GFP/*+ (Fig. 7). Clones marked by expression of *actin.lacZ* were induced at 48-72 hours after egg laying by a 10-min heat shock to induce in *cis* FLP/FRT-mediated recombination. *FRT82B wts*<sup>x1</sup> mitotic recombination clones were induced by a 15 min heat shock.

# **Immunohistochemistry**

Discs were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed with PBT (PBS, 0,3% Triton X-100), blocked with PBT+0.1% BSA, and stained with primary and fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes and Jackson ImmunoResearch) with additional PBT washes. Primary antibodies used: rat anti-E-cad (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-□gal (Cappel), mouse anti-Tubulin (Sigma), rabbit anti-PH3 (Millipore).

For *E-cadherin::GFP* and *yw hs.flp/+;;actin.FRT.CD2.FRT UAS.GFP/+*, discs were washed in PBS after fixing, stained with Hoechst (Molecular Probes) to label the nuclei, and mounted after washing in PBS. Care was taken to preserve the discs' native shape by using double sided tape spacers between the slide and the coverslip, when necessary.

### Precise staging of wing disc development

For precise staging of wing disc development, 6 hour egg lays were grown at 25°C and larvae were dissected at the indicated times after egg laying (AEL). To correct for slight aging variations due to food, all wing discs were aged again according to their area post imaging, using the growth curve generated in (Bittig et al, 2009) as a reference. The area ranges ( $\Box$ m²) used to group the wing discs were: 48h: <5000, 60h: 5000-9000, 72h: 9000-20000, 84h: 20000-30000, 96h: 30000-50000, 120h: >50000. Since the discs were either used for cell shape extraction or clone size counting, the discs were not excessively flattened, and maintain their dome-like 3-dimensional structure, so the areas (in 2D) of the 96h and 120h wing discs may appear smaller than published results.

### Proliferation rate analysis

Discs were staged and aged as above. The following regimes were used for the different time windows to ensure correct clone density (all heat shocks were at 37°C):

48-72h and 60-84h rates: heat shock at 48h or 60 h AEL for 7min, dissect 24 h later.

72-96h and 96-120h rates: heat shock at 72 or 96 h AEL for 5 min, dissect 24 h later.

The number of nuclei in each clone was counted manually using a tailor-made 3D viewer and cell counting tool in Matlab to ensure that all nuclei were visible and could be easily

counted. Simple 2D Z projections and clone area estimates would often underestimate the number of nuclei in the clone, as many are densely packed on top of each other in this pseudo-stratified epithelium (see Fig. 7). This is particularly a problem in the centre of the disc where the nuclei are more densely packed. The number of divisions per day =  $log_2$  (number of cells in clone after 24h). The edge of the pouch (up to the first fold) is also marked during the analysis, hence the relative position of each clone in each disc, can be calculated.

# Fixed sample imaging

Fluorescent imaging of fixed samples were performed with a Leica SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope. For discs used in cell shape and proliferation rate analysis, Z intervals of  $0.5-1\mu m$  were used to achieve sufficient Z-resolution.

# Live Imaging

Live imaging of *armadillo::GFP* or *E-cadherin::GFP* wing discs were performed with a Perkin Elmer Spinning Disc microscope as in Mao et al, 2011. In order to simultaneously capture cell divisions at the distal centre and the proximal edge of the wing pouch, the field of view was focused on either the dorsal or ventral half of the wing disc (at 100hr AEL) with a 60x lens, see Movie S1.

#### Laser ablation

Laser ablation was performed on wing discs at ~100hr AEL using a Zeiss LSM780 inverted two-photon microscope with a Chameleon Ultra II laser (Coherent) tuned to 730nm at 20-50% power (depending on the output of the 3W laser). The ablation pixel dwell time was typically around 16µs, with 40x water lens and 10-14x digital zoom. The time-lapse sequence was acquired using the inverted Zeiss LSM 780 (single photon) scanning confocal with 0.7s lapse interval, either in single channel mode (when just imaging Ecad::GFP) or double channel simultaneous scanning (when imaging RFP and Ecad::GFP for the *wts* clone analysis).

