

## DEGREE BOUNDS FOR SEPARATING INVARIANTS

MARTIN KOHLS AND HANSPETER KRAFT

ABSTRACT. If  $V$  is a representation of a linear algebraic group  $G$ , a set  $S$  of  $G$ -invariant regular functions on  $V$  is called *separating* if the following holds: *If two elements  $v, v' \in V$  can be separated by an invariant function, then there is an  $f \in S$  such that  $f(v) \neq f(v')$ .* It is known that there always exist finite separating sets. Moreover, if the group  $G$  is finite, then the invariant functions of degree  $\leq |G|$  form a separating set. We show that for a non-finite linear algebraic group  $G$  such an upper bound for the degrees of a separating set does not exist.

If  $G$  is finite, we define  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  to be the minimal number  $d$  such that for every  $G$ -module  $V$  there is a separating set of degree  $\leq d$ . We show that for a subgroup  $H \subset G$  we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \cdot \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)$ , and that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \cdot \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)$  in case  $H$  is normal. Moreover, we calculate  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  for some specific finite groups.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group and  $X$  a  $G$ -variety, i.e. an affine variety equipped with a (regular) action of  $G$ , everything defined over  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  the coordinate ring of  $X$  and by  $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$  the subring of  $G$ -invariant regular functions. The following definition is due to DERKSEN and KEMPER [4, Definition 2.3.8].

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -variety. A subset  $S \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^G$  of the invariant ring of  $X$  is called *separating* (or  *$G$ -separating*) if the following holds:

*For any pair  $x, x' \in X$ , if  $f(x) \neq f(x')$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)^G$  then there is an  $h \in S$  such that  $h(x) \neq h(x')$ .*

It is known and easy to see that there always exists a finite separating set (see [4, Theorem 2.3.15]).

If  $V$  is a  $G$ -module, i.e. a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space with a regular linear action of  $G$ , we would like to know a priori bounds for the degrees of the elements in a separating set. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(V)_d \subset \mathcal{O}(V)$  the homogeneous functions of degree  $d$  (and the zero function), and put  $\mathcal{O}(V)_{\leq d} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^d \mathcal{O}(V)_i$ .

**Definition 2.** For a  $G$ -module  $V$  define

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) := \min\{d \mid \mathcal{O}(V)_{\leq d}^G \text{ is } G\text{-separating}\} \in \mathbb{N},$$

and set

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) := \sup\{\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \mid V \text{ a } G\text{-module}\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

The main results of this note are the following.

---

Received by the editors July 13, 2010.

**Theorem A.** *The group  $G$  is finite if and only if  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  is finite.*

In order to prove this we will show that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$ , that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$ , that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \infty$  for every semisimple group  $G$ , and that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G^0) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  where  $G^0$  denotes the identity component of  $G$  (see Theorem 1 in section 3).

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H \subset G$  a subgroup. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H), \text{ and so } \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|.$$

Moreover, if  $H \subset G$  is normal, then

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H).$$

This will be done in section 4 where we formulate and prove a more precise statement (Theorem 2).

Finally, we have the following explicit results for finite groups.

- Theorem C.**
- (a) *Let  $\text{char } K = 2$ . Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) = 4$ .*
  - (b) *Let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ .*
  - (c) *Let  $G$  be a finite cyclic group. Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ .*
  - (d) *Assume  $\text{char}(K) = p$  is odd, and  $r \geq 1$ . Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2p^r$ .*

For a reductive group  $G$  one knows that the condition  $f(x) \neq f(x')$  for some invariant  $f$  (in Definition 1) is equivalent to the condition  $\overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gx'} = \emptyset$ , see [13, Corollary 3.5.2]. This gives rise to the following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -variety. A  $G$ -invariant morphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  where  $Y$  is an affine variety is called *separating* (or  *$G$ -separating*) if the following condition holds: *For any pair  $x, x' \in X$  such that  $\overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gx'} = \emptyset$  we have  $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(x')$ .*

*Remark 1.* If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $G$ -separating and  $X' \subset X$  a closed  $G$ -stable subvariety, then the induced morphism  $\varphi|_{X'}: X' \rightarrow Y$  is also  $G$ -separating.

*Remark 2.* Choose a closed embedding  $Y \subset K^m$  and denote by  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  the coordinate functions of  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y \subset K^m$ . If  $\varphi$  is separating, then  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$  is a separating set. The converse holds if  $G$  is reductive, but not in general, as shown by the standard linear action of  $K^+$  on  $K^2$  given by  $s(x, y) = (x + sy, y)$  which does not admit a separating morphism, but has  $\{y\}$  as a separating set.

## 2. Some useful results

We want to recall some facts about the  $\beta_{\text{sep}}$ -values, and compare them with results for the classical  $\beta$ -values for generating invariants introduced by SCHMID [15]:  $\beta(G)$  is the minimal  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $G$ -module  $V$ , the invariant ring  $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$  is generated by the invariants of degree  $\leq d$ .

