Тор	pan Best-set Premedia Limited
Journal Code: ZOJ	Proofreader: Mony
Article No: ZOJ12081	Delivery date: 20 Sep 2013
Page Extent: 12	

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen. Please attend to these matters and return this form with your proof.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query References	Query	Remarks
1	AUTHOR: Krystufek <i>et al.</i> 2012 has been changed to Kryštufek <i>et al.</i> , 2012 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
2	AUTHOR: Korobchenko & Zagorodnyuk 2009 has been changed to Korobchenko & Zagarodnyuk, 2009 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
3	AUTHOR: Fig 1 – please confirm you mean Figure 1 in this article and not fig. 1 of IUCN, 2012?	
4	AUTHOR: Resetnik, 1938 has been changed to Reshetnik, 1938 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
5	AUTHOR: Fig. 2 – correct figure?	
6	AUTHOR: Pavlinov & Rossolimo, 1998 has not been included in the Reference List, please supply full publication details.	
7	AUTHOR: Gromov & Erbajeva 1995 has been changed to Gromov & Erbaeva, 1995 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
8	AUTHOR: The PCR product with AmpliTaq generated 3' overhangs and-was ligated into pGEM Easy vector (Promega) and transformed into JM109-competent cells (supplied with pGEM Easy Vector Systems II). Edited text ok?	
9	AUTHOR: 5x – 5×?	
10	AUTHOR: The presence of five phylogenetic groups was supported by all of the analytical methods tested: maximum-likelihood (Fig. 3), maximum-parsimony, and neighbour-joining analyses (not shown here). Edited text ok?	
11	AUTHOR: The phylogenetic reconstruction based on the alignment of 4507 bp and representing six mitochondrial genes resulted in congruent topologies with maximum-likelihood, maximum-parsimony, and neighbour-joining methods. Edited text ok?	
12	AUTHOR: (Murariu & Torcea, 1984: 249, fig. 1A) Please confirm correct citation, and fig in the article cited, not Fig. 1 of this article.	
13	AUTHOR: (Murariu & Torcea, 1984: 249, fig. 1B) – ok?	
14	AUTHOR: The colonization of the Balkan Peninsula by <i>Nannospalax</i> from Asia Minor took place at a later date (Hadid <i>et al.</i> , 2012), and was possibly responsible for the push of <i>Spalax</i> from the lowlands to less hospitable regions in the peninsula, where they today survive in small and scattered populations. Edited text ok?	
15	AUTHOR: Korobchenko & Zagorodnyuk 2009 has been changed to Korobchenko & Zagarodnyuk, 2009 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	

Query References	Query	Remarks
16	AUTHOR: Footnote has been mvoed to the text. Please confirm that this is OK.	
17	AUTHOR: Resetnik, 1938 has been changed to Reshetnik, 1938 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
18	AUTHOR: As a consequence, similar to the suggestion of Chişamera <i>et al.</i> (2013) for the inclusion of <i>S. graecus s.s.</i> in annexes II and IV of the EU Habitats Directive, we believe it is extremely important that the experts of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission, Small Mammal Specialist Group, re-evaluate the status of <i>S. antiquus</i> and <i>S. istricus</i> , and possibly also bring their conservation status to the attention of decision-makers at the EU level. Edited text ok?	
19	AUTHOR: Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, Lipman, 1990 has not been cited in the text. Please indicate where it should be cited; or delete from the Reference List.	
20	AUTHOR: Please supply the volume number and page range for Reference Chişamera, Bužan, Sahlean, Murariu, Zupan, Krystufek 2013.	
21	AUTHOR: Please check this website address and confirm that it is correct. (Please note that it is the responsibility of the author(s) to ensure that all URLs given in this article are correct and useable.)	
22	AUTHOR: Please supply the volume number and page range for Reference Kryštufek, Ivanitskaya, Arslan, Arslan, Buzan 2012.	
23	AUTHOR: Nehring, 1897 has not been cited in the text. Please indicate where it should be cited; or delete from the Reference List.	
24	AUTHOR: The following figures are assumed to be colour on the web only: Figures 2 . If you wish these to be printed in colour, please complete and submit a colour work agreement form (unless you have already done so). The form can be downloaded here: http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/pdf/SN_Sub2000_F_CoW.pdf	
25	AUTHOR: Please check table layout, particularly the table head.	
26	AUTHOR: Please check table layout, particularly the table head.	

Old views and new insigths: taxonomic revision of the Bukovina blind mole rat, *Spalax graecus* (Rodentia: Spalacinae)

ATTILA NÉMETH¹, ZALÁN G. HOMONNAY¹, VIRÁG KRÍZSIK², MÁTÉ CSORBA³, TOMÁŠ PAVLÍČEK⁴, ZSOLT HEGYELI⁵, YARIN HADID⁴, SZILÁRD SUGÁR⁵, JÁNOS FARKAS¹ and GÁBOR CSORBA²*

¹Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
 ²Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary
 ³Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary
 ⁴Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
 ⁵'Milvus Group' Bird and Nature Protection Association, Tîrgu Mures, Romania,

Received 29 May 2013; revised 7 August 2013; accepted for publication 9 August 2013

As a result of their rather uniform external appearance and gross cranial morphology, the systematics of blind mole rats has been hotly debated over the last century; however, the separation of the large-bodied and small-bodied blind mole rats at the genus level (*Spalax* and *Nannospalax*, respectively), suggested earlier on morphological grounds, is strongly supported by recent molecular biological evidence. The species of *Spalax* have so far been distinguished from each other by cranial traits only, especially the outline of sutures of the cranium, and the shape and relative size of the nasal and parietal bones. Based on mitochondrial DNA sequences (with the widest taxonomic and geographic coverage so far) and detailed anatomical comparisons of museum specimens, we herewith provide a revision of the taxonomic and phylogenetic status of the westernmost representative of the genus, *Spalax graecus s.l.* We clarify that *antiquus* and *istricus* – presently regarded as synonyms of *graecus* – are well-defined species, and they together form a separate clade within *Spalax*. The robustness of our conclusions is supported by the combined evidence of morphology, multilocus phylogeny, species distribution, and taxon history (species congruence with past tectonic and climate events).

 $\hfill \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013 doi: 10.1111/zoj.12081

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: antiquus – cytochrome b – istricus – mezosegiensis – molecular phylogeny – morphology – Spalacinae.