For the *wts* clone experiments, since the nuclear RFP clonal marker is more basal than the apical Ecad::GFP signal, the correct junctions bordering the mutant and wild type tissues were first identified by scanning basally at the level of the RFP marker, and then moved to the junctional Ecad::GFP level for the ablation. After ablation, the focus was moved more basally again (whilst still imaging the same time lapse sequence) to confirm that the junction ablated is indeed at the clone boundary (see Movie S7).

To analyse the tension patterns in the wild type hinge regions, junctions of different anterior-posterior or dorsal-ventral orientations in both hinges were ablated and analysed separately (as 4 different populations). They did not show significant differences in their tension profiles, and the data sets were thus pooled to generate Fig. 6G,H. The same procedure was applied to the junctions affected by the *wts* clones in the hinge region.

Analysis of orientation of cell divisions and clone shapes with respect to P-D axis Systematic definition of P-D axis was done as in (Mao et al, 2011). Statistical analyses of the orientation of clone shapes and cell divisions were performed with tailor made software in Matlab. The user defined the distal most segment of the wing pouch as two points along the dorsal-ventral boundary, which also defines the centre of the disc. The user also marked the edge of the pouch (up to the first fold) to define the maximum distance from the centre. The user manually traced the outlines of clones, dividing cells (immediately prior to mitosis), the positions of the daughter cells. Ellipses were then fitted around the clones and cells, and their main axes and centres were used to calculate angles (relative to its theoretical P-D angle at that location) and its relative distance from the centre.

Automated image segmentation of cell geometries in wing discs and cell tracking

Please see Supplementary Materials and Methods, and Sup. Fig. S7 for details of the technique.

Analysis of the shape of cells surrounding wts loss of function clones

In order to analyse the effect of overgrowth induced by *wts* loss of function clones on their neighbouring cells, we used our automated image segmentation software to extract the cell outlines of cells surrounding the clones. We removed the cells actually in the clone (marked by lack of GFP), and segmented up to 5 rows of cells beyond the clone (or until the hinge cleft, red zone Fig. 6A"). Each cell's elongation ratio was calculated, and the angle of its major axis relative to the radius of the clone was also calculated (Fig. 6C). For each clone we picked the corresponding region of a wild type disc and drew a mock 'clone' of the same size and shape in the same position. We removed the cells in the 'clone' and segmented and analysed the cell shapes (up to 5 rows again) surrounding the 'clone'. Each cell's elongation ratio and angle relative to the 'clone' radius was analysed as for the *wts* clones. For each of the 5 *wts* clones analysed, and for the 5 corresponding control 'clones', the data were indistinguishable, and thus pooled.

# *In silico* overgrowing clones analysis

In order to mimic the *in vivo wts* loss of function experiments, simulated WT tissue was grown *in silico* until it reached a size of ~1000 cells, at which point an overgrowing cell-line was introduced in the centre of the tissue (cell-cycle 5 times faster than WT). The simulation was then left to run for a further 4 hours until the clones reached a size similar to the *in vivo* clones (~100 cells). Control simulations were performed by starting from the same WT tissue at ~1000 cells and then letting the tissue grow for a further 4 hours as was done for the fast growing clones. The snapshots of the simulated overgrowing clones were then fed into the same algorithm as was used in the *in vivo* case to measure cell orientation and elongation ratio of the cells around the clones.

Analysis of mitotic spindle orientation (cell division orientation) of cells surrounding *wts* loss of function clones

We modified the above clone shape and cell division analysis software in Matlab for spindle analysis. For the *wts* clones, the user clicks on the centre of the clones. We then analysed the orientation of the spindles that are on or close to the clone boundary, since only these cell shapes are affected. When the user clicks on the two ends of the mitotic spindle to define the spindle angle, the spindle orientation is calculated relative to the radius of the clone (as for the cell shape orientation above). As it is almost impossible to have a control disc with a WT clone in the same geometric position that also has mitotic spindles bordering the clone, we analysed whether the hinge spindle orientation has any natural patterns, which turned out not to be the case (Fig. 6K, red bars). Since most of the *wts* clones were in roughly the positions highlighted in Fig. 6J we decided to use these as our mock 'clonal' centres and analysed the spindle orientations of spindles close to these four 'centres'.