By DERKSEN and KEMPER [4, Corollary 3.9.14], we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|$ . This is in perfect analogy to the Noether bound which says that  $\beta(G) \leq |G|$  in the non-modular case (i.e. if  $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$ ), see [8, 9, 15]. Of course we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta(G)$ , so every upper bound for  $\beta(G)$  gives one for  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ .

In characteristic zero and in the non-modular case there are the bounds by SCHMID [15] and by DOMOKOS, HEGEDÜS, and SEZER [6, 16] which improve the Noether bound. In particular,  $\beta(G) \leq \frac{3}{4}|G|$  for non-modular non-cyclic groups  $G$ , by [16].

For a linear algebraic group  $G$  it is shown by BRYANT, DERKSEN and KEMPER [2, 5] that  $\beta(G) < \infty$  if and only if  $G$  is finite and  $p \nmid |G|$  which is the analogon to our Theorem A. For further results on degree bounds, we recommend the overview article of WEHLAU [18].

The following results will be useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be a closed subgroup,  $X$  an affine  $G$ -variety and  $Z$  an affine  $H$ -variety. Let  $\iota: Z \rightarrow X$  be an  $H$ -equivariant morphism and assume that  $\iota^*$  induces a surjection  $\mathcal{O}(X)^G \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$ . If  $S \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^G$  is  $G$ -separating, then the image  $\iota^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$  is  $H$ -separating.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$  such that  $f(z_1) \neq f(z_2)$ . By assumption  $f = \iota^*(\tilde{f})$  for some  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(X)^G$ . Put  $x_i := \iota(z_i)$ . Then  $\tilde{f}(x_1) = f(z_1) \neq f(z_2) = \tilde{f}(x_2)$ . Thus we can find an  $h \in S$  such that  $h(x_1) \neq h(x_2)$ . It follows that  $\bar{h} := \iota^*(h) \in \iota^*(S)$  and  $\bar{h}(z_1) = h(x_1) \neq h(x_2) = \bar{h}(z_2)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* In general, the inverse map  $(\iota^*)^{-1}$  does not take  $H$ -separating sets to  $G$ -separating sets. Take  $K^+ \subset \text{SL}_2$  as the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices,  $X = K^2 \oplus K^2 \oplus K^2$  the sum of three copies of the standard representation of  $\text{SL}_2$  and  $Z = K^2 \oplus K^2$  the sum of two copies of the standard representation of  $K^+$ . Then  $\iota: Z \rightarrow X, (v, w) \mapsto ((1, 0), v, w)$  is  $K^+$ -equivariant and induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{\text{SL}_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(Z)^{K^+}$  (see [14]). In fact, choosing the coordinates  $(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)$  on  $X$  and  $(y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)$  on  $Y$ , we get from the classical description [3] of the invariants and covariants of copies of  $K^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(X)^{\text{SL}_2(K)} &= K[y_1x_0 - y_0x_1, z_1x_0 - z_0x_1, y_1z_0 - y_0z_1], \\ \mathcal{O}(Y)^{K^+} &= K[y_1, z_1, y_1z_0 - y_0z_1], \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows, because  $\iota^*(x_0) = 1, \iota^*(x_1) = 0$ .

Now take  $S := \{y_1, z_1, y_1(y_1z_0 - y_0z_1), z_1(y_1z_0 - y_0z_1)\} \subset \mathcal{O}(Z)^{K^+}$ . We claim that  $S$  is a  $K^+$ -separating set, but  $(\iota^*)^{-1}(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^{\text{SL}_2}$  is not  $\text{SL}_2$ -separating. For the first claim one has to use that if  $y_1$  and  $z_1$  both vanish, then the third generator  $y_1z_0 - y_0z_1$  of the invariant ring  $\mathcal{O}(Y)^{K^+}$  also vanishes. For the second claim we consider the elements  $v = ((0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 0))$  and  $v' = ((0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1))$  of  $X$ , which are separated by the invariants, but not by  $(\iota^*)^{-1}(S)$ .

