

Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Prediction of fat-free mass using bioelectrical impedance analysis in young adults from five populations of African origin.
Authors: Luke A, Bovet P, Forrester TE, Lambert EV, Plange-Rhule J, Dugas LR, Durazo-Arvizu RA, Kroff J, Richie WN, Schoeller DA
Journal: European journal of clinical nutrition
Year: 2013 Sep
Issue: 67
Volume: 9
Pages: 956-60
DOI: 10.1038/ejcn.2013.123

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculty of Biology and Medicine



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Eur J Clin Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Clin Nutr. 2013 September ; 67(9): 956–960. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2013.123.

Prediction of Fat-Free Mass Using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis in Young Adults from Five Populations of African Origin

Amy Luke^{1,§,*}, Pascal Bovet², Terrence E. Forrester³, Estelle V. Lambert⁴, Jacob Plange-Rhule⁵, Lara R. Dugas^{1,*}, Ramon A. Durazo-Arvizu^{1,*}, Jacolene Kroff⁴, Whitney N. Richie¹, and Dale A. Schoeller^{6,*}

¹Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL, USA

²Institute of Social & Preventive Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland & Ministry of Health, Republic of Seychelles

³Tropical Medicine Research Institute, University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston, Jamaica

⁴Research Unit for Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

⁵Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana

⁶University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

Abstract

Background/Objectives—Bio-electrical impedance analysis (BIA) is used in population and clinical studies as a technique for estimating body composition. Because of significant underrepresentation in existing literature, we sought to develop and validate predictive equation(s) for BIA for studies in populations of African origin.

Subjects/Methods—Among five cohorts of the Modeling the Epidemiologic Transition Study (METS), height, weight, waist circumference and body composition, using isotope dilution, were measured in 362 adults, ages 25 to 45 with mean BMIs ranging from 24 to 32. BIA measures of resistance and reactance were measured using tetrapolar placement of electrodes and the same model of analyzer across sites (BIA 101Q, RJL Systems). Multiple linear regression analysis was used to develop equations for predicting FFM, as measured by isotope dilution; covariates included sex, age, waist, reactance and height²/resistance, along with dummy variables for each site. Developed equations were then tested in a validation sample; FFM predicted by previously published equations were tested in the total sample.

Results—A site-combined equation and site-specific equations were developed. The mean differences between FFM (reference) and FFM predicted by the study-derived equations were

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Scorresponding author: aluke@lumc.edu; Phone: 708 327 9011; Fax 708 327 9009.
*These authors contributed equally to this work

Conflict of interest No authors declare any competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

between 0.4–0.6 kg (i.e. 1% difference between actual and predicted FFM) and the measured and predicted values were highly correlated. The site-combined equation performed slightly better than the site-specific equations and the previously published equations.

Conclusions—Relatively small differences exist between BIA equations to estimate FFM, whether study-derived or published equations, although the site-combined equation performed slightly better than other. The study-derived equations provide an important tool for research in these understudied populations.

Keywords

predictive equation; body composition; epidemiology

Introduction

Increases in the prevalences of overweight and obesity, often found coexisting with undernutrition, are occurring worldwide, prompting researchers to test methods for determining body composition in relatively resource-poor settings. Body mass index (BMI) is a universally accepted metric for the comparison of body sizes across individuals and populations. It correlates fairly well with adiposity and is simple to perform (1), however, it may not provide adequate detail regarding body composition for a range of epidemiologic inquiries such as assessing the association between physical activity and adiposity.

The gold standard techniques, i.e. isotope dilution or dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), provide accurate measures of body composition (2), however, the cost and expertise required are often unrealistic when conducting large population-based field studies, particularly in resource-poor environments. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) has been used as a less expensive alternative for estimating body composition in both adults and children (3). The accuracy of BIA is affected by several physiologic variables such as extraand intracellular electrolyte concentrations, limb length, and body water distribution which can vary by age, gender and ethnicity (4). The potential for these physiologic variables to differ across populations has led investigators to propose that population-specific equations be developed when using BIA to estimate body composition.