INTRODUCTION

The Eurasian blind mole rats (subfamily Spalacinae) are adapted exclusively to underground life (Méhely, 1909; Topachevskii, 1969; Savić & Nevo, 1990). Species of the group can be found in the Balkan Peninsula, in steppe grasslands in Central and Eastern Europe, in the Middle East (Asia Minor and the coastline of the Levant), and in a narrow coastal strip in north-east Africa (Musser & Carleton, 2005). Because of their rather uniform external appearance and gross cranial morphology, the systematics of blind mole rats has been hotly debated over the last century. The first comprehensive work, a milestone in blind mole rat systematics, was published by Méhely (1909) who, based on his study of subtle differences in cranial and dental structures, recognized one genus with three subgenera and eight species, with 14 additional subspecies. His opinion was later regarded as overly 'splitting', whereas at the other extreme Ellerman & Morrison-Scott (1951) accepted only three species in one genus. It is worth noting that these

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013

50

51

52

53

55

56

57

58

59

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: csorba@nhmus.hu

2 A. NÉMETH *ET AL*.

latter authors conducted no detailed comparisons of the taxa they synonymized. The next baseline work in Spalacinae systematics was published by the outstanding Ukranian morphotaxonomist, Topachevskii (1969), who, after studying hundreds of specimens, basically came to the same conclusions as Méhely (1909) as regards the genus-group systematics. However, his concept of a basic taxonomic divide between 'small' and 'large' blind mole rats, although supported by craniodental differences, was not always followed in the literature published in English (e.g. Savić & Nevo. 1990: Nevo. Ivanitskava & Beiles. 2001; Musser & Carleton, 2005), but traditionally was accepted in the Russian mammalogical works (e.g. Resetnik, 1941; Gromov et al., 1963; Pavlinov & Rossolimo, 1987). Nevertheless, as cytogenetic (Lyapunova, Vorontsov & Martynova, 1971) and molecular genetic (Hadid et al., 2012) works provided further support of deep taxonomic divergences within the subfamily, and support of the presence of two genera (Spalax and Nannospalax), this oldestablished classification scheme has finally been accepted in the most recent publications (Németh et al., 2009; Arslan, Akan & Zima, 2011, Kryštufek et al., 2012, Chișamera et al., 2013).

Whereas the exclusively subterranean lifestyle of blind mole rats defines the phenotype, and effectively narrows the range of anatomical variations, meticulous morphological studies can reveal sound osteological and dental characters with taxonomic meaning, and the applicability of such traits in the taxonomy of the large-bodied blind mole rats has been widely accepted. The species of the genus Spalax have so far been distinguished from each other by cranial traits only, especially the outline of sutures of the cranium, and the shape and relative size of the nasal and parietal bones (Méhely, 1909; Topachevskii, 1969; Korobchenko & Zagarodnyuk, 2009). According to 2 presently accepted views, six species distributed from Romania to Kazakhstan in the western part of the Eurasian steppe zone are recognized (IUCN, 2012; Fig. 1), namely Spalax arenarius Reshetnik, 1938; 3 4 Spalax giganteus Nehring, 1898; Spalax graecus Nehring, 1898; Spalax microphthalmus Güldenstaedt, 1770; Spalax uralensis Tiflov and Usov, 1939, and Spalax zemni (Erxleben, 1777) (Musser & Carleton, 2005).

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

49

50

51

52

53

57

59

60

61

62

63

The currently known localities of *S. graecus* (Fig. 2), the westernmost representative of the genus, can be found in three areas separated by the Carpathians (Zagorodnyuk & Coroiu, 2008; see map in Chişamera *et al.*, 2013). These isolated populations can be assigned to described taxa, the nomenclatural history of which is summarized by Chişamera *et al.* (2013). Accordingly, the nominotypical form *graecus graecus* Nehring, 1898 occurs in Ukranian and



Figure 1. Distribution of *Spalax* species (capitals) and the geographic origin of the investigated tissue samples (numerals). Distribution areas are based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List maps, Chişamera *et al.* (2013), and our own data. A, *Spalax uralensis*; B, *Spalax giganteus*; C, *Spalax microphthalmus*; D, *Spalax arenarius*; E, *Spalax zemni*; F, *Spalax graecus s.s.*; G, *Spalax antiquus*; H, *Spalax istricus.* 1, Novomoszkovsz; 2, Krivij Rig; 3, Kherson Province; 4, Tzurupinsk District; 5, David Valley, Iasi; 6, Dealul lui Dumnezeu; 7, Budeşti; 8, Aiton; 9, Sânduleşti.

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013

1

24



Figure 2. Live specimen of *Spalax graecus* from David Valley, Iasi, Romania (photo: G. Csorba).

Romanian Bukovina, istricus Méhely, 1909 is known from Oltenia and Muntenia, Romania, and two forms, antiquus Méhely, 1909 and mezosegiensis Szunyoghy, 1937, were described from Transylvania, Romania. The last three names mentioned are generally regarded as synonyms of graecus, although their taxonomic status is usually not discussed (Pavlinov & Rossolimo, 1987, 1998; Gromov & Erbaeva, 1995; 6 7 Pavlinov, Yakhontov & Agadjanian, 1995; Musser & Carleton, 2005). Interestingly, Topachevskii (1969) recognized *istricus* as a valid subspecies of graecus, and Murariu & Torcea (1984) found it specifically distinct from other species recorded from Romania. However, these conslusions were questioned because they were based on the small sample size of the specimens studied (Musser & Carleton, 2005).

Apart from the morphological approaches, only two papers deal with the phylogenetic relationships of graecus (and Spalax species in general) based on molecular methods. In their consensus tree (based on five mitochondrial sequences), Hadid et al. (2012) showed that within the Spalax lineage the Bukovinian and Transylvanian samples formed two separate clades. The average difference separating these two clades is similar to the genetic difference found between two well-established species, arenarius and zemni. Chisamera et al. (2013) examined the phylogenetic relationship of graecus graecus with the three species (each regarded as superspecies by, for example: Musser & Carleton, 2005; Németh et al., 2009) of Nannospalax, and regarding the relationship of the two genera arrived at the same conclusion as Hadid et al. (2012). Nevertheless, because of the lack of available material from other populations of S. graecus - although their investigation was regarded as a crucial issue - Chişamera et al. (2013) had to restrict their study to the nominotypical form.