For the following application recall that for a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  of finite index the induced module  $\text{Ind}_H^G V$  of an  $H$ -module  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $G$ -module.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be a closed subgroup of finite index and let  $V$  be an  $H$ -module. Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G V)$ . In particular,  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\text{Ind}_H^G V$  contains  $V$  as an  $H$ -submodule in a canonical way. If  $n := [G : H]$  and  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i H$ , then  $\text{Ind}_H^G V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n g_i V$ . Moreover, the inclusion  $\iota: V \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G V$  induces a surjection  $\iota^*: \mathcal{O}(\text{Ind}_H^G(V))^G \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V)^H, f \mapsto f|_V$ . In fact, for  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)_+^H$ , a preimage  $\tilde{f}$  is given by  $\tilde{f}(g_1v_1, \dots, g_nv_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i), v_i \in V$ ,

which is easily seen to be  $G$ -invariant. Now the claim follows from Proposition 1 above, because the restriction map  $\iota^*$  is linear and so preserves degrees.  $\square$

**Proposition 2** (DERKSEN and KEMPER [4, Theorem 2.3.16]). *Let  $G$  be a reductive group,  $V$  a  $G$ -module und  $U \subset V$  a submodule. The restriction map  $\mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_U$  takes every separating set of  $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$  to a separating set of  $\mathcal{O}(U)^G$ . In particular, we have*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, U) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V).$$

Let us mention here that in positive characteristic the restriction map is in general not surjective when restricted to the invariants, and so a generating set is not necessarily mapped onto a generating set.

We finally remark that for finite groups there always exist  $G$ -modules  $V$  such that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ . The same holds for the  $\beta$ -values in characteristic zero.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group group and  $V_{\text{reg}} = KG$  its regular representation. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V_{\text{reg}}).$$

In fact, every  $G$ -module  $V$  can be embedded as a submodule into  $V_{\text{reg}}^{\dim V}$ . Since, by [7, Corollary 3.7],  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V^m) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V)$  for any  $G$ -module  $V$  and every positive integer  $m$ , the claim follows from Proposition 2.

### 3. The case of non-finite algebraic groups

In this section we prove the following theorem which is equivalent to Theorem A from the first section.

**Theorem 1.** *For any non-finite linear algebraic group  $G$  we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \infty$ .*

We start with the additive group  $K^+$ . Denote by  $V = Ke_0 \oplus Ke_1 \simeq K^2$  the standard 2-dimensional  $K^+$ -module:  $s \cdot e_0 := e_0$ ,  $s \cdot e_1 := se_0 + e_1$  for  $s \in K^+$ . If  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  we can “twist” the module  $V$  with the Frobenius map  $F^n: K^+ \rightarrow K^+, s \mapsto s^{p^n}$  to obtain another  $K^+$ -module which we denote by  $V_{F^n}$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and consider the  $K^+$ -module  $W := V \oplus V_{F^n}$ . We write  $\mathcal{O}(W) = K[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1]$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+} = K[x_1, y_1, x_0^{p^n} y_1 - x_1^{p^n} y_0]$ . In particular,  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+, W) = p^n + 1$  and so  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $f := x_0^{p^n} y_1 - x_1^{p^n} y_0$  is  $K^+$ -invariant. Define the  $K^+$ -invariant morphism

$$\pi: W \rightarrow K^3, \quad w = (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) \mapsto (a_1, b_1, a_0^{p^n} b_1 - a_1^{p^n} b_0).$$

Over the affine open set  $U := \{(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in K^3 \mid c_1 \neq 0\}$ , the induced map  $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is a trivial  $K^+$ -bundle. In fact, the morphism  $\rho: U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$  given by  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mapsto (0, c_1, -c_1^{-p^n} c_3, c_2)$  is a section of  $\pi$ , inducing a  $K^+$ -equivariant isomorphism  $K^+ \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(U)$ ,  $(s, u) \mapsto s \cdot \rho(u)$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{O}(W)_{x_1}^{K^+} = K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, y_1, f]$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+} = K[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1] \cap K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, y_1, f]$ , and the claim follows easily.  $\square$

If  $K$  has characteristic zero, we need a different argument. Denote by  $V_n := S^n V$  the  $n$ th symmetric power of the standard  $K^+$ -module  $V = Ke_0 \oplus Ke_1$  (see above). This module is cyclic of dimension  $n + 1$ , i.e.  $V_n = \langle K^+v_n \rangle$  where  $v_n := e_1^n$ , and for any  $s \in K^+, s \neq 0$ , the endomorphism  $v \mapsto sv - v$  of  $V_n$  is nilpotent of rank  $n$ . In particular,  $V_n^{K^+} = Kv_0$  where  $v_0 := e_0^n \in V_n$ .

*Remark 4.* For  $q \geq 1$  consider the  $q$ th symmetric power  $S^q V_n$  of the module  $V_n$ . Then the cyclic submodule  $\langle K^+v_n^q \rangle \subset S^q V_n$  generated by  $v_n^q$  is  $K^+$ -isomorphic to  $V_{qn}$ , and  $\langle K^+v_n^q \rangle^{K^+} = Kv_0^q$ . One way to see this is by remarking that the modules  $V_n$  are  $SL_2(K)$ -modules in a natural way, and then to use representation theory of  $SL_2(K)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Consider the  $K^+$ -module  $W = V^* \oplus V_n$  and the two vectors  $w := (x_0, v_0)$  and  $w' := (x_0, 0)$  of  $W$ . Then there is a  $K^+$ -invariant function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+}$  separating  $w$  and  $w'$ , and any such  $f$  has degree  $\deg f \geq n + 1$ . In particular,  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+, W) \geq n + 1$ , and so  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_1, U_2$  be two finite dimensional vector spaces. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(S^q U_2, S^p U_1)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)}$  denotes the subspace of those regular functions on  $U_1^* \oplus U_2$  which are bihomogeneous of degree  $(p, q)$ . If  $F = \Psi(f)$ , then for any  $x \in U_1^*$  and  $u \in U_2$  we have

