

Discovery of a family of γ -aminobutyrate ureas via rational derepression of a silent bacterial gene cluster†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c3sc52536h

John D. Sidda,^{ab} Lijiang Song,^a Vincent Poon,^{ab} Mahmoud Al-Bassam,^c Orestis Lazos,^a Mark J. Buttner,^c Gregory L. Challis^a and Christophe Corre^{*ab}

Gaburedins, a family of γ -aminobutyrate (GABA)-derived ureas, have been discovered by deletion of *gbnR*, an *arpA*-like putative transcriptional repressor in *Streptomyces venezuelae* ATCC 10712. Comparison of metabolite profiles in the wild type and mutant strains revealed six metabolites in the mutant that are lacking from the wild type. The structure of gaburedin A was established by HRMS combined with 1- and 2-D NMR spectroscopy and was confirmed by total synthesis. The other metabolites were confirmed as congeners using HRMS, MS/MS and feeding of putative biosynthetic precursors. Two genes, *gbnA* and *gbnB*, are proposed to be involved in gaburedin biosynthesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, deletion of *gbnB* in the *gbnR* mutant abolished gaburedin production. This is the first report to disclose the discovery of novel natural products via rational deletion of a putative pathway-specific regulatory gene.

Received 9th September 2013
Accepted 30th October 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3sc52536h

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Streptomyces are soil-dwelling, filamentous bacteria that produce a remarkably diverse range of bioactive natural products. Such molecules find use in the treatment of infectious diseases, cancer and transplant rejection, as well as in agriculture. Indeed, almost 70% of commercially-available antibiotics originate from the *Streptomyces* genus.¹ Classical methods for identification of novel natural products have focused on screening cultures for specific bioactivities. However, these approaches often result in the re-discovery of known compounds. Alternative strategies are therefore required for the discovery of novel bioactive natural products from microbial sources.²

The recent explosion in the availability of bacterial genome sequences has led to the identification of many cryptic natural product biosynthetic gene clusters.³ These offer a promising resource for novel natural product discovery.³ However, many cryptic biosynthetic gene clusters are expressed poorly or not at all under laboratory growth conditions.^{4,5} Rational approaches are therefore required to induce the expression of such silent biosynthetic pathways.

In addition to biosynthetic and self-resistance genes, single or multiple genes encoding transcriptional regulators (activators or repressors) are typically present in bacterial natural product biosynthetic gene clusters.⁵ Recently, it has been

reported that the constitutive expression of a putative pathway-specific transcriptional activator results in the production of a novel complex of macrolide antibiotics by *S. ambofaciens*.⁴ A similar strategy has been employed in *Aspergillus nidulans* to discover the product of a cryptic biosynthetic pathway.⁶ Deletion of putative bacterial pathway-specific transcriptional repressors has been investigated as a complementary approach, but has yet to result in the discovery of novel metabolites.^{7,8} ArpA-like transcriptional repressors belong to the TetR-family of DNA-binding proteins and are known to control the production of a wide variety of antibiotics.^{9,10} ArpA itself controls the production of streptomycin in *Streptomyces griseus*.¹¹ The biosynthesis of several other natural products is repressed by ArpA-like proteins and inactivation of *arpA*-like genes generally boosts production levels, e.g. deletion of *mmyR* in *Streptomyces coelicolor* has been shown to result in the overproduction of methylenomycin antibiotics.¹²

ArpA-like proteins have been shown to sense and respond to specific ligands such as γ -butyrolactones, 2-alkyl-4-hydroxymethylfuran-3-carboxylic acids (AHFCAs) and butenolides.¹³⁻¹⁷ In particular, the methylenomycin furans, assembled by the products of the *mmfLHP* genes, act as methylenomycin production inducers in *S. coelicolor*. These signaling molecules are thought to interact with the ArpA-like transcriptional repressors MmfR and/or MmyR.^{12,14} Even though MmfR and MmyR share 35% identity and 56% similarity, the phenotypes of *mmfR* and *mmyR* mutants are distinct. The strain lacking *mmyR* overproduces the methylenomycin antibiotics, whereas the strain lacking *mmfR* exhibits a phenotype similar to the wild type strain.¹²

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: C.Corre@warwick.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)2476 524 112; Tel: +44 (0)2476 523 557

^bSchool of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

^cDepartment of Molecular Microbiology, John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Strains and plasmids, experimental procedures, analytical data. See DOI: 10.1039/c3sc52536h



BLAST searches revealed that several *Streptomyces* genomes contain a cassette of five genes homologous to *mmfR*–*mmfLHP*–*mmfR*. These are proposed to be involved in the transcriptional regulation of specific natural product biosynthetic pathways.¹⁴ Thus, inactivation of the *mmfR* homologues in these genomes was predicted to result in induction of the biosynthetic gene clusters under their control and biosynthesis of the corresponding metabolic products.