43

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

55

57

59

61

66

70

78

80

81

82

83

84

85

87

89

90

The aim of the present paper is to fill this gap in our knowledge, and based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences of *Spalax* species (with the widest taxonomic and geographic coverage so far) and detailed anatomical comparisons of museum specimens – including the types of *mezosegiensis* and *istricus* and historical topotype material of *antiquus* – to provide a revision of the taxonomic and phylogenetic status of *S. graecus* and its subspecies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS SAMPLES

The museum specimens investigated are held in the following collections: 'Grigore Antipa' National Museum of Natural History, Romania (GAM); Hungarian Geological and Geophysical Institute, Hungary (MÁFI); Hungarian Natural History Museum, Hungary (HNHM); Department of Evolutional and Genetical Systematics of Schmalhausen Institute of Zoology, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Ukraine (SIZUAS); Székely National Museum, Romania (SZNM); Palaeontological Museum, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Ukraine (PMUAS); Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, Russia (ZMMU); and Zoological Museum, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Ukraine (ZMUAS).

The specimens used in the morphological comparisons were as follows:

Spalax arenarius Ukraine: ZMUAS (N = 1, paralectotype), HNHM (N = 2)

Spalax giganteus Russia: ZMUAS (N = 10), GAM (N = 1), HNHM (N = 1)

Spalax graecus antiquus Romania: SZNM (N = 2), MÁFI (N = 1), HNHM (N = 3)

Spalax graecus graecus Ukraine: SIZUAS (N = 1), ZMUAS (N = 13), Romania: HNHM (N = 1)

Spalax graecus istricus Romania: HNHM (N = 2, lectotype and paralectotype)

Spalax graecus mezosegiensis Romania: HNHM (N = 3, lectotype and paralectotypes)

Spalax microphthalmus Ukraine: SIZUAS (N = 4), ZMUAS (N = 27), HNHM (N = 3) Russia: HNHM (N = 4)

Spalax uralensis Kazakhstan: ZMMU (N = 3), HNHM (N = 1)

Spalax zemni Ukraine: ZMUAS (N = 2), PMUAS (N = 10), HNHM (N = 4)

Live animals (Table 1) captured for genetic sampling were handled in the field in accordance with guidelines approved by the American Society of Mammalogists (Gannon, Sikes & the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists, 2007). The animals were caught by breaking open the tunnel system and capturing the animal trying to mend the

			Accession nu	mbers				
Taxon	Locality	Voucher no.	NADH1	12S RNA	16S RNA	tRNA-Val	t-RNA Leu	cytochrome b
arenarius arenarius arenarius graecus s.s. graecus s.s. antiquus antiquus antiquus microphthalmus remni zemni zemni Nannospalax judaei Acomys cahirinus	Kherson, Ukraine Tzurupinsk, Ukraine Kherson, Ukraine David Valley, Romania David Valley, Romania David Valley, Romania Dealul lui Dumnezeu, Romania Aiton, Romania Aiton, Romania Sânduleşti, Romania Budeşti, Romania Novomoszkovsz, Ukraine Krivij Rig, Ukraine Krivij Rig, Ukraine Krivij Rig, Ukraine Anza, Israel	23215 23216 23216 23217 23202 23206 23206 23206 23221 23221 23221 23222 23221 23220	HQ652218 HQ652218 - HQ652219 - HQ652214 - HQ652219 HQ652219 HQ652219 HQ652219 HQ652226 HQ652226 HQ652226	HQ652149 HQ652149 - HQ652150 - HQ652147 - HQ652127 HQ652127 HQ652150 HQ652150 HQ652133 HQ652133	HQ652193 HQ652193 - HQ652194 - HQ652191 - HQ652172 HQ652194 HQ652194 HQ652194 HQ652194 HQ652194 HQ652063	HQ652325 HQ652325 - HQ652326 - HQ652323 HQ652323 HQ652325 HQ652326 HQ652326 HQ652326 HQ652326 HQ652326	HQ652281 HQ652281 - HQ652282 - HQ652279 - HQ652260 HQ652260 HQ652260 HQ652282 HQ652282 HQ652282 HQ652282 HQ652265	KF021254 KF021255 KF021255 KF021255 KF021255 KF021255 KF021255 KF021256 KF021256 KF021258 KF021258 KF021258 KF021258 KF021260 KF021261 JN571135

(IMHNH)

Museum).

History

Hungarian Natural

the

.н

stored

are

Vouchers

damage (Németh *et al.*, 2007). After biopsy of hindfoot skin matrix (applying topical and systemic anaesthesia and 70% alcohol disinfection) for DNA analysis, individuals were released at the site of capture straight into their own tunnel. Tissue samples were kept in 96% ethanol and stored at -20 °C. The museum specimens of *giganteus*, *istricus*, and *uralensis* yielded no useable genetic material.

CYTOCHROME B SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

Total DNA was extracted from recently collected tissue samples (Table 1) using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the standardized extraction protocol. The sequences of cytochrome bwere amplified with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using F-muarso (5'-ATGACATGAAAAATCATYGTTG T-3') and R-muarso (5'-GAAATATCATTCKGGTTT AATRTG-3') primers (Pfunder, Holzgang & Frey, 2004). The polymerase chain reaction was performed in a final reaction volume of 25 µL containing 30 ng template DNA, 1 µM of each oligonucleotide primer, $1.5 \,\mu\text{M}$ of MgCl₂, $0.16 \,\mu\text{M}$ of deoxinucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), and 0.5 U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems). PCR amplification was conducted in a DNA Engine Dyad (MJ Research) machine: 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 48 °C for 15 s (ramp speed: $1^{\circ}C s^{-1}$), 60 °C for 1 s (ramp speed: 0.5°C s⁻¹), and 72 °C for 2 min (ramp speed: $1^{\circ}C \text{ s}^{-1}$), with a final extension step of 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR product was checked on 1.6% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and cleaned with High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche). The PCR product with AmpliTaq gener-8 ated 3' overhangs and was ligated into pGEM Easy vector (Promega) and transformed into JM109competent cells (supplied with pGEM Easy Vector Systems II). The positive white colonies were used for colony PCR with the T7 and SP6 promoter primer sites of the pGEM Easy vector. The positivity of the products was checked on 1.6% agarose and then cleaned with the Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche). To minimize the risk of nucleotide substitutions during the PCR and sequencing reaction, sequences of three clones from each sample were determined. The sequencing reaction was accomplished in GeneAmp PCR System 9700 according to the thermal profile of 94 °C for 4 min followed by 25 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 15 s, and 60 °C for 4 min. The sequencing reaction was carried out in 10 µL of 80 ng template DNA, 2 µL of BigDye v3.1 Terminator, $2 \mu L$ of 5x buffer, and $2 \mu L$ of T7 and SP6 primer (5 µM). We used the BigDye XTerminator Purification Kit for cleaning. Sequences for both directions were obtained by using the AB3130 Genetic Analyser.