### Computer model

The vertex model adapted from Farhadifar et al, 2007, and modified in Mao et al, 2011, was used. See Supplementary Materials and Methods for details.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Y. Hong, P. Sinha, Bloomington Stock Centre and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank for providing material; LRI Light Microscopy for imaging support; R. Jenkins for discussions. This work was supported by Cancer Research UK. YM is supported by a Medical Research Council (MRC) Biomedical Informatics Fellowship (G0802456).

# **Author contribution**

YM: design, acquisition, analysis, and writing the article; AT: design, acquisition and analysis; AH: analysis; LK: analysis; BT: design; NT: design and writing the article.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary information is available at The EMBO Journal Online.

### References

Aegerter-Wilmsen T, Aegerter CM, Hafen E, Basler K. (2007) Model for the regulation of size in the wing imaginal disc of Drosophila. *Mech Dev*, Vol. 124, pp. 318-326.

Aegerter-Wilmsen T, Heimlicher MB, Smith AC, de Reuille PB, Smith RS, Aegerter CM, Basler K. (2012) Integrating force-sensing and signaling pathways in a model for the regulation of wing imaginal disc size. *Development*, Vol. 139, pp. 3221-3231.

Aegerter-Wilmsen T, Smith AC, Christen AJ, Aegerter CM, Hafen E, Basler K. (2010) Exploring the effects of mechanical feedback on epithelial topology. *Development*, Vol. 137, pp. 499-506.

Aigouy B, Farhadifar R, Staple DB, Sagner A, Röper JC, Jülicher F, Eaton S. (2010) Cell Flow Reorients the Axis of Planar Polarity in the Wing Epithelium of Drosophila. *Cell*, Vol. 142, pp. 773-786.

Aliee M, Roper JC, Landsberg KP, Pentzold C, Widmann TJ, Julicher F, Dahmann C (2012) Physical Mechanisms Shaping the Drosophila Dorsoventral Compartment Boundary. *Current Biology* **22**: 967-976

Baena-López LA, Baonza A, García-Bellido A. (2005) The orientation of cell divisions determines the shape of Drosophila organs. *Curr Biol*, Vol. 15, pp. 1640-1644.

Bertet C, Sulak L, Lecuit T. (2004) Myosin-dependent junction remodeling controls planar cell intercalation and axis elongation. *Nature*, Vol. 429, pp. 667-671.

Bittig T, Wartlick O, González-Gaitán M, Jülicher F. (2009) Quantification of growth asymmetries in developing epithelia. *Eur Phys J E Soft Matter*, Vol. 30, pp. 93-99.

Bosveld F, Bonnet I, Guirao B, Tlili S, Wang Z, Petitalot A, Marchand R, Bardet PL, Marcq P, Graner F, Bellaïche Y. (2012) Mechanical control of morphogenesis by Fat/Dachsous/Four-jointed planar cell polarity pathway. *Science*, Vol. 336, pp. 724-727.

Brittle A, Thomas C, Strutt D. (2012) Planar polarity specification through asymmetric subcellular localization of Fat and Dachsous. *Curr Biol*, Vol. 22, pp. 907-914.

Cavey M, Rauzi M, Lenne PF, Lecuit T. (2008) A two-tiered mechanism for stabilization and immobilization of E-cadherin. *Nature*, Vol. 453, pp. 751-756.

Ciruna B, Jenny A, Lee D, Mlodzik M, Schier AF. (2006) Planar cell polarity signalling couples cell division and morphogenesis during neurulation. *Nature*, Vol. 439, pp. 220-224.

Dupont S, Morsut L, Aragona M, Enzo E, Giulitti S, Cordenonsi M, Zanconato F, Le Digabel J, Forcato M, Bicciato S, Elvassore N, Piccolo S. (2011) Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. *Nature*, Vol. 474, pp. 179-183.