There are relatively few BIA equations developed specifically for use among healthy African-origin populations (5, 6), although the BIA method has been utilized for a number of nutritional studies among children (7–11), in pregnancy and lactation (12), as well as in disease states such as sickle cell disease (13, 14) and HIV (12, 15–17).

The aim of the present study was to develop and validate an equation for the estimation of fat-free mass (FFM) by BIA, using isotope dilution as the reference method, in adults of African descent enrolled in the Modeling the Epidemiologic Transition Study (METS) (18). METS is a longitudinal examination of the relationships between physical activity, diet and other lifestyle factors and the development of obesity and cardiovascular and diabetes risk factors among African-origin cohorts of young adults from five countries at differing stages of social and economic development.

Methods

Sampling and other methods used in METS have been described in detail previously (18). Briefly, 500 adults, 25 to 45 years (~50% women), were enrolled in each of five study sites between January 2010 and December 2011: rural Nkwantakese, Ghana; urban Khayelitsha, Cape Town, South Africa; urban Kingston, Jamaica; the island of Mahé, Seychelles; and suburban Maywood, Illinois, United States. All of the participants were of predominantly African descent in the five countries. The study sites were selected to represent a broad range of social and economic development as defined by the UN Human Development Index (HDI) 2011: i.e., Ghana as a low middle HDI country [HDI rank 135], South Africa as middle [123], Jamaica [80] and the Seychelles as high [52], and the US as a very high HDI country [4] (19). The samples are not meant to be representative of the countries as a whole but are, however, characteristic of broad lifestyle patterns common to each site. Individuals with infectious diseases, including HIV-positive individuals, and pregnant or lactating women, as well as persons with conditions preventing normal physical activities, e.g. lower extremity disability were excluded from participation in METS. The protocol for METS was approved by the institutional review boards or ethics committees of all participating institutions and written, informed consent was obtained from all participants by local investigators in the local language or dialect (18)

All procedures conducted in METS followed protocols standardized across all sites with centralized training completed prior to the start of participant recruitment (18). The sample size for these analyses was determined by the requisite sample needed for the comparison of total energy expenditure across the METS cohorts, as assessed by the doubly labeled water method. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using the same model balance at all sites (Seca 770, Hamburg, Germany); height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm (e.g. Invicta Stadiometer, Invicta, London, UK). A single-frequency (50 kHz) impedance analyzer (model BIA 101Q; RJL Systems, Clinton Township, MI) was used to obtain measures of resistance; a tetrapolar placement of electrodes on the right hand and foot was employed with the participant in the supine position (20). Total body water was measured as a constituent of the measurement of total energy expenditure by doubly labeled water, using dilution with stable isotopes, i.e., the average of total body water spaces as measured by deuterium and ¹⁸oxygen was used as the reference method (21). Fat-free mass was calculated from total body water using a hydration constant (0.73) (22).

The participants were randomly divided into an equation development sample (66.7% of total) and a validation sample (remaining 33.3% of total) using a random sample generator (StataSE, version 12; College Station, TX). Descriptive statistics including means and distributions of all variables were calculated. In the equation development sample, multiple linear regression analysis was used to develop equations for predicting FFM as measured using isotope dilution, from resistance measured by BIA. Because of documented differences in anthropometric variables across the five cohorts, e.g., height and adiposity, three sets of equations were developed: equation 1 incorporated relevant covariates from all sites combined; equation 2 included dummy variables for each site along with covariates; equation set 3 resulted from equations being developed with covariates for each site individually. Covariates included sex (male = 0 and female = 1), age in years, waist

circumference in cm, reactance in ohm and, following well-established convention, height²/

resistance (cm²/ohm) measured by bioimpedance, as well as dummy variables created to characterize each site. To determine whether or not site-by-weight interactions terms were significant, a partial F test was conducted.