The genome sequence of *Streptomyces venezuelae* ATCC 10712 became publicly available in 2012, revealing a cluster of genes that contains the *mmfR* homologue *gbnR* (*sven_4187*), as well as the *mmfR* homologue *sgnR* (*sven_4182*) and the *mmfLHP* homologues *sgnLPH* (*sven_4183*, *4185* and *4188*; Fig. 1). This putative regulatory system is located adjacent to *gbnABC* (*sven_4179–4181*), which encode potential natural product biosynthetic and export proteins.

In silico analysis of the *gbn* gene cluster revealed highly conserved DNA sequences in the *sgnR*–*sgnL* and *gbnR*–*sgnH* intergenic regions as well as in the promoter region of *gbnA* (Fig. 1). These conserved DNA sequences are proposed to be binding sites for GbnR (Fig. 1). As a consequence, GbnR was predicted to act as a repressor of the *gbnABC* operon, which should therefore be constitutively expressed if *gbnR* is deleted.

Here we report that inactivation of *gbnR* in *S. venezuelae* induces production of the gaburedins, a novel family of γ -aminobutyrate ureas. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the discovery of a novel family of bacterial natural products *via* rational manipulation of a putative pathway-specific repressor gene.

The *S. venezuelae* *gbnR::apr* mutant was constructed using PCR-targeting (see ESI†).¹⁸ The *gbnR::apr* and wild type strains were grown on a supplemented minimal agar medium for 3 days, after which the acidified agar medium was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were dried and re-suspended in water–methanol (1:1). Metabolites produced by the wild type and mutant strains were analysed by high resolution LC-MS/MS.

Comparison of the base peak chromatograms revealed six metabolites (1–6), named gaburedins, which were produced by the *gbnR::apr* mutant strain but not the wild type strain (Fig. 2).




Fig. 1 Organisation of the *gbn/sgn* gene cluster (9.2 kb) that regulates and directs gaburedin production in *S. venezuelae* ATCC 10712 and proposed functions of the encoded proteins.




Fig. 2 LC-MS analysis of *S. venezuelae* *gbnR* mutant (blue trace), wild type (red trace) and *gbnR/gbnB* double mutant (green trace) strains. Extracted ion chromatograms for *m/z* = 246.9 (gaburedin D, 4); 260.9 (gaburedin B/C, 2/3); 279.0 (gaburedin F, 6); 292.9 (gaburedin E, 5); and 294.9 (gaburedin A, 1) are shown, highlighting the metabolites identified in the *gbnR* mutant that are absent from the wild type and double mutant strains.

The molecular formulae of the metabolites were deduced to be $C_{14}H_{18}N_2O_5$ for 1, $C_{11}H_{20}N_2O_5$ for 2 and 3, $C_{10}H_{18}N_2O_5$ for 4, $C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_6S$ for 5 and $C_{10}H_{18}N_2O_5S$ for 6. A neutral loss of 129 Da was observed for each parent ion in the MS/MS spectrum, corresponding to loss of a common $C_3H_7NO_3$ fragment (Fig. 3).

Interestingly, the molecular formulae of the resulting daughter ions matched those of the protonated amino acids phenylalanine, isoleucine/leucine, valine and methionine for compounds 1, 2/3, 4 (Fig. 5) and 6 respectively. For compound 5, the molecular formulae for the daughter ion matched that of acetylcysteine ($C_3H_7NO_3S$). A further loss of a 42 Da fragment from this daughter ion was consistent with the presence of an acetyl group. An analogous fragmentation pattern was observed for the $[M + Na]^+$ ions of each gaburedin.

Cultures of the *gbnR::apr* mutant were scaled up to isolate sufficient quantities of 1 for structure elucidation by NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 4). COSY and HMBC experiments allowed unambiguous elucidation of the planar structure. An authentic standard of *S*-1 was synthesised *via* modification of the route reported by Tayaka and co-workers for the synthesis of ureido-containing derivatives of 5-fluorouracil (Fig. 4).¹⁹ LC-MS/MS




Fig. 3 High resolution MS data for gaburedin D (4), C (3), B (2) and A (1) (top panel to bottom panel, respectively), highlighting the neutral loss of 129 Da observed for all gaburedins.






Fig. 4 Structure elucidation and total synthesis of gaburedin A **1**. Bold lines represent COSY correlations and arrows represent HMBC correlations observed for the sample of **1** isolated from *S. venezuelae*.




Fig. 5 Structures of gaburedins A–D (**1–4**) isolated from the *S. venezuelae* *gbnR::apr* mutant, showing the conserved GABA fragment (boxed) common to all gaburedins.


and NMR analyses confirmed that the compound purified from the *gbnR::apr* mutant strain was identical to the synthetic standard **1**.