Farhadifar R, Roper JC, Aigouy B, Eaton S, Julicher F (2007) The influence of cell mechanics, cell-cell interactions, and proliferation on epithelial packing. *Curr Biol* **17**: 2095-2104

Fernandez BG, Gaspar P, Bras-Pereira C, Jezowska B, Rebelo SR, Janody F (2011) Actin-Capping Protein and the Hippo pathway regulate F-actin and tissue growth in Drosophila. *Development* **138**: 2337-2346

Fernandez-Gonzalez R, Simoes SdM, Roper J, Eaton S, Zallen JA. (2009) Myosin II Dynamics Are Regulated by Tension in Intercalating Cells. *Dev Cell*, Vol. 17, pp. 736-743.

Fernandez-Gonzalez R, Zallen JA (2009) Cell mechanics and feedback regulation of actomyosin networks. *Science signaling* **2**: pe78

Fink J, Carpi N, Betz T, Bétard A, Chebah M, Azioune A, Bornens M, Sykes C, Fetler L, Cuvelier D, Piel M. (2011) External forces control mitotic spindle positioning. *Nature Publishing Group*, Vol. 13, pp. 771-778.

Gibson MC, Patel AB, Nagpal R, Perrimon N. (2006) The emergence of geometric order in proliferating metazoan epithelia. *Nature*, Vol. 442, pp. 1038-1041.

Gibson WT, Veldhuis JH, Rubinstein B, Cartwright HN, Perrimon N, Brodland GW, Nagpal R, Gibson MC. (2011) Control of the Mitotic Cleavage Plane by Local Epithelial Topology. *Cell*, Vol. 144, pp. 427-438.

Gong Y, Mo C, Fraser SE. (2004) Planar cell polarity signalling controls cell division orientation during zebrafish gastrulation. *Nature*, Vol. 430, pp. 689-693.

González-Gaitán M, Capdevila MP, García-Bellido A. (1994) Cell proliferation patterns in the wing imaginal disc of Drosophila. *Mech Dev*, Vol. 46, pp. 183-200.

Hertwig O (1893) Über den Werth der ersten Furchungszellen für die Organbildung des Embryos. Experimentelle Studien am Frosch und Tritonei. . *Archiv für mikroscopische Anatomie* **42:** 662–807

Hofmeister W (1863) Zusatze und Berichtigungen zu den 1851 veroffentlichen Untersuchungengen der Entwicklung hoherer Kryptogamen. *Jahrbucher fur Wissenschaft und Botanik* **3:** 259–293

Huang J, Zhou W, Dong W, Watson AM, Hong Y. (2009) From the Cover: Directed, efficient, and versatile modifications of the Drosophila genome by genomic engineering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, Vol. 106, pp. 8284-8289.

Hufnagel L, Teleman AA, Rouault H, Cohen SM, Shraiman BI. (2007) On the mechanism of wing size determination in fly development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, Vol. 104, pp. 3835-3840.

Jaiswal M. (2006) Fat and Wingless signaling oppositely regulate epithelial cell-cell adhesion and distal wing development in Drosophila. *Development*, Vol. 133, pp. 925-935.

Jaiswal M, Agrawal N, Sinha P. (2006) Fat and Wingless signaling oppositely regulate epithelial cell-cell adhesion and distal wing development in Drosophila. *Development*, Vol. 133, pp. 925-935.

Johnston LA, Edgar BA (1998) Wingless and Notch regulate cell-cycle arrest in the developing Drosophila wing. *Nature* **394**: 82-84

Johnston LA, Sanders AL (2003) Wingless promotes cell survival but constrains growth during Drosophila wing development. *Nat Cell Biol* **5**: 827-833

Justice RW, Zilian O, Woods DF, Noll M, Bryant PJ (1995) The Drosophila tumor suppressor gene warts encodes a homolog of human myotonic dystrophy kinase and is required for the control of cell shape and proliferation. *Genes & development* **9:** 534-546

Keller R. (2006) Mechanisms of elongation in embryogenesis. *Development*, Vol. 133, pp. 2291-2302.

Keller R, Shook D, Skoglund P. (2008) The forces that shape embryos: physical aspects of convergent extension by cell intercalation. *Phys Biol*, Vol. 5, p. 015007.