The equations which were derived were tested for predictive ability in the validation sample. Three parameters were computed, the coefficient of determination (R^2) , the mean squared error (MSE), and the concordance correlation coefficient (23). Performance of developed equations for the prediction of FFM was also tested using all available data (n=362) against previously published equations derived using participant samples which were assumed by Dioum et al. to consist of at least some ethnically black participants (5, 24–32). Like the current study, all of the previously published equations were developed using isotope dilution as the reference method (either deuterium dilution alone, the average of deuterium and 18O dilution, or tritium dilution) and all but one (Segal; Daninger Medical Technology, Columbus, OH) used bioimpedance analyzers from the same manufacturer (RJL Systems). The comparison was carried out using four parameters, namely, the average bias (average differences between predicted and measured FFM), concordance correlation coefficient (measures linear association between predicted and measured FFM, as well as shifts in the predicted compared to the measured FFM values), total error (bias standard deviation), and pure error (the average squared bias). Furthermore, Bland-Altman plots were used to assess the graphical agreement between predicted and measured FFM values.

Results

A total of 362 participants completed the stable isotope protocol, approximately 72 individuals per site. The participants were randomized to either the equation development sample (n=244) or validation sample (n=118). Participant characteristics by site, sex and sample group are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences in characteristics between the development sample and the validation sample by site or between the total samples (all p>0.10). However, as a result of the design, mean BMI in both the development and validation samples varied widely across sites, ranging from a combined-gender mean of 24 in Ghana to greater than 30 in the United States (p<0.01 for trend). Other anthropometric measures were consistent with the trends in BMI, e.g., the Ghanaians were about 8 cm shorter than the United States groups and had much lower body weight as well as smaller abdominal circumferences.

Considering the marked anthropometric differences across the five sites and the potential for body geometry to influence measurements of resistance and reactance, site-specific equations were derived and tested. Three sets of equations were developed for predicting FFM from resistance as measured using BIA (Table 2). Age, waist circumference, reactance and site-by-weight interactions were found to be not significant and were omitted from final models. Equation 1 resulted when all participants regardless of study site were included in the modeling; the proportion of variance in FFM explained by these variables (R^2) was 89%. The second set of equations; inclusion of the dummy site variables improved the R^2 and MSE modestly (R^2 : 91%). Each equation in the third set, 3a - 3e, was developed using

only those data generated in each site; (R^2 varied from 86% to 95%, with a range of associated mean square errors).

Mean FFM (reference method) in the validation samples was 48.8 kg, whereas the mean FFM estimated by the METS equations were larger by 1%, i.e. mean differences of only 0.4–0.6 kg (Table 2). Overall, all three study-derived equations exhibited high agreement with the reference values determined by isotope dilution (concordance correlation=0.93–0.94). In the combined sample (Table 3), previously published equations yielded differences in mean FFM of 0.6–0.9 kg compared to the reference values. The concordance correlation coefficient was largest for our equation (0.94) compared to any of the other equations previously reported (range 0.86–0.92). Similarly, the average bias and error was smallest for the newly developed equation (average bias = -0.1, error = 3.4 and pure error = 3.4). The Bland-Altman plot (Figure 1) confirms the high level of concordance and small bias associated with the new equation.

Discussion

Three sets of equations were developed to estimate FFM from resistance as measured by BIA and validated for use among young adults from five populations of African origin. Two of the three sets (2.a–2.e and 3.a–3.e) provide equations specific to each of the cohorts, however, the equation developed using the data from all sites combined yielded the smallest bias as well as the highest concordance correlation with the reference values, although the differences resulting from the different equations were minor. The single, site-combined equation is thus recommended for use in these five populations and may be relevant for other African-origin groups, as anthropometric measurements varied widely across sites and the site-combined equation captures this variability.

