

# Trotter's product formula for projections

Máté Matolcsi\*, Roman Shvidkoy†

11 February, 2002

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to examine the convergence of Trotter's product formula when one of the  $C_0$ -semigroups is replaced by a projection (which can always be regarded as a constant degenerate semigroup). The motivation to study Trotter's formula in this setting arises from the fact that for 'nice' open sets  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2(\Omega)$  generated by the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions can be obtained as a limit of a formula of this type.

*Mathematics subject classification (2000):* 47A05, 47D06

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $E$ , and let  $B \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ . Then  $A+B$  generates a  $C_0$  semigroup which is given by Trotter's product formula

$$e^{t(A+B)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A} e^{\frac{t}{n}B})^n \quad (1)$$

where the limit is taken in the strong operator topology. A possible direction of generalization of this well-known result is discussed in [1] and [3]. Namely, the convergence of Trotter's product formula is examined in the case when the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $e^{tB}$  is replaced by the simplest of degenerate semigroups, i.e. a projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ . For convenience we include the basic notions here:

A family of operators  $S(t)_{t > 0}$  is called a *semigroup* on  $E$  if

$S : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$  is strongly continuous and satisfies the semigroup property  $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$  for all  $s, t > 0$ . If, in addition,  $S(0) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S(t)$  exists strongly, then we say that  $S(t)_{t > 0}$  (or  $S(t)_{t \geq 0}$ ) is a *continuous degenerate semigroup*. In this case  $S(0)$  is a bounded projection, its image  $E_0 := S(0)E$  is invariant under  $S(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ), and the restriction of  $S(t)_{t \geq 0}$  to  $E_0$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $E_0$  and  $S(t)$  equals 0 on  $E_1 := (I - S(0))E$  (see [6], Theorem

---

\*Supported by the Marie Curie Host Fellowship "Transform Methods for Evolution Equations" at the University of Ulm.

†Supported by the student part of the NSF grant DMS-9800027.

10.5.5). A trivial example of a continuous degenerate semigroup is given by  $S(t) := P$  ( $t > 0$ ), where  $P$  denotes a bounded projection.

Now, in (1) we replace the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $e^{tB}$  by the continuous degenerate semigroup  $S(t) = P$  ( $t > 0$ ), and we examine the convergence of the formula

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n \quad (2)$$

under various assumptions on  $A$  and  $P$ . (If (2) converges, then the limit can be regarded, in a sense, as the 'restriction' of the semigroup  $e^{tA}$  to the subspace  $PE$ . Of course, in the trivial case when  $e^{tA}$  and  $P$  commute, the formula (2) does converge to the restriction of  $e^{tA}$  to  $PE$ .) In Section 2 we describe some interesting conditions under which (2) converges strongly. For example, if  $A$  is the generator of the Gaussian semigroup on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $Pf = 1_\Omega f$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded open domain with Lipschitz boundary, we will see that (2) converges strongly to the semigroup generated by the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In Section 3 we provide some non-trivial examples where (2) fails to converge.

## 2 Convergence results

### 2.1 Bounded generators

The easiest case to study is, of course, that of bounded generators.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  and let  $P \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  be a projection. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x = e^{PAPt}Px$$

for all  $x \in E$  and uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* Case 1. Assume first that both  $e^{tA}$  and  $P$  are contractive. Let  $V(t) := Pe^{tA}P \in \mathcal{L}(PE)$  and apply Chernoff's product formula (see eg. [5], Theorem III.5.2) to the family  $V(t)$  on the space  $PE$ . Note that  $V(0) = I_{PE}$ ,  $\|V(t)\| \leq 1$  (for all  $t \geq 1$ ), and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(h)x_1 - x_1}{h} = PAx_1 = PAPx_1$  for all  $x_1 \in PE$ , and  $PAP$  is a bounded operator on  $PE$ . Now, by Chernoff's product formula  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [V(\frac{t}{n})]^n x_1 = e^{PAPt}x_1$  for all  $x_1 \in PE$  and uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Furthermore, for any given  $x \in E$  we can decompose  $x$  as  $x = Px + (I - P)x =: x_1 + x_2$  and we have  $(e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x = (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x_1 = e^{\frac{t}{n}A}(Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^{n-1}x_1$ . Now, for large  $n$  we have

$$\|e^{PAPt}Px - (Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x_1\| = \|e^{PAPt}x_1 - (Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x_1\| < \varepsilon$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ , and also