$$f(x, u) = x^p(F(u^q)).$$

(Since we are in characteristic 0 we can identify  $S^p(U_1^*)$  with  $(S^p U_1)^*$ .) Moreover, if  $U_1, U_2$  are  $G$ -modules, then  $\Psi$  is  $G$ -equivariant and induces an isomorphism between the  $G$ -invariant bihomogeneous functions and the  $G$ -linear homomorphisms:

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)}^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_G(S^q U_2, S^p U_1).$$

For the  $K^+$ -module  $W = V^* \oplus V_n$  we thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(V^* \oplus V_n)_{(p,q)}^{K^+} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{K^+}(S^q V_n, S^p V).$$

Putting  $p = n$  and  $q = 1$  and defining  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V^* \oplus V_n)_{(n,1)}^{K^+}$  by  $\Psi(f) = \text{Id}_{V_n}$ , we get  $f(w) = f(x_0, v_0) = x_0^n(v_0) = x_0^n(e_0^n) \neq 0$ , and  $f(w') = f(x_0, 0) = 0$ . Hence  $w$  and  $w'$  can be separated by invariants.

Now let  $f$  be a  $K^+$ -invariant separating  $w$  and  $w'$  where  $\deg f = d$ . We can clearly assume that  $f$  is bihomogeneous, say of degree  $(p, q)$  where  $p + q = d$ . Because  $f$  must depend on  $V_n$ , we have  $q \geq 1$ . Hence  $f(w') = f(x_0, 0) = 0$ , and so  $f(w) = f(x_0, v_0) \neq 0$ . This implies for  $F := \Psi(f)$  that  $F(v_0^q) \neq 0$ . Now it follows from Remark 4 above that  $F$  induces an injective map of  $\langle K^+v_n^q \rangle$  into  $S^p V$ , and so

$$p + 1 = \dim S^p V \geq \dim \langle K^+v_n^q \rangle = qn + 1 \geq n + 1.$$

Hence  $\deg f = p + q \geq n + 1$ . □

To handle the general case we use the following construction. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group and  $H \subset G$  a closed subgroup. We assume that  $H$  is reductive. For an affine  $H$ -variety  $X$  we define

$$G \times^H X := (G \times X) // H := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(G \times X)^H)$$

where  $H$  acts (freely) on the product  $G \times X$  by  $h(g, x) := (gh^{-1}, hx)$ , commuting with the action of  $G$  by left multiplication on the first factor. We denote by  $[g, x]$  the image of  $(g, x) \in G \times X$  in the quotient  $G \times^H X$ .

The following is well-known. It follows from general results from geometric invariant theory, see e.g. [12].

- (a) The canonical morphism  $G \times^H X \rightarrow G/H$ ,  $[g, x] \mapsto gH$ , is a fiber bundle (in the étale topology) with fiber  $X$ .
- (b) If the action of  $H$  on  $X$  extends to an action of  $G$ , then  $G \times^H X \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H \times X$  where  $G$  acts diagonally on  $G/H \times X$  (i.e. the fiber bundle is trivial).
- (c) The canonical morphism  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow G \times^H X$  given by  $x \mapsto [e, x]$  is an  $H$ -equivariant closed embedding.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\varphi: G \times^H X \rightarrow Y$  is  $G$ -separating, then the composite morphism  $\varphi \circ \iota: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $H$ -separating. Moreover, if  $S \subset \mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G$  is a  $G$ -separating set, then its image  $\iota^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^H$  is  $H$ -separating.*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$  we have  $\overline{G[e, x]} = [G, \overline{Hx}]$ . Therefore, if  $\overline{Hx} \cap \overline{Hx'} = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{G[e, x]} \cap \overline{G[e, x']} = \emptyset$  and so  $\varphi \circ \iota(x) = \varphi([e, x]) \neq \varphi([e, x']) = \varphi \circ \iota(x')$ . The second claim follows from Proposition 1, because  $\mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G = \mathcal{O}(G \times X)^{G \times H} = \mathcal{O}(X)^H$  and so  $\iota^*$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(X)^H$ .  $\square$