There has been a multitude of equations for the prediction or estimation of total body water or FFM using BIA published over the last 25 or so years. Relatively few have been derived using data from African-origin or ethnically black populations; it is not clear, however, whether or not biomedical research has been hindered by this under-representation. A 2005 review by Dioum et al. examined the validity of a wide variety of published BIA equations for the prediction of total body water in Senegalese women, some derived from all white or all black samples, some from ethnically mixed samples and some from samples of unknown ethnic composition (33). The authors concluded that there was little difference in the accuracy of existing equations based on the ethnic origins of the development sample i.e., equations developed from wholly or largely African-origin or black participants did not predict total body water better than those from non-African participants (33). In agreement with the conclusions of Dioum et al., most of the published equations that were tested against FFM measured using isotope dilution in the present study gave comparable estimates of concordance, bias and error; our own equations were better at estimating FFM, although not dramatically. The equations developed for use in METS have the advantage of being derived from wholly black community-based samples of healthy young adults, which may be better accepted for use in black populations by biomedical researchers and physicians.

In conclusion, bioelectrical impedance analysis, although fraught with imprecision relative to gold standard methods such as isotope dilution or DXA, has the potential to be an important tool for assessing nutritional status and chronic disease risk, particularly for epidemiologic research in resource-poor settings. There has, however, historically been a dearth of equations developed specifically for use in healthy African populations, although a few do exist (5, 6) and others have been created with African Americans included in the development samples (6, 24). The validated equations presented here performed better than a sampling of existing equations and provide a viable option for the estimation of body composition by BIA among African-origin populations.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the site-specific clinic staff members as well as the 2,500 participants. METS is funded in part by the National Institutes of Health (1R01DK80763).

References

- NHLBI Obesity Education Initiative. Practical Guide to the Identification, Evaluation and Treatment
 of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. In: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services., editor.
 National Heart LaBI. Washington: 2000. DC; NIH Publication Number 02-4084
- 2. Roche, AF.; Heymsfield, SB.; Lohman, TG. Human Body Composition. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1996. p. 25-78.
- Lukaski HC, Bolonchuk WW, Hall CB, Siders WA. Validation of tetrapolar bioelectrical impedance method to assess human body composition. J Appl Physiol. 1986 Apr; 60(4):1327–1332. [PubMed: 3700310]
- Snijder MB, Kuyf BE, Deurenberg P. Effect of body build on the validity of predicted body fat from body mass index and bioelectrical impedance. Ann Nutr Metab. 1999; 43(5):277–285. [PubMed: 10749027]
- Leman CR, Adeyemo AA, Schoeller DA, Cooper RS, Luke A. Body composition of children in south-western Nigeria: validation of bio-electrical impedance analysis. Ann Trop Paediatr. 2003 Mar; 23(1):61–67. [PubMed: 12648327]
- Schoeller DA, Luke A. Bioelectrical impedance analysis prediction equations differ between African Americans and Caucasians, but it is not clear why. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000 May.904:225– 226. [PubMed: 10865745]
- Wells JC, Hawton K, Darch T, Lunn PG. Body composition by 2H dilution in Gambian infants: comparison with UK infants and evaluation of simple prediction methods. Br J Nutr. 2009 Dec; 102(12):1776–1782. [PubMed: 19682404]
- Buffa R, Floris G, Marini E. Assessment of nutritional status in free-living elderly individuals by bioelectrical impedance vector analysis. Nutrition. 2009 Jan; 25(1):3–5. [PubMed: 18849147]
- Hawkesworth S, Prentice AM, Fulford AJ, Moore SE. Dietary supplementation of rural Gambian women during pregnancy does not affect body composition in offspring at 11–17 years of age. J Nutr. 2008 Dec; 138(12):2468–2473. [PubMed: 19022974]
- Prins M, Hawkesworth S, Wright A, Fulford AJ, Jarjou LM, Prentice AM, et al. Use of bioelectrical impedance analysis to assess body composition in rural Gambian children. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008 Sep; 62(9):1065–1074. [PubMed: 17622262]
- VanderJagt DJ, Morales M, Thacher TD, Diaz M, Glew RH. Bioelectrical impedance analysis of the body composition of Nigerian children with calcium-deficiency rickets. J Trop Pediatr. 2001 Apr; 47(2):92–97. [PubMed: 11336142]
- Medoua GN, Nana ES, Essa'a VJ, Ntsama PM, Matchawe C, Rikong HA, et al. Body composition of Cameroonian lactating women determined by anthropometry, bioelectrical impedance, and deuterium dilution. Nutrition. 2011 Apr; 27(4):414–419. [PubMed: 21168308]