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\frac{t}{n}A}(Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^{n-1}x_1 - (Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x_1\| &= \|(I - P)e^{\frac{t}{n}A}(Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^{n-1}x_1\| = \\ &\|(I - P)(e^{\frac{t}{n}A} - I)(Pe^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^{n-1}x_1\| \leq \|I - P\| \cdot \|e^{\frac{t}{n}A} - I\| \cdot \|x_1\| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. In the general case we first introduce an equivalent norm on  $E$  such that  $P$  becomes contractive, then we use a rescaling argument to achieve that the semigroup becomes contractive. Indeed, with the new norm  $\|x\|_0 := \|Px\| + \|(I-P)x\|$   $E$  is a Banach space,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are equivalent, and  $P$  is contractive on  $E_{\|\cdot\|_0}$ . Now, for  $\lambda > \|A\|_0$  the rescaled semigroup  $e^{-\lambda t}e^{At}$  is contractive on  $E_{\|\cdot\|_0}$ , therefore the result of Case 1 can be applied, and the result follows. ■

*Remark 1.* By similar arguments one can prove the following statement: if  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $E$  and  $P$  is a finite dimensional projection with  $\text{Ran } P \subset D(A)$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x = e^{PAPt}Px$  where  $e^{PAPt}$  is meant to be the  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $PE$  generated by the bounded operator  $PAP$ . See also Remark 4 below.

## 2.2 Positive semigroups

The results in this subsection are taken from [1].

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $E = L^p(X)$  where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be measurable. Then  $Pf := \mathbf{1}_\Omega f$  defines a projection on  $E$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\Omega$ . In this subsection we will use the notation  $L^p(\Omega)$  both in the usual sense and in the sense to denote the subspace of functions  $f$  in  $L^p(X)$  such that  $f = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega^c$ . When a function  $f$  is in  $L^p(\Omega)$  in the usual sense, we define the extension  $\bar{f}$  on  $X$  by  $\bar{f}|_\Omega = f$  and  $\bar{f}|_{\Omega^c} = 0$ . The following result holds (see [1], Theorem 5.3):

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f \in E$  and  $t > 0$ . Then*

$$S(t)f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n f$$

*exists and  $S(t)_{t > 0}$  is a continuous degenerate semigroup of positive operators. Furthermore,  $S(0) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S(t)$  is a projection of the form  $S(0)f = \mathbf{1}_Y f$  where  $Y \subset \Omega$  is a measurable set.*

The continuous degenerate semigroup  $S(t)_{t > 0}$  can also be characterized by the following maximality property (see [1], Theorem 5.1): Let  $T(t)_{t > 0}$  be any semigroup of positive operators on  $L^p(X)$  which maps  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$  and for which  $0 \leq T(t)f \leq e^{tA}f$  for  $t > 0$  and  $0 \leq f \in L^p(X)$ . Then  $T(t)f \leq S(t)f$ .

With the notations of Theorem 2 it can occur that  $Y = \emptyset$  and  $S(t) = 0$  (see [1], Example 5.4). However, in the following important case  $Y = \Omega$  holds (for a detailed discussion of this Example and the following Remark see [1], Section 5 and 7):

**Example 1** *(The Dirichlet Laplacian) Let  $p = 2$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  (with Lebesgue measure) and  $A = \Delta$  the Laplacian on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. Then (with the notations of Theorem 2) we have  $Y = \Omega$  and  $S(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} = e^{t\Delta_\Omega}$  where  $\Delta_\Omega$  is the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e.  $D(\Delta_\Omega) = \{f \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\}$  and  $\Delta_\Omega f = \Delta f$ .*

*Remark 2.* For general open sets  $\Omega$  we still have  $Y = \Omega$  and  $S(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} = e^{t\tilde{\Delta}_\Omega}$  where  $\tilde{\Delta}_\Omega$  denotes the pseudo-Dirichlet Laplacian on  $L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\Delta}_\Omega$  is associated with the following densely-defined closed positive form  $a$  on  $L^2(\Omega)$ :  $D(a) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : \bar{f} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  and  $a(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\bar{f}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_j \bar{f}|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_j \bar{f}|^2$  (this statement is a consequence of Theorem 4 below). This means that we have  $\tilde{\Delta}_\Omega = \Delta_\Omega$  whenever  $D(a) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It is not an aim of this paper to describe sets  $\Omega$  where this occurs, but in the Example above we take boundedness and Lipschitz boundary as simple sufficient conditions.