Kovacs M, Thirumurugan K, Knight PJ, Sellers JR (2007) Load-dependent mechanism of nonmuscle myosin 2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**: 9994-9999

Lecuit T. (2008) "Developmental mechanics": cellular patterns controlled by adhesion, cortical tension and cell division. *HFSP J*, Vol. 2, pp. 72-78.

Lecuit T, Le Goff L. (2007) Orchestrating size and shape during morphogenesis. *Nature*, Vol. 450, pp. 189-192.

Lecuit T, Lenne PF (2007) Cell surface mechanics and the control of cell shape, tissue patterns and morphogenesis. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* **8:** 633-644

Li Y, Naveed H, Kachalo S, Xu LX, Liang J (2012) Mechanisms of regulating cell topology in proliferating epithelia: impact of division plane, mechanical forces, and cell memory. *PloS one* **7**: e43108

Mao Y, Rauskolb C, Cho E, Hu WL, Hayter H, Minihan G, Katz FN, Irvine KD. (2006) Dachs: an unconventional myosin that functions downstream of Fat to regulate growth, affinity and gene expression in Drosophila. *Development*, Vol. 133, pp. 2539-2551.

Mao Y, Tournier AL, Bates PA, Gale JE, Tapon N, Thompson BJ. (2011) Planar polarization of the atypical myosin Dachs orients cell divisions in Drosophila. *Genes Dev*, Vol. 25, pp. 131-136.

Martín FA, Herrera SC, Morata G. (2009) Cell competition, growth and size control in the Drosophila wing imaginal disc. *Development*, Vol. 136, pp. 3747-3756.

Milán M, Campuzano S, García-Bellido A. (1996) Cell cycling and patterned cell proliferation in the wing primordium of Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, Vol. 93, pp. 640-645.

Minc N, Burgess D, Chang F. (2011) Influence of Cell Geometry on Division-Plane Positioning. *Cell*, Vol. 144, pp. 414-426.

Morin X, Bellaïche Y. (2011) Mitotic Spindle Orientation in Asymmetric and Symmetric Cell Divisions during Animal Development. *Dev Cell*, Vol. 21, pp. 102-119.

Neufeld TP, de la Cruz AF, Johnston LA, Edgar BA. (1998) Coordination of growth and cell division in the Drosophila wing. *Cell*, Vol. 93, pp. 1183-1193.

O'Brochta DA, Bryant PJ (1985) A zone of non-proliferating cells at a lineage restriction boundary in Drosophila. *Nature* **313**: 138-141

Pastor-Pareja JC, Xu T. (2011) Shaping cells and organs in Drosophila by opposing roles of fat body-secreted Collagen IV and perlecan. *Dev Cell*, Vol. 21, pp. 245-256.

Patel AB, Gibson WT, Gibson MC, Nagpal R. (2009) Modelling and inferring cleavage patterns in proliferating epithelia. *PLoS Comput Biol*, Vol. 5, p. e1000412.

Quesada-Hernandez E, Caneparo L, Schneider S, Winkler S, Liebling M, Fraser SE, Heisenberg CP (2010) Stereotypical cell division orientation controls neural rod midline formation in zebrafish. *Curr Biol* **20**: 1966-1972

Sansores-Garcia L, Bossuyt W, Wada K, Yonemura S, Tao C, Sasaki H, Halder G (2011) Modulating F-actin organization induces organ growth by affecting the Hippo pathway. *EMBO J* **30**: 2325-2335

Schwank G, Tauriello G, Yagi R, Kranz E, Koumoutsakos P, Basler K. (2011) Antagonistic growth regulation by Dpp and Fat drives uniform cell proliferation. *Dev Cell*, Vol. 20, pp. 123-130.

Ségalen M, Johnston CA, Martin CA, Dumortier JG, Prehoda KE, David NB, Doe CQ, Bellaïche Y. (2010) The Fz-Dsh planar cell polarity pathway induces oriented cell division via Mud/NuMA in Drosophila and zebrafish. *Dev Cell*, Vol. 19, pp. 740-752.

Shraiman BI. (2005) Mechanical feedback as a possible regulator of tissue growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, Vol. 102, pp. 3318-3323.