- VanderJagt DJ, Trujillo MR, Jalo I, Bode-Thomas F, Glew RH, Agaba P. Pulmonary function correlates with body composition in Nigerian children and young adults with sickle cell disease. J Trop Pediatr. 2008 Apr; 54(2):87–93. [PubMed: 17901067]
- VanderJagt DJ, Okolo SN, Rabasa AI, Glew RH. Bioelectrical impedance analysis of the body composition of Nigerian children with sickle cell disease. J Trop Pediatr. 2000 Apr; 46(2):67–72. [PubMed: 10822931]
- Mutimura E, Anastos K, Zheng L, Cohen M, Binagwaho A, Kotler DP. Effect of HIV infection on body composition and fat distribution in Rwandan women. J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic). 2011 May-Jun;9(3):173–178. [PubMed: 20530472]
- Villamor E, Saathoff E, Mugusi F, Bosch RJ, Urassa W, Fawzi WW. Wasting and body composition of adults with pulmonary tuberculosis in relation to HIV-1 coinfection, socioeconomic status, and severity of tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006 Feb; 60(2):163–171. [PubMed: 16234841]
- Papathakis PC, Rollins NC, Brown KH, Bennish ML, Van Loan MD. Comparison of isotope dilution with bioimpedance spectroscopy and anthropometry for assessment of body composition in asymptomatic HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected breastfeeding mothers. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005 Sep; 82(3):538–546. [PubMed: 16155265]
- Luke A, Bovet P, Forrester TE, Lambert EV, Plange-Rhule J, Schoeller DA, et al. Protocol for the modeling the epidemiologic transition study: a longitudinal observational study of energy balance and change in body weight, diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk. BMC Public Health. 2012; 11:927. [PubMed: 22168992]
- Barro RJ, Lee JW. A New Data Set of Educational Attainment in the World, 1950–2010. The National Bureau of Economic Research. 2011
- Lukaski HC, Bolonchuk WW. Estimation of body fluid volumes using tetrapolar bioelectrical impedance measurements. Aviat Space Environ Med. 1988 Dec; 59(12):1163–1169. [PubMed: 3240217]
- Schoeller DA, van Santen E, Peterson DW, Dietz W, Jaspan J, Klein PD. Total body water measurement in humans with 18O and 2H labeled water. Am J Clin Nutr. 1980 Dec; 33(12):2686– 2693. [PubMed: 6776801]
- Wang Z, Deurenberg P, Wang W, Pietrobelli A, Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB. Hydration of fat-free body mass: new physiological modeling approach. Am J Physiol. 1999 Jun; 276(6 Pt 1):E995–E1003. [PubMed: 10362610]
- Lin LI. A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility. Biometrics. 1989 Mar; 45(1):255–268. [PubMed: 2720055]
- 24. Kushner RF, Schoeller DA, Fjeld CR, Danford L. Is the impedance index (ht2/R) significant in predicting total body water? Am J Clin Nutr. 1992 Nov; 56(5):835–839. [PubMed: 1415001]
- Kushner RF, Schoeller DA. Estimation of total body water by bioelectrical impedance analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 1986 Sep; 44(3):417–424. [PubMed: 3529918]
- 26. Luke A, Durazo-Arvizu R, Rotimi C, Prewitt TE, Forrester T, Wilks R, et al. Relation between body mass index and body fat in black population samples from Nigeria, Jamaica, and the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 1997 Apr 1; 145(7):620–628. [PubMed: 9098179]
- 27. Wang J, Thornton JC, Burastero S, Heymsfield SB, Pierson RN Jr. Bio-Impendance Analysis for Estimation of Total Body Potassium, Total Body Water, and Fat-Free Mass in White, Black and Asian Adults. Am J Hum Biol. 1995; 7:33–40.
- Zillikens MC, Conway JM. Estimation of Total Body Water by Biolectrical Impedance Analysis in Blacks. Am J Hum Biol. 1991; 3:25–32.
- Lukaski HC, Johnson PE, Bolonchuk WW, Lykken GI. Assessment of fat-free mass using bioelectrical impedance measurements of the human body. Am J Clin Nutr. 1985 Apr; 41(4):810– 817. [PubMed: 3984933]
- Segal KR, Burastero S, Chun A, Coronel P, Pierson RN Jr, Wang J. Estimation of extracellular and total body water by multiple-frequency bioelectrical-impedance measurement. Am J Clin Nutr. 1991 Jul; 54(1):26–29. [PubMed: 2058583]
- 31. Sun SS, Chumlea WC, Heymsfield SB, Lukaski HC, Schoeller D, Friedl K, et al. Development of bioelectrical impedance analysis prediction equations for body composition with the use of a