Supplementation of the culture medium with specific amino acids was found to influence gaburedin production. For example, growth of the *gbnR::apr* mutant in a culture medium enriched in *L*-phenylalanine (20 mM final concentration) resulted in overproduction of gaburedin A **1** relative to the other gaburedin congeners. Feeding with *D*-phenylalanine resulted in the overproduction of a gaburedin with same retention time and fragmentation pattern as **1**. However, chiral HPLC analysis indicated that this compound was the enantiomer of **1**, confirming that the absolute configuration of **1** initially isolated from *S. venezuelae* is *S* (ESI[†]).

The *gbnR::apr* mutant was grown in culture media supplemented with either *L*-isoleucine or *L*-leucine (20 mM final concentration) to distinguish between gaburedin B **2** and gaburedin C **3** which have very similar retention times (Fig. 2). The resulting culture extracts were analysed by LC-MS/MS, revealing that the quantity of **3** increased dramatically when *L*-isoleucine was fed, whereas the quantity of **2** significantly increased in the culture extract obtained from the mutant grown in the presence of *L*-leucine (see ESI[†]). Specific incorporation of *d*₁₀-leucine into **2** and *d*₁₀-isoleucine into **3** further confirmed the structural identity of these metabolites (ESI[†]). Similarly the *gbnR::apr* mutant strain was grown in the presence of *N*-acetylcysteine, in which case gaburedin E **5** was overproduced. Further precursor-directed biosynthesis experiments were carried out by supplementing the culture medium with

various amino acids and gaburedins containing Val, Met, Tyr, Trp, Gly, Ala, Pro, Ser, Thr and Glu were identified by LC-MS analyses (see ESI[†]). The molecular formulae, fragmentation patterns and retention times were all consistent with the proposed structures (see ESI[†]). Interestingly supplementation with γ -aminobutyrate (GABA) resulted in the production of a GABA dimer (**7**), in which the two monomers are linked by a ureido bridge (molecular formulae C₉H₁₆N₂O₅, Scheme 1). Amino acid analogues lacking the carboxylic acid group were also incorporated into gaburedin analogues, *e.g.* isobutylamine was found to elicit the production of compound **8** (Scheme 1).

The *gbnA* gene encodes a putative pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-dependent amino acid decarboxylase (Fig. 1), which is proposed to decarboxylate glutamate to give GABA (Scheme 1). Interestingly, feeding of the *S. venezuelae* *gbnR* mutant with glutamate not only yielded the glutamate-derived gaburedin analogue but also analogue **7**, consistent with the hypothesis that GABA is derived from decarboxylation of glutamate. The *gbnB* gene encodes a putative ATP-dependent enzyme belonging to the acyl-CoA synthetase superfamily (Fig. 1). GbnB is proposed to adenylate either the carboxyl or the carbamoyl group of compound **9**, which derives from the spontaneous reaction of GABA with CO₂ (Scheme 1). Carboxyl adenylate **10** would need to undergo cyclisation to the *N*-carboxyanhydride **11**, which would then afford the gaburedins *via* ring opening with amino acids (note, however, that this would involve nucleophilic attack at the less electrophilic carbonyl group of **11**, which is chemically unlikely). On the other hand, the carbamoyl adenylate **12** could react with the appropriate amino acids either directly, or *via* anhydride **11**, to form the gaburedins. Deletion of *gbnB* in the *gbnR* mutant of *S. venezuelae* abolished gaburedin production (Fig. 2), consistent with the key role proposed for GbnB in gaburedin biosynthesis. GbnA and GbnB appear to be the only two enzymes required for gaburedin biosynthesis. GbnC is



Scheme 1 Proposed pathways for gaburedin biosynthesis.



proposed to export either the gaburedins or intermediate **11/12**, which could react with amino acids in the extracellular milieu to form the gaburedins.

A handful of other urea-containing natural products are known, such as the syringolins and the pacidamycins.^{20–22} Interestingly, the adenylation domain of SylC, which has been shown to catalyze urea formation in syringolin biosynthesis, shares 39% similarity and 22% identity with GbnB.²⁰ The mechanism for SylC-catalyzed urea formation has been proposed to involve a five-membered cyclic *N*-carboxyanhydride, or a closely related species.²⁰ This anhydride is hypothesized to be formed *via* an analogous mechanism to that suggested for the formation of **11** (Scheme 1). Trapping of the anhydride with an isoleucyl or valinyl acyl carrier protein thioester would lead to formation of the ureido linkage of the syringolins. As noted above, an analogous mechanism for the formation of the gaburedins *via* intermediate **11** seems unlikely. Thus, further experiments are required to establish whether similar or distinct mechanisms are utilized for urea formation in syringolin and gaburedin biosynthesis.