Strauss B, Adams RJ, Papalopulu N. (2006) A default mechanism of spindle orientation based on cell shape is sufficient to generate cell fate diversity in polarised Xenopus blastomeres. *Development*, Vol. 133, pp. 3883-3893.

Théry M, Jiménez-Dalmaroni A, Racine V, Bornens M, Jülicher F. (2007) Experimental and theoretical study of mitotic spindle orientation. *Nature*, Vol. 447, pp. 493-496.

Uyeda TQ, Iwadate Y, Umeki N, Nagasaki A, Yumura S (2011) Stretching actin filaments within cells enhances their affinity for the myosin II motor domain. *PloS one* **6:** e26200

Wada K, Itoga K, Okano T, Yonemura S, Sasaki H (2011) Hippo pathway regulation by cell morphology and stress fibers. *Development* **138:** 3907-3914

Wartlick O, Mumcu P, Kicheva A, Bittig T, Seum C, Julicher F, Gonzalez-Gaitan M. (2011) Dynamics of Dpp Signaling and Proliferation Control. *Science*, Vol. 331, pp. 1154-1159.

Xu T, Wang W, Zhang S, Stewart RA, Yu W (1995) Identifying tumor suppressors in genetic mosaics: the Drosophila lats gene encodes a putative protein kinase. *Development* **121**: 1053-1063

Zallen JA, Wieschaus E (2004) Patterned gene expression directs bipolar planar polarity in Drosophila. *Dev Cell* **6:** 343-355

# **Figure Legends**

Figure 1. Clone orientations and division orientations change along the P-D axis.

(A) A wild type (WT) wing disc containing clones expressing *lacZ*. D marks the distal (centre) of the wing disc, and P marks the proximal ring (edge); scale = 50µm. The P-D axis during wing disc development is a radial axis. (B) Overlay of clones in the distal (centre) region from several wing discs. These are elongated along the P-D axis (radial). (C) Overlay of clones in the proximal (edge) region from several wing discs. These are elongated perpendicular to the P-D axis (circumferential). (D) The long axes of individual clones are oriented relative to the P-D axis at that position (perfect P-D alignment = 0°) and plotted against relative distance from the centre to the first fold (edge) of the wing pouch. Box plots show median and first and third quartiles, n=119 clones, only clones with an elongation ratio above 1.25 are plotted. (E) Snapshots from a live-imaged Arm::GFP wing disc, scale = 5µm. 0 min shows the dividing cell (marked by an asterisk) immediately prior to mitosis. At 30 min, cytokinesis has completed and the two daughter cells are formed. (F) The alignment of the two daughter cells immediately after mitosis in live-imaged discs is oriented relative to the P-D axis and plotted against its relative distance from the centre to the first fold of the pouch. Box plots show median and first and third quartiles, n=110 dividing cells. Only mother cells with elongation ratios (long/short axis) > 1.3 are plotted. (G) The long axis of each dividing cell immediately prior to mitosis in live-imaged discs is oriented relative to the P-D axis and plotted against its relative distance from the centre to the first fold (edge) of the pouch. Box plots show median and first and third quartiles, n=110 dividing cells. Only cells with elongation ratios (long/short axis) > 1.3 are plotted.

# Figure 2. Wing disc development.

Confocal micrographs of wing discs fixed at the indicated ages after egg laying (AEL). (A) Hoechst staining labels nuclei. Scale =  $100\mu m$ . (B) Wing discs expressing E-cadherin::GFP at endogenous levels, marking the adherens junctions to show the apical cell geometries. Scale =  $20\mu m$ . Yellow ellipses mark the areas of wing discs used for analysis. For 48-72h wing discs, the Nubbin expression domain is used (Fig. S2), for older wing discs, an elliptical zone up to the first visible fold is used. (C) A magnified view of the white square region marked in B, scale =  $4\mu m$ . Note that folds in the surface of the wing disc appear at approximately 80hr AEL.