multicomponent model for use in epidemiologic surveys. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003 Feb; 77(2):331–340. [PubMed: 12540391]

- 32. Van Loan MD, Mayclin P. Bioelectrical impedance analysis: is it a reliable estimator of lean body mass and total body water? Hum Biol. 1987; 1987(59):299–309. [PubMed: 3596569]
- 33. Dioum A, Gartner A, Cisse AS, Delpeuch F, Maire B, Wade S, et al. Validity of impedance-based equations for the prediction of total body water as measured by deuterium dilution in African women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005 Mar; 81(3):597–604. [PubMed: 15755828]

Luke et al.



Figure 1.

Bland-Altman plot of the estimation of fat-free mass (FFM) by the site-combined equation (METS 1) compared to FFM measured using isotope dilution in the five cohorts participating in the Modeling the Epidemiologic Transition Study (Ghana, South Africa, Jamaica, Seychelles, United States).

Table 1

Participant characteristics by site, sex and sample group

	Ghana	South Africa	Jamaica	Seychelles	United States	Total
		Equation Deve	lopment Sample	0		
Z	44	50	47	54	49	244
% Female	54.5	56.0	51.1	50.0	46.9	51.7
Age (y)	37.3 (5.8)	33.6 (6.5)	33.9 (5.9)	33.3 (6.0)	33.9 (5.7)	34.3 (6.1)
Weight (kg)	63.0 (12.7)	75.4 (24.1)	72.6 (18.9)	72.0 (13.8)	92.8 (22.9)	75.5 (21.4)
Height (cm)	162.9 (8.3)	165.2 (5.6)	169.5 (9.2)	165.9 (7.4)	171.5 (9.2)	167.1 (8.5)
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	23.9 (5.8)	27.8 (9.1)	25.2 (6.0)	26.2 (4.6)	31.7 (8.5)	27.0 (7.5)
Waist (cm)	83.2 (11.7)	90.4 (20.2)	83.5 (14.0)	85.6 (9.1)	98.5 (19.4)	88.3 (16.5)
TBW [*] (kg)	34.6 (6.5)	34.1 (5.1)	35.9 (7.9)	35.5 (6.4)	42.8 (8.9)	36.6 (7.7)
FFM (kg)	47.5 (6.9)	46.8 (9.0)	49.2 (10.8)	48.6 (8.7)	58.6 (12.2)	50.1 (10.5)
Body Fat (%)	23.6 (11.6)	34.4 (13.5)	30.7 (11.6)	31.4 (11.9)	35.5 (12.3)	31.3 (12.8)
Resistance (Ω)	560.0 (94.7)	576.3 (90.7)	548.5 (92.6)	553.9 (101.5)	540.3 (78.7)	555.8 (92.0)
Reactance (Ω)	63.0 (8.6)	60.4 (10.9)	59.1 (9.9)	71.3 (10.3)	68.4 (11.6)	64.6 (11.3)
		Equation Val-	idation Sample			
N	26	22	27	21	22	118
% Female	57.6	72.7	51.9	67.7	54.5	6.09
Age (y)	35.5 (6.3)	33.9 (5.1)	33.4 (5.2)	32.3 (6.4)	33.2 (6.2)	33.7 (5.9)
Weight (kg)	63.2 (12.9)	76.2 (25.4)	74.5 (12.7)	79.5 (18.3)	85.0 (16.3)	75.2 (18.6)
Height (cm)	162.2 (7.3)	163.5 (8.3)	169.8 (8.3)	167.1 (6.1)	170.5 (10.1)	166.6 (8.7)
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	24.2 (5.7)	28.6 (9.8)	26.1 (5.6)	28.5 (6.7)	29.4 (5.9)	27.2 (7.0)
Waist (cm)	81.5 (11.2)	93.9 (20.2)	86.0 (14.2)	92.3 (14.3)	95.1 (17.2)	89.3 (16.1)
TBW [*] (kg)	33.5 (6.1)	33.0 (7.4)	37.3 (5.2)	35.5 (5.8)	38.8 (7.2)	35.6 (6.6)
FFM (kg)	45.9 (8.3)	45.2 (10.1)	51.1 (7.1)	48.7 (7.9)	53.2 (9.9)	48.8 (9.0)
Body Fat (%)	26.1 (13.2)	38.0 (12.2)	29.8 (13.5)	37.0 (10.9)	36.4 (11.4)	33.0 (13.1)
Resistance (Ω)	557.3 (91.8)	602.1 (112.9)	528.3 (61.5)	568.4 (78.0)	547.8 (60.4)	559.2 (85.1)
Reactance (Ω)	64.0 (10.2)	63.8 (10.1)	60.7 (8.2)	73.4 (10.7)	72.5 (10.3)	66.5 (11.0)
* Measured using stable isotop	oe dilution (mea	an of deuterium a	nd ¹⁸ oxygen dil	lution spaces).		