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013

4 A. NÉMETH ET AL.

in the phylogenetic reconstructions

Table 1. Blind mole rat taxa, origin of tissue samples, and GenBank accession numbers of all sequences used

20

30

34

35

36

38

39

40

41

42

43

45

46

47

49

50

51

52

53

55

PHYLOGENETIC RECONSTRUCTION

Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses were constructed using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). For a combined sequence data set (4482-4486 bp in total), besides the cytochrome b data a further five mitochondrial sequences (NADH1, 12S rRNA, 16S rRNA, tRNA-Leu (UUR), tRNA-Val) investigated by Hadid et al. (2012) were downloaded from GenBank. Both cytochrome b sequences and the combined sequence data set were used for evolutionary divergence estimation using Kimura's twoparameter model of evolution (Kimura, 1980). A phylogenetic dendrogram was constructed by using the maximum-likelihood method. To confirm tree topology, neighbour-joining (Saitou & Nei, 1987) and maximum-parsimony analyses were also carried out. Bootstrap analysis was based on 10 000 resamplings (Felsenstein, 1985). Sequences of Acomys cahirinus (spiny mouse) and Nannospalax judaei were used as out-groups.

9

RESULTS

MOLECULAR PHYLOGENY BASED ON CYTOCHROME B

Forward and reverse sequence alignments, for each individual, and multiple sequence alignments were made using Vector NTI Advance 9.0 sequence analysis software (InforMax; Invitrogen). All sequences were checked for the presence of the stop codon 36

40

41

42

43

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

55

57

61

62

10

with the Open Reading Frame Finder tool of NCBI. Sequences generated in the present study are deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KF021251–KF021263 (Table 1).

Phylogenetic reconstruction based on an 844-bp alignment of cytochrome b sequences of Spalax samples resulted in the separation of five discrete phylogenetic groups. The presence of five phylogenetic groups was supported by all of the analytical methods tested: maximum-likelihood (Fig. 3), maximumparsimony, and neighbour-joining analyses (not shown here). The well-supported separation of these five groups could be seen in the matrix of the estimated evolutionary divergence (Table 2). Withingroup genetic divergences calculated by Kimura's two-parameter model ranged between 0.00 and 0.60%, whereas values among groups varied between 5.23 and 12.76%. Four out of the five groups are considered as different species (arenarius, graecus, microphthalmus, and zemni; Musser & Carleton, 2005). Evolutionary divergence values within the fifth group containing the Transylvanian samples (representing three populations) ranged from 0.12 to 0.36%, which corresponds to the range of the intraspecies values of the other four species. Samples of the recognized fifth group formed a distinct sister group of graecus s.s. (Fig. 3), and evolutionary divergence among samples within these two groups were estimated between 6.25 and 6.65% (Table 2).



Figure 3. Maximum-likelihood tree reconstructed from an 844-bp alignment of cytochrome *b* sequences of *Spalax* species. *Acomys cahirinus* and *Nannospalax judaei* were used as out-groups. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together (after 10 000 replications) is shown next to the branches. The bar represents the number of substitutions per site.

Table 2. Estimat	es of ev	olutionaı	ry diverξ	gence betv	veen Spal	<i>ax</i> specie	s based o	n 844 pos	sitions of	$\operatorname{cytochrome} b$	seduences				
	graecus 23202	graecus 23204	graecus 23206	arenarius 23215	arenarius 23216	arenarius 23217	antiquus 23532	antiquus 23003	antiquus 23189	microphtalmus 23221	microphtalmus 23222	zemni 23219	zemni 23220	Nannospalax judaei	Acomys cahirinus
graecus 23202															
graecus 23204	09.0														
graecus 23206	0.24	0.36													
arenarius 23215	9.46	9.05	9.18												
arenarius 23216	9.32	9.19	9.04	0.12											
arenarius 23217	9.46	9.05	9.18	0.00	0.12										
antiquus 23532	6.26	6.52	6.26	10.73	10.59	10.73									
antiquus 23003	6.25	6.51	6.25	10.72	10.58	10.72	0.36								
antiquus 23189	6.39	6.65	6.39	10.59	10.44	10.59	0.12	0.24							
microphtalmus 23221	12.01	12.02	12.30	7.99	8.13	7.99	12.76	12.75	12.61						
microphtalmus 23222	11.86	12.16	12.15	8.13	7.99	8.13	12.61	12.60	12.46	0.12					
zemni~23219	10.72	10.87	10.72	5.36	5.23	5.36	11.31	11.59	11.45	9.67	9.53				
zemni~23220	10.72	10.87	10.72	5.36	5.23	5.36	11.31	11.59	11.45	9.67	9.53	0.00			
Nannospalax judaei	18.70	18.84	18.54	18.60	18.44	18.60	16.81	16.96	16.66	20.15	19.99	18.93	18.93		
Acomys cahirinus	27.53	27.54	27.72	27.51	27.51	27.51	25.85	26.40	26.04	26.62	26.62	27.70	27.70	26.02	
Values were calculated	using Kin	nura's two-	parameter	model and a	are given as l	percentages.									