Interestingly, BLAST searches revealed analogous operons to the *gbnABC* operon in other bacteria such as *Streptococcus mutans*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Vibrio vulnificus* (see ESI†). Surprisingly, most of these are clinical isolates of opportunistic pathogens. It will be important, therefore, to establish the nature of the metabolites produced by these strains and to investigate the role of gaburedins and related molecules in pathogenicity.

Conclusions

In summary, bioinformatics analyses suggested that expression of the *gbnABC* gene is controlled by the putative pathway-specific transcriptional repressor GbnR in *S. venezuelae*. Inactivation of *gbnR* resulted in derepression of the *gbn* gene cluster, resulting in the discovery of the gaburedins, a novel class of urea natural products. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of novel natural product discovery *via* rational manipulation of a pathway specific transcriptional repressor gene, an approach that offers considerable potential for activation of silent biosynthetic pathways in *Streptomyces* species, which could lead to the discovery of a wide variety of new metabolites.

Acknowledgements

This work was mainly supported by a University Research Fellowship (UF090255) from The Royal Society and by an EPSRC DTA studentship to J. D. Sidda. The Bruker MaXis mass spectrometer used in this research was obtained with support from AWM and the ERDF. The authors would also like to thank Dr David J. Fox for helpful discussions.

Notes and references

- 1 D. A. Hopwood, *Streptomyces in Nature and Medicine: The Antibiotic Makers*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2007.
- 2 F. E. Koehn and G. T. Carter, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2005, **4**, 206–220.
- 3 M. Zerikly and G. L. Challis, *ChemBioChem*, 2009, **10**, 625–633.
- 4 L. Laureti, L. Song, S. Huang, C. Corre, P. Leblond, G. L. Challis and B. Aigle, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 6258–6263.
- 5 G. Liu, K. F. Chater, G. Chandra, G. Niu and H. Tan, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2013, **77**, 112–143.
- 6 S. Bergmann, J. Schümann, K. Scherlach, C. Lange, A. A. Brakhage and C. Hertweck, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2007, **3**, 213–217.
- 7 M. Gottelt, S. Kol, J. P. Gomez-Escribano, M. J. Bibb and E. Takano, *Microbiology*, 2010, **156**, 2243–2253.
- 8 R. Bunet, L. Song, M. V. Mendes, C. Corre, L. Hotel, N. Rouhier, X. Framboisier, P. Leblond, G. L. Challis and B. Aigle, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2011, **193**, 1142–1153.
- 9 J. L. Ramos, M. Martínez-Bueno, A. J. Molina-Henares, W. Terán, K. Watanabe, X. Zhang, M. T. Gallegos, R. Brennan and R. Tobes, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2005, **69**, 326–356.
- 10 L. Cuthbertson and J. R. Nodwell, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2013, **77**, 440–475.
- 11 Y. Ohnishi, S. Kameyama, H. Onaka and S. Horinouchi, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 1999, **34**, 102–111.
- 12 S. O'Rourke, A. Wietzorrek, K. Fowler, C. Corre, G. L. Challis and K. F. Chater, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2009, **71**, 763–778.
- 13 H. Nishida, Y. Ohnishi, S. Horinouchi and T. Beppu, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 2007, **9**, 1986–1994.
- 14 C. Corre, L. Song, S. O'Rourke, K. F. Chater and G. L. Challis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2008, **105**, 17510–17515.
- 15 S. Kitani, K. T. Miyamoto, S. Takamatsu, E. Herawati, H. Iguchi, K. Nishitomi, M. Uchida, T. Nagamitsu, S. Omura, H. Ikeda and T. Nihira, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 16410–16415.
- 16 J. M. Willey and A. A. Gaskell, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 174–187.
- 17 K. Arakawa, N. Tsuda, A. Taniguchi and H. Kinashi, *ChemBioChem*, 2012, **13**, 1447–1457.
- 18 B. Gust, G. L. Challis, K. Fowler, T. Kieser and K. F. Chater, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 1541–1546.
- 19 T. Tayaka and Z. Tozuka, *US Pat.*, 4,349,552, 14 September 1982.
- 20 H. J. Imker, C. T. Walsh and W. M. Wuest, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 18263–18265.
- 21 E. J. Rackham, S. Grünschow, A. E. Ragab, S. Dickens and R. J. M. Goss, *ChemBioChem*, 2010, **11**, 1700–1709.
- 22 W. Zhang, I. Ntai, M. L. Bolla, S. J. Malcolmson, D. Kahne, N. L. Kelleher and C. T. Walsh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5240–5243.