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Equations for predicting FFM in different populations of predominantly African descent derived from resistance measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis in the development sample (N=244) and performance of equations tested in the validation sample (N=118)

Equation	Equation*	Site	\mathbb{R}^2	MSE* (kg)	Concordance Correlation Coefficient**	Bias***	Error [†]	Pure Error ⁄
METS 1	$FFM = 12.6 + 0.22(Wt) + 0.46(Ht^2/R) - 5.7(Sex)$	All combined	0.89	3.5	0.94	0.4	3.1	3.1
METS 2a	$FFM = 15.5 + 0.20(Wt) + 0.48(Ht^2/R) - 5.2(Sex) - 1.2$	Ghana	0.91	3.2	0.93	0.6	3.3	3.3
METS 2b	$FFM = 15.5 + 0.20(Wt) + 0.48(Ht^2/R) - 5.2(Sex) - 4.0$	South Africa	0.91	3.2				
METS 2c	$FFM = 15.5 + 0.20(Wt) + 0.48(Ht^2/R) - 5.2(Sex) - 4.2$	Jamaica	0.91	3.2				
METS 2d	$FFM = 15.5 + 0.20(Wt) + 0.48(Ht^2/R) - 5.2(Sex) - 3.2$	Seychelles	0.91	3.2				
METS 2e	$FFM = 15.5 + 0.20(Wt) + 0.48(Ht^2/R) - 5.2(Sex)$	United States	0.91	3.2				
METS 3a	$FFM = 10.4 + 0.22(Wt) + 0.52(Ht^2/R) - 4.2(Sex)$	Ghana	0.86	3.4	0.93	0.6	3.3	3.3
METS 3b	$FFM = 12.2 + 0.16(Wt) + 0.51(Ht^2/R) - 3.1(Sex)$	South Africa	0.89	2.4				
METS 3c	$FFM = 13.7 + 0.25(Wt) + 0.38(Ht^2/R) - 6.2(Sex)$	Jamaica	0.95	2.4				
METS 3d	$FFM = 17.7 + 0.21(Wt) + 0.37(Ht^2/R) - 7.1(Sex)$	Seychelles	0.87	3.2				
METS 3e	$FFM = 11.9 + 0.18(Wt) + 0.58(Ht^2/R) - 5.3(Sex)$	United States	06.0	4.0				
* Wt is weigh	t in kg. Ht is height in cm; R is resistance in ohm; Sex 0=r	nale, 1=female; N	ISE is ro	ot mean s	square error			
** Concordan	ce correlation between reference value for FFM and FFM	predicted from th	e specifi	ed equati	on(s)			
*** Bias is w	ithin-subject difference in kg (predicted FFM - measured I	FFM) using cited	equation	s				