MOLECULAR PHYLOGENY BASED ON COMBINED DATA SET OF SIX MTDNA SEQUENCES

The phylogenetic reconstruction based on the alignment of 4507 bp and representing six mitochondrial genes resulted in congruent topologies with maximum-likelihood, maximum-parsimony, and neighbour-joining methods. Therefore, only the maximum-likelihood tree is discussed here (Fig. 4). Similar to the results of the cytochrome b analysis (Fig. 3), the evolutionary divergence value estimated based on the data set of the six mitochondrial sequences confirmed the distinction of five different groups of samples (Table 3). Interspecific divergence values among these samples varied between 3.57 and 8.65%, whereas intraspecific values were two orders lower: 0.02-0.04%. The divergence between the samples 23202 and 23003 (graecus s.s. and antiquus, respectively; see Table 1) was estimated as 4.98%, which confirms the divergence of the Transylvanian samples at the species level.

12

23

30

34

35

36

39

40

41

42

43

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

MORPHOLOGICAL COMPARISONS

The anatomical nomenclature used (Figs 5, 6) follows that of Méhely (1909) and Topachevskii (1969); the characters are applicable to fully grown adult specimens.

The definition of the graecus group

The clade on both the cytochrome b and the consensus trees comprising graecus s.s. and Transylvanian antiquus, is strongly supported by all analytical methods. This monophyletic clade is designated herewith as the graecus group, which can be separated morphologically from all the remaining Spalax species by the following unique cranial traits: (1) the length of the nasal bone equals or exceeds the total length of the frontal and parietal bones measured alongside the main axis of the skull (Fig. 7A, B) (versus nasal length relatively shorter in all other species, Fig. 7D); and (2) sella externa of the mandible always situated higher than sella interna. Beside graecus s.s. and antiquus, the type material of mezosegiensis and istricus (Fig. 7C) also show these distinguishing morphological features, therefore the graecus group is extended for these named forms as well.

Comparison between antiquus and graecus s.s.

The taxon *antiquus* differs markedly from *graecus s.s.* in the following characters: (1) anterior width of the nasal bone is more than twice the posterior width (versus anterior width exceeds less than twice the posterior width); (2) the nasal bones shorter posteriorly than the premaxillae (the nasale always extends posteriorly beyond the premaxillae in *graecus s.s.*)



Figure 4. Maximum-likelihood tree reconstructed from a 4507-bp alignment of six mitochondrial sequences of *Spalax* species [cytochrome *b*, *NADH1*, *12S* rRNA, *16S* rRNA, tRNA-Leu (UUR), tRNA-Val]. Acomys cahirinus and Nannospalax judaei were used as out-groups. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together (after 10 000 replications) is shown next to the branches. The bar represents the number of substitutions per site.



Figure 5. Dorsal view of skull of *Spalax graecus s.s.* (topotype from Chernovcy, Ukraine, ZMUAS 32). Abbreviations: f, frontal bone; p, parietal bone; pm, premaxilla; n, nasal bone.

(Fig. 7A, B, respectively); and (3) on the mandible the bottom of the incisura corono-alveolaris (the groove between the coronoid and alveolar processes) is domed (whereas this structure is flat in *graecus s.s.*).



Figure 6. Caudal view of left mandible of *Spalax antiquus* (Leorint, Romania, HNHM 23530). The plane is determined by the axis of the coronoid process. Abbreviations: cp, coronoid process; se, sella externa; si = sella interna.

Comparison between antiquus and mezosegiensis

When describing the subspecies graecus mezosegiensis, Szunyoghy (1937) only had three recent skulls (all in fragmented condition) and used just a single subfossil skull specimen of antiquus for comparisons. He acknowledged that 'the separation [of mezosegiensis] from graecus antiquus is quite difficult' (translated from Hungarian), and that the characters

	Acomys cahirinus	graecus 23202	aniquus 23003	arenarius 23215	arenarius 23216	microphthalmus 23222	microphthalmus 23221	zemni 23220	zemni 23219	Nannospalax judaei
Acomvs cahirinus										
graecus 23202	24.39									
antiquus 23003	24.15	4.98								
arenarius 23215	24.00	7.20	8.09							
arenarius 23216	24.00	7.18	8.06	0.02						
microphthalmus 23222	24.35	7.50	8.32	5.04	5.01					
microphthalmus 23221	24.38	7.56	8.37	5.04	5.06	0.04				
zemni 23220	24.79	7.81	8.62	3.59	3.57	5.70	5.75			
zemni~23219	24.82	7.84	8.65	3.61	3.59	5.72	5.72	0.02		
Nannospalax judaei	23.31	14.36	13.80	13.78	13.75	14.36	14.42	14.23	14.26	
Values were calculated i	Ising Kimur	a's two-nara	meter mode	l and are piv	en as nercent	ages				

thought to be diagnostic might prove to be individual differences. These characters include the parallel edges at the proximal part of the nasal bones, the wider upper zygomatic process of the maxilla, the relatively more elongated nasal bones, the shape of the frontonasal suture, slight differences in the shape of mandibular processes, and the occlusal pattern of the lower molars in the subadult specimen. After critical examination of these traits in the larger series of Transvlvanian Spalax specimens (nine individuals, including subfossil and recent materials), we have come to the conclusion that none of these mentioned features can serve as distinguishing characters between the two forms, but indeed represent individual or age-related variations. As a consequence, because of the lack of differentiating features mezosegiensis is regarded herewith as the junior subjective synonym of antiquus.

14

15

18

19

20

30

35

36

40

42

43

45

46

47

48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

Comparison of istricus with antiquus and graecus The original description of istricus was based on three specimens from three different locations in Oltenia and Muntenia (Méhely, 1909). These were the only known exemplars of the taxon until Murariu & Torcea (1984) reported three further individuals collected in an unspecified locality from the Oltenia region. The authors provided no registration numbers for the material, but stated that it is 'deposited in the scientific collection of the "Grigore Antipa" Natural History Museum of Bucharest' (Murariu & Torcea, 1984: 248). Although the specimens, subsequently shared between the collections of GAM and the Muzeul Olteniei, Craiova, cannot be traced and are now regarded as lost (D. Murariu, pers. comm. 2010), a drawing of one of the skulls (Murariu & Torcea, 🔟 1984: 249, fig. 1A) and a generalized description of the material is given in the paper, and can be used for comparisons. Murariu & Torcea (1984) compared their newly acquired specimens with 'microphthalmus' (without any reference to a collection or inventory number) from southern Moldavia. Certainly, 'microphthalmus' does not occur in that area (its western distributional limit is the Dnieper River) but the drawing (Murariu & Torcea, 1984: 249, fig. 1B) depicting this specimen clearly shows the typical characteristics of the rostral part of graecus s.s. The features described of the GAM istricus material compare favourably with those of the type series. Based on the descriptions and direct investigations (altogether six individuals), istricus could be separated from the other species of the graecus group by the following characters: (1) the rostrum is narrowed above, the width at the foramen infraorbitale is less than the basal rostral width measured at the lower zygomatic process of the maxilla (whereas the rostrum is wider, foramen