Now let  $V$  be a  $G$ -module and  $X := V|_H$ , the underlying  $H$ -module. Let  $H$  act on  $G$  by right-multiplication with the inverse. As  $H$  is reductive, the categorical quotient  $G//H$  exists as an affine  $G$ -variety, and can be identified with the set of left cosets  $G/H$  (see [17, Exercise 5.5.9 (8)]). Choose a closed  $G$ -equivariant embedding  $G/H \xrightarrow{\sim} Gw_0 \hookrightarrow W$  where  $W$  is a  $G$ -module (see [4, Lemma A.1.9]). Then we get the following composition of closed embeddings where the first one is  $H$ -equivariant and the remaining are  $G$ -equivariant:

$$\mu: V|_H \hookrightarrow G \times^H V \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H \times V \hookrightarrow W \times V.$$

The map  $\mu$  is given by  $\mu(v) = (w_0, v)$ . It follows from Lemma 1 and Remark 1 that for any  $G$ -separating morphism  $\varphi: W \times V \rightarrow Y$  the composition  $\varphi \circ \mu: V|_H \rightarrow Y$  is  $H$ -separating. In particular, if  $G$  is reductive, then for any  $G$ -separating set  $S \subset \mathcal{O}(W \times V)$  the image  $\mu^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(V)^H$  is  $H$ -separating. Since  $\deg \mu^*(f) \leq \deg f$  this implies the following result.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $G$  be a reductive group,  $H \subset G$  a closed reductive subgroup and  $V'$  an  $H$ -module. If  $V'$  is isomorphic to an  $H$ -submodule of a  $G$ -module  $V$ , then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V') \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G).$$

Now we can prove the main result of this section,

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Corollary 1 we can assume that  $G$  is connected.

(a) Let  $G$  be semisimple,  $T \subset G$  a maximal torus and  $B \supset T$  a Borel subgroup. If  $\lambda \in X(T)$  is dominant we denote by  $E^\lambda$  the Weyl-module of  $G$  of highest weight  $\lambda$ , and by  $D^\lambda \subset E^\lambda$  the highest weight line. Choose a one-parameter subgroup  $\rho: K^* \rightarrow T$  and define  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\rho(t)u = t^{k_0} \cdot u$  for  $u \in D^\lambda$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$V'_n := (D^\lambda)^* \oplus D^{n\lambda} \subset V_n := (E^\lambda)^* \oplus E^{n\lambda}.$$

Then  $V'_n$  is a two-dimensional  $K^*$ -module with weights  $(-k_0, nk_0)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}(V'_n)^{K^*}$  is generated by a homogeneous invariant of degree  $n + 1$  and so  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*, V'_n) = n + 1$ . Now Proposition 6 implies

$$n + 1 = \beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*, V'_n) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$$

and the claim follows. In addition, we have also shown that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$ .

(b) If  $G$  admits a non-trivial character  $\chi: G \rightarrow K^*$  then the claim follows because  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq \beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$ , as we have seen in (a).

(c) If the character group of  $G$  is trivial, then either  $G$  is unipotent or there is a surjective homomorphism  $G \rightarrow H$  where  $H$  is semisimple (use [17, Corollary 8.1.6 (ii)]). In the first case there is a surjective homomorphism  $G \rightarrow K^+$  and the claim follows from Proposition 4 and Proposition 5. In the second case the claim follows from (a). □

#### 4. Relative degree bounds

In this section all groups are finite. We want to prove the following result which covers Theorem B from the first section.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $H \subset G$  a subgroup,  $V$  a  $G$ -module and  $W$  an  $H$ -module. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, W) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G W) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V).$$

*In particular*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H), \quad \text{and so } \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|.$$

*Moreover, if  $H \subset G$  is normal, then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H).$$

Note that the inequalities  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$  and  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|$  were already proved by DERKSEN and KEMPER ([11, Corollary 24], [4, Corollary 3.9.14]).

The proof needs some preparation. Let  $V, W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces and  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  a morphism, i.e. a polynomial map.

**Definition 4.** The *degree of  $\varphi$*  is defined in the following way, generalizing the degree of a polynomial function. Choose a basis  $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$  of  $W$ , so that  $\varphi(v) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(v)w_j$  for  $v \in V$ . Then

$$\text{deg } \varphi := \max\{\text{deg } f_j \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

It is easy to see that this is independent of the choice of a basis.

If  $V$  is a  $G$ -module and  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  a separating morphism, then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq \text{deg } \varphi$ . Moreover, there is a separating morphism  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  for some  $W$  such that  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) = \text{deg } \varphi$ .

For any (finite dimensional) vector space  $W$  we regard  $W^d = W \otimes K^d$  as the direct sum of  $\dim W$  copies of the standard  $\mathcal{S}_d$ -module  $K^d$ . In this case we have the following result due to DRAISMA, KEMPER and WEHLAU [7, Theorem 3.4].