### 2.3 Closed forms

In this subsection we describe another important case when Trotter's product formula converges. The results in this subsection are direct consequences of [8, Theorem and Addendum]. We describe the basic notions briefly:

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let

$$a : D(a) \times D(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a sesquilinear mapping where  $D(a)$ , the domain of  $a$ , is a subspace of  $H$ . We assume that  $a$  is semibounded, i.e. that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|u\|_a^2 := \operatorname{Re} a(u, u) + \lambda(u, u)_H > 0$$

for all  $u \in D(a)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ . Moreover, we assume that  $a + \lambda$  is sectorial and closed, i.e., that  $|\operatorname{Im} a(u, u)| \leq M(\operatorname{Re} a(u, u) + \lambda(u, u)_H)$  and  $(D(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$  is complete. In short, we will call  $a$  a *closed form*. Let  $K = \overline{D(a)}$  be the closure of  $D(a)$  in  $H$ . Denote by  $A$  the operator on  $K$  associated with  $a$ , i.e.

$$D(A) = \{u \in D(a) : \exists v \in K \text{ such that } a(u, \phi) = (v, \phi)_H \text{ for all } \phi \in D(a)\}$$

and  $Au = v$ . Then  $-A$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $e^{-tA}$  on  $K$ . Denote by  $Q$  the orthogonal projection on  $K$ . Now, define the operator  $e^{-ta}$  on  $H$  by

$$e^{-ta}x = e^{-tA}Qx, \quad x \in H, \quad t \geq 0$$

Then  $e^{-ta}$  is a continuous degenerate semigroup on  $H$ . We call it the *degenerate semigroup generated by  $a$  on  $H$* .

Now, let  $b$  be a second closed form on  $H$ . Define  $a + b$  on  $H$  by  $D(a + b) = D(a) \cap D(b)$  and  $(a + b)(u, v) = a(u, v) + b(u, v)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $a + b$  is a closed form again. Now the following product formula holds (see [8, Theorem and Addendum]):

**Theorem 3** *Let  $x \in H$ . Then*

$$e^{-t(a+b)}x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-\frac{t}{n}a} e^{-\frac{t}{n}b})^n x$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

*Remark 3.* In [8, Addendum] this theorem is stated only for densely defined, closed forms  $a$  and  $b$  but the proof applies to the non-densely defined case, as well.

Now, let  $P$  be an orthogonal projection. Define the form  $b$  by  $D(b) = PH$  and  $b(u, v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in PH$ . Then  $e^{-tb} = P$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem 3 we have

**Theorem 4** *For any orthogonal projection  $P$  and closed form  $a$ , the limit*

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-\frac{t}{n}a}P)^n x$$

*exists for all  $x \in H$  and  $t > 0$ , and  $S(t)_{t>0}$  is the continuous degenerate semigroup generated by the form  $a|_{PH}$ .*

There is another possible way to formulate this result. Let  $T(z)_{z \in \Sigma_\tau}$  be a holomorphic  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $H$ , defined on a sector  $\Sigma_\tau := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \tau\}$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Assume that  $\|T(z)\| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \Sigma_\tau$ . Then the generator  $A$  of  $T(z)$  is associated with a densely defined, semibounded, closed form  $a$  (see [7], Chapters VI. and IX., and also [2], Theorem 1.2), so we have the following corollary (see [3] Theorem 4):

**Corollary 1** *Let  $-A$  be the generator of a holomorphic  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{-zA})_{z \in \Sigma_\tau}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , where  $\tau \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , and assume that  $\|e^{-zA}\| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \Sigma_\tau$ . Let  $P$  be an orthogonal projection. Then*

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n x$$

*exists for all  $x \in H$  and  $t > 0$ , and  $S(t)_{t>0}$  is a continuous degenerate semigroup on  $H$ .*

### 3 Counterexamples

In view of the results in Section 1 one may conjecture that (2) converges in more general settings. In particular, the following conjectures were given in [3]:

(a) Let  $e^{tA}$  be a contractive  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $P$  be an orthogonal projection. Then (2) should converge.