REVISION OF SPALAX GRAECUS 9



Figure 7. Dorsal view of skulls showing the rostral structure of (A) *Spalax antiquus* (from Leorint, Romania, HNHM 23530), (B) *Spalax graecus s.s.* (topotype from Chernovcy, Ukraine, ZMUAS 11214), (C) *Spalax istricus* (paralectotype from Horezu Poenari, Romania, HNHM 2522.4), and (D) *Spalax zemni* (from Krivij Rig, Ukraine, HNHM 2009.37.9.). Not to scale.

infraorbitale width equals the basal rostral width in antiquus and graecus s.s.); (2) the anterior width of the nasal bone exceeds less than twice the posterior width (versus anterior width more than twice of posterior width in antiquus); (3) the nasal bones shorter posteriorly than the premaxillae (in sharp contrast with graecus s.s. where the nasale always extends posteriorly beyond the premaxillae) (Fig. 7B, C); and (4) the bottom of the incisura corono-alveolaris is domed (flat in graecus s.s.).

DISCUSSION

The phylogenetic separation of the large-bodied and small-bodied blind mole rats at the genus-group level (Spalax and Nannospalax), as suggested earlier on morphological grounds (Méhely, 1909; Ogney, 1947; Topachevskii, 1969), and strongly supported by the recent molecular biological evidence (Hadid et al., 2012; Chişamera et al., 2013), is further corroborated by the results of the present investigation. Based on the fossil records (Nevo & Bar-El, 1976; Catzeflis et al., 1989), the separation of Spalax and Nannospalax happened during the dry late Miocene (8.24–7.10 Mya), and could be attributed to the establishment of a marine barrier between Asia Minor and the Balkan Peninsula during the Tortonian (11.6-7.2 Mya; Popov et al., 2006; Akkiraz et al., 2011). The 14 colonization of the Balkan Peninsula by Nannospalax from Asia Minor took place at a later date (Hadid

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013

et al., 2012), and was possibly responsible for the push of *Spalax* from the lowlands to less hospitable regions in the peninsula, where they today survive in small and scattered populations.

The topology of both the cytochrome b and the consensus trees shows deep bifurcation within the *Spalax* lineage: one clade includes two taxa of the graecus group (as defined above), whereas arenarius, microphthalmus, and zemni constitute the second clade. Based on phenetic markers, Méhely (1909) and Resetnik (1941) also hypothesized a similar branching within the large-bodied blind mole rats. According to molecular dating, this event occured 3.5-1.8 Mya (average 2.7 Mya), which corresponds to periods of strong climate change caused by changes in the orbital eccentricity (Hadid *et al.*, 2012).

Based on the 844-bp long partial sequences of the cytochrome b gene, interspecific divergence within Spalax was found to range between 5.23 and 12.76% (estimated using Kimura's two-parameter model). The sequence divergence between antiquus and graecus s.s. ranged between 6.25 and 6.65%, which is much higher than the estimated 2.7% average sequence divergence between sister species among Rodentia (Bradley & Baker, 2001). As the average degree of sequence divergence between antiquus populations, calculated with Kimura's two-parameter model, was 0.3%, we concluded that the sequence analyses confirm the taxonomic identity of the three populations. The high level of congruence found between cytochrome b tree and the tree constructed on the basis of six mitochondrial sequences (4507 bp in total) strongly supports the specific distinctiveness of antiquus from graecus s.s. The estimated divergence time of these clades (c. 1 Mya, range 0.81-1.9 Mya) coincides with the uplift of the Eastern Carpathians (Hadid et al., 2012), which effectively separated the two taxa and halted gene flow between them. As a consequence, taking into consideration the genetic and morphological evidence, antiquus is regarded herewith as a separate species within the graecus group of Spalax.

The analysis of craniodental traits (Méhely, 1909; Murariu & Torcea, 1984; and direct comparisons of the lectotype and paralectotype specimens with large series of all accepted Spalax species) undoubtedly shows that *istricus* belongs to the *graecus* group. Although our attempts to extract useable genetic material from the type specimens have failed, cranial features readily distinguish *istricus* from the closely related antiquus and graecus, expressing the same magnitude of anatomical differences as observed between other species of the same genus (Méhely, 1909: 1969: Topachevskii, Korobchenko & Zagarodnyuk, 2009). The known occurences of istricus also imply long-term genetic isolation. Therefore, in accordance with Méhely's opinion and the findings of Csorba (2010), we regard this taxon as a separate species. 57

58

59

61

64

65

67

70

75

76

80

81

82

83

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

96

99

100

106

Based on the discussion above, the following recent species of *Spalax* (type localities in parenthesis), with their (proposed) English names, are accepted herewith:

Spalax antiquus Méhely, 1909 (Transylvanian Plain, Romania) – Méhely's blind mole rat [The geographically reasonable epithet 'Transylvanian' is already in use to name the *Nannospalax* (*leucodon*) *transylvanicus* blind mole rat species. The suggested name is in recognition of Méhely's baseline work on Spalacinae systematics.];

Spalax arenarius Reshetnik, 1938 (Golaya Pristan, III) Ukraine) – sandy blind mole rat;

Spalax giganteus Nehring, 1898 (Makhuch-Kala, Russia) – giant blind mole rat;

Spalax graecus Nehring, 1898 (Chernovcy, Ukraine) - Bukovina blind mole rat;

Spalax istricus Méhely, 1909 (Bârza, Romania) – Oltenia blind mole rat;

Spalax microphthalmus Güldenstaedt, 1770 (Novokhoper steppe, Russia) – greater blind mole rat; Spalax uralensis Tiflov and Usov, 1939 (Chingerlauz region, Kazahstan) – Kazakhstan blind mole rat;

Spalax zemni (Erxleben, 1777) (Ternopolsk region, Ukraine) – Podolsk blind mole rat.