# Figure 3. Quantification of cell geometries in the developing wing disc.

(A) The individual cell areas extracted from segmented images of fixed single wing pouches at the shown ages AEL. Scale = 25µm. (B) The individual cell elongation ratios extracted from the same wings as A. Scale = 25µm. (C-E) Averaged data from multiple wing discs: n=6 (48h), n=12 (72h), n=11 (84h), n=12 (96h), n=10 (120h). (C) Elongation orientation of cells averaged over a minimum of 10 cells. The length of the bar indicates the extent of elongation, direction of the bar indicates orientation. (D) Mean apical area of cells plotted against its relative distance from the distal centre to the proximal edge of the pouch. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (E) Mean elongation ratios of cells plotted against its relative distance from the distal centre to the proximal edge of the pouch. Error bars indicate s.e.m.

# Figure 4. Patterns of mechanical tension in the wing disc.

(A) A schematic representation of the different mechanisms in which a cell (marked in orange) could elongate, starting from the isotropic configuration in the centre. 1: Local cell-autonomous extension of yellow junctions. 2: Local cell autonomous constriction of red junctions. 3: Global non cell-autonomous compression forces leading to constriction of the red junctions. 4: Global non cell-autonomous stretching forces leading to extension of yellow junctions. (B) In the wing disc, the junctions highlighted in yellow are the proximal/distal (P/D) junctions, and the junctions in red are the lateral junctions. The orientation of the wing disc is highlighted by the direction of the distal (centre) and the proximal (edge). (C) Ecadherin::GFP wing disc with the regions used for laser ablation in (D and E) highlighted.

Red: distal centre, blue: proximal edge. **(D)** P/D junctions. Plot of increase in distance ( $\mu$ m) between the vertices of the cut junction (D-D<sub>0</sub>) against time (seconds) after laser cut, mean±s.e.m. Blue = P/D junctions in the proximal (edge) region. Red = P/D junctions in the distal (centre). **(E)** Lateral junctions, as in D. Green = lateral junctions in the proximal (edge) region. Magenta = lateral junctions in the distal (centre). **(F)** Snapshots of an example laser ablation of a junction at the indicated time points (see Movie S2). The cut was performed at 3.405 seconds and the recoil imaged for at least 15 seconds. F" shows an overlay of the junction before cut (red) and 11s after cut (green). **(G)** The initial (maximum) recoil velocity of the vertices after the cut. Represented as mean±s.e.m. For cells at the proximal (edge) of the disc: P/D junctions (blue): velocity =  $1.09\pm0.20~\mu$ m/s, n=47; lateral junctions (green): velocity =  $0.58\pm0.18~\mu$ m/s, n=30. For cells at the distal centre: P/D junctions (red): velocity =  $0.73\pm0.16~\mu$ m/s, n=53; lateral junctions (magenta): velocity =  $0.52\pm0.2~\mu$ m/s, n=28. The average ratio of P/D to lateral junctions at the proximal edge is 1.87, higher than the average ratio of 1.4 at the distal centre of the pouch. Ablation experiments were performed at ~100hr AEL.

**Figure 5.** Computational exploration of the different mechanisms that can generate global forces to elongate cells in the periphery of the disc.

A radial (P-D) polarization of Dachs is applied to all simulations to mimic the *in vivo* Dachs polarization patterns. (A) Snapshots of a quadrant of the *in silico* wing discs after '60h real time' simulations (equivalent to between 2-10 hours computational run time, depending on scenario). (B-E) All graphs show relative distance from the centre of the disc on the X-axis. (B) Cell area (arbitrary units) at end point. Error bars represent s.e.m. (C) Cell elongation ratios at end point. Error bars represent s.e.m. (D) Clones are induced *in silico* and their elongation orientations (relative to the radial P-D axis) are recorded at end point. Plots show median and first and third quartiles. Note the baseline bias of orientations towards the P-D axis, due to Dachs. (E) Cell division orientations are tracked throughout the run. Showing median and first and third quartiles. See Sup. Fig. S6 for detailed analysis of cell elongation orientations. The profile of the steep differential proliferation in mechanism (4) is shown in Fig. S6D top panel.