 \mathcal{J} bias²/n, where n is number of subjects in sample

 $^{\dagger}\mathrm{SD}$ of the bias

Table 3

Performance of published equations for predicting FFM in different populations of predominantly African descent derived from resistance measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis in the combined sample (N=362)

Luke et al.

First Author (Cit.)	Equation*	Mean FFM ^{**} (± SD)	Concordance Correlation Coefficient	Bias ^{***}	Error#x02020;	Pure Error
Reference FFM		49.7 ± 10.1				
Development i	n all black samples					
METS 1	$FFM = 12.6 + 0.22(Wt) + 0.46(Ht^2/R) - 5.7(Sex)$	49.8 ± 9.6	0.94	-0.1	3.4	3.4
Leman (5)	$FFM = (1.93 + 0.13(Wt) + 0.47(Ht^2/R) - 1.2(Sex))/0.73$	48.5 ± 9.8	0.92	1.4	3.7	3.9
Luke (26)	$FFM = (1.3 + 0.097(Wt) + 0.518(Ht^2/R))/0.73$	49.8 ± 9.8	0.92	-0.1	3.9	3.9
Zillikens (28)	$FFM = (4.563 + 0.621(Ht^2/R))/0/73$	50.1 ± 9.3	0.89	-0.4	4.5	4.5
Developed in e	thnically mixed samples					
Sun (31)	FFM = (3.747 + 0.113(Wt) +	0.45(Ht ² /R))/0.73	48.7 ± 8.8	0.91	1.1	3.9
Developed in s.	amples of unknown ethnic composition					
Kushner (24)	$FFM = (0.04 + 0.065(Wt) + 0.593(Ht^2/R))/0.73$	48.8 ± 10.0	0.92	1.0	4.0	4.1
Kushner (25)	$FFM = (0.83 + 0.714(Ht^2/R))/0.73$	51.7 ± 10.8	0.88	-2.0	4.8	5.2
Wang (27)	$FFM = 0.282(Ht^{2}/R) - 0.034(Age) + 0.16(Wt) + 0.135(Ht) - 9.53)/0.73$	52.6 ± 8.5	0.86	-2.9	4.1	5.0
Lukaski (29)	$FFM = (2.03 + 0.63(Ht^2/R))/0.73$	47.3 ± 9.5	0.87	2.5	4.5	5.1
Van Loan (32)	$FFM = (9.9868 + 0.000724(Ht^2) + 0.2822(Wt) - 0.0153(R) - 2.3313(Sex) - 0.1319(Age))/0.73$	50.8 ± 10.6	0.88	-1.1	5.0	5.1
Segal (30)	$FFM = (3.432026 + 0.454796(Ht^2/R) + 0.139523(Wt))/0.73$	51.2 ± 9.4	0.91	-1.5	4.0	4.3
* Wt is weight in	kg, Ht is height in cm, R is resistance in ohm, Age in years, Sex 0=male 1=female					
** Reference FFN	$m{\Lambda}$ value is mean (\pm SD) of FFM measured using isotope dilution in combined Development and Valid	ation samples $(n = 3)$	62); remaining va	alues mean ((± SD) FFM predict	ed by cited

Eur J Clin Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 March 01.

*** Bias is within-subject difference in kg (predicted FFM – measured FFM) using cited equations

 $\mathcal{J} \Sigma$ bias^2/n, where n is number of subjects in sample

 $^{\dagger}\mathrm{SD}$ of the bias