**Lemma 2.** *The polarizations of the elementary symmetric functions form an  $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating set of  $W^d$ . In particular, there is an  $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating morphism  $\psi_W: W^d \rightarrow K^N$  of degree  $\leq d$ .*

Recall that the polarizations of a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  to  $n$  copies of  $U$  are defined in the following way. Write

$$f(t_1u_1 + t_2u_2 + \dots + t_nu_n) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} \dots t_n^{i_n} f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$$

Then the functions  $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{O}(U^n)$  are called *polarizations of  $f$* . Clearly,  $\deg f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \leq \deg f$ . Moreover, if  $U$  is a  $G$ -module and  $f$  a  $G$ -invariant, then all  $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  are  $G$ -invariants with respect to the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $U^n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The first inequality  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, W) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G W)$  is shown in Corollary 1.

Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -module,  $v, w \in V$ , and let  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  be an  $H$ -separating morphism of degree  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$ . Consider the partition of  $G$  into  $H$ -right cosets:  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^d Hg_i$  where  $d := [G : H]$ . Define the following morphism

$$\tilde{\varphi}: V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} W^d \xrightarrow{\psi_W} K^N$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}(v) := (\varphi(g_1v), \dots, \varphi(g_dv))$  and  $\psi_W: W^d \rightarrow K^N$  is the separating morphism from Lemma 2.

We claim that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is  $G$ -separating. In fact, for  $g \in G$  define the permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$  by  $Hg_i g = Hg_{\sigma(i)}$ , i.e.  $g_i g = h_i g_{\sigma(i)}$  for a suitable  $h_i \in H$ . Then  $\varphi(g_i g v) = \varphi(h_i g_{\sigma(i)} v) = \varphi(g_{\sigma(i)} v)$  and so  $\tilde{\varphi}(g v) = \sigma^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}(v)$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is  $G$ -invariant.

Assume now that  $g v \neq w$  for all  $g \in G$ . This implies that  $h g_i v \neq w$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and so  $\varphi(g_i v) \neq \varphi(w)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , because  $\varphi$  is  $H$ -separating. As a consequence,  $\tilde{\varphi}(v) \neq \sigma \tilde{\varphi}(w)$  for all permutations  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$ , hence  $\tilde{\varphi}(v) \neq \tilde{\varphi}(w)$ , because  $\psi_W$  is  $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating, and so  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is  $G$ -separating.

For the degree we get  $\deg \tilde{\varphi} \leq \deg \psi_W \cdot \deg \tilde{\varphi} \leq d \cdot \deg \varphi = [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$ . This shows that

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V).$$

If  $H \subset G$  is normal we can find an  $H$ -separating morphism  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  of degree  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$  such that  $W$  is a  $G/H$ -module and  $\varphi$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Now choose an  $G/H$ -separating morphism  $\psi: W \rightarrow U$  of degree  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H, W)$ . Then the composition  $\psi \circ \varphi: V \rightarrow U$  is  $G$ -separating of degree  $\leq \deg \psi \cdot \deg \varphi$ . Thus

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H, W) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H),$$

and the claim follows. □

### 5. Degree bounds for some finite groups

In principle, Proposition 3 allows to compute  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  for any finite group  $G$ . Unfortunately, the invariant ring  $\mathcal{O}(V_{\text{reg}})^G$  does not behave well in a computational sense. We have been able to compute  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$  with MAGMA [1] and the algorithm of [10] in just one case (computation time about 20 minutes):



**Proposition 7** (MAGMA and Proposition 3). *Let  $\text{char } K = 2$ . Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) = 4$ .*

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ .*

*Proof.* Let us start with a general remark. Let  $G$  be an arbitrary finite group, and let  $V$  be a permutation module of  $G$ , i.e. there is a basis  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  which is permuted under  $G$ . Then the invariants are linearly spanned by the orbit sums  $s_m$  of the monomials  $m = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \mathcal{O}(V) = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  which are defined in the usual way:

$$s_m := \sum_{f \in Gm} f$$

The value of  $s_m$  on the fixed point  $v := v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_n \in V$  equals  $|Gm|$ . Hence,  $s_m(v) = 0$  if  $p$  divides the index  $[G : G_m]$  of the stabilizer  $G_m$  of  $m$  in  $G$ . It follows that for a  $p$ -group  $G$  we have  $s_m(v) \neq 0$  if and only if  $m$  is invariant under  $G$ .

If, in addition,  $G$  acts transitively on the basis  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ , then an invariant monomial  $m$  is a power of  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ , and thus has degree  $\ell n \geq \dim V$ . If we apply this to the regular representation, the claim follows.  $\square$

With Corollary 1 we get the next result.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and  $G$  be a group of order  $rp^k$  with  $(r, p) = 1$ . Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq p^k$ .*

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $G$  be a cyclic group. Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|G| = rp^k$  where  $(r, p) = 1$ ,  $p = \text{char } K$ , and choose two elements  $g, h \in G$  of order  $r$  and  $q := p^k$ , respectively, so that  $G = \langle g, h \rangle$ . We define a linear action of  $G$  on  $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^q K v_i$  by

$$g v_i := \zeta \cdot v_i \text{ and } h v_i := v_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q$$

where  $\zeta \in K$  is a primitive  $r$ th root of unity and  $v_{q+1} := v_1$ . We claim that the  $G$ -invariants  $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$  are linearly spanned by the orbit sums  $s_m$  where  $r \mid \deg m$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{O}(V)^{\langle g \rangle}$  is linearly spanned by the monomials of degree  $\ell r$  ( $\ell \geq 0$ ), and the subgroup  $H := \langle h \rangle \subset G$  permutes these monomials.

Now look again at the element  $v := v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_q \in V$ . If  $r \mid \deg m$  then  $s_m(v) = |Hm|$ , and this is non-zero if and only if the monomial  $m$  is invariant under  $H$ . This implies that  $m$  is a power of  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q$ . Since the degree of  $m$  is also a multiple of  $r$  we finally get  $\deg s_m \geq r q = |G|$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then we have*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq \max_{g \in G}(\text{ord } g).$$

Let  $D_{2n} = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$  denote the dihedral group of order  $2n$  with  $\text{ord}(\sigma) = 2$ ,  $\text{ord}(\rho) = n$  and  $\sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} = \rho^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Assume that  $\text{char}(K) = p$  is an odd prime, and let  $r \geq 1$ . Then  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2p^r$ .*

Note that if  $\text{char}(K) = p = 2$ , then  $D_{2p^r}$  is a 2-group, so  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2^{r+1}$  by Proposition 8. We conjecture that for  $\text{char}(K) = 2$  and  $p$  an odd prime, we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p}) = p + 1$ , which would fit with Proposition 7.

*Proof.* Put  $q = p^r$  and define a linear action of  $D_{2p^r}$  on  $V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{q-1} K v_i$  by

$$\rho v_i = v_{i+1} \text{ and } \sigma v_i = -v_{-i} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1$$

where  $v_j = v_i$  if  $j \equiv i \pmod q$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As before, the invariants under  $H := \langle \rho \rangle$  are linearly spanned by the orbit sums  $s_m := \sum_{f \in Hm} f$  of the monomials  $m = x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{q-1}^{i_{q-1}} \in \mathcal{O}(V) = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}]$ . Thus, the  $D_{2p^r}$ -invariants are linearly spanned by the functions  $\{s_m + \sigma s_m \mid m \text{ a monomial}\}$ .

For  $v := v_0 + v_1 + \cdots + v_{q-1}$  we get  $\sigma s_m(v) = s_m(\sigma v) = (-1)^{\deg m} s_m(v)$ . Therefore,  $s_m + \sigma s_m$  is non-zero on  $v$  if and only if  $s_m(v) \neq 0$  and the degree of  $m$  is even. As in the proof of Proposition 9,  $s_m(v) \neq 0$  implies that  $m$  is a power of  $x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{q-1}$  which has to be an even power since  $q$  is odd. Thus, for  $m := (x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{q-1})^2$ ,  $s_m + \sigma s_m = 2m$  is an invariant of smallest possible degree, namely  $2q$ , which does not vanish on  $v$ .  $\square$

Let  $I_H := \mathcal{O}(V)_+^G \mathcal{O}(V)$  denote the *Hilbert-ideal*, i.e. the ideal in  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  generated by all homogeneous invariants of positive degree. It is conjectured by DERKSEN and KEMPER that  $I_H$  is generated by invariants of positive degree  $\leq |G|$ , see [4, Conjecture 3.8.6 (b)]. The following corollary shows that this conjectured bound can not be sharpened in general.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\text{char } K = p$  and  $G$  a  $p$ -group (with  $p > 0$ ), or a cyclic group, or  $G = D_{2p^r}$  with  $p$  odd. Then there exists a  $G$ -module  $V$  such that  $I_H$  is not generated by homogeneous invariants of positive degree strictly less than  $|G|$ .*

*Proof.* In the proofs of the Propositions 8, 9 and 10 respectively, we constructed a  $G$ -module  $V$  and a non-zero  $v \in V$  such that  $f(v) = 0$  for all homogeneous  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^G$  of positive degree strictly less than  $|G|$ , but such that there exists a homogeneous  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^G$  of degree  $|G|$  with  $f(v) \neq 0$ . This shows that  $f \notin \mathcal{O}(V)_{+, < |G|}^G \mathcal{O}(V)$ .  $\square$

Now we use relative degree bounds for separating invariants and good degree bounds for generating invariants of non-modular groups, that appear as a subquotient, to get improved degree bounds for separating invariants in the modular case.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $\text{char } K = p$  and  $G$  be a finite group. Assume there exists a chain of subgroups  $N \subset H \subset G$  such that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$  and such that  $H/N$  is non-cyclic of order  $s$  coprime to  $p$ . Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}|G| & \text{in case } s \text{ is even} \\ \frac{5}{8}|G| & \text{in case } s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By SEZER [16], for a non-cyclic non-modular group  $U$ , we have  $\beta(U) \leq \frac{3}{4}|U|$  in case  $|U|$  is even, and  $\beta(U) \leq \frac{5}{8}|U|$  in case  $|U|$  is odd. We now assume  $s$  is even; the other case is essentially the same. Since  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(U) \leq \beta(U)$  always holds, we get by using Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) &\leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)[G : H] \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(N)\beta_{\text{sep}}(H/N)[G : H] \\ &\leq \beta(H/N)[G : H]|N| \leq \frac{3}{4}[H : N][G : H]|N| = \frac{3}{4}|G|. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Example 1.** Assume  $p = 3$  and  $G = A_4$ . The Klein four group is a non-cyclic non-modular subgroup of even order. We get  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4) \leq \frac{3}{4}|A_4| = 9$ . Application of Theorem 2 shows  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4 \times A_4) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4)^2 \leq 81$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $D_{2n}$  be the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . We know  $n \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2n})$  by Corollary 3. Assume  $\text{char } K = p \neq 2$  and  $n = p^r m$  with  $p, m$  coprime and  $m > 1$ . Then  $D_{2n}$  has the non-cyclic subgroup  $D_{2m}$  of even order, so  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2n}) \leq \frac{3}{4}2n = \frac{3}{2}n$ . So the only dihedral groups, to which the proposition above does not apply, are those of the form  $D_{2p^r}$ , which are covered by Proposition 10.

We end this section with two questions:

**Question 1.** Which finite groups  $G$  satisfy  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ ?

**Question 2.** Which finite groups  $G$  do not have a non-cyclic non-modular subquotient?

The dihedral groups of Proposition 10 satisfy this property, and we get  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$  for those groups. But in characteristic 2,  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) < |S_3|$  by Proposition 7, so the answer to the second question only partially helps to solve the first one.

**Note added in proof:** The conjecture following Proposition 10 claiming that in characteristic 2 we have  $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p}) = p + 1$  for an odd prime  $p$  was recently proved by the first author jointly with Müfit Sezer: *Invariants of the dihedral group  $D_{2p}$  in characteristic two*, Preprint 2010.

## References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [2] R. M. Bryant and G. Kemper, *Global degree bounds and the transfer principle for invariants*, J. Algebra **284** (2005), no. 1, 80–90.
- [3] C. de Concini and C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 330–354.
- [4] H. Derksen and G. Kemper, *Computational invariant theory*, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002), ISBN 3-540-43476-3. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130.
- [5] ———, *On global degree bounds for invariants*, in Invariant theory in all characteristics, Vol. 35 of CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 37–41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004).
- [6] M. Domokos and P. Hegedűs, *Noether's bound for polynomial invariants of finite groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **74** (2000), no. 3, 161–167.
- [7] J. Draisma, G. Kemper, and D. Wehlau, *Polarization of separating invariants*, Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 3, 556–571.
- [8] P. Fleischmann, *The Noether bound in invariant theory of finite groups*, Adv. Math. **156** (2000), no. 1, 23–32.
- [9] J. Fogarty, *On Noether's bound for polynomial invariants of a finite group*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (2001) 5–7 (electronic).
- [10] G. Kemper, *Computing invariants of reductive groups in positive characteristic*, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 159–176.
- [11] ———, *Separating invariants*, J. Symbolic Comput. **44** (2009), no. 9, 1212–1222.
- [12] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, Vol. 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition (1994), ISBN 3-540-56963-4.

- [13] P. E. Newstead, Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces, Vol. 51 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay (1978), ISBN 0-387-08851-2.
- [14] M. Roberts, *On the Covariants of a Binary Quantic of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Degree*, The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **4** (1861) 168–178.
- [15] B. J. Schmid, *Finite groups and invariant theory*, in Topics in invariant theory (Paris, 1989/1990), Vol. 1478 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 35–66, Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [16] M. Sezer, *Sharpening the generalized Noether bound in the invariant theory of finite groups*, J. Algebra **254** (2002), no. 2, 252–263.
- [17] T. A. Springer, Linear algebraic groups, Vol. 9 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition (1998), ISBN 0-8176-4021-5.
- [18] D. L. Wehlau, *The Noether number in invariant theory*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **28** (2006), no. 2, 39–62.

ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK - M11, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, BOLTZMANNSTRASSE 3, D-85748 GARCHING, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* kohls@ma.tum.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, RHEINSPRUNG 21, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* Hanspeter.Kraft@unibas.ch