These taxonomic and systematic results clarified that both S. antiquus and S. istricus are poorly known endemic mammal species of Europe, recorded only from the territory of Romania. They have restricted and fragmented ranges, and in spite of recent research efforts S. istricus has not been recorded in the last 30 years (Németh et al., 2011). As a consequence, similar to the suggestion of Chisamera et al. (2013) for the inclusion of S. graecus s.s. in annexes II and IV of the EU Habitats Directive, we believe it is extremely important that the experts of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission, Small Mammal Specialist Group, re-evaluate the status of S. antiquus and S. istricus, and possibly also bring their conservation status to the attention of decisionmakers at the EU level.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dumitru Murariu (GAM), László Kordos (MÁFI), Kócs Irén (SZNM), and Sergei Kruskop (ZMMU) for providing access to the specimens under their care. Our special thanks go to the late Ágnes Major for her tireless work and advice in genetic investigations until the very last moments of her life.

55

András Demeter carefully checked the text for linguistic correctness, and Edvárd Mizsei kindly helped us with the distribution map. In the Ukraine, field and laboratory work were supported by the Ukrainian and Hungarian Academies of Sciences within the frame of the bilateral scientific agreement, whereas in Romania the blind mole rat research programme was organized as a priority declared by the Hungarian-Romanian Joint Committee on the Environment of the Intergovernmental Environmental Cooperation Agreement. The project was partially financed by the Hungarian Ministry of Rural Developement, and supported in various ways by the Hungarian Natural History Museum. G.C. is especially grateful to Masaharu Motokawa of the Kyoto University Museum for providing ideal working conditions while staying there under a visiting professorship programme.

REFERENCES

- Akkiraz MS, Akgün F, Utescher T, Bruch AA, Mosbrugger V. 2011. Precipitation gradients during the Miocene in Western and Central Turkey as quantified from pollen data. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 304: 276–290.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215: 403–410.

19

20

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

53

54

55

- Arslan A, Akan S, Zima J. 2011. Variation in C-heterochromatin and NOR distribution among chromosomal races of mole rats (Spalacidae) from Central Anatolia, Turkey. Mammalian Biology 76: 28–35.
- Bradley RD, Baker RJ. 2001. A test of the genetic species concept: cytochrome-b sequences and mammals. *Journal of Mammalogy* 82: 960–973.
- **Catzeflis F, Nevo E, Ahlquist JE, Sibley CG. 1989.** Relationships of the chromosomal species in the Eurasian mole rats of the Spalax ehrenbergi group as determined by DNA-DNA hybridization, and an estimate of the spalacid-murid divergence time. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **29:** 223–232.
- Chişamera G, Bužan EV, Sahlean T, Murariu D, Zupan S, Krystufek B. 2013. Bukovina blind mole rat *Spalax graecus* revisited: phylogenetics, morphology, taxonomy, habitatassociations and conservation. *Mammal Review* ••: ••-••. doi: 10.1111/mam.12001.
- **Csorba M. 2010.** The taxonomy of Carpathian Basin blind mole rats and consequences on their conservation. Unpublished BSc Thesis, Szent István University, Gödöllő, 51 pp. (in Hungarian).
- Ellerman JR, Morrison-Scott TCS. 1951. Checklist of Palearctic and Indian mammals 1758 to 1946. London: British Museum (Natural History).
- **Erxleben JCP. 1777.** *Systema regni animalis.* Göttingen (in Latin).

REVISION OF SPALAX GRAECUS 11

56 57

58

59

75

76

79

80

81

85

86

87

89

90

96

98 99

100

106

112

21

22

- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783–789.
- Gannon WL, Sikes RS, the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists. 2007. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. Journal of Mammalogy 88: 809–823.
- **Gromov IM, Erbaeva MA. 1995.** Mlekopitayushchie fauny Rossii i sopredel'nykh territoriy. Zaitseobraznye i gryzuny [The mammals of Russia and adjacent territories (Lagomorphs and rodents)]. St. Petersburg: Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (in Russian).
- Gromov IM, Gureev AA, Novikov GA, Sokolov II, Strelkov PP, Chapskii K. 1963. Mammalian Fauna of the USSR. Key to the Fauna of the USSR. Zoological Institute USSR, Izd. AN SSSR: 639 pp. (in Russian).
- Güldenstaedt A. 1770. Spalax, novum glirium genus. Novi Commentarii Academiae Petropolitanae 14: 409– 440.
- Hadid Y, Németh A, Snir S, Pavlíček T, Csorba G, Kázmér M, Major Á, Mezhzherin S, Rusin M, Coşkun Y, Nevo E. 2012. Is evolution of blind mole rats determined by climate oscillations? *PLoS ONE* 7: e30043. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0030043.
- **IUCN. 2012.** IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2012.2. Available at: http://www.iucnredlist.org Downloaded on 18 March 2013.
- **Kimura M. 1980.** A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16:** 111–120.
- Korobchenko M, Zagarodnyuk I. 2009. Taxonomy and levels of differentiation in mole-rats (Spalacidae) of the fauna of Ukraine and adjacent countries. *Scientific Bulletin* of the Uzhgorod University (Ser. Biol.) 26: 13–26.
- Kryštufek B, Ivanitskaya E, Arslan A, Arslan E, Buzan EV. 2012. Evolutionary history of mole rats (genus Nannospalax) inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b sequence. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* ••:
 ••-••. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2011.01795.x.
- Lyapunova EA, Vorontsov NN, Martynova L. 1971. Cytological differentiation of burrowing mammals in the Palaearctic. In: Kratochvíl J, Obrtel R, eds. *Symposium Theriologicum II*. Prague: Academia, 203–215.
- Méhely L. 1909. Species generis Spalax. A földi kutyák fajai származás- és rendszertani tekintetben. [Species of the genus Spalax] Magyar Tudományos Akadémia. (in Hungarian).
- Murariu D, Torcea Şt. 1984. The occurence of the species Spalax istricus Méhely 1909 (Rodentia, Splacidae) in the Romanian Plain. Travaux du Museum d'histoire naturelle 'Gr. Antipa' 26: 245-249.
- Musser G, Carleton M. 2005. Superfamily Muroidea. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM, eds. Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference, 3rd edn. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 894– 1531.