(b) Let  $e^{tA}$  be a positive, contractive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  (where  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and  $1 < p < \infty$ ), and let  $P$  be a positive, contractive projection. Then (2) should converge.

In this section we present two examples which disprove these conjectures. We remark that the case  $p = 1$  in conjecture (b) was not included, because a positive, contractive  $C_0$ -semigroup and a positive, contractive projection on  $E = L^1([0, 1])$ , such that (2) fails to converge, was already provided in [3].

### 3.1 Hilbert case

Let us remark that by using the theory of unitary dilations of contractive  $C_0$ -semigroups in Hilbert spaces (see e.g. [4], Corollary 6.14) one can reduce the first conjecture to the case of unitary  $C_0$ -semigroups. Therefore, we are looking for a counterexample among unitary  $C_0$ -semigroups instead of arbitrary contractive ones.

We carry out our construction in the space  $L^2[0, 1]$ . As an example of unitary semigroup we take the semigroup of multiplications by  $e^{ith}$ , where  $h$  is a real-valued, measurable function on  $[0, 1]$ , to be specified later. We choose  $P$  to be the one-dimensional orthogonal projection onto the space of constant functions, i.e.  $Pf = \mathbf{1} \cdot \int_0^1 f(x)dx$ . As a test function on which (2) will fail for  $t = 1$ , we take  $\mathbf{1}$ .

Denoting  $c_n = \int_0^1 e^{i\frac{1}{n}h(x)}dx$ , the function  $\left[e^{\frac{1}{n}AP}\right]^n(\mathbf{1})$  becomes  $c_n^{n-1}e^{i\frac{1}{n}h}$ . However, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 1$  as well as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\frac{1}{n}h} = \mathbf{1}$  in  $L_2[0, 1]$ . So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{\frac{1}{n}AP}\right]^n(\mathbf{1})$  exists in  $L^2[0, 1]$  if and only if the numerical limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^n \quad (3)$$

exists. Now we specify the function  $h$ , for which we prove that (3) diverges. Put  $h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{(1/2^k, 1/2^{k-1}]} 2^k \pi$ . Then  $c_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{1}{n}2^k \pi}$ . We show the following two inequalities

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_{2^n}|^{2^n} \geq e^{-(4 + \frac{\pi^2}{4})} \quad (4)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_{2^{n+3}}|^{2^{n+3}} \leq e^{-(6 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi^4}{27 \cdot 24 \cdot 7})}. \quad (5)$$

Noticing that  $4 + \frac{\pi^2}{4} < 6 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi^4}{27 \cdot 24 \cdot 7}$  we get the desired result.

Let us show (4) first. Observe that

$$c_{2^n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{2^n} \pi} - \frac{1}{2^n} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{2^n} \pi}.$$

Using the inequality  $\cos(\alpha) \geq 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{2^n}| &\geq |\operatorname{Re} c_{2^n}| = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{2^k} \cos\left(\frac{2^k}{2^n} \pi\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{4^k}{4^n}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{4}{2^n} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{4^n} (2^{n-1} - 2) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \left(4 + \frac{\pi^2}{4}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{4^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{a}{N} + \frac{b}{N^2}\right)^N = e^a$ , we obtain (4).

To prove (5) let us simplify  $c_{2n3}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2n3} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{2n3}\pi} + \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\frac{1}{3}\pi} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k-n}{3}\pi} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{2n3}\pi} + \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{3}\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $e^{i\frac{2^k}{3}\pi} = e^{i(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2}{3}\pi} = -\frac{1}{2} + i(-1)^{k+1}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . Thus,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{3}\pi} = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$ . After these computations  $c_{2n3}$  becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} e^{i\frac{2^k}{2n3}\pi} + i\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{2n3}.$$