### **Figure 6.** The effect of altering proliferation rates *in vivo*.

(A) wts mutant clones marked by lack of nuclear GFP and stained for E-cadherin in the hinge region of the wing disc, scale = 10µm. A more basal GFP section is used to show the nuclear GFP signal. (A") Schematic to represent the grey mutant cells removed from the cell shape analysis and the surrounding red cells used in the analysis in D. (B) The hinge region of a wild type wing disc stained for E-cadherin, scale = 10µm. The red region is the corresponding control cells used for cell shape analysis in E. (C) Scheme of how the cell shapes around the clones are quantified (see Materials and Methods). (D) Cell shape analysis of cells surrounding wts clones (n=5 clones). Majority of cells around the clone are elongated circumferentially around the clone (tangential). (E) Cell shape analysis of cells surrounding WT 'clones' (n=5 clones, each in corresponding regions to each wts mutant clone). Cells are less elongated and show no specific orientation patterns. (F) A wts mutant clone marked by absence of nuclear RFP and simultaneously expressing an Ecadherin::GFP transgene to allow live imaging of cell junctions for laser ablation. The blue arrowhead marks a typical circumferential junction of a wild type cell bordering the mutant clone that was cut for the analysis (see Movie S7). The green arrowhead marks a typical radial junction as used in the analysis. (G) Plot of increase in distance (µm) between the vertices of the cut junction (D-D<sub>0</sub>) against time (seconds) after laser cut, mean±s.e.m. Blue = circumferential junctions of wild type cells surrounding wts mutant tissue, green = radial junctions of wild type cells surrounding wts mutant tissue, red = wild type hinge junctions. (H) The initial (maximum) recoil velocity of the vertices after the cut. Represented as mean±s.e.m. For circumferential junctions surrounding wts mutant tissue (blue), velocity = 0.79±0.21 µm/s, n=39 junctions; for radial junctions surrounding wts mutant tissue (green),

velocity =  $0.14\pm0.13~\mu\text{m/s}$ , n=30 junctions; for WT hinge junctions (red), velocity =  $0.37\pm0.15~\mu\text{m/s}$ , n=50 junctions. (I) wts mutant clone (lack of GFP) stained for tubulin and PH3 to identify mitotic spindle orientation, scale =  $50\mu\text{m}$ . Only spindles close to clone boundaries are used for analysis in K (see Materials and Methods). (J) A WT wing disc stained for tubulin and PH3, scale =  $50\mu\text{m}$ . Circles show typical control 'clone' regions used for WT hinge spindle analysis. (K) Spindles surrounding wts mutant clones (blue) are oriented more circumferentially around the clone (tangential), whereas spindles in WT hinges show no orientation bias. (L) in silico simulation of an acute overgrowing clone (black cells) in wild type tissue. (M) Control simulation where there is no acute overgrowing clone. (N) Cells surrounding the overgrowing clone (pink cells in L) elongate perpendicular to the clone radius. (O) Without acute overgrowth, cells show no elongation bias around the 'clone'.

**Figure 7.** Differential proliferation rates measured *in vivo* are sufficient to orient divisions and clonal growth.

(A-D) Proliferation rates (number of divisions / day) for the different 24h developmental time windows shown. Individual points are mean±s.e.m. Dotted lines show 95% confidence intervals of the fit of the proliferation profiles. (A'-D'). An example of wing discs used for the proliferation rate analysis showing 3D views. Green=GFP expressing clones. Red = Hoechst stain for nuclei. (E-H) Analysis of cell area, elongation ratio, clone orientation, and cell division orientations, when using a shallow differential proliferation for '60h real time' simulations. See Sup. Fig. S6E for proliferation profile used. This shallow profile is sufficient to produce the correct trends in cell behaviours as in vivo. (I-M) Analysis of the 'in vivo mimicking' simulation. The exact spatial and temporal changes in proliferation profiles as measured in vivo are used for '72h real time' simulations. A uniform array of about 150 cells is used as the 48h-starting configuration (as in vivo, Fig. 3). Clones are 'induced' at 48h. Differential proliferation occurs until 84h, followed by uniform proliferation, using the rates measured in (A-D). Cell areas (I), cell elongation ratios (J), and clone orientations (K) at the end (120h). Cell divisions throughout the run are tracked (L). Box plots show median and first and third quartiles. (M) Snapshot of the end point showing the pattern of clonal growth that closely matches that of in vivo clones.