23

19

25

40

Nehring A. 1897. Mehrere neue Spalax-Arten. Sitzungberichte der Gesellschaft naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin 10: 151–183 (in German).

- Nehring A. 1898. Über Spalax graecus n.sp. Zoologische Anzeiger 21: 228–230.
- Németh A, Csorba G, Krnács G, Hegyeli Z, Sugár S, Vajda Z, Stojnic N, Delic JL, Kryštufek B. 2011. Recent extinction of two European mammals? The probable extinction of two mole-rat taxa. In: *Abstract volume 6th European Congress of Mammalogy* Paris, France, p. 102.
- Németh A, Czabán D, Csorba G, Farkas J. 2007. Egy fokozottan védett emlős, a nyugati földikutya (Spalax leucodon) csapdázásának lehetőségei. [Evaluating the methods for live-trapping of the Hungarian lesser blind mole rat (Spalax leucodon)]. Természetvédelmi Közlemények 13: 417–421 (in Hungarian with English abstract).
- Németh A, Révay T, Hegyeli Z, Farkas J, Czabán D, Rózsás A, Csorba G. 2009. Chromosomal forms and risk assessment of *Nannospalax* (superspecies *leucodon*) (Mammalia: Rodentia) in the Carpathian Basin. *Folia Zoologica* 58: 349–361.
- Nevo E, Bar-El H. 1976. Hybridization and speciation in fossorial mole rats. *Evolution* **30**: 831–840.
- Nevo E, Ivanitskaya E, Beiles A. 2001. Adaptive radiation of blind subterranean mole rats: naming and revisiting the four sibling species of the Spalax ehrenbergi superspecies in Israel: Spalax galili (2n = 52), S. golani (2n = 54), S. carmeli (2n = 58) and S. judaei (2n = 60). Leiden: Bachkhuys Publishers.
- **Ognev SI. 1947.** Mammals of the USSR and adjacent countries. Rodents. Vol. 5. Moskva-Leningrad: Akademia Nauk SSSR (in Russian).
- Pavlinov IJa, Rossolimo OL. 1987. Systematics of the mammals of the USSR. Moscow: Nauka (in Russian)
- Pavlinov IJa, Yakhontov JL, Agadjanian AK. Mammals of Eurasia: systematical and geographical reference (3 parts). Pt. 1. Rodentia. Archives of the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University. 32. Moscow: Moscow University Press (in Russian).
- Pfunder M, Holzgang O, Frey JE. 2004. Development of microarray-based diagnostics of voles and shrews for use

in biodiversity monitoring studies, and evaluation of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I vs. cytochrome b as genetic markers. *Molecular Ecology* **13**: 1277–1286.

- Popov SV, Shcherba IG, Ilyina LB, Nevesskaya LA, Paramonova NP, Khondkarian SO, Magyar I. 2006. Late Miocene to Pliocene palaeogeography of the Paratethys and its relation to the Mediterranean. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 238: 91–106.
- **Resetnik** EG. 1941. Materiali do vivchennja sistematiki geografichnovo poshirenija ta ekologiji slipakiv (Spalacidae) v URSR. [Systematics and geographic ditribution of mole rats (Spalacidae) in Ukraine SSR.]. *Zbirnyk Prats' of the Zoological Museum of An Ursr* 24: 23–95 (in Russian).
- **Reshetnik EG. 1938.** Systematica and geographic distribution of mole rats – Spalacidae – in Ukraine SSR. *Reports of the Zoological Museum, Kiev* **23**: 3–21 (in Russian).
- Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 4: 406–425.
- Savić I, Nevo E. 1990. The Spalacidae: evolutionary history, speciation, and population biology. In: Nevo E, Reig AO, eds. Evolution of subterranean mammals at the organismal and molecular levels. New York: Alan R. Liss, 129–153.
- Szunyoghy J. 1937. Egy új *Spalax* Erdélyből. [A new Spalax from Transylvania]. *Állattani Közlemények* 34: 185–191 (in Hungarian with German abstract).
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731–2739.
- Tiflov VE, Usov YA. 1939. Study of some rodents and their ectoparasites in Western Kazakhstan Region. Vestnik mikrobiologi, epidemiologi i parazitologi 17: 141–143 (in Russian).
- **Topachevskii VA. 1969.** Fauna of the USSR: mammals. mole rats, spalacidae. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution and the National Science Foundation.
- Zagorodnyuk I, Coroiu I. 2008. Spalax graecus. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 21 March 2013.

82

WILEY-BLACKWELL

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION

Required software to e-Annotate PDFs: <u>Adobe Acrobat Professional</u> or <u>Adobe Reader</u> (version 8.0 or above). (Note that this document uses screenshots from <u>Adobe Reader X</u>) The latest version of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at: <u>http://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the Comment tab at the right of the toolbar:



3. Add note to text Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the Add note to text icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.

4. Add sticky note Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.



Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.

How to use it

- Click on the Add sticky note icon in the Annotations section.
- Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.



• Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.

tand and supply shocks, most of



USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION





How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the Drawing Markups section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.



Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks..



WILEY-BLACKWELL

For further information on how to annotate proofs, click on the Help menu to reveal a list of further options:

🔁 ec	coj_2384_CrxRev2_EV	19-Jul-10.pdf - Adobe Reader				
File	Edit View Wind	ow Help				×
1		Adobe Reader X <u>H</u> elp	F1	-		Tools Comment Share
R	characterised different de prices (Bert	by m About Adobe Reader X About Adobe <u>P</u> lug-Ins			^	▼ Annotations
	costs allow u sector. There	to er ore, i Improvement Program Options				🖻 🦻 💪 🗌 🎍 -
	on the form number of fi	f.com ms. Digital Editio <u>n</u> s			=	Та 🛱 🕂 <u>Т</u> Тр
	of the econo	my: tr <u>O</u> nline Support	•			
	the costs of	nty. Repair Adobe Reader Installation				 Drawing Markups
	because of the Moreover, si	ce sa Check for <u>U</u> pdates				▼ Comments List (14)
	novel form o BGM model	^f dyn In p Pu <u>r</u> chase Adobe Acrobat				Q Find Ar ⊗r Br
	high enoug maximise st	, the number of firms is above the 'golden rule' number ady state utility: when consumers are extremely patient the	that would ey save too			🥖 dthreshe 🕶 🔺