Now using the inequality  $\cos(\alpha) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{\alpha^4}{24}$  we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re} c_{2n3}| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{18} \frac{4^k}{4^n} + \frac{\pi^4}{81 \cdot 24} \frac{16^k}{16^n} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} - \frac{\pi^2}{18} \frac{2^n - 2}{4^n} + \frac{\pi^4}{81 \cdot 24} \frac{8^n - 8}{16^{n7}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2n3} \left( 6 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi^4}{27 \cdot 24 \cdot 7} \right) + \frac{a}{(2n3)^2} + \frac{b}{(2n3)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $a$  and  $b$ . Similarly, using  $\sin(\alpha) \leq \alpha$ , we have

$$|\operatorname{Im} c_{2n3}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{2^k}{2n3} \pi + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{2n3} \leq \frac{(n+1)\pi}{2n3}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{2n3}|^{2n3} &= (|\operatorname{Re} c_{2n3}|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} c_{2n3}|^2)^{\frac{2n3}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{2}{2n3} \left( 6 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi^4}{27 \cdot 24 \cdot 7} \right) + \left( \frac{2}{2n3} \right)^2 (n+1)^2 a_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{2}{2n3} \right)^2 a_2 + \dots + \left( \frac{2}{2n3} \right)^8 a_8 \right)^{\frac{2n3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we finally obtain (5).

*Remark 4.* The function  $\mathbf{1}$  is not in the domain of the generator  $A$  of our semigroup. In fact, we see from Remark 1 above that for any function  $f \in D(A)$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$  the formula (2) converges and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_f)^n f = e^{(Af, f)} \cdot f$$

where  $P_f$  denotes the orthogonal projection on the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by  $f$ .

### 3.2 $L^p$ -case for positive semigroups

Our second example is on the Hilbert space  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ , but now for a positive contractive  $C_0$ -semigroup and positive contractive projection.

We take  $e^{tA}f(x) = f(x+2\pi t)$ , regarding  $f$  as a  $2\pi$ -periodic function. Now let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto the space spanned by the positive norm-one function  $g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{34\pi}} \left[ 4 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^k}} \cos 2^k x \right]$ . Notice that, like in the previous example, our projection is one-dimensional (see Remark 5 below). Simple substitution shows that (2) evaluated at  $g$  for  $t = 1$  exists if and only if the numerical limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} g(x)g(x + \frac{1}{n})dx \right]^n$  exists. Denoting

$$c_n = \int_0^{2\pi} g(x)g(x + \frac{1}{n})dx$$

and using the orthogonality of cosines, we obtain

$$c_n = \frac{16}{17} + \frac{1}{17} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cos \frac{2^k}{n} \pi$$

Following the same calculations as for the first example, we obtain inequalities (4) and (5) with powers doubled on the right hand sides.

This disproves the second conjecture.

*Remark 5.* As we have already noticed, the projections in our examples are one-dimensional. It would be interesting to know what property of a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space is responsible for the existence of (2) for all one-dimensional, or more specifically, one-dimensional orthogonal projections.

The authors are grateful to Wolfgang Arendt, András Bátkai and Bálint Farkas for helpful conversations.

## References

- [1] W. ARENDT and C. BATTY, Absorption semigroups and Dirichlet boundary conditions. *Math. Ann.* **295**, 427-448 (1993).
- [2] W. ARENDT, S. BU and M. HAASE, Functional calculus, variational methods and Liapunov's theorem. *Arch. Math.* **77**, 65-75 (2001).
- [3] W. ARENDT and M. ULM, Trotter's product formula for projections. *Ulmer Seminare*, 1997, pp 394-399.
- [4] E.B.DAVIES, *One-parameter Semigroups*. London 1980.
- [5] K.-J. ENGEL and R. NAGEL *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Berlin 1999.



- [6] E. HILLE and R.S. PHILLIPS, Functional Analysis and Semigroups. Providence, R.I. 1957.
- [7] T. KATO, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators. Berlin 1976.
- [8] T. KATO, Trotter's product formula for an arbitrary pair of self-adjoint contraction semigroups. Topics in Functional Analysis, I. Gohberg, M. Kac (eds.) Academic Press, New York. 185-195 (1978).

MÁTÉ MATOLCSI  
DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED ANALYSIS, EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
Pázmány Péter sétány 1-3, 1117, Budapest, Hungary.  
e-mail: [matomate@cs.elte.hu](mailto:matomate@cs.elte.hu)  
and  
ABTEILUNG ANGEWANDTE ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITÄT ULM  
89069 Ulm, Germany.  
e-mail: [matemato@mathematik.uni-ulm.de](mailto:matemato@mathematik.uni-ulm.de)

ROMAN SHVIDKOY  
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA  
Columbia, MO 65211, USA.  
e-mail: [shvidkoy@math.missouri.edu](mailto:shvidkoy@math.missouri